

## CASP [1]criteria

1. Was there a clear statement of the aims of the research?
2. Is a qualitative methodology appropriate?
3. Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research?
4. Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aims of the research?
5. Was the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue?
6. Has the relationship between researcher and participants been adequately considered?
7. Have ethical issues been taken into consideration?
8. Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?
9. Is there a clear statement of findings?
10. How valuable is the research?

Author	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	quality
Murray 1996 [2]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	satisfactory
Roberts 1998 [3]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Cervantez-thompson 2004 [4]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	satisfactory
Brooks 2006 [5]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	satisfactory
Hara 2007 [6]	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Hew 2007 [7]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Hew 2008 [8]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Hughes 2009 [9]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Valaitis 2011 [10]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Archamabault 2012[11]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Dieleman 2013 [12]	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	cant tell	No	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Anderson 2014 [13]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	cant tell	yes	yes	cant tell	satisfactory
Ferguson 2014 [14]	yes	cant tell	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	satisfactory
Frisch 2014 [15]	yes	cant tell	yes	yes	yes	yes	cant tell	yes	cant tell	yes	satisfactory
Moorley 2014 [16]	yes	yes	not sure	cant tell	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	Satisfactory
Tunnecliff 2015 [17]	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	cant tell	cant tell	cant tell	yes	satisfactory

1. International C. Qualitative Research Checklist, in Critical Appraisal Tools. 2013, CASP International: United Kingdom^[http://www.caspinternational.org/mod\\_product/uploads/CASP%20Qualitative%20Research%20Checklist%2031.05.13.pdf](http://www.caspinternational.org/mod_product/uploads/CASP%20Qualitative%20Research%20Checklist%2031.05.13.pdf)4 February 2014.
2. Murray PJ. Nurses' computer-mediated communications on NURSENET: a case study. *Computers in Nursing* 1996;**14**(4):227-234. PMID: 8718843
3. Roberts C, Fox N. General practitioners and the Internet: modelling a 'virtual community'. *Family Practice* 1998;**15**(3):211-156/2/2008).10.1093/fampra/15.3.211. PMID: 9694177
4. Cervantez Thompson TL, Penprase B. RehabNurse-L: An Analysis of the Rehabilitation Nursing LISTSERV Experience. *Rehabilitation Nursing* 2004;**29**(2):56-61. PMID:15052747
5. Brooks FScott P. Exploring knowledge work and leadership in online midwifery communication. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2006;**55**(4):510-20. PMID:16866846
6. Hara N, Hew K. Knowledge-sharing in an online community of health care professionals. *Information, Technology and People* 2007;**20**(3):235-261. DOI:10.1108/09593840710822859.
7. Hew K, FHara N. Knowledge sharing in online environments: a qualitative case study. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* 2007;**58**(14):2310-2324. DOI:10.1002/asi.20698.
8. Hew KF, Hara N. An online listserv for nurse practitioners: a viable venue for continuous nursing professional development? *Nurse Education Today* 2008;**28**(4):450-71. PMID:17881096
9. Hughes B, Joshi I, Lemonde H, Wareham J. Junior physician's use of Web 2.0 for information seeking and medical education. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2009;**78**:645-655. PMID:19501017
10. Valaitis RK, Akhtar-Danesh N, Brooks F, Vings S, Semogas D. Online communities of practice as a communication resource for community health nurses working with homeless people. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2011;**67**(6):1273-1284. PMID:21306424
11. Archambault PM, Bilodeau A, Gagnon M-P, Aubin K, Lavoie A, Lapointe J et al. Health Care Professionals' Beliefs About Using Wiki-Based Reminders to Promote Best Practices in Trauma Care. *Journal of Medical and Internet Research* 2012;**14**(2). PMID:22515985
12. Dieleman C, Duncan EA. Investigating the purpose of an online discussion group for health professionals: a case example from forensic occupational therapy. *BMC health services research* 2013;**13**(1):25310. PMID:PMC3702402
13. Anderson G, Gleeson S, Rissel C, Wen LM, Bedford K. Twitter tweets and twaddle: twittering at AHPA. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* 2014;**25**(2):143-146. PMID:25200470
14. Ferguson C, Inglis SC, Newton PJ, Cripps PJS, Macdonald PS, Davidson PM. Social media: A tool to spread information: A case study analysis of Twitter conversation at the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand 61st Annual Scientific Meeting 2013. *Collegian* 2014;**21**(2):89-93. PMID:25109206
15. Frisch N, Atherton P, Borycki E, Mickelson G, Cordeiro J, Novak Lauscher H, Black A. Growing a Professional Network to Over 3000 Members in Less Than 4 Years: Evaluation of InspireNet, British Columbia's Virtual Nursing Health Services Research Network. *Journal of Medical and Internet Research* 2014;**16**(2):e49. PMID:24566806
16. Moorley CR, Chinn T. Nursing and Twitter: Creating an online community using hashtags. *Collegian* 2014;**21**(2):103-109. PMID:25109208
17. Tunnecliff J, Ilic D, Morgan P, Keating J, Gaida JE, Clearihan L, et al. The Acceptability Among Health Researchers and Clinicians of Social Media to Translate Research Evidence to Clinical Practice: Mixed-Methods Survey and Interview Study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2015;**17**(5):e119. PMID:4468567