

Letter to the Editor

Authors' Reply to: Toward a Better Understanding of Quality Social Connections. Comment on "Quality Social Connection as an Active Ingredient in Digital Interventions for Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Systematic Scoping Review and Meta-analysis"

Lindsay H Dewa^{1,2}, BA, MSc, PhD; Lily Roberts¹, BSc, PGCert; Emma Lawrance^{1,3}, BSc, Grad Dip, MSc, DPhil; Hutan Ashrafian¹, BSc, MBBS, MRCS, PhD

¹Institute of Global Health Innovation, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

²School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

³Mental Health Innovations, London, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Lindsay H Dewa, BA, MSc, PhD
Institute of Global Health Innovation
Imperial College London
Reynolds Building, 3rd Floor
London, W6 8RP
United Kingdom
Phone: 44 02075940815
Email: l.dewa@imperial.ac.uk

Related Articles:

Comment on: <https://www.jmir.org/2021/12/e26584>

Comment on: <https://www.jmir.org/2022/2/e36739>

(*J Med Internet Res* 2022;24(3):e37440) doi: [10.2196/37440](https://doi.org/10.2196/37440)

KEYWORDS

mental health; digital interventions; young people; quality social connection; depression; anxiety; systematic review; meta-analysis; patient and public involvement; mobile phone

We welcome feedback from the authors Deng and Qin through their comment [1] on our paper "Quality Social Connection as an Active Ingredient in Digital Interventions for Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Systematic Scoping Review and Meta-analysis" [2]. They suggest that two included studies [3,4] should not be included in our review as individual studies as data extraction and quality assessment of both studies is the same, and that this impacts the quality of the meta-analysis. We have the following response. First, our review is scoping in nature, therefore we included and extracted any study that matched our inclusion criteria and appropriately extracted each study separately. Second, both studies have different designs and methodologies, and obtain different outcomes. For example,

one study is a co-designed study, and the other is a qualitative study; therefore, neither study should be included in the meta-analysis itself. Third, these studies are included and discussed in the review in relation to indicators of quality social connection within digital interventions and each study finding is different. In this case, different data are presented and referenced in relation to distinct digital intervention mechanisms that facilitate quality social connection and they are appropriately presented separately. For example, the importance of anonymity is mentioned in one but not the other. Finally, we have already discussed the statistical and methodological variation within our scoping review as a potential limitation.

Thank you for considering our response to the comment.

Acknowledgments

We are supported by Institute of Global Health Innovation, Imperial College London. This work was funded by a Wellcome Trust Mental Health Priority Area Active Ingredients commission awarded to LD at Imperial College London.

Conflicts of Interest

HA is the Chief Scientific Officer, Preemptive Medicine and Health Security at *Flagship Pioneering*.

References

1. Deng H, Qin X. Comment on 'Quality Social Connection as an Active Ingredient in Digital Interventions for Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Systematic Scoping Review and Meta-analysis'. *J Med Internet Res* 2022:e36739 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/36739](https://doi.org/10.2196/36739)]
2. Dewa LH, Lawrance E, Roberts L, Brooks-Hall E, Ashrafian H, Fontana G, et al. Quality Social Connection as an Active Ingredient in Digital Interventions for Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Systematic Scoping Review and Meta-analysis. *J Med Internet Res* 2021 Dec 17;23(12):e26584 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/26584](https://doi.org/10.2196/26584)] [Medline: [34927592](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34927592/)]
3. Radovic A, DeMand AL, Gmelin T, Stein BD, Miller E. SOVA: Design of a stakeholder informed social media website for depressed adolescents and their parents. *J Technol Hum Serv* 2018;35(3):169-182 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1080/15228835.2017.1347552](https://doi.org/10.1080/15228835.2017.1347552)] [Medline: [29743822](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29743822/)]
4. Radovic A, Gmelin T, Stein BD, Miller E. Depressed adolescents' positive and negative use of social media. *J Adolesc* 2017 Feb;55:5-15 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.12.002)] [Medline: [27997851](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27997851/)]

Edited by T Leung; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 21.02.22; accepted 21.02.22; published 11.03.22.

Please cite as:

Dewa LH, Roberts L, Lawrance E, Ashrafian H

Authors' Reply to: Toward a Better Understanding of Quality Social Connections. Comment on "Quality Social Connection as an Active Ingredient in Digital Interventions for Young People With Depression and Anxiety: Systematic Scoping Review and Meta-analysis"
J Med Internet Res 2022;24(3):e37440

URL: <https://www.jmir.org/2022/3/e37440>

doi: [10.2196/37440](https://doi.org/10.2196/37440)

PMID:

©Lindsay H Dewa, Lily Roberts, Emma Lawrance, Hutan Ashrafian. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<https://www.jmir.org>), 11.03.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.