

Original Paper

# Internet-Based Sharing Nurse Program and Nurses' Perceptions in China: Cross-Sectional Survey

Rendong Huang<sup>1</sup>, BSc; Mei Xu<sup>2</sup>, BSc; Xiuting Li<sup>3</sup>, MSc; Yinping Wang<sup>4</sup>, BSc; Bin Wang<sup>1</sup>; Naixue Cui<sup>1</sup>, PhD

<sup>1</sup>School of Nursing, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China

<sup>2</sup>Jinan Shizhong People's Hospital, Jinan, China

<sup>3</sup>Jinan Central Hospital, Jinan, China

<sup>4</sup>Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China

**Corresponding Author:**

Naixue Cui, PhD

School of Nursing

Cheeloo College of Medicine

Shandong University

No 44 West Wenhua Road

Jinan, 250012

China

Phone: 86 531 88382268

Email: [cnx@sdu.edu.cn](mailto:cnx@sdu.edu.cn)

## Abstract

**Background:** China is currently piloting a “Sharing Nurse” program that aims to increase the accessibility of nursing services to at-home patients by enabling patients to order nursing services using mobile apps or online platforms.

**Objective:** This study aims to assess nurses' perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program, including their acceptance, concerns, needs, and willingness to take part in the program.

**Methods:** A total of 694 nurses participated in the questionnaire survey. The survey collected their sociodemographic and work-related information and their perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program using a self-developed questionnaire.

**Results:** The 694 respondents agreed that the Sharing Nurse program could provide patients with better access to nursing care (n=483, 69.6%). Their main concerns about the program were unclear liability division when medical disputes occur (n=637, 90.3%) and potential personal safety issues (n=604, 87%). They reported that insurance (n=611, 88%), permits from their affiliated hospital (n=562, 81.0%), clear instructions concerning rights and duties (n=580, 83.6%), real time positioning while delivering the service (n=567, 81.7%), and one-key alarm equipment (n=590, 85.0%) were necessary for better implementation of the program. More than half of the respondents (n=416, 60%) had an optimistic attitude toward the development of the Sharing Nurse program in China. However, only 19.4% (n=135) of the respondents expressed their willingness to be a “shared nurse.” Further analyses found that nurses with a master's degree or above ( $\chi^2_3=28.835$ ,  $P<.001$ ) or from tertiary hospitals ( $\chi^2_3=18.669$ ,  $P<.001$ ) were more likely to be aware of the Sharing Nurse program and that male nurses were more willing to be shared nurses ( $Z=-2.275$ ,  $P=.02$ ).

**Conclusions:** The Chinese Sharing Nurse program is still in its infancy and many refinements are needed before it can be implemented nationwide. Generally, Chinese nurses are positive about the Sharing Nurse program and are willing to participate if the program is thoroughly regulated and supervised.

(*J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(7):e16644) doi: [10.2196/16644](https://doi.org/10.2196/16644)

**KEYWORDS**

sharing nurse; home visiting; internet plus nursing program; perception; China

## Introduction

Home visiting is a strategy to deliver various nursing or medical services to families or individuals within their home environment for an extended period of time [1,2]. Nurse home visits are employed in a number of settings, from managing acute or chronic illnesses to aiding the overall health and well-being of the patient. Home visits are also used to ease adults into outpatient settings from inpatient settings. Home visits play an important role in enhancing health and independency, as well as providing high quality end-of-life care to older adults [3,4].

Home visiting nurses, in the provision of their services, have the opportunity to tailor the services to meet a family's unique needs more effectively [5,6]. Home visiting programs also allow qualified professionals to build a rapport with families, which may not be possible during other types of interventions [7,8]. Home visiting has also been shown to lead to many positive health outcomes. These include improved daily functioning among adults who are disabled, which may reduce Medicaid medical costs [9]; increase quality of life and increased number of days free of asthma symptoms for patients with asthma [10]; reduce child abuse and neglect; and improve child development and parenting outcomes [11-14].

Home visiting has been widely practiced in the United Kingdom, the United States, and many other developed countries. In the United Kingdom, home visiting programs have been expanded since 2005, delivering services to 16,000 disadvantaged new parents each year [15]. In the United States, about US \$1.5 billion had been proposed by President Barack Obama's 2014 Budget to maintain and expand evidence-based voluntary home visiting services [16]. In Germany, since 2012, the federal and local governments have spent €102 million (US \$115 million) each year to expand home visiting programs [17].

Nurse home visiting services in China are generally provided by community health care nurses, which began in the late 1990s [18]. Community health care involves a wide range of services such as disease prevention, rehabilitation, health education, and family planning guidance [19]. However, it is seen that community health care nurses remain at community health centers or stations, waiting passively for patients to arrive [18], instead of going into homes to provide health care, even though home visiting is one of their responsibilities. As a result, the traditional community health care service cannot meet the rising needs of at-home-services propelled by the large and continuous increase in the ageing population [20]. In response to this situation, the online nursing service platform (or "Sharing Nurse" platform)—where patients can request registered nurses to visit them at home and provide nursing services—emerged in China.

The Sharing Nurse platform originated as early as 2016 in the Shandong Province and has since spread to other provinces as well as first-tier cities such as Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Shenzhen [21]. However, it did not receive official recognition until 2019. On February 12, 2019, the National Health Commission announced that they were launching a pilot Sharing Nurse program as part of the "Internet Plus" initiative

for nursing care in six provinces and metropolitan cities (ie, Beijing, Tianjin, Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, and Guangdong), as well as some capital cities and regions across the country [22].

The Sharing Nurse Program refers to the use of internet technology (ie, mobile apps, online websites, or other internet-based platforms) to facilitate home visiting nursing service delivery. To our knowledge, there are currently more than 20 Sharing Nurse apps in China. These apps or websites collect and verify the information of registered nurses who want to be part of the program ("shared nurses"). Clients register on the apps or websites to make an online appointment to reserve or order medical services, choose nurses to deliver services based on their preferences, and decide when and where the services are expected to be delivered. The appointed nurse then arrives at the scheduled time with the required medication and equipment to provide the ordered services [23]. After the service is completed, clients can evaluate the nurse's service and provide feedback on the platform. Currently, services provided by shared nurses include intramuscular injection, intravenous injection, collecting blood samples, and special care like newborn examinations or urethral catheterization, among others [24]. Unlike the home visiting nurses in many developed countries who are employed by agencies specializing in home visiting services, the Chinese shared nurses, in addition to delivering home visiting services through the sharing nurses platforms, are registered nurses affiliated with approved medical institutions (hospitals, community health care centers, and private clinics), and routinely serve in the medical institutions in which they are employed.

The Sharing Nurse program enables clients to actively use health care services without going to the community care centers or stations. As a new health care delivery mode, the program's quality and effectiveness is heavily dependent on both nurses and clients. Nurses' perceptions, such as acceptance of the program, as well as concerns, needs, and perceived benefits of being a shared nurse can greatly influence their willingness and motivation to participate in the program and to provide high-quality care. Eventually the success of the program also depends on how the government addresses the nurses' concerns and needs. However, research regarding nurses' perceptions (ie, acceptance, concerns, needs, and perceived benefits) of the Sharing Nurse program is still scarce. To address this gap, we conducted a questionnaire survey to assess nurses' perceptions of the program, as well as their willingness to be a shared nurse.


## Methods

### Design and Participants

A cross-sectional survey was conducted, both online and offline, in Jinan, China, from March to May 2019. A convenience sampling method was used to recruit registered nurses from two tertiary hospitals, one secondary hospital, seven community health centers, and four private hospitals in the capital city of Shandong Province, where the Sharing Nurse platform originated. The eligibility criterion for the nurses' participation in this study was more than 1 year of bedside nursing experience. A final sample of 694 nurses completed the

questionnaires. The method of inducing participants is illustrated in the flow chart (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the participants recruitment and questionnaires screening.



## Measurements

### *Sociodemographic Information*

The sociodemographic items were age, gender, professional title (nurse, senior nurse, nurse-in-charge, associate director, or director of nurses), years of work experience, educational background, and level of the affiliated hospital (tertiary hospital, secondary hospital, community hospital, or private hospital).

### *Nurses' Perceptions of the Sharing Nurse Program*

We developed a questionnaire to assess nurses' perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program following these steps. First, we created an initial version of the questionnaire by developing items based on existing literature and interviews with a panel of 20 clinical nurses. Second, an expert panel comprising of two health care researchers, a senior nurse, and a community nurse refined these items. Third, five nurses took a pretest of the questionnaire and modifications were made according to their feedback to obtain the final version of the questionnaire.

The final version of the questionnaire contained five questions concerning nurses' familiarity with the Sharing Nurse program, whether they have ever been a shared nurse before, and reasons why they do not try to become a "shared nurse"; seven questions about their concerns about the program, rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1="strongly unconcerned" to 5="strongly concerned"); seven questions about the possible needs of shared nurses, rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1="strongly disagree" to 5="strongly agree"); four questions about the perceived benefits of the program, rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1="strongly disagree" to 5="strongly agree"), and one question about their willingness to be a shared nurse (response options including "willing to," "not willing to until regulations are refined," and "not willing to even though regulations refined").

### **Data Collection**

First, hospitals of different levels were selected to enable us to explore possible variations in nurses' perceptions of the program across all hospital levels. At each chosen hospital, researchers

distributed the questionnaires to nurses on site. To reach out to more nurses, such as those who were not on duty, we also distributed electronic questionnaires (e-questionnaires) in the WeChat work group of the hospitals by using an online questionnaire platform Wenjuanxing. In the e-questionnaire, we added an extra question: "Have you ever attempted this questionnaire before?" at the end of the questionnaire to filter duplicate respondents. The willingness to participate, and thus provide informed consent, was determined at the receipt of the completed questionnaire. This study was approved by the Ethical Committees of Shandong University School of Nursing (Reference Numbers: 2019-R-009).

### Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the respondents' sociodemographic and work-related information, as well as their responses to the questions regarding their perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program. A comparison analysis was conducted to test whether the perception differed by age, gender, educational background, and hospital levels using a chi-square test, Kruskal-Wallis tests, or the Mann-Whitney U test. For all tests, the significance level was set at  $P \leq .05$ . Data analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM Corp).

## Results

### Demographic and Work-Related Characteristics of the Participants

Of the 694 participants, 672 were female and 22 were male. The age of the participants ranged from 20 to 55 (mean 30.5,

SD 6.8) years. The participants had been practicing clinical nursing for an average of 8.5 (SD 7.1) years. The highest percentage of the total 694 nurses ( $n=313$ , 45.1%) were from tertiary hospitals, 207 (29.9%) were from secondary hospitals, 81 (11.7%) were from community health care centers, and 114 (16.4%) were from private hospitals. Regarding their educational background, 210 (30.2%) held an associate's degree, 449 (64.7%) held a bachelor's degree, and 13 (1.9%) held a masters' degree or above. Concerning the distribution of nurses according to their different titles, 260 (37.5%) were nurses (junior title for the nursing profession), 275 (39.6%) were senior nurses, 143 (20.6%) were nurses-in-charge, and 7 (1.0%) were either associate directors or directors of nurses.

### Perceptions of the Sharing Nurse Program

Close to half of the participants (300/694, 43.2%) were not aware of the Sharing Nurse program. Considering the nurses who knew about the program, only 6 had actually delivered services through a "Sharing Nurse" app. According to their answers, the factors that kept nurses from being a shared nurse included concern about personal safety (128/688, 18.6%), unclear regulations (126/688, 18.4%), lack of time (289/688, 42%), and dearth of energy (275/688, 40%). The concerns of the nurses are presented in [Table 1](#).

**Table 1.** Concerns about home visiting as a shared nurse (N=694).

| Concerns                                  | Strongly unconcerned, n (%) | Partially unconcerned, n (%) | Neither concerned nor unconcerned, n (%) | Partially concerned, n (%) | Strongly concerned, n (%) | Missing, n (%) |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Personal safety                           | 16 (2.3)                    | 9 (1.3)                      | 57 (8.2)                                 | 82 (11.8)                  | 522 (75.2)                | 8 (1.2)        |
| Information security                      | 26 (3.7)                    | 38 (5.5)                     | 97 (14)                                  | 143 (20.6)                 | 383 (55.2)                | 7 (1)          |
| Service quality supervision               | 18 (2.6)                    | 25 (3.6)                     | 120 (17.3)                               | 164 (23.6)                 | 357 (51.4)                | 10 (1.4)       |
| Public recognition about shared nurse     | 33 (4.8)                    | 35 (5.0)                     | 128 (18.4)                               | 145 (20.9)                 | 345 (49.7)                | 8 (1.2)        |
| Division of liability in medical disputes | 11 (1.6)                    | 8 (1.2)                      | 41 (5.9)                                 | 59 (8.5)                   | 568 (81.8)                | 7 (1.0)        |
| Medical waste management                  | 56 (8.1)                    | 63 (9.1)                     | 147 (21.2)                               | 120 (17.3)                 | 301 (43.4)                | 7 (1.0)        |
| Service quality at home                   | 18 (2.6)                    | 25 (3.6)                     | 120 (17.3)                               | 164 (23.6)                 | 357 (51.4)                | 10 (1.4)       |

Regarding the services that the participants think a shared nurse can deliver at home, the top three choices were blood collection for laboratory tests (489/694, 70.6%), intramuscular injection (473/694, 68.1%), and health education (508/694, 73.3%). The majority of the participants thought that at least 5 years of experience in bedside practice and the title of senior nurse were necessary qualifications to be a shared nurse.

Participants stated that they would consider being a shared nurse if they could obtain customized insurance (611/694, 88%), program training (488/694, 70.3%), clear statements on shared nurses' rights and duties (580/694, 83.6%), real time position tracking services while delivering service (567/694, 81.7%), and alarm equipment (590/694, 85.0%). A few nurses also regarded video recording of the service procedure as essential. [Table 2](#) presents the full details of these answers.

**Table 2.** Needs to meet to consider to be a shared nurse of participants (N=694).

| Needs   | Strongly disagree, n (%) | Partially disagree, n (%) | Neither agree nor disagree, n (%) | Partially agree, n (%) | Strongly agree, n (%) | Missing, n (%) |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Permits from the hospital                               | 12 (1.7)                 | 9 (1.3)                   | 50 (7.2)                          | 49 (7.1)               | 562 (81.0)            | 12 (1.7)       |
| Insurance   | 12 (1.7)                 | 4 (0.6)                   | 22 (3.2)                          | 34 (4.9)               | 611 (88.0)            | 11 (1.6)       |
| Video recording of the service procedure                | 30 (4.3)                 | 30 (4.3)                  | 90 (13.0)                         | 104 (15.0)             | 427 (61.5)            | 13 (1.9)       |
| Clear statements about shared nurses' rights and duties | 9 (1.3)                  | 6 (0.9)                   | 28 (4.0)                          | 58 (8.4)               | 580 (83.6)            | 13 (1.9)       |
| Real time position tracking while delivering service    | 14 (2.0)                 | 8 (1.2)                   | 37 (5.3)                          | 57 (8.2)               | 567 (81.7)            | 11 (1.6)       |
| Alarm equipment   | 10 (1.4)                 | 3 (0.4)                   | 26 (3.7)                          | 54 (7.8)               | 590 (85.0)            | 11 (1.6)       |
| Program training  | 11 (1.6)                 | 12 (1.7)                  | 69 (9.9)                          | 101 (14.6)             | 488 (70.3)            | 13 (1.9)       |

More than half of the 694 participating nurses regarded the program as beneficial in terms of increasing public access to nursing care (n=483, 69.6%), extra income for nurses (n=402, 57.9%), preventing nurse turnover (n=371, 53.3%), and saving

on health care costs (n=375, 54.0%). More than half (n=416, 60.0%) of the participants were optimistic about the program and were willing to be a shared nurse if there were clear and thorough regulations and supervision (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Perceived benefits toward sharing nurse program in China of participants (n=694).

| Benefits                         | Strongly disagree, n (%) | Partially disagree, n (%) | Neither agree nor disagree, n (%) | Partially agree, n (%) | Strongly agree, n (%) | Missing, n (%) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| More access to nursing care      | 40 (5.8)                 | 29 (4.2)                  | 129 (18.6)                        | 140 (20.2)             | 343 (49.4)            | 13 (1.9)       |
| Extra source of income for nurse | 58 (8.4)                 | 45 (6.5)                  | 175 (25.2)                        | 145 (20.9)             | 257 (37.0)            | 14 (2.0)       |
| Preventing nurse turnover        | 82 (11.8)                | 66 (9.5)                  | 160 (23.1)                        | 119 (17.1)             | 252 (36.2)            | 15 (2.2)       |
| Saving health costs for patients | 66 (9.5)                 | 57 (8.2)                  | 182 (26.2)                        | 129 (18.6)             | 246 (35.4)            | 14 (2.0)       |

### Variations in Perceptions of the Sharing Nurse Program

It was seen that older nurses ( $\chi^2_3=32.926, P<.001$ ), nurses with higher levels of education ( $\chi^2_3=28.835, P<.001$ ), and nurses

from tertiary hospitals were more likely to be aware of the program. Male nurses were more willing to be shared nurses than female nurses ( $Z=-2.275, P=.02$ ; see Tables 4 and 5).

**Table 4.** Nurses who were aware of the sharing nurse platform.

| Groups                            | Participants, n/N (%) | Chi-square ( <i>df</i> ) | <i>P</i> value | Post hoc         |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| <b>Age (years)</b>                |                       | 32.926 (3)               | <.001          | C>B, B>A         |
| A <25                             | 47/120 (39.2)         |                          |                |                  |
| B 25-34                           | 235/423 (55.6)        |                          |                |                  |
| C 35-44                           | 81/108 (75.0)         |                          |                |                  |
| D ≥45                             | 30/43 (69.8)          |                          |                |                  |
| <b>Gender</b>                     |                       | 3.913 (1)                | .048           | N/A <sup>a</sup> |
| Male                              | 17/22 (77.3)          |                          |                |                  |
| Female                            | 376/672 (56.0)        |                          |                |                  |
| <b>Education background</b>       |                       | 28.835 (3)               | <.001          | D>C, C>B, D>A    |
| A Diploma degree                  | 8/22 (36.4)           |                          |                |                  |
| B Associate's degree              | 93/210 (45.1)         |                          |                |                  |
| C Bachelor's degree               | 271/449 (63.1)        |                          |                |                  |
| D Master's degree or above        | 13/13 (100.0)         |                          |                |                  |
| <b>Levels of working hospital</b> |                       | 18.669 (3)               | <.001          | A>B, A>D         |
| A Tertiary hospital               | 205/313 (65.5)        |                          |                |                  |
| B Secondary hospital              | 87/181 (48.1)         |                          |                |                  |
| C Community health center         | 42/81 (51.9)          |                          |                |                  |
| D Private hospital                | 57/114 (50.0)         |                          |                |                  |

<sup>a</sup>N/A: not applicable.

**Table 5.** Attitude toward the perspective of the sharing nursing program.

| Groups                            | Likert scale <sup>a</sup> , median (IQR) | Kruskal-Wallis test | Z score          | P value |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| <b>Age (years)</b>                |  | 0.008               | N/A <sup>b</sup> | >.99    |
| <25                               | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| 25-34                             | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| 35-44                             | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| ≥45                               | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| <b>Gender</b>                     |  | N/A                 | -0.140           | .89     |
| Male                              | 2 (2-3.25)                               |                     |                  |         |
| Female                            | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| <b>Education background</b>       |  | 0.824               | N/A              | .84     |
| Diploma degree                    | 3 (1-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Associate degree                  | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Bachelor degree                   | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Master degree or above            | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| <b>Levels of working hospital</b> |  | 0.095               | N/A              | .99     |
| Tertiary hospital                 | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Secondary hospital                | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Community health center           | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |
| Private hospital                  | 2 (2-3)                                  |                     |                  |         |

<sup>a</sup>The item was rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1: extremely optimistic, 2: optimistic, 3: neither optimistic nor pessimistic, 4: pessimistic, 5: extremely pessimistic).

<sup>b</sup>N/A: not applicable.

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study conducted to assess nurses' perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program in China. Nurses were specifically targeted because they are the executive body of the Sharing Nurse program; therefore, their perceptions and insights are of vital importance to policy makers and for the effective implementation of the program.

We found that almost half (300/694, 43.2%) of the participants did not know about the ongoing Sharing Nurse program. This may be because the survey was conducted less than 1 month after the program was officially piloted, and therefore, there was limited time for the nurses to learn about it. It may also be because the official announcement had not been disseminated widely and efficiently, or the employer hospitals or community health care centers had not made attempts to take part in the program.

Home visiting should be a part of community-based family support services [1]. In fact, the health care system in China emphasizes the central role of community health care nurses in primary care and home visiting services [25,26]. However, the results showed that nurses from the community health centers were less likely to be aware of the Sharing Nurse program. Cogdill [27] found that nurses with a master's degree were more perceptive to information needs more frequently than their colleagues. The low rate of community health care nurses who

were aware of the Sharing Nurse program indicates, on the one hand, that community nurses, most of whom hold a diploma or associate's degree, lack information. On the other hand, this also reflects a flaw in policy promotion among community health care centers, which should be the frontier of the Sharing Nurse program implementation.

The main reasons for the respondents not willing to be a shared nurse were work stresses, as 40% (275/688) of nurses reported they did not have time or energy after work. This is consistent with previous study findings in England and Australia, where nurses have reported that administrative work not related to the practical task of delivering home visits was a significant barrier to home visiting work [28]. In the study city, shared nurses affiliated to approved medical institutions have to sacrifice their own time to deliver home visiting services through Sharing Nurse platforms, which adds more stress to their already high level of workload [29]. China also faces a shortage of nurses, and the growth in the number of health care professionals does not meet the increasing demand [30]. The Sharing Nurse program is expected to reduce this imbalance in a more efficient way. Therefore, the number of nurses should be expanded to attract more individuals to the nursing profession and better motivate nurses to engage in the program.

Safety issues are also a major concern about the program that the respondents shared. Studies in other countries have found that clients who received home visiting services without prior knowledge were inclined to report concerns about their safety

because they did not seek help but had been identified by others as potential beneficiaries of home visiting programs [31,32]. This can result in clients feeling vulnerable and powerless when they allow service providers into their homes [33]. Although we did not find any literature on clients' safety concerns related to the Sharing Nurse program, we found that more than two-thirds of nurses were concerned about their personal safety. Thus, the safety of visiting homes is a concern not only for the clients but also for the nurses. Hence, to better implement the Sharing Nurse program, efforts should be made to ensure the nurses' personal safety. There are many suggested measures to enhance home visiting safety. For example, the Sharing Nurse platforms verify the accuracy of information the clients upload, such as location, service requirements, and identity. The government may carry out strict inspections of the platforms. Necessary protective equipment such as real time positioning and alarm equipment could be provided to the shared nurses while delivering the home visiting service.

Liability division, when medical disputes occur while delivering a home visiting service through a Sharing Nurse platform, was found to be another major concern for the participating nurses. This concern relates to problems such as defining and dealing with medical disputes related to the services at home and whether accidents that happen to nurses when they are on the way to or back from the clients' home are workplace accidents or not. This demonstrates that there is a need for clear regulations and practical guidelines. This is supported by the finding that over 80% (580/694) of the respondents agreed that "clear statements about shared nurses' rights and duties" is necessary to the consideration of being a shared nurse. Previous findings also showed that the absence of related policies and practical guidelines was one of the major organizational barriers for Korean nurses to implement home visiting services [34].

Our study found that insurance is regarded by nurses to be essential to improve the program. Home visiting nurses face unique and complex risks in their workplaces as well as uncertainties on their way to and from clients' homes. These nurses need insurance support including traffic accident insurance and personal accident insurance. Previous studies have also pointed out that a lack of professional indemnity insurance is an obstacle both for the employment of nurses and for the fulfilling of their professional role [35]. Additionally, during a labor shortage, employment-based benefits such as insurance can be used to help recruit and retain nurses [36]. Therefore, we recommend the formulation of customized insurance options for shared nurses by policy makers and relevant agencies.

Well-planned and effective preservice and ongoing training contributes to home visitor readiness and prepares them for the demands of the job [37]. According to our participants, program

training is also needed. We, therefore, suggest tailoring nursing education and training to include aspects of home visiting for the benefit of prospective home visiting nurses.

Additionally, more than half of the respondents agreed that the program could be beneficial in saving on health care costs for patients, providing extra income for nurses, and preventing nurse turnover. Considering patients' possible health care savings, the result is consistent with previous findings that home visiting could save costs such as parking fees, travel time, and time off work associated with travelling to a clinic [38]. It also cancels out the waiting time in hospital lines [24]. Shared nurses on the sharing nurse apps generally earn ¥100-300 (US \$14-42) per visit for their services, which is more than what they could earn for their daily work [39]. Evidence [40,41] has shown that higher wages are associated with less turnover. The extra income, more flexibility, and the opportunities that the Sharing Nurse program offers make it more attractive to join the program.

The limitations of this study cannot be ignored. First, the participants in this study all came from one city in Shandong Province, which is not an official piloting area of the Sharing Nurse program. Nevertheless, Jinan is one of the earliest cities where the platform originated. Second, the convenience sampling method limits the generalizability of our findings. However, the sample of this study was purposefully selected to cover various levels of health care that are typical to China to explore differing perceptions among clinical nurses from different settings. Third, we only explored the perceptions of the Sharing Nurse program from nurses' perspective, not from clients' perspective. Future studies focusing on clients' perceptions and the actual effectiveness of the program are warranted.

China's Sharing Nurse program is a new way to facilitate home visiting services. However, in the early stage of the program, the awareness of the nurse program was low. The major concerns of the program include unclear liability division when medical disputes occur and potential personal safety issues. The participating nurses identified several needs that must be met for them to become a shared nurse, including insurance, permits from their employers, clear instructions concerning rights and duties, real time positioning while delivering the service, and one-key alarm equipment. Although the participating nurses noted many barriers of becoming a shared nurse, most of them held an optimistic view of the program and expressed their willingness to be part of it once regulations and practical guidelines are refined. The findings contribute to promoting knowledge about China's Sharing Nurse program and, hopefully, provide implications for further refinement and regulations of the program.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants of the survey and the nurses who participated in the questionnaire refinement. We extend our gratitude to the Supporting Grant for Candidates for "Qilu Outstanding Scholars" (2018WLJH46) from the Shandong University and Zhenhui Wang for providing critical information about Sharing Nurse program.



## Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

## References

- Gomby DS, Culross PL, Behrman RE. Home visiting: recent program evaluations--analysis and recommendations. *Future Child* 1999;9(1):4-26, 195. [Medline: [10414008](#)]
- Gomby DS, Larson CS, Lewit EM, Behrman RE. Home visiting: analysis and recommendations. *Future Child* 1993;3(3):6. [doi: [10.2307/1602540](#)]
- Bouman A, van Rossum E, Nelemans P, Kempen GI, Knipschild P. Effects of intensive home visiting programs for older people with poor health status: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2008 Apr 03;8:74 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-8-74](#)] [Medline: [18387184](#)]
- Nakanishi M, Niimura J, Nishida A. Factors associated with end-of-life by home-visit nursing-care providers in Japan. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2017 Jun;17(6):991-998. [doi: [10.1111/ggi.12822](#)] [Medline: [27389916](#)]
- Wasik BH. Staffing issues for home visiting programs. *Future Child* 1993;3(3):140. [doi: [10.2307/1602547](#)]
- Weiss HB. Home visits: necessary but not sufficient. *Future Child* 1993;3(3):113. [doi: [10.2307/1602545](#)]
- Beer NI. The role of the home visiting nurse in the total education programme of spinal cord injured persons. *Paraplegia* 1984 Oct;22(5):311-315. [doi: [10.1038/sc.1984.50](#)] [Medline: [6493799](#)]
- Kaplan L. Working with multiproblem families. In: *Children and Youth Services Review*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books; Sep 1986:382-384.
- Szanton SL, Alfonso YN, Leff B, Guralnik J, Wolff JL, Stockwell I, et al. Medicaid cost savings of a preventive home visit program for disabled older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018 Mar;66(3):614-620. [doi: [10.1111/jgs.15143](#)] [Medline: [29165789](#)]
- Mayor S. Home visits improve asthma control in low income adults, study shows. *BMJ* 2014 Nov 25;349:g7165. [doi: [10.1136/bmj.g7165](#)] [Medline: [25428421](#)]
- Chaiyachati BH, Gaither JR, Hughes M, Foley-Schain K, Leventhal JM. Preventing child maltreatment: examination of an established statewide home-visiting program. *Child Abuse Negl* 2018 May;79:476-484 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.02.019](#)] [Medline: [29558714](#)]
- Howard KS, Brooks-Gunn J. The role of home-visiting programs in preventing child abuse and neglect. *Future Child* 2009;19(2):119-146. [doi: [10.1353/foc.0.0032](#)] [Medline: [19719025](#)]
- Sawyer MG, Frost L, Bowering K, Lynch J. Effectiveness of nurse home-visiting for disadvantaged families: results of a natural experiment. *BMJ Open* 2013;3(4):e002720 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002720](#)] [Medline: [23619089](#)]
- Sweet MA, Appelbaum MI. Is home visiting an effective strategy? A meta-analytic review of home visiting programs for families with young children. *Child Dev* 2004;75(5):1435-1456. [doi: [10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00750.x](#)] [Medline: [15369524](#)]
- GOV.UK. Family Nurse Partnership Programme to Be Extended. Department of Health and Social Care. 2013. URL: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/family-nurse-partnership-programme-to-be-extended> [accessed 2019-06-07]
- HHS awards \$386 million to support families through the home visiting program. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2015. URL: <http://www.hhs.gov/news/press/2015pres/02/20150219a.html> [accessed 2019-07-08]
- Bundeshaushaltsplan 2016, Einzelplan 17. Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend. 2016. URL: [https://www.bundeshaushalt.de/fileadmin/de.bundeshaushalt/content\\_de/dokumente/2016/soll/epl17.pdf](https://www.bundeshaushalt.de/fileadmin/de.bundeshaushalt/content_de/dokumente/2016/soll/epl17.pdf) [accessed 2019-07-08]
- Yuan S, Peng F, Jiang X. Community health nursing in China: status, challenges, and development strategies. *Nurs Outlook* 2012;60(4):221-227. [doi: [10.1016/j.outlook.2012.03.002](#)] [Medline: [22512991](#)]
- Ma W, Meng X, Wei Y, Li J. Roles and activities of community nurses in China: a descriptive study. *J Nurs Manag* 2019 Mar;27(2):433-441. [doi: [10.1111/jonm.12678](#)] [Medline: [30443947](#)]
- Zhu H, Lu J, Zhang Y, Cui B. Responses to population ageing in the new era: a national condition report from China. *China Popul Dev Stud* 2018 Dec 4;2(3):272-283. [doi: [10.1007/s42379-018-0017-9](#)]
- Hu B. Shared nurse apps raise concerns in China's biggest cities. *That's Mag*. 2018 Jun 20. URL: <https://www.thatsmags.com/shanghai/post/23810/shared-nurses-now-a-thing-in-china-s-biggest-cities> [accessed 2019-07-08]
- China pilots an internet plus nursing program. The State Council. 2019. URL: [http://english.www.gov.cn/state\\_council/ministries/2019/02/13/content\\_281476520056360.htm](http://english.www.gov.cn/state_council/ministries/2019/02/13/content_281476520056360.htm) [accessed 2019-08-10]
- "Nurse sharing" applications bring new kind of service to China's healthcare industry. *Asia Perspective*. 2018 Aug 02. URL: <http://asiaperspective.net/2018/08/02/nurse-sharing-apps-new-services-healthcare-china/> [accessed 2019-08-12]
- Hong'e M. Chinese 'nurse sharing' app to offer at-home care. *CGTN*. 2018. URL: <https://www.cn-healthcare.com/article/20180530/content-503935.html> [accessed 2019-08-13]
- Chen Z. Launch of the health-care reform plan in China. *Lancet* 2009 Apr 18;373(9672):1322-1324. [doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)60753-4](#)] [Medline: [19376436](#)]

26. Bin L. Deepening the reform of the medical and health care system. National Health and Family Planning Commission. 2016. URL: [http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/m/chinahealth/2014-08/24/content\\_18476807\\_5.htm](http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/m/chinahealth/2014-08/24/content_18476807_5.htm) [accessed 2019-07-08]
27. Cogdill KW. Information needs and information seeking in primary care: a study of nurse practitioners. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2003 Apr;91(2):203-215 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1043/0025-7338\(2003\)091<0203:INAISI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1043/0025-7338(2003)091<0203:INAISI>2.0.CO;2)] [Medline: [12883577](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12883577/)]
28. Sawyer MG, Barnes J, Frost L, Jeffs D, Bowering K, Lynch J. Nurse perceptions of family home-visiting programmes in Australia and England. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2013 May;49(5):369-374. [doi: [10.1111/jpc.12197](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.12197)] [Medline: [23573991](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23573991/)]
29. Yau SY, Xiao XY, Lee LYK, Tsang AYK, Wong SL, Wong KF. Job stress among nurses in China. *Appl Nurs Res* 2012 Feb;25(1):60-64. [doi: [10.1016/j.apnr.2011.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2011.07.001)] [Medline: [21855294](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21855294/)]
30. Wu Q, Zhao L, Ye X. Shortage of healthcare professionals in China. *BMJ* 2016 Sep 22;354:i4860. [doi: [10.1136/bmj.i4860](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4860)] [Medline: [27659864](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27659864/)]
31. Kitzman H, Cole R, Yoos H, Olds D. Challenges experienced by home visitors: a qualitative study of program implementation. *J Community Psychol* 1997 Jan;25(1):95-109. [doi: [10.1002/\(sici\)1520-6629\(199701\)25:1<95::aid-jcop7>3.0.co;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1520-6629(199701)25:1<95::aid-jcop7>3.0.co;2-1)]
32. Stetler K, Silva C, Manning SE, Harvey EM, Posner E, Walmer B, et al. Lessons learned: implementation of pilot universal postpartum nurse home visiting program, Massachusetts 2013-2016. *Matern Child Health J* 2018 Jan;22(1):11-16. [doi: [10.1007/s10995-017-2385-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-017-2385-x)] [Medline: [29119476](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29119476/)]
33. Jack SM, DiCenso A, Lohfeld L. A theory of maternal engagement with public health nurses and family visitors. *J Adv Nurs* 2005 Jan;49(2):182-190. [doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03278.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03278.x)] [Medline: [15641951](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15641951/)]
34. Park H, Park E, Park J. Barriers to chronic pain management in community-dwelling low-income older adults: home-visiting nurses' perspectives. *Collegian* 2016 Sep;23(3):257-264. [doi: [10.1016/j.colegn.2015.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2015.05.002)]
35. Fisher JE. Mental health nurse practitioners in Australia: improving access to quality mental health care. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 2005 Dec;14(4):222-229. [doi: [10.1111/j.1440-0979.2005.00375.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-0979.2005.00375.x)] [Medline: [16296989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16296989/)]
36. Spetz J, Adams S. How can employment-based benefits help the nurse shortage? *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2006;25(1):212-218. [doi: [10.1377/hlthaff.25.1.212](https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.25.1.212)] [Medline: [16403756](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16403756/)]
37. Zeanah PD, Larriue JA, Boris NW, Nagle GA. Nurse home visiting: perspectives from nurses. *Infant Ment Health J* 2006 Jan;27(1):41-54. [doi: [10.1002/imhj.20079](https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.20079)] [Medline: [28640422](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28640422/)]
38. Gehring ND, Ball GDC, Perez A, Holt NL, Neuman D, Spence N, et al. Families' perceived benefits of home visits for managing paediatric obesity outweigh the potential costs and barriers. *Acta Paediatr* 2018 Feb;107(2):315-321. [doi: [10.1111/apa.14101](https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.14101)] [Medline: [28960483](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28960483/)]
39. 'Nurse-sharing' apps offer some relief to China's aging population. *Global Times*. 2018 May 27. URL: <http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1104296.shtml> [accessed 2019-08-10]
40. Zeytinoglu IU, Denton M, Davies S, Plenderleith JM. Casualized employment and turnover intention: home care workers in Ontario, Canada. *Health Policy* 2009 Aug;91(3):258-268. [doi: [10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.12.004)] [Medline: [19178976](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19178976/)]
41. Howes C. The impact of a large wage increase on the workforce stability of IHSS home care workers in San Francisco County. Labor Center, Berkeley. 2002. URL: <http://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/pdf/2002/Howes.pdf> [accessed 2019-10-10]

## Abbreviations

**e-questionnaires:** electronic questionnaires

*Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 10.10.19; peer-reviewed by X Meng, Z Zhu; comments to author 07.06.20; accepted 25.06.20; published 22.07.20*

*Please cite as:*

Huang R, Xu M, Li X, Wang Y, Wang B, Cui N

Internet-Based Sharing Nurse Program and Nurses' Perceptions in China: Cross-Sectional Survey

*J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(7):e16644

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2020/7/e16644/>

doi: [10.2196/16644](https://doi.org/10.2196/16644)

PMID:

©Rendong Huang, Mei Xu, Xiuting Li, Yinping Wang, Bin Wang, Naixue Cui. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<http://www.jmir.org>), 22.07.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.