## Letter to the Editor

# Equity-Centered Optimization of Virtual Cancer Survivorship Care

## Abdul Moiz Ur Rab Bhatti<sup>1\*</sup>, MBBS; Muqadas Bhatti<sup>2\*</sup>, BS

### **Corresponding Author:**

Muqadas Bhatti, BS Department of Public Health Bahria University 13 National Stadium Rd Karachi Pakistan

Phone: 92 3353150132

Email: muqadas.bh@gmail.com

#### **Related Articles:**

Comment on: <a href="https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e65148">https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e65148</a></a>
Comment in: <a href="https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e79461/">https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e65148</a>

J Med Internet Res 2025;27:e73663; doi: 10.2196/73663

Keywords: cancer; follow-up; virtual; outcomes; realist evaluation; survivorship

We commend Scruton et al [1] for their realist evaluation of virtual follow-up (VFU) care experiences among breast and prostate cancer survivors. The study advances our understanding of contextual factors that influence VFU effectiveness and patient satisfaction. However, we offer critical reflections to strengthen equity-centered implementation of telehealth in survivorship care.

Although Scruton et al [1] acknowledge limitations in demographic diversity (eg, high-income, educated participants), their discussion of structural inequities remains underdeveloped. The study's focus on individual-level mechanisms (eg, technological competence and coping styles) risks overshadowing systemic barriers that disproportionately affect marginalized groups, such as racialized communities, rural residents, and low-income populations [2]. For instance, Ontario's reimbursement model disincentivizes low-tech telephone visits despite their significant role in bridging the digital divide [3]. Future iterations of the program theory should explicitly integrate policy-level contexts, such as equitable reimbursement structures and broadband access initiatives, to address systemic inequities.

The emphasis on provider "webside manner" and socioemotional skills is a strength that resonates with newer

studies advocating for empathy training in virtual settings [4]. However, the paper's equity discussion is surface level. It acknowledges disparities but does not engage with antiracist or decolonial approaches to telehealth, which are gaining traction in Canadian health policy. We urge future studies to intentionally recruit participants across socioeconomic, racial, and geographic spectra to test context-mechanism-outcome configurations in varied contexts.

The recommendations for optimizing VFU (eg, hybrid care models and empathy training) are pragmatic but require policy-level support. For instance, subsidized broadband access and device provision programs are important to equitable implementation [5]. Similarly, trauma-informed communication frameworks should be integrated into telehealth training curricula to address disparities in emotional support quality during virtual visits.

To conclude, the study by Scruton et al [1] advances understanding of VFU's contextual drivers but reflects limitations common to early pandemic-era research. Future work should prioritize diverse samples, integrate implementation science frameworks, and address systemic inequities through policy-oriented recommendations.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Karachi Medical and Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, Bahria University, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>\*</sup>all authors contributed equally

#### References

- 1. Scruton S, Wong G, Babinski S, et al. Optimizing virtual follow-up care: realist evaluation of experiences and perspectives of patients with breast and prostate cancer. J Med Internet Res. Jan 3, 2025;27:e65148. [doi: 10.2196/65148] [Medline: 39752659]
- 2. Chunara R, Zhao Y, Chen J, et al. Telemedicine and healthcare disparities: a cohort study in a large healthcare system in New York City during COVID-19. J Am Med Inform Assoc. Jan 15, 2021;28(1):33-41. [doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocaa217] [Medline: 32866264]
- 3. Curran V, Hollett A, Peddle E. Training for virtual care: what do the experts think? Digit Health. May 30, 2023;9:20552076231179028. [doi: 10.1177/20552076231179028] [Medline: 37274369]
- 4. Shaffer KM, Turner KL, Siwik C, et al. Digital health and telehealth in cancer care: a scoping review of reviews. Lancet Digit Health. May 2023;5(5):e316-e327. [doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00049-3] [Medline: 37100545]
- 5. Watson L, Qi S, Delure A, et al. Virtual cancer care during the COVID-19 pandemic in Alberta: evidence from a mixed methods evaluation and key learnings. JCO Oncol Pract. Sep 2021;17(9):e1354-e1361. [doi: 10.1200/OP.21.00144] [Medline: 34351822]

#### **Abbreviations**

VFU: virtual follow-up

Edited by Tiffany Leung; This is a non-peer-reviewed article; submitted 09.03.2025; final revised version received 16.03.2025; accepted 23.06.2025; published 12.08.2025

Please cite as:

Bhatti AMUR, Bhatti M

Equity-Centered Optimization of Virtual Cancer Survivorship Care

J Med Internet Res 2025;27:e73663 URL: https://www.jmir.org/2025/1/e73663

doi: <u>10.2196/73663</u>

© Abdul Moiz Ur Rab Bhatti, Muqadas Bhatti. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<a href="https://www.jmir.org">https://www.jmir.org</a>), 12.08.2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (ISSN 1438-8871), is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <a href="https://www.jmir.org/">https://www.jmir.org/</a>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.