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Abstract

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder that results in abnormal blood glucose (BG) regulations. The BG level is preferably maintained close to normality through self-management practices, which involves actively tracking BG levels and taking proper actions including adjusting diet and insulin medications. BG anomalies could be defined as any undesirable reading because of either a precisely known reason (normal cause variation) or an unknown reason (special cause variation) to the patient. Recently, machine-learning applications have been widely introduced within diabetes research in general and BG anomaly detection in particular. However, irrespective of their expanding and increasing popularity, there is a lack of up-to-date reviews that materialize the current trends in modeling options and strategies for BG anomaly classification and detection in people with diabetes.

Objective: This review aimed to identify, assess, and analyze the state-of-the-art machine-learning strategies and their hybrid systems focusing on BG anomaly classification and detection including glycemic variability (GV), hyperglycemia, and hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes within the context of personalized decision support systems and BG alarm events applications, which are important constituents for optimal diabetes self-management.

Methods: A rigorous literature search was conducted between September 1 and October 1, 2017, and October 15 and November 5, 2018, through various Web-based databases. Peer-reviewed journals and articles were considered. Information from the selected literature was extracted based on predefined categories, which were based on previous research and further elaborated through brainstorming.

Results: The initial results were vetted using the title, abstract, and keywords and retrieved 496 papers. After a thorough assessment and screening, 47 articles remained, which were critically analyzed. The interrater agreement was measured using a Cohen kappa test, and disagreements were resolved through discussion. The state-of-the-art classes of machine learning have been developed and tested up to the task and achieved promising performance including artificial neural network, support vector machine, decision tree, genetic algorithm, Gaussian process regression, Bayesian neural network, deep belief network, and others.

Conclusions: Despite the complexity of BG dynamics, there are many attempts to capture hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia incidences and the extent of an individual’s GV using different approaches. Recently, the advancement of diabetes technologies and continuous accumulation of self-collected health data have paved the way for popularity of machine learning in these tasks. According to the review, most of the identified studies used a theoretical threshold, which suffers from inter- and intrapatient variation. Therefore, future studies should consider the difference among patients and also track its temporal change over time. Moreover, studies should also give more emphasis on the types of inputs used and their associated time lag. Generally, we foresee that these developments might encourage researchers to further develop and test these systems on a large-scale basis.
Introduction

Background

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder that results in abnormal blood glucose (BG) regulation. The BG level is maintained close to normality through self-management practices, which involves actively tracking BG levels and taking proper actions including diet and insulin medications. The estimated number of people with diabetes aged between 20 and 79 years was 415 million (uncertainty interval: 340-536 million) in 2015 and is expected to reach 642 million (uncertainty interval: 521-829 million) by 2040 [1]. The global economic burden of diabetes in adults aged between 20 and 79 years was estimated to be US $1.31 trillion (95% CI 1.28-1.36) in 2015 [2]. The total number of deaths attributed to diabetes is estimated to be 5 million in people with diabetes aged between 20 and 79 years [1]. People with diabetes have a higher risk of getting infections as compared with the normal population, which potentially increases their morbidity and mortality [3]. The greater and frequent risk of infections is mainly correlated with a hyperglycemia environment [3,4]. Moreover, studies suggest a hypoglycemia episode could result in a higher hospitalization and mortality rate [5].

The individual’s BG dynamic is affected by various factors, which are mainly categorized as common, individual, and unpredictable factors [6]. The common factors include amount of food intake, insulin intake, previous level of BG, pregnancy, drug and vitamin intake, smoking, and alcohol intake. The individual factors include dawn phenomena, physical exercise load, and menstruation. The unpredictable factors include stress, concomitant diseases, and infections [6]. Swings in BG dynamics, that is, hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, could be generally categorized under a normal cause variation and special cause variation. The normal cause variation is regarded as caused by those common and individual factors, whereas the special cause variation is caused by those unpredictable factors. The underlying reason of the special cause variations is difficult to understand and remains a challenge for the patient during the incidences. For instance, during stress and infections, the patient usually struggles with hyperglycemia and injects frequent insulin to lower his or her BG levels.

BG anomalies could be defined as any undesirable reading because of either a precisely known reason (normal cause variation) or an unknown reason (special cause variation) to the patient [7]. Even if the advancement in self-management applications and diabetes monitoring technologies has made things easier, the challenge of BG anomalies remains to be managed by the patient themselves. There are some technological developments in the direction of personalized decision systems and BG event alarms to provide an alert and decision support to the patient in the time of these challenges. Techniques such as classification and detection of glycemic variability (GV), hypoglycemia, and hyperglycemia, in particular, and BG anomalies, in general, are central to the development of these diabetes technologies. The ubiquitous nature and widespread use of mobile health (mHealth) apps, sensors and wearables, and other point-of-care (POC) devices for self-monitoring and management purposes have made possible the generation of automated and continuous diabetes-related data, which brought an opportunity for the introduction of machine learning and its application for intelligent and improved systems, which is capable of solving complex tasks within a dynamic knowledge and dynamic environment. In this regard, there are some reviews conducted toward the applications of artificial intelligence in diabetes-related tasks. For instance, Contreras et al [8] conducted literature reviews on the applications of artificial intelligence in the context of critical diabetes management issues such as BG prediction and strategies for BG control, adverse glycemic events detection, bolus calculators and advisory system, patient personalization (tailored features), and others [8]. Moreover, Rigla et al [9] also conducted a review to provide a general overview and popularity of artificial intelligence applications to diabetes problems. Generally, both Contreras et al [8] and Rigla et al [9] tried to demonstrate the potential of artificial intelligence with regard to all groups of people with diabetes focusing on general self-management issues. As far as our knowledge is concerned, there are almost no reviews conducted toward techniques of BG anomaly classification and detection focusing on various approaches, in general, and machine-learning applications, in particular. However, there were some reviews conducted to evaluate the significant effect of pattern management based on self-monitoring BG (SMBG) with regard to clinical practices [10]. Therefore, we suggest that there is a lack of reviews focusing on BG anomaly classification and detection. The objective of this review was to identify, assess, and analyze the state-of-the-art machine-learning strategies in BG anomaly classification and detection including GV, hyperglycemia, and hypoglycemia in people with type 1 diabetes. Moreover, it has presented the current modeling options of machine-learning applications and their hybrid systems. The review covers machine-learning approaches pertinent to personalized decision support systems and BG alarm events applications in type 1 diabetes.

Machine Learning Tasks in Type 1 Diabetes

Machine-learning approaches (tasks) are generally categorized as regression, prediction, classification, detection, and clustering, which are grouped either in supervised, semisupervised, unsupervised, or reinforcement learning based on the type of learning employed. Generally, reinforcement learning is out of the scope of this review, where we mainly focus on the other 3 categories. Machine learning–based data mining tasks could be categorized as descriptive or unsupervised (ie, clustering, association, and summarization), semisupervised (ie, classification and detection), and predictive or supervised learning (ie, classification and regression) [11]. In this regard,
the most widely used machine learning–based data mining tasks in the literature are BG anomalies detection, BG prediction, modeling of BG dynamics, and decision making or education, as shown in Figure 1. In this review, we will focus on the typical applications of classification and detection tasks in diabetes research, specifically in BG anomaly detection within the context of a personalized decision support system and BG alarm events applications. The review considers various classes of machine learning algorithms: artificial neural network (ANN), decision trees (DTs), support vector machine (SVM), evolutionary algorithms (EAs), and others.

An ANN is a biologically inspired computational model consisting of a set of interconnected neurons and a scaled connection between them that is called weights [12]. On the basis of network topology, an ANN is mainly categorized as a feedforward ANN (single-layer perceptron (SLP), multi-layer perceptron (MLP), and radial basis function (RBF)) and feedback ANN (recurrent neural network [RNN], Elman net, Kohonen’s self-organizing map (SOM), and Hopfield networks) [12]. The SVM works based on the theory of structural risk minimization principle [13]. Learning in the SVM occurs through finding an optimal hyperplane that can maximize the margin between the classes. The SVM has been widely exploited in numerous applications such as regression and prediction, pattern identification and recognition, categorization, and classification [13]. An EA is a biologically inspired approach to problem solving [14]. The 2 most used variants of EA are genetic programming (GP) and genetic algorithm (GA). Random forest (RF) or DTs are a kind of an ensemble approach of learning for different classification and regression applications, which mainly learns by constructing a multitude of DTs generating the mode of the class or mean of prediction. The hidden Markov model (HMM) is a variant of the statistical Markov model, where the system being modeled is assumed to follow a Markov property with unobserved states [15]. There are various versions of HMMs; however, in this review, we considered only those trained with a framework close to machine learning families. Hybridization is the process of combining 2 or more different approaches in parallel or serious connection, either at the preprocessing stage, feature extraction, or learning stage, when looking for an improved performance [16].

Figure 1. Most widely used machine learning–based data mining tasks based on self-recorded data in people with type 1 diabetes. The yellow shaded ellipse depicts the scope of this review.

Blood Glucose Anomaly Classification and Detection

Hawkins defined anomalies as “observations that deviate much from the other observations so as to arouse suspicions that it could be generated by a different process” [7,17,18]. There are terms that are often used interchangeably with anomalies, such as outliers, deviations, exceptions, rare instances, and irregularities. The problem of identifying and capturing anomalies in data can be supervised, semisupervised, and unsupervised tasks [19,20]. These strategies can roughly be categorized as classifier- or model-based (detection) approach. The semisupervised is better when anomalous instances are not easily available, whereas supervised techniques are more suitable when there are sufficient labeled instances of both normal and anomalous instances. The unsupervised approach does not require any reference data labels, where normal behaviors have to be determined dynamically, and the detections are mainly performed with regard to the entire datasets. The model-based strategies can be considered as a diagnosis of the system’s behavior during abnormal situations through modeling and adequately characterizing the system’s behavior during normal situations [19,21]. It uses a system’s model to either estimate or predict the underlying system (process) dynamics to capture anomalies in the data. The most important design requirement in using a model includes discovering and characterizing what is to be considered a normal pattern of behaviors [22]. Unlike the classifier-based strategies, the model-based strategies do not require rigorous knowledge of the underlying expected anomalies, that is, to fully understand and characterize the shape and nature of the expected anomalies [22]. By simply defining what is the expected normal pattern the system should exhibit, the model-based anomaly detection is capable of detecting abnormal behavior, which is not considered as the normal behavior of the system. Defining and discovering what is normal is a challenging task especially for dynamic and complex systems, for example, BG dynamics. However, this is often tackled in a dynamic and complex system by relying on either a machine learning model trained on a large enough dataset or using an explicit mathematical model, for
example, physiological model of BG dynamics, of the system if it exists already.

BG readings are time series data, and anomalies in BG levels could be regarded as any undesirable readings, as shown in Figure 1, because of either a predictable cause (normal cause variation) or an unpredictable cause (special cause variation). A normal cause variation could be defined as any hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia incidences with the underlying cause known to the patient herself or himself and also referred as predictable (patient controllable) factors such as insulin injection, diet intake, physical activity, and others. However, special cause variation refers to any hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia incidences with the underlying cause unknown to the patient and also called unpredictable (patient uncontrollable) factors such as stress, infections, insulin set failure, and others. The classifier, semisupervised (model)– and unsupervised-based approach could be used to solve the challenge of capturing BG anomalies caused by both the predictable factors (normal cause variation) and unpredictable cause (special cause variation). However, regarding the unpredictable factors (special cause variation), the classifier-based approach remains to be very challenging with limited feasibility as the classifier-based strategies require a thorough understanding and characterization of the nature, size, and shape of the anomalies, along with its inter- and intrvariability among the patients. With the same token, the unsupervised approach could face the same challenge as it does not have any mechanisms for differentiating the one with special cause from the normal cause variations. However, the model-based (semisupervised) approach happens to be more appropriate given that it only requires to characterize what is considered to be normal so as to detect what is believed to be abnormal. For example, infection (stress)–related hyperglycemia and a diet-induced hyperglycemia are treated differently according to the model-based (semisupervised) anomaly detection strategies. In this regard, diet-induced hyperglycemia is treated as normal, as the model could describe the underlying cause (certain meal), but infection-related hyperglycemia is considered as an anomaly because the model cannot describe the underlying cause based on patient controllable variables (eg, meals and insulin).

GV measures the degree or the rate at which the patient’s BG fluctuates between high and low levels [23]. GV is useful to provide all-inclusive information on one’s self-management practices concerning postprandial spikes in BG, as well as episodes of hypoglycemic and hyperglycemic events [23,24], which are the main factors that contribute for a higher risk of cardiovascular events in people with diabetes. The evaluation of GV helps to comprehend and assess the effect of the patient’s timely actions on the hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia incidence by associating out-of-target BG levels with patient-specific factors, such as insulin dosage, other medication, meals, activity, stress, and illness [23]. However, there is no gold standard approach for assessing GV, and despite its importance, it remains to be challenging.

Blood Glucose Prediction

BG prediction is about forecasting an individual’s future BG levels using current and past information and is also an important constituent of BG anomaly classification and detection approaches. It mainly aims to provide crucial alarms for patients in advance with sufficient lead time so as to avoid further complications from hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia incidences. According to Oviedo et al [25], BG prediction models could be categorized into 3 main groups: physiological models, data-driven models, and hybrid models [25]. These categories are solely demarcated based on the necessity of extensive knowledge of the underlying BG dynamics: black box approach (data-driven model), intermediate knowledge (hybrid model), and extensive knowledge (physiological model). The data-driven model, which is mainly referred to as black box model, uses the patient’s continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), insulin, dietary, and other relevant information to develop a prediction model, for example, machine learning and time series approaches. There are a variety of data-driven models developed and tested in the literature including machine learning (neural network, support vector regression, jump neural network, RNN, and others) and time series models (autoregressive [AR] with exogenous input, AR moving average with exogenous input, AR moving average, and others) [25]. Hybrid models make use of the advantages from the data-driven and physiological models [25]. Most of the hybrid models rely on the physiological model to compute meal and insulin information as input for the data-driven models [25]. Physiological models mainly rely on 3 sets of mathematical (differential) equations to describe the underlying dynamics: BG dynamics, insulin dynamics, and meal absorption dynamics. Physiological models are roughly grouped into lumped and comprehensive models based on the way the model treats each organ and tissue so as to develop the differential equations [26]. There are a variety of physiological models developed in the literature such as Berger, Hovorka, Cobelli, Lehmann and Deutsch model, and others [26]. Generally, there are plenty of models implemented in the literature on the prediction of BG levels [25,26]. However, BG prediction is not under the scope of this review, and we mainly focus on the data-driven BG pattern classification and anomaly detection approaches under the umbrella of machine learning.

Methods

Search Strategy

The objective of this review was to identify, assess, and analyze the state-of-the-art machine learning strategies and their hybrid system focusing on BG anomaly classification and detection including GV, hyperglycemia, and hypoglycemia in people with type 1 diabetes. The review covers machine learning approaches pertinent to personalized decision support systems and BG alarm events applications. Therefore, for the purpose of the study, a rigorous literature search was conducted between September 1 and October 1, 2017, through various Web-based databases including Google scholar, IEEE Xplore, DBLP Computer Science Bibliography, ScienceDirect, PubMed or Medline, Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology, and Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. Additional search was also conducted between October 15 and November 5, 2018, on those databases to refine and update the records. Furthermore, the reference list of the selected articles was used to extract additional articles to get a complete overview of the field.
Peer-reviewed journals and articles published between 2000 and 2018 were considered. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were setup through rigorous discussion and brainstorming among the authors. Different combinations of terms such as diabetes, intelligent system, hybrid system, machine learning, BG event indicators (hypo- and hyperglycemia prediction), BG event alarm, BG personalized decision system, clinical, closed-loop system, hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, GV, and personalized profile were used during the search. The terms were combined using AND/OR for a better search strategy. Relevant articles were first identified by reviewing the title, keywords, and abstracts for a preliminary filter with our selection criteria, and then we reviewed full text articles that seemed relevant. Information from the selected literature was extracted based on some predefined categories, which were based on previous research, and further elaborated through brainstorming.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
To be included in the review, the studies should have developed, implemented, tested, and discussed machine learning and any of its hybrid approaches in type 1 diabetes focusing on one or more of the following application areas:

- BG anomaly detection
- Hypoglycemia prediction, classification, or detection
- Hyperglycemia prediction, classification, or detection
- Glycemic or BG variability classification or detection

Therefore, the studies that reside outside of these stated scopes were excluded from the review including all articles written in other languages but English.

Data Categorization and Data Collection
Information was extracted from the selected studies based on predefined parameters (variables) and categories. The categories were defined based on rigorous brainstorming and discussion among the authors. These categories were demarcated solely to collect the relevant data and to assess, analyze, and evaluate the model’s characteristics and its experimental setup.

Application Scenario
This category defines the type of applications where the machine learning algorithm is being exploited. It can be hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia prediction, classification and detection, or GV classification and detection.

Type of Input
This category was defined to assess, analyze, and evaluate the type of inputs used to develop the algorithm. This includes the key diabetes parameters and other physiological parameters relevant for BG anomaly classification and detections: BG, heart rate variability, and others.

Data Format, Type, Size, and Data Source
This category was defined to assess, analyze, and evaluate the type of data format used as input to the algorithm. This depends on the basis of the type of diabetes technologies, mobile apps, and POC devices used for data collection and algorithm development. It includes different data formats such as from CGM devices, mHealth apps (ie, diabetes diary), heart rate monitoring devices, and others.

Input Preprocessing
This category defines the kind of preprocessing algorithm the system implements so as to avoid missing, sparse, and corrupted input data.

Class of Machine Learning
This category defines the class of machine learning algorithm used to train and test the BG anomaly classification and detection algorithm. It includes different classes of machine learning algorithm: ANN, SVM, Bayesian network, DT, and others.

Training or Learning Method and Algorithm
This category defines the class of learning algorithms used to train the model. It includes different training algorithms such as the backpropagation algorithm, kernel, optimization techniques, and others.

Performance Metrics or Evaluation Criteria
This category defines the type of evaluation metrics used to assess the accuracy of the classification and detection algorithm implemented. It includes different performance metrics such as specificity, sensitivity, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and others.

Literature Evaluation
The included literature was analyzed and evaluated based on the above defined categories and variables to uncover the state-of-the-art machine learning applications in hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia prediction, classification and detection, and GV classification and detection. It also tries to pinpoint their characteristics along with the experimental setup used to implement and test the algorithms. The first evaluation and analysis was carried out based on the type of input used to develop the algorithms to uncover the state-of-the-art inputs used in these circumstances. The second evaluation and analysis was carried out based on the various classes of machine learning used to develop these algorithms to uncover the rate of adoption and their suitability to the task. The third evaluation and analysis was carried out based on the performance metrics used to evaluate the performance of these algorithms.

Results

Relevant Literature
The initial hit was vetted using the title, abstract, and keywords and retrieved a total of 496 papers (DBLP Computer Science (20), Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics (23), Google Scholar (160), IEEE (215), Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology (22), PubMed Medlin (27), and ScienceDirect (29); see Figure 2). After removing duplicates from the list, 410 records remained. Then, we did an independent assessment of the articles and screening based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, which eliminated another 215 papers, leaving 195 relevant papers. After a full-text assessment, 47 articles were left (hyperglycemia=5, glycemic variabilities=3, and hypoglycemia=39), which were critically analyzed as shown in
Figures 2 and 3. The interrater agreement was measured using a Cohen kappa test, and disagreements were resolved through discussion.

**Figure 2.** Flow diagram of the review process.

- **Identification**
- **Screening**
- **Eligibility**
- **Included**

- Records identified through database searching (using title, abstract and keyword) (n=496)
- Records after duplicates removed (n=410)
- Records screened (n=410)
- Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=195)
- Studies included in the Review (n=47)

- Hyperglycemia (n=5)
- Hypoglycemia (n=39)
- Glycemic Variability (n=3)

**Figure 3.** The number of articles published per year of publication.

Evaluation and Analysis of the Literature

The literature, as described previously, was evaluated based on the type of machine learning used to develop the algorithm, the type of input used to train the system, and the performance metrics used to evaluate the algorithm performance based on the tables in Multimedia Appendices 1 and 2.

Data Characteristics and Input Parameters

Input Parameters

Selecting the proper types of input parameters is one of the crucial design strategies for successful classification and detection algorithm development. In this regard, the outer bigger ring, the middle ring, and the inner ring in Multimedia Appendix 1 depict the types of input used in hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and GV classification and detection algorithm, respectively. According to hypoglycemia classification and detection algorithm, BG, heart rate, and QT interval are the most used types of input parameters (25/39, 64%). BG alone is the second most used type of input parameter (4/39, 10%). BG and insulin are the third most used types of input parameters along with BG, insulin, diet, physical activity, and others (3/39, 8%). BG and diet alone, along with BG, insulin, and diet, and BG, heart rate, skin impedance, and BG, insulin, diet, heart rate, galvanic
response, skin impedance are the fifth most used types of input parameters (1/39, 3%). According to hyperglycemia classification and detection algorithm, BG alone, and BG and insulin represent the most used types of input parameters (2/5, 40%). BG, heart rate, and QT interval represent the second most used types of input parameters (1/5, 20%). According to GV classification and detection algorithm, BG alone (3/6, 50%), and BG and insulin (3/6, 50%) are equally ranked as the most used types of input parameters, as shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4.** Reported input features, machine learning class, and accuracy. ANN: artificial neural network; BBNN: block-based neural network; BG: blood glucose; BNN: Bayesian Neural Network; DBN: deep belief network; DT: decision tree; ELM: extreme learning machine; GA: genetic algorithm; GP: genetic programming; HMM: hidden Markov model; NAR: nonlinear autoregressive network; NARX: nonlinear autoregressive network with exogenous inputs; NBC: Naive Bayes classifier; RNN: recurrent neural network; SVM: support vector machine; VTWNN: variable translation wavelet neural network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Type of Machine Learning</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[54]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[55]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[57]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[59]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[60]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[63]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[65]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[66]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[67]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[68]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[69]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[70]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[72]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[74]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[75]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[76]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[77]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[78]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[79]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[80]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[81]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[82]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[83]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[84]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[85]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[86]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[87]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[88]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[89]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[90]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[91]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[92]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[93]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[94]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[96]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[97]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[98]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[100]</td>
<td>BG, Insu</td>
<td>ELM, SVM, BNN, DBN, AN</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Characteristics

Data Sources
Different kinds of data sources ranging from BG monitors, physical activity, electrocardiogram (ECG), and heart rate sensors have been used in the reviewed articles for hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and GV classification and detection algorithms. The reviewed articles relied on different kinds of data formats including SMBG (finger sticks), CGM, and ECG signals, as shown in Table 1. Generally, ECG signal is the most used type of data format (51%), followed by CGM (39%) and SMBG (10%). Specifically, hypoglycemia classification and detection involve (CGM (n=11), ECG (n=24), and SMBG (n=5)). Regarding, hyperglycemia classification and detection (CGM (n=5) and ECG (n=1)) and GV classification and detection (CGM (n=3)).

Table 1. Types of data formats used in the studies (N=49).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data type/format</th>
<th>Count, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous glucose monitoring</td>
<td>19 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-monitoring blood glucose</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrocardiogram signal</td>
<td>25 (51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With regard to BG monitoring, different devices and brands have been exploited for developing hypo-/hyperglycemia and GV classification and detection algorithms, as shown in Table 2. Generally, Yellow Spring Instruments is the most used device (50%) followed by Guardian Real Time (MinMed CGM; 28%). GlycoMark (7%) is the third most used device followed by HemoCue Glucose 201 (5%) and Self-Monitored BG (5%). Specifically, the most used devices for hypoglycemia classification and detection are Guardian Real Time (MinMed, CGM; n=7), Yellow Spring Instruments (n=21), HemoCue Glucose 201 (n=2), Dexcom CGM system (n=1), Self-Monitored Blood Glucose-unknown device (n=2), Medtronic Enlite CGM sensors (n=1), Medtronic insulin pump (n=4), SensorWear armband (physical activity; n=2), and Basis Peak fitness band (n=1). As for hyperglycemia classification and detection, Guardian Real Time (MinMed CGM; n=2) and Medtronic insulin pump (n=3) had been used. With regard to GV classification and detection, GlycoMark (n=3), Guardian Real Time (MinMed CGM; n=3), and Medtronic insulin pump (n=3) had been used.

Table 2. Types of devices used for the monitoring of blood glucose levels (N=42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Count, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guardian Real Time (MinMed, CGM)</td>
<td>12 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HemoCue Glucose 201 (HemoCue)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Spring Instruments</td>
<td>21 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexcom CGM system</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medtronic Enlite CGM sensors</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlycoMark</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Monitored Blood Glucose-unknown device</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CGM: continuous glucose monitoring.

Various brands of physiological monitoring (heart rate and ECG signals) devices have been exploited in the reviewed articles. Generally, as shown in Table 3, Compumedics system is the most used system (52%) followed by a customized device such as a battery-powered chest belt–worn device (22%). HypoMon is the third most used device (13%) followed by Basis Peak fitness band (9%) and a self-designed portable apparatus (4%). Specifically, for hypoglycemia classification and detection purposes, various devices have been used such as HypoMon (n=3), Basis Peak (n=2), Compumedics system (n=11), a battery-powered chest belt–worn (n=5), and self-designed portable apparatus (n=1). With regard to hyperglycemia classification and detection, only 1 article has used the Compumedics system (n=1), which indicates that heart rate and ECG signals have a limited use in this case.

Table 3. Types of devices used for the monitoring of physiological parameters (heart rate and electrocardiogram signals; N=23).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Count, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HypoMon</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis peak fitness band</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compumedics system</td>
<td>12 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A battery-powered chest belt–worn (customized)</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-designed portable apparatus (customized)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is an important stage of any machine learning strategy. In this regard, there were various kinds of data preprocessing strategies used in the reviewed articles. The reviewed articles had relied on both BG and other physiological (heart rate, ECG, skin impedance, and others) data, which of course involves different preprocessing strategies depending on the data type under consideration. Regarding the BG data, various preprocessing approaches had been used including differencing (derivative) BG values [27,28], CGM data reconstruction, or smoothing using different methods such as spline interpolation [29-33], a rough feature elimination, such as fast separability and correlation analysis algorithm [28,29], representing BG temporal change information [34], feature selection and feature ranking [35], filtering using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (PCC) and the t test, and the wrapper approach using greedy backward elimination [33]. The other physiological parameters (heart rate, ECG, skin impedance, and others) had been preprocessed using different methods such as normalization [36-38], feature extraction and selection [39,40], feature extraction using fast Fourier transform (FFT) [41], unsupervised restricted Boltzmann machine–based feature representation [42], filtering techniques such as Infinite impulse response high pass filter [41,43], correlation analysis [44-46], and transformation of frequency domain into time domain (FFT) [47].

Class of Machine Learning

Hypoglycemia Classification and Detection

Different classes of machine learning techniques have been adopted in hypoglycemia prediction, classification, and detection algorithms to predict, classify, and detect the incoming hypoglycemia incident in people with type 1 diabetes, as shown in Figure 4. Conventional feedforward ANN is the most adopted class of machine learning, which is used in 26% (17/65) of the studies, as shown in Multimedia Appendix 1. Hybridization of machine learning techniques with other approaches such as time series, fuzzy logic, and others are the second most adopted approach (12/65, 18%). The SVM ranked the third most adopted class of machine learning (9/65, 14%). DT ranked the fourth most adopted technique (4/65, 6%). GA, time delay ANN and time sensitive ANN, block-based neural network (BNN), and adaptive neural fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) are the fifth most used classes of machine learning (3/65, 5%). Nonlinear autoregressive network with exogenous inputs (NARX) and nonlinear autoregressive network (NAR) along with Gaussian process regression, combinational neural logic network, and Bayesian neural network (BNN) ranked as the sixth most used classes of machine learning (2/65, 3%). Deep belief network (DBN), radial basis function neural network (RBFNN), and variable translation wavelet neural network (VWTNN) are the seventh most used classes of machine learning (1/65, 2%).

Hyperglycemia Classification and Detection

Hyperglycemia classification, prediction, and detection has been practiced less when compared with hypoglycemia, which might be linked because of its less severe short-term complications as opposed to hypoglycemia incidences. However, irrespective of this limitation, different types of machine learning techniques have been adopted, as shown in Figure 4. For example, ANN is the most used machine learning technique in 34% (3/9) of the studies (feedforward (1/9) and feedback RNN (2/9)), as shown in Multimedia Appendix 1 along with EA (3/9, 34%) (GA (1/9) and GP (2/9)). The HMM (29, 22%) is the third most used followed by a hybrid approach (1/9, 11%).

Glycemic Variability Classification and Detection

GV detection is a recent development, which has great importance in quantifying factors associated with hypo/hyperglycemia incidence. In this regard, there is some research and development involving machine learning techniques, as shown in Figure 4. For example, feedforward ANN is the most used class of machine learning (3/8, 37%), as shown in Multimedia Appendix 1. Naive Bayes classifier (NBC) and SVM are the second most adopted techniques of machine learning (2/8, 25%). DT is the third most used class of machine learning (1/8, 13%).

Performance Metrics

The performance metrics used in the evaluation of hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and GV classification and detection algorithms are depicted in the outer ring, the middle ring, and inner ring, respectively, as shown in Multimedia Appendix 1. According to hypoglycemia classification and detection, sensitivity, and specificity are the most used performance metrics (37/58, 64%). Accuracy and precision are the second most used performance metrics (9/58, 15%). Root mean square error and mean square error are the third most used performance metrics (4/58, 7%). Geometric mean is the fourth most used performance metric (3/58, 5%). Correlation coefficient is the fifth most used performance metric (2/58, 3%). ROC curve, geometric mean, sensitivity, and specificity are the third most used performance metrics (1/15, 7%). According to GV classification and detection, accuracy and precision, root mean square error and mean square error, time lag (TL), correlation coefficient, recall, and false positive rate are the most used performance metrics (2/15, 13%). ROC curve, geometric mean, sensitivity, and specificity are the third most used performance metrics (3/5, 60%). Sensitivity and specificity are the second most used performance metrics (2/5, 40%).

Discussion

Principal Findings

The objective of this review was to identify, assess, and analyze the state-of-the-art machine applications in BG pattern classifications and anomaly detection: hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and GV classification and detection. According to the reviewed literature, the anomaly classification and detection approach could be roughly categorized as either a classifier-based or a model-based approach [19,21]. The classifier-based approach mainly relies on using either a specified threshold or some kinds of rules to classify the BG levels as either normal or abnormal. The difference is that unlike the model-based approach, the classifier-based approach requires rigorous and deeper knowledge regarding the nature, size, and
shape of the underlying anomalies under consideration so as to
develop the necessary threshold or rule to capture them.
However, the model-based approach only requires to demarcate
the boundary of what is known to be normal so as to capture
what is believed to be abnormal [21]. The model-based approach
does not require rigorous knowledge of the underlying expected
anomalies, that is, to fully understand and characterize the shape
and nature of the expected anomalies [22]. By simply defining
what is the expected normal pattern that the system should
exhibit, a model-based approach is capable of detecting
abnormal behavior, which is not considered as the normal
behavior of the system. Defining and discovering what is normal
is a challenging task especially for dynamic and complex
systems, for example, BG dynamics. However, this is often
tackled in a dynamic and complex system by either relying on
a machine learning model trained on large enough datasets or
using an explicit mathematical model of the system such as a
physiological or compartmental BG dynamics model [21].

Various classes of machine learning algorithms have been
adopted for the task. Regarding hypoglycemia classification
and detection, feedforward ANN, hybrid systems, SVM, DT,
GA, ANFIS, NARX, NAR, Gaussian process regression, DBN,
and BNN have been developed and tested. These techniques
have explored various kinds of input parameters notably BG,
heart rate, QT interval, insulin, diet, physical activity, galvanic
response, and skin impedance. Concerning hyperglycemia
classification and detection, RNN, GP, HMM, feedforward
ANN, GA, and hybrid systems have been developed and tested,
exploring various types of input parameters including BG,
insulin, heart rate, and QT interval. GV detection is a recent
development, which has great importance in quantifying factors
associated with hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia incidence.
In this regard, there is some research and development involving
machine learning techniques. For example, feedforward ANN,
NBC, SVM, and DT have been tested up to the task using BG
and insulin delivery profiles.

Generally, all of the studies have relied on either indirect
indicator variables such as heart rate, QT interval, and others
or a subset of input parameters that affects BG dynamics. The
patient’s contextual information, for example, meals, physical
activity, insulin, and sleep, have a significant effect on BG
dynamics, and a proper anomaly classification and detection
algorithm should consider the effects of these parameters. In
this regard, however, the individual patient is expected to record
meal, insulin, and physical activity data. One of the main
limitations is meal modeling, where most of the algorithm
depends on the individual estimation of carbohydrate, which is
prone to errors and further aggravates the degradation to
detection performance. With regard to physical activity, there
are various wearables and sensors that can record the
individual’s physical activity load and durations. However,
there is the lack of a uniform approach among the studies with
certain limitations on the way these signals are employed in the
classification and detection algorithms. For example, there are
some studies that consider levels of activity as low, moderate,
and high and others consider descriptive features by
summarizing the number, intensity, steps, exercise durations,
and others to better quantify the effect of physical activities.
Moreover, recording insulin dosage has its inherent limitations,
which might affect the detection performance. For example,
blockage of insulin flow from the insulin pump because of the
infusion set failure and error incurred during manual
registrations might pose a significant challenge in the
performance of the detection system. Furthermore, CGM is
becoming one of the most important components in these
classification and detection algorithms. However, even if CGM
advancement has enabled patients to have continuous estimation
of their subcutaneous glucose levels, it has limitations when
used in a personalized detection system (an alarm). In this
regard, recent studies have showed that autocorrelation of the
CGM reading vanishes after 30 min, making the detection
performance to degrade afterward. These findings suggest that
any classification and detection algorithms aiming for a better
lead time should consider other patient’s contextual information
and various features of the CGM itself. There are some studies
that develop a model by assessing several features of the CGM
signal so as to compensate for its inaccuracy. Moreover, CGM
is found to be inaccurate during hypoglycemia episodes, that
is, insulin-induced hypoglycemia versus spontaneous
hypoglycemia. In this regard, insulin-induced hypoglycemia is
found to be difficult to detect as compared with spontaneous
hypoglycemia. Fast occurring hypoglycemia is difficult to detect
because of the blood-interstitial delay, which makes them
important features to be detected by a given model. Furthermore,
CGM calibration frequency and timing also affects the
performance of the classification and detection algorithm.

The reviewed studies are limited to and could be roughly
categorized by age groups (children, young, adult, and old),
time of the day (diurnal vs nocturnal) and configurations (online
vs offline). For example, most of the studies consider nocturnal
hypoglycemia detection, considering the fact that most of
hypoglycemia crises occurred during nighttime and also the
crises during this time have a bad consequence as compared
with the diurnal period. Moreover, it is a fact that nocturnal
detection is simpler as compared with the diurnal considering
the dynamics of the patients. However, irrespective of these
challenges, there are also studies that consider the diurnal period.
However, there are limited studies that attempt to develop an
algorithm that could detect anomalies in both of those contexts.
With regard to the age group, most surveys reported that age
group has a great effect on BG dynamics, which is typically
related with the dynamics and active lifestyle adopted by each
group. Therefore, it is deemed a necessary approach to consider
a personalized algorithm for each age group. With regard to the
configuration, there are fewer attempts of online (real-time)
algorithms, where almost all of the algorithms were tested and
implemented in the offline mode. In this regard, the most crucial
issues concerning machine learning strategies could be the
necessity of frequent retraining when subjected to a real-time
and dynamic task.

In addition, the most important component in classification
and detection algorithms is the threshold used to differentiate
the normal from the abnormal. In this regard, almost most of the
studies have used a static threshold based on suggestions either
from the literature or physicians and other concerned bodies
such as the American Diabetes Association. However, the
critical issues in this approach are that the threshold might vary from patient to patient and also some patients might not feel any symptoms at the specified threshold (when using indirect indicators such as heart rate, QT interval, and others). However, there are some studies that employed a fuzzy logic–based approach by having a continuous decision space.

In principle, any future BG anomaly classification and detection algorithm should be expected to detect any upcoming anomalies as soon as possible (lead time—giving more response time), avoid any false alarm at any cost, perform in real-time (in an online fashion), adapt with the dynamics of BG evolution (learn continuously), automatically tune its parameters without user intervention, be able to perform throughout the day in a free living condition (diurnal and nocturnal periods), and incorporate as many input variables to better capture the dynamics. In this regard, for example, the most crucial issues concerning a real-time (online) machine learning algorithm could be the necessity of frequent retraining when subject to a real-time and dynamic task. Moreover, developing a model that considers a real-time and adaption-to-free-living condition needs to incorporate a wide range of parameters that affect BG dynamics. Furthermore, it should properly consider and address the inherent technological limitation that affects the performance of the detection algorithm. Almost all of the studies need a proper clinical validation to be integrated into a smartphone and CGM for a real-time application. This can be better described by looking at the number of samples used and their validation strategies (see Multimedia Appendix 2). Therefore, future studies should give more emphasis on clinical validation by taking a sufficient number of subjects in the development and testing phase so as to better quantify the inter- and intravariability among patients. In addition, the most crucial concept of justifying and reporting the underlying cause, as because of either patient controllable or patient uncontrollable parameters, for the detected anomalies is not addressed in any of the reviewed literature. For example, the underlying cause of hyperglycemia incidences could be patient controllable parameters such as diet or patient uncontrollable parameters such as stress and infections. Therefore, in this regard, a proper hyperglycemia classification and detection system might be expected to be able to identify and report the underlying cause, which has a greater significance to the patient especially during infection crises.

Summary of Existing Efforts: Machine Learning Techniques

Artificial Neural Network

There are various types of ANNs used in solving BG classification and anomaly detection tasks: hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and GV classification and detection. Regarding hypoglycemia classification and detection, for instance, Eljil et al [48], had proposed a special type of ANN known as the time-sensitive ANN and compared the result with a time delay neural network, NARX, distributed time delay neural network, and NAR. San et al [37,49] proposed an evolvable BBNN and compared the result with feedforward ANNs and multiple regression. Moreover, San et al [42] proposed a DBN and compared the result with a wavelet neural network, a feedforward ANN, and multiple regression models. Some of the studies have also investigated the advantage of having a separate feature extraction and classification unit. In this regard, for example, both Laione et al [47] and Nguyen et al [41,50] have proposed an ANN using FFT for data extraction. Nguyen et al [41] have further trained the network through a 2-step process that combines the advantage of GA and the Levenberg Marquardt algorithm. Chan et al and Yan et al [51,52] also proposed a neural network–based rule discovery system that consisted of a neural network–based classification unit and rule-based extraction unit. There are some studies that optimized the ANN parameters through a particle swarm optimization technique. For example, Ling et al [53], Phyo et al [36,54,55], and San et al [56] proposed a new hybrid rough neural network, a VTWNN, a normalized RBFNN, and a combinational neural logic network with the neural logic AND, OR, and NOT gates, respectively, where the design parameters of the network were optimized through a hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation operation. Moreover, Nguyen et al [43,57] also proposed an ANN that is optimized through a standard particle swarm optimization strategy. Furthermore, some studies have investigated extreme learning machines (ELMs). For instance, Ling et al [58] and San et al [59] proposed a feedforward ANN trained through an ELM and compared the result with a feedforward ANN optimized through particle swarm optimization, multiple regression–based fuzzy inference system, fuzzy inference system, and linear multiple regression. Mo et al [60] have also used ELMs and regularized the ELMs on CGM data. In addition, Nguyen et al [61-63] and Ngo et al [64] had proposed an optimal BNN algorithm using feedforward ANN architecture. There are some studies that tried to integrate a physiological model with ANN. For instance, Bertachi et al [65] integrated the physiological model of an individual diabetes patient with an ANN to predict nocturnal hypoglycemia events. Regarding, hyperglycemia classification and detection, there is only 1 study by Nguyen et al [38] that uses a feedforward multilayer ANN trained using different training algorithms, that is, gradient descent, gradient descent with momentum, scaled conjugate gradient, and resilient back propagation. Regarding GV classification and detection, the reviewed studies had been performed either for detection purposes or for automated metrics purposes. For the detection purpose, for example, Wiley et al [33] proposed Naive Bayes (NB), multilayer perceptron (MLP) ANN, and SVM models to detect excessive GV on CGM data and compared the accuracy of the result with the other 2 diabetes experts. Concerning the automated metrics, Marling et al [66] had developed an NBC (probabilistic reasoning), an MLP ANN, and a logistic model tree (DT built using logistic regression), which could be used to monitor CGM data. Moreover, Marling et al [32] also proposed an MLP ANN and support vector regression to develop a consensus perceived GV metric.

Support Vector Machines, Kernel Function, and Gaussian Process Regression

SVM, kernel function (KF), and Gaussian process regression have been exploited for hypoglycemia classification and detection purposes in the reviewed literature. For example, Georga et al [67] developed a support vector regression for hypoglycemia prediction and compared the performance with
a feedforward MLP ANN and Gaussian process regression. Georga et al [68] also proposed support vector regression and Gaussian process regression for BG prediction so as to indicate the daily incidences of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia to the patients as well as provision of decision support to physicians in making the decision about treatment and risk of complications. Moreover, Jensen et al [29,30] developed an automatic pattern recognition system so as to detect hypoglycemia incidences retrospectively using CGM data and thereby to foster a thorough evaluation of past events and discussion with their caregivers. Jensen et al [28,69] also proposed a real-time pattern classification model by using several features from the CGM data so as to detect hypoglycemia incidences in real-time. Furthermore, Marling et al [70] proposed a hypoglycemia detection algorithm that incorporates noninvasive sensor data from fitness bands and also compared different kernels for the task: linear, Gaussian, and quadratic kernels. Nuryani et al [71] also proposed a swarm-based SVM algorithm using the repolarization variabilities as input so as to detect hypoglycemia incidences.

**Genetic Programming and Genetic Algorithm**

There is little visibility of GP and GA usage in their nonhybrid form for BG classification and anomaly detection tasks: hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and GV classification and detection. However, there are some studies that use these techniques in their hybrid form. For example, Ling et al [44,72,73] developed a hypoglycemia detection algorithm using a GA-based multiple regression coupled with a fuzzy inference system. The study exploited the GA so as to optimize the fuzzy rules, membership function of the fuzzy inference system, and also model parameters of the regression.

**Random Forest**

RF and DT have been mostly used in the context of hypoglycemia classification and detection tasks. For example, Eljil et al [27] proposed DTs using different techniques, namely, C4.5, J4.8, REPTree, bagging, and the cost-sensitive version of J4.8. Jung et al [74] also proposed DTs using new predictor variables using CGM data. Moreover, Jung et al [34] proposed a DT- and SVM-based prediction model using self-monitored BG. Zhang et al [35] also proposed a new approach using the classification tree to predict the occurrences of acute hypoglycemia during intravenous insulin infusion before the actual hypoglycemic events take place.

**Hidden Markov Model**

Generally, HMM is used to model an environment that could better describe the evolution of the individual BG dynamics. In this regard, there are some studies that use HMM to develop model-based BG anomaly classification and detection algorithms. For example, Zhu et al [15,75] studied an approach for automatic detection of anomalies in individual BG data, using a model trained with historical data containing daily normal measurements. The trained Markovian world tries to analyze the incoming BG data and flags if it deviates from what is known by the model.

**Hybrid and Ensemble Models**

Hybridization approaches have been extensively used when looking for performance improvement by exploiting the advantage from 2 or more different approaches [16]. In this regard, there are some attempts in the reviewed articles which tried merging different approaches for enhanced performance in hypoglycemia classification and detection. For example, hybridization of an ANN with other techniques is demonstrated in some of these studies. Chan et al [76] developed a hybrid system that consisted of an ANN and a GA and also compared the performance with MLP ANN and classical statistical algorithms. Ghevondian et al [77] proposed a novel hybrid system of a fuzzy neural network ANN estimator to predict the BG profile and hypoglycemia incidences. San et al [78] proposed a hybrid system using an ANFIS and compared the performance with the wavelet neural network, feedforward ANN, and multiple regression. There is also some literature that tries to hybridize the SVM with other techniques. For example, Nuryani et al [39,79] proposed a hybrid fuzzy SVM and investigated the applicability of 3 KFs: radial basis, exponential radial basis, and polynomial function for the task. Moreover, Nuryani et al [40,80] also further developed a novel strategy using a hybrid particle swarm-based fuzzy SVM technique. Fuzzy reasoning models are also tested in some of the studies. For example, Ling et al [81] developed a hybrid particle swarm-optimization–based fuzzy reasoning model, where the fuzzy rules and the fuzzy-membership functions are optimized through a hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation. The model is also compared with feedforward ANN and multiple-regression models. Mathews et al [46] developed a hybrid model using a fuzzy inference system with multiple regression, where the fuzzy rules are optimized through a GA. The study also compares the performance of the developed system with an ANN whose parameters are optimized through particle swarm optimization. In addition, San et al [82] proposed a hybrid system based on rough sets concepts and neural computing. The study has compared various hybrid approaches trained through hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation including the rough BBNN, BBNN, rough feedforward ANN, wavelet neural network, SVM with an RBF, and conventional feedforward ANN. Ling et al [45] also proposed an alarm system based on the hybrid neural logic network with multiple regression. Lai et al [83] developed a fuzzy inference system for hypoglycemia detection, where the system parameters are optimized through an intelligent optimizer.

Owing to the complexity of BG dynamics, it remains difficult to achieve an accurate result in every circumstance. One model can have better accuracy in some circumstances and the other model can achieve better accuracy where the first model fails to achieve a comparable result. Therefore, it is natural to look for possibilities to exploit the strengths from these different models to achieve better accuracy in most of the circumstances, which lead to ensemble approaches [16]. An ensemble approach is generally favored when one is interested to merge 2 or more different models for improved performance. In this regard, there are some studies that try to combine 2 different models looking for performance improvement in the overall system. In this regard, Daskalaki et al [84] proposed an early warning system,
for both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, using RNN and AR with output correction module models. Moreover, the study investigated the performance improvement from the combined use of both RNN and AR with an output correction module. Moreover, Botwey et al. [31] proposed combining an AR model with output correction and an RNN based on different data fusion schemes including the Dempster-Shafer evidential theory, GAs, and GP.

Conclusions

Despite the complexity of BG dynamics, there are many attempts to capture hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia incidences and the extent of an individual GV using different approaches. Recently, because of the ubiquitous nature of self-management mHealth apps, sensors and wearables have paved the way for the continuous accumulation of self-collected health data, which in turn contributed for the widespread research of machine learning applications in these tasks. In the reviewed articles, generally, the anomaly classification and detection approaches could be categorized as either model (process)–based or classifier (rule)–based approaches. Hypoglycemia classification and detection has been given more attention than hyperglycemia and GV detection, which might be because of its serious complication and the comparable complexity involved. The state-of-the-art indicates that various classes of machine learning have been developed and tested in these tasks. Regarding hypoglycemia classification and detection, feedforward ANNs, hybrid systems, SVM, DT, GA, adaptive neural fuzzy inference system, NARX, and NAR, Gaussian process regression, DBN, and BNN have been developed and tested. These techniques have explored various kinds of input parameters, notably BG, heart rate, QT interval, insulin, diet, physical activity, galvanic response, and skin impedance. Concerning hyperglycemia classification and detection, RNN, GP, HMM, feedforward ANN, GA, and hybrid systems have been developed and tested, exploring various types of input parameters including BG, insulin, heart rate, and QT interval. GV detection is a recent development, which has great importance in quantifying factors associated with hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia incidence. In this regard, there is some research and development involving machine learning techniques, for example, the feedforward ANN, NBC, and SVM.

Most of these studies have used a theoretical threshold suggested either by the literature or physicians and various concerned bodies such as the American Diabetes Association. However, the problem here is that some patients might feel no symptoms at the specified threshold, and it may vary from patient to patient. Therefore, a model should consider such differences among the patients (intra- and intervariability) and also track its temporal change over time. Moreover, the studies should give more emphasis on the TL and various types of inputs used. Furthermore, researchers should give proper emphasis to develop anomaly classification and detection models, which are capable of justifying and reporting the underlying cause, as either due to patient controllable or patient uncontrollable parameters. Generally, we foresee that these developments might encourage researchers to further develop and test these systems on a large-scale basis.
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Abbreviations

- **ANFIS**: adaptive neural fuzzy inference system
- **ANN**: artificial neural network
- **AR**: autoregressive
- **BBNN**: block-based neural network
- **BG**: blood glucose
- **BNN**: Bayesian Neural Network
- **CGM**: continuous glucose monitoring
- **DBN**: deep belief network
- **DT**: decision tree
- **EA**: evolutionary algorithm
- **ECG**: electrocardiogram
- **ELM**: extreme learning machine
- **GA**: genetic algorithm
- **GP**: genetic programming
- **GV**: glycemic variability
- **HMM**: hidden Markov model
- **ML**: multilayer perceptron
- **NAR**: nonlinear autoregressive network
NARX: nonlinear autoregressive network with exogenous inputs
NBC: Naive Bayes classifier
POC: point-of-care
RF: random forest
RNN: recurrent neural network
ROC: receiver operating characteristic
SLP: single-layer perceptron
SMBG: self-monitoring blood glucose
SVM: support vector machine
VTWNN: variable translation wavelet neural network
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Abstract

The overselling of health apps that may provide little benefit and even harm needs the health community’s immediate attention. With little formal regulation, a light-touch approach to consumer protection is now warranted to give customers a modicum of information to help them choose from the vast array of so-called health apps. We suggest 4 guiding principles that should be adopted to provide the consumer with information that can guide their choice at the point of download. We call these the Transparency for Trust (T4T) principles, which are derived from experimental studies, systematic reviews, and reports of patient concerns. The T4T principles are (1) privacy and data security, (2) development characteristics, (3) feasibility data, and (4) benefits. All our questions are in a simple form so that all consumers can understand them. We suggest that app stores should take responsibility for providing this information and store it with any app marketed as a health app. Even the absence of information would provide consumers with some understanding and fuel their choice. This would also provide some commercial impetus for app developers to consider this requested information from the outset.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12390) doi:10.2196/12390
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Background

Digital therapeutics are being touted as having the potential to transform health care by improving people’s experience, increasing effectiveness, and reducing costs. A few digital aids are recommended and integral to health services, but much of the e-health field depends on overselling [1]. This business plan seems to be working as the digital health field was estimated to be worth $25 billion globally in 2017 [2,3]. One US survey found that 58% of smartphone users have downloaded at least one health app [4]. The overselling of health apps needs the health community’s immediate attention as many of these apps may provide little benefit and some apps may cause harm. With little formal regulation, alternative light-touch approaches to consumer protection are necessary to give customers a modicum of information as a basis for choosing from the vast array of so-called health apps.

We believe that simple, but informative, evidence should be available at the point of downloading and propose 4 succinct aspects that represent the critical information required for responsible health app marketplaces. We refer to these 4 principles—privacy and data security, development practices, feasibility, and health benefits—as the Transparency for Trust (T4T) principles. The goal of these principles is to operationalize efforts that can be made by app marketplaces to answer calls for better oversight and backing up products with data and research [3,5,6]. These T4T principles draw from several sources including patient and regulatory perspectives, recent systemic
reviews, and experimental studies (eg [4,7-14]). We have used the definition of a health app from Innovate UK as those apps that contribute to the physical, mental, or social well-being of the user [15]. Our principles are designed to be applicable to the whole panoply of health apps from sleep apps to diabetes apps, symptom trackers to mindfulness interventions eg[16-18]. The fastest growing segment of digital therapeutics is health apps. Current estimates suggest that over 300,000 health apps exist with a recent yearly growth rate of 25% [17]. The rapid growth of products and lack of growth of information and regulation have resulted in very little information to separate quality health apps from those that are at best useless and at worst harmful. As a result, consumers are left to navigate the app stores alone. For one fast-growing sector, digital mental health, the likelihood of using an app is also affected by the relative lack of access or choice of mental health services as well as the stigma and discrimination experienced by sufferers and the interrelationship with physical health. We know, for instance, that people with depression face a higher risk of developing heart disease than individuals without depression and that following a heart attack, each additional depressive symptom that develops increases the risk of another heart attack by 15% [17]. Mental health problems also affect morbidity in other disorders such as rheumatoid arthritis and asthma [16].

We have used examples from mental health, but our principles are intended to inform and empower all health app users.

**Why Do We Need Some Simple Principles?**

**Exponential Growth and Poor Regulation**

The use of digital technologies to alleviate, prevent, or maintain health has been recognized for many years, but although it offers enormous potential to rethink how services are provided, there are large roadblocks in its way (eg [19]). A Lancet Psychiatry Commission suggested that digital therapeutics could provide benefits now to complement current mental health treatments and aid self-management [8]. However, evidence suggests that some apps are not only ineffective, unsafe, and hard to use, but do not meet users’ privacy and security expectations [9,20,21].

Formal regulation is remarkably light and restricted to a narrow selection of health apps that provide formal diagnoses or treatment for specified medical conditions [3,22,23]. Even when an app is regulated, we cannot be sure that it will work. For example, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently approved the first behavioral health app, reSET, for the treatment of substance use by using evidence from a clinical trial of a Web-based version of the treatment, not the app itself [24]. In the United Kingdom, the Care Quality Commission issued guidance in 2017 for digital health care providers, but this concentrated on safety [25]. The Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) provides Conformité Européenne marking for medical devices and Certificates of Free Sale [26] but leaves review to the National Information Board. As it stands, many health apps are marketed with few checks and even regulatory approval appears to offer little confidence on whether that specific product was ever directly evaluated.

More complex regulation has been proposed. The National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) is curating an NHS National Health Service app library. This is a burdensome process, and so few apps will be assessed in any year. Currently, the library has 78 apps, with only 18 for the fastest developing sector, mental health. This is a minute subset of the 325,000 available [27]. In the United States, the FDA launched a precertification pilot program involving 9 companies to speed the approval process, but this will evaluate the developers and their practices rather than focusing on the product [28]. Apple Inc has introduced additional requirements for medical apps for developers, but these focus mainly on measurement accuracy [29]. There is a middle way to fill this important, and now yawning, gap in consumer information. Health app marketplaces could take a lead by providing relatively simple guidance.

**What is Wrong With Current Systems for Reviewing Health Apps?**

Most proposed evaluations (eg, Mobile App Rating Scale, MARS [30], Enlight assessment tools [31]) assess usability, aesthetics, content, user engagement, and available research evidence, and others have been adding to this list [32]. These systems are useful because they facilitate multifaceted and thorough evaluations of apps, but they fall short of allowing clear recommendations. In fact, more recent evidence from Canada involving service users demonstrated that a high MARS rating would not on its own provide enough information to allow service users to form a decision on whether to download an app [33]. Advisory bodies such as NICE in the United Kingdom make a determination of what is likely to be cost-effective (effective, cost relative to benefit, and other comparison treatments), before they recommend its use in the UK NHS. Consumers, however, want to make choices based on simpler information. One for-profit company, ORCHA [34], provides reviews based on current standards, regulation and good practice, but their overall score does not allow a consumer to decide which components are important to them. PsyberGuide [35], a nonprofit organization, also provides reviews that include a service user focus but does not receive data directly from app developers. But apart from their lack of fulfilling all users’ expectations, no method provides clear information at the point of sale, and a potential consumer would have to search in 2 places for the information they need, to make a choice. We propose only 4 aspects of apps that represent the critical information required for responsible health app marketplaces. These 4 principles, deemed the T4T principles, are privacy and data security, development characteristics, feasibility data, and benefits.

**Transparency for Trust (T4T) Principles**

**Privacy and Data Security**

Privacy and data security are a primary concern for patients and their clinicians [36-38], and its importance has only become more salient with recent events such as the Facebook and Cambridge Analytica scandal [39-41]. The European Union General Data Protection Regulation is strong and introduces
new rights for people to access the information companies hold about them, obligations for better data management for businesses, and a new regime of fines across Europe. There are weaker regulations elsewhere, resulting in varying protections internationally. One review prompted the closure of the NHS app store when it was discovered that accredited apps were not encrypting data adequately and did not explicitly describe the personal data leaving the app [42]. Happtique, an early app certification company, met a similar fate when several of its certified apps were hacked, demonstrating the inadequacy of its processes to evaluate privacy and data security [43,44]. Many apps rely on selling the data they collect for their business plan, which jeopardizes personal privacy [42]. There is also evidence of poor practice resulting in fines for selling sensitive information to Lottery companies and fraudsters [45]. Privacy concerns change with the evolving technology, even though device operating systems are moving toward encryption on the device by default. Nevertheless, users need information about data leaving the app to make informed decisions about their willingness to provide sensitive health information [46,47].

Although full formal audits are needed to ensure apps follow their stated procedures [48], even requiring developers to list their privacy and data security procedures in simple terms would be a significant step forward on raising standards [49]. We propose 3 questions: (1) what data leave the device? (2) how are those data stored? (eg, de-identified, encrypted), and (3) who will have access to those data? It should be clear what, if any, data are being sold, to whom, and what steps are taken to ensure that users cannot be identified by those data.

Development Characteristics
Development characteristics describe how the app was developed, and our recommendations conceptually overlap with those of the FDA’s precertification pilot and the MHRA in the United Kingdom. Good developmental practices would involve all stakeholders (clinicians and the target audience) as well as using evidence-based guidance from the beginning and at all stages of development and testing. The absence of the use of guidance or standards has recently been noticed for physical activity and fitness apps where very few of the thousands of Android apps provided any measurement or used any of the accepted guidance [50]. We especially emphasize including the target audience. This may seem obvious, but unfortunately, development practices often include clinicians and experts but more rarely involve the target audience until evaluation. Many studies rely on small numbers of participants or convenience samples, for example, soliciting feedback from stressed college students rather than individuals with depression [51]. Again, this may seem obvious, but independent usability evaluations have demonstrated that many popular commercial apps are frustrating and challenging for members of the intended audience, raising questions about their prior involvement and the potential for the app to benefit this community [52]. Recent evidence also suggests that good design contributes not only to usability but also engagement with health apps [53], and there are several authoritative descriptions of the processes for developing good design [54].

Developers should outline their design and development process and clearly describe how patients were involved. Our 3 questions are as follows: (1) how were target users involved in the initial design? (2) how were target users involved in usability evaluations? and (3) has usability been independently evaluated?

Feasibility
Feasibility evaluations should address how people use the app (usability and user experience), how long they use it (engagement), and whether any serious adverse concerns are discovered (safety). These aspects provide information on how people use the app, including expectations on the frequency and length of use. This information is also vital to assess benefits. It would not be possible to run a drug trial or market a drug without some concept of the dosing frequency and expected therapeutic dose, and the same should be true with health apps. Again, we have 3 questions: (1) what proportion of users continue to use the app after 2 weeks? (2) what adverse events occurred and what was the rate of those events? and (3) has feasibility been independently evaluated? We propose a 2-week test not because it represents a likely therapeutic dose but because very few users persist in using a health app after the first week [55]. A standard metric, such as 2 weeks, could promote cross-app comparisons in engagement. Like usability testing, independent evaluation of apps is the key to promote transparency and confidence in findings. Despite the availability of engagement analytics, few are reported even in the clinical assessments of feasibility [56]. Independent evaluations could be carried out by service user groups, which could further strengthen service user involvement in the process of development and evaluation. Transparency could be further facilitated by making these datasets available to the research community.

Health Benefits
Health benefits are apparent from rigorous evaluations using standardized and accepted outcomes for the target condition that provides an indication of health benefits. Although many researchers have noted the mismatch between the development cycle for mobile apps and traditional randomized controlled trials [57,58], it is still the case that health apps presented as digital therapeutics require rigorous evaluation to back up their claims. The speed of development should not preclude such evaluations as suggested by some academics and designers [59]. We should be presented with direct evidence on an app’s safety and effectiveness because they are not merely mobile versions of websites even if they have similar content. People use apps differently, including more frequently and in shorter bursts [60], and these differences could affect their impact. We have already mentioned that this is happening with the FDA-approved (and first) behavioral health app, reSET, using clinical trial evidence from a Web-based version of the treatment [32]. Although triangulation of different sorts of data has been suggested (eg, MindTech [61]), we believe that health apps should undergo a trial to determine their superiority to other treatment options, especially as many unsubstantiated claims have been made [36]. Advertising standards require evidence to support any claims made, so these data fulfill both commercial and patient needs. Evaluations should also consider opportunity cost as using a...
health app may delay treatments that could be more beneficial, or a delay could worsen the health condition, making it harder to treat. All these benefits and costs need to be weighed in the balance. Our 3 questions are: (1) what is the impact on the health condition? (2) what percentage of users received either no benefit or deteriorated? and (3) are there specific benefits that outweigh any costs?

**What Would This Look Like in Practice?**

We have inserted the information from 4 health apps, one of which was named the app of the year in the iTunes Store in 2017 (Calm) (see Table 1). We have extracted, where possible, the information on each of our principles from the information provided with the app. The differences are very clear, especially in privacy and health benefits. There could, of course, be more data available on benefits held elsewhere, but this was not available at the point of download. However, what these simple principles also provide is the ability for a consumer to trade off the attributes. Some may want to know that their data are totally secure, whereas others might want to allow some encrypted anonymized data to be transmitted if the effectiveness of the app is proven. Indeed, in a recent survey of participants recruited from a mood and anxiety disorder clinic, many respondents were willing to allow an app to collect data directly from one’s phone, including global positioning system motion sensors, and screen state [37].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transparency for Trust principle</th>
<th>Apps</th>
<th>Calm</th>
<th>My Fitness Pal</th>
<th>Dario Diabetes Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Privacy and security</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) What data leave the device?</td>
<td>No information leaves the device.</td>
<td>Device identifiers, user settings, device operating system, use of app, and location.</td>
<td>Data related to lifestyle (eg, sleeping habits), life events, dietary restrictions, fitness goals, height, weight, measurements, fitness level, heart rate, sleep data, body mass index, biometric data, similar types of data relating to physiological condition and activity, and personal data (name, email address, postal code, date of birth, and contact number).</td>
<td>Personal information (registration information, such as full name, gender, email address, phone number, and birth date; financial information, such as PayPal account or credit card number; voluntary information; health information, such as diabetes type; and device information) and nonpersonal information (nonidentifiable information such as software and hardware information).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) How are those data stored?</td>
<td>All data are stored on the app and owned by the user.</td>
<td>No information.</td>
<td>All data are stored on the company’s server.</td>
<td>All data are stored on the company’s server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Who will have access to those data?</td>
<td>Only user of the device where BlueIce is installed has access.</td>
<td>Third party: service providers, marketers with Calm, other systems (Google Fit of HealthKit, industry research, etc.)</td>
<td>Partners and affiliates, service providers and vendors, and social network providers.</td>
<td>Unspecified third parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) How were target users involved in the initial design of the app?</td>
<td>Coproduced by Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust and young people with lived experience.</td>
<td>No information.</td>
<td>User testing on various app iterations, but no additional information provided.</td>
<td>User testing on various app iterations, but no additional information provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) How were target users involved in usability evaluations?</td>
<td>Not provided.</td>
<td>Not provided.</td>
<td>User testing on various app iterations, but no additional information provided.</td>
<td>User testing on various app iterations, but no additional information provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Has usability been independently evaluated?</td>
<td>No independent usability evaluations were conducted.</td>
<td>Independently evaluated by PsyberGuide.org.</td>
<td>No independent usability evaluations were conducted.</td>
<td>Independently evaluated by Orcha.com; Food and Drug Administration approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feasibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) What proportion of users continue to use the app after 2 weeks?</td>
<td>93% of users kept using it.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) What adverse events occurred in the test population and what was the rate of those events?</td>
<td>None found (clinicians did not withdraw user and users did not feel app use increased self-harm).</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Has feasibility been independently evaluated?</td>
<td>No independent evaluations conducted.</td>
<td>No independent evaluations conducted.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
<td>No information provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) What was the impact on clinical outcomes?</td>
<td>Significant reductions in depression and anxiety, and 73% reduced self-harm after 12 weeks.</td>
<td>No clinical outcomes research reported.</td>
<td>No clinical outcomes research reported.</td>
<td>No clinical outcomes research reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) What percentage of users received no benefit or deteriorated?</td>
<td>27% reported no reductions in self-harm.</td>
<td>No description provided of nonresponders or users who did not benefit.</td>
<td>No description provided of nonresponders or users who deteriorated.</td>
<td>No description provided of nonresponders or users who deteriorated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3) Are the specific benefits worth the cost?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transparency for Trust principle</th>
<th>Apps</th>
<th>Calm&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>My Fitness Pal&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Dario Diabetes Management&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BlueIce&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No information provided about the expected ratio of benefits to risks.</td>
<td>No information provided about the expected ratio of benefits to risks.</td>
<td>No information provided about the expected ratio of benefits to risks.</td>
<td>No information provided about the expected ratio of benefits to risks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>BlueIce is a prescribed evidence-based app to help young people manage their emotions and to reduce urges to self-harm [62].

<sup>b</sup>Calm was the 2017 app of the year on the Apple store and is for meditation and sleep [63].

<sup>c</sup>My Fitness Pal is for logging and motivating physical activity and diet and was the top-rated app in the journal Men’s Health in 2018 [64].

<sup>d</sup>Dario Diabetes Management monitors blood glucose history, allows carbohydrate counting, and will send messages to up to 4 people if your levels. Scored relatively highly by third-party app rater, Orcha [65].

### Are These Principles Different From Those Suggested By Others?

As we have said, T4T principles were based on those suggested by others in recent years. However, we more clearly operationalize our principles into concrete questions that could be answered and made available to potential users. To do so, we considered information important to regulators, developers, and health services, as well as integrating patient viewpoints taken from a number of different studies [37,66]. Patients are, after all, the consumer group of interest. Their views do not necessarily coincide with the expert groups’ views, as shown in the Delphi exercise by Zelmer et al [33]. Privacy and security feature in every assessment system and in regulations and are high on the list for patients, especially those with a mental health problem who may be more sensitive about information about them being shared [11]; So, it is included here, but in the simplest terms and not buried in an incomprehensible privacy statement. Our principle for a fit-for-purpose app includes development with patients. This principle is often suggested [19] but rarely incorporated into app assessment. As we know that some commercial apps are complex and hard to use by the patient group they were intended for, we have valued this section highly. Effectiveness is often mentioned in many assessment systems, but the promised effects are also dependent on the dose of the app and how intensively it is used. Patients need to consider this time constraint when deciding to make a purchase. Patients also want to know not just how effective it is, but also whether anyone does not receive any benefit. This is also important to clinicians, as patients who receive no benefit may view themselves as hopeless cases and not, as in the BlueIce exemplar, just part of the quarter of patients who report no advantage from following the app.

Our approach has, therefore, been to provide information to patients at the point of the download that allows them to make an informed decision, and which they can refer to later as part of their self-management plan.

### Responsibility in the Health App Marketplaces

If these simple T4T principles are followed, then we will have gone some way toward protecting patients. Whose job is it to monitor the T4T principles? Our view is that formal regulation is not needed. We just need the information to allow patients (and patient groups) to make informed choices. Information that is not true can be picked up by advertising standards authorities. Recent examples of this process are the US Federal Trade Commission fining of Lumosity for deceiving consumers with unfounded claims about cognitive benefits [67] and Carrot Neurotechnology for claiming that their app, UltimEyes, can improve users’ vision [68]. Health apps are not a passing fad, and the low barrier of entry into current app marketplaces has resulted in an environment that at best confuses and at worst delays effective treatment. The problems have been highlighted but rarely have clear solutions like ours been proposed. Developers may be encouraged to produce these answers by commercial advantages, as apps with T4T principles might increase consumer comfort and produce unique revenue streams through increased adoption, not only from direct-to-patient markets, but also from health systems. They will also enjoy increased legitimacy among patient groups.

We also note that the contributions of these principles are that they are a small, yet informative, set of questions that could be adopted relatively simply. We suggest these principles as the first, but important step. Further steps could attempt to explore if these principles could be defined with more structure. However, this structure would likely require further empirical work and coordination between different stakeholders in the health app space, particularly, developers and purveyors.

Confidence in the efficacy and safety of these health apps is the least that patients should expect in making a choice to buy or use them. It is now time that existing commercial app stores, specifically the Google Play and Apple iTunes stores, step back from their libertarian ideology and adopt some rules for health app marketing. They should tighten up the definition of health apps and adopt a system, ours hopefully, to allow patients to understand what to expect from a health app. Although some might believe that this proposal is other worldly, starting somewhere is important. Health app marketplaces have a duty to, and health app developers a commercial advantage, from following our suggestions — we should not need to wait for another scandal or disaster before the Google Play or Apple iTunes Stores step up to the plate and help prevent worthless products being pressed on those with health needs.
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Abstract

Background: Twenty years ago, a “Guardian Angel” or comprehensive digital health advisor was proposed to empower patients to better manage their own health. This is now technically feasible, but most digital applications have narrow functions and target the relatively healthy, with few designed for those with the greatest needs.

Objective: The goal of the research was to identify unmet needs and key features of a general digital health advisor for frail elderly and people with multiple chronic conditions and their caregivers.

Methods: In-depth interviews were used to develop personas and use cases, and iterative feedback from participants informed the creation of a low-fidelity prototype of a digital health advisor. Results were shared with developers, investors, regulators, and health system leaders for suggestions on how this could be developed and disseminated.

Results: Patients highlighted the following goals: “live my life,” “love my life,” “manage my health,” and “feel understood.” Patients and caregivers reported interest in four functions to address these goals: tracking and insights, advice and information, providing a holistic picture of the patient, and coordination and communication. Experts and system stakeholders felt the prototype was technically feasible, and that while health care delivery organizations could help disseminate such a tool, it should be done in partnership with consumer-focused organizations.

Conclusions: This study describes the key features of a comprehensive digital health advisor, but to spur its development, we need to clarify the business case and address the policy, organizational, and cultural barriers to creating tools that put patients and their goals at the center of the health system.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e10318) doi:10.2196/10318
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Introduction

Background
Twenty years ago, Peter Szolovits and colleagues proposed a digital “Guardian Angel” built on the notion that information systems designers might shift focus from serving health institutions to empowering the individual patient [1]. The Guardian Angel (or digital health advisor) would collect individual patient data, monitor health conditions, interpret health information for patients, help customize treatment plans, share information with the care team, and provide reminders and alerts about medications and appointments. With recent technological advances, the capacity to create a digital health advisor is now within reach, but it has yet to emerge. One barrier to the development of a digital health advisor might be the lack of a clear set of requirements to inform its design. Although there are a range of tools that perform some related functions and a few studies that cover some needs, they have not been presented in a way that is actionable for developers [2]. The Commonwealth Fund, a foundation whose goal is to improve access to health care, has been exploring this opportunity through its program on the information technology (IT)–enabled consumer. The fund has a particular interest in models of care for high-need, high-cost (HNHC) patients and ways to enhance coordination as well as patient and caregiver engagement [3]. We sought to determine how the concerns of HNHC patients and their caregivers might be met through digital tools in order to communicate this to the stakeholders who can create and distribute these tools. This paper describes this strategy, highlighting the use of persona development and an illustrative prototype to engage key stakeholders in order to promote the creation of a digital health advisor for the people who could derive the most benefit.

Methods

Solutions to Improve the Care of High-Need, High-Cost Patients

Information and communication technologies (ICTs) have transformed many industries, often by reconfiguring services around the needs of consumers. But health care has lagged behind. Many of the current consumer-facing applications target people who are relatively healthy [4]. There has been less focus on the 5% of the population who account for nearly 50% of all system costs in the United States and in other regions [5]. These HNHC individuals often have multiple chronic conditions and are more likely to be lower income and face housing and food insecurity [6-9]. They are poorly served by a health system built around diseases and institutions that often results in fragmented and competing care [10,11]. Among population segments, frail elderly are the most likely (46.2%) to be high cost, followed by adults under 65 years with disability and adults with major complex chronic disease [12]. They all have to engage in a variety of activities to improve their health [13,14], and digital technologies may be able to assist. As the largest subgroup, seniors are increasingly adopting technology, with 76% using cell phones, 64% using computers, 43% using the internet, and 40% using email and texting [15]. They are already engaging with some of these new approaches in health care: 16% of seniors search the internet to obtain health information, 8% to fill prescriptions, 7% to contact physicians, and 5% to handle insurance matters [15]. However, while there are more than 165,000 health apps currently available, a 2016 review found that most available digital tools for chronic disease are piecemeal, have limited functionality, and do not address the needs of patients with complex chronic conditions [4,16]. Furthermore, a review of evaluations of apps for conditions associated with higher needs found that most studies were small and few assessed process or outcome measures [17]. Another review compiled proposed functions from different studies and highlighted that tools should be developed with user needs in mind [2].

Understanding and Communicating User Needs

Human-centered design (HCD) has been used to develop many transformative ICT solutions, is routine in a range of industries, and is increasingly applied in health care [10,18-27]. HCD is a problem-focused method that emerged from the fields of industrial design and (more recently) software development [28-30]. Strengths of design thinking include rapidly developing a deep understanding of user needs and then communicating them in ways that are emotionally engaging and actionable. This approach often involves interviews, observation, and immersion in a user’s context to develop user personas, or archetypes, and use cases [22,27]. A persona is a detailed description of a fictional person (often a composite of real individuals) used to communicate the key motivations, concerns, and interests of a user group [10,26,27]. Related to the persona is a use case or user story, which is a story with a plot describing the actions and decisions of a user in a particular context [26,27]. These representations can help designers foster empathy, better understand user needs, and develop new service options and tools [26]. Persona development has been used in a number of studies, but they have focused on specific chronic conditions (eg, older adults with diabetes [31], heart disease [32,33], or multiple sclerosis [34]) or groups like high users of the emergency department [35]. One study created personas of the “oldest old” but focused on implications for the design of computer interfaces [27]. None of these studies looked at a range of HNHC patients, and none were focused on designing a general tool to help achieve patient goals like the digital health advisor.

Designing a Prototype for a Digital Health Advisor

The Commonwealth Fund was interested in developing a vision of a digital health advisor that could meet the needs of HNHC patients and using this to encourage its development in the health care marketplace by developers, entrepreneurs, investors, health system providers, funders, and regulators. The Fund worked with the design firm gravitytank to identify the needs of HNHC patients and their caregivers and create a low-fidelity prototype, which simulates key features of interest to this group without building out any of the functions [36,37]. This involved interviews with experts, 8 patient-caregiver pairs of people with multiple chronic conditions or frail elderly, and mapping the workflow of 3 care managers with similar patients [36,37]. Based on analysis of these data, a rough prototype of a digital
health advisor was created and shared with patients and caregivers so they could provide feedback on the usability and functionality of the tool. This informed different outputs to make the insights more actionable.

**Results**

First, the needs of patients with multiple chronic conditions and the frail elderly and their caregivers were characterized, focusing on the intersection of functional and emotional needs with medical and personal needs (see Figure 1). Patients felt that a tool that addressed functional needs could improve health outcomes, but if a tool addressed emotional needs they would be more likely to use it on an ongoing basis. One link between the functional and emotional was having a tool that could connect goals to functions and orient care so that it can better manage their conditions in order to increase their ability to do the things that make life worthwhile. They highlighted the challenges of communicating with family members about end of life, sharing goals, navigating apps, and approval processes. They also had difficulty understanding the wide range of uncoordinated advice they receive and following through on the subset of recommendations that address their needs. Based on these interviews, 4 patient personas and 2 caregiver personas were developed (see Textbox 1) along with 4 use cases (see Figure 2 for an example). Last, a low-fidelity prototype of a digital health advisor was developed with a number of key features (see Textbox 2, with screenshots in Multimedia Appendix 1) [38].

**Figure 1.** Key themes regarding patient needs.

![Figure 1](https://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e10318/figure1.png)
Textbox 1. Overview of patient and caregiver personas.

**Patient personas**

- **“John”**
  - An 87-year-old frail elderly man dealing with arthritis, hypertension, and mild cognitive impairment
  - He needs a tool to reach out to loved ones when he needs help, communicate his goals and current level of function, and give prompts for key health behaviors

- **“Elizabeth”**
  - A 70-year-old elderly patient with multiple chronic conditions
  - She needs help managing her medications, tracking her health status, and learning about activities to improve her health

- **“Karen”**
  - A 65-year-old patient with multiple chronic conditions
  - She needs help coordinating her care with her providers, managing her conditions, and accessing relevant health and social services

- **“Jasmine”**
  - A 44-year-old patient with a major chronic condition
  - She needs help communicating with her family about her health, managing ongoing symptoms, and learning about new treatments

**Caregiver personas**

- **“Beth”**
  - A 79-year-old caregiving spouse
  - She needs help managing her spouse’s medications and appointments and connecting with medical and community resources

- **“Lisa”**
  - A 45-year-old caregiver for her elderly mother
  - She needs help coordinating her mother’s care, remotely monitoring her health status, and communicating with family members regarding her mother’s health

Figure 2. Sample use case for digital health advisor: John is an 87-year-old man living in San Mateo, California, with hypertension, arthritis, and mild cognitive impairment. He has been feeling more tired, anxious, and clumsy recently. He lives with his wife, who is his primary caregiver, and gets help from his daughter. He has an upcoming appointment with a geriatrician and he’s concerned whether this new doctor will understand what his needs are. He would like to update his doctors and highlight his activities and goals to share a more holistic picture of his life with the care team. He also wants to manage his doctor appointments and keep track of what his doctor tells him.
They also highlighted that novel analytics are not sufficient; health advisors, which should be an integrated suite of tools. Extensive collaboration is needed to develop a robust digital health advisor for caregivers, families, and social networks. They stated that foster support in target communities, including patients, digital health advisor but stressed that it would also need to organizations were felt to be critical to the development of a facilitation therapeutic decisions involving multiple physiologic parameters, and current burden of treatment could make visits more efficient for people with complex conditions, by reducing the time needed to collect this information, leaving more time to discuss how to advance particular goals of care. They also mentioned how a tool to share detailed but actionable information on goals, functional status, physiologic parameters, and current burden of treatment could facilitate challenging therapeutic decisions involving multiple providers. Representatives from health care delivery organizations were felt to be critical to the development of a digital health advisor but stressed that it would also need to foster support in target communities, including patients, caregivers, families, and social networks. They stated that extensive collaboration is needed to develop a robust digital health advisor, which should be an integrated suite of tools. They also highlighted that novel analytics are not sufficient; data must be made available in a usable format, and the necessary data for real-time decision making (e.g., cost, quality, availability of services) are currently held by many different groups in different formats. They suggested a useful digital health advisor is more likely to emerge in response to partnerships with organizations focused on consumer needs, such as consumer advocacy groups and large retail companies. Business cases and policy incentives need to be developed to encourage broad data sharing among community, government, and commercial initiatives.

**Discussion**

**Designing Tools and Systems That Will Meet Patient Needs**

The concept of a comprehensive digital health advisor for patients, which was proposed more than 20 years ago, is now technically feasible and attractive to HNHC patients in the United States. The patients highlight a range of functional, emotional, medical, and personal needs that might be addressed by this tool. They also list a series of key functions such as tracking, advice, providing a holistic picture of themselves, and coordination and communication. The use of human-centered design helps overcome the limitation that new designs in health care are often dreamed up by providers to be easy to implement but not necessarily use by people who rarely use health services and don’t resemble the people they are trying to help. Personas can promote stakeholder engagement by providing detailed information on patient needs and how a tool might address those.

**Textbox 2. Key features of a digital health advisor for high-need, high-cost patients and their caregivers.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking and insights:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Metrics dashboard collects health data such as blood pressure, oxygen levels, and gait from sensors and connected devices or receives manual input on symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tracks current state before a medical visit or patterns over time, provides recommendations to patients, and informs the care team of changes in health status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Highlights patient’s functional status and summarizes current symptom and risk factor control for different diseases so that care can focus on improving key functions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advice and information:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Personalized advice on health-related questions either through integration with a digital assistant or by connecting to a medical practitioner by text, voice, or video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• This advice would draw on information from medical records, personal metrics, insurance coverage, and available community resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The advice would either elicit or incorporate known preferences into recommendations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holistic picture:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• A care journal and patient profile where patients can provide a brief image, a written summary of their story, and a set of goals and milestones they want to track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• This would include a checklist of care preferences to help create a comprehensive picture of their life to share with practitioners and foster deeper connections with their medical team and caregivers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination and communication:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• A shared calendar with all appointments, a document center, and a task manager to improve coordination and communication between patients and their care team, including help with scheduling and transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• This would also include transparent communications between providers about a patient’s care to highlight areas of ambiguity and ensure agreement between members of the team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e10318/
needs, making it easier to understand key functions, possible interactions with the health system, data requirements, and potential regulatory concerns.

While some studies have employed human-centered design to develop tools for patients with multiple chronic conditions [39,40], this approach tends to focus on medically defined needs rather than act as general advisors. To go from an understanding of needs to a functioning tool that meets those needs in the context of someone’s life is a stretch and it involves testing different use cases, functions, and target groups before finding a good fit. The personas and prototype helped elicit specific advice from providers, payers, regulators, developers, and investors by making the problems and options more tangible. This highlighted the range of challenges with trust, uptake, integration with workflows, value proposition for different stakeholders, and potential business models, going beyond a narrow focus on end user needs and features of the technology.

The learnings from this project about current HNHC patients could be applied to vulnerable populations at risk of becoming HNHC patients (another target group for the Commonwealth Fund) and even the general public. We found the highest users of the health system are interested in tools that can help them manage their health challenges but even more in helping them live their lives to the fullest. This is likely to be true of all patients, who not only value disease management but also see health as a means to identify and work toward their life goals. An individual’s health is intertwined with their psychological, social, and economic context, and truly useful digital tools will help people manage their needs in a comprehensive and integrated way rather than focus on a disease or issue in isolation. This has not been a major goal for health systems or a major focus of quality improvement efforts; however, it could be supported by consumer-facing tools that engage and empower individuals.

Conclusion
Characterizing the needs of target users is essential to designing a comprehensive digital health advisor, but to realize this vision we need to address broader system and policy constraints that will impact its development. User-centered design can help draw attention to something that is technically feasible but has no business model and may not be compatible with many current regulations. It helps reframe problems and suggest solutions that may be independent of existing services or processes. In the area of models of care for HNHC patients, the Commonwealth Fund has commissioned rigorous evaluations of new models, synthesized evidence from the scientific literature, and created a playbook for health systems to help implement promising models and improve care for this group. We have also started using design thinking as a tool to generate a pipeline of new options that might constitute a breakthrough. We move this future-oriented work forward through our role as a convener and advocate for improving access to care. For the IT-enabled consumer, the next steps include exploring the type of collaboration needed between stakeholders such as health care delivery organizations, patients, caregivers, technology companies, government, and consumer advocacy groups. We also need to examine the incentives and business models needed to attract entrepreneurs and developers to work in this area and for health systems to engage with consumer-facing IT tools. System change is unlikely to come from those who already run it, so the Commonwealth Fund is working to address the policy and regulatory, business model, and cultural barriers to creating tools that put patients and their goals at the center of the health system.
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Abstract

The collection and use of patient health data are central to any kind of activity in the health care system. These data may be produced during routine clinical processes or obtained directly from the patient using patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures. Although efficiency and other reasons justify data availability for a range of potentially relevant uses, these data are nearly always collected for a single specific purpose. The health care literature reflects this narrow scope, and there is limited literature on the joint use of health data for daily clinical use, clinical research, surveillance, and administrative purposes. The aim of this paper is to provide a framework for discussing the efficient use of health data with a specific focus on the role of PRO measures. PRO data may be used at an individual patient level to inform patient care or shared decision making and to tailor care to individual needs or group-level needs as a complement to health record data, such as that on mortality and readmission, in order to inform service delivery and measure the real-world effectiveness of treatment. PRO measures may be used either for their own sake, to provide valuable information from the patient perspective, or as a proxy for clinical data that would otherwise not be feasible to collect. We introduce a framework to analyze any health care activity that involves health data. The framework consists of four data processes (patient identification, data collection, data aggregation and data use), further structured into two dichotomous dimensions in each data process (level: group vs patient; timeframe: ad hoc vs systematic). This framework is used to analyze various health activities with respect to joint use of data, considering the technical, legal, organizational, and logistical challenges that characterize each data process. Finally, we propose a model for joint use of health data with data collected during follow-up as a base. Demands for health data will continue to increase, which will further add to the need for the concerted use and reuse of PRO data for parallel purposes. Repeated and uncoordinated PRO data collection for the same patient for different purposes results in misuse of resources for the patient and the health care system as well as reduced response rates owing to questionnaire fatigue. PRO data can be routinely collected both at the hospital (from inpatients as well as outpatients) and outside of hospital settings; in primary or social care settings; or in the patient’s home, provided the health informatics infrastructure is in place. In the future, clinical settings are likely to be a prominent source of PRO data; however, we are also likely to see increased remote collection of PRO data by patients in their own home (telePRO). Data collection for research and quality surveillance will have to adapt to this circumstance and adopt complementary data capture methods that take advantage of the utility of PRO data.
collected during daily clinical practice. The European Union’s regulation with respect to the protection of personal data—General Data Protection Regulation—imposes severe restrictions on the use of health data for parallel purposes, and steps should be taken to alleviate the consequences while still protecting personal data against misuse.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12412) doi:10.2196/12412
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Introduction

Health information is central to all types of activities in the health care system, all of which involve collecting, analyzing, or using health information [1]. Securing personal data against misuse is the background for several legal initiatives, for instance, the implementation of the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [2]. One key element of this regulation is the principle that personal data collected for one purpose may not be immediately transferred and used for other purposes. However, while misuse of personal data poses a severe ethical problem, so does waste and duplicate collection of the same data from the same patients due to legal, organizational, and technical dysfunction. In addition, from the patient’s perspective, duplicate collection of data may be unnecessarily burdensome and time consuming, and the possibilities and advantages of alternative uses of health data should therefore be considered. We have discussed the patient’s perspective of joint use in more detail elsewhere [3].

Health information may be generated as an integrated part of health care activities, such as biochemical variables or entries in hospitals’ electronic health record (EHR) system, or it can obtained directly from the patient. The latter is the case for patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures, which have been defined by the US Food and Drug Administration as measurements “of any aspect of a patient’s health that comes directly from the patient, without interpretation of the patient’s responses by a physician or anyone else” [4]. This definition emphasizes the standardization of PRO data as opposed to unstructured clinician-reported summaries of patient history contained in the notes in patients’ health records.

The evaluation of treatment outcomes for each individual patient is typically captured by a combination of biological data, physical examination, and communication with the patient. However, evaluations of treatment outcomes at a group level (defined geographically, administratively, epidemiologically, or at the facility level) often focus solely on mortality; readmission; and, if available, data such as medicine use and other use of health services. Although these outcomes are undeniably important, they may fail to fully capture treatment outcomes. PRO measures can be used to complement such data as a primary or an additional distal outcome, or even serve as a proxy for an unmeasured clinical variable when collection of the latter is not feasible [5].

Health informatics aims to respond to the increasing demands of systematic collection and processing of data to inform individual patient care, service improvements, and precision medicine. A lot of effort and resources are expended on collecting, processing, storing, and retrieving health information (both PRO measures and other clinical measurements) such as in hospitals’ EHR systems. In parallel, an increasing number of research projects and initiatives independently collect health information for their own specific objectives. Although health informatics, as a discipline, engages with stakeholders from a wide range of professional backgrounds, roles, and interests, it mostly does so with a focus on one specific single application (clinical practice, clinical research, administrative purposes, surveillance, or computer science), as evidenced in textbooks and the relevant literature [1]. As a consequence, there is limited literature on the joint use of health information for several purposes.

Technical, legal, organizational, and other types of obstacles to the availability of data for multiple purposes result in inefficient use of resources among patients and clinicians as well as in the health care system and society. Where there is no additional benefit from repeating a measurement, the same health information should be collected only once. A typical example would be laboratory tests, which may be performed by the family doctor before referral to the hospital, but which may be repeated, in many circumstances, unnecessarily, once the patient arrives at the hospital. Similarly, clinicians frequently struggle to retrieve measurements needed for maintaining quality registers even though they may already be recorded in the EHR system. Similarly, PRO measurements may be repeatedly and independently collected in parallel for different purposes, such as clinical management, quality surveillance, and research projects. This may not just mean a waste of resources and an unnecessary burden to patients, but may also have implications for data quality, as response fatigue may lead to reduced response rates.

To qualify the discussion of efficient use of health data, we need a common language usable for all stakeholders, which does not exist. The aim of this paper is to propose a framework for analysis of use and reuse of health information, with a specific focus on the role of PRO measures in order to initiate and facilitate a more precise discussion.

Definitions

There is no consensus on the method to define health information and health data. All definitions rely on the concepts of information (facts about a situation, person, event, etc [6]) and the organized property of data. Data have been defined accordingly in various ways such as (1) “any organized information collected by a researcher” [7], (2) “information or knowledge represented or coded in some form suitable for better usage or processing” [8], or (3) “information, especially facts and numbers, collected to be examined and considered and used to help decision making” [6]. The first definition focuses on the
collection process and excludes purposes other than research, while the second one relies on data structure only. The third one identifies three processes relevant to the health data: collection, examination, and use; it furthermore acknowledges that the nature of data is preserved even if they are only stored and not used, at least not immediately.

In this paper, we use the third definition and differentiate three data processes: data collection, data aggregation, and data use. As patients are the unit of observation for health data, we need to additionally consider a patient-identification process to define whose data will be collected. We will focus on persons who may have, or are under surveillance for, a health condition and use the term “patient” even though some may not have a medical diagnosis. A generic model for health data covering any patient-related health data activity is shown in Figure 1. Definitions are summarized inTextbox 1.

The patient-identification process corresponds to the definition of the patient or the group of patients that will be the ultimate source of data. The subsequent data collection process contains measurement methods for generating data for that patient or population of patients as well as logistic issues. In the data aggregation process, data are transferred, organized, and transformed to enable their subsequent use. Aggregation may include data logistic procedures like transmission, data reformatting, and data management procedures such as combining and merging with other data. The aggregation may be explicit during data management (eg, a specific data manager making the dataset ready for the researcher’s use) or implicit (eg, such as a clinical summary based on patient data in an emergency room). In the data use process, the aim for the actual health data activity is fulfilled (eg, publish the results or a clinical decision of a treatment plan). Any pair of consecutive data processes may be repeated and make take place simultaneously.

Two dimensions can be recognized across all four data processes: level and timeframe. Level may be either the individual patient or a defined patient group level (eg, patients admitted to a hospital department or patients with a specific health condition). Timeframe considers the scope of the health data activity and may be either ad hoc or part of a systematic planned process. Examples are provided below.

Based on these dimensions, $2 \times 2$ tables with four cells may be constructed for each of the four processes. Four basic health data activities may be defined, where the same cell is used in all the four data processes in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows such examples.

In most health data activities, different cells are applied in the four health data processes, and these patterns will be analyzed to highlight their properties and differences.

**Figure 1.** The four data processes in the lifespan of patient-related health data. Patient identification process: Identification of patient(s) from whom data are to be collected. Data collection process: The actual collection of health data including logistic procedures. Data aggregation process: Management and organization of collected data for the data use process. Data use process: Use of the health data for the purpose of the specified activity. Each process may be repeated or may take place simultaneously with the previous process. Further information is provided inTextbox 1.

**Textbox 1. Definitions of health data terms.**

**Health data:** Health information about individual patients

**Health data activity:** An activity with a health-related aim that uses or produces health data

**Health data processes:** Any health data activity includes four processes:

- Patient identification process: Identification of patient(s) from whom data are to be collected
- Data collection process: The collection of health data
- Data aggregation process: Transfer or organization of health data in a way that enables data use
- Data use process: Use of health data for the purpose of a specified health data activity

**Timeframe:** The timeframe of a health data process:

- Systematic: A planned or repeated health data process
- Ad hoc: A nonplanned process

**Level:** The level of a health data process:

- Patient level: The individual patient level
- Group level: A level with patients grouped according to some defined criteria
Figure 2. Examples of basic health data activities, where the same cell is used in all the four health data processes. I: The patient makes an appointment, and during the consultation, data are collected and aggregated to make a clinical decision and treatment plan (all four data processes ad hoc at the patient level). II: The target population is identified and data are collected, managed, analyzed, and published (all data processes ad hoc at a group level). III: An inpatient is discharged and referred for continuous planned outpatient follow-up and data are collected during follow-up, aggregated at each visit, and used at the visit (all data processes are systematic at the patient level) IV: Patient groups are identified repeatedly (eg, once a year) based on some criteria and data are collected, managed, and analyzed/reported (all data processes take place systematic at a group level).

### Basic Health Data Activities

Each data process of basic health data activities (Figure 2) is described below and displayed in Table 1. A description of the contents of each process is shown in the Multimedia Appendix 1.

#### The Single-Episode Clinical Contact Activity

The patient makes an appointment with the general practitioner. During the consultation, data are collected by medical history and physical examination. These data and a general view of the patient and his/her resources are aggregated by the general practitioner into a conclusion and used for a clinical decision. Another single-episode example is an emergency room visit. The timeframe for all the four data processes listed in Figure 1 is ad hoc, and all take place at the patient level. The content of the data collection process may include systematic methods such as standardized blood tests or use of a specific validated questionnaire, in which case, the timeframe is ad hoc.

### Table 1. Examples of basic and complex health data activities divided by level and timeframe. In basic health data activities, all four processes are in the same level/timeframe cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health data process</th>
<th>Patient identification</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Data aggregation</th>
<th>Data use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td>Sys&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td>Sys&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-episode clinical contact</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned patient follow-up</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical research (cross-sectional)</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality surveillance program</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### With basic data process patterns

- Single-episode clinical contact
- Planned patient follow-up
- Clinical research (cross-sectional)
- Quality surveillance program

#### With complex data process patterns

- Clinical research (cohort)
- Clinical guideline
- Individual prognosis forecast
- Screening program
- Disease surveillance
- Health care error surveillance
- Primary health care, traditional
- Primary health care, new trend

<sup>a</sup>Sys: Systematic or repeated data process.

<sup>b</sup>The most frequently applied data processes.
The Planned Outpatient Follow-Up Activity
Many patients with a chronic disease have systematic follow-ups in an outpatient clinic. The patients are referred to outpatient follow-up in a systematic manner based on written formal or local informal guidelines. The data needed for the outpatient consultation (eg, medical history, laboratory tests, PRO measures, and physical examination) are collected, aggregated, and used at the patient level in relation to each visit. The timeframe for all the four data processes is systematic and takes place at the patient level.

The Cross-Sectional Clinical Research Activity
In a cross-sectional study, the group of patients is defined once, the data are collected and analyzed once, and the results based on condensed data are published once. Another example is a registry-based study. The timeframe for all four data processes is ad hoc and takes place at a group level.

The Quality Surveillance Program Activity
The quality surveillance program is an ongoing activity, where at a defined timepoint, eg, once a year, data are sampled and subsequently analyzed with respect to differences between departments and hospitals. Reports are published and used for optimizing quality of care or to inform the patient’s choice of health care provider. The timeframe for all four data processes is systematic with predefined intervals and take place at a group level.

Complex Health Data Activities
Although the abovementioned activities apply data processes in the same cell in all four data processes, most health data activities combine different cells. Table 1 (lower part) shows examples of such activities. The list is not comprehensive but represents examples of the possible combinations of data process patterns.

The Longitudinal Clinical Research Activity
The patient-identification process—the recruitment (eg, for a clinical trial)—takes place once, or in the case of an open cohort study, systematically over a long inclusion period. Data are collected systematically over time according to a defined study protocol. Aggregation (data management and analysis) and use (publication) take place only once. All data processes are systematic and take place at a group level. As discussed later, these data can be used for a range of other purposes.

The Clinical Guideline Activity
Clinical guidelines are based on meta-analyses of clinical trials and longitudinal studies collected at a group level. Data are aggregated to inform the guidelines and are published and implemented once or at regular intervals. The use of clinical guidelines is, however, most often ad hoc at the individual patient level when clinical decisions about diagnostic procedures and treatment are made at the “bedside” together with that specific patient. In many countries, the traditional ad hoc use of guidelines is being replaced by quality programs or pay-per-performance systems with the purpose of implementing the guidelines for all relevant patients. This will move the data use process from ad hoc to systematic at the patient level.

The Individual Prognosis Activity
Like treatment guidelines, prognostic indicators rely on information collected at the group level. Prognostic forecasts are used at the individual patient level and use the experiences of cohorts of patients to provide information on individual prognosis. Prognostic information may also be used as decision support together with the patient, for example, to choose between two treatments. Two approaches that differ with respect to the aggregation process—model based or data based—may be distinguished. In the model-based approach, the data are aggregated once at the group level and published as, for example, an equation based on regression coefficients, while in the data-based approach, data are aggregated from the cohort data each time the prognosis is asked for, and the prognosis for a subgroup with characteristics similar to the patient is selected and displayed [9]. Traditionally, prognosis has been expressed in terms of clinical outcomes (survival, readmission etc), but PRO measures may be used to include outcomes such as symptom burden and functioning.

The Screening Program Activity
In a population screening program, citizens or patients to be invited are identified systematically based on risk factors such as age; gender; and at times, disease-specific risk factors. The data collection takes place at the group level, but the aggregation and use processes occur at the individual patient level, since each screening-positive citizen is referred and further diagnosed and treated individually.

The Disease Surveillance Activity
Registers for monitoring diseases have been known since the middle of the 19th century, when the first-known registry was established with the purpose of monitoring leprosy at the population level [10]. Relevant patients are preferably identified based on diagnosis codes in existing registers, but a number of disease registers still rely on reports from the individual clinician, as do etiological registers like worker’s compensation registers. Secondary collection of data (eg, histologic type of cancer or treatment) is organized systematically at the patient level.

The Health Care Error Surveillance Activity
Health care error is, by nature, an ad hoc event at the patient level. In surveillance, patients are identified and data are collected ad hoc at the patient level and aggregated to statistics and reports at the group level (eg, hospital, department, or physician). In case of a serious error, the data may also be used at the individual level as a basis for audit, compensation, or even legal action.

Activities in Primary Health Care
Traditionally, all four data processes in primary health care have been ad hoc at the patient level, except for systematic, group-level programs like vaccination, pregnancy, and maternal care as well as some mandatory reporting of summary statistics to medical authorities. However, in some countries, primary care activities go from ad hoc to systematically framed processes.
at the group level (eg, chronic care programs), where the general practitioner is expected to identify patients with certain profiles, and primary health care quality surveillance programs based on group-level aggregation of clinical data are also being implemented.

**Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in the Data Collection Process**

PRO-based health data are not essentially different from other sources of information with respect to the data processes of identification, aggregation, and use, but the data collection process has a number of features that are specific for PRO measures. First, without PRO measures, health data on symptoms and functioning are difficult to collect systematically and will be limited to observations and unsystematic clinician-reported subjective summaries of patient history, which frequently underestimate patient problems [11]. Second, PROs are often the only way to collect data from a patient at home (telePRO). A number of telehealth projects have tried to collect data from home with various hi-tech methods with impact limited to few specific diseases, whereas telePRO has shown robustness and been used in a range of chronic diseases [12]. In the following section, PRO-specific aspects of activities listed in Table 1 will be highlighted.

**Patient-Reported Outcome in Patient-Level Activities**

Paper-based patient questionnaires have been used in the clinical setting for decades to support the communication between the patient and physician. The PRO data are aggregated and used during the consultation as a tool to screen for a priori defined, critically important symptoms (red flags) and to prioritize issues based on the patient’s preferences. This use of PRO measures has increased with the introduction of Web-based questionnaires, patient kiosks in the waiting area, etc. The effects on the consultation processes have been reviewed elsewhere [13-15]. During patient follow-up, PRO data are collected in connection with each scheduled visit and used to support a longitudinal overview of symptoms and functions over time and to provide real-time warnings of deterioration aimed at facilitating a prompt response from the care team (Figure 3). If patients complete the PRO remotely online, usually at home (telePRO), this information may be used as the base for demand-driven outpatient follow-up without prebooked visits, where disease-relevant PRO questionnaires filled in at home at fixed intervals are aggregated by a disease-specific algorithm that semiautomatically decides whether there is a need or wish for an outpatient visit [12]. This may solve the paradox that outpatient clinics may be drowning in patients even though a substantial part of the visits turn out to be unnecessary from both the patient’s and clinician’s point of view [16-18]. In Denmark, this principle has been implemented in chronic and malignant diseases including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, epilepsy, sleep apnea, prostatic cancer, and chemotherapy for a number of malignant diseases [19]. A national implementation of the principle is underway in Denmark for selected diagnostic groups.

**Figure 3.** Longitudinal overview of patient-reported outcome and self-reported measurements in outpatient follow-up (translated from Danish) [13].
Patient-Reported Outcome in Group-Level Activities

The clinical research, quality surveillance, clinical guideline, and individual prognosis activities (Table 1) rely on similar data and will be discussed together. PRO data collection has been applied for decades in clinical research based on the belief that outcomes cannot be evaluated on the basis of clinical measures only. Ideally, most group-based activities need longitudinal data with a long follow-up period, often beyond the time span of outpatient follow-up. Due to the increasing use of PRO measures for clinical purposes, isolated collection becomes problematic because the patient is often reluctant to answer more than one questionnaire, especially when the relevance is not clear and questions across measures overlap, leading to repeated questions with similar content [20].

The demand for data by the health care system will undoubtedly increase in the future for all described activities, with the cross-sectional study as a possible exception. Most of the listed data-demanding activities focus on longitudinal data, and the following discussion will focus on this and the role of PRO measures in a longitudinal follow-up.

Multiple Use of Data Collected as Part of Clinical Follow-Up

Of the four data processes, the data collection process is the main challenge with respect to costs as well as logistics. To reduce costs and workload among patients and clinicians, it is essential to focus on joint efforts of data collection with subsequent use in other health data activities. To some extent, this is already happening (eg, clinical research based on clinical quality databases).

Table 2. Examples of joint use of health data based on reuse of data routinely collected during patient follow-up with alternative patient identification, complementary data collection, alternative aggregations, and uses of data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Patient identification</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Data aggregation</th>
<th>Data use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td>Sys</td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td>Sys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical practice</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality surveillance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>Comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical research</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>Comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual prognosis</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
<td>Reuse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSys: Systematic or repeated data process.
bAll check marks indicate unchanged activity-specific processes (see Table 1).
cBasis: The routine collected follow-up data are the base for alternative uses.
dReuse: Direct reuse of data collected in the cell above.
eComp: Complementary data collection.

The basic example of longitudinal activity is the patient follow-up, where information on the course of treatment, symptoms, and effect of the intervention is monitored, and, if necessary, treatment is adjusted. This activity is systematic at the patient level, and data are already stored for documentation purposes and may therefore potentially be reused in other activities. A schematic overview of principles in joint efforts where data collected from patient follow-up are used in other activities is shown in Table 2. For the activities listed in Table 1, data aggregation and data use are unchanged; only the processes in the alternative patient identification and data collection processes differ.

A model for joint use of health data based on data collected during patient follow-up with secondary identification of missing patients, observations, and variables for the alternative use is shown in Figure 4. The methods used for identification of missing patients, observations, and variables for the alternative use (Figure 4) depend on the timeframe of the alternative use. If the ad hoc method is used, data are exported to an external system where the completeness is analyzed with record linkage methods similar to those used in normal registry-based research, followed by additional ad hoc data collection. If the alternative use is to take place repeatedly in a systematic manner, this detection of missing data should preferably take place with online access to the environment in which the clinical data reside. In the Central Denmark Region, a central data warehouse has been established, which now contains clinical information on medication, diagnoses, and procedures, and more information is being collected [21]. These data are available for use in quality-improvement projects, but according to the GDPR, the use of data for research requires the patient to provide explicit permission, which reduces the possibility for joint use significantly.
Group-level ad hoc procedures are applied to identify missing patients, observations, and variables, with subsequent complementary data collection. In quality surveillance programs, identification takes place as a systematic group-level process, but data collection should rely mainly on data collected as part of normal clinical activity. In clinical research, the identification of patients to be included will be ad hoc, based on the specific research protocol, while data collection should rely on data collected as part of normal clinical activity as the primary source of data, supplemented with additional ad hoc data collection, when supplementary outcome assessment is needed. Individual prognosis will most often rely solely on data collection in research activities, but as the only other activity, data-based individual prognosis may entirely rely on data collected as part of clinical follow-up, given that the data are available for instantaneous on-the-fly aggregation and use [9].

**Patient-Reported Outcome Data Collection Supplemental to Data Collected During Follow-up**

Different health data activities may have different data demands with respect to timing and PRO content, which makes supplemental PRO data collection necessary. PRO collection in clinical practice does not cover the whole population of patients, since some patients may not attend follow-up and some patients may, for one reason or another, not complete a questionnaire. For clinical use, response rates over 90% are obtainable in telePRO [12,22]. For the traditional use of clinical PRO measures, typically collected in the waiting room area, PRO data are obtained only from patients who turn up at follow-up visits, and the response rate is dependent on local commitment, influenced by local population characteristics and configuration of the system (user interface, accessibility and compatibility) and integrated within the existing EHR, clinical pathways, and workflow.

Clinical data collection stops when the patient follow-up ends. For reuse in other activities, it may be necessary to apply supplemental data collection. It is possible to incorporate supplementary research items into PRO questionnaires used for clinical purposes as well as to extend the follow-up period longer than clinically relevant for data use in the activities of clinical research, quality surveillance, and individual prognosis. With respect to content, PRO questionnaires used for a clinical purpose may not be appropriate for other activities, but with respect to domains to be covered, the common set between the activities is often substantial. For example, the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer scales created for use at group level are often usable for telePRO [23] when supplemented with a few items, most importantly, the patient's preference for contact with the health care provider.

High response rates are crucial for PRO data collection in any health data activity, and PRO data collection in clinical practices often has higher response rates than PRO data collected for use at group level [22], where the response rate is dependent on local coordination and commitment [24]. Response rates are dependent on how relevant the data appear to the patient, and clinical use seems nearest to the patient. PRO data collected in clinical practice may therefore yield higher quality of data than traditional surveillance studies. A known problem when PRO data are collected at a group level is what to do with alarming answers, the so-called PRO “alerts” such as high depression scores or signs of suicidal ideation [25]. This is feasible to deal with in a clinical setting but is very difficult when collecting data only for group-level use. While supplemental data collection of clinical data may be troublesome and expensive due to several reasons such as extra follow-up visits, PRO data collection processes may be centralized and automated if the relevant infrastructure is available [22].

**Challenges in Reuse of Patient-Reported Outcome Data Collected During Follow-up**

In order to achieve the anticipated potential of joint use of PRO data, some critical challenges should be addressed. The psychometric requirements may vary depending on the specific use (eg, level of reliability and sensitivity to change), but other requirements for the data collection process, such as high response rate, low attrition rate, and high data completeness, remain essentially the same regardless of the activity and type of data. All activities must meet challenges in terms of data collection logistics and management, and the demands for data security are typically also identical. Supplemental data collection requires close cooperation between PRO activities with real-time access to data, which raises some issues. The challenges are divided into three types.

**Legal Challenges**

Legislation issues have a bearing on all four data processes; therefore, the legal framework has to be precisely specified before any data collection can begin. Activities with systematic...
data collection may typically benefit from permanent permission from national data protection agencies, while ad hoc projects must apply for permission for a specified period. The fundamental problem is that all approvals are only valid for the specific activity (eg, quality surveillance or clinical research), which means that data cannot be used for other activities. The implementation of the European Union’s regulation with respect to protection of personal data—GDPR [2]—will make it even more difficult to use data for other purposes without a specific consent from each patient. This will have a serious impact on joint use unless health data are given a differentiated treatment, such that the requirements for confidentiality can be maintained and individual approval can be collected in an efficient way (eg, through some form of umbrella approval process). For group-level use, analyses of personal data may be performed on a remote server where the researcher may upload a dataset and merge it with personal data using a unique personal identifier. The researcher has access to only aggregated data such as tables and outputs from statistical analyses [26]. Such a method of accessing personal data is available in Denmark and the Netherlands, but for now, few health data such as those on diagnoses and procedures are available for merging.

**Technical Challenges**

The principle of supplemental identification and data collection described above presupposes real-time access to relevant patient databases in the patient-identification process and in most cases, in the data collection process. Apart from that, there are substantial technical issues related to the aggregation process. Data may be collected and stored, but not available for the relevant alternative aggregation. A typical example is the quality surveillance activity, where the needed data may already exist in the patient’s EHR, but an automated process of extracting and transporting data is not possible due to inadequate and incompatible information technology systems or a lack of relevant expertise. PRO data may already be collected but stored in a different system or format. A possible solution to the latter is proposed by the international Health Level Seven standards for transfer of clinical and administrative data between software apps used by various health care providers [27]. A special Health Level Seven section for PRO measures has recently been adopted.

**Challenges Related to Content and Timing of Data Collection**

The need for valid, reliable, and responsive measurement scales is common for data for any health data activity. For PRO data collected for making individual clinical decisions, measurement error is of particular importance. Although scales that have acceptable psychometric properties at the patient level will normally also perform well at a group level, the opposite is not true and the desirable content and length of a PRO questionnaire are likely to differ between group-level and patient-level activities. In routine patient follow-up visits, short instruments are often preferred and procedures that the clinician finds irrelevant for the actual patient may not be collected as prescribed (eg, a comprehensive time-consuming test of performance in a patient who has clinically completely recovered or a depression score in a patient who is clinically obviously not depressed). A possible solution to these contradictory interests may be application of item banks and computer-adaptive testing, which can achieve high reliability with the lowest-possible administration burden [28]. Timing of data collection poses another challenge for joint use, and the optimal timing of data collection may differ between activities. Quality surveillance and clinical research may prefer that data collection follow a fixed scheduled in compliance with a protocol, while outpatient clinical practice is focused on the practical arrangement of follow-up, and visits often have to be postponed for various reasons. Although from a clinician’s perspective, it might be acceptable that patients who are doing well cancel their appointments, this may result in devastating selective attrition in group-level activities. From a resource point of view and the patient’s perspective, a patient who does not need or want clinical attention should not go to follow-up visits just to deliver data for other purposes. A rational approach for addressing these problems with missing data for the alternative activity could be a supplemental real-time identification of patients with missing data combined with collection of PRO data on proxy variables.

**Conclusions**

We have introduced a model for health data with four data processes, each dividable with respect to timeframe and group level, which distinguishes properties relevant to the discussion of joint use across different purposes and supports consideration of the associated organizational and technological challenges. Based on this, we propose a model for joint use of health data, with data collected during follow-up as the backbone. In the future, clinical settings will be a prominent source of PRO data and data collection for research and quality surveillance will have to adapt to this circumstance and design ways of complementary data collection as and when necessary. Demands for health data will continue to increase, which will further add to the need for the concerted use and reuse of PRO data for parallel purposes due to financial, logistical, and ethical reasons. A number of legal, technical, and organization challenges must be addressed.

The risk of patients’ information being accessed and used by people for whom it was not initially intended is real. For example, the use of health data by private insurance companies might restrict access to health coverage for vulnerable patients and those with a precondition. Additionally, access to private medical information by law enforcement agencies could be a risk for individuals and society. However, the current legal restriction on the joint use of health data imposed by the GDPR makes no distinction between these misuses and the uses described in this paper. Steps should be taken to alleviate the current legal restriction on the joint use of health data imposed by the GDPR while still protecting patient data against misuse.
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Data Challenges With Real-Time Safety Event Detection And Clinical Decision Support

Abstract

Background: The continued digitization and maturation of health care information technology has made access to real-time data easier and feasible for more health care organizations. With this increased availability, the promise of using data to algorithmically detect health care–related events in real-time has become more of a reality. However, as more researchers and clinicians utilize real-time data delivery capabilities, it has become apparent that simply gaining access to the data is not a panacea, and some unique data challenges have emerged to the forefront in the process.

Objective: The aim of this viewpoint was to highlight some of the challenges that are germane to real-time processing of health care system–generated data and the accurate interpretation of the results.

Methods: Distinct challenges related to the use and processing of real-time data for safety event detection were compiled and reported by several informatics and clinical experts at a quaternary pediatric academic institution. The challenges were collated from the experiences of the researchers implementing real-time event detection on more than half a dozen distinct projects. The challenges have been presented in a challenge category-specific challenge-example format.

Results: In total, 8 major types of challenge categories were reported, with 13 specific challenges and 9 specific examples detailed to provide a context for the challenges. The examples reported are anchored to a specific project using medication order, medication administration record, and smart infusion pump data to detect discrepancies and errors between the 3 datasets.

Conclusions: The use of real-time data to drive safety event detection and clinical decision support is extremely powerful, but it presents its own set of challenges that include data quality and technical complexity. These challenges must be recognized and accommodated for if the full promise of accurate, real-time safety event clinical decision support is to be realized.
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decision support systems, clinical; clinical decision support; real-time systems; electronic medical records; electronic health records; medical records systems, computerized; informatics; data science; information science; patient safety
**Introduction**

**Background**

All of us as informaticians and, more broadly, users of data realize the common challenges and pitfalls of our substrate. The classic “garbage in, garbage out” and “90% of the time spent working with data is cleaning it” sayings represent some of the most popular conventional wisdom in informatics. Although many of the issues that have popularized these mantras are universal, the context within which the data are created and the context within which the data are manipulated and used often shuffle the focus on particular data challenges and introduce new ones. Challenges in the secondary use of electronic health record (EHR) data or claims data in research are well described [1-5]. This is also the case when considering the use of health data to detect events, particularly when trying to identify safety concerns and errors in a timely fashion.

The continued digitization and maturation of health care information technology has made access to real-time data easier and feasible for more health care organizations. Many EHR vendors have provided some amount of application programming interfaces and other means for real-time access to the data generated by their products, using standards and technologies such as Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership and Fast Health Interoperability Resources [6-9].

The push from many stakeholders for interoperability between EHRs has also helped in this regard. With this increased availability, the promise of using data to algorithmically detect health care–related events in real-time has become more of a reality [10-13]. However, as more researchers and clinicians have utilized real-time data delivery capabilities, it has become apparent that simply gaining access to the data is not a panacea, and some unique data challenges have emerged to the forefront in the process.

**Objective**

This brief report serves to highlight some of the challenges that are germane to real-time processing of health care system–generated data and the interpretation of the results. The list generated is by no means exhaustive but does represent some of the most common challenges (and solutions) we have encountered in our experience of designing, implementing, and evaluating numerous real-time event detection systems [14-25]. These challenges were cataloged during the project, highlighted in this report, and augmented with additional challenges from the experiences of the authors in their many other lines of research. Challenges were collected and sorted initially by the first author (EK) and then modified and edited by the other contributing authors. Similar challenges were sorted into high-level challenge categories, and examples from each specific challenge were described.

In this report, we will begin by covering some data issues that are clearly not limited to real-time use and progress to discussing challenges that are more unique to the real-time data use cases. We will offer specific examples of each type and subtype of challenges by referencing a current safety event and error detection project involving the use and joining of data from an EHR and smart infusion pumps and using that data to detect discrepancies between order, administration documentation, and smart pump infusion information. We will (1) briefly summarize the challenge category, (2) describe a specific challenge, and follow this by (3) sharing a specific example of the challenge and, where possible, some mitigation strategies. First, we will give the reader a very brief project overview to set the foundation for the specific examples that follow and to provide a context for the reader to ground in the challenges and examples.

**Project Overview: Fusing Electronic Health Record–Based Medication Ordering, Medication Administration Record, and Smart Infusion Pump Data to Detect Potential Errors**

Our research team has demonstrated that EHR data can be used to retrospectively detect discrepancies between how high-risk, continuously infused medications are ordered and how they were documented as being given in the medication administration record (MAR) in the EHR [20-22]. These discrepancies often represent errors, either clinically relevant errors (medication is being given at a rate not intended by the prescriber) or documentation errors (documentation in the MAR is not the dose actually being given). This line of research has evolved and matured to be capable of detecting these discrepancies prospectively, within minutes of the data being available in the EHR. In addition, the retrospective algorithms have been modified to incorporate data from smart infusion pumps, which gives us the capability of discriminating between clinical errors and documentation errors (the pump data are the source of truth for what the patient is receiving). The approach relies on chronologically aligning all of the data elements by their respective timestamps. Although simple in concept, in reality this exercise is more difficult than one would anticipate for many reasons. We now describe some of the more salient challenges encountered along this particular line of work; however, they have also been encountered in many other projects relying on real-time and device-related data.

**Results**

**Data Challenge Categories, Specific Challenges, and Examples**

This report is organized into data challenge categories, specific challenges, and examples. In total, 8 major types of challenge categories are reported, with 13 specific challenges and 9 specific examples detailed to provide a context for the challenges (Table 1).
Table 1. Major challenge types, specific challenges, and specific examples related to use of real-time data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge category</th>
<th>Specific challenge</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selecting the correct data elements</td>
<td>Selecting the right action state and timestamp</td>
<td>Selecting the right action state in medication order data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Other timestamp-related considerations | Delayed documentation—verbal orders | —
| | Timestamp conversion and formatting | — |
| | Visualizing time series data to understand temporal patterns | Raw time data table versus data visualization |
| Metadata attributes | Misleading (meta)data labels | Shown to user data column label |
| Workflow imprints | Issues that affect performance and capabilities of algorithms | Patient deterioration in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit necessitates verbal orders |
| | Delayed action on active orders | — |
| | Priming pumps: Speeding up infusion pump rates to prime may look like an error, but has no clinical consequence | — |
| Unstructured data entry | Complexity of human language | Free text dosing of Total Parenteral Nutrition |
| | Heterogeneity of human language | — |
| Fusing datasets and the role of device integration | Merging datasets from multiple sources requires valid linking identifiers | The nonintegration of smart pumps with electronic health records |
| | Clinical decision support blind spots | Use of smart infusion pump drug libraries |
| Retrospective versus prospective data or detection | Retrospective data and real-time data are processed and accessed differently | The order audit modification issue |
| Technical versus clinical validity | — | — |

*Not applicable.*

**Challenge Category: Selecting the Correct Data Element—Multiple Action States and Timestamps Related to Similar Concepts**

Modern EHRs contain very elaborate underlying data models, with simple clinical or technical concepts represented in very complex and granular ways. For example, the EHRs that support large health systems with tertiary or quaternary hospitals may have 50 to 100 different data elements that record systolic blood pressure measurements, each with a slightly different context related to workflows. Consequently, related data elements may be scattered across database tables, and despite data dictionaries suggesting relevance, specific data fields may not be populated as expected. Data dictionaries are often incomplete or suboptimal for other reasons, leaving a data consumer to rely on metadata labels and manual inspection of the data to guide accurate selection. Cross reference of the values and patterns between the operational system–derived data (ie, *front-end* views) and the data transformed into reporting databases (usually in a relational database, *back-end* views) is a common approach to guide the stakeholder, but large datasets or closely related data elements can make distinctions challenging and labor-intensive. Multiple locations for similar data may be convenient to both designers and users of the system, but this lack of parsimony can also lead to data completeness issues (reports only pulling data from one source when it should be from both sources) and conflicting values in the EHR (users enter data in multiple locations, and the data conflicts with each other).

**Challenge: Selecting the Right Action State and Timestamp**

Almost all EHR and medical device data are accompanied by one or more timestamps, pieces of data that track the date and time of a particular action or event occurred and were recorded. Although the timestamp denoting that documentation of an event is recorded (*documentation* or *file time*) may be automatically captured, clinicians may also record the time in which events actually occurred or were documented to have occurred (*action time*). Subsequent documentation may amend the originally documented action time, but each amending action would also generate a corresponding timestamp representing when the amendment was recorded (*amending time*). In addition, many data representations of clinical concepts or actions have multiple action states. Selecting the correct action state (including or excluding action states) and the right timestamp field can be difficult. For action states, it is not uncommon to have many closely related or overlapping enumerated list items that can make this selection complicated. For each of these action states that are recorded, there may be several timestamp fields to choose from. Selecting the wrong action state or timestamp can lead to misleading inferences from the data. Mitigation strategies for this challenge type include careful evaluation of all possibly relevant data fields related to the data request, reviews of existing data dictionaries, and careful analysis and validation of the output from data discovery.
activities. This analysis and validation should be from both the technical analyst and the clinical subject matter expert.

**Example: Selecting the Right Action State in Medication Order Data**

Poor communication between data requestors and data provisioners may lead to confusion between selecting and including order data (how things were ordered) versus MAR documentation data (how orders were acted or not acted upon) for use in reports or algorithms. In real clinical practice, not all medication orders are carried out for a variety of reasons and one should not assume that a medication order means that a patient actually received the medication. An action state of *Given or Not Given* in the MAR is more indicative of the clinical actions that actually took place. Smart infusion pumps generally log each action that a pump undergoes, whether it is an automated procedure or the result of user programming. Smart pumps may support dozens or hundreds of action states, with the most frequent states logged including *infusion started*, *infusion stopped*, and *infusion restarted*. If an analyst or researcher is attempting to count the number of times an infusion moved from an inactive (not infusing) to an actively infusing state and only counts the *infusion started* state, they will underestimate the count by missing the *infusion restarted* state (assuming the restarted state is not accompanied by logging an *infusion started* action simultaneously). This can obviously affect denominators in rate metrics. In one project centered around risk-stratifying and detecting acute kidney injury (AKI), early iterations of an algorithm based on medication profiles overestimated the population at risk for AKI because we incorporated medication orders and not medication administration data. Not all orders are acted upon and administered to patients. The accuracy of the algorithms improved with modification.

**Example: Selecting the Right Timestamp**

MAR documentation typically records a medication action state and several timestamps pertaining to the action state. The two most common are an action time and a documentation or file time. In many workflow instances, the time between the two may be trivial. In busy workflows or high clinical throughput scenarios, documentation of the action state may occur much later (eg, *back-documentation* by a nurse) and the interval between the 2 timestamps could be substantially large. The main challenges when utilizing timestamps in real-time applications are twofold: (1) interchanging the 2 values can affect time interval measurements by causing under- or overestimation inaccuracies and (2) back-documentation has the potential to undermine the power of real-time detection by delaying the availability of data. In the Medication Safety project described above, the delaying of documentation of MAR actions delays the ability of our algorithms to detect discrepancies between orders and actual administration, thereby limiting the ability to identify errors in real-time. In effect, a blind spot is created by the lack of timely documentation. Allowing for an appropriate time window to accommodate back-documentation is challenging because too wide a window enlarges the blind spot of vulnerability for prolonged time periods. However, establishing too narrow a window may increase false positive real-time error rates caused by delayed documentation.

Understanding workflows is critical in fine-tuning the optimal tolerance for delayed documentation, which may vary from one unit or institution to another.

**Challenge Category: Other Timestamp-Related Considerations**

Timestamp data are central to the concepts discussed in this report, especially when merging and synchronizing disparate datasets. Even data representing a universal concept, such as time, can take on many forms that make processing it appropriately and making accurate inferences a challenge and is an exercise that is prone to error and limitations. The following are the specific challenges and examples related to timestamp data.

**Challenge: Delayed Documentation—Verbal Orders**

Verbal orders, by definition, are orders that are not documented in the EHR or other associated systems. Safety goals and regulatory mandates state that verbal orders be kept at a minimum, and in most cases, the expectation is that verbal orders be documented electronically at some point after they are clinically acted upon. This lag in time between the time they are carried out clinically and the time that they are documented electronically (if at all) undermines the capabilities of algorithms that depend on timely data streams to be functional. Technical issues that lead to delayed delivery of data can have the same effect. In many cases, this may lead to a false positive event detection, lowering the performance characteristics of an algorithm and leading to decreased confidence and buy-in from the recipients of the algorithm output. Mitigation strategies include allowing for a reasonable time window for verbal orders to appear. In our project, we allow 30 min for the new documentation of a verbal order to appear in the EHR and data feeds. Data from this allowance would then negate, or *call off*, the event detection and notification.

**Challenge: Timestamp Conversion and Formatting**

Timestamp data can be represented in many different formats. A thorough discussion of timestamps and their many challenges is out of scope for this report, but Figure 1 demonstrates a couple of different formats in which dates and times can be represented. Granularity and specificity of the timestamp is also an issue, as shown in Figure 2 [26]. Converting to an unexpected format during the extract-transfer-load (ETL) process (eg, converting YYYY-MM-dd HH:mm:ss to YYYY-MM-dd only) would cause unexpected errors in system outputs and subsequently pose a challenge to algorithm debugging. Other complicating factors include accounting for Daylight Saving Time adjustments, the selection of an epoch to count the duration of time (Unix-based systems use the time elapsed since 00:00:00 Coordinated Universal Time [UTC], Thursday, January 1, 1970), and the conversion of one time format to another (eg, from Eastern Standard Time to UTC). In particular, simple mistakes with timestamp entering and formatting, especially when fusing data from manually input sources, can cause pairing errors and have important negative effects in system processing. ISO 8601, drafted by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), is intended to be the international standard for representation of dates and times but not all systems adhere to this representation [27].
Challenge: Visualizing Time Series Data to Understand Temporal Patterns

Working with raw data or simple nonvisual outputs of the data (such as in the tabular format) may be the simplest way to review time-based data, but it does not lend itself to appreciating chronologic events and judgements about the interval between 2 data points easily (eg, intervals such as elapsed time). This is usually most easily accomplished by a visualization, such as a timeline or other graphic representations, where one axis is linear time. Without some representation of the interval magnitude, reviewers will need to exert more cognitive effort to appreciate these measures. To mitigate this issue, we recommend the use of robust visualization analytics tools to appreciate these patterns. The following simple example demonstrates the utility of even rudimentary tools.

Example: Raw Time Data Table Versus Data Visualization

A comparison below demonstrates the 2 different data representation methods for the same dataset. In this example, data from several data element categories are represented in a tabular format and time series format.

As shown in Figures 3 and 4, it is much more efficient to interpret time-series data in a visual format, which allows one to quickly understand the magnitude of events represented by the data, such as the time between events or overall relationship of several events. It also allows easier representation of a high number of events or occurrences by creating overlays for each event type of interest.
Challenge Category: Metadata Attributes

Challenge: Misleading (Meta)data Labels

EHR systems that generate data often do so for a primary purpose that is not research related and/or not with a priority on using precise, accurate, and nonoverloaded data labels. Written another way, clinical information systems are not usually designed and implemented with secondary uses of data as a priority. Data consumers are often left to guess about how the data are generated, based on the metadata information in data dictionaries (if available), database structures, attribute titles/description, and patterns within the data values. Imprecise or inaccurate metadata labels can lead to incorrect assumptions and interpretations. Resolution of this lack of (or missing)
metadata requires both the technical analyst and clinical subject matter expert to work collaboratively to understand the data. In some cases, our teams have had to run simulations in sandbox environments of proprietary software and examine output to understand how the data are being generated by the system.

**Example: Shown to User Data Column Label**

Ambiguous metadata labels can be misleading. A binary data field (such as Boolean values) with a field name of `Shown_to_User` in a clinical decision support (CDS) database may lead a data consumer to guess that the field represents whether or not users of the system were exposed to CDS, for example, whether or not a user saw an alert. Data inspected after some reverse-engineering exercises involving simulation in a nonproduction environment, however, reveals that the data field represents a configuration setting (ie, whether the alert should be shown to user). This was discovered after running simulations in which the users conjure the alert in a scenario, but the `Shown_to_User` data for the corresponding records did not convert from a `FALSE` value to `TRUE`. Closer inspection reveals that the default setting for the alert is to not be shown to the user (`Shown_to_User` is `FALSE`) and that the data field is static (after viewing CDS, the data remain set to `FALSE` instead of converting to a `TRUE` value). Previous analyses using the `Shown_to_User` data have potentially been inaccurate, and any inferences based on this false assumption can be latently wrong and hard to detect.

**Challenge Category: Workflow Imprints—Issues That Affect Performance and Capabilities of Algorithms**

Appreciation of workflows is paramount to any clinical studies, and the same can be said for clinical informatics research with data generated by operations-supporting software and hardware. Unique clinical workflow idiosyncrasies can strongly affect the performance of real-time CDS algorithms and applications. These workflows can manifest in obvious and subtle ways in the data. The former is easy to detect but may or may not be easy to adjust for. The subtler cases, much like the metadata example above, can be harder to notice and accommodate for. As workflow is a universal and heterogenous concern, we will present several challenges and examples below. To minimize this challenge, data stakeholders must understand both the clinical workflows that generate the data and the technical systems that manipulate and store the data.

**Example: Patient Deterioration in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Necessitates Verbal Orders**

In the intensive care unit (ICU) environment, the clinical condition of patients can change quickly and abruptly. As such, medications are frequently ordered and administered in patterns that do not match the usual pattern of `order-then-administration`. For instance, in the case of a rapidly declining patient who needs more medication for blood pressure support (eg, an ionotrope), a physician or other prescriber may ask the bedside nurse to increase the dose of an already infusing medication before placing an electronic order for the rate in the EHR. This may happen because the prescriber is tending to other bedside needs in a critically ill patient and does not want to step away to place or document the order. The nurse may therefore document the MAR before the order which, in our algorithms, may be considered an erroneous dose as no electronic record of the order exists yet. To accommodate this challenge, we allow for a 30-min lag after the MAR is documented before calling the administration an error. This 30-min window is *waiting* for a verbal order to be documented (within a reasonable time frame) before triggering a notification that a potential error has occurred. This creates a trade-off between the *real-timeliness* of the algorithms and the desire to decrease false positive error calls and notifications.

**Challenge: Delayed Action on Active Orders**

Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) is an intravenous nutrition alternative given to patients who cannot tolerate normal feeding, orally or through feeding tubes. It is a complex compounded liquid that takes time to prepare. TPN orders are often placed early in the day, with the knowledge that the new solution will not be administered until afternoon or evening. The rates on the orders, however, may be active at the time the order is placed and be changed over the day. Algorithms that use TPN data must take this factor into account and allow for a delayed action on the otherwise (technically) active order.

**Challenge: Priming Pumps—Speeding Up Infusion Pump Rates to Prime May Look Like an Error But Has No Clinical Consequence**

Before administering intravenous medications via an infusion pump, bedside staff must often *prime* the pump equipment by filling the lines/tubing with the medication substance to remove air and provide consistent delivery of the drug. The need to do so efficiently often leads to adjusting pump rates to high levels to accomplish priming quickly. This may manifest in the data as apparent erroneously high rates of infusion if there is no tagging of the priming action or other record in the data. Bolusing a medication (an intentional brief high rate of delivery of medication to the patient) over an already continuously infusing rate can also mislead data consumers to falsely believe an error has occurred. Inquiries to clinicians about their workflows in relation to the data patterns observed will often explain the digital manifestations and require refinements to real-time systems to account for these anomalies.

**Challenge Category: Unstructured Data Entry**

Approximately 30% to 50% of data entries useful for quality improvement are available only in an unstructured text format in modern EHRs [28]. The importance of this information has gained increasing recognition for quality improvement and patient safety. In the medication safety project described above, our clinicians often use both structured computerized provider order entries and free-text communication orders to prescribe allowable dose adjustments and ranges. As a best practice across the institution, the clinicians are encouraged to make dose adjustment via structured entries. However, the continuous infusion medications usually include instructions for frequent and complex dose changes related to the physiologic state of the patient. In this regard, the existence of free-text orders are artifacts that reflect the dynamic and changing clinical status of typical critical care patients. To identify information embedded in unstructured narratives, natural language processing (NLP) has become a critical component of computerized clinical support. Nevertheless, the complexity
and heterogeneity of the human language makes its application and dissemination a challenging task. Conversion of unstructured data to structured data, when possible and favorable, minimizes this challenge.

**Challenge: Complexity of Human Language**
Different from structured entries that are enumerable, the complexity of human language creates an infinite space with countless linguistic variants. Accounting for the variants with NLP is therefore an onerous task.

**Example: Free Text Dosing of Total Parenteral Nutrition**
For instance, a physician could specify a dose adjustment explicitly as, “Please decrease TPN to 10 mL/hr”. They could also specify the dose adjustment implicitly as, “Please decrease TPN rate so that TPN rate + feeding rate=12 mL/hr”. In addition, modifiers are commonly used to adjust one’s meaning. For example, the physician could specify, “When the new bag arrives, please decrease the rate to 10 mL/hr”, suggesting that the rate is prescribed for when the next medication supply bag is delivered to the bedside. To accommodate language complexity, researchers tend to use flexible language constraints (eg, loose regular expressions) to parse narrative content. To address this challenge, we have evolve dynamically modified our algorithms as we have identified false negatives and false positives and have found that necessary changes have decreased in frequency over time. Nevertheless, edge cases are identified in an ad hoc manner and require manual inspection constantly.

**Challenge: Heterogeneity of Human Language**
Although it is rarely noticed in single-institution applications, heterogeneity of the human language has been recognized as a major barrier to the dissemination of NLP-integrated CDS tools across health care institutions. For instance, the free-text narratives from a pediatric health care institution would primarily describe signs, symptoms, procedures, and medications for pediatric patients, whereas the narratives from a general hospital would primarily describe those for adult patients. The language heterogeneity therefore greatly affects the performance of CDS tools delivered from one institution to another. Customization is necessary for using NLP tools developed from external institutions and it requires both domain expertise and intensive resources.

**Challenge Category: Fusing Datasets and the Role of Device Integration**

**Challenge: Merging Datasets From Multiple Sources Requires Valid Linking Identifiers**
Many medical devices currently in use in health care facilities are not fully integrated in a closed-loop manner with EHRs and other systems, meaning that they are often not fully interoperable.

**Example: The Nonintegration of Smart Pumps With Electronic Health Records**
Infusion pump programming errors may result in a combination of missing patient and/or drug identifiers. For example, a patient’s medical record number (MRN) or encounter ID may be mis-entered or bypassed altogether. Similarly, a basic infusion rate may be selected without specifying the medication being administered. In the event of missing or incorrect patient or medication identifiers, linking smart pump generated data with order logs or MARs, particularly in real-time, becomes vastly more complicated. This is particularly true when the administered drug is commonly used and may have been ordered for several patients concurrently, which makes inference by the time of administration difficult. In our previous evaluation of NICU smart infusion pumps, we found in a convenience sample that although 89% of pump records included the medication ID, 76% contained a valid patient ID and only 68% contained both valid patient and medication IDs. Fortunately, only 3% were missing both identifiers (Table 2). Both data elements must be valid to accurately and efficiently link EHRs and infusion pump data.

**Challenge: Clinical Decision Support Blind Spots**
Almost all forms of CDS depends on some data elements to be present to drive the rules engines underlying the CDS platform. Lack of that data leads to unavailability of CDS and lost opportunities to inform and optimize treatment decision making.

**Example: Use of Smart Infusion Pump Drug Libraries**
Reviewing the smart infusion pump-related literature reveals that one of the largest purported benefits of using smart pumps is to perform medication dose and administration checking at the time of pump programming by a bedside user. Smart pumps use drug libraries with various rules around allowable doses and rates of administration. However, use of the libraries requires, at a minimum, knowledge about what drug is about to be infused so it knows what drug library rules to enforce. A frequent workaround to programming this information into the pump is to use a generic drug profile, which (1) does not label the data with any kind of clear medication identifier and (2) effectively renders the potential CDS unusable, as the rules cannot be invoked. This leads to a CDS blind spot.

**Challenge Category: Retrospective Versus Prospective Data/Detection**

**Challenge: Retrospective Data and Real-Time Data are Processed and Accessed Differently**
There are fundamental differences in how one accesses and processes data depending on, among other factors, whether it is coming from a data repository such as a relational database or from live data feeds from the operational source that is generating the data (such as via a real-time data interface). Retrospective data sources have frequently been subject to many ETL operations, any of which can and usually do alter the data in some fashion. These alterations are not always clear, and byproducts can arise in the data which are hard to detect and account for. ETL operations are also opportunities for errors to arise, many of which can be silent and lead to issues such as incomplete data extractions and incomplete datasets.
Example: The Order Audit Modification Issue
A pattern of medication data was uncovered during retrospective data review that demonstrated the perils of taking data at face value. When an order was modified (recorded as an audit), the new value overwrote the original value. However, the data user viewing the data as an individual record would not notice this pattern and assume the value presented was the original value. The data quality issue would also not be noticed when analyzing the data in aggregate (such as performing descriptive statistics on doses ordered using the data). Real-time data interfaces would pull the data in real-time and send it for processing, which would mitigate the concern of using erroneous data. Review of these data obtained via retrospective reports (pulled from a data repository) would have different values from data obtained in a real-time, prospective manner but the difference would be extremely difficult to identify under normal inspection procedures. Only with rigorous examination would the issue be uncovered. We have applied postprocessing patches to our datasets to accommodate for ETL-related idiosyncrasies that we have noted. These patches revert the data to a state more reflective of reality.

Challenge Category: Technical Versus Clinical Validity
A primary purpose of real-time error detection should be to mitigate patient’s exposure to harm. Detected errors which do not support that purpose should not necessarily be reported in real-time owing to their limited utility. For example, an error may be predictably detected based on improper documentation in which the correct dose was administered but the clinicians did not follow standards of practice in timely documentation of medication use (eg, placing an electronic order after a prolonged period of time following the corresponding verbal order). Although the detection algorithm performed as expected based on documentation, these errors have no potential to cause harm and are not clinically consequential. Similarly, overly sensitive algorithms may detect dosing administration errors that are technically incompatible with medication orders—though the dosing discrepancy is clinically meaningless and presents minimal risk of causing harm. An example would be a dosing discrepancy error where the dose given exceeds the prescribed dose by 1% or 2%. For almost all medications, this overdose is not clinically significant. To avoid inundating clinicians with unactionable alerts, some tolerance should be included in error detection algorithms to accommodate true errors that have little or no potential for clinical impact. A final example of an uninformative though technically accurate alert occurs when repeat errors are detected for the same underlying, persistent but inconsequential event.

Discussion
Practical Implications
In this paper, we have compiled many challenges unique to using real-time data. Most of the enumerated challenges and examples in this paper will be familiar to many data stakeholders, from requestors to the technical analysts who work diligently to provision complete, accurate datasets. The challenges were presented in both high-level overviews and grounded in specific examples, but the principles behind them are generalizable to almost any kind of data-based work. The different challenges should be seen as equally important as a standalone list, but some will be more important to monitor and address than others, depending on the use case and types of data involved. Various mitigation strategies were presented here, but the commonality among most of them is that to be effective, they must generally be built on a foundation of multi-disciplinary teamwork. These teams need to be collaborative in nature and be composed of members with strong data science knowledge, biomedical informatics skills, and familiarity with the clinical processes and workflows that are at the heart of the project in progress. As with any challenging process, these efforts take time and resources; this should be factored into any timelines and planning as appropriate. We typically recommend adding a time buffer to accommodate this in the initial stages of a project, as well as allocation of some resources for maintenance once the project is in a sustain phase. The systems that create and pass along the data are in constant flux—so too should be the vigilance of the downstream systems utilizing the data.

It should be noted that our list here should be seen as a starting point—certainly others in the field could easily add many other types of categories and examples from many different domains of the digital health domain and beyond. This report was meant to start the conversation and bring recognition to the fact that working with real-time data is not as easy to do practically as it is conceptually. This represents the first crucial step. As with an early work, there are many ways we can envision advancing our knowledge in this area. Furthermore, research in these unique data challenges could focus on formalizing the list further and specifying the importance of each in different contexts. It would be particularly helpful if future investigators could continue to report on best practices and strategies of how to address specific challenges, as well as develop a systematic framework to assist in avoiding the issues in the first place or mitigating them once they have occurred.

Data are a powerful tool—but we must recognize and promote best practices if we are to get the most value out of data and not derive false insight from our work.

Table 2. Distribution of smart infusion pump data records with valid medication and patient IDs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication ID</th>
<th>Patient ID, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>5440 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>640 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13047/
Conclusions

The use of real-time data to drive safety event detection and clinical decision support is extremely powerful but presents its own set of challenges including data quality and technical complexity. These challenges must be recognized and accommodated for if the full promise of accurate, real-time safety event CDS is to be realized.
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Abstract

Advances in information technology are changing public health at an unprecedented rate. Participatory surveillance systems are contributing to public health by actively engaging digital (eg, Web-based) communities of volunteer citizens to report symptoms and other pertinent information on public health threats and also by empowering individuals to promptly respond to them. However, this digital model raises ethical issues on top of those inherent in traditional forms of public health surveillance. Research ethics are undergoing significant changes in the digital era where not only participants’ physical and psychological well-being but also the protection of their sensitive data have to be considered. In this paper, the digital platform of Influenzanet is used as a case study to illustrate those ethical challenges posed to participatory surveillance systems using digital platforms and mobile apps. These ethical challenges include the implementation of electronic consent, the protection of participants’ privacy, the promotion of justice, and the need for interdisciplinary capacity building of research ethics committees. On the basis of our analysis, we propose a framework to regulate and strengthen ethical approaches in the field of digital public health surveillance.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12273) doi:10.2196/12273
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Introduction

Advances in information technology are changing medical research [1] and public health at an unprecedented rate [2]. One of the most evident changes is that it has become easy for members of the general public to contribute to public health surveillance, practice, and policy [2] by sharing personal and health-related information through digital media. The pervasiveness of technology is underscored by the fact that as of 2018, almost 4 billion people are estimated to have access to the internet [3], and there are over 318,000 health-related mobile apps [4]. In public health surveillance (ie, public health
Data collection and analysis to inform public health practice [5], vast real-time health data from informal sources (e.g., health-related mobile apps and twitter) allow an early detection, prevention, and monitoring of public health threats and the potential for a prompt response from authorities to mitigate them. These informal sources have facilitated the reporting of diseases by complementing and reducing the time information is transmitted in multilevel public health infrastructures. Consequently, around the world, several early warning systems are now using this innovative approach [6].

Such activities have been termed digital epidemiology or digital disease detection [7,8]. Digital epidemiology can either be performed for nonresearch or research purposes. On the one hand, if the aims of the surveillance system are simply to monitor, control, and respond to health threats by producing data on the affected population, then this serves nonresearch purposes. On the other hand, if the purpose of the surveillance system is either to contribute to or to produce generalizable knowledge, potentially applicable to different populations and settings, then it serves research purposes [9].

Data in digital epidemiology can be obtained through 2 distinct approaches, with similar public health objectives but usually different challenges [10]. With a passive approach for data collection, data subjects are not directly informed that their everyday data (stored, e.g., on social platforms, blogs, and Web search queries) are being mined and processed by advanced algorithms involved in big data analytics to monitor or predict disease outbreaks [10-12]. One of the first notable examples of such passive data collection approach to digital epidemiology was Google Flu Trends (GFT). There are numerous challenges to this approach, including big data hubris and unstable algorithm dynamics. Big data hubris states that big data are simply a replacement for data collected and analyzed by conventional means rather than an adjunct to traditional public health surveillance. Unstable algorithm dynamics refer to the continuous changes made by the company to the search algorithms, as a means of improving their searching capabilities by incorporating, for instance, new search terms. However, as the case of GFT demonstrated, these improvements led to biased estimates [13]. Nonetheless, big data surveillance offers an unprecedented opportunity to monitor in a timely manner the spatial and temporal evolutions of epidemics with increased granularity compared with more traditional surveillance systems, provided that the potential flaws of big data analytics are taken into consideration [12,13].

On the other hand, a participatory disease surveillance system has an active approach involving digital communities of volunteer citizens who consciously provide data. This can be done either interactively by reporting their symptoms and other relevant information through an appropriate interface or by donating sensor data (e.g., location traces) from their digital devices. Such a participatory approach not only supports the detection of potential public health threats but also empowers individuals to reflect on them and adapt their behavior accordingly [10]. An example of such participatory disease surveillance system is the European Influenzanet Consortium, which monitors influenza-like illness (ILI) activity during flu seasons, with data from volunteer citizens using digital national platforms and in some cases, mobile apps [14,15]. Details on the Influenzanet Consortium and its inclusion as an adequate model to illustrate ethical issues pertinent to participatory disease surveillance systems have been covered in a previous publication [16].

In 2009, the Influenzanet Consortium was formed to standardize practices among the individual national ILI surveillance platforms to promote collaboration [17]. Recent research started exploring the use of crowdsourcing for detection of epidemic flu spreading, improving the self-reporting experience of symptoms with a more user-friendly mobile phone apps, and enriching the data with context data recorded by the phone’s sensors [15]. The Consortium follows the top-down model of citizen participation [2], which guarantees the scientific requirements and integrity of the disease surveillance network while relying on volunteer citizens’ data. This technology-driven public health surveillance has some benefits for its participants. Real-time information on ILI activity at local and national levels is provided to participants, who are also advised on strategies for disease prevention [17,18]. Importantly, the participants contribute directly to the ultimate goal of this public health initiative by providing real-time granular health-related data on ILI [19]. Such information complements the data of the European Influenza Surveillance Network (EISN) at finer levels, as EISN receives mainly epidemiological and virological data from its network of general practitioners [17,18]. The large cohorts of Influenzanet (eg, over 36,000 volunteer citizens for the flu season 2015/2016) also allow detection of even small epidemics of ILI [17,20]. This early detection [21] could potentially enable timely mitigation strategies to reduce the health burden of influenza and decrease health expenditures associated with increased hospitalization and treatment. In addition, Influenzanet enables research and the study of subgroups, for example, influenza vaccine effectiveness in vaccinated groups [22], attitudes toward vaccination [23], health status of population outside the health care system [24], and differences in medical care–seeking behavior across the European Union (EU) [20].

However, such top-down model of citizen participation in surveillance, specifically for research purposes, raises its own set of ethical issues on top of those inherent in traditional forms. Participants are involved actively in scientific research [2], but researchers have limited personal interaction with participants to ensure that they have indeed understood the research information provided on the national platforms or mobile apps and potential risks that their participation entails. In addition, participants could be influenced by the promise of expected benefits and the imperative of altruism. Therefore, it becomes a challenge to combine protection of research participants with the promotion of high-quality data collection for ethically acceptable research purposes [25]. In participatory disease surveillance systems, these are closely linked and mutually dependent on each other. On the one hand, ensuring participants’ trust and engagement through adequate safeguards is crucial for the sustainability and quality of these surveillance systems. If participants perceive the risk of privacy violation, they might refrain from giving important information, thus, affecting the effectiveness of disease surveillance and subsequent future...
public health interventions [26]. On the other hand, if surveillance systems follow low-quality standards and operate outside an ethical framework, protection and collaborations of data subjects cannot be secured. Numerous ethical frameworks have been developed in the field of public health surveillance and the use of big data [27-29]. However, a 2017 systematic review on ethical issues of public health surveillance revealed that there is a need for more context-specific analyses to guide public health practice [5]. Consequently, providing an ethical framework for the regulation of such innovative participatory surveillance methods, using a real-world example, becomes of utmost importance.

In this paper, we use Influenzanet to illustrate challenges in protection of health and other sensitive information reported in participatory disease surveillance systems. We discuss and analyze challenges and needs of participant consent in surveillance and research using participant surveillance systems data. We argue that research ethics committee (RECs) should play an important role in this developing field. Finally, we propose a framework for the regulation of digital participatory disease surveillance systems, which strengthens protection of participants’ data and privacy, while promoting the concept of justice.

Consent

Traditional Informed Consent in Internet-Based Surveillance

In public health surveillance, there are 2 antithetical forces. Although these systems pursue the improvement of population health through surveillance of diseases (such as in the case of Influenzanet, providing protection for vulnerable populations at risk of serious adverse outcomes of influenza infection), they must also safeguard individuals against any abuse of their data by researchers [30,31]. To strike a balance between the pursuit of societal welfare and protection of individual rights, consent from participants plays a fundamental role. Originating from the necessity to protect research subjects both physically and mentally, written informed consent is traditionally obtained for medical research, and its importance has emerged even more in the current era of data protection [32]. However, this type of consent seems to be poorly adapted to the collection and use of digital data in public health surveillance [2].

In light of the inadequacy of traditional informed consent for participatory public health surveillance, 1 potential response is to reject the need for further consent in these types of studies [33] because of the fact that participants enroll on their own and not in continued medical contact. The “no problem” solution rests also on the assumption that consent is not necessary, as in public health surveillance, individual interests may be put aside to protect the public good [33]. For example, in the United States, the issue of consent in public health surveillance is circumvented by considering the latter as public health practice instead of research, thus exempting it from institutional review boards’ approval [34] and in most cases, of traditional informed consent requirements. Indeed, a participatory disease surveillance platform active in the United States, Flu Near You, received a waiver for informed consent [35]. However, this approach may not be the best solution for participatory disease surveillance where data are actively generated by participants, underscoring the urgent need to adapt the traditional model of informed consent more adequately to this type of surveillance system.

Informed consent in research was originally designed for studies involving a limited number of participants where it was practically and financially feasible for researchers to engage participants, provide details about the research, and obtain written informed consent before the beginning of the study [32]. A further problem with traditional informed consent is that it was designed to authorize the use of data only by those subjects and for those purposes according to which the data had been originally collected. It was, however, not intended to also cover retrospective research on samples or data. In the case of big data for surveillance of infectious diseases, which is often retrospective in nature (2 out of the 3 electronic data sources are medical encounter and non-health digital data) [12], obtaining traditional informed consent proves problematic, as it requires disclosing all potential risks of primary and retrospective research, but the latter are usually unknown at the time when data are collected [32].

There have been substantial efforts made by some Influenzanet national platforms at their outset to ensure some form of personal interaction with their participants to better explain the nature of the surveillance system. In 2003, the original Belgian/Dutch platform, called de Grote Griepmeting (ie, the Great Influenza Survey), received a lot of media attention, which led to the registration of tens of thousands of participants in 2003/2004. The participants’ age distribution from youth to the elderly and their wide geographic spread and different levels of education made de Grote Griepmeting more accurate and quicker to signal the onset of a flu epidemic than the general practitioners’ surveillance system organized by Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research (NIVEL). The Belgian/Dutch research team invited participants for an information, question and answer session, where they were provided with notes on the rationale of the survey questions to the flu survey study. Moreover, a forum was also created where participants could ask any remaining questions, and when specific virology questions were asked, consultation would follow with partners from the NIVEL and the National Institute for Health and Environment in the Netherlands. The team managed to answer all incoming questions from participants by email and during various local, national, and regional live radio interviews from people listening in. In 2009, the team started a public community on Facebook named De Grote Griepmeting–Influenzanet, where members would have their questions answered by the team. Such measures (ie, the information session and the team answering all additional questions received via email, through their forum, on the social platform Facebook, and during radio interviews) could be viewed as an equivalent solution to obtaining the informed consent of these participants (De Grote Griepmeting, email communication, April 3, 2018 and February 7, 2019).

The inadequacies of traditional informed consent have led to the development of many other ethically acceptable solutions. For instance, in retrospective research where risks are minimal,
consent would not be necessary as long as the right to opt out and the right to be forgotten are preserved and enforced [36,37]. Alternatively, the requirement of informed consent upholds but is paired with waivers, which dispense researchers from requesting consent for secondary use of data, if the recontacting and reconsenting are unfeasible or would lead to nonrepresentative samples [38].

Another alternative to traditional informed consent is an extended version of consent, which is more suitable to public health/big data research, known as broad or general consent [2,32,39-41]. The key difference between traditional and broad or general consent is that data subjects provide their consent for entire classes of research [42]. This extended form of consent differs from blanket consent as data subjects do not give permission for any use of their data but rather define in broad terms the purposes of use [42]. Moreover, broad consent is only considered acceptable if 2 criteria are met. First, every new study needs to be approved by an REC or another competent entity [43]. Second, the right of participants to withdraw their consent at any time has to be maintained [32,44]. Despite the presence of these safeguards, consensus on whether broad consent can be considered truly informed is lacking [45-47].

Though the above recommendations could foster the informed nature of e-consent, the lack of personal interaction between study participants and researchers remains. Therefore, a properly implemented e-consent would be particularly beneficial in those studies where it is impossible to provide individual counseling and where the conditions, terms, and privacy policies would otherwise not be read [54,55]. In addition, one might even argue that participants are potentially less likely to consent under undue influence or constraints because of limited interaction with researchers. They can thus easily decline consent by signing out from the digital platforms whenever they feel the need to do so [52]. In this regard, e-consent increases the autonomy of participants.

Nonetheless, a further challenge raised by the absence of face-to-face contact is how to ensure that participants have the required legal capacity to legitimately give their e-consent after they electronically authenticate [52], because of the difficulty of verifying the participants’ identity. In this sense, even if measures were taken—such as quizzes or questionnaires—to ensure that participants have understood the research information, there would be little guarantee that those quizzes or study questionnaires are actually being completed by data subjects. A potential solution to this authentication issue could come from advances made in biometric identification technologies, commonly used for security purposes [52]. For instance, the use of face recognition technology [56] on computers and mobile phones or fingerprint recognition sensor in smartphones (eg, Touch ID by Apple Inc) could be used to verify the identity of participants throughout the process of e-consent. However, processing biometric data raises additional ethical and legal issues, in particular with respect to privacy. Biometric data, similar to genetic material, carries biological traits that are unique to data subjects and which could be easily used to reidentify them [57]. However, it must be noted that, although entailing sensitive personal information, the processing of biometric data can be lawful even without subjects’ consent if processing serves the public interest or scientific or statistical research purposes (eg, Article 9.2.(j) of the European General Data Protection Regulation).

Electronic Consent, An Adaptation of Traditional Informed Consent

Electronic consent (e-consent) implies that participants give informed consent using an information technology (eg, digital technologies). In this sense, e-consent does not represent a new form of consent but simply an adaptation of informed consent to the electronic environment [50]. E-consent is currently being used in the Influenzanet Consortium and in similar participatory surveillance platforms such as Flu Tracking (Australia and New Zealand) as a valid form of consent for participants. Data subjects agree to the conditions, terms, and privacy policies when registering on their respective national platforms [16,51].

Although e-consent offers the substantial benefit of a tailored fit to the digital environment, it also has some inherent problems. A unique feature of internet-based research is the absence of personal interaction between researchers and participants, where researchers would traditionally be able to provide individually tailored information and answer any question participants might ask concerning the study and the collection of health data. Therefore, one of the major risks posed by e-consent is the provision of consent through automatic processes in the digital world, as parties are not directly involved. The provision of consent is rather based on a set of computer rules determining whether access to an individual’s data by researchers could be granted on reasonable grounds [50]. For this reason, it is possible that participants provide their consent without fully understanding—or even reading—the information, terms, and conditions that data collectors provide by simply clicking the relevant buttons in the digital forms [52]. We thus recommend that several precautions ought to be implemented when e-consent is obtained. For instance, e-consent should be designed in such a way that information is delivered through a simple PDF file where participants digitally put their signature (instead of clicking a button) to increase the likelihood of the document being read. Alternatively, other possibilities offered by information technology could be exploited to help verify participants’ understanding of the information provided during the e-consent process. These include tools such as the use of audio files, PowerPoint presentations, videos, pictures, or gamification (for instance, through quizzes and animation) [53].
Data Protection Regulation, GDPR) [58]. Ethical concerns with respect to biometric data might also be mitigated if, for example, the gathered biometric information was stored locally on the participants’ computers or mobile devices and not transmitted to the research team or any third party.

In the case of multinational studies such as Influenzanet, an adequately implemented e-consent could consist of a standard informed consent information form [16], as a single PDF file, being delivered to participants at the time of their registration on the digital platform and followed by a new document each and every time new information is added on the single country websites. The information provided would have to be reader-friendly and succinctly summarized, thus nudging participants to read it thoroughly. Awareness of all potential ramifications because of their participation could be further improved through the provision of quiz questions. Grading of these quiz questions could then serve as a proxy to ensure adequate understanding of the informed consent information. This method has been employed at the Harvard Personal Genome Project (PGP) [59]. Participants were even provided with a study guide and were required to pass an enrollment test to be considered for the project. This additional burden to participation, which is justified for genetic research (genetic exceptionalism), should nonetheless remain minimal for Influenzanet to retain engagement of its participants. This is supported by the mildly sensitive nature of the gathered information and the low risks associated with this kind of surveillance. Indeed, the enrollment examination for the PGP was the main barrier to participation, with almost 60% of its users dropping out [59]. Digital signature of the consent form could also be a more personalized alternative, and it would also provide additional evidence on the identity of the participant, which altogether would enhance the informed nature of this e-consent procedure.

Protection of Subjects’ Privacy

Epidemics forecasting studies and other public health research often gather useful and sensitive data on their participants, potentially interfering with their privacy. In the case of Influenzanet, protection of participants’ privacy is secured by data anonymization and the use of a centralized database [18].

One might argue that full anonymization is not necessary for some public health surveillance, as part of the collected data is only mildly sensitive (eg, age group and gender) and thus poses only a minor threat to the fundamental rights and the privacy of participants even in case of misuse [60]. However, even nonpersonal information could be used to reveal much more sensitive information on data subjects if the former is coupled with additional geographical information, which is often collected by public health surveillance systems [61]. For instance, 1 of the core functions of Influenzanet is to map cases of ILI for the identification of hotspots of influenza outbreaks to model disease progression and implement effective prevention strategies. This spatiotemporal dimension of collected health data can enhance the privacy-invasive nature of epidemics forecasting research such as Influenzanet [62]. The collection of sensor and usage data from smartphones adds additional behavioral and context information, which, as shown in related work [15], has the potential to improve forecasting and risk analysis. Despite these potential benefits, even apparently nonpersonal data, such as a list of installed apps, can be an additional risk to the participant’s privacy [63]. The Consortium took great care in protecting the privacy of its participants. In case of sensor data, information is processed directly on the user’s device and only transmitted to Influenzanet in anonymized and highly aggregated form [15]. Location information of reported cases is, for example, never mapped to the individual level but rather to the postal code level [17], with only the aggregate number of cases shown. Some platforms went even further by randomizing virtual locations around the center of a large number of postal code areas taken together (eg, the De Grote Grieppmeting platform). The grouping of postal codes areas was paramount for better protection of the privacy of participants, for example, in the case of a single participant in a postal code area (De Grote Grieppmeting, email communication, April 3, 2018).

However, with increasing technological capabilities to integrate and analyze health data with local data, there are risks of leakage of sensitive information concerning participants’ locations, which may lead to stigmatization of the particular locations as well as residents [62,64]. Even with full anonymization, cross-referencing of essential data gathered for epidemics research purposes (eg, sex, age, and medical conditions) with other databases could eventually lead to reidentification of data subjects [2,65]. For instance, 2 researchers showed it was feasible to reidentify individuals by matching a deidentified database on Netflix movie recommendations to available Web-based information (eg, Internet Movie Database) [66]. Hence, anonymization per se is not a sufficient measure to adequately protect privacy. The long life span of some anonymized datasets, which is often the case with epidemics forecasting studies, de facto increases the risks of reidentification and privacy breaches through repetitive data enrichment over time [54]. Consequently, reidentification should be considered a real risk for data subjects [2] even in case of anonymization. It is, thus, paramount to ensure ethical and accountable sharing of anonymized datasets between research institutions and to combine anonymization with other adequate data security measures to prevent misuse of data and unauthorized reidentification.

Justice

The concept of justice in research ethics is fully embodied by the policies of the Influenzanet Consortium as participation is free, open, voluntary, and nondiscriminatory of any resident of the respective countries (except for Sweden, where some representativeness and comparison purposes are guaranteed by allowing participation through invitations only) [17]. This ensures a fair distribution of risks and benefits to all research participants and the public at large. If epidemics forecasting studies keep up with the high standards in terms of justice (ie, participation to the surveillance system is free, open, voluntary, and nondiscriminative) followed by the Influenzanet Consortium and similar platforms such as Flu Near You [35], the only remaining challenge would be dealing with those limitations.
on participation that are inherent to digital technologies. These limitations are commonly referred in the literature as the “digital divide” [2,67], and they concern both access to and proficiency with digital technologies. Access to digital technologies is also a product of many sociodemographic elements such as age, educational level of participants, ethnic groups, and their socioeconomic status [68,69]. This is reflected in the data collected by Influenzanet, which present an underrepresentation of younger age and elderly groups, an overrepresentation of the middle age group for both genders, and a higher educational level of participants in comparison with the general population [70]. In this respect, it could be claimed that epidemics forecasting studies such as Influenzanet are potentially empowering a more dynamic, informed societal group with a penchant for digital technology, whereas at the same time perpetuating the health inequalities between others [2]. However, it must also be stressed that public health surveillance benefits the public at large and not exclusively the participants. Public health surveillance, like biomedical research, is a public good, as the health benefits resulting from its interventions (based on knowledge generated from data subjects) are ultimately going to be shared with society [71]. In addition, the digital divide is decreasing annually, with technology becoming more and more pervasive [72]. Nevertheless, concerns about justice can be avoided only if results and disease prevention strategies are shared evenly and on a regular basis among all societal groups, something which the Influenzanet Consortium is promoting (eg, weekly national surveillance bulletins, regular press releases during the study, and radio broadcasting) [73,74]. Such regular results dissemination initiatives undeniably help in ensuring that expected benefits of research are shared more equally between societal groups. This could be further improved by granting access to more targeted and granular information on influenza activity to nonparticipants [74] under the concept of solidarity [75]. Such measures would allow a better protection of society as the spread of an influenza epidemic is an individual as well as a collective concern.

Capacity Building for Research Ethics Committees

Influenzanet and similar systems are faced with multifocal ethical and legal issues. For the safeguard of data subjects, appropriate oversight and specific regulation might be needed in the future. Currently, such oversight is beyond the governance capacity of RECs, as technological advances outpace national regulatory frameworks and undermine the definitions of those concepts—such as “anonymization,” “encryption,” and “personally identifiable information” [55]—upon which RECs rely. However, RECs should be actively involved in the design and implementation of public health research involving digital communities of volunteer citizens or big data. These RECs need to act as safety nets to fill the gaps of the current regulatory framework, which often dates back to an era where modern computational and technological capabilities were not foreseeable [55]. In this perspective, we recommend RECs to undergo interdisciplinary capacity building in those innovative research methods through mutual exchange of information and training with citizen science experts, big data researchers, data scientists, ethicists, legal experts, and sociologists. This would allow the identification of ethical and legal grey zones. Stakeholders could further anticipate potential conflicting situations resulting from the enactment of new legislation. This appears even more urgent as we have entered the GDPR era. This regulation came into force in May 2018, to replace the EU Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC [1,76]. The GDPR tries to harmonize EU data protection laws with the goal of guaranteeing the same level of freedom and protection to EU citizens, while protecting personal data during cross-border sharing with international organizations and third countries [76]. This legislative reform is likely to have a considerable impact on consent requirements and exemptions from obtaining consent [1]. This could affect the expected benefits that big data can bring to society by increasing the regulatory burden on public health surveillance studies [1]. Furthermore, as stressed in the study by Mittelstadt, the GDPR classifies “data concerning health” as a “special category of personal data” [77]. As this category includes any personal data that reveals information on the health status (physical or mental) of participants [77], health-related information gathered from Influenzanet participants or similar epidemics forecasting studies might—until properly anonymized—fall in this special category. It is, thus, possible that detailed limitations to health data usage are imposed in the future because of the protective stance endorsed by the GDPR [77]. Therefore, interdisciplinary capacity building and acquaintance of RECs with this innovative and developing research field will be paramount to proactively ensure an adequate protection of data subjects while preventing the development of additional research barriers. Such barriers could undermine the excellent contribution to the preservation of public health made by epidemics forecasting systems such as Influenzanet.

Ethical Framework for the Regulation of Participatory Disease Surveillance Systems

We propose the following 4 components ethical framework to provide guidance on how to ensure an adequate ethical oversight of participatory disease surveillance systems while safeguarding participants’ privacy and eliminating barriers to the work of these surveillance platforms (Table 1).
Table 1. Ethical framework for the regulation of participatory disease surveillance systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Ethical component</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Autonomy of participants | Electronic consent | Standard, reader-friendly, and multilingual informed consent form with succinctly summarized information (e.g., as a single PDF file) delivered at the time of registration and each time new information is added to the digital platforms.  
Informed nature of consent can be fostered through the provision of a few quiz questions to reduce the risk of participants simply “clicking through” the consent process.  
Require digital signature of the consent form to incentivize participants to read the information form and as evidence of their identity.  
Making participants aware of the fact that despite best effort to protect their privacy, the residual risk of a privacy leak cannot be ruled out. |
| Nonmaleficence | Protection of participants’ privacy | Anonymization of participants’ data should be combined with other data security measures such as a highly protected centralized database for storage of participants’ data.  
Location data of participants should never be mapped to the individual level but rather to the postal code level to reduce the risk of reidentification in case of rare value entries.  
Sensor data from mobile phones should only be transmitted in anonymized and highly aggregated form.  
Ensure ethical and accountable sharing of anonymized datasets between research institutions to reduce reidentification risks for participants through database triangulation. |
| Justice | Access to information on disease activity and prevention strategies | Free, open, and nondiscriminative participation should be offered to members of the general public.  
Disease prevention strategies and results obtained through the participatory surveillance platforms should be disseminated on a regular basis to members of the public through various means. |
| Beneficence and nonmaleficence | Research ethics committees (RECs) | Interdisciplinary capacity building of RECs is required to keep up with technological advances, thereby ensuring an adequate protection of data subjects.  
RECs should play a proactive role in the design and implementation of public health research involving digital communities of volunteer citizens.  
RECs should act as safety nets to prevent barriers to public health surveillance by identifying ethico-legal grey zones and anticipate potential conflicting situations resulting from the evolving legal landscape. |

Conclusions

In the developing field of participatory disease surveillance systems, the main ethical dilemma is how to ensure adequate protection of data subjects while at the same time obtaining the full benefits that public health surveillance directly involving digital communities of citizens could bring. In this complex situation, 1 of the key ethical safeguards proposed in our framework is a properly implemented e-consent. To pursue this objective, national platforms of the Influenzanet Consortium will put continuous effort in enhancing and adequately developing their e-consent procedures. Current e-consent procedures could be improved by providing standard, reader-friendly, multilingual information about the study, participants’ rights, the risks associated with their participation, and, in addition, a short series of quiz questions to verify proper understanding of the potential benefits and risks. Furthermore, requiring participants to digitally sign the Web-based consent form could both serve as a motivation for them to read properly the information provided and as a solution to allow personal identification. However, such additional burdens of participation need to remain minimal to ensure the sustainability of the platforms.
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Abstract

Background: Nearly half of people who die by suicide see a health care provider in the month before their death. With the release of new care guidelines, detection of suicidal patients will likely increase. Providers need access to suicide-specific resources that can be used as part of immediate, brief interventions with a suicidal patient. Web-based suicide prevention resources have the potential to address this need.

Objective: This study aimed to describe the development of the NowMattersNow.org website as a resource for individuals with suicidal thoughts and to evaluate the utility of the site via user experience surveys.

Methods: NowMattersNow.org is an online video-based free public resource that provides evidence-based teachings, examples, and resources for managing suicidal thoughts and intense emotions focused largely around skills from dialectical behavior therapy. Developed with assistance from mental health consumers, it is intended to address gaps in access to services for suicidal patients in health care systems. Visitors stay an average of a minute and a half on the website. From March 2015 to December 2017, a user experience survey measured self-reported changes on a 1 (not at all) to 5 (completely overwhelming) scale regarding intensity of suicidal thoughts and negative emotions while on the website. Longitudinal regression analyses using generalized estimating equations evaluated the magnitude and statistical significance of user-reported changes in suicidal ideation and negative emotion. In secondary analyses, user-reported changes specific to subgroups, including men aged 36 to 64 years, mental health providers, and other health care providers were evaluated.

Results: During the period of analysis, there were 138,386 unique website visitors. We analyzed surveys (N=3670) collected during that time. Subsamples included men aged 36 to 64 years (n=512), mental health providers (n=460), and other health care providers (n=308). A total of 28\% (1028/3670) of survey completers rated their suicidal thoughts as a 5 or “completely overwhelming” when they entered the website. We observed significant reductions in self-reported intensity of suicidal thoughts (−0.21, \(P<.001\)) and negative emotions (−0.32, \(P<.001\)), including decreases for users with the most severe suicidal thoughts (−6.4, \(P<.001\)), most severe negative emotions (−10.9, \(P<.001\)), and for middle-aged men (−0.13, \(P<.001\)). Results remained significant after controlling for length of visit to website (before the survey) and technology type (mobile, desktop, and tablet).

Conclusions: Survey respondents reported measurable reductions in intensity of suicidal thoughts and emotions, including those rating their suicidal thoughts as completely or almost completely overwhelming and among middle-aged men. Although results
from this user-experience survey administered at one point in time to a convenience sample of users must be interpreted with caution, results provide preliminary support for the potential effectiveness of the NowMattersNow.org website as a tool for short-term management of suicidal thoughts and negative emotions.

**KEYWORDS**
dialectical behavior therapy; suicide; internet; help-seeking behavior; behavior therapy; crisis intervention; primary care; integrated health care systems

**Introduction**

**Background**

Nearly half of people who die by suicide in the United States see some type of health care provider in the month before their death [1,2]. Newly released screening and care guidelines for suicidal patients [3] have the potential to increase the number of suicidal patients detected across health care settings. Unfortunately, most providers, particularly those in primary care settings where the majority of patients are seen before death by suicide, generally are not trained to work with suicidal patients [4,5]. There is also a lack of widely disseminated resources available for immediate support of suicidal patients, and the vast majority of people who die by suicide never receive specialized mental health care [6], with men being particularly unlikely to seek mental health care but far more likely to die by suicide than women [7,8].

Providers need access to suicide-specific resources that can be used as part of immediate, brief interventions with a suicidal patient. Web-based suicide-prevention resources are available around the clock and have the potential to address several major challenges to caring for suicidal patients [9,10]. Web-based services can assist providers as they are providing care by giving them a free resource to share, support suicidal patients during the time between identification and receipt of specialty mental health care, and serve as an adjunct intervention for suicidal patients who are not able to access specialty mental health care or prefer not to receive this type of care (eg, males). However, in reviewing the existing literature on Web-based prevention strategies for suicidal individuals, Jacob et al explicitly noted the lack of evidence-based resources and called for further development and evaluation of these tools [11]. A recent review of the small number of studies on the effectiveness of Web-based intervention websites (and mobile apps) reported some promise in terms of reductions in suicidal thoughts [12], although many of the interventions reviewed included barriers to access such as the need to set up an account or were difficult or impossible to access outside of the specific research trial.

Web-based resources that do not require the user to sign-in (ie, provide contact information or other details about their identity) can reduce important obstacles to use, including concerns about privacy. In the United States, examples of highly used, free Web-based resources include sites from the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention [13] and the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline [14]. Both of these sites provide important resources and self-help tips for suicide prevention but are not specifically tailored for a patient and clinician audience. The effectiveness of educational Web resources for suicide and self-directed violence prevention has historically been an area of research need [15]. Recent research indicates positive findings, for example, Till et al [16] reported results from a randomized trial that indicated that users with higher baseline scores of suicidality experienced an immediate reduction of suicidal thoughts compared with persons who visited a control website, thus indicating promise for the use of educative websites for brief intervention. Moreover, free Web-based resources may be particularly useful for middle-aged men in the United States who are unlikely to seek mental health care [17] and disproportionately impacted by suicide death [18].

**Objectives**

NowMattersNow.org is a website that was developed based on the evidence provided above, for the purpose of supporting suicidal patients and providers who care for them [19], see Multimedia Appendix 1. Central to the educative website are first-person stories of successful coping with and recovery from suicidal thoughts and painful emotions using strategies from a highly effective treatment for suicidal individuals (dialectical behavior therapy, DBT) [20-22]. Indeed, research indicates that video portrayals of recovery from suicide-related crisis may be beneficial to those experiencing higher rates of suicidal thoughts [23]. The purpose of this report was to describe the development and evaluation of this Web-based resource. For our evaluation, we present data from a brief user-experience survey collected from NowMattersNow.org users. The survey measures changes in suicidal thoughts and negative emotions while on the website and also collects demographic data on a high-risk group (men aged 36-64 years) and health care provider status. We hypothesized that survey completers, including those who rated their suicidal thoughts and negative emotions at the most intense end of the scale, would report reduced intensity after viewing the website. Secondary stratified analyses evaluated the impact on a smaller and higher risk group (middle age men) and providers (mental health and other health care providers) who may be using the website to help support suicidal patients rather than self-help. In addition, we investigated length of time to take the survey as an indicator of website “dose” as the length of exposure to the website may be associated with ratings of intensity of suicidal thoughts and negative emotions, and the impact of viewing the website on a mobile phone versus other device to inform our recommendations for website use.

**Methods**

**NowMattersNow.org Origins**

DBT is a robust evidence-based treatment that includes skills and self-management strategies (DBT skills) to change and
tolerate emotions that drive suicidal thoughts [20-22,24]. However, frontline clinical providers such as those working in primary care have few resources to explain or connect patients to specialized mental health treatment, including DBT. Mental health consumer advocates have created websites to make DBT resources more widely available, for example, dbtselfhelp.com [25]. When brief DBT-based interventions began to demonstrate promise for treatment of suicidal patients [26-28], the first and second authors (motivated as researchers but also by personal and professional experiences–related suicidal patients, their providers, and perceived lack of resources) initiated research to begin the development of a DBT-inspired Web-based resource [19,29]. The results were used to design the NowMattersNow.org website. This was done in collaboration with Team Now Matters Now, a group of mental health consumers with suicidal experiences recruited for the project and the website design firm Civilization [30]. Most of the website content consists of videos of Team Now Matters Now members discussing their lived experience including using DBT skills to manage suicidal thoughts, thus providing social models of success [31]. The NowMattersNow.org website was launched on World Suicide Prevention Day in 2014 (September 10) and had more than 250,000 unique visitors as of December 2018.

NowMattersNow.org Design

The website is designed to provide people experiencing suicidal thoughts and health care providers with DBT skills and to give health care providers a resource for supporting suicidal patients. The long-term goal is having providers use the skills in their own life and teach them to patients to help prevent and manage suicidal crises. As such, the website was developed with the provider audience in mind as a tool to help provide better care to their suicidal patients. The NowMattersNow.org landing page states “Have you had suicidal thoughts? Problems that felt unsolvable? You are in excellent company—we’ve been there.” This statement was intended to reduce stigma and signify that others having similar experiences were part of the website. Users proceed via a button to the home page. This page presents a panel of images of individuals with lived experience who have videos on the website. Images lead to topics such as DBT skills (opposite action, mindfulness, mindfulness of current emotion, paced breathing), suicidal thoughts (videos linking suicidal thoughts to difficulties managing emotions and DBT skills), and lethal means and caring messages (topics central to current care guidelines: Figure 1) [32]. Clicking on text in individual panels leads to videos on the topic with personal stories and didactic content. Home page menu options are About (text-based description of the website and a brief video provide an overview of the website), Team (bios and photos of Team Now Matters Now members allowing visitors more context about those featured in the videos), and More (eg, additional engagement options such as social media links, email sign-up, and downloadable “caring message” cards that providers can share with patients to direct them to the site). The home page also has a Crisis Lines option leading to national and international phone, short message service text message, and instant messaging options (also part of care guidelines). NowMattersNow.org is built on WordPress and instrumented with Google Analytics, which collects client-side statistics for how users find and interact with the site.

User Experience Survey

NowMattersNow.org regularly collects survey data during a user’s session for quality improvement purposes and to determine to what degree certain populations use the website and if it helps them. The 7-item survey was built in WordPress using HTML elements triggered by JavaScript, is not advertised, and open (no log-in required). The header is, “We’d appreciate your confidential feedback to improve our site.” The survey asks users to retrospectively rate their “Intensity of negative emotions” and “Intensity of suicidal thoughts” as 1 to 5 (“Not at all” to “Completely Overwhelming;” Figure 2) for “When you entered this site” (baseline) and “What level are they now?” (post website use). Only 1 number can be selected for each question. These survey items were adapted from DBT skills “diary cards” used by patients to track their suicidal thoughts, mood, and related behaviors [20-22]. The survey also asks about demographics as nonexclusive checkboxes (ie, male aged 36-64 years, mental health provider, and health care provider). Survey responses were not assessed for completeness nor required for survey submission (although only complete surveys were used in analyses), and once submitted (using a “submit” button), all responses were final. Data that were entered, but not submitted, were not recorded. The methods of survey development were similar to best practices recommended by the US Department of Health and Human Services for developing Web-based surveys such as simplicity, clarity, and user centeredness [33]. The survey asks about suicidal thoughts and negative emotions, which likely correspond [34].

The survey displays after 1 min on any page viewed on mobile phones (timing determined by the survey software). On desktops and tablets, it originally displayed after 3 min (the approximate length of 1 short video) on a page and changed to 8 min (essentially the length of 2 short videos or 1 longer video) on August 7, 2015 to allow for longer time on the website before surveying users. We know that participants visited a page for the minimum time to receive the survey, but they could have visited several pages before triggering the survey. Without using cookies, we could track only how long users were on a page, not the website, and we are reluctant to use tracking features because of the sensitive website content. Once the survey surfaces, users cannot proceed until they fill out the survey, close its window using a button in the right-hand corner, or choose another option on the navigation bar. Once displayed (whether completed or not), the survey does not surface for that user for at least 24 hours. We do not know the number of times the survey surfaced and was not completed. The survey was tested by Civilization website developers before release and before data collection for this study started. We had no contact with the responders other than their survey submission.
Figure 1. Website.
Study Sample and Study Data
This study analyzed a convenience sample of data from surveys completed from March 5, 2015, the first full day the survey was posted, to December 3, 2017, when data were retrieved. The personal information of Internet Protocol (IP) address snippets was removed from the dataset after assigning each participant a unique identification number. The final dataset was stored in Google Drive, to which authors UW, RN, AJW, XZ, DH had access. We did not track how long survey completers stayed on the website beyond the survey. The Allendale Institutional Review Board (Old Lyme, Connecticut) approved this study.

Statistical Analyses

Primary Outcome
Longitudinal regression analyses used generalized estimating equations (GEEs; [35]) to evaluate the magnitude and statistical significance of user-reported changes in (1) suicidal ideation and (2) negative emotion. An exchangeable working correlation matrix in conjunction with cluster robust standard errors was estimated to account for correlation of repeated measures (baseline and post) within participants. A total of 2 sets of analyses were performed evaluating outcomes for both suicidal thoughts and negative emotions as (1) a continuous measure and (2) a dichotomous indicator of high (4 or 5) versus low ratings (3 or less). Logistic and Gaussian GEEs were used for binary and continuous variables, respectively. In the primary analyses evaluating changes in outcomes across all participants, each outcome variable was regressed on time point (post vs baseline).

Men Aged 36 to 64 Years and Health Care Providers
To evaluate if changes in outcomes differed by these demographic groups, primary analyses were extended to include indicator variables for (1) middle-aged male (=1), (2) mental health provider (=1), (3) other health provider (=1), and (4) the interaction of each demographic variable with time (post vs baseline). In stratified analyses, each outcome variable was regressed on middle-aged male, mental health provider, other health provider, middle-aged male by time (post vs baseline), mental health provider by time, and other health provider by time. The statistical test of the subgroup effects was the magnitude and statistical significance of each demographic variable by time interaction.

Mobile Versus Other Device and Delay to Survey
To evaluate if outcomes differed by electronic device type or delay before being presented with the survey, primary analyses were extended to include indicator variables for (1) device type (nonmobile vs mobile) and (2) survey delay interval (1, 3, 8 min). For device-type analysis, outcome variables were regressed on device type, time (post vs baseline), and the device type by time interaction. In delay-interval analysis, outcome variables were regressed on delay interval, time, and the delay interval by time interaction. Delay interval was divided into 2 planned contrasts of (1) 8 versus 1 min and (2) 3 versus 1 min. Statistical tests of device-type effects and delay-related effects were magnitude and statistical significance of (1) device type by time and (2) delay interval by time interaction.
Results

Website Visitors

During the time in which surveys were analyzed, 167,878 sessions (website visits) and 138,386 unique visitors were recorded. Of those sessions, 52% came to the website via “organic search terms,” 21% came directly to the website (bookmark or typing in the URL), 9% came via a link from social media, 9% came via paid advertisements for the site (Google AdWords), and 9% came via referrals (link from another website). On average, users viewed 2.1 pages per session and stayed on the website for 1 minute 31 seconds. The average bounce rate (leaving after viewing 1 page) was 61%, and 18% of sessions were from returning visitors. People arrived via organic search terms when they searched for something that led to NowMattersNow.org being listed, and then clicked on our link, and the link was not a paid advertisement. To better understand how people found the website, Google search term data collection was enabled from December 11, 2017 to February 3, 2018 (54 days), which provided results that indicated that a number of organic search terms related to suicide and suicide help (eg, “crisis chat,” “crisis text line uk,” “suicide chat,” and “now matters now,” each individually making up no more that 4% of searches) represented the search terms leading to NowMattersNow.org. The Google Adwords were phrases we thought people who were suicidal might Google (“I want to die” and “how do I kill myself?”). If someone Googled such a term, they might see the website as an advertisement option. Geographically, visitors were largely from the United States (61%), Canada (15%), United Kingdom (9%), and Russia (3%), with Australia, Brazil, New Zealand, India, France, and Germany each making up 2% or less.

Survey Responders

We received 3931 complete responses. Of these, 261 were removed because they were likely from people who previously completed the survey, identified from duplicate IP address snippets (keeping only earliest dated record). Surveys with incomplete data were not included in the analyses as the amount of missing data was minimal (6.7%) [36]. Of the 3670 unique survey responses, 514 (14% of survey sample) identified as men aged 36 to 64 years. Of survey completers, 460 (13%) identified as mental health professionals and 308 (8%) as other health care professionals, with 40 (1%) identifying as both. Users completed surveys after 1 min (2096; 57%), 3-min (388; 11%), or 8-min (1186; 32%) delays. Mobile users (57%) all received the survey at 1 min, therefore nonmobile device users comprised the remaining 43% (1574) of the sample (Table 1).

Survey ratings for suicidal thoughts pooled at the low and high ends of the scale, clustering toward low (1, not at all) and high (4 and 5, completely overwhelming). For baseline, the greatest number of responses were a score of 5 (28%), followed by 1 (28%), 4 (21%), 3 (17%), and 2 (7%). Post scores were 1 (32%), 2 (29%), 5 (23%), 4 (19%), and 3 (17%). In our sample, of those who reported suicidal thoughts (2644) at baseline, 29% (n=763) reported a 1 or more point reduction in suicidal thoughts pre versus post visit, the vast majority stayed the same (63%, n=1666), and 8% (n=215) rated suicidal thoughts 1 or more points worse (8%). Of those who reported worse scores, 2% (n=51) were more than a point worse, 1% (n=21) were 3 or 4 points worse.

Primary Outcome Analyses

The overall changes reported for all participants for the 4 main study outcomes (continuous and dichotomous for baseline vs post) is shown in Figure 3. Survey participants reported an average 0.21-point reduction (95% CI –0.24 to –0.19; \(P<0.01\); \(d=0.13\)) in suicidal ideation and a 0.32-point reduction (95% CI –0.35 to –0.29; \(P<0.01\); \(d=0.21\)) in negative emotion ratings (both 1 to 5 scale) after viewing the website. The average visitor’s probability of endorsing high suicidal ideation (4 or 5) decreased 6.4 percentage points (95% CI –7.55 to –5.33; \(P<0.01\); \(d=0.13\)) after viewing the website. The average visitor’s probability of endorsing high negative emotion (4 or 5 at baseline) decreased by 10.9 percentage points (95% CI –12.20 to –9.64; \(P<0.01\); \(d=0.23\)) after viewing the website.

Table 1. Demographic groups, electronic device type, and delay to survey (N=3670).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variable</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Men aged 36 to 64 years (n=512)</th>
<th>Mental health provider (n=460)</th>
<th>Other health care provider (n=308)</th>
<th>Mobile (all 1 min to survey) (n=2096)</th>
<th>8 min to survey (n=1186)</th>
<th>3 min to survey (n=388)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline suicidal thoughts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.5)a</td>
<td>3.3 (1.6)a</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.4)a</td>
<td>2.7 (1.6)a</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage low (score=1), n (%)</td>
<td>1012 (28)</td>
<td>131 (26)</td>
<td>331 (72)</td>
<td>130 (42)</td>
<td>315 (15)</td>
<td>486 (41)</td>
<td>215 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage high (score=4-5), n (%)</td>
<td>1784 (49)a</td>
<td>277 (54)a</td>
<td>86 (19)</td>
<td>122 (40)</td>
<td>1235 (59)a</td>
<td>448 (38)a</td>
<td>101 (26)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline negative thoughts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.5)a</td>
<td>3.7 (1.5)a</td>
<td>2.2 (1.6)b</td>
<td>3.1 (1.7)b</td>
<td>4.1 (1.3)a</td>
<td>3.3 (1.6)a</td>
<td>2.8 (1.6)a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\)Indicates significant reduction from baseline to post \(P<0.01\), not applicable from baseline suicide ideation.
Men Aged 36 to 64 Years

Men aged 36 to 64 years reported their overall severity of suicidal ideation decreased on the 1 to 5 scale (Estimate, est=–0.13; 95% CI –0.19 to –0.07; $P<.001$; $d=–0.08$), although the decrease was 0.09 points greater ($d=0.06$; $P<.001$) among nonmiddle-aged males (est=–0.22; 95% CI –0.25 to –0.20; $P<.001$; $d=0.14$). High suicidal ideation decreased for middle-aged males (%=–4.74; 95% CI –7.38 to –2.10; $P<.001$; $d=0.09$). Results were similar and significant for overall negative emotions (est=–0.21; 95% CI –0.29 to –0.14; $P<.001$; $d=0.14$) and for the probability of high negative emotion (%=–6.90; 95% CI –10.70 to –3.11; $P<.001$; $d=0.14$; Figure 4).
Health Care Providers

For mental health providers, there were no changes observed for overall severity of suicidal ideation from baseline to post (est = –0.03; 95% CI –0.07 to 0.01; P = .10; d = 0.02) or for the probability of endorsing high suicidality (% = –1.36; 95% CI –2.86 to 0.14; P = .08; d = 0.03). This finding was consistent with other health care providers for suicide ideation overall (est = –0.07; 95% CI –0.15 to 0.02; P = .12; d = 0.04) and high suicidal ideation (% = –0.54; 95% CI –3.95 to 2.87; P = .76; d = 0.01). However, significant improvements were seen in negative emotions overall for mental health providers (est = –0.18; 95% CI –0.24 to –0.12; P < .001; d = 0.12) and high negative emotions (% = –4.17; 95% CI –6.39 to –1.95; P < .001; d = 0.09) as well as other health care providers (Overall: est = –0.18; 95% CI –0.29 to –0.07; P = .01; d = 0.12 and high rating: % = –5.59; 95% CI –10.04 to –1.14; P = .01; d = 0.12; Figure 4).

Mobile Versus Other Device and Delay to Survey

For website platform (mobile vs other), suicidal ideation severity decreased for both desktop or tablet users (est = –0.21; 95% CI –0.25 to –0.17; P < .001; d = 0.13) and mobile users (est = –0.21; 95% CI –0.25 to –0.18; P < .001; d = 0.11). High suicidal ideation also decreased for both desktop or tablet users (% = –6.99; 95% CI –8.59 to –5.38; P < .001; d = 0.14) and mobile users (% = –6.06; 95% CI –7.59 to –4.52; P < .001; d = 0.12). Similarly, negative emotion severity decreased for both desktop or tablet users (est = –0.34; 95% CI –0.38 to –0.29; P < .001; d = 0.23) and mobile users (est = –0.30; 95% CI –0.34 to –0.26; P < .001; d = 0.20). In addition, high negative emotions decreased for both desktop or tablet users (% = –10.67; 95% CI –12.55 to –8.79; P < .001; d = 0.22) and mobile users (percent = –11.26; 95% CI –13.07 to –9.45; P < .001; d = 0.24).

For survey delay interval (1, 3, 8 min), suicidal ideation severity decreased for those surveyed after delays of 1 min (est = –0.21; 95% CI –0.25 to –0.18; P < .001; d = 0.13), 3 min (est = –0.18; 95% CI –0.24 to –0.12; P < .001; d = 0.11), and 8 min (est = –0.22; 95% CI –0.26 to –0.18; P < .001; d = 0.14). High suicidal ideation also decreased for those surveyed after delays of 1 min (% = –6.06; 95% CI –7.59 to –4.52; P < .001; d = 0.12), 3 min (% = –5.67; 95% CI –8.38 to –2.96; P < .001; d = 0.11), and 8 min (% = –7.42; 95% CI –9.36 to –5.48; P < .001; d = 0.15). Similarly, negative emotion severity decreased for surveyed after delays of 1 min (est = –0.30; 95% CI –0.34 to –0.26; P < .001; d = 0.20), 3 min (est = –0.29; 95% CI –0.37 to –0.21; P < .001; d = 0.19), and 8 min (est = –0.35; 95% CI –0.40 to –0.30; P < .001; d = 0.24). High negative emotions also decreased for those surveyed after delays of 1 min (% = –11.26; 95% CI –13.07 to –9.45; P < .001; d = 0.24), 3 min (% = –10.05; 95% CI –13.37 to –6.74; P < .001; d = 0.21), and 8 min (% = –10.88; 95% CI –13.12 to –8.63; P < .001; d = 0.23).
Sensitivity Analyses Correcting for Multiple Statistical Tests

All findings (P<.05) remained statistically significant after accounting for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure [37].

Discussion

Principal Findings

The results of our evaluation of NowMattersNow.org suggest that this Web-based resource has the potential to address several major challenges to caring for suicidal patients. First, NowMattersNow.org was developed to address a historic need for suicidal patients [15] by providing tailored content to support suicidal individuals and the providers who care for them [19]. During our 32-month evaluation period, there were 138,386 unique visitors to NowMattersNow.org, indicating this site was indeed receiving a fair amount of visitation. Next, over 70% of survey completers recalled having some degree of suicidal thoughts when they arrived at the website. Survey participants, overall, also reported significant reductions in suicidal thoughts. Highly suicidal respondents reported significant decreases in intensity of suicidal thoughts from website entrance to survey. Reductions in suicidal thoughts were matched by similar declines in reported intensity of negative emotions while visiting the website. This result indicated that the site attracted people who are experiencing suicidal thoughts, often at a high level of intensity. The results also suggest that website visits were associated with reductions in suicidal thoughts and negative emotions.

Finally, we examined different types of visitors and found that significant reductions in suicidal thoughts and negative emotions were largely consistent across subgroups. Middle-aged men reported significant reductions in suicidal thoughts and negative emotions. Given that this group makes up 38% of all suicides [35], these results are promising. However, reductions for middle-aged men were not as large as for the rest of the sample; therefore, the website could use greater tailoring toward men. For example, male identification on the survey could trigger an additional box requesting suggestions for improvements or their contact information for follow-up interview or survey about the same topic. About one-fifth of responders identified as mental health or other health care providers, which was overrepresented compared with the general population. These responders reported lower levels of suicidal thoughts and negative emotions. Health care providers likely have visited the website for reasons other than self-help, such as for providing a referral for a patient, as the website is part of clinician training efforts. These findings suggest that the website content is most useful for those for whom it is directly intended: those experiencing suicide ideation. Given the intentional simplicity of the survey, we could not glean information about the motivations for initial visits to the website (eg, experiencing severe negative emotions vs visiting for work). In the future, the survey could be developed to have an opt-out option for health care providers or those visiting the website for reasons not related to their own mental health, but this may also create unintentional stigma toward having suicidal thoughts. When we looked separately at user platform (mobile, desktop, and tablet), positive reductions were found in each group. We found this result to be promising because it may mean the website was showing benefit for youth, given that mobile users tend to be younger [38]. Indeed, the demographic category “age 12-18” was added to the user-experience survey in 2018, and results of these are forthcoming. Interestingly, mobile device users had higher severity ratings across both time points. Therefore, we may seek ways to target mobile audiences who, based on self-report, may be at greater risk for suicide. When we looked at time on website pages before the survey surfaced (1, 3, or 8 min), positive reductions were also consistent across times. This result meant that some users may find benefit in as little as 1 min on the website. We cannot separate the 1-min survey and mobile findings, but both were positive for reducing suicidal ideation.

Limitations

There are important limitations to this study. The primary limitation is that user-experience data were collected at one point in time from individual users, without a control-group comparison. Distraction alone may have had an effect, or the passage of time may be associated with similar reductions. In addition, we do not know if experienced improvements lasted beyond the brief period that the survey covered. In addition, nonresponse bias may account for the findings—these findings may not generalize to people who saw the survey but did not complete it or people who never saw the survey who may not have had positive responses. It is possible that the nature of the survey topic (suicide) may have made some individuals less likely (eg, among those with greater self-stigma or experienced discrimination) or more likely (eg, experiencing the survey as highly relevant) to participate, including those potentially at higher risk of suicide. Another limitation is that participants were asked to rate their pre and post website suicidal thoughts and negative emotions on a single survey. Although this format was designed to maximize survey response, reported reductions in distress may be at least partially attributable to recall bias. Furthermore, the survey has face validity, but other types of validity have not been examined, and we do not know if survey completers represent typical suicidal patients seen in health care settings, our target audience. Finally, although changes in suicidal thoughts and emotions might occur through a number of mechanisms (eg, didactic information, exposure to evidence-based interventions, distraction from emotional suffering, referral to crisis services, return to baseline, placebo effect, and viewing videos of those with lived experiencing discussing stories of recovery), we could not evaluate these mediators given survey limits. Future randomized trials need to examine if this website (or which elements of this website), compared with other sites, is associated with similar or greater reductions in suicidal thought reductions. An in-progress randomized controlled trial comparing risk of suicide attempt (over 18 months) among patients reporting suicidal ideation will shed additional light on the utility of its content. Patients invited to a skills training intervention, utilizing a guided interactive version of the NowMattersNow.org website, will be compared with patients randomized to usual care [39].
Conclusions

NowMattersNow.org is a Web-based resource with the potential to help address gaps in suicide prevention and treatment resources for mental health professionals. Our findings supported use of the website as originally intended—as a support tool for providers who identify suicidal patients in their practice. In light of our results indicating website use is associated with reductions in suicidal thoughts, we suggest that health care providers can, with some confidence, refer suicidal people for short-term management of suicidal thoughts and negative emotions. For example, when suicidal patients are discovered as part of routine screening for depression, providers could share this website with the patient as part of safety planning procedures, which includes a list of options for managing suicidal thoughts [40]. Indeed, a number of health care providers are directed to the website through the Zero Suicide initiative, which has trained over 500 health systems to provide better suicide care. The resource is shared in nearly all of these trainings [41].
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Abstract

Background: Blended group therapy (bGT) has been investigated several times for anxiety and depression, but information on patients’ adherence to and therapists’ perception of the novel format is nonexistent. Furthermore, many studies investigated mainly female and highly educated populations, limiting the validity of previous findings.

Objective: This study aimed to reduce the gaps and limitations of the previous findings by evaluating an integrated internet- and mobile-supported bGT format.

Methods: A total of 27 patients diagnosed with major depression (14/27, 52% female and 7/27, 25.9% compulsory education) participated in a 7-week treatment at a university outpatient clinic. Furthermore, 8 novice therapists participated in semistructured interviews and a subsequent cross-validation survey.

Results: Primary symptom reduction was high ($d=1.31$ to $1.51$) and remained stable for the follow-up period. Therapists identified advantages (e.g., patient engagement, treatment intensification, and improved therapeutic relation) and disadvantages (e.g., increased workload, data issues, and undesired effects) of bGT. The required online guidance time was 10.3 min per patient and week, including guidance on exercises (67% or 6.9 min) and intimate communication (33% or 3.4 min). Concerning patients’ adherence to bGT, tracked completion of all Web-based and mobile tasks was high and comparable with group attendance.

Conclusions: Results suggest high feasibility of bGT in a gender-balanced, moderately educated sample. bGT provides group therapists with tools for individual care, resulting in an optimization of the therapy process, and high completion rates of the implemented bGT elements. The limited work experience of the involved therapists restricts the study findings, and potential drawbacks need to be regarded in the development of future bGT interventions.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e11860) doi:10.2196/11860

KEYWORDS

eHealth; mobile phone; computer-assisted therapy; monitoring; group therapy; depression; professional-patient relations

https://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e11860/
**Introduction**

**Background**

Depression is one of the most prevalent mental disorders and a leading cause of disability. It imposes suffering and high costs on individuals, societies, and health systems [1]. In line with international research priorities [2,3], different forms of mobile- and internet-based interventions constitute innovative and efficient strategies to deliver evidence-based psychological treatments for common mental health disorders [4-8].

Among their most frequent formats, mobile and Web-based interventions offer flexible and anonymous access to mental health services, resulting in low social barriers and low risk of stigmatization [9,10]. Owing to the high degree of automatization, those interventions guarantee standardized treatments to a highly scalable extent. These properties make them attractive for mental health care organizations and have led to the launch of the first routine online clinics [11-13].

However, Web-based and mobile interventions also exhibit limitations as they do not meet all patients’ needs and preferences, and therapist contact usually is restricted to a wide degree. Furthermore, therapeutic guidance frequently is associated with better treatment outcomes and reduced dropout rates [14,15]. Finally, many therapists lack experience with this novel approach and hold more cautious attitudes toward Web-based interventions [16]. Comparable levels of caution and awareness have been found among different interest parties (eg, mental health care providers and policy makers), contributing to a frequently discussed retardation of dissemination efforts [17]. Therefore, it is crucial to gain further insight into therapists’ perception and acceptance of technology-minded treatments.

The techniques developed in the field of Web-based therapy [7,18-21] can also be harnessed to improve existing forms of face-to-face therapy, resulting in a continuum of blended treatments (Figure 1). Within blended interventions (synonymous computer- or mobile-supported interventions), the spectrum of possible applications ranges from adjuncts to psychotherapy [22], which can be applied before, after, or during treatment [23,24], to more integrated forms of therapy in which Web-based or mobile elements and personal sessions are more deeply intertwined into one treatment rationale [25-27]. A growing number of studies show that blended interventions can lead to shortened treatments in which less therapist time is needed to achieve substantial effects [23,26,28]. Simultaneously, Web-based or mobile elements can be deployed to optimize the therapeutic process, to foster transfer, and to boost effects of classical treatments. In this regard, first studies in routine care found additive effects of traditional face-to-face therapy augmented with Web-based therapy elements [29,30].

According to therapists, patients can profit from blended interventions in the form of increased treatment accessibility and flexibility, as well as from the improvement of patients’ self-management and the optimal use of face-to-face sessions [31,32]. Additionally, mental health care providers, policy makers, and other such organizations seem to have a more positive conception of blended therapy compared with pure Web-based therapy [17], and therapists seem to prefer the blended format because it is associated with less risks (eg, diagnostic process) [16]. Among the potential disadvantages of the integrated format, therapists frequently remark that blended therapy is not feasible for all patients and that the format at times could hamper the therapeutic process—in particular, the establishment of the therapeutic alliance [31,32]. Consequently, those issues should be investigated in more detail in patient- and therapist-related studies.

While most blended research focuses on individual therapy [23], less is known about its potential for group therapy. Psychological groups have a broad range of applications in inpatient and outpatient settings [33]; and the spectrum ranges from informational groups, over psychoeducational groups, to group counseling and group psychotherapy [34]. So far, the feasibility and effects of blended group therapy (bGT; synonymous computer- or app-supported group therapy) have been investigated in terms of brief interventions for depression and anxiety. For example, computer-based relaxation, cognitive restructuring, and self-control desensitization have been found to be supportive in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) [35]. Furthermore, first evidence for the efficiency of brief bGT for social anxiety disorder and GAD was found in small comparative trials, leading to significant symptom reductions in a comparably short time period [36,37]. As for depression, several feasibility studies investigated the merits of supportive computer- and mobile-based elements. For example, a tablet-guided behavioral activation (BA) intervention was found to be feasible for the treatment of major depressive disorder [38]. In another study, Aguilera et al found beneficial effects of group therapy augmented with monitoring and text messaging [39]. Furthermore, a brief resource-oriented bGT intervention was developed by our workgroup to address depression by means of a low-threshold, stigma-free treatment strategy. The results revealed high feasibility in terms of client satisfaction and observed between-group treatment effects. Moreover, assessed parameters of treatment adherence (eg, self-reported exercising) indicated high acceptability of bGT elements [40-41]. In a subsequent qualitative investigation (including 13 patients of this study), the use of technology was described as a therapeutic factor, facilitating insight, exercising, and treatment transfer [42].
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Objectives

This study wants to carry this work forward by investigating an integrated bGT intervention based on Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) principles [43], complemented with elements of BA [44]. As in previous studies, patients’ self-reported depressiveness and general health, as well as ACT-specific variables and standardized measures for service satisfaction and usability, were assessed. For the first time, log data were tracked to provide reliable information on completion rates of computer- and mobile-based elements. Focusing on the therapist-related feasibility of bGT, this study includes therapist interviews and a subsequent follow-up survey. As a related aspect, the amount of weekly online guidance was recorded to ascertain therapists’ between-session workload.

Methods

Participants

The trial was preregistered at the German trial register (DRKS Number: DRKS00010888), and the regional ethics committee of the University of Salzburg approved the study procedure. Participants were recruited via a multimodal recruitment strategy by handing out flyers in public health centers and densely populated public areas and by advertisements on depression-related Web pages. After registering on the study platform participants obtained detailed information about the
procedure and goals of the study and were asked to give informed consent.

The selection of participants followed 2 steps. Participants were asked to fill out a short screening questionnaire. This included the short version of the Center of Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale [45,46] and additional questions regarding current and past psychological or medical treatment. Participants reporting at least mild levels of depression (CES-D>17) and no suicidal ideation, critical drinking, or past or recent history of severe psychiatric conditions were invited to take part in a diagnostic interview.

Personal clinical interviews were conducted by 3 independent and experienced psychologists, applying the German Mini-Diagnostic Interview for Psychological Disorders (DIPS) [47]. The Mini-DIPS is a 30- to 45-min version of the German DIPS [48], based on the International Classification of Diseases-10 depression criteria. Participants were deemed eligible if the following criteria applied: aged between 18 and 65 years, suffering from mild-to-moderate levels of major depression and/or dysthymia; and/or mild-to-moderate comorbid anxiety, as well as familiarity with the use of personal computers and possession of a smartphone. According to clinical judgement, participants were excluded if they suffered from severe depression (>7 criteria, including main symptoms), severe anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, any schizoaffective disorder, severe psychiatric and psychotic conditions, substance abuse, suicidal ideation, or if they exhibited low German language and/or computer skills. Participants were also excluded if they currently underwent psychotherapy. Psychiatric medication was tolerated but has been kept constant for at least 3 months before study onset. Figure 2 presents the flowchart demonstrating the recruitment and research procedure in detail.

Procedure

After preassessment, participants were provided with access to the internet platform (Minddistrict) and scheduled to one of 2 weekly groups, depending on personal preferences. To provide personal support in case of technical problems, the app-based diary was installed at the end of the first group session. Group meetings lasted 7 weeks, and each session was preceded by a preparatory Web-based module. The therapist gave supportive feedback after completion of a given Web-based session and occasionally gave reminders to participants by sending out prompts via the platform. The app-based diary complemented the blended treatment with a focus on the transfer of previously learned techniques into daily life. Participants were free to logon to the platform after treatment had ended but did no longer receive therapist guidance. As recommended by several guidelines [33], group sessions were held in a double trainer format which lasted 90 min each. One week after the last group session, the Web-based post assessment had to be filled out and follow-up assessment took place 3 months later.

Figure 2. Study flow chart. ITT: intention to treat.
Intervention

The 7 weeks intense group treatment was based on the ACT and BA principles. ACT [43] is one of the several new treatments originating from cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). The core principles of this contemporary approach can be divided into mindfulness and acceptance techniques (acceptance, cognitive defusion, and self as context) and behavior change techniques (contact with the present moment, values, and committed action). Even though ACT and BA diverge regarding certain theoretical assumptions (ie, proposed mechanisms of action) [49], they also share many communalities (eg, clarification of goals or strong emphasis on behavioral techniques). Therefore, ACT-based behavior change techniques can be complemented by BA principles [50]. The current treatment rationale was recreated based on a previous intervention, merging ACT and BA into one integrated rationale [51]. Detailed information on intervention content and design can be obtained from Table 1 and Figure 3.

With regard to the use of computer- and mobile-based elements, the patients’ weekly routine consisted of 3 steps. First, a preparatory Web-based module, featuring video clips, text-based tasks, and an asynchronous therapist chat, had to be completed. Afterward, patients received individualized feedback from the assigned therapist (if applicable within 2 days). Second, patients participated in the weekly reunions, which again were partially complemented by modern media (ie, short clips or PowerPoint presentations). As a last step, patients were guided by weekly mobile phone diary tasks, which were scheduled for 7 days following the weekly group session. All reminders and prompts were modifiable according to personal preferences, and wherever possible, therapists were instructed to balance media and personal treatment elements according to patient needs and their professional judgement. If patients did not adhere to the Web-based tasks, therapists were instructed to send out a prompt in the middle of the treatment week and, again, once on the day before the forthcoming group session. If patients complained about the number of reminders, the prompts were reduced or stopped.

Table 1. Group sessions and computer and multimedia elements of the intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Web-based module</th>
<th>Group session</th>
<th>App</th>
<th>Workbook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction into mindfulness</td>
<td>Introduction into ACT&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, mindfulness</td>
<td>Feature 1: Mindfulness in daily life</td>
<td>List of mindful activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Natural suffering and suffering through avoidance</td>
<td>Avoidance and acceptance</td>
<td>Feature 2: Acceptance</td>
<td>Acceptance of a difficult situation, topic, character trait, or conflict; Reflection on mindfulness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Defusion</td>
<td>Fusion and defusion</td>
<td>Feature 3: Defusion</td>
<td>Typical examples of defusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Values, goals, and self-management</td>
<td>Values, mastery, and self-management</td>
<td>Feature 4: Mastery activities</td>
<td>Bull’s-eye exercise; Example and sheet for SMART&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; principle; Activity planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>Commitment and positive reinforcement</td>
<td>Feature 5a: “Do activities” Feature 5b: “Do not activities”</td>
<td>Determination, ranking, and planning of do- and do not activities; Self-management; Activity planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Expansion of behavioral activation</td>
<td>Expansion of behavioral activation</td>
<td>Continuation of previous features of the app</td>
<td>Contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Review and transfer</td>
<td>Transfer and conclusion</td>
<td>Continuation of previous features of the app</td>
<td>Plan for relapse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>ACT: Acceptance and Commitment Therapy.

<sup>b</sup>SMART: frequent self-management principle.
Figure 3. User interfaces of the web-based platform and the smartphone app.

Therapists
A total of 8 novice therapists (2 male and 6 female) conducted the groups in a double trainer setting. Of the 8 therapists, 2 finished their master’s degree (MSc) or Doctor of Science in psychology and underwent tertiary training in psychotherapy (CBT), clinical psychology (CBT), or medicine at the time of the intervention. The remaining 5 therapists were in their final year of clinical psychology (MSc) and had clinical experience with conducting classical forms of individual or group therapy, as well as with drafting psychological expert reports. None of the therapists had previous experience with conducting bGT or any other form of Web-based therapy. All participating therapists underwent previous training (minimum 40 hours), including a 6-digital versatile disc ACT-series (ACT in Action) and 2 textbooks [52,53] encompassing sections on difficult situations in the ACT. Therapists also protocolled their weekly group sessions. Adherence to the foreseen treatment course was supported by in- and between-session media and technology elements. Of the 8 therapists, 2 therapists participated in a previous bGT study [41] and 6 participated in this study. At the time of the therapist interviews (3 to 12 months after study end), all except 1 therapist were in tertiary clinical trainings for psychotherapy (3 therapists; CBT and client-centered therapy), clinical psychology (3 therapists; CBT), or medicine (1 therapist).

Outcome Measures

Primary Outcomes
The principal outcome of the study was reduction of depressed mood. It was measured by the short version of the German translation of the CES-D scale [45,46]. This questionnaire measures interactive, cognitive, and somatic symptoms, as well as emotions and motor functions related to depression. The 16 items are rated on a 4-point Likert scale. Any value above 17 is interpreted as critical. The German version’s critical threshold (>17) has high discriminative validity; pointed out by a sensitivity of 90%, a specificity of 87% [45], and an area-under-the-curve value of 0.94 [54]. The reliability of the CES-D has been shown to be high [46]. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .90.

As a more general self-report questionnaire that measures psychological distress, nonspecific current mental health, and the risk of developing psychological disorders, the General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12 [55]) was used. The questionnaire has shown solid reliability [56] and good intercultural validity [57]. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .84.

Secondary Outcomes
Psychological flexibility (ie, acceptance of unpleasant feelings, worry, and control agendas) is the central psychological construct of the ACT and was measured by the Fragebogen zu Akzeptanz und Handeln II [58]. This is the German version of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II) by Bond et al [59]. The 7 items are rated on a 7-point Likert scale. The Cronbach alpha of the this study was .89.

Anxiety was measured with the Anxious Thoughts Inventory (AnTi) [60] (German translation [61]). It analyzes 3 dimensions of worry: social worry, physical health worry, and meta-worry (worry about worries). The 22 items are rated on a 4-point Likert scale. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .87.

Finally, worry was measured with the PSWQ-3 [62], a short form of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire [63]. It is a questionnaire that assesses self-reported key aspects of worry in GAD. The 3 items are rated on a 5-point Likert scale. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .74.

Client Satisfaction and System Usability
System usability of applied app and Web elements was measured by the System Usability Scale (SUS) [64]. The SUS is a robust questionnaire with 10 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale. The sum score ranges from 1 to 100. SUS scores >85.5 classify...
excellent usability; scores ≤ 85.5 and > 71.4 classify as good, scores ≤ 71.4 and > 50.9 as OK, scores ≤ 50.9 and > 35.7 as poor, and scores ≤ 35.7 and > 20.3 as awful [65]. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .78.

The ZUF-8 (Fragebogen zur Patientenzufriedenheit) [66], the German version of the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire-8 [67], was used to assess several aspects of participants’ overall treatment satisfaction. The 8 items are rated on a 4-point Likert scale. The total score can range from 8 to 32, with a cut-off value of 24 [68] to grade a person as dissatisfied. The Cronbach alpha in this study was .94. For the mobile-based app, adherence was defined as more than 3 weekly entries.

**Statistical Analyses**

SPSS 24 (SPSS Inc) was used to carry out the analyses. Significant differences between pre, post, and follow-up were analyzed by linear mixed models, with compound symmetry as covariance type and restricted maximum likelihood estimation. Missing outcome values were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat (ITT) principle. Individual pre to post changes served as a base for the reliable change indexes (RCIs) [69]. We used internal consistency as a parameter for RCI reliability [70]. The reliable change criteria were 5.87 scale points for the CES-D and 4.87 for the GHQ-12. For the assessment of change, within-group effect sizes were calculated with pooled SD and reported in Cohen d [71]. Power analysis was executed with G*Power [72]. We assumed that the effect size for the secondary outcomes may only lie in the medium range. Thus, an estimated sample size of N=22 was calculated for a medium within-subjects effect size of d=0.65 (alpha error=.05; power beta=.90).

**Qualitative Analyses**

On the basis of a structured interview guide (Multimedia Appendix 1), audiotaped therapist interviews were conducted by the first author (RS). Interviews lasted between 28 and 56 min (mean 44) and were transcribed by 2 independent psychologists who also analyzed the material obtained. MAXQDA was used to conduct the analysis. Analysts were blind to the outcomes and identity of participants. The qualitative content analysis [73] served as the method of information extraction by applying a deductive extraction based on the addressed research questions. After analyzing one third of the transcript, both psychologists and the first author (RS) jointly revised the code system to reach agreement on the applied coding system. Principal codes closely related to the structured interview guide were then specified into further emerging subthemes. After content analysis, a set of follow-up questions was surveyed anonymously to depict the degree of consensus on particular findings among the interviewed therapists. Of the 30 items, 10 items related to design aspects will be reported in a further publication on bGT design. The complete list of follow-up questions was translated by a bilingual psychologist and is presented in (Multimedia Appendix 2).

**Results**

**Participants**

A comprehensive overview of participant characteristics at baseline is provided in Table 2. Men and women were equally represented (52%, 14/27 female.), with a mean age of 37.7 years (SD 13.7), and relatively low levels of education and employment status. Furthermore, 1 patient withdrew from treatment, resulting in a completion rate of 96% (26/27). During the study period, 3 patients reported changes in medication. According to ITT principles, those patients remained in the analyses. Detailed information on participants’ enrolment and participation throughout the study can be seen in Figure 2.
Table 2. Demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics of the sample at pretreatment (N=27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>37.70 (13.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female n (%)</td>
<td>14 (51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9 years (compulsory school)</td>
<td>12 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12 years (A level)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥any tertiary education (eg, university)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (40.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time</td>
<td>6 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part time</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/marginally</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently in education</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current psychopharmacological treatment, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous psychotherapeutic treatment, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer experience, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (92.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily use</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly use</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F32.0 (mild depressive episode)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F32.1 (moderate depressive episode)</td>
<td>10 (37.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F33.0 (recurrent depressive episode, current episode mild)</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F33.1 (recurrent depressive episode, current episode moderate)</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F33.4 (recurrent depressive disorder, in remission—elevated levels of depression)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10.1/2 (harmful use of alcohol/addiction)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F40.0 (agoraphobia without panic disorder)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F40.1 (social phobia)</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F40.2 (specific phobia)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F41.1 (generalized anxiety disorder)</td>
<td>3 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F43.2 (adjustment disorder)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F50.2 (bulimia nervosa)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary and Secondary Outcomes

Linear mixed models unveiled significant changes in all outcome measures, and pre- to posteffect sizes for primary outcomes were large to very large ($d=1.31$ to $1.51$). The primary outcome CES-D showed a statistically significant decrease in self-reported depressiveness, with an $F$ value of $F_{2,43.323}=18.94$, $P<.001$. For the CES-D, 74% (20/27) of participants exhibited RCIs from pre to post assessment (deteriorations=3.7% [1/27]). Self-reported psychological distress, measured by the GHQ-12, decreased significantly, $F_{2,41.616}=12.04$, $P<.001$, and RCI was found in 63% (17/27) of participants (deterioration=0% [0/27]). Estimated means, SDs, effect sizes, and RCIs of both scales are depicted in Table 3.

For applied secondary outcomes, the treatment resulted in less pronounced effects ($d=0.38$ to $d=0.71$). The AAQ-II revealed a significant change over time, $F_{2,39.710}=10.41$, $P<.001$, and an effect size of $d=0.59$. A comparable pattern was found with regard to the AnTi, $F_{2,39.450}=12.68$, $P<.001$, and $d=0.72$, and with regard to the PSWQ-3, $F_{2,39.447}=4.11$, $P<.001$, and $d=0.37$. For further information on estimated means, SDs, and effect sizes, see Table 3.
Table 3. Means, SDs, effect sizes (Cohen $d$), and reliable change for primary and secondary outcomes (N=27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Estimated mean (SD)</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Effect sizes (estimated mean [95% CI], pre to post effect size)</th>
<th>Reliable change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Pre to post RCI$^a$</td>
<td>Pre to follow-up RCI$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D$^b$</td>
<td>22.44 (5.18)</td>
<td>13.56 (6.48)</td>
<td>12.19 (7.94)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.89 to 2.09)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHQ-12$^c$</td>
<td>16.07 (5.41)</td>
<td>9.63 (4.39)</td>
<td>11.94 (7.12)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.70 to 1.87)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAQ-II$^d$</td>
<td>26.15 (8.87)</td>
<td>20.71 (8.85)</td>
<td>18.63 (9.71)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.02 to 1.14)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnTi$^f$</td>
<td>44.33 (10.22)</td>
<td>36.46 (10.45)</td>
<td>36.25 (11.59)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.14 to 1.27)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSWQ-3$^g$</td>
<td>7.63 (2.50)</td>
<td>6.67 (2.76)</td>
<td>6.50 (2.97)</td>
<td>0.37 (–0.19 to 0.91)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$RCI: reliable change index.
$^b$CES-D: Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale.
$^c$GHQ-12: general health questionnaire (12-item version).
$^d$AAQ-II: Acceptance and Actions Questionnaire.
$^e$Not applicable.
$^f$AnTi: Anxious Thoughts Inventory.
$^g$PSWQ-3: Penn State Worry Questionnaire (ultra-short version).

Maintenance of Treatment Effects
After a follow-up period of 3 months, the reduction of self-reported depression (CES-D) remained stable ($F_{1,23.565}=29.98, P<.001$) and 78% (21/27) of participants exhibited RCI (deteriorations=7.4% [2/27]). With regard to self-reported psychological distress (GHQ-12), participants indicated significant effects from pre to follow-up, $F_{1,22.758}=4.82, P=.04$, and RCI was found in 52% of participants (14/27) (deteriorations=11.1% [3/27]). Contrary to self-reported depressiveness, treatment effects on psychological distress regressed slightly during the follow-up period. However, these reductions failed to rise above the level of statistical significance (contrast: $t_{27}=1.39; P=.17$). Stable treatment effects were also found for the 3 secondary outcomes: psychological flexibility (AAQ-II) $F_{1,18.857}=12.59, P=.002$; anxious thoughts (AnTi) $F_{1,17.771}=12.04, P=.003$; and worry (PSWQ-3) $F_{1,18.825}=4.60, P=.04$. Further information can be obtained from Table 3.

Client Satisfaction and System Usability
System usability of applied app and Web elements, measured by the SUS [64], unveiled an average system usability of 65.33 (SD 18.95) of 100 possible scale points. Accordingly, system usability can be classified as OK to good [65]. Participant’s service satisfaction, measured by the ZUF-8 [66], assessed an average satisfaction of mean 26.43 (SD 4.80) on a 32-point scale, indicating “good” client satisfaction. However, according to the weekly documentation of group sessions, group coherence in 1 group was low, and the group climate would have profited from including personality disorders (Cluster A and B) in the diagnostic procedure.

Intervention Usage and Therapeutic Guidance
Besides group attendance=82.4% (5.9/7 sessions), usage of digital elements was high (Figure 4): completion rate of Web-based modules 76% (5.3/7 modules), and 67% (14/21 entries) for the mobile-based diary app. However, the average number of app entries during treatment (mean 33) exhibited great variety (range 0 to 246). The average time therapists spent in the guiding of weekly Web-based modules was mean 10.3 min per patient, including guidance on accomplished exercises (67% or 6.9 min) and lateral patient-therapist communication (33% or 3.4 min). Thus, two-third of the total guidance was dedicated to the supervision of Web-based tasks, whereas intimate patient-to-therapist communication constituted the remaining third. There was a trend toward a reduction of guidance as the study progressed, and the single groups differed in the required guidance time.
Therapist Interviews and Subsequent Follow-Up Survey

The therapists’ experiences with and attitudes toward bGT can be described as cautiously positive to positive. Important themes concerned the functionality and applicability of bGT and patients’ interaction with the format, as well as the general appraisal of bGT. Table 4 depicts main themes, subthemes, and frequently assigned codes of the interviews. With Cohen kappa=0.49, interrater agreement was sufficiently high. Interview results were subsequently validated by an anonymous follow-up survey, which was based on the content of the interviews (Table 5).

In the wider perspective, therapists agreed that bGT can have a positive impact on current forms of group therapy and that they had more positive attitudes toward bGT after applying this format. Perceived merits of bGT were augmented monitoring, in addition to patients’ responsiveness to given online reminders in terms of increased treatment adherence. Most therapists agreed that patients would profit from the technology-aided treatment transfer and from the repeated presentation of therapy materials (platform, app, and group sessions). Furthermore, they agreed that Web-based modules would prepare patients for subsequent group reunions. A total of 6 out of 8 therapists reported that particular patients disclosed more openly via private Web-based communication (online disinhibition effect) compared with the group meetings. Individual differences emerged in the preference of particular treatment elements. Although some therapists emphasized the added value of between-session elements, others underpinned the merits of applied in-session tools.

With regard to the potential risks of bGT, a consensus emerged that in-session media should be applied cautiously (eg, overloaded sessions) and that the intervention at times may have hampered some of the desired group dynamics (eg, too little time for discussions). In this context, the preservation of technology-free group sessions was suggested. Furthermore, 2 therapists also advocated a cautious use of Web-based reminders and prompts to prevent less interested patients from feeling overwhelmed or discouraged. During the interview, 1 therapist expressed serious concerns about data safety.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main theme and subtheme</th>
<th>Frequent codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Content repeatable; greater learning effect; increased engagement with therapy tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapists</td>
<td>Additional information through monitoring; helpful for younger therapists; guiding thread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>Patients more open (online disinhibition); building relationship through intimate Web-based communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disadvantages</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Additional effort; data security; limited management of acute crisis; predefined treatment course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific</td>
<td>Effects on group climate and cohesion; sessions overloaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General evaluation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Contemporary; suitable for in-patient settings; improved handling with increased routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Preference toward classic therapy; more training than therapy; technical issues; initial skepticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web-based communication</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online reminders</td>
<td>Require organized working style; increase compliance; unwanted effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online feedback</td>
<td>Important feature; needs to be short in duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients’ differences</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional classic treatment path</td>
<td>Adaptation to patient preferences; possible side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences in patients</td>
<td>Not for severe depression; amount of required guidance time; differences in media affinity; requires openness and compliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Benefits and drawbacks of blended group therapy (bGT) according to interview follow-up survey (n=8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree (rather agree)</th>
<th>Disagree (rather disagree)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am more open after experience with bGT</td>
<td>25 (75)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.25 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am more critical after experience with bGT</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (88)</td>
<td>1.86 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have serious concerns about data safety</td>
<td>0 (25)</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bGT may also be feasible for in-patient treatment</td>
<td>13 (75)</td>
<td>0 (13)</td>
<td>3 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages of more flexible working hours because of Web-based guidance</td>
<td>50 (38)</td>
<td>13 (0)</td>
<td>3.25 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer elements should be used for in-session support</td>
<td>50 (25)</td>
<td>0 (25)</td>
<td>3.25 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overuse of in-session media can hamper group dynamics</td>
<td>50 (38)</td>
<td>0 (13)</td>
<td>3.38 (0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overuse of in-session media did hamper dynamics in my groups</td>
<td>0 (25)</td>
<td>50 (25)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer elements should be used for between-session support</td>
<td>63 (38)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform prepares patients optimally for group reunions</td>
<td>38 (63)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.38 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated application of therapy content fosters abilities (CE, app, and session)</td>
<td>38 (63)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.38 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reminders increased compliance with Web-based tasks</td>
<td>13 (75)</td>
<td>0 (13)</td>
<td>3.00 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bGT cannot increase treatment transfer</td>
<td>0 (13)</td>
<td>38 (50)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reminders did exert a lot of pressure on some patients</td>
<td>13 (50)</td>
<td>0 (38)</td>
<td>2.75 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional between-session therapist time needs to be reimbursed</td>
<td>88 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.88 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients shared additional private concerns over platform (online disinhibition)</td>
<td>50 (34)</td>
<td>0 (17)</td>
<td>3.33 (0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-session contact made me feel more connected with clients</td>
<td>17 (83)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3.17 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-session contact does not promote relationship with client</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>33 (67)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Exact wording is provided in Multimedia Appendix 2.

*b*Slides and videos.

*c*Platform, app, and monitoring.

*d*Optional questions only applied to 6 therapists.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This study investigated the feasibility of a mobile- and Web-supported bGT for depression, with a focus on therapists’ perception of and patients’ adherence to the novel format. High effects on self-reported depressiveness and general health, as well as beneficial effects on ACT-related secondary outcomes, were observed. Effects remained stable over a short follow-up period. Therapist interviews revealed high treatment applicability and perceived benefits concerned treatment availability and monitoring and transfer, as well as the establishment of the therapeutic relation. On an average, therapists spent 10 min per patient per week with online guidance, with decreasing guidance over the course of time and variation between individual groups. Regarding patients’ system usage, participants almost equally engaged in weekly group reunions and Web-based tasks. Usage patterns of the mobile-based diary varied to some extent.

Applied primary outcome measures indicated substantial effects on self-reported depressiveness and general health after the outpatient treatment had ended. Observed effects correspond to earlier bGT depression studies [40,41,74], to benchmarking meta-analyses on group therapy [75-77], and to recent group therapy trials in routine care [78,79]. As guideline-based group CBT usually entails 15- to 20-hour sessions [33], high treatment effects where achieved in a comparably short period of time. Although the most observed effects remained stable, self-reported general health decreased slightly but non significantly at follow-up. To further increase treatment success, different forms of online aftercare [23,24] could easily be integrated into bGT and flexible care solutions, such as discontinuous groups, booster sessions, or online groups [80,81], can be facilitated by bGT. As a related aspect, long-term effects of bGT need to be studied in future trials.

This study adds a first therapist-related perspective to the growing evidence on bGT. Retrospectively, novice therapists described the format as contemporary, featuring patient- and therapist-related, as well as interactional, advantages. They reported patients to engage intensely with the bGT tasks, leading to strong self-reported depressiveness and general health gains. Future work should focus on identifying the role of specific digital components and monitoring of real-time data to enhance treatment outcomes.
patients to be well prepared for the next group session. Furthermore, they appreciated the format for providing flexible working hours, as well as information about the individual treatment progress. Even though not all therapists were initially fond of the novel format, personal experience increased the self-reported willingness to work with the novel approach [82,83]. As for the perceived disadvantages, therapists mentioned the additional between-session workload and some preferred a more classical format. In this context, some therapists stated that it may be difficult to attract experienced or less-interested therapists and that the treatment had a more training-like character. Furthermore, patients should not feel overwhelmed by the use of technology or the intensity of treatment (eg, reminders).

With regard to the reported improvement of therapeutic alliance, the therapist back-end system allowed personalized feedback on completed tasks (two-thirds of the time), as well as intimate lateral communication between therapists and clients (one-third of the time). Interviewed therapists appreciated both functionalities, and according to the therapists, patients responded to online prompts, resulting in an increased completion of outstanding therapy tasks. As a last consideration, all therapists that used software with implemented confidential communication (6 out of 8 therapists) reported that some of their patients disclosed more openly via intimate lateral communication. This phenomenon can be classified as a form of the online disinhibition effect [84]. In a previous study, the online disinhibition in bGT seemed to be fostered by the perceived intimacy between the patient and therapist in the absence of an additional audience [42].

As another important feasibility criterion, the amount of additional workload because of Web-based guidance is from particular relevance [85]. Beyond doubt, the time required by therapists depends on the implemented tasks of a given intervention. We found a moderate amount of additional workload in an intervention designed to provide close between-session guidance. Most therapists expected further reductions of required guidance time with a growing routine in conducting bGT. Therapist support is frequently associated with improved treatment adherence and lower dropout rates [15]. Here, bGT can be a reasonable alternative to existing formats, such as Web-based therapy or blended individual therapy.

Treatment flexibility is of particular interest in outpatient groups, as the scheduling of group sessions is usually restricted to evening hours on a specific weekday. On one hand, technology-induced treatment flexibility is appreciated by patients [32,42]. On the other hand, more flexible working hours may also prove to be attractive for certain therapists. Here, increases in flexibility are achieved by moving working hours toward Web-based guidance between sessions. In a double trainer setting with a group size of 8 patients, the expected Web-based guidance for 4 patients takes around 45 min per therapist and week. In this regard, surveyed therapists uniformly emphasized the relevance of reimbursement for Web-based guidance time. Even though this additional workload can easily be compensated by shortening the overall treatment duration [28], such shortening should be carried out carefully and in accordance with patient needs [86] (eg, time to establish trust in the group).

bGT takes a special position in the field of internet interventions. First, bGT can be a cost-efficient treatment option situated between guided Web-based interventions and blended individual therapy (Figure 1). Compared with Web-based interventions, bGT preserves real-world contact at slightly higher costs. When compared with individual therapy, however, bGT can lead to similar cost savings as known from classical group therapy. Second, group phenomena could be harnessed to support therapist efforts to promote compliance with Web-based tasks [42,87]. Compared with Web-based interventions that sometimes suffer from low adherence rates [15,88], patients engaged to a wide extent in the featured Web-based tasks, as results indicate comparable adherence to group sessions and technology-based elements. Finally, bGT blurs distinctions between individual and group therapy, as it brings a high degree of individualized care to the group format. For example, it opens new ways for intimate patient-to-therapist communication, and it routinely provides therapists with individual information on treatment progress or potential problems [74].

With regard to potential disadvantages of bGT, therapists mentioned that certain participants may feel overwhelmed by the close monitoring of between-session activities or by the number of set reminders. For this reason, the intensity of monitoring and Web-based activities should be adaptable to patient needs. As a second aspect, 2 therapists expressed concerns about data safety. These concerns should be treated with high priority to prevent therapists from being deterred. Third, extensive in-session media use was described as a risk factor, potentially dampening desired group dynamics. Although observable incidences were reported less frequently (Table 5), bGT interventions can profit from a cautious implementation of in-session technology. Fourth, some therapists stated that it may be more difficult to attract experienced or less interested therapists. Thus, incentives seem important to make bGT a workable approach (eg, reimbursement of Web-based guidance time, flexibility of working hours, and balance of work tasks). As a last aspect, 1 therapist mentioned the limited management of acute crisis, which theoretically may be induced by Web-based elements or between-session tasks. Here, technology can provide new ways of emergency management too, for example, by the installation of an emergency button, as seen in a blended app-supported problem-solving treatment for patients with intentional self-harm [89].

This study has several noteworthy strengths and limitations. First, this study adds a first therapist-related perspective to previous findings on bGT [37-42]. Second, it applies a multimodal research strategy (eg, triangulation of quantitative and qualitative methods and implementation of log data) to investigate feasibility in a more holistic way. Third, in accordance with recommendations on the documented use of technology [90], this study provides detailed and objectively measured information on Web-based and mobile app completion rates. Fourth, compared with previous bGT depression studies [40,41] and studies on Web-based interventions [91,92], the current sample composition is more balanced with regard to gender and the level of education. Finally, the study reports
deterioration rates and possible risks associated with the novel format.

Among its most important limitations, this study was designed and powered to investigate the feasibility of bGT for depression. The study design, therefore, does not allow any conclusions about technology-induced increases in efficiency or effectiveness. Together with blended individual therapy trials [29,30], future research will have to determine the merits of bGT in terms of augmented treatment effects. Second, many different constellations of blended therapy exist and heterogeneity within the field is high [23]. At hand, findings primarily represent the more integrated forms of blended therapy, whereas less-integrated forms (eg, adjunct Web-based programs) may differ in the therapist’s guidance, the flexibility of treatment, or the intensity of treatment. In this context, bGT concepts for group psychotherapy (>15 to 20 sessions), as well as blends of internet interventions with telegroup therapy [93,94], and discontinuous groups should be developed. Third, even though conducted in an outpatient clinic, the study setting restricts generalizability, as groups were held at an affiliated university center for psychotherapy and counseling, and the sample was self-selected. Therefore, it is probable that clients were more interested in this kind of treatment. Furthermore, the treatment was carried out by novice therapists. Although some study aspects appear less prone to introducing bias (ie, Web-based guidance time or log files), it is likely that novice therapists are more adaptable to innovations. More ample evaluations of therapist views exist in neighboring fields, such as individual blended therapy, tele therapy, and Web-based therapy [16,31,93,94].

Conclusions

This study adds a first therapist perspective to previous research on bGT. Feasibility was supported within a university outpatient setting, treating a demographically balanced sample with a short but intense ACT-based group intervention. Even though the intervention entailed a variety of Web- and app-based elements, the amount of online guidance was manageable, and guidance resulted in more flexible working hours. The Web-based platform was appreciated for the implementation of between-session monitoring and the establishment of therapeutic alliance. According to therapists, compliance with CBT tasks can be fostered by prompts via the Web-based platform, resulting in high adherence rates. Potential negative effects of blending should be regarded in the design and implementation of bGT interventions.
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Abstract

Background: Dementia is a major cause of disability and dependency in older adults worldwide. It is often accompanied by general psychological distress, such as depression and anxiety symptoms, among caregivers of people with dementia (PwD). The physical and mental health of the caregiver is a prerequisite and a promise to help PwD continue to live as long and as well as possible. Web-based interventions can provide convenient and efficient support and an education tool to potentially reduce the negative outcomes associated with providing care.

Objective: The aim of this study was to examine the effect of internet-based interventions on the mental health outcomes of family caregivers of PwD and to explore which components of the Web-based interventions play an important role.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, Excerpta Medica dataBASE, PsycINFO, Cochrane Database, and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature using relevant terms such as Web-based and caregiver as keywords, covering all studies published before June 2018. A total of 2 reviewers independently reviewed all published abstracts, according to established inclusion and exclusion criteria. We extracted information about the participants, interventions, and results and reviewed article quality in terms of the randomized trial methods, using the approach recommended by the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

Results: A total of 815 caregivers participated in 6 studies, with 4 of the studies using depression as an outcome. The analysis found that depression scores dropped an average of 0.23 (95% CI –0.38 to –0.07; P<.01) after Web-based interventions. In 2 studies of caregivers who were experiencing anxiety symptoms, the average score for anxiety dropped by 0.32 points (95% CI –0.50 to –0.14; P<.01). However, in terms of coping, pain, and stress, the Web-based interventions showed a poor effect. On the whole, the addition of professional psychological support on the basis of education can improve caregivers’ mental health.

Conclusions: Internet-based interventions were generally effective at reducing anxiety and depression in dementia caregivers, although negative results were found in some studies. As for burden and stress, further research is required.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13415) doi:10.2196/13415
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Introduction

Background

Dementia is a syndrome, usually of a chronic or progressive nature, caused by a variety of brain illnesses that affect memory, thinking, behavior, and ability to perform everyday activities [1]. It appears that at least 2 cognitive changes result in significant social and occupational restriction [2]. Dementia currently affects approximately 50 million people worldwide, a number that is projected to grow to 82 million by 2030 and 152 million by 2050 [3,4]. With this growth, dementia is predicted to become the single greatest challenge facing health care and medical systems worldwide [5]. It is the second highest cause of disability in individuals aged 70 years and older and the seventh leading cause of death [4,6]. In terms of care costs, the total cost of dementia globally was US $1 trillion in 2018. By 2030, it is expected that the number will rise to US $2 trillion [7].

Dementia is one of the major causes of disability and dependency among the elderly worldwide [8]. Alzheimer’s Disease International (ADI) estimates that, globally, approximately 84% of elderly dementia patients live at home [9] and are cared for by nonprofessional family caregivers. Nonprofessional caregivers (NCs) assume responsibility for providing care in a nonprofessional, unpaid manner, based on family or affective ties [10]. However, because of a lack of knowledge and understanding of dementia, NCs do not understand why these elderly behave as they do or what the future may hold for them. In recent years, multiple studies have shown that, in caregivers of people with dementia (PwD), poor mental health is widespread, with anxiety and depression being the most common symptoms, reported by more than 50% of caregivers [11,12]. Mental health is a state of complete happiness, which refers to our ability to enjoy life and cope with challenges [13]. ADI reported higher levels of depression, emotional distress, and physical strain in caregivers of PwD than in caregivers of older adults with physical impairments [7]. Owing to the severity of this mental health situation, recent research also suggests that caregivers may be a high-risk group for suicide [14]. In Jolting’s study, researchers found that over the course of 2 years, a number of people caring for a relative with dementia repeatedly considered hurting themselves, felt suicidal, or wished they were dead [15].

Providing training and support for the Alzheimer’s family and other family caregivers is an important public health priority. The World Health Organization (WHO) points out that we need to make a special effort to ensure that caregivers can obtain the information needed to do their work or training [16]. It is recognized that training programs for family caregivers of PwD can bring about positive results for caregivers [17], and researchers in this field are particularly interested in the training program methods that have been implemented. Ducharme et al [18] used keynote speeches to train family caregivers; increase their knowledge, practical skills, and caring skills; and improve their sense of self-efficacy. In a previous study, Hepburn et al [19] provided problem-solving skills and coping strategies for caregivers by issuing books and manuals to help alleviate caregivers’ anxiety and depression symptoms.

Objectives

In recent years, because of the continuous development of the internet, internet-based intervention measures have gradually been applied by researchers, with Web-based interventions providing a more convenient and efficient support and education method for home care providers, as they allow caregivers to learn anytime, anywhere. Eysenbach [20] revealed that the efficiency with which medical services are delivered through internet interventions could reduce health care costs. In addition, particularly in remote and rural areas, caregivers may have easier access to the internet and electronic health care than to health care resources in the real world, thereby increasing equity in access to health care. The WHO also encourages primary care providers around the world to participate in e-learning training courses to improve their knowledge, skills, and confidence. Increased internet or mobile phone usage has opened up encouraging and novel outlets for mental health care dissemination and delivery efforts [21].

Currently, there are many systematic reviews of education and support for home caregivers based on the internet. Most focus on chronic disease caregivers and have shown positive results in terms of mitigating caregivers’ psychological issues [17,22-25]. Furthermore, these systematic reviews have summarized various education support measures and investigated their influence on the results of intervention measures for all kinds of care, such as mental health (anxiety, depression, and stress), general nursing results (self-efficacy, emergency coping styles, and social support), general health outcomes (quality of life [QoL], health, and satisfaction), and so on. Comprehensive results show that a Web-based intervention has resulted in positive, ineffective, or negative effects for caregivers. Overall, the results have been mixed, mainly because of methodological limitations, such as too few samples and too high dropout rates. During the search, we found there were only narrative reviews [26,27], and few meta-analyses were conducted on the mental health of caregivers of PwD in this field.

However, we believe that for different diseases, caregivers will have a different mental burden [28,29] and the focus and frequency of interventions will vary. Therefore, we designed and conducted a meta-analysis based on network intervention support for NCs of PwD, which is an extension of systematic evaluation and can be used to analyze comprehensive data using standardized statistical techniques [30]. We strictly evaluated the quality of the articles and critically evaluated the heterogeneity of the results, so that public health agencies can clearly understand current effective interventions in mental health training for Alzheimer’s care. The next step is to determine what measures should be taken to achieve widespread implementation of training.
Methods

We conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis by following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis guidelines [31].

Study Design

A systematic review design was conceptualized using both data synthesis and descriptive analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Data Source and Retrieval Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, Excerpta Medica dataBASE, Ovid MEDLINE, and Cochrane databases, covering all studies published before June 2018. We used the Cochrane systematic review method, internet-based terms related to care providers of the elderly and mental health as keywords. The retrieval strategy included correlative subject headings, keywords, and free words. A total of 2 reviewers developed search strategies in advance. Multimedia Appendix 1 lists the search terms of the system evaluation and the search strategies and search results of each database.

The 2 reviewers independently reviewed all published abstracts; extracted data on participants, interventions, and outcomes; and used the Cochrane risk of bias framework [32] to review article quality.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To achieve high levels of evidence, we only chose RCTs for peer review. In addition, the inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) family caregivers of older adults with Alzheimer’s disease or other related types of dementia; (2) using the technology of the internet to provide intervention or support to the caregiver; (3) interventions aimed at improving the mental health of caregivers; and (4) RCTs with or without a blind method published in an English-language peer-reviewed journal. The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) a lack of real internet-based or browser-based intervention, such as video phone, or only adopting a non-internet–based video conference technology intervention; (2) lack of measurements of the outcome indicators of mental health (such as depression and anxiety symptoms) of caregivers; and (3) only providing Web-based education, without any interaction (such as discussion, Bulletin Board System, and feedback on problems).

The studies included a comparison group, defined as receiving no internet-based intervention, which could include blank controls, general controls, the use of paper materials, minimal guidance, or electronic communications for information resources (eg, e-bulletins).

Quality Assessment

We used the Cochrane bias risk assessment tool [33] to assess the risk of bias of the included studies, which included the following 7 items: (1) generation of random sequences (selection bias); (2) allocation concealment (selection bias); (3) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); (4) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); (5) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (6) selective outcome reporting (reporting bias); and (7) other biases. A total of 2 reviewers independently rated the risk of bias in the above categories as low risk, high risk, and unclear.

Data Extraction and Analysis

In total, 2 independent reviewers extracted and tabulated the following data for subsequent analysis: (1) participants (number of participants in the intervention and control groups, average age, and gender); (2) the intervention description (intervention, control group, and study duration); and (3) measurement of outcomes and measurement time. In the process of data extraction, some articles did not have a clear description of an intervention, and the duration of the intervention is sometimes difficult to determine. The problem was identified by contacting the corresponding authors of the published data.

In this study, we used standard mean difference (SMD) and 95% CIs to show the summary result, in which case it is necessary to mark the results of the study as a unified measure variable. For each study result, the difference between baseline, final value, and SD value was used for analysis. The analysis based on baseline change would be more effective and powerful than the comparison based on final value [34], as it removes a component of person variability from the analysis. In articles that did not report SD, we calculated SD from the reported mean, SE, 95% CI, and other information [35].

I² was used to represent statistical heterogeneity of combinatorial studies [36]. I² was used for quantitative statistics of the heterogeneity between the included studies. Under 30% indicated low heterogeneity, 30% to 60% moderate heterogeneity, and greater than 60% high heterogeneity. Review Manager (RevMan 5.3 version, Cochrane Library) was used for all analyses.

Results

Study Selection

A total of 3166 studies were retrieved from various databases, with another 12 from other sources. Of the 3166 studies, 387 reported that the same study was excluded and 2558 did not meet the inclusion criteria. Next, a full-text review of the remaining 233 studies was conducted, including 17 systematic evaluations, 26 non-RCT studies, 73 intervention non-Web–based studies, 6 noncontrol articles, and 3 articles mainly targeted at professional caregivers. Finally, 6 articles were included in this study (Figure 1).
Participants

A total of 815 caregivers of PwD or potential memory loss were included in the study. The mean age of the participants in the 6 studies in the report was 58.66 years. A total of 4 articles reported participant gender, with 73.5% of participants who were female. The participants, intervention, comparison, outcome measures, and results details of the included studies are shown in Multimedia Appendix 2.

Types of Intervention

With the classification of Sherifali [25], we divided Web-based interventions for caregivers into single-component interventions and multicomponent interventions.

On the basis of the results of the literature retrieval, we found 2 single-component interventions using information or education and 4 multicomponent interventions, including information or education and peer support (3/4) and information or professional education and psychological support (1/4).

Outcome Measures

According to Isabelle Dor’s [13] classification of mental health, the components of mental health include emotional well-being/QoL and psychological and social well-being. We used depression (4/6), anxiety (2/6), and stress/distress (3/6) as primary outcomes and coping (2/6), burden (4/6), and QoL (3/6) as secondary outcomes. The results of the analysis of each variable are as follows.

Depression

In the 4 studies [37-40], depression was used as the outcome variable, and Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (n=3) and Beck Depression Inventory-II scale (n=1) were used to measure depression status. The sample size ranged from 49 to 299. For a sample of 626 caregivers, the overall combined effect of Web-based interventions on depression was statistically significant at $-0.23$ SMD (95% CI $-0.38$ to $-0.07$; $P=.005$). $I^2$ was equal to 0%, showing low heterogeneity (Figures 2 and 3).
Anxiety

A total of 2 studies [37,38] included anxiety in the results, and State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (n=1) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (n=1) were used to measure caregiver anxiety status. The results showed that intervention in the experimental group significantly improved the anxiety status of caregivers with SMD of −0.32 (95% CI −0.50 to −0.14; P=.0005). I² was equal to 0%, showing low heterogeneity (Figures 2 and 4).
Stress/Distress

In the 3 studies [39-41], we used caregiver stress as the outcome variable and used the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; n=2). In another study, the researcher used the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) scale to sum up the frequency and severity scores of the caregivers’ problem behaviors to obtain the caregivers’ total distress score. The sample size ranged from 37 to 103 people. For a sample of 189 caregivers, the overall combined effect of the Web-based intervention on stress was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). $I^2$ was equal to 32%, showing moderate heterogeneity.

Coping

Coping was used as the outcome variable in 2 studies [37,39]. One of the articles used the Revised Ways of Coping scale and the other used a self-made single item score to evaluate caregiver coping. For the sample of 209 caregivers, the overall combined effect corresponding to the network intervention on stress was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). $I^2$ was equal to 0%, showing low heterogeneity.

Burden

Care burden was reported as an outcome in 3 studies [39,41,42]. Of them, 2 studies used the Zarit Burden Scale and the third set up an entry to evaluate care burden. For the sample of 184 caregivers, the overall combined effect corresponding to network intervention was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). $I^2$ was equal to 0%, showing low heterogeneity.

Quality of Life

A total of 3 studies used QoL as outcome measures, using EuroQoL, QoL scale, and perceived QoL to assess changes in participants’ QoL. No statistical significance has been found for the intervention to improve caregiver QoL ($P > .05$). $I^2$ was equal to 39%, showing moderate heterogeneity.

Risk Bias

The quality of the studies included was assessed by the Cochrane risk of bias summary. The overall risk of bias in the published literature is different, and owing to the lack of detailed descriptions reported in the literature, the risk of bias in many projects remains unclear. In all studies, the quality of performance bias and detection bias is relatively inconsistent, whereas the quality of publication bias is relatively low (Figure 5).
**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This is the first meta-analysis to only include RCT studies aimed at improving the mental health status of caregivers of older adults with dementia using a Web-based intervention. Many systematic reviews of the mental health of caregivers of people with chronic disease have previously been conducted [17,22-25]; however, the meta-analysis shows high heterogeneity owing to differences in study design, sample size, and intervention measures [25]. Our meta-analysis only included the RCT to constitute the most rigorous design and provide the highest quality evidence. We screened the samples strictly according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria and conducted a subgroup analysis to explore interventions that are the most beneficial for caregivers’ mental health, showing that the variable has low heterogeneity. However, the lack of research details and quality reports was a common problem in the published literature.

In this meta-analysis, although our analysis counted the aggregate effect sizes of different degrees of different categories of mental problems, in the 2 dimensions of anxiety and depression, the results were significant, which means that an internet-based intervention is indeed beneficial in improving anxiety and depression in caregivers of PwD. We calculated the effect size as Cohen’s $d$ [43] where positive effect size represents symptom improvement and negative effect size represents symptom deterioration. The depression ($d=-0.21$) and anxiety ($d=-0.32$) of caregivers in the support group showed low impact and medium impact, consistent with the previous results of Ling [44].

However, there has been no significant improvement in the stress, burden, coping, and life quality of the caregivers in the support group. In terms of burden and pain, only Kajiyama [40] and his colleagues revealed the effects of an intermediate ($d=0.46$) intervention. However, another study showed that stress symptoms decreased at 3 months but increased at 6 months. This may be caused by a small sample size, low test efficiency, high rate of loss to follow-up, and the evolution of the patient’s illness over time. A high rate of loss to follow-up is a common problem in psychotherapy research [45]. In the studies we included, the average follow-up rate loss was 28.3%, ranging from 0% to 41.5%. In addition, confusion about the stress source is another important reason, which Statistics Canada noted in 2009 [47]. Caregivers have multiple responsibilities in addition to their caregiver role, with 60% working at a paid job and 28% caring for children under the age of 18 years. These pressures are difficult to eliminate using a simple intervention. At the same time, in this review, we found that the heterogeneity of caregiver stress is high. Alba pointed out that continuous outcomes showed substantially higher $I^2$ than meta-analyses of binary outcomes [48]. In this review, the source of high heterogeneity may be the difference in the evaluation tools of outcome. In their study, Cristancho-Lacroix [39] and Kajiyama [40] used a sophisticated stress assessment tool, the PSS; however, the caregiver stress data used by Torkamani came from the NPI.

All 6 studies used mature Web-based training platforms, such as STAR, iCare, and Diapason Program, but showed different...
results. We split the components, shown in Figures 3 and 4, and found that (1) for the depression and anxiety status of dementia caregivers, the effect of increasing professional support on the basis of information/education (SMD=−0.23, 95% CI −0.39 to −0.07) is better than the effect of increasing peer support (SMD=−0.16, 95% CI −0.34 to −0.02). Peeters et al [49] pointed out in a cross-sectional study of caregivers of 984 PwD in the Netherlands that most informal caregivers report they need additional information and advice, for example, about how to cope with their relative’s behavioral problems, the illness trajectory progression, and emotional support and coordination of dementia care. Gaugler et al.'s [50] empirical findings emphasize that the source of professional information can influence support recommendations for dementia caregiving families in need. On the contrary, in interviews conducted in 1 study [51], some caregivers who participated in peer support activities said that there were always 1 or 2 negative, unhappy people on the team who did not want others to be happy. In terms of pain, only information/education was given, which showed good results. Peer support and professional support were added, but the results were not statistically significant; (2) the improved and comprehensive stress management program showed better outcomes than the modified multicomponent integration program. In the research by Kajiyama [40] and Blom [38], a stress coping model was applied. The course mainly aims to teach nurses a set of core skills aimed at stress management, including relaxation training, learning to increase skills every day, cognitive restructuring, and asking peers/experts for advice. In other training platforms, information on Alzheimer’s disease, management of one’s emotions, and common processing problems are added on the basis of adjusting coping skills. Therefore, future studies should consider maintaining the systematic integrity of stress coping courses when revising the model; and (3) in his study, Beauchamp personalized the interventions based on the particular concerns of the viewer and the degree of dementia of the person receiving care. It showed good results for depression (d=−0.22), anxiety (d=−0.30), and stress (d=−0.39). This tailored intervention offers a promising and relatively inexpensive method of individualizing content to maximize relevance and impact.

According to the assessment of literature quality, all results of the examination were rated as low or medium, which may reflect the lack of consistency in this emerging field and the resulting research, such as inconsistency in theoretical model selection, inconsistency in intervention course content, and inconsistency in evaluation tools. In addition, the lack of information in some studies leads to an inability to assess the risk of bias in many areas, which is another reason for not showing consistent results.

Although there is growing evidence that Web-based multicomponent interventions are feasible, there is no evidence as to which intervention components or platforms are the most useful and which could reduce the cost of training for NCs. Future research can be started from the following aspects: (1) pay attention to NCs of PwD in terms of providing psychological support; (2) when making revisions to the systematic course of stress and coping, pay attention to its integrity and systematism; (3) for different types of caregivers, develop personalized intervention content that can be based on their needs; and (4) cost-effective interventions can be added in future research.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This meta-analysis summarizes the effects of internet-based interventions that can be used to evaluate the mental health outcomes of home caregivers of older adults with dementia. Although there have been systematic evaluations in this field previously, we proposed rigorous screening of articles, only included RCTs, developed a comprehensive retrieval strategy, searched 5 databases to ensure we found the most comprehensive literature, and summarized the outcomes of different types of intervention studies by a subgroup analysis. However, after a comprehensive analysis, we found a number of limitations. In all of the studies that were included, the overall quality of evidence was poor, the sample size of some studies was insufficient, the intervention measures varied greatly, and the measurement tools of the outcome variables were different. This review also has some limitations. We divided Web-based interventions into 4 subgroups to reduce heterogeneity but, in some aspects, some heterogeneity remains.

**Conclusions**

This is the first meta-analysis that only included RCT studies aimed at improving the mental health status of caregivers of older adults with dementia based on a Web-based intervention. Our research results show that in recent years, the literature on Web-based intervention measures has been emerging continuously. Although negative results were found in some studies, internet-based interventions were generally effective at decreasing anxiety and depression in caregivers. Next, the multicomponent internet interventions can be analyzed in further detail using a standardized assessment tool to analyze the outcome indicators to encourage researchers to adopt a more rigorous method in future.

Future researchers are encouraged to take a more rigorous approach and to continue to report on other mental health outcomes, such as stress and burden in dementia caregivers. Ongoing large-scale, high-quality studies are required.
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Abstract

Background: Prevention of depression is a priority to reduce its global disease burden. Targeting specific risk factors, such as rumination, may improve prevention. Rumination-focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (RFCBT) was developed to specifically target depressive rumination.

Objective: The primary objective of this study was to test whether guided Web-based RFCBT (i-RFCBT) would prevent the incidence of major depression relative to usual care in UK university students. The secondary objective was to test the feasibility and estimated effect sizes of unguided i-RFCBT.

Methods: To address the primary objective, a phase III randomized controlled trial was designed and powered to compare high risk university students (N=235), selected with elevated worry/rumination, recruited via an open access website in response to circulars within universities and internet advertisements, randomized to receive either guided i-RFCBT (interactive Web-based RFCBT, supported by asynchronous written Web-based support from qualified therapists) or usual care control. To address the secondary objective, participants were also randomized to an adjunct arm of unguided (self-administered) i-RFCBT. The primary outcome was the onset of a major depressive episode over 15 months, assessed with structured diagnostic interviews at 3 (postintervention), 6, and 15 months post randomization, conducted by telephone, blind to the condition. Secondary outcomes of symptoms of depression and anxiety and levels of worry and rumination were self-assessed through questionnaires at baseline and the same follow-up intervals.

Results: Participants were randomized to guided i-RFCBT (n=82), unguided i-RFCBT (n=76), or usual care (n=77). Guided i-RFCBT reduced the risk of depression by 34% relative to usual care (hazard ratio [HR] 0.66, 95% CI 0.35 to 1.25; $P=.20$). Participants with higher levels of baseline stress benefited most from the intervention (HR 0.43, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.87; $P=.02$). Significant improvements in rumination, worry, and depressive symptoms were found in the short-to-medium term. Of the 6 modules, guided participants completed a mean of 3.46 modules (SD 2.25), with 46% (38/82) being compliant (completing ≥4 modules). Similar effect sizes and compliance rates were found for unguided i-RFCBT.

Conclusions: Guided i-RFCBT can reduce the onset of depression in high-risk young people reporting high levels of worry/rumination and stress. The feasibility study argues for formally testing unguided i-RFCBT for prevention: if the observed effect sizes are robustly replicated in a phase III trial, it has potential as a scalable prevention intervention.
**Introduction**

**Background**

Depression is the leading cause of disease burden worldwide, accounting for 7.5% of all years lived with disability in 2015 [1], with considerable individual, societal, and economic consequences. Although there are effective evidence-based acute treatments, their impact is limited because of poor access to treatments [2], high rates of nonresponse [3], and the recurrent nature of depression, with 50% to 80% of patients experiencing 2 or more episodes [4]. It is estimated that even with optimal acute treatment at full coverage, only 34% of the disease burden would be averted [5]. As a consequence, a strong case has been made that prevention is needed to reduce the global burden of depression [6].

Preventive interventions, largely using cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) approaches, can reduce symptoms of depression and prevent the incidence of depression [7-9], with an average reduction of 21% in incidence rates [10]. These meta-analyses suggest that targeted interventions (selective interventions aimed at subgroups with known risk factors and indicated interventions aimed at those with subclinical symptoms) produce larger and longer-lasting effects than universal interventions aimed at entire populations. A meta-analysis [11] of 21 preventive interventions (15 using CBT approaches) found that selective interventions and indicated interventions had lower incidence rate ratios (IRR; 0.72 and 0.76, respectively) relative to controls than universal interventions (IRR=0.90). Merry et al [8] also found that both universal and targeted interventions reduced incidence relative to no intervention in the short-to-medium term (3 to 9 months postintervention) but only targeted interventions reduced incidence at 12 months. Thus, targeting at-risk groups may improve the efficacy of preventive interventions for depression [6], in part because the base rate is higher in targeted samples, so it is easier to detect a significant effect with smaller sample sizes [12].

The incidence of depression rises steeply from the age of 14 years through young adulthood, with increased rates in females (2:1, female:male) emerging at around the age of 12 years and continuing into young adulthood [13]. The UK Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey found increasing rates of common mental health disorders (CMDs; incorporating depression and anxiety disorders) among young women (aged 16 to 24 years), rising from 22.2% in 2007 to 28.2% in 2014 [14], with rates in young women almost three times those of young men (10.0%) in 2014. As early onset is linked to greater chronicity [15] and other negative long-term outcomes, such as poor academic and occupational performance [1,16], prevention may be particularly effective and impactful for this age group.

Within this age range, university students are a particularly high-risk group, with a weighted mean prevalence of depression of 30.6% (range 10% to 85%) across 24 studies [17] relative to estimates of 10.8% to 22% in nonstudents of the same age range [18,19]. This increased prevalence may be due to the specific pressures of university and associated lifestyle changes, such as leaving the family home for the first time, forming new friendships, more self-directed learning, and irregular sleep patterns [20]. Students who experience mental health difficulties during their studies are at greater risk of poor academic outcomes [16] and dropout [21].

Despite these challenges, students often do not seek help from relevant services [22,23]. Alternative delivery modes, such as Web-based interventions, offer advantages that may be attractive to students, including availability at any time and place, anonymity which may reduce the stigma of seeking help, and more time to reflect on the treatment material [12,24,25]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 17 Web-based and computer-delivered interventions for higher education students found reductions in depression, anxiety, and stress when compared with inactive controls [26]. However, sample sizes were generally small, and the authors recommend further larger-scale trials to assess the effectiveness of Web-based interventions in university students.

One such relatively large-scale trial by Topper et al [27] tested a guided Web-based targeted preventive intervention for 251 high school and university students aged 15 to 22 years with high levels of self-reported worry and rumination. Participants were randomized to face-to-face group rumination-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy (RFCBT), guided Web-based RFCBT (i-RFCBT), or a no-intervention control group. This preventive intervention is based on RFCBT, previously shown to be effective in treating residual depression [28]. There is considerable evidence that rumination plays a causal role in the onset and duration of major depressive episodes (MDEs) [29,30]. Within a student population, rumination predicts change in depression over 6 months [31]. Ruminations interacts with other risk factors to both maintain depression (the combination of rumination, low self-esteem, and stressful life events predicts maintenance of depressive symptoms over 6 weeks [32]) and predict the onset of depressive symptoms (engaging in rumination in response to stress prospectively predicted an increase in subsequent depressive symptoms [33]). These studies suggest that specifically targeting rumination responses to stressful events could reduce depression.
RFCBT specifically targets repetitive negative thought (RNT), incorporating both rumination and worry, defined as a thinking style that: (1) is repetitive, intrusive, and difficult to disengage from; (2) is perceived as unproductive; and (3) captures mental capacity [34]. RNT is a transdiagnostic process, involved in the onset and maintenance of a range of emotional disorders including depression and anxiety as well as physical health issues [29,35], including in children and adolescents [36-39]. Targeting transdiagnostic risk factors has the potential to improve the efficacy of prevention by impacting on multiple disorders with a single intervention [27].

In the Topper et al [27] trial, both Web-based and group-delivered RFCBT reduced symptoms of depression and anxiety (d=0.36 to 0.72), relative to controls. Cumulative incidence rates at the final 12-month follow-up were significantly lower in both RFCBT intervention conditions for depression (14.7% Web-based; 15.3% group) relative to the usual care control condition (32.4% depression), with no difference between i-RFCBT versus group RFCBT. In support of the hypothesized mechanism of change, reductions in worry and rumination were found to mediate the effects of the interventions on prevalence of depression and Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD). These findings suggest that targeting rumination may have preventive effects for depression and are consistent with evidence that targeted prevention can be effective in adolescents and young adults.

**Objectives**

Topper et al [27] included both secondary and university students to form a heterogeneous sample. Given the evidence that undergraduates may form a distinct at-risk subgroup for depression, the primary aim of this phase III efficacy trial was to test whether these beneficial effects of guided i-RFCBT on onset of depression relative to usual care [27] could be extended to a selective UK high-risk undergraduate population.

We also aimed to address several key limitations of the Topper et al [27] trial: (1) there was no diagnostic interview to assess depression, and self-report measures were only able to estimate point prevalence caseness and (2) as history of depression was not assessed, participants’ previous history of depression was not known, and therefore it was not possible to discriminate whether the intervention prevented first onset or relapse/recurrence of depression. To address these methodological limitations, we included a well-validated diagnostic interview (Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV [SCID-I] [40]) to increase accuracy of the current diagnostic status and measure retrospective incidence.

We hypothesized that in high-ruminating undergraduates, guided i-RFCBT, relative to usual care, would significantly reduce the onset of MDEs over the course of the 15 months post randomization follow-up (primary outcome). Rumination has also been found to increase the negative effect of stressful life events on depressive symptoms in young people and students [32,33]. This observed interaction is consistent with the evidence that rumination contributes to depression by exacerbating existing negative mood and negative cognitions and by repetitively dwelling on difficulties [29,30], such as results from stressful events. As such, a tendency to ruminate would be expected to have less impact when things are going well and there is less to dwell on, relative to when things are difficult. We therefore hypothesized that i-RFCBT would be particularly beneficial for high-ruminating undergraduates who were also experiencing stressful life events, as this would be the group for whom rumination would be most detrimental.

As a secondary aim, we explored the feasibility and acceptability of an unguided version of i-RFCBT to prevent depression. Topper et al [27] used i-RFCBT that was guided and supported by a therapist because past evidence suggested that, at least for acute treatment for depression, guided Web-based cognitive behavioral therapy (i-CBT) is significantly more effective than unguided (ie, self-help) i-CBT [41-43], and only guided i-CBT produces similar treatment effects to face-to-face therapy in patients with acute depressive symptoms [24]. A key rationale for Web-based therapy is to increase the coverage, availability, and accessibility of treatment, by potentially reaching large numbers of people through the internet and by overcoming hurdles such as geographical distance, poor mobility, and scheduling appointments during standard office hours. However, any form of guided i-CBT (including i-RFCBT) is necessarily limited in its scalability because coverage is determined by the number and availability of therapists. In contrast, an unguided form of Web-based therapy has nearly limitless scalability as there are no such constraints and, thus, even with smaller effect sizes than guided interventions, has significant potential to reduce the disease burden of depression [44]. Such an intervention would be particularly beneficial for preventing depression because effective prevention requires an intervention to be highly scalable and able to reach very high numbers of people. As a secondary question, we therefore explored the feasibility and acceptability of an unguided version of i-RFCBT in a quasi-phase II pilot arm and estimated its effect sizes to inform a fully powered trial, with regard to incidence rates and symptom levels (descriptives and CIs).

**Methods**

**Trial Design**

**Phase III Efficacy Study**

The phase III study consisted of a single (researcher) blind parallel-group randomized controlled trial (RCT), comparing guided i-RFCBT versus a usual care control group. For full details, see the trial protocol paper [45] and Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN12683436.

**Quasi-Phase II Pilot Arm**

To assess the feasibility of unguided i-RFCBT, a separate adjunct arm of unguided i-RFCBT was included as a quasi-phase II pilot arm. For efficiency, participants were randomized to this arm within the overall trial design, but there was no direct comparison between the unguided and guided arms. The unguided arm was compared with the control group to estimate the effect sizes of an unguided version of i-RFCBT for the planning of future efficacy trials.
Participants

Participants were university students resident in the United Kingdom, aged 18 to 24 years, with elevated RNT, defined as scoring above the 75th percentile on at least one measure of worry/rumination: $\geq 50$ on the Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ; [46]); $\geq 40$ on the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS; [47]), using the same criteria as Topper et al [27]. As a prevention study, participants were excluded if they met the diagnostic criteria for a current (within the past month) MDE. In addition, potential participants were excluded if they reported any of the following: current and significant substance abuse or dependence; current symptoms/diagnosis of psychosis or bipolar disorder; and current psychological therapy or active suicide risk. In line with standard practice, receipt of antidepressant medication was not an exclusion criterion, providing the dose had been stable for at least 1 month.

Sample Size Calculation and Recruitment

For the primary question comparing guided i-RFCBT to usual care control, assuming a similar hazard ratio of 0.41 for the guided i-RFCBT versus usual care control [27], 75 participants per arm would provide 0.86 power (2-tailed 5% alpha level) to detect this effect. For change in depressive symptoms, the observed effect size was $d=0.51$ [27]. A total of 78 participants per arm would be needed for 80% power to detect a similar effect at the 2-tailed 5% alpha level, allowing for 20% follow-up dropout attrition. With no previous evidence for unguided i-RFCBT, the comparison of unguided i-RFCBT to usual care was conducted as a feasibility study as a first step to conducting a fully powered trial of unguided i-RFCBT. As such, no power or sample size calculations were conducted for the unguided arm. We aimed to recruit the same number (n=78) as the other 2 arms.

The full recruitment procedure is outlined in Cook and Watkins [45]. Briefly, 1834 university departments in the United Kingdom were contacted between November 14, 2013, and December 10, 2014 (1527 contacted twice) and asked to advertise the study. In total, 336 departments confirmed the study was advertised either by email or as a poster. This advertisement contained a link to an open-access screening website. Twitter and Facebook were also used to circulate the advertisement to young people who expressed an interest in the following terms: stress; worry; rumination; mental health; self-esteem; well-being; research; psychology; CBT; and online therapy. In addition, 3 organizations working with young people or in the field of mental health agreed to advertise the study. A 2-step procedure identified eligible participants. In the first step, an open-access screening website with conditional automated feedback identified potential participants for further screening by screening those with elevated RNT (>75th percentile) using shortened versions of the PSWQ (4 items, range 4 to 20, cut-off $\geq 12$) and RRS (5 items, range 5 to 20, cut-off $\geq 10$), as developed by Topper et al [48]. A conservative cut-off of 15 on PHQ-8 [49] excluded individuals likely to be experiencing a current MDE. Eligible participants provided contact details as consent to be contacted for further telephone screening.

In the second step, a telephone interview consisted of brief screening questions for alcohol and drug use, symptoms of bipolar disorder and psychosis (Psychosis Screening Questionnaire [PSQ]; [50]), assessment of any relevant past or current treatments, and the SCID-I [40] sections on current and past depressive episodes, dysthymia, and any relevant anxiety disorders and eating disorders. As the primary objective was to investigate the prevention of depression, diagnoses of anxiety disorders and eating disorders were recorded but participants meeting the criteria for any of these disorders were not excluded from the study. The consent to interview was obtained verbally and included providing their general practitioner’s (GP) contact details so that appropriate clinical support could be obtained in the event of disclosure of suicidal risk. The interview was audio-recorded, with consent, so that the diagnostic status could be independently checked. The same researcher conducted the baseline and follow-up telephone interview assessments, ensuring continuity of contact between the research team and participants. A total of 254 participants were eligible, of whom 235 returned written informed consent and were randomized to guided i-RFCBT (n=82), unguided i-RFCBT (n=76), or usual care control (n=77). The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials diagram (Figure 1) indicates the numbers excluded at baseline for each of the exclusion criteria.
Interventions
Guided Web-Based Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

The guided intervention was an English version of i-RFCBT (called MindReSolve), translated and adapted from the version used by Topper et al [27] to include case examples relevant to university students (see Multimedia Appendix 1). RFCBT differs from standard CBT by seeking to change the process of thinking rather than the content of individual thoughts [51]. RFCBT [52] was developed from theoretical models and experimental findings indicating distinct types of repetitive thought (RT) with different consequences [29]: unconstructive RT involves an abstract, evaluative processing mode focused on the meaning and evaluation of events and difficulties, leading to a range of negative consequences such as poorer problem-solving and greater emotional reactivity, relative to constructive RT, which involves concrete, specific, and action-oriented processing [53]. RFCBT therefore aims to shift participants from an abstract and evaluative style to a concrete, specific, and action-oriented style [29], consistent with evidence that concreteness training reduces depression [54].

RNT is also theoretically conceptualized as a mental habit acting as a form of avoidance and maintained by negative reinforcement [55]. RFCBT therefore involves counterconditioning the avoidant ruminative response with more helpful coping strategies and approach behaviors [52]. In practice, this involves the functional analysis of rumination to help users spot triggers for rumination, to distinguish between helpful and unhelpful RT, and to counter condition unhelpful RT with more functional responses through the formulation of contingency If-Then plans [52].

The internet treatment was delivered on the internet platform and software owned, programmed, and hosted by Minddistrict [56], accessed by a research licence purchased from Minddistrict by the research team. The specific content of the i-RFCBT intervention was developed and entered into the platform using its content management system by the research team led by Edward Watkins, using the same key intervention principles and techniques as face-to-face RFCBT as described by Watkins [52], adapted for the internet. i-RFCBT contains the same key components as face-to-face RFCBT [52], split into 6 1-hour modules, each in turn split into 3 or 4 sessions consisting of a single Web page, with 1 to 2 weeks recommended per module for practice of the techniques. The content includes...
psychoeducation, mood diaries, experiential audio exercises, pictures, and video vignettes of university students talking about their own experiences of the intervention. Modules follow the same basic structure: reflection on the previous module; introduction of a new technique; experiential in-session exercises; and plans for implementation. The specific behavior-change techniques are drawn from the following groups in the Behavior Change Technique (BCT) Taxonomy (v1) [57]: goals and planning (goal setting, action planning, review behavior, and behavioral contract), feedback and monitoring (self-monitoring of behavior and outcomes), shaping knowledge (information about antecedents), natural consequences (information about social and environmental consequences and monitoring of emotional consequences), associations (prompts/cues and associative learning), repetition and substitution (behavioral practice/rehearsal, behavior substitution, and habit formation), antecedents (restructuring the physical and social environment and avoiding/reducing cues for the behavior), and self-belief (mental rehearsal of successful performance, focus on past success, and self-talk). The key strategies include coaching participants to spot warning signs for rumination and worry, and then to make If-Then plans in which an alternative strategy is repeatedly practised in response to the warning signs. These strategies include being more active, slowing things down, breaking tasks down, opposite action, relaxation, concrete thinking, becoming absorbed, self-compassion, and assertiveness.

The intervention was accessed individually, for free, on a secure, password-protected website. Access was granted using an email link, inviting the participant to set up a personal account and password. The intervention was supported by qualified clinicians who had received additional specific training in RFCBT. This support consisted of asynchronous written feedback provided by the clinician at the end of each module. Feedback served to highlight positive steps and identified areas to focus on in the following module. Feedback was constrained by template responses for each module, faithful to the RFCBT model, which could be adapted to individual participants’ responses. All the content and module order were identical across participants, ensuring treatment fidelity. Each module was self-paced, but the participants were advised to spend 1 to 2 weeks on each and could only access the next module once feedback from the clinician was received, typically within 2 working days. Clinicians monitored log-ons and sent personalized reminder emails if there was no log-on for over a week. The platform also sent an automatic weekly reminder if the platform had not been accessed for a week. Suicidal risk was also monitored using a well-established departmental protocol to determine the level of risk and seek clinical support as appropriate.

Therapists were provided with regular feedback with the developer of RFCBT (EW) to further encourage treatment fidelity. All (100%) feedback reviewed by EW were faithful to the intervention model (over 10% of therapist feedback sampled—minimum of the 3 initial feedback for each therapist, plus a random subset of later feedback).

Unguided Web-Based Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

The content of unguided i-RFCBT was almost identical to guided i-RFCBT with minor adaptations for self-help to include some automatic Web-based conditional feedback addressing common challenges with the exercises. Access was granted via an email link to set up a personal account and password. Participants could then access all modules without restriction but were advised to spend 1 to 2 weeks on each to allow time for practice. Responses were not monitored except for weekly checks of questionnaires to identify and follow up suicidal risk as necessary.

Usual Care Control Condition

Participants in the usual care control condition were permitted to access any other treatments during the study, as necessary. They were also offered access to unguided i-RFCBT at the end of the follow-up period.

Measures

All measures were completed at baseline, 3 months, 6 months, and 15 months unless otherwise stated. Diagnostic interviews for the primary outcome were conducted by telephone, with the option to complete self-report questionnaire measures for secondary outcomes during the telephone interview or request for them to be returned by email/post.

The SCID-I [40] is a semistructured diagnostic interview for Axis I DSM-IV diagnoses. The SCID-I was used to assess MDE (current and past), anxiety disorders, and eating disorders. The interrater reliability for Axis I diagnoses is fair to excellent, with a mean Kappa of 0.71 [58]. In the event of disclosure of suicidal risk during the diagnostic interview, the researcher followed a well-established departmental protocol to assess risk and obtain clinical support as needed.

The Episodic Life Event Interview, part of the University of California Los Angeles Life Stress Interview [59], assessed the number and impact of stressful events since the previous assessment (for the previous 3 months at baseline). Participants provided a list of events experienced and a subjective rating of stress experienced as a result of the worst event. The original scale ranges from 1 none to 5 severe. Participants scored 0 if no events were experienced. To aid analysis and interpretation, stress was recoded to collapse 0 no event and 1 event experienced but no stress into a single no stress category. The recoded stress scale therefore ranges from 0 no stress to 4 severe stress.

The PSWQ [46] is a 16-item self-report questionnaire assessing frequency, intensity, and automaticity of worry (eg, “My worries overwhelm me” and “I know I shouldn’t worry about things, but I just can’t help it”). It is scored from 1 (not at all typical of me) to 5 (very typical of me), with higher scores indicating higher levels of worry. The internal consistency is high with good test-retest reliability [46]. The PSWQ has also been shown to have good predictive validity for symptoms of anxiety and depression [60].

The RRS [47] is a self-report measure of frequency of ruminative responses to depressed mood, with items relating to the self (eg,
analyses were conducted on the intention-to-treat (ITT) sample. Higher scores indicate higher levels of rumination. The RRS has good internal consistency, moderate test-retest reliability, acceptable convergent validity, and good predictive validity [47,61,62].

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9; [63]) is a 9-symptom measure of depressive symptoms. Scores range from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating greater severity. The PHQ-9 is a reliable and valid measure of severity of depressive symptoms [63].

The GAD Screener (GAD-7; [64]) is a standardized self-report measure of symptoms of anxiety. Scores range from 0 to 21, and higher scores indicate more severe symptoms. Spitzer et al [64] demonstrated good validity and reliability of the GAD-7.

Demographics and Treatment

At baseline, participants were asked if they had any family history of depression (including whom and how recently) and whether they had experienced any physical, sexual, or emotional abuse before the age of 16 years (yes/no questions with no further details requested). Participants were asked to report whether they had received any mental health treatments (medication, therapies, and use of self-help materials) before or during the trial. Timing, duration, and dosage (for medication) were recorded.

Randomization, Allocation Concealment, and Blinding

Independent computer-generated block randomization (block size of 3), stratified by sex (male vs female) and a history of depression (presence or absence of past depressive episodes), was used to allocate participants to the guided i-RFCBT, unguided i-RFCBT, or usual care control in a 1:1:1 ratio. Varying block sizes were not used as the 2 levels of stratification ensured it would be difficult for the researcher to anticipate or determine allocation. A third party not involved in assessing or treating the participants implemented the random allocation sequence and informed the therapist of the condition for each participant. The researcher responsible for recruitment and screening was blind to allocation and unable to influence the order of consents. As a single blind trial, the researcher conducting outcome assessments was blind to allocation. The researcher was not involved with any element of treatment delivery. To preserve researcher blinding, participants were notified of their treatment allocation by a trial therapist. Owing to the nature of the intervention, participants and therapists could not be blinded.

Statistical Analysis for the Phase III Efficacy Trial

Data cleaning followed the protocol set out by Tabachnick and Fidell [65]. Unplanned missing data were handled via multiple imputation (MI). A sensitivity analysis, assuming a variety of MI models (Missing at Random and Missing Not at Random), verified the likely impact of missing data. Auxiliary variables were used to improve the estimation of missing data. Primary analyses were conducted on the intention-to-treat (ITT) sample. Additional analyses assessed the effect of compliance using the Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analysis [66]. The CACE analysis provides an unbiased estimate of the benefits of compliance by comparing the compliers in the intervention group to a comparable subgroup of the control group who would have complied had they been offered the intervention. Compliance was defined in the protocol as fully completing at least 4 of 6 modules, that is, accessing all of the sections in each of those modules [45]. Analyses were carried out using statistical software, Stata (StataCorp; version 15.1 [67]).

As a prevention study, the primary outcome was the occurrence and time to onset of any depressive episode by 15-month follow-up. To investigate this, Cox proportional hazard models were fitted to the depression event data, with the diagnosis of an episode of major depression at any point during the follow-up period as the outcome and time to onset measured in weeks from the randomization date. Participants were censored upon measurement dropout or end of study. The Cox proportional hazard model was initially adjusted for both stratification variables: past depression and gender, as they have previously been found to influence likelihood of depression. In addition, as baseline stress was expected to increase the risk of depression and Topper et al [27] controlled for stressful life events, severity of baseline stress was included in the model. To examine the hypothesis that i-RFCBT would be especially beneficial in high ruminators experiencing high stress, we further tested the potential interactions between intervention condition and baseline stress, and intervention condition and history of depression within the Cox proportional hazard analysis.

Secondary outcomes of symptom severity and levels of rumination/worry were examined using mixed model analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs): between group (ITT/CACE) and repeated measures (3- to 15-month follow-ups), controlling for baseline symptom levels.

Feasibility and Acceptability (Quasi-Phase II Pilot Arm)

Feasibility of data collection procedures was assessed by measuring the missing items on clinical outcome measures, number and timing of dropouts, and whether these varied across arms. The acceptability of the intervention was assessed using a behavioral index, measuring the number of Web-based modules completed.

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

Ethical and professional guidelines were followed at all times, in line with Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the School of Psychology, University of Exeter (Ref: 2012/554). Participants returned written informed consent including permission to contact their GP if significant risk was disclosed (see Multimedia Appendix 2).

Results

Demographics

For brevity, baseline demographics for the 3 arms are included in Table 1. As noted earlier, the primary comparison is guided...
i-RFCBT versus usual care control, with a separate analysis of the feasibility and acceptability of the adjunct unguided i-RFCBT arm.

**Survival Analysis: Guided Web-Based Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Versus Usual Care Control**

A total of 27 participants in the primary comparison of guided i-RFCBT versus the control completed no follow-ups, and no minimum survival time could be estimated, so the ITT survival analyses were conducted on n=132 (guided n=63 and control n=69). Participants with a family history of depression were more likely to be lost to follow-up than those without: $\chi^2 = 3.89; P = .049$. No other baseline variables were linked to loss to follow-up: all t values on continuous measures were <1.70; all chi-square test values on categorical variables were <1.74; all P values were >.09.

There was no overall difference in incidence of depression ($P= .64$): 29% (n=18) of participants receiving guided i-RFCBT and 33% (n=23) of participants receiving usual care experienced an MDE during the follow-up period. A Cox proportional hazard model was conducted, including past depression, gender, and baseline stress as potential predictors of incidence of depression. As the majority (83%) of participants were female and there was no significant effect of gender in predicting depression, this variable was removed from the model, such that the final model controlled for past depression and baseline stress. As expected, history of depression significantly increased risk, with participants with a history of depression over two and a half times more likely to experience an MDE than participants without: hazard ratio (HR) 2.62, 95% CI 1.37 to 5.01; $P = .004$. Baseline stress marginally increased the risk of MDEs: HR 1.40, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.99; $P = .06$. When controlling for both past depression and baseline stress, there was a 34% reduced risk of depression in the guided i-RFCBT condition relative to usual care, although this difference was not significant: HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.35 to 1.25; $P = .20$ (see Figure 2).

For Cox proportional hazard models including the interactions between intervention condition and baseline stress, and intervention condition and history of depression, there was no differential effect of intervention between first onset (ie, no history of depression) or relapse/recurrence (ie, past history of depression) for incidence of major depression, and this interaction was removed from the final model (HR 0.54, 95% CI 0.15 to 1.94; $P = .34$; guided i-RFCBT: 38.9% first onset; 61.1% relapse vs usual care: 36.4% first onset; 63.6% relapse). Both the effects of past depression (HR 2.52, 95% CI 1.32 to 4.81; $P = .005$) and baseline stress (HR 1.99, 95% CI 1.22 to 3.24; $P = .006$) remained significant. As hypothesized, there was a significant interaction of the intervention condition by baseline stress (HR 0.43, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.87; $P = .02$), indicating a greater benefit of guided i-RFCBT relative to usual care (risk of MDEs decreased by 57%) for undergraduates with higher baseline stress.

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of usual care, guided Web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (i-RFCBT), and unguided i-RFCBT intention-to-treat samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Usual care (n=77)</th>
<th>Guided i-RFCBTa (n=82)</th>
<th>Unguided i-RFCBT (n=76)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female), n (%)</td>
<td>64 (83)</td>
<td>68 (83)</td>
<td>64 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>20.27 (1.55)</td>
<td>20.43 (1.65)</td>
<td>20.53 (1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (white), n (%)</td>
<td>70 (91)</td>
<td>77 (94)</td>
<td>67 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English mother tongue, n (%)</td>
<td>71 (92)</td>
<td>75 (91)</td>
<td>64 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous major depressive episode, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (38)</td>
<td>34 (41)</td>
<td>29 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received previous mental health treatment, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (49)</td>
<td>38 (46)</td>
<td>31 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of depression, n (%)</td>
<td>39 (51)</td>
<td>42 (51)</td>
<td>33 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent with history of depression, n (%)</td>
<td>34 (44)</td>
<td>34 (41)</td>
<td>29 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported history of sexual abuse, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported history of physical abuse, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported history of emotional abuse, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (22)</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire-9, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener-7, mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.6 (4.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State Worry Questionnaire, mean (SD)</td>
<td>61.9 (9.0)</td>
<td>62.0 (9.5)</td>
<td>60.3 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminative Response Scale, mean (SD)</td>
<td>47.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>49.8 (10.6)</td>
<td>47.2 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful events in the past 3 months, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective rating of worst event, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.20 (1.11)</td>
<td>2.57 (0.96)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a-i-RFCBT: Web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioral therapy.
Figure 2. Survival curves for guided Web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (i-RFCBT) and usual care controls, adjusted for past depression and baseline stress.

Plotting the interaction between the intervention group and baseline stress (see Figure 3) suggests that at higher levels of stress, guided i-RFCBT markedly reduces the risk of a depressive episode relative to usual care, with this effect reversing at low levels of stress (albeit in a small number of participants, only 13.1% scoring either 0 or 1).

As further sensitivity analyses, to investigate the effect of compliance on outcomes, we conducted a CACE analysis, using the Loeys and Goetghebur [66] method, which only allows for inclusion of the randomization variable in the model, and using regression-based adjustments to include past depression and baseline stress, which compares compliers in the intervention group to all other participants [68]. The mean completion for guided i-RFCBT was 3.46 (SD 2.25) for the full ITT sample (n=159), with 46% (38/82) being compliant by completing at least 4 of the 6 modules. The rates of compliance were higher among those with follow-up outcome data (n=132) as used for the CACE analysis at 60% (38/63). The results of the CACE analyses (see Multimedia Appendix 3) were equivalent to the ITT analysis. We therefore have only reported the primary ITT analysis.
Secondary Analyses on Patient Health Questionnaire, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Ruminative Response Scale, and Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Baseline adjusted ANCOVAs were conducted for each of the symptom measures, at each of the 3 follow-ups. Estimated means, between-group differences, and CIs are displayed in Table 2 for the case completers and following MIs (50 imputations). For the complete cases, at 3 months, rumination scores were significantly lower for guided i-RFCBT relative to usual care; at 6 months, both worry and depression scores were significantly lower for guided i-RFCBT relative to usual care, and there was no evidence of significant between-group differences at 15 months. Similar patterns were found when using MI to account for differing levels of missing data across the follow-ups.
Retention, Acceptability and Effect Sizes of Unguided Web-Based Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

A total of 19 (25%) unguided participants did not complete any follow-up assessments. Participants were significantly more likely to be lost to follow-up in unguided i-RFCBT than in usual care: $\chi^2_1=4.53$; $P=.03$.

Owing to the exploratory nature of the unguided version of i-RFCBT, no formal CACE analysis of compliance was undertaken for the unguided intervention. In the full ITT sample, unguided participants completed an average of 2.66 modules ($SD$ 2.35). The rates of compliance (38% unguided) were not significantly different from guided i-RFCBT ($\chi^2_1=1.08$; $P=.30$).

For the unguided intervention, participants logged in an average of 6.25 times ($SD$ 5.21) and accessed the intervention over an average period of 114.92 days ($SD$ 105.51). The median (interquartile range) was 87 days (22-173). Guided participants logged in an average of 7.97 times ($SD$ 5.65) and accessed the intervention over an average period of 110.13 days ($SD$ 108.44). The median (interquartile range) was 67 days (38-156).

Estimates of Hazard Ratios for Unguided Web-Based Rumination-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Versus Usual Care

No formal significance analyses were undertaken, but hazard ratios and CIs were estimated relative to usual care. Using a Cox proportional hazard model including past depression and baseline stress, unguided i-RFCBT showed a 36% reduced risk of developing a depressive episode relative to controls: HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.23 to 1.00, such that unguided i-RFCBT had larger effect sizes for undergraduates with moderate-to-severe levels of baseline stress (see Figure 3).
Table 3. Baseline adjusted symptom measures at 3, 6, and 15 months: unguided Web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioral therapy versus usual care controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timepoint and measure</th>
<th>Unguided Web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioral therapy, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Usual care, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Between-group difference, difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>Estimated between-group difference after multiple imputations, multiple imputation difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up 1 (3 months; n=116)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>4.02 (3.07 to 4.96)</td>
<td>5.21 (4.33 to 6.10)</td>
<td>−1.20 (−2.49 to 0.10)</td>
<td>−1.18 (−2.65 to 0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>4.94 (3.90 to 5.99)</td>
<td>5.98 (5.01 to 6.96)</td>
<td>−0.40 (−2.47 to 0.39)</td>
<td>−0.06 (−2.60 to 0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSWQ</td>
<td>55.77 (53.26 to 58.28)</td>
<td>57.60 (55.26 to 59.95)</td>
<td>−1.84 (−5.28 to 1.61)</td>
<td>−1.35 (−4.87 to 2.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>44.47 (41.89 to 47.06)</td>
<td>47.01 (44.60 to 49.42)</td>
<td>−2.54 (−6.08 to 1.01)</td>
<td>−2.42 (−6.19 to 1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up 2 (6 months; n=104)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>4.38 (3.20 to 5.56)</td>
<td>5.35 (4.30 to 6.40)</td>
<td>−0.97 (−2.56 to 0.61)</td>
<td>−1.04 (−2.89 to −0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>4.20 (2.96 to 5.44)</td>
<td>5.93 (4.83 to 7.03)</td>
<td>−1.73 (−3.38 to −0.07)</td>
<td>−2.09 (−3.92 to −0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSWQ</td>
<td>54.51 (51.60 to 57.42)</td>
<td>58.06 (55.47 to 60.65)</td>
<td>−3.55 (−7.46 to 0.36)</td>
<td>−3.35 (−7.36 to 0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>41.27 (38.07 to 44.47)</td>
<td>45.20 (42.35 to 48.04)</td>
<td>−3.93 (−8.22 to 0.37)</td>
<td>−4.12 (−8.94 to 0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up 3 (15 months; n=107)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>4.20 (3.00 to 5.40)</td>
<td>4.69 (3.64 to 5.73)</td>
<td>−0.49 (−2.08 to 1.11)</td>
<td>−0.92 (−2.61 to 0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>4.49 (3.28 to 5.70)</td>
<td>5.52 (4.46 to 6.57)</td>
<td>−1.03 (−2.63 to 0.58)</td>
<td>−1.36 (−3.23 to 0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSWQ</td>
<td>53.78 (50.75 to 56.81)</td>
<td>57.56 (54.93 to 60.19)</td>
<td>−3.78 (−7.79 to 0.24)</td>
<td>−4.34 (−8.57 to −0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>42.07 (38.59 to 45.54)</td>
<td>43.85 (40.84 to 46.86)</td>
<td>−1.78 (−6.40 to 2.83)</td>
<td>−2.61 (−7.93 to 2.71)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire.

bGAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener.

cPSWQ: Penn State Worry Questionnaire.

dRRS: Ruminative Response Scale.

Between-group differences for unguided i-RFCBT versus usual care were estimated with baseline adjusted ANCOVAs for both case completers and using multiple imputations (50 imputations). Estimated means and CIs are displayed in Table 3. Owing to the exploratory nature of this comparison, significance testing was not conducted. Patterns of change and CIs indicate similar symptom changes to those found in the guided i-RFCBT versus usual care control ANCOVAs.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings and Comparison With Previous Work**

The main aim was to test if guided i-RFCBT could be effective in preventing depression in undergraduate students in the United Kingdom over 1-year follow-up. When controlling for both past depression and baseline stress, guided i-RFCBT reduced the risk of experiencing an MDE by 34% relative to usual care. Although this effect size was not significant and smaller than that found by Topper et al [27], it is consistent with the wider prevention literature, which reports an average reduction in incidence of 21% [10] and a 28% (IRR=.72) reduction in incidence relative to controls for selective, predominantly CBT, interventions [11]. It may be that this study was underpowered to detect a main preventive effect of i-RFCBT as it used a larger effect size estimate derived from Topper et al [27].

As hypothesized, guided i-RFCBT was significant at preventing the onset of MDEs in high-risk undergraduates relative to usual care when they experienced moderate or above levels of baseline stress, with a hazard ratio of 0.43 when moderated by baseline stress. This is consistent with theoretical models of rumination and the RFCBT treatment approach. The tendency to ruminate about difficulties or low mood is more likely to increase the risk for depression in the context of stressful events, which activates that habitual tendency and provides subject matter to ruminate about. Even someone with a habitual tendency to ruminate is less likely to have frequent rumination in the absence of any difficulties. Furthermore, one key mechanism by which rumination is proposed to increase vulnerability to depression is by exacerbating and prolonging negative affect and distress [29,30]: rumination does not have deleterious effects in the absence of negative mood, and it is thus the confluence of stressful events that lower mood and the tendency to ruminate that particularly confer the risk for depression [32]. This pattern of results suggests a partial replication of Topper et al [27], by indicating that guided i-RFCBT may be a helpful preventive intervention for university students with high levels of rumination and worry, who also experience at least moderate levels of stress.

We note that the observed interaction between i-RFCBT and baseline stress could also be interpreted as indicating that...
RFCBT is unhelpful compared with usual care, for users who are experiencing little to no current stress. However, given the small number of participants who reported low levels of baseline stress, this reversal is based on low power and needs to be treated with caution.

The findings on the symptom measures suggest that guided i-RFCBT was effective in the short-to-medium term, by reducing rumination, worry, and symptoms of depression at 3 and 6 months relative to usual care, but that these improvements were not sustained over the longer term. Watkins and Nolen-Hoeksema hypothesized that rumination could be conceptualized as a learnt habit, triggered by particular cues such as low mood. Within this analysis, successful long-term reduction of the ruminative habit requires extensive repetition and rehearsal of alternative more adaptive responses to the triggers for rumination. It may be that i-RFCBT was too brief or that participants did not practise enough to produce long-term change in the ruminative habit. It may also be that further engagement and booster sessions some months after the initial intervention phase would enhance the longer-term effects of the intervention. These could take the form of explicit reminders to practice techniques (flashcards and text/email reminders; or increasing the generalizability of the new, more helpful techniques across a broader range of contexts.

One possible reason for the difference in findings between this study and Topper et al. is the means of assessing onset of depression: Reducing Stress and Preventing Depression (RESPOND) used structured clinical interviews, whereas Topper et al. used cut-offs on self-report questionnaires, which may overestimate incidence. Another potential explanation is the different samples. Although their sample included university students, the average age was 17.5 years, compared to 20.4 years in RESPOND. Cases of depression begin to rise steeply from the age of 14, so it may be that the developmental risks during mid to late adolescence differ from those in university students and either that i-RFCBT was more efficacious in younger participants or the base rate was higher in the younger sample, increasing the power of the trial.

Compliance rates and the pattern of findings and preliminary effect sizes and CIs for unguided i-RFCBT were similar to those for guided i-RFCBT. These findings are in contrast to the literature on Web-based acute treatment for depression, which generally demonstrates larger effect sizes for guided interventions relative to unguided. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43]. This benefit of therapist guidance has also been previously found for indicated interventions relative to unguided [41-43].

Given the need for widespread dissemination of preventive interventions, an efficacious unguided intervention would be valuable, even if it had somewhat reduced effect sizes relative to the guided version, because it would not be constrained by therapist numbers or availability unlike a guided treatment and could be enormously scaled up to increase accessibility [44]. In addition, unguided interventions may benefit a previously unreached population as many university students do not seek professional help for mental health difficulties [22,23] and could therefore be more attracted to self-help interventions. In support of this, an unguided preventive intervention for students with elevated distress ratings reduced depressive symptoms relative to usual care at 2 months follow-up [72], with two-thirds of the trial completers reporting an unmet need (needing help but not seeking it) in the previous year. As i-RFCBT targets worry and rumination, rather than focusing on depression, this may further attract those who prefer self-help to manage their symptoms as worry is a common experience without the perceived stigma of mental illness [27]. These initial findings on the acceptability and effect sizes of the unguided version provide some promise in terms of potential benefits and suggests the value of further studies to formally test unguided i-RFCBT as a preventive intervention.

Despite the need for larger-scale trials to test the robustness of these findings, several strengths of RESPOND are identified. First, the RESPOND trial addressed some of the methodological limitations of the Topper et al. trial by including diagnostic interviewing. This allowed for retrospective diagnoses, capturing any episodes occurring between follow-up interviews, as well as baseline history of depression to assess the effect of previous history on risk of a further MDE.

The use of Web-based and telephone-based measures allowed for recruitment throughout the United Kingdom, with participants from a wide range of university departments and geographical locations, increasing the generalizability of the findings within this demographic. The target sample size was achieved through this recruitment strategy and this would therefore be a suitable approach for a larger scale trial of i-RFCBT.

Limitations

There were several limitations to the study. First, the sample was disproportionately female, limiting the generalizability of the findings. However, females consistently report higher levels of rumination [73] and higher levels of depression, so a trial selecting on this basis will necessarily attract more female participants.

Second, despite a successful recruitment strategy, there was a considerable proportion of missing data at follow-up, particularly in the intervention conditions (albeit in the context of planning the sample size for 20% drop-out attrition). In addition, follow-up assessments were sometimes incomplete as participants did not always return the questionnaires after the follow-up interview, despite reminders being sent. Future trials should therefore further emphasize to participants the importance of follow-up data during the baseline assessment and ensure all measures are completed during the interview. Finally, common to many electronic mental health trials, the participants were not blind to the treatment condition, and, as such, the results could have been influenced by response bias and expectancy effects.
Conclusions

Despite these limitations, taken together, the findings from the Topper et al [27] trial and from this RESPOND trial suggest that i-RFCBT is an effective and acceptable intervention for preventing depression in adolescents and undergraduates experiencing high levels of rumination and worry. This demonstrates the value in targeting a preventive intervention at identified risk factors. This intervention may be particularly effective in individuals experiencing high levels of stress. The initial findings relating to unguided i-RFCBT suggest this may be efficacious in preventing depression, which, if shown to be robust in a fully powered trial, would have significant implications for the scalability of i-RFCBT.
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Abstract

Background: The ability to retrieve specific autobiographical memories decreases with cognitive aging. This decline is clinically relevant due to its association with impairments in problem solving, daily functioning, and depression. A therapist-delivered group training protocol, Memory Specificity Training (MeST), has been shown to enhance the retrieval of specific memories while ameliorating the impairments and negative outcomes associated with reduced specificity. The therapist-delivered nature of this intervention means it is relatively expensive to deliver and difficult for people with mobility impairments, such as older people, to receive.

Objective: The objective of this study was to test if a novel, Web-based computerized version of a group training protocol called Memory Specificity Training, has the potential to increase autobiographical memory specificity and impact associated secondary psychological processes.

Methods: A total of 21 participants (13 female; mean age 67.05, SD 6.55) who experienced a deficit in retrieving specific autobiographical memory were trained with c-MeST. We assessed memory specificity at preintervention and postintervention, as well as secondary processes such as depressive symptoms, rumination, and problem-solving skills.

Results: Memory specificity increased significantly after participants completed c-MeST ($r=.57$). Session-to-session scores indicated that autobiographical memory specificity improved most from the online baseline assessment to the first Web-based session. Symptoms or secondary processes such as problem-solving skills did not change significantly.

Conclusions: A Web-based automated individual version of MeST is a feasible, low-cost intervention for reduced memory specificity in healthy older adults. Future studies should clarify the preventive impact of c-MeST in other at-risk sample populations with longer follow-up times.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13333) doi:10.2196/13333
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Introduction

Background
The world’s rapidly aging population [1] poses several challenges for societies regarding whether they can develop scalable interventions for maintaining quality of life and independence among an increasingly older population. One important cognitive factor associated with cognitive aging is a decrease in the ability to retrieve specific, personal memories [2]. This factor, referred to as reduced autobiographical memory specificity (rAMS) or overgeneral autobiographical memory [3], is associated with depression [2], impaired problem solving [4], and difficulty maintaining independence [3]. The link between these processes can be explained by the constructive episodic simulation hypothesis, which states that similar episodic processes are central to retrieval of past memories and to construction and simulation of hypothetical events [6]. Consequently, people who can retrieve more specific memories are better able to simulate possible events; they are also better able to formulate solutions to problems that might emerge in their future and plan for how to implement these solutions.

rAMS was first studied in depression (see [3] for a review) and trauma [7], and is now considered a trait marker for depression [8]. The first attempt to remediate rAMS [9] involved a 4-session group training program called Memory Specificity Training (MeST). This intervention improved memory specificity and associated cognitive processes (problem solving, rumination, and helplessness) in depressed female inpatients. Subsequent investigations showed similar effects of MeST on rAMS in other patient groups [10-12]. In a cluster-randomized controlled platform pilot trial among people with depression, Werner-Seidler and colleagues [13] found that MeST was associated with improvements in memory specificity compared with a group receiving psychoeducation and supportive counselling.

The core component of MeST resembles the Autobiographical Memory Test (AMT) [14] used to assess rAMS. In the AMT, participants are presented with cue words and instructed to retrieve specific memories that these cue words remind them of. In MeST, participants receive similar instructions with the exception that they also receive feedback on the specificity of their responses and instructions for how they might be more specific and more detailed. Exercises are completed during the sessions and as homework assignments. In addition to exercises with cue words, in a second kind of specificity exercise participants are instructed each evening to write down one or two memories of that day (with no cue words given). After retrieving a specific memory, participants are encouraged to retrieve details of this specific moment.

rAMS is also an age-related phenomenon in healthy older adults [2], and aging is shown to contribute more than depressive symptoms to rAMS in people older than 50 years [15]. As the ability to retrieve specific memories is considered to be a protective factor for mental health [16], Leahy et al [17] examined whether improving memory specificity was possible among healthy adults over 70 years of age. In their study, they compared 3 groups: a MeST intervention group; a life review group, which also emphasized the recall of specific life events but placed them within the broader context of a person’s life narrative; and a control group, which was asked to complete a workbook of cognitively stimulating activities not directly related to autobiographical memory (ie, crossword and Sudoku puzzles). Each intervention took 4 weeks, with a posttraining assessment in the fifth week and a follow-up 3 months later. Leahy and colleagues reported significant improvements in autobiographical memory specificity in the MeST and life review groups at posttraining relative to the control group. However, this effect was not found at 3 months’ follow-up. Neither intervention had an effect on depression symptoms, functional independence, or executive functioning, but improvements in memory specificity were significantly related to improvements in social problem solving in both intervention groups.

Remediating rAMS has been found to be beneficial for older adults [17,18]. However, as societies age dramatically, making in-person training accessible to this growing and diverse population, who may not have contact with health care providers or who may have mobility or independence problems, would be challenging. Translating MeST into a computerized individualized platform could offer promise as a solution to these challenges [19]. A recently designed computerized algorithm for scoring the specificity of written autobiographical memories [20] offers new possibilities given that memory specificity training might now be delivered in the absence of a therapist and at home. This scoring algorithm, which has demonstrated good agreement with human-expert scorings [20], was incorporated into a Web-based platform for memory specificity training such that memories are coded and feedback can be given [21]. In a proof-of-concept study with participants with rAMS (operationalized as scoring ≤50% on the AMT), this Web-based computerized version of MeST (c-MeST) improved rAMS after 2 weeks of training (consisting of 7 sessions of 5 to 8 trials each) and the effect was maintained at 2-week follow-up, compared with a no-training control group.

Objective
In this study, we aimed to examine a Web-based, individually delivered c-MeST that exclusively consisted of specificity trials. In this version of c-MeST, we standardized sessions, as each session contained the same amount of neutral, negative, and positive valence cue words, and cue words were equivalent in valence/pleasantness, activity/arousal, power/dominance [22], and concreteness [23] among the sessions. As a result, we could obtain session-by-session specificity scores and observe each participant’s progress. This standardization of sessions is in contrast to the study by Takano and colleagues [21], which followed the standard in-group version of MeST that increased the difficulty in exercises as the session progressed (eg, retrieving two memories in response to a single emotional cue). In addition, we aimed to assess depressive symptoms, rumination, and problem-solving skills online at preintervention and postintervention.

We aimed to test whether c-MeST would remediate rAMS among older adults in terms of change from preintervention to postintervention and the trajectory of change from session to
session. We also aimed to test the extent to which c-MeST was associated with change in secondary outcomes and, in particular, a decrease in depressive symptoms and ruminative brooding, and an increase in problem-solving skills. Additionally, we aimed to test the feasibility of c-MeST for older adults in terms of whether, and to what extent, participants completed the intervention, and to gather reports of their experiences with c-MeST.

**Methods**

**Participants**

We recruited participants between October 2017 and April 2018 via (1) a network of university-related organizations for older alumni, (2) the website of a public advisory body for older adults, and (3) an online forum of a commercial website targeting older adults. We allowed people to participate regardless of location, but in practice all participants lived in Belgium. The study was described to them as the evaluation of a Web-based training program for a memory problem associated with cognitive aging and that is known to be a general vulnerability factor for associated processes such as impaired social problem solving and depression. The only inclusion criterion mentioned in the description of the study was a minimum age of 50 years. After completing the survey, participants were entered into a lottery to win a shopping coupon (€20). Participants showing rAMS were contacted and invited to participate in the preintervention measurement, until 20 participants completed c-MeST. An extra exclusion criterion at preintervention measurement was not having Dutch as their native language. The study received institutional ethical approval from the Social and Societal Ethics Committee of the KU Leuven (approval number G201709932).

**Measures**

**Autobiographical Memory Test**

We measured autobiographical memory specificity before and after training using an online version of the AMT [14]. Participants were instructed to retrieve a specific memory for each of 10 cue words (5 positive, 5 negative; presented in Multimedia Appendix 1). The instructions stated that the memory needed to be specific—that is, the event recalled must have happened once and lasted less than a day but did not have to be an important event. One example of a correct answer and two examples of incorrect answers were provided. Because the assessment was online, in contrast with earlier studies using an in-person verbal version of the AMT (eg, [9]) no practice trials and no feedback during the test could be given and no time limit was applied. The AMT was scored by the online classifier and manually by the fourth author (JG). When scores contradicted each other (382/2010, 18.91% of the entries), the first author (KM) checked the answers and made the final decision. We used 2 sets of cues, and although we matched both sets for imageability, familiarity, and emotional extremity [8], we administered them in counterbalanced order across the 2 test moments to avoid an effect of the cue words. For this study, we operationalized rAMS as a score lower than 70%, which we considered as a deficit in memory specificity to be remediated via training. Published studies have some variability in the inclusion criterion, from no inclusion [9-11,17] to scoring lower than 50% [21] or lower than 70% [13].

**Depressive Symptomatology**

We used the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) [24] to measure depressive symptomatology. The PHQ-9 is a 9-item self-report measure of depressive symptoms, scoring the 9 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fifth Edition) Major Depressive Episode criteria based on the frequency with which they have been experienced in the past 2 weeks, from 0 (“not at all”) to 3 (“nearly every day”). Scores can range from 0 to 27. PHQ-9 showed good internal consistency with a Cronbach alpha=.76 at the preintervention measurement.

**Rumination**

The Ruminative Response Scale-Brooding subscale (RRS-Brooding) [25,26] is a self-report questionnaire consisting of 5 items measuring brooding from the 22-item Ruminative Response Scale [27]. The items on the brooding factor are considered to measure the maladaptive coping of passively comparing one’s situation with some unachieved standard. For example, participants are asked to rate how frequently they tend to think “Why do I always react this way?” or “Why do I have problems other people do not have?” on a scale from 1 (“almost never”) to 4 (“always”). Scores range from 5 to 20. Cronbach alpha at preintervention was good (alpha=.81).

**Problem Solving**

We measured participants’ problem-solving skills with an online Dutch version of the Stress Anxiety Depression version of the Means-Ends Problem-Solving Procedure (SAD-MEPS) [28]. the original Stress Anxiety Depression version of the Means-Ends Problem-Solving Procedure (MEPS) [29] consists of a series of short stories or interpersonal problem situations faced by a hypothetical protagonist. Each story starts with the protagonist facing a specific problem, which is immediately followed by a successful ending. Participants are asked to provide the middle part of each story by typing in strategies or means for solving the particular problem. We used an adapted format [28], consisting of 2 versions of each 3 scenarios: 1 depression-related, 1 stress-related, and 1 anxiety-related story. We used 2 sets of stories and administered them in counterbalanced order to avoid an effect of the difficulty of the stories. Answers were scored manually by one of the authors (JG) on 2 dimensions. First, in line with the original manual [29], we scored stories for the number of relevant means (ie, discrete sequenced steps that enable the protagonist to get closer to the stated goal). The more relevant means a participant mentions, the better. Second, in line with Marx et al [30], we also scored stories for their effectiveness from 1 (“totally ineffective”) to 7 (“very effective”). Total scores result from a mean of the scores on the 3 stories.

**The c-MeST Intervention**

The Web-based c-MeST consisted of 9 sessions of 11 specificity trials, which is similar in dose to the original in-person MeST (99 specificity trials vs 104 specificity exercises; [9]). The original in-person MeST [9] consisted of 1 session each week...
for 4 weeks, with homework assignments for every day in between sessions. For this study, we instructed participants to train on 1 session every other day, resulting in 17 days of training. The 11 trials of each session, 9 with cue words of different valences, can be categorized into 4 types: 3 positive, 3 negative, 3 neutral, and 2 memories of the day (1 about a memory of yesterday and 1 about today, without cue words). In this version of c-MeST, we standardized the sessions, as each session contained the same amount of each type of trial, and cue words were equivalent in valence/pleasantness, activity/arousal, power/dominance [22], and concreteness [23] among the sessions. Multimedia Appendix 1 lists the cue words. The 9 sets of cue words were presented in a fixed order, but the order of the cue words was randomized within each session.

Participants completed each session on a Web-based platform that contained instructions and tips about autobiographical specificity, similar to the instructions of the AMT but providing more examples. In each of the 11 specificity trials, participants were asked to retrieve a specific memory. The website used the computerized scoring algorithm for the AMT [20] to score entries and to automatically give feedback on whether the entry was specific. The scoring algorithm showed good performance against expert-rated scores in discriminating specific versus nonspecific memories (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve > .90; [31]). If the entry was scored as not specific, participants received feedback stating that their answer was not specific enough, were reminded that they needed to provide a specific memory that occurred on a specific day and that occurred only once, and were encouraged to reenter the memory or another memory with greater specificity. If, despite the feedback, participants could not generate a specific memory within three attempts, the next cue word was presented automatically. If participants succeeded in providing a specific memory, positive feedback was provided and participants were invited to provide more spatiotemporal and contextual details on the next page (ie, “Where did it happen? When did it happen? How long did it take? Who else was there? What can you see, hear, smell or taste? What kind of day was it?”). Participants were instructed to fill out these details only if they had not already provided them in their initial memory entry. Participants could skip a cue word if they wished to do so. There was no time limit per question.

**Measures of Training Experiences**

After each session, participants were asked 3 closed and 2 open questions regarding (1) to what extent they found that the offered words were helpful or easy for retrieving a specific memory (0 = “not easy at all, words are very difficult to retrieve memories for”) to 10 = “very easy, words are very easy to retrieve memories for”), (2) to what extent they experienced the feedback provided by the software as correct (0 = “not at all, a lot of mistakes” to 10 = “very correct, no mistakes”), (3) to what extent they experienced the session to be acceptable in length (1 = “way too short” to 5 = “way too long”), (4) how they experienced the training, and (5) whether they had any other remarks.

**Procedure**

In the first online assessment, participants received an informed consent form including a question asking whether they wanted to provide contact details to be invited for a follow-up study, in case their results made them eligible. After completing an online AMT, participants who showed rAMS (operationalized as a score < 70% on the AMT) were contacted by telephone and invited to participate in this study. We explained to participants that they were selected on their score on the online AMT. We asked participants whether they (1) recognized rAMS in their daily functioning and (2) were interested in participating in a study exploring the possibility of remediating this phenomenon. Because of concerns about feasibility and dropout, we offered participants 2 options: (1) if they wished to receive instructions for face-to-face c-MeST, we invited them to visit the first author (KM) for an in-person conversation (n=9); if not, then (2) instructions were given by telephone or email (n=12). All instructions were provided by the first author, a clinical psychologist, who could potentially refer participants to the appropriate care in case they were worried about cognitive problems. In either case, participants received an email with a link to a preintervention measurement of secondary measures (SAD-MEPS, RRS-Brooding, and PHQ-9), a second informed consent form, and a link to c-MeST. Participants were instructed to complete 1 session every other day, which would result in a training period of 17 days. Each Web-based session contained questions on feasibility. After participants completed c-MeST, another email was sent with an invitation to an online postintervention measurement of memory specificity (AMT) and secondary measures (SAD-MEPS, RRS-Brooding, and PHQ-9). When all data were gathered, participants were provided feedback about their scores and were invited to provide extra feedback on feasibility.

**Analysis of Data**

We scored c-MeST sessions as the number of trials for which the patient’s first answer was classified as a specific autobiographical memory, in accordance with the logic of the AMT, resulting in a maximum of 11 points per session. We tested memory specificity and secondary outcomes (depressive symptoms, rumination, problem-solving skills) for deviation from the normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Results suggested that at both time points (preintervention and postintervention), there were significant deviations from normality (AMT postintervention, P=.02; RRS-Brooding preintervention, P=.04; SAD-MEPS means postintervention, P=.02). For memory specificity per type of trial, the assumption of normality was not satisfied either (all P<.001). Therefore, we used nonparametric statistics for all analyses.

To analyze the impact of c-MeST on memory specificity and secondary measures, we used a Wilcoxon signed rank test. We assessed relations between variables and change in variables with a Kendall tau rank correlation. To compare scores on different types of trials, we used a Kruskal-Wallis test with post hoc Mann-Whitney U tests. We used IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 25.0 (IBM Corporation) for all analyses. Multimedia Appendix 2 shows raw data of memory specificity and secondary measures.
Results

Sample Characteristics
In total, 177 participants aged 50 years and over (121 female; mean age 68.97, SD 6.60 years) filled out an online version of the AMT. This screening assessment identified 63 participants with rAMS, operationalized as a score of less than 70% on the AMT (mean 37.46%, SD 18.58%). Among them, we contacted 40 people to participate in this study. However, 16 people declined to participate and we excluded 1 person because Dutch was not their native language. The remaining 23 participants started c-MeST. During or after the training, 2 participants dropped out (1 person was sick and 1 person stopped during the training without a postintervention measurement). Finally, 21 participants (13 female) completed the postintervention measurements. Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of the selection and inclusion process.

Participants in c-MeST (n=21) were aged between 55 and 77 years (mean 67.05, SD 6.55 years). Participants’ age did not significantly correlate with memory specificity or any of the secondary measures (depressive symptoms, brooding, and problem solving) at preintervention measurement (with the biggest correlation being a Kendall tau correlation of –.25, \( P=0.14 \) for brooding). At the preintervention measurement, 4 participants reported mild depressive symptoms (operationalized as a score of >5 on the PHQ-9) and 1 participant showed moderate depressive symptoms (score >10 on PHQ-9).

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the selection and inclusion process. AMT: Autobiographical Memory Test; c-MeST: computerized version of Memory Specificity Training; SAD-MEPS: Stress Anxiety Depression version of the Means-Ends Problem-Solving Procedure; rAMS: reduced autobiographical memory specificity.
Treatment Characteristics

A total of 2 participants did not complete c-MeST but did provide a postintervention measurement: 1 participant stopped after 4 sessions and 1 stopped after 5 sessions. We excluded 1 other participant from analyses of problem-solving skills, as they inadvertently filled out the preintervention assessment several times and thus also completed both versions of the SAD-MEPS task, which made a valid postintervention measurement impossible.

During c-MeST, participants needed to click the OK button after entering their memory, so that the memory was automatically scored, before filling out the details tab. Sometimes participants did not click OK and switched immediately to the details tab, which led to missing values in 1.67% of all memories scored. Participants were also allowed to skip a trial if they found it too difficult; they did so in 11.31% of the provided trials at a first attempt.

Participants were instructed to train every other day, but they were free to complete the sessions at another pace if they wished to. For the 19 participants who completed all sessions, the duration varied from 13 to 29 days (mean 18.37, SD 3.34 days). The number of days between the last session and the time of the postintervention measurement varied as well, between 0 and 16 (mean 3, SD 3.76 days).

Check on Parallel Versions

There were no differences between the sets used for the AMT and SAD-MEPS, counterbalanced between participants across time points, and so subsequent analyses did not use counterbalance as a between-participants factor (see Multimedia Appendix 3).

Memory Specificity

A Wilcoxon signed rank test showed that participants’ memory specificity increased significantly ($Z=-3.70$, $P<.001$) between preintervention (mean 30.00%) and postintervention (median 80.00%) as measured by the AMT, which can be regarded as a large effect size ($r=.57$). Comparing the group that received instructions on MeST in an in-person conversation (n=9) versus the group that received instructions by telephone or email (n=12), the groups did not differ significantly in terms of change in memory specificity between preintervention and postintervention measurements, assessed with a Mann-Whitney $U$ test ($U=47.00$; $P=.61$).

Session-to-session analyses, based on participants’ first attempts to retrieve a specific memory, showed that the proportion of specific answers given by participants improved significantly from the preintervention assessment (median 30.00%) to the end of the first session (median 81.82%; $Z=3.95$, $P<.001$, $r=.61$). We observed no further enhancement of specificity throughout the remaining sessions (varying from a median of 72.73% for sessions 3 and 8 to a median of 81.82% for sessions 1, 2, 4, and 9), as Figure 2 illustrates and Multimedia Appendix 4 shows.

As participants could give a correct answer in a second or even third attempt if they did not do so on a first attempt, we examined whether participants were successfully able to respond to the feedback given to them after their failed first attempt and to report a specific memory in their second or third attempt. Comparing the mean proportion of specific memories given on first attempts with the mean across all attempts, this increased from 73.24% to 78.36%. A Wilcoxon signed rank test revealed that this increase in memory specificity was statistically significant ($Z=6.29$, $P<.001$), which can be regarded as a large effect size ($r=.54$). Feedback helped participants to retrieve more specific memories.

Figure 2. Median scores with interquartile ranges (25%-75%) of the Autobiographical Memory Test (AMT; pre- and postintervention measurements) and in between session-to-session scores on computerized version of Memory Specificity Training (c-MeST).
To check whether certain trials were particularly hard to complete for participants, we compared scores (%) of participants for the 4 different trial types: trials with (1) neutral, (2) positive, and (3) negative cue words and (4) memories of the day. A Kruskal-Wallis test showed a significant difference in scores between different trials ($\chi^2_3=19.7, P<.001$, with mean rank scores for neutral cues of 362.81; for positive cues, of 349.44; for negative cues, of 320.68; and for memories of the day, of 409.07). Post hoc Mann-Whitney U tests showed a statistically significant difference between scores on the category memories of the day (median 100%) in comparison with 3 other categories of exercises: neutral cues (median 66.67%; $U=14038.50, P=.01$), positive cues (median 66.67%; $U=13509.50, P=.002$), and negative cues (median 66.67%; $U=12308.50, P<.001$). Results indicated no significant differences in scores between types of cue words, but memories of the day can be regarded as the easiest type of trial.

In addition, analyses did not reveal that the number of days it took participants to fulfill the training ($\tau_b=10, P=.59$) or the number of days between the last session and the postintervention measurement ($\tau_b=.16, P=.38$) significantly influenced the difference between preintervention and postintervention measurements of memory specificity.

### Changes in Secondary Outcomes

Participants reported low levels of depressive symptoms (median 3.00) and brooding (median 7.00) at preintervention measurement. As Table 1 shows, no significant change in reported depressive symptoms and brooding was evident by postintervention. In addition, we found no significant change in problem-solving skills (the number of means or the overall effectiveness of the solutions generated) between preintervention measurement and postintervention measurement (Table 1).

### Feasibility: Training Experiences

Overall, participants found the cue words used in each session to be of moderate difficulty (mean score 6.16, SD 2.18), and they experienced the classifier as correct more often than not (mean score 7.29, SD 1.89). The length of the sessions was experienced on average as “just right” and “a bit too long” (mean score 3.52, SD 0.80). Multimedia Appendix 5 shows mean scores on the 3 questions. For the open questions, 5 participants stated throughout the training that the rationale of the training was not clear, 4 participants experienced some technical problems, and 4 participants reported that they got better at retrieving memories more quickly.

## Discussion

### Principal Findings

This study examined the impact of Web-based memory specificity training (c-MeST) on difficulty retrieving specific autobiographical memories among healthy older adults. This proof-of-concept study showed that translating MeST to a Web-based application resulted in significant improvements in specificity.

Translating MeST to a Web-based application dismantled MeST to its core mechanism. In comparison with in-person, group MeST as used by Leahy and colleagues [17], in c-MeST the introductory session and therapist-plus-group interaction are absent. Other study protocols [13] included psychoeducation on memory problems in depression (session 1) and psychoeducation and exercises on how to notice when one is thinking on an overgeneral level in everyday contexts and how to tackle that (session 4). The results of this study support the idea that mere memory specificity trials are sufficient to improve AMS, which is in line with previous examinations of c-MeST in the context of depression [21] and K Martens, MSc, TJ Barry, PhD, K Takano, PhD, P Onghena, PhD, F Raes, PhD, unpublished data, 2018). Session-by-session scores revealed an increase in specificity between the online preintervention measurement and the end of the first c-MeST session. A similar finding emerged in the only other MeST or c-MeST investigation to quantify change in specificity on a session-to-session basis (K Martens, MSc, TJ Barry, PhD, K Takano, PhD, P Onghena, PhD, F Raes, PhD, unpublished data, 2018). Critically, this previous investigation used a face-to-face

### Table 1. Median, range, and effect size using a Wilcoxon signed rank test for all variables at preintervention and postintervention assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preintervention</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
<th>Effect (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median Range (IQR 25%-75%)</td>
<td>Median Range (IQR 25%-75%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMT$^b$</td>
<td>30.00 20.00-50.00</td>
<td>80.00 70.00-90.00</td>
<td>-.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9$^c$</td>
<td>3.00 0.50-4.50</td>
<td>3.00 0.00-4.50</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS-Brooding$^d$</td>
<td>7.00 6.00-8.50</td>
<td>8.00 6.00-9.00</td>
<td>-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD-MEPS$^e$-Means</td>
<td>2.00 1.42-2.92</td>
<td>1.67 1.67-2.92</td>
<td>-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD-MEPS-Effectiveness</td>
<td>4.50 3.33-5.33</td>
<td>4.83 3.67-5.33</td>
<td>-.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IQR: interquartile range.

$^b$AMT: Autobiographical Memory Test.

$^c$PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9.

$^d$RRS-Brooding: Ruminative Response Scale-Brooding subscale.

$^e$SAD-MEPS: Stress Anxiety Depression version of the Means-Ends Problem-Solving Procedure.
assessment (using a version of the AMT that included feedback) in their preintervention assessment and then an online assessment at the end of their first session. The authors concluded that the rapid improvement in specificity may have been due to a change in modality between measurements. The fact that this sudden increase in memory specificity was observed again, but now with an online preintervention assessment of specificity (without feedback), refutes this suggestion. Instead, it seems that the effects of c-MeST on specificity are realized rapidly. In this study, the addition of automated feedback during the session in comparison with the premeasurement, might have contributed to the sudden increase in memory specificity. However, it remains unclear what dosage of c-MeST (how many sessions) is required for these effects to endure once the intervention ends.

Some discrepancies between specificity measured by the AMT and by c-MeST are also of note. The difference in cue words between AMT and c-MeST might explain why c-MeST evoked more specific memories. First, the addition of neutral cue words and memories of the day to assessments of specificity in c-MeST may have made it easier for participants to retrieve specific memories. Also, including participants with specificity scores lower than 70% at preintervention measurement may have caused the increase in scores at a second measurement to be due to regression to the mean [32]. Future investigations should test these possibilities by comparing c-MeST with a control intervention and by testing differences in specificity across different cue types within the AMT and c-MeST. Another interesting route for future investigations is to include a measure of speed (or response time) for each memory retrieval. A decrease in the response time to retrieve a specific memory over the training period may reflect an improvement in memory functions, which could better capture the training effect (or improvement trajectory) rather than the binary score of a specific memory.

Our hypothesis that c-MeST would lead to a decrease in depressive symptoms and rumination was not supported, but this may be due to floor effects for both variables. Participants' scores at the preintervention measurement of depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 mean score 3.19, SD 2.96) fell in the range of scores found in the general population in this age range (from age 45 to >75: mean score 2.8, SD 3.5 to mean 4.4, SD 3.9; [33]). Scores on the rumination brooding scale were also in line with those found in the general population (mean score 7.62, SD 2.27 vs mean 8.6, SD 2.8; [34]). Leahy and colleagues [17] reported similar findings. It might, therefore, be unrealistic to predict further improvements from these low levels. It is of note that, among older adults who are vulnerable to subsequent increases in depression and impairments to quality of life and independence, the potential for c-MeST in preventing increases in these variables is worth further investigation.

We observed no increase in problem-solving skills. This might indicate that c-MeST does not influence problem-solving skills in healthy older adults with rAMS. This might also be explained by the use of an online version of SAD-MEPS, which is a test designed to be conducted face-to-face. After SAD-MEPS was used as a face-to-face measurement among people with depression [9], it was used as an online measurement among healthy students [35]. Both studies found no statistically significant effects from preintervention to postintervention in problem-solving skills. Future studies could assess problem-solving skills using measures that are more appropriate for online delivery or else the test should be conducted in person. The use of an adapted version of MEPS, SAD-MEPS, may not have been optimal for a group of healthy older adults with rAMS, and future research might use the standard MEPS.

The results of this study suggest that Web-based remediation of rAMS is feasible for older adults. Participants perceived the words to be moderately difficult, the feedback from the classifier as correct, and the length of the sessions as tolerable. However, participants varied in their preferences for session length and frequency. Given the nature of this research trial, we instructed participants to train in 9 sessions of 11 trials in 17 days. However, outside of a research context, participants should be able to train at their own pace. The software developed and tested here can enable participants to choose their own dosage and the frequency of training, which could further improve uptake and adherence. People also varied in scores for the 4 different kinds of trials. Future c-MeST could be personalized with an adaptive design, for example, by offering participants with low scores on one sort of cue words more of those similar trials. The software could also be combined with other instructions, such as those used in a life review, where specific memories are retrieved for particular life periods [18].

Limitations

A limitation of this study is that we did not know the participants’ educational levels. We can assume that the average educational level was above average, as many participants were members of a university alumni group. Although internet use among older adults is generally high (in Belgium, 79% of older adults between 55 and 64 years of age have been reported to use the internet daily [36]), education and income levels are also positively correlated with internet skills [37]. Future investigations should examine the feasibility of c-MeST among a more diverse socioeconomic range of participants than we used. Another limitation is that we did not control for cognitive functioning. As previous research has indicated that specificity performance is associated with cognitive functioning such as executive functioning [38,39], future research should control for cognitive functioning. However, for this proof-of-concept study, the feasibility of c-MeST is promising.

Conclusions

Web-based memory specificity training can effectively improve rAMS among healthy older adults. Translating the in-group training to a computerized version resulted in a feasible, scalable alternative, but we found no impact of this training on depressive symptoms, rumination, or problem-solving skills. Future investigations require follow-up assessments and control groups to assess the utility of c-MeST as an intervention for rAMS, and in the prevention of other negative outcomes such as increases in depression symptoms, among older adults.
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Abstract

Background: With China’s explosive internet growth, activities such as socializing and partner seeking among men who have sex with men (MSM) has also become Web based through popular services such as Blued. This creates a new mode of health promotion with the potential to instantly reach large numbers of MSM, including those who rarely access traditional offline testing facilities.

Objective: This study aimed to assess the feasibility of the Easy Test in increasing access and uptake of HIV testing and treatment services among MSM and to identify demographic and behavioral predictors of program uptake to inform future implementation.

Methods: A feasibility study of the Easy Test model was conducted from October 2017 to December 2017 in 14 Chinese provinces. Applicants who provided informed consent completed a self-administered questionnaire and submitted a US $5 deposit to have the free test kit delivered to their homes. Orders were then received, processed, and posted by volunteers from local community-based organizations. Once applicants submitted images of their test results, the deposit was refunded to the applicant. Those whose test results were deemed to be HIV-positive were then connected to a peer navigator to accompany the individual to follow-up medical services. A chi-squared trend test was used to assess the relationship between lifetime HIV testing volume and HIV prevalence. Logistic regression models were used to identify independent risk factors associated with two outcomes: (1) never having tested for HIV and (2) receiving an HIV-positive result.

Results: A total of 879 individuals submitted Web-based requests for test kits. Their median age was 28 (interquartile range 24-34 years); 69.3% (609/879) had at least a college education, and 51.5% (453/879) had a monthly income between US $450 to $750; 77.7% (683/879) of the applicants submitted images of their test results, among whom 14.3% (98/683) had an HIV-positive result. Among the first-time testers, the HIV prevalence was 18.8% (55/293). Nearly three-quarters (71/98, 72.4%) of those with a positive test result were connected with a peer navigator and enrolled in treatment. Among the first-time testers, having multiple sexual partners (2-3 sexual partners: adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.44, 95% CI 1.08-5.50; 4 or above sexual partners: aOR 3.55, 95% CI 1.18-10.68) and reporting inconsistent condom use in the previous 3 months (aOR 7.95,
95% CI 3.66-17.26) were both associated with an HIV-positive result. An inverse dose response relationship between lifetime HIV testing volume and HIV prevalence was also observed in this study ($\chi^2=55.0; P<.001$).

**Conclusions:** The Easy Test model reached a larger portion of first-time testers, many who reported higher risk sexual behaviors. This highlights the potential for an internet-based self-test model to increase access to HIV treatment services for HIV-positive MSM in China.
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**Introduction**

**Background**

Men who have sex with men (MSM) represent an increasing proportion of newly reported HIV/AIDS cases. In 2017, MSM accounted for 25.54% (34,358/134,512) of all newly reported HIV/AIDS cases in China, compared with 14.70% (10,954/74,517) in 2011 [1,2]. In addition, national sentinel surveillance data indicate an increase in HIV prevalence among MSM from 5.72% (1948/34,009) to 7.98% (3312/41,503) between 2010 and 2015 [3]. Although the Chinese government has provided free HIV testing and counseling since 2003, and health authorities have affected a massive scale-up of facility-based HIV testing services, experts estimate around half of Chinese MSM remain untested for HIV [4].

Facility-based HIV testing is defined as HIV testing conducted in health care facilities, including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), hospitals and clinics, and community-based organizations (CBOs) that conduct HIV antibody screening (often using rapid tests) with linkage services to health care facilities for those who screen positive. The public health utility of internet-based interventions is of growing interest in China owing to the expansion of internet access—internet and mobile internet users currently exceed 800 million people [5]—and the growing use of social networking apps for socializing and sexual partner seeking [6-9]. To date, internet-based interventions have been used to promote HIV testing in young MSM, promote HIV/hepatitis C virus testing among people who inject drugs, reduce HIV transmission among male sex workers, reduce pretreatment loss to follow-up in HIV-diagnosed individuals, and improve the adherence of antiretroviral therapy in people living with HIV/AIDS [10-14]. Although 73.49% (34,712/47,231) of respondents in a nationally representative survey of MSM reported that they had found recent sex partners on the Web, only 26.36% (3890/14,757) of these respondents indicated that they had been tested for HIV and received test results in the last year [15,16]. The high proportion of Chinese MSM who use the internet to find sexual partners and the low rate of HIV testing among internet-using MSM underscore the potential of internet-based interventions to increase HIV testing uptake in this population.

The World Health Organization has recently recommended HIV self-testing as a priority area. However, public health concerns about self-testing, including the risk of the clients misinterpreting results and missed opportunities for safe sex counseling and linkage to care, have slowed its implementation beyond small-scale studies in urban areas [6,18]. To address these shortcomings, the AIDS Healthcare Foundation (AHF) China developed an internet-based self-testing model, Easy Test, in which clients were invited to place Web-based orders for home delivery of free HIV self-test kits. For those testing positive, access to other services (eg, test result confirmation by trained staff or linkage to an in-person peer navigator) would be provided as well. The internet-based approach of Easy Test was designed with the explicit goal of increasing test coverage among MSM, particularly those who may be less likely to access facility-based HIV testing and treatment services.

**Objectives**

The objectives of this study were (1) to assess the capability of the Easy Test model in increasing access to HIV testing and treatment services for MSM who have never tested for HIV and (2) to identify demographic and behavioral predictors of program uptake to inform future implementation.

**Methods**

**Study Setting and Sampling Strategy**

This study was conducted by AHF China from October 2017 to December 2017 in 14 Chinese provinces. The Easy Test model was born out of a collaborative effort between AHF China, regional health facilities, and MSM CBOs local to each of the study cities (Beijing, Tianjin, Shanxi, Chongqing, Liaoning, Guangxi, Yunnan, Hunan, Hainan, Sichuan, Henan, Heilongjiang, Zhejiang, and Xinjiang). Promotion of the Easy Test program was carried out using social media tools (WeChat, QQ, and Baidu) as well as offline events organized by local CBOs. Printed Easy Test promotion cards were also included in the self-testing kit packages to encourage participants to share information about the service with spouses, sexual partners, or friends. Eligible participants were at least aged 16 years, born biologically male, had engaged in anal sex with a man, and were of negative or unknown HIV status.

**Study Procedures**

Participants interested in ordering a self-test kit were instructed to visit the Easy Test website either by typing in the URL or scanning an exclusive Quick Response code. Following eligibility screening, those meeting the requirements were asked to provide electronic informed consent and to participate in a...
self-administered survey where they provided information on sociodemographic characteristics, sexual risk behaviors, and HIV test history. Participants were also asked for their mobile phone number, shipping address, a US $5 deposit, and a nonrefundable shipping fee of US $2 to $3. All payments were processed using the secure and widely used Web-based payment platforms of Alipay or WeChat. Peer educators at the MSM CBOs processed all incoming orders and dispatched all express mail deliveries with China’s most widely used express delivery service. Packages were delivered within 1 to 3 days in an unmarked package with no visible indications of the contents or sender. Self-testing kits were limited to 1 per registered mobile phone number to minimize potential bias from repeat testers.

The self-testing package included a rapid test reagent, a single-use safety lancet, a buffer, a dropper, an alcohol pad, a printed Easy Test promotion card, and printed kit instructions. The rapid test reagent used in this study is Alere Determine TM HIV-1/2 (Alere Medical). The needle of the single use safety spring lancet is designed to permanently and automatically retract after the first use. Participants were also offered to be put in touch with a peer educator, who could provide over-the-phone guidance on self-administration of the test. In addition, an instructional video was provided on the Easy Test website and WeChat account. Participants were refunded their US $5 deposit upon successful upload of a photograph of the results of their completed self-test kit, which was then interpreted by trained staff at each CBO and health facility.

Volunteers from each local CBO contacted participants whose submitted photographs indicated an HIV-positive result to arrange linkage services. Those interested in linkage services were paired with a peer navigator to accompany them to receive confirmatory testing, as well as initial visits for treatment and care following formal diagnosis. Treatment and care for HIV/AIDS are available free through the Chinese national treatment program [19]. The observation period to assess the rate of HIV treatment initiation among diagnosed individuals in this study extended a month beyond the study endpoint to maximize inclusion of successful referrals. Peer navigators were trained volunteers from local CBOs who paired up with local health departments to help ensure successful linkage of participants to confirmatory testing, care, and treatment.

Data Analysis
The information on sociodemographic characteristics, sexual behaviors, and HIV testing history collected as part of the self-testing application was used for descriptive analyses. A chi-squared trend test was used to assess the relationship between lifetime HIV testing volume and HIV prevalence. Independent risk factors for never having tested for HIV and a positive self-test result were assessed using bivariable logistic regression analysis. Variables significant at $P \leq 0.05$ or determined to be clinically relevant were selected for inclusion in the multivariable logistic model. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

Ethical Statement
The study received approval from the Hospital for Skin Diseases and STI Control of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

Results

Study Participants
From October 2016 to December 2016, a total of 1015 participants applied for Web-based self-testing kits among whom 879 (86.60%) met the eligibility criteria and provided informed consent. Those who did not meet the requirements included 11 individuals who reported their sex at birth as female, 8 who were already aware of their HIV-positive status, and 117 who had never engaged in anal sex with a man (Figure 1).
Sociodemographics and Behaviors

Among the 879 eligible participants, the median age was 28 years (interquartile range [IQR] 24-34 years), 91.9% (808/879) were of Han ethnicity, 69.3% (609/879) had a college education or higher, 74.3% (653/879) had never been married, 12.7% (112/879) were currently students, and half (453/879, 51.5%) had a monthly income between 3000 and 5000 Chinese yuan (US $450 to $750).

Overall, 90.0% (791/879) of participants self-identified as homosexual and the median age of sexual debut among the participants was 20 years (IQR 18-22 years). Among the 87.1% (766/879) who had engaged in anal sex with another man in the last 3 months, 53.3% (408/766) had had 2 or more partners and 28.9% (221/766) had not used condoms during anal intercourse. Among all participants, 81.5% (716/879) reported condom use during their last anal intercourse, although only 60.5% (433/716) reported correct condom use; 10.0% (88/879) of participants self-identified as bisexual or unsure, 96.3% (70/88) reported vaginal sex with a female partner, and only 31.4% (22/70) of these reported condom use during vaginal sex in the last 3 months; 29.4% (258/879) of participants reported that they were aware of the HIV status of regular sexual partners.

Participants who self-reported incorrect condom use (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.63, 95% CI 1.17-2.27) and lack of knowledge about their regular sexual partners’ HIV status (aOR 2.45, 95% CI 1.67-3.60) were associated with having never tested for HIV before participation in this study compared with participants reporting correct condom use and awareness of the HIV status of sexual partners. Further details are provided in Table 1.

Testing History, Results, and Linkage to Care

Upon enrollment in this study, 40.0% (352/879) of the participants reported having never tested for HIV before. Over three-quarters (683/879, 77.7%) of participants submitted a photograph of the completed self-test result to the study staff. The overall HIV prevalence among these participants was 14.3% (98/683), among whom 100% were eventually confirmed as HIV infection following confirmatory testing at a local health facility. Participants with confirmed HIV were all peer-navigated to local health facilities and 72% (71/98) of them enrolled in treatment with a 1-month extension beyond the study endpoint.

Nearly half of the participants (293/683, 42.9%) who submitted photographs of their self-test results were first-time testers for HIV, and among whom HIV prevalence was 18.8% (55/293). Among those who had tested before, we observed an inverse dose response relationship between lifetime HIV testing volume and HIV prevalence. In the subgroups of participants who had tested once or twice previously versus 3 to 4 times versus 5 or more times, HIV prevalence was 16.2% (33/204), 7.0% (8/114), and 2.8% (2/72), respectively ($\chi^2 = 55.0; P < .001$; Figure 2).
Table 1. Demographics and behaviors of the Easy Test participants and factors associated with those who had never tested for HIV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Participants, n (%)</th>
<th>Never tested for HIV, n (%)</th>
<th>Ever tested for HIV, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR(^a) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value for adjusted OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>234 (26.6)</td>
<td>118 (33.5)</td>
<td>116 (22.0)</td>
<td>2.26 (1.53-3.35)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.25-3.44)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>442 (50.3)</td>
<td>171 (48.6)</td>
<td>271 (51.4)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.98-2.00)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.15-2.75)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>203 (23.1)</td>
<td>63 (17.9)</td>
<td>140 (26.6)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>808 (91.9)</td>
<td>336 (95.5)</td>
<td>472 (89.6)</td>
<td>2.45 (1.38-4.34)</td>
<td>3.49 (1.62-7.52)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>71 (8.1)</td>
<td>16 (4.5)</td>
<td>55 (10.4)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12 years</td>
<td>270 (30.7)</td>
<td>122 (34.7)</td>
<td>148 (28.1)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.02-1.82)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12 years</td>
<td>609 (69.3)</td>
<td>230 (65.3)</td>
<td>379 (71.9)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>653 (74.3)</td>
<td>269 (76.4)</td>
<td>384 (72.9)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.88-1.65)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (^c)</td>
<td>226 (25.7)</td>
<td>83 (23.6)</td>
<td>143 (27.1)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>112 (12.7)</td>
<td>60 (17.0)</td>
<td>52 (9.9)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.26-2.80)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>767 (87.3)</td>
<td>292 (83.0)</td>
<td>475 (90.1)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly income (US $)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;450</td>
<td>194 (22.1)</td>
<td>107 (30.4)</td>
<td>87 (16.5)</td>
<td>3.30 (2.20-4.95)</td>
<td>2.29 (1.37-3.84)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450-749</td>
<td>453 (51.5)</td>
<td>182 (51.7)</td>
<td>271 (51.4)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.28-2.54)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.86-1.98)</td>
<td>&gt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥750</td>
<td>232 (26.4)</td>
<td>63 (17.9)</td>
<td>169 (32.1)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of sexual debut (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>142 (16.2)</td>
<td>54 (15.3)</td>
<td>88 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.63-1.31)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>737 (83.8)</td>
<td>298 (84.7)</td>
<td>439 (83.3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation(^d)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>791 (90.0)</td>
<td>325 (92.3)</td>
<td>466 (88.4)</td>
<td>1.58 (0.98-2.53)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual/Unsure</td>
<td>88 (10.0)</td>
<td>27 (7.7)</td>
<td>61 (11.6)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual role</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertive partner</td>
<td>295 (33.6)</td>
<td>107 (30.4)</td>
<td>188 (35.7)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>332 (37.8)</td>
<td>128 (36.4)</td>
<td>204 (38.7)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.80-1.53)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptive partner</td>
<td>252 (28.6)</td>
<td>117 (33.2)</td>
<td>135 (25.6)</td>
<td>1.52 (1.08-2.15)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of anal sexual partners in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>358 (46.7)</td>
<td>150 (50.8)</td>
<td>208 (44.2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>335 (43.7)</td>
<td>113 (38.4)</td>
<td>222 (47.1)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.52-0.96)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>73 (9.6)</td>
<td>32 (10.8)</td>
<td>41 (8.7)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.65-1.80)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Always used condoms during anal sex in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>545 (71.1)</td>
<td>187 (63.4)</td>
<td>358 (76.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>221 (28.9)</td>
<td>108 (36.6)</td>
<td>113 (24.0)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.33-2.51)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Correct condom use during last anal sex(^e)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>433 (60.5)</td>
<td>139 (52.3)</td>
<td>294 (65.3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>283 (39.5)</td>
<td>127 (47.7)</td>
<td>156 (34.7)</td>
<td>1.72 (1.26-2.35)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.17-2.27)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factors Associated With HIV Infection

Results of multivariate models indicated that overall, participants who reported usually being the receptive anal sex partner (aOR 4.58, 95% CI 1.52-13.79) or who assumed both insertive and receptive roles (aOR 4.10, 95% CI 1.39-12.12) had far greater odds of HIV infection relative to those who mainly assumed the insertive role. Participants reporting greater numbers of sexual partners in the last 3 months also had higher odds of HIV infection, with an aOR of 2.74 (95% CI 1.23-6.11) for those with 2 to 3 sexual partners and 3.98 (95% CI 1.27-12.49) for 4 or more sexual partners, compared with those reporting only 1 partner in the same time period. The odds of HIV infection were also higher for those reporting inconsistent condom use during anal intercourse in the last 3 months (aOR 4.64, 95% CI 2.23-9.63) and those reporting incorrect condom use during their most recent anal sex episodes had higher odds of HIV infection (aOR 2.19, 95% CI 1.05-4.58) compared with those reporting consistent and correct usage. Other characteristics associated with higher odds of HIV infection included fewer than 3 lifetime HIV testing episodes (aOR 2.58, 95% CI 1.02-6.49), no knowledge of sexual partners’ HIV infection status (aOR 3.26, 95% CI 1.06-10.00), and never having been married (aOR 4.10, 95% CI 1.31-12.82).

Among the subset of participants who had never tested for HIV, those who reported being a receptive anal partner or who assumed both insertive and receptive roles had far higher odds of HIV infection (aOR 3.78, 95% CI 1.38-10.35 for receptive partners and aOR 3.00, 95% CI 1.09-8.26 for both positions) relative to those who mainly assumed the insertive role. Participants reporting greater numbers of sexual partners in the last 3 months also had higher odds of HIV infection, with an aOR of 2.44 (95% CI 1.08-5.50) for those with 2 to 3 sexual partners and 3.55 (95% CI 1.18-10.68) for 4 or more sexual partners, compared with those reporting only 1 partner in the same period. The likelihood of HIV infection was higher among participants who did not always use condoms during anal intercourse in the last 3 months (aOR 7.95, 95% CI 3.66-17.26) relative to participants who had used condoms during anal sex in the last 3 months. The details are provided in Tables 2 and 3.
### Table 2. Factors correlated with HIV infection among the Easy Test participants in China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Participants (N=879), n</th>
<th>HIV cases, n</th>
<th>Prevalence, %</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>1.76 (0.95-3.25)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.26 (0.70-2.25)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.89 (0.74-4.85)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12 years</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.82 (0.52-1.31)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12 years</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>2.25 (1.26-4.01)</td>
<td>4.10 (1.31-12.82)</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other[a]</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.45 (0.81-2.58)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other[a]</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly income (US $)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;450</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>2.40 (1.13-5.06)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450-749</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.43 (1.24-4.75)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥750</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of sexual debut (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.22 (0.72-2.06)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation</strong>[d]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>2.25 (0.88-5.73)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual/Unsure</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex role</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertive partner</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>3.27 (1.74-6.15)</td>
<td>4.10 (1.39-12.12)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptive partner</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3.81 (2.01-7.22)</td>
<td>4.58 (1.52-13.79)</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of anal sexual partners in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>2.43 (1.47-4.02)</td>
<td>2.74 (1.23-6.11)</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>2.31 (1.12-4.79)</td>
<td>3.98 (1.27-12.49)</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Always used condoms during anal sex in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>7.57 (4.61-12.46)</td>
<td>4.64 (2.23-9.63)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Correct condom use during last anal sex</strong>[e]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.08 (1.70-5.60)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.05-4.58)</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Participants (N=879), n</td>
<td>HIV cases, n</td>
<td>Prevalence, %</td>
<td>Unadjusted OR(^a) (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifetime HIV testing frequency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>3.79 (1.92-7.46)</td>
<td>2.58 (1.02-6.49)</td>
<td>.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Know the HIV status of regular sexual partners</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>2.95 (1.50-5.83)</td>
<td>3.26 (1.06-10.00)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) OR: odds ratio.  
\(^b\) N/A: not applicable.  
\(^c\) Marital status: “other” included those who were married, cohabitating, divorced, or widowed.  
\(^d\) Sexual orientation is not a behavior but an identity.  
\(^e\) Correct condom use was defined as proper use of a condom during the entire process of sexual intercourse (from the beginning of anal sex to the end) without breakage and falling off.
### Table 3. Factors correlated with HIV infection among first-time HIV testers in China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Participants (N=293), n</th>
<th>HIV cases, n</th>
<th>Prevalence, %</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1.30 (0.57-2.30)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.89 (0.39-2.01)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1.16 (0.25-5.46)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12 years</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.79 (0.42-1.46)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.19-0.89)</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12 years</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>2.42 (1.04-5.62)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othera</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1.32 (0.62-2.78)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherc</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly income (US $)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;450</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.73 (0.59-5.09)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450-749</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>2.39 (0.88-6.50)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥750</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of sexual debut (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>1.86 (0.90-3.82)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>2.17 (0.49-9.63)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual/unsure</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex role</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertive partner</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>3.43 (1.38-8.52)</td>
<td>3.00 (1.09-8.26)</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptive partner</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>4.47 (1.84-10.86)</td>
<td>3.78 (1.38-10.35)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of anal sexual partners in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.36 (1.66-6.80)</td>
<td>2.44 (1.08-5.50)</td>
<td>.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>4.67 (1.79-12.14)</td>
<td>3.55 (1.18-10.68)</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Always used condoms during anal sex in the last 3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>8.72 (4.24-17.91)</td>
<td>7.95 (3.66-17.26)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Correct condom use during last anal sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.79 (0.76-4.22)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participants in this study reported more sexual risk behaviors than in comparable Web-based samples of MSM in China, including more multiple sexual partnerships, infrequent or incorrect condom use, more frequent receptive anal sex, and less awareness of sexual partners’ HIV status [6,21]. The overall HIV prevalence (98/683, 14.3%) was also far higher than in a similar sample (24/341, 7.0%) [21]. However, the identified risk factors for HIV infection were similar to these studies and included characteristics such as being the receptive anal sex partner (whether primarily or occasionally so), higher numbers of anal sexual partners, and not always using condoms during anal intercourse [6,22-25]. Even though most of the internet-based MSM in the study reported using condoms during anal sex, there is still a significant proportion who reported inconsistent and incorrect condom use, particularly among those who had previously never tested for HIV (283/716, 39.5%). Our findings suggest that public health officials should pay attention to relevant contextual factors that may increase the risk of HIV infection, including greater numbers of anal sexual partners and unprotected anal sexual activities. In addition, HIV/AIDS health education and condoms should be provided with an emphasis on MSM who prefer the receptive anal role to increase condom use and negotiation skills with their sexual partners [6]. Furthermore, the proportion of HIV-positive results decreased with the increased lifetime HIV testing frequency in this study. This information further highlights the importance of routine HIV testing and its impact on better health outcomes.

Limitations
The study findings should be considered in light of several limitations. First, our online recruitment methods may have resulted in a sample which over-represents better-educated, literate, and higher income MSM, a well-documented phenomenon of Web-based samples [26-28]. Second, to understand the potential bias introduced by the nonrandom subset of participants who submitted photographs of their self-testing results, we compared reported behaviors across groups among those who did and did not submit photographs of their completed self-test results. This analysis found that condom use during the last anal sex (68.8% for correct condom use vs 87.3% for not) and HIV status of sexual partners (60.9% for knowing partner status vs 84.7% for not) were independent risk factors associated with not providing feedback regarding their results. It is possible that those with lower HIV risk were more reluctant to submit their test results, which could lead to

Discussion

Principal Findings
The large sample size, high participation among first-time testers (293/683, 42.9%), and the high rate of photograph-confirmed test completion (683/879, 77.7%) all emphasize the feasibility and efficacy of our Easy Test model for promoting internet-based HIV self-testing and linkage to care among MSM in China. Our study assessed the utility of the Easy Test model as a supplement to existing facility-based HIV testing services. The proportion of those who never tested for HIV in this study (293/683, 42.9%) was higher than in a previous Web-based distribution of self-testing kits in MSM in Guangdong (118/380, 31.1%) [18], though the vastly different regional scope (one province in Zhong et al vs 14 provinces in this study), sample size (N=198 in Zhong et al vs N=1015 in this study), and HIV prevalence (4.5% in Zhong et al vs 18.8% in this study) suggest substantial differences in sample makeup that may impede direct comparison.

All participants who shared their positive test results with study staff were eventually confirmed as HIV infected, an encouraging finding for which we believe there are possible explanations. First, inclusion of a one-to-one peer navigation service for those testing positive may have helped assure known concerns in the study population regarding what to do in the event of a positive test result. The other reason that contributed to this was the rapid test substitute strategy issued by CDC China in 2015 to reduce the time from screening positive to diagnosis as HIV infected within 1 to 3 days [20]. A similar 100% confirmatory testing result of the screened HIV-positive cases was found in a smaller size study (N=198; 8 positives) in Guangdong [18].

Treatment enrollment rates among those who received confirmatory testing were high (71/98, 72%) but still well below the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS target of 90% of diagnosed individuals on therapy. As this proportion captures treatment initiated within a month after study close out, this rate may underestimate the ultimate proportion. However, China’s universal and immediate treatment policies suggest that full linkage may be attainable, and future rollout may do well to consider additional strategies such as recruitment of test coordinators from health facilities to conduct community outreach for diagnosed individuals.

Limitations
The study findings should be considered in light of several limitations. First, our online recruitment methods may have resulted in a sample which over-represents better-educated, literate, and higher income MSM, a well-documented phenomenon of Web-based samples [26-28]. Second, to understand the potential bias introduced by the nonrandom subset of participants who submitted photographs of their self-testing results, we compared reported behaviors across groups among those who did and did not submit photographs of their completed self-test results. This analysis found that condom use during the last anal sex (68.8% for correct condom use vs 87.3% for not) and HIV status of sexual partners (60.9% for knowing partner status vs 84.7% for not) were independent risk factors associated with not providing feedback regarding their results. It is possible that those with lower HIV risk were more reluctant to submit their test results, which could lead to
overestimation of HIV prevalence in this population. Finally, we do not know the proportion of respondents with HIV-positive test results who may have sought care and treatment on their own without the help of peer-navigator services provided as a part of this study.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that internet-based self-testing may be an effective approach for increasing HIV test uptake, particularly among those who have never previously tested for HIV. It also shows promise as a strategy for improving linkage to care and treatment initiation among those diagnosed with HIV. Internet-based MSM who engage in self-testing and who have never previously tested for HIV are less likely to use condoms during sex with both male and female partners, suggesting that internet-based testing interventions may be effective in reaching those in greatest need of these services.
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Abstract

Background: Depressive symptoms are common in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Effective depression treatments exist; however, access to psychological support is characteristically low. Web-based cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is accessible, nonstigmatizing, and may help address substantial personal and public health impact of comorbid T2DM and depression.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the Web-based CBT program, myCompass, for improving social and occupational functioning in adults with T2DM and mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms. myCompass is a fully automated, self-guided public health treatment program for common mental health problems. The impact of treatment on depressive symptoms, diabetes-related distress, anxiety symptoms, and self-care behavior was also examined.

Methods: Participants with T2DM and mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms (N=780) were recruited online via Google and Facebook advertisements targeting adults with T2DM and via community and general practice settings. Screening, consent, and self-report scales were all self-administered online. Participants were randomized using double-blind computerized block randomization to either myCompass (n=391) for 8 weeks plus a 4-week tailing-off period or an active placebo intervention (n=379). At baseline and postintervention (3 months), participants completed the Work and Social Adjustment Scale, the primary outcome measure. Secondary outcome measures included the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item, Diabetes Distress Scale, Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire-7 item, and items from the Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes.

Results: myCompass users logged in an average of 6 times and completed an average of .29 modules. Healthy Lifestyles users logged in an average of 4 times and completed an average of 1.37 modules. At baseline, mean scores on several outcome measures, including the primary outcome of work and social functioning, were near to the normal range, despite an extensive recruitment process. Approximately 61.6% (473/780) of participants completed the postintervention assessment. Intention-to-treat analyses revealed improvement in functioning, depression, anxiety, diabetes distress, and healthy eating over time in both groups. Except for blood glucose monitoring and medication adherence, there were no specific between-group effects. Follow-up analyses suggested the outcomes did not depend on age, morbidity, or treatment engagement.
Conclusions: Improvement in social and occupational functioning and the secondary outcomes was generally no greater for myCompass users than for users of the control program at 3 months postintervention. These findings should be interpreted in light of near-normal mean baseline scores on several variables, the self-selected study sample, and sample attrition. Further attention to factors influencing uptake and engagement with mental health treatments by people with T2DM, and the impact of illness comorbidity on patient conceptualization and experience of mental health symptoms, is essential to reduce the burden of T2DM.
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Introduction

Background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a global public health problem, affecting more than 370 million people worldwide [1,2]. The disease is the fastest growing chronic condition in Australia, with approximately 1 million people diagnosed and up to 500,000 undiagnosed [3]. In addition to increased risk of adverse health consequences (including macro and microvascular diseases), people diagnosed with T2DM are at greater risk of psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders, as well as physical disability and functional decline, than the general population [4,5]. With the prediction that global prevalence rates will increase to nearly 600 million by 2035 [3], T2DM presents a substantial escalating challenge for health care delivery and public health infrastructure in Australia and around the globe.

Depression is frequently comorbid with T2DM, affecting up to 40% of people with the disease [6,7]. Comorbid depression is associated with greater disease morbidity, mortality, and health care costs. These relationships are likely because of poor occupational and social functioning, reduced regimen adherence, poor quality of life, and increased need for outpatient and inpatient health services in people with both disorders [6,8,9]. The interrelationship between T2DM and depressive symptoms [10] further complicates the situation, with research showing that each condition increases the negative functional and health impacts of the other [6]. A population-based early intervention program for depression in people with T2DM is urgently needed to reduce both the substantial personal burden and public health impact of these comorbid conditions.

International diabetes treatment guidelines now recommend regular screening for depressive symptoms, with referral to appropriate psychological treatments as part of standard diabetes care [11]. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is the most widely validated treatment for depression. Recent reviews show positive impacts of face-to-face CBT on depressive symptoms, quality of life, fasting glucose levels [12], and self-care [13] in people with T2DM. However, the majority of people with T2DM are cared for in the primary care setting, and depression screening in primary care is highly variable. Within a standard-length consultation, it is difficult for general practitioners to focus on mental health as well as glycemic control and prevention of diabetes complications; in addition, many patients are reluctant to accept a referral for face-to-face psychotherapeutic interventions [14]. Concerns about confidentiality, stigma, treatment cost, and time and lifestyle constraints are further barriers to patients’ help seeking, and psychological services are often scarce in rural and remote areas. In addition, the sizeable base rates of comorbid T2DM and depressive symptoms [15] mean that improved screening and diagnosis will likely place further pressure on face-to-face psychological services, many of which already struggle to meet the needs of their community [16,17]. Therefore, testing more flexible and scalable models of mental health service delivery for people with T2DM is necessary [12].

Internet delivery of evidence-based psychological therapies is now established as apopular, clinically effective, and cost-efficient means of upscaling access to psychological treatments. In people with T2DM, both diabetes-specific [18,19] and generic (ie, not diabetes sensitive but with therapist guidance) [20] depression interventions have yielded positive treatment effects for both depression symptoms and diabetes-related distress. There is also some suggestion that these may provide a potentially cost-effective solution to the substantial disability resulting from depression-diabetes comorbidity [21]. The current literature suggests that such interventions are most effective for people experiencing mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms [22]. As subclinical depression is more prevalent in T2DM than severe depression [23,24], testing of low-intensity Web-based interventions in T2DM patients with mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms is a public health priority.

myCompass is a broadly available Web-based CBT program available free of charge in Australia. A previous trial reported symptom reduction of mild-to-moderate depression in the general population compared with a placebo condition [25]. Despite being self-guided and transdiagnostic (ie, not diabetes specific) in therapeutic content, an uncontrolled feasibility study suggested that myCompass may potentially improve functional and mental health outcomes in people with diabetes [26]. As such, it may provide a more flexible, scalable alternative to disease-specific interventions and may benefit people with T2DM whose depressive symptoms do not warrant more
intensive face-to-face intervention and/or who wish to manage their mental health themselves.

Objectives
The primary aim of this randomized controlled trial (RCT) was to evaluate the effectiveness of myCompass in improving work and social functioning—a major contributor to the T2DM burdens [8]—in adults with T2DM and mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms. On the basis of our feasibility data, we hypothesized that participants using the myCompass intervention would show improved scores on a self-report measure of work and social functioning relative to participants using an active placebo program. The secondary aim was to determine the effectiveness of myCompass for improving a range of diabetes-specific outcomes linked with depression and also shown to impact health outcomes in T2DM, including diabetes-related distress, diabetes self-care, and anxiety symptoms. The inclusion of these variables enabled us to examine whether treatment of depression with a public health intervention was capable of impacting diabetes-specific outcomes.

Methods
Design
This study is the primary outcomes evaluation of a 2-arm RCT called SpringboarD. The full protocol for the SpringboarD Trial is published elsewhere [27]. In the full SpringboarD trial, outcomes will be assessed at baseline and 3, 6, and 12 months postrandomization. This paper reports data from baseline and 3 months postintervention. Participants in the active and control groups had uninterrupted access to usual treatment for their diabetes throughout the study. This study was approved by Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) at University of New South Wales (UNSW) Sydney (HREC 15090) and registered with the Australia and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12615000931572).

Participants and Setting
The study utilized a broadly-based recruitment strategy, including offline and online recruitment methods, to enroll the required sample size for sufficient statistical power. Recruitment began in September 2015 and continued until November 2017. Offline recruitment occurred via letters from participating general practices in New South Wales (NSW) and Victoria to their patients with T2DM, distribution of promotional materials in general practice settings throughout NSW and Victoria (eg, study flyers and posters), and print advertisements in national diabetes-related publications.

Online recruitment involved a range of techniques targeting health professionals and individuals. Health professionals were targeted through member associations such as the Australian Association of Practice Managers, the Australian Diabetes Educators Association, the Australian Association of Practice Nurses and the Australian Primary Health Care Nurses Association. Contact was via electronic direct mail, informing members of the study and inviting them to refer appropriate candidates to the SpringboarD website for screening.

Eligibility Criteria
People were eligible to take part if they were aged 18 to 75 years, diagnosed with T2DM by a physician, screened positive for depression on the self-report 2-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2; ie, ≥2) [30], and had access to an internet-enabled device (eg, computer, tablet, and/or mobile phone). People who screened positive for depression completed the 9-item PHQ (PHQ-9) [31] at screening so that the level of symptom severity could be determined. Exclusion criteria included insufficient English literacy, extremely severe depressive symptoms on the full PHQ-9 (score >19), probable psychosis (measured by the psychosis screener developed for the Australian National Mental Health and Wellbeing Survey) [32], currently receiving face-to-face counseling or therapy for depression, change in antidepressant medication within the previous 2 months, high suicide risk (assessed by the PHQ-9 Item-9), and previous use of the myCompass program.

Eligible participants received immediate feedback of their suitability for the study via the website and were provided onscreen instructions for completion of the baseline assessment. Approximately 25 min were required to complete the screening and baseline assessment. All data captured by the study website during the screening, baseline, and postintervention assessments were stored in password-protected files on secure servers that comply with UNSW HREC privacy regulations regarding online data collection.

Randomization
Computerized block randomization with blocks of 8 was undertaken to assign participants to the intervention and control condition at a 1:1 allocation ratio. Randomization was initiated immediately after the completion of the baseline assessment by the automated randomization system built into Black Dog Institute’s study management software. Allocation was
concealed from participants and researchers. Though participants were ineligible if they had previously used myCompass, some participants allocated to the intervention condition may have independently accessed public information about myCompass over the course of the trial and therefore become aware that they were allocated to an existing Web-based therapy program.

**Interventions**

**Active Intervention (myCompass)**

myCompass (mycompass.org.au) is a public health fully automated, self-guided CBT intervention that users complete in their own time and at their own pace on their computer and/or mobile phone (see Figures 1 and 2).

**Figure 1.** Screenshot of the myCompass landing page.
The program offers a personalized treatment plan based on an assessment of user symptoms, either at registration or at any time during program use [25,27]. The core program consists of 12 interactive CBT modules and 20 cognitive and/or behavioral variables for self-monitoring. For maximum outcomes, users are recommended to complete 3 modules and 3 self-monitoring variables. The myCompass program offers flexibility for users to select their own CBT modules and self-monitoring variables, or, if they prefer, algorithm-based guidance. It provides access to a range of other resources including short message service (SMS) text messaging and/or email self-monitoring reminders, home practice activities to facilitate skill generalization, mental health care tips and motivational statements delivered by email/SMS text messaging, and graphical reporting of self-monitoring data. Registering to use myCompass is free, and users are not billed for the SMSs they receive.

Participants randomized to the myCompass arm were provided access to the full program for 8 weeks. The program

Figure 2. Screenshot of the myCompass self-monitoring page.
recommended that users complete 3 CBT modules and self-monitor up to 3 symptoms or behaviors. A 4-week tailing-off period followed, in which only the symptom monitoring function was accessible. Studies have shown that the use of Web-based interventions is improved when users receive program feedback that is personalized in its content [33]. For this reason, myCompass users receive automated and personalized feedback via email about their use of the program’s self-monitoring and module functions in weeks 1, 3, 5, and 7.

myCompass user privacy is managed by a password-protected log-on and by ensuring that user-generated data (eg, self-monitoring ratings) are not stored on the user’s device but are instead transferred via the internet using secure sockets layer protocols (which encrypt transmitted data by rendering data unreadable to anyone other than the intended recipient) and by storing the data in secure servers. Participant user data were identified in myCompass using email details provided during study registration. Once extracted, data were deidentified and stored in a password-protected file.

**Placebo Intervention (Healthy Lifestyles)**

The placebo control program, Healthy Lifestyles, was adapted from a control program used in previous studies by members of the research team [34] to replicate the mode of delivery and key functionality of myCompass, but without the therapeutic content. The program contains 12 modules that deliver health and lifestyle information across a range of topics (eg, skin care and mobile phone hygiene), interactive exercises, and the potential for program tailoring via a brief survey completed at registration. Program users received an email at weeks 1, 3, 5, and 7, containing a brief reminder to log into the program but no feedback about their program use. They also received a weekly SMS text message containing a fact relevant to the content of Healthy Lifestyles for the first 4 weeks of the intervention period to match the SMS functionality of myCompass. The Healthy Lifestyles program was designed to have high face validity as a health and lifestyle intervention without any symptomatic benefit [34]. Participants had full access to the Healthy Lifestyles program for 8 weeks. The core features of each program are presented in Table 1.

**Outcome Measures**

A summary of the measures obtained from participants at baseline and 3 months postintervention is presented in Table 2.

### Table 1. A comparison of the core features of the myCompass and Healthy Lifestyles programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>MyCompass</th>
<th>Healthy Lifestyles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modality</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom tracking</td>
<td>Yes: track up to 3 symptoms</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage reminders</td>
<td>Yes: automated short message service text message or email</td>
<td>Yes: automated email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of modules</td>
<td>12: including interactive learning activities</td>
<td>12: including interactive learning activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module content</td>
<td>Cognitive and behavioral strategies for mood, anxiety, stress, and mental well-being</td>
<td>Generic health literacy information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Measures obtained at each assessment phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Time 1</th>
<th>Time 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic and disease-related information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic data (eg, age and gender)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-relevant data (eg, age at diagnosis and treatment)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health history (eg, previous diagnoses and help-seeking)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work and Social Adjustment Scale [31]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [26]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Distress Scale [33]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale [34]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes Scale (behavior items only) [35]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosylated hemoglobin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days out of role [36]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health service utilization</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Not applicable.
Primary Outcome
The primary outcome for the trial was a between-group difference in work and social functioning, measured by the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS). The WSAS is a psychometrically sound measure of daily functioning across 5 domains, including work, social leisure activities, private leisure activities, home management, and personal relationships [35,36]. Scores range from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating poorer functioning.

Secondary Outcomes
Symptoms of depression and anxiety were measured by PHQ-9 [31] and the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) [37], respectively. Both scales are well validated, are used widely as screening tools in primary care settings and are frequently included as outcome measures in studies of Web-based interventions [38,39]. Both scales use cut-off scores of 5, 10, and 15 to identify people with mild, moderate, and moderately severe symptoms, respectively.

Emotional adjustment to diabetes—or diabetes-related distress—was measured by the 17-item Diabetes Distress Scale (DDS) [40]. The DDS yields a total score plus 4 subscale scores assessing the perceived emotional burden of diabetes, along with physician-related distress, regimen-related distress, and interpersonal distress. DDS total and subscale scores are calculated as the average across all items in the scale/subscale and range from 1 to 6, with higher scores indicating greater distress. A score of >3 indicates clinically relevant distress.

Diabetes self-care was assessed using a subset of items from the Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes (SMP-T2D). The SMP-T2D was designed for use in clinical trials to assess patient assessment burden, and as we were primarily interested in learning about participants’ behavioral engagement in self-care activities, we only administered items that measured the level of self-care across 4 patient behavior domains: blood glucose monitoring, medication adherence, healthy eating, and physical activity. Scores in each behavior domain are converted to a percentage of the previous week spent engaging in a particular self-care behavior. Higher scores indicate greater time spent on self-care [41].

Additional Measurements
At baseline, we collected disease-related (eg, age of onset and treatment regimen), sociodemographic (eg, age, gender, education, and occupation) and mental health history data (eg, service use and previous diagnoses). We also obtained participants’ most recent glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) from their medical records as an indicator of their overall blood glucose management before study commencement. Recent service utilization for physical and mental health problems was assessed at baseline and postintervention, along with days out of role, defined as the number of days in the previous 30 days that a participant was unable to perform work or normal activities because of problems with his/her physical or mental health [42].

At the conclusion of the intervention period, program engagement data were extracted for myCompass and Healthy Lifestyles, including frequency of log-in, number of modules started and completed, and self-monitoring frequency (myCompass only).

Sample Size
Power calculations indicated that a study sample of 600 was needed to detect a minimum difference of .3 standard deviations between groups in mean change in scores on the WSAS at 3 months post intervention, with power of 80%, 2-tailed alpha=.05, and assuming an attrition rate of 40%. Owing to early indications of a higher attrition rate at postintervention than anticipated, a further 180 people were recruited into the study to ensure sufficient power to test the research hypotheses.

Analyses
Primary analyses employed an intention-to-treat (ITT) approach using mixed-model repeated measures analyses (MMRM) computed within the Mixed procedure of SPSS Version 23 (IBM Corp.). MMRM makes use of all available data to obtain parameter estimates and is widely recognized as an appropriate strategy for analyzing incomplete datasets [43]. In this study, restricted maximum likelihood estimation was employed to estimate model parameters, and error degrees of freedom were calculated using Satterthwaite approximation. In line with Fairclough and Helms’ [44] recommendation that the covariance structure be restricted in situations of high attrition, analyses assumed a compound symmetric structure. Repeated measures (Level 1) were nested within individuals (Level 2), and a random intercept was used at the individual level to account for intraindividual correlations on repeated measures.

In addition to the ITT analyses, we conducted completer analyses to examine the effects of treatment on those individuals who completed the study, defined as having provided complete data at 3-month follow-up. In these analyses, individuals with any missing data at 3-month follow-up were deleted case wise (myCompass n=175; Healthy Lifestyles n=151), and repeated-measures analyses of variance were conducted for each of the primary and secondary outcomes on the remaining participants. As the sample characteristics and treatment effects in the completer analyses did not differ from those of the ITT analyses, only the ITT results are reported.

Results
Sample Characteristics
Overall sample characteristics are presented in Table 3, and participant flow through the study is presented in Figure 3. Of the 6145 visits to the SpringboardD Project website, 3223 consented to Web-based screening, yielding 888 eligible participants who commenced the baseline assessment. The main reasons for ineligibility included the following: did not meet inclusion criteria for the presence of depressive symptoms, that is, a score of <2 on the PHQ-2 (52.07% [1021/1961]), currently...
receiving face-to-face mental health support (26.31% [516/1961]), and screening results indicating severe depression (9.33% [183/1961]). A total of 780 individuals completed the baseline assessment and were randomized. A total of 57 people subsequently withdrew study consent, leaving a final study sample of 723 individuals.

As shown in Table 3, groups were well matched between the trial arms. The myCompass group reported stable antidepressant use of somewhat longer duration than the Healthy Lifestyles group, with no other notable differences in demographics and mental health. The overall sample was predominantly female (68.8% [498/723]), married (55.7% [408/723]), employed at least part time (50.8% [367/723]), university educated (34.02% [246/723]), with an average age of 58 years (SD 10.35). More than 3 quarters of the sample (83.9% [607/723]) had at some time sought professional help for common mental health issues (eg, low mood, anxiety and/or stress), and almost half (43.8% [317/723]) had previously received at least one mental health diagnosis, the most frequent being depression (40.9% [296/723]).

Table 3. Sample characteristics for myCompass and Healthy Lifestyles groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>myCompass (N=368)</th>
<th>Healthy Lifestyles (N=355)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>57.7 (10.6)</td>
<td>57.7 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n=465), n (%)</td>
<td>229 (62)</td>
<td>236 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (n=387), n (%)</td>
<td>204 (55)</td>
<td>183 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed (n=351), n (%)</td>
<td>173 (47)</td>
<td>178 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school or lower (n=220)</td>
<td>112 (30)</td>
<td>108 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade certificate or diploma (n=270)</td>
<td>133 (36)</td>
<td>137 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University undergraduate or more (n=233)</td>
<td>123 (33)</td>
<td>110 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime history, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought professional support for mental health (n=571)</td>
<td>296 (80)</td>
<td>275 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received mental health diagnosis (n=300)</td>
<td>155 (42)</td>
<td>145 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed with depressive symptoms or major depressive disorder (n=279)</td>
<td>143 (38)</td>
<td>136 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 6 weeks, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought professional support for mental health (n=113)</td>
<td>65 (18)</td>
<td>48 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking antidepressant medication (n=241)</td>
<td>125 (34)</td>
<td>116 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months using antidepressant medication</td>
<td>97.70 (94.72)</td>
<td>73.67 (56.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, mean (SD)</td>
<td>46.6 (11.1)</td>
<td>47.2 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes treatment, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy eating (n=437)</td>
<td>230 (63)</td>
<td>207 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (n=323)</td>
<td>176 (48)</td>
<td>147 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral medication (n=583)</td>
<td>295 (80)</td>
<td>288 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (n=216)</td>
<td>113 (31)</td>
<td>103 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exenatide (n=32)</td>
<td>21 (6)</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 6 weeks, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited general practitioner for diabetes (n=419)</td>
<td>218 (59)</td>
<td>201 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of general practitioner visit</td>
<td>1.31 (.78)</td>
<td>1.37 (.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized for diabetes (n=24)</td>
<td>13 (4)</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of hospitalization for diabetes</td>
<td>1.46 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means differ significantly at \( P<.05 \).
Diabetes-related characteristics were also largely similar between the groups. The average age of onset of T2DM for the sample was 47 years (SD 10.84), and for the 60.0% (434/723) of participants for whom HbA1c data were available from medical records, the mean value was 7.5% (SD 1.6).

Baseline scores for key outcome measures for both groups are presented in Table 4. Again, randomization was largely successful, with 2 exceptions noted for the WSAS ($F_1, 782=5.807; P=.02; d=0.17$) and SMP-T2D Healthy Eating Domain ($F_1, 782=15.925; P=.03; d=0.29$). However, when the relevant normative cut-offs were applied, baseline scores on the key outcome variables were near to the normal range. WSAS scores were at the lower end of the significant impairment range of 10 to 20 [35] (sample mean 12.89), PHQ-9 scores were slightly above the most common diagnostic cut-off of 10 [31] (sample mean 11.06), scores on the DDS were below the recommended clinical cut-off of $\geq 3$ [40] (sample mean 2.54), and scores on
the GAD-7 were also below the recommended clinical cut-off of ≥3 [37] (sample mean 7.45).

**Intention-to-Treat Analyses (Mixed-Model Repeated Measures Analyses)**

The observed scores for all study outcomes postintervention are presented in Table 4, and the group and time fixed effects from the MMRM analyses are shown in Table 5. Irrespective of the intervention received, all participants reported a significant reduction in WSAS scores across time. Similarly, all participants showed symptomatic improvements on the PHQ-9, DDS, and GAD-7 between baseline and postintervention, with no between-group differences detected posttreatment on any of these measures. The average mean reductions across time were generally small and did not meet any recommended threshold for clinical significance.

For the SMP-T2D, no group or time differences were observed for the Physical Activity Domain, and both groups reported significant improvement in the Healthy Eating Domain. Scores on the Blood Glucose Monitoring Domain and Medication Adherence Domain increased over time for the Healthy Lifestyles group relative to the myCompass group, with Healthy Lifestyles participants increasing time spent each week monitoring blood glucose and taking medication as recommended by approximately .4 to .5 days, compared with their myCompass counterparts.

**Posthoc Treatment Effect Moderation Analyses**

Level of glycemic control [45] and severity of depression symptoms [46] are potential moderators of treatment effects, and age remained a consistent predictor of overall symptomatic improvement in our sample. We therefore examined the possible moderating role of these variables by repeating our MMRM approach with an additional 3-way interaction term that included the moderator variable of interest (ie, Group×Time×Moderator). Depressive symptoms were dichotomized into “clinical” and “subclinical” groups on the basis of the PHQ-9 diagnostic cut-off of 12 recommended for individuals with diabetes [31]. Level of glycemic control was determined using conventional HbA1c targets for T2DM, with >7% indicative of suboptimal control and ≤7% indicative of well-controlled diabetes [47]. Age was grouped using a median split. No moderator demonstrated a significant impact on treatment effects (all P>.05).

**Table 4.** Baseline and postintervention means (SDs) on key outcome variables for myCompass and Healthy Lifestyles groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post (3 months), mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>myCompass (n=397)</td>
<td>Healthy Lifestyles (n=387)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSAS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.64&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (7.93)</td>
<td>12.23&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (7.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.30 (4.03)</td>
<td>10.86 (4.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.60 (4.10)</td>
<td>7.33 (4.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.56 (0.97)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-BG&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>49.61 (39.50)</td>
<td>45.55 (38.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-MA&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87.50 (22.47)</td>
<td>87.20 (22.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-HE&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>49.96&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (29.16)</td>
<td>48.26&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (28.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-PA&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53.44 (33.48)</td>
<td>48.26 (33.61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup>Means differ significantly at P<.05.

<sup>a</sup>WSAS: Work and Social Adjustment Scale.
<sup>c</sup>PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire.
<sup>d</sup>GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale.
<sup>e</sup>DDS: Diabetes Distress Scale.
<sup>f</sup>SMP-BG: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Blood Glucose Monitoring.
<sup>g</sup>SMP-MA: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Medication Adherence.
<sup>h</sup>SMP-HE: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Healthy Eating.
<sup>i</sup>SMP-PA: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Physical Activity.
Table 5. Mixed-model repeated measures analyses fixed effects for time, group, and time\times group on key outcome variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable and effect</th>
<th>Beta(^a)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>(t) test ((df))</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WSAS(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1.344</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.800 (544.48)</td>
<td>.005(^c)</td>
<td>0.401 to 2.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.287 (550.76)</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>-1.147 to 1.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9(^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>2.395</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>7.736 (596.32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001(^c)</td>
<td>1.787 to 3.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.326 (604.61)</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>-0.720 to 1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>6.361 (518.94)</td>
<td>&lt;.001(^c)</td>
<td>0.208 to 0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>-0.919 (523.64)</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>-0.194 to 0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7(^f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>3.825 (553.83)</td>
<td>&lt;.001(^c)</td>
<td>0.490 to 1.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>-0.276</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>-0.736 (560.75)</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>-1.013 to 0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-BG(^g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-8.253</td>
<td>2.278</td>
<td>-3.623 (538.46)</td>
<td>&lt;.001(^c)</td>
<td>-12.727 to -3.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>3.248</td>
<td>2.764 (545.46)</td>
<td>.006(^c)</td>
<td>2.598 to 15.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-MA(^h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-3.126</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>-2.520 (490.84)</td>
<td>.01(^c)</td>
<td>-5.562 to -0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>5.117</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>2.901 (496.65)</td>
<td>.004(^c)</td>
<td>1.651 to 8.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-HE(^i)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-5.704</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>-3.553 (527.04)</td>
<td>&lt;.001(^c)</td>
<td>-8.856 to -2.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>3.266</td>
<td>2.282</td>
<td>1.430 (532.61)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-1.217 to 7.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP-PA(^j)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-3.440</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>-1.543 (559)</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-7.816 to 0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group(\times)Time</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>3.165</td>
<td>0.541 (566.75)</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>-6.301 to 6.134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Beta: unstandardized regression coefficient for the effect holding constant age and sex.
\(^b\)WSAS: Work and Social Adjustment Scale.
\(^c\)Significant at \(P<.05\).
\(^d\)PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item.
\(^e\)DDS: Diabetes Distress Scale.
\(^f\)GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale-7 item.
\(^g\)SMP-BG: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Blood Glucose Monitoring.
\(^h\)SMP-MA: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Medication Adherence.
\(^i\)SMP-HE: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Healthy Eating.
\(^j\)SMP-PA: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes—Physical Activity.

Study Attrition

Of the total trial participants, 59.2% (235/397) of the participants from the myCompass program and 63.3% (245/387) of the participants from the Healthy Lifestyles program provided at least one postintervention measure. A multivariate analysis of variance comparing nonresponders (ie, participants who did not provide any postintervention measures) with responders at baseline revealed differences on several key outcome variables. Nonresponders reported more severe depressive symptoms (\(F=8.362; P=.004; d=0.27\)), more severe anxiety symptoms (\(F=3.845; P=.05; d=0.18\)), greater diabetes-related distress (\(F=9.095; P=.003; d=0.28\)) and poorer medication adherence (\(F=6.564; P=.011; d=0.19\)). In a follow-up logistic regression predicting responder status from baseline WSAS, PHQ-9, GAD-7, DDS, and SMP-T2D scores, PHQ-9 (beta=-.058;
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postintervention, irrespective of the intervention received.

Even in the absence of true depression, people with chronic
illness typically report poorer daily functioning than those
without [48]. Consequently, our statistical analyses were based
on a participant group with baseline scores on the WSAS and
PHQ-9 that were potentially at floor for this cohort, and
therefore, any improvement was likely to be minor. Also
contrasting with previous studies [20], we observed a systematic
pattern of attrition, such that increased severity of depressive
symptoms was linked to study dropout. Systematic attrition of
those in greatest need of intervention, and for whom treatment
benefits were likely to be largest, may have further magnified
any floor effects in our study [49] and precluded us from finding
larger and more significant functional and symptom
improvements following treatment with myCompass.

It is important to understand why and how increasing severity
of depressive symptoms compromises study involvement in
trials of Web-based CBT to inform the take-up of suitable
interventions for this patient cohort and to maximize treatment
effectiveness. One possibility is that attrition is related to
increasing levels of amotivation, concentration difficulties, and
behavioral inactivation that are hallmark symptoms of depressive
disorders [50]. Alternatively, anhedonia (ie, reduced capacity
to experience and anticipate enjoyment from activities) has been
shown to compromise reward-seeking behavior and decision
making [51] and might interfere with program uptake by
rendering user behavior less responsive to reinforcement history
,eg, motivational feedback) and anticipatory benefits (eg,
information about program effectiveness) [52]. An accumulating
body of evidence suggests that analysis of individual or
clusters of depression symptoms may be necessary for understanding
behavioral health outcomes in diabetes patients [53,54]. More
precise understanding of relationships between depressive
symptoms and indicators of program uptake and treatment
benefit in T2DM is a challenge for future research.

Importantly, the myCompass program is a completely
self-guided mental health intervention that is generic in content.
It is, therefore, lower in treatment intensity than previously
studied therapist-guided programs [20], and it lacks the disease
specificity of diabetes-themed programs [18,19]. Fisher and
colleagues [24] have previously suggested that scores on
differentiation between this study and previous diabetes trials
that need to be considered in interpreting our findings.

Our recruitment methods targeted people with T2DM and
mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms to investigate a public
health rather than a clinical application of Web-based CBT;
however, our final sample unexpectedly comprised a group with
minimal symptoms of depression (47% of participants in the
minimal-to-mild range on the PHQ-9). This contrasts with
previous studies of people with diabetes that included only
patients with more severe depressive symptoms [18] or those
meeting criteria for major depressive disorder (Newby et al., 2017).
Moreover, although 58% of our participants reported impaired work and social functioning at baseline, scores on the
WSAS suggested that the level of impairment experienced by
our participant group was again at the milder end of the
disability spectrum. In other words, by all measures, our sample
was only minimally impaired at baseline.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This trial examined the effectiveness of a self-help, unguided
Web-based CBT program (myCompass) for improving work
and social functioning in people with T2DM and
mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms compared with an active
placebo intervention. Our ITT analyses showed that participants’
work and social functioning improved significantly postintervention, irrespective of the intervention received.
Significant improvements were also observed for both groups
in depressive and anxiety symptoms, diabetes distress, and most
aspects of diabetes self-management. Our Healthy Lifestyles
group showed small but significant improvements in blood
glucose monitoring and medication adherence over and above
those observed for the myCompass group. As morbidity may
influence the outcomes of depression treatments [46] and as
age remained a significant outcome predictor in our models,
we examined the potential moderator effects on treatment
outcomes of age, depressive symptoms, and diabetes control.
Analyses revealed that treatment effect estimates were not
impacted by these variables.

The absence of differential treatment effects for work and social
functioning and depressive symptoms for people with T2DM
following treatment with myCompass was surprising in light
of previous findings of accelerated symptom gains in
myCompass users in the general community [27] and in our
pilot study [26]. Although our findings also contrast with
previous studies of Web-based depression interventions in
people with diabetes [18,20], there are important points of

54.7% (127/232) of myCompass participants and 11.2%
differences among myCompass users who logged in ($F=10.76; P=.001; \quad d=0.39$). Adherence indices did not correlate with baseline
scores on any primary or secondary outcome. Approximately
54.7% (127/232) of myCompass participants and 11.2%
(27/241) of Healthy Lifestyles participants reported that, overall,
they found their assigned Web-based program both easy and
convenient to use.

Program Use and Feedback

Participants in the treatment group logged in to myCompass an
average of 6 times (SD 9.01; range 1-71), started a mean of 0.71
modules (SD 1.18; range 0 to 8), fully completed an average of
.29 modules (SD .87; range 0-7), and monitored their symptoms
an average of 2 times (SD 5.79; range 0-53). Participants in the
control group logged in to Healthy Lifestyles an average of 4
times (SD 3.22; range 1 to 17), started a mean of 2.61 (SD 2.78;
range 0 to 8), and completed an average of 1.37 modules (SD
2.24; range 0 to 8). Participants who logged in to their assigned
Web-based program did not differ significantly from those who
did not, except for a slightly higher anxiety score on the GAD-7
among myCompass users who logged in ($F=10.76; P=.001; \quad d=0.39$). Adherence indices did not correlate with baseline
scores on any primary or secondary outcome. Approximately
54.7% (127/232) of myCompass participants and 11.2%
(27/241) of Healthy Lifestyles participants reported that, overall,
they found their assigned Web-based program both easy and
convenient to use.
Depression screeners may be less reflective of mood disturbance than general emotional and diabetes-specific distress, that is, distress experienced in response to the daily challenges and demands of living with diabetes. In line with this, we have recently published data showing that mildly depressed adults with diabetes maintain a very nuanced conceptualization of their mental health symptoms in which low mood, anxiety, and stress are generally perceived as features of the diabetes diagnosis (and warranted by contextual stressors) and not separate or comorbid conditions to be managed [55]. Lack of differentiation of mild-to-moderate depression symptoms from adjustment to diabetes has potential to attenuate the personal relevance and clinical effectiveness of interventions targeting broad CBT skills and techniques. At the same time, mild-to-moderate depression covers different levels of symptom severity that may differ in terms of responsiveness to internet-based CBT. Further exploration of the conceptualization of depression in T2DM and associated implications for depression treatment is warranted. Moreover, we recommend that researchers examine the nature of comorbidity between depression and diabetes-related distress. If discrete patterns of depressive symptoms and diabetes-related distress can be distinguished, then different interventions (eg, therapist guided versus unguided, generic versus diabetes specific) may be needed to maximize symptom improvement and increase social and occupational functioning in each.

Of the set of secondary outcomes, the only consistent group effect was for medication adherence, with a small but significant positive effect for the attention control. This finding was isolated and seemingly counterintuitive. However, it is possible that improvement in medication taking was prompted by the focus paid by the attention control program to healthy lifestyle behaviors.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Recruitment and retention in RCTs targeting comorbid physical and mental illness can be difficult [56]. Despite a lengthy and comprehensive community recruitment strategy, and our adoption of retention strategies that have been used successfully in other studies, participant enrollment and retention for our trial was challenging (and will be discussed in a future publication). Although we were successful in retaining a sample that afforded us sufficient power to test our research hypotheses, attrition in our study was systematic. As a result, near-normal scores on baseline variables may have influenced program engagement (that was generally low) and weakened tests of treatment effects. Moreover, our data are mostly relevant to people with T2DM experiencing mild functional impairment and mild depressive symptoms. Future studies may benefit from broadening eligibility symptom thresholds. Moreover, research designs that include greater program guidance and feedback (eg, module recommendations and homework follow-up) and provide more regular research support (eg, reminders and encouragement) may be more acceptable to participants with higher levels of impairment, for whom motivational factors are likely to impact ongoing study involvement. Importantly, recruitment and retention in future trials may benefit from further investigation of factors influencing individuals’ decisions to decline trial participation or drop out post study commencement.

Although previous trials of Web-based CBT in people with diabetes have compared the active treatment with either treatment as usual [20] or waitlist control [18], a key strength of our design was the inclusion of an attention-placebo condition using a health literacy tool that had been validated elsewhere [25,34]. However, it is possible that lifestyle information had unexpected relevance to our chronically ill cohort, who were mostly experiencing only minor psychological distress. This may have resulted in increased engagement with the Healthy Lifestyles program and afforded benefit to our control condition in addition to the intended placebo effect. Further assessment with a waitlist and/or treatment-as-usual control group is required.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study sought to determine if a broadly available public health Web-based CBT intervention could help individuals with T2DM and mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms improve their daily lives. Functioning and symptom outcomes improved between baseline and postintervention; however, no treatment advantage was observed for the myCompass group. Further research is necessary to identify the factors that impact participant retention in studies of Web-based interventions in T2DM, especially among those in greatest need of psychological support and who stand to benefit most from treatment. At the same time, there is a need to better understand how individuals with diabetes conceptualize mood symptoms in the context of diabetes to ensure that Web-based interventions are both personally and clinically relevant. The personal and societal health burdens posed by comorbid T2DM and depressive symptoms are considerable and will continue to grow. Continued investigation of the potential for Web-based CBT to provide a solution in T2DM is essential.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the dedication and input of all study participants. The authors also acknowledge the support of the Australian Government Department of Health in funding the development and dissemination of the myCompass program and the support of the National Health and Medical Research Council in funding this research trial (NHMRC Grant 1083116). JP, JC, SS, and PB are grateful to Mark Donoghoe of UNSW Stats Central for assistance with MMRM analysis procedures.

**Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.
Multimedia Appendix 1
CONSORT - EHEALTH checklist (V 1.6.1).

References

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e12246/


Abbreviations

- **CBT**: cognitive behavioral therapy
- **DDS**: Diabetes Distress Scale
- **GAD**: Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale
- **HbA1c**: glycosylated hemoglobin
- **HREC**: Human Research Ethics Committee
- **ITT**: intention-to-treat
- **MMRM**: mixed-model repeated measures analyses
- **NSW**: New South Wales
- **OR**: odds ratio
- **PHQ**: Patient Health Questionnaire
- **RCT**: randomized controlled trial
- **SMP-T2D**: Self-Management Profile for Type 2 Diabetes
- **SMS**: short message service
- **T2DM**: type 2 diabetes mellitus
- **UNSW**: University of New South Wales Sydney
- **VRR**: Volunteer Research Register
- **WSAS**: Work and Social Adjustment Scale
Methodology Used in Ecological Momentary Assessment Studies About Sedentary Behavior in Children, Adolescents, and Adults: Systematic Review Using the Checklist for Reporting Ecological Momentary Assessment Studies

Catiana Leila Possamai Romanzini1*, PhD; Marcelo Romanzini1*, PhD; Mariana Biagi Batista2*, PhD; Cynthia Correa Lopes Barbosa3*, PhD; Gabriela Blasquez Shigaki4,5*, PhD; Genevieve Dunton6*, PhD; Tyler Mason6*, PhD; Enio Ricardo Vaz Ronque1*, PhD

1Londrina State University, Department of Physical Education, Londrina, Brazil
2Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campus of Pantanal, Corumbá, Brazil
3Federal University of Technology of Paraná, Academic Department of Humanities, Apucarana, Brazil
4Rio Preto University Center, Department of Physical Education, São José do Rio Preto, Brazil
5Paulista University, Department of Physical Education, São José do Rio Preto, Brazil
6University of Southern California, Department of Preventive Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, United States
*all authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:
Catiana Leila Possamai Romanzini, PhD
Londrina State University
Department of Physical Education
Rodovia Celso Garcia Cid | Pr 445 Km 380 | Campus Universitário Cx Postal 10.011
Londrina, 86.057-970
Brazil
Phone: 55 4333714238
Email: clpossamai@uel.br

Abstract

Background: The use of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) to measure sedentary behavior (SB) in children, adolescents, and adults can increase the understanding of the role of the context of SB in health outcomes.

Objective: The aim of this study was to systematically review literature to describe EMA methodology used in studies on SB in youth and adults, verify how many studies adhere to the Methods aspect of the Checklist for Reporting EMA Studies (CREMAS), and detail measures used to assess SB and this associated context.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted in the PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and SPORTDiscus databases, covering the entire period of existence of the databases until January 2018.

Results: This review presented information about the characteristics and methodology used in 21 articles that utilized EMA to measure SB in youth and adults. There were more studies conducted among youth compared with adults, and studies of youth included more waves and more participants (n=696) than studies with adults (n=97). Most studies (85.7%) adhered to the Methods aspect of the CREMAS. The main criteria used to measure SB in EMA were self-report (81%) with only 19% measuring SB using objective methods (eg, accelerometer). The main equipment to collect objective SB was the ActiGraph, and the cutoff point to define SB was <100 counts/min. Studies most commonly used a 15-min window to compare EMA and accelerometer data.

Conclusions: The majority of studies in this review met minimum CREMAS criteria for studies conducted with EMA. Most studies measured SB with EMA self-report (n=17; 81.0%), and a few studies also used objective methods (n=4; 19%). The standardization of the 15-min window criteria to compare EMA and accelerometer data would lead to a comparison between these and new studies. New studies using EMA with mobile phones should be conducted as they can be considered an attractive method for capturing information about the specific context of SB activities of young people and adults in real time or very close to it.
Introduction

Background

Sedentary behavior (SB) is defined as any activity performed during awake time with low energy expenditure (below or equal to 1.5 metabolic equivalents) in a sitting or reclining position [1-3]. SB can be measured using subjective assessments (eg, questionnaires) [4] or using objective assessments (eg, accelerometers and inclinometers) [4]. Both methods of assessment have a number of strengths and weaknesses. Subjective measures of SB are able to distinguish between various types of SB (eg, watching television and internet use); however, subjective measures are prone to retrospective recall biases given that they depend on one’s ability to accurately recall previous SB [5]. Oppositely, objective measures of SB provide a fine-grained assessment of individuals’ level of SB but do not distinguish between different types of SB [5].

The discussion about the best method to measure SB is relevant because the more accurate information is collected about this behavior, the more precise information we can have about the context and the type of SB that the participant did, and thus better understand how this behavior can occur, distributed in different contexts throughout the day. Although the literature shows that the use of inclinometers [6] is the best method for measuring SB (because they can detect different postures adopted by subjects), more than half of studies (51%) that investigate physical activity (PA) and its domains still use ActiGraph monitors to obtain information about the total amount of time in a specific behavior [7].

Although both subjective and objective assessments are useful as measures of SB [8], they are not able to identify the context of the activities being performed by the subject [4]. Contextual factors include intrapersonal (eg, affect), interpersonal (eg, who one is with), and environmental factors (eg, location) in which SB occurs in daily life and are integral in understanding reasons for SB as well as outcomes of SB. Contextual factors of SB are often time-varying such that they change over the course of minutes, hours, or days. Owing to the time-varying nature of contextual factors, they cannot be adequately assessed using traditional survey measures. In addition, although survey measures of SB are able to capture information on types of SB, these measures are plagued by retrospective recall biases. Thus, despite researchers’ efforts to understand how SB is associated with health outcomes [9-12], there is still a gap in knowledge of the social and environmental context of SB in different populations and how context is associated with health outcomes [13].

The context of SB can be obtained through the use of questionnaires, but its application is burdensome for the participant and labor-intensive for the researcher and, in addition, may be inadequate for long-term monitoring studies [14]. Thus, it is highly likely that, in each of these contexts, there are distinct determinants for the subject to assume this behavior, as they are shaped by the attributes of the environments where they occur and the social structure involved [15].

Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) remedies many of the described limitations including identification of the type, environment, and context in which SB occurs as well as reduced reliance on retrospective recall [16]. EMA is an approach to collecting data in real time in individuals’ natural environment. Participants in EMA studies are instructed to respond to self-report surveys over the course of the day for a short period of time (eg, weeks and months) using an electronic device such as a mobile phone. Several methods may be used to collect EMA surveys including randomly signaling participants to complete a survey (ie, random interval contingent), having participants complete surveys at predefined intervals (ie, fixed interval contingent), or having participants complete surveys when a specific event occurs (ie, event contingent) [16].

To our knowledge, publications about PA using EMA have already been published among children and adolescents [17,18] and with adults [19]. However, considering that the importance of reducing time in SB has recently received significant attention and that the EMA is a relatively new methodology for investigating contexts of SB among children, adolescents, and adults, it is important to increase the understanding of best practices for using EMA to assess SB, mainly in the verification of association between context of SB and many health outcomes.

A primary advantage of using EMA to study SB is increased understanding of the context in which activities are being performed [5]. Thus, in addition to collecting the information that the subject was involved in a certain number of minutes at a given intensity of PA during the week, it is also possible to gain information about where and what type of activity this was, from the information recorded by the subject through EMA, which is very close to the moment when it happened [16,19]. More recently, research suggested the standardization of EMA use and proposed to study the Checklist for Reporting EMA Studies (CREMAS) for enhancing reliability, efficacy, and overall interpretation of the findings for future studies that use EMAs [20].

Objectives

Therefore, the aim of this study was to systematically review the literature on EMA in SB by researching in children, adolescents, and adults, to verify the number of studies that adhere to the Methods aspect of the CREMAS, and to provide recommendations for measuring SB in EMA.

Methods

Information Sources

A systematic review of the literature was conducted in the following databases: PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science,
PsycINFO, CINAHL, and SPORTDiscus, seeking to identify studies that used EMA to measure SB in children, adolescents, and adults. We considered an adolescent to be a person aged 10 to 19 years, as proposed by the World Health Organization.

The search comprised the entire period of existence of the databases until January 2018, in the English language. The search strategy used the following structure of keywords and Boolean operators: (“ecological momentary assessment” OR “EMA”) AND (“sedentary behavior” OR “sedentary behaviour”).

Selection Criteria
The eligibility criteria for studies were as follows: (1) involved participants aged >8 years to <60 years; (2) not being a review or systematic review study; (3) used an EMA-based data collection method; and (4) focused on the assessment of SB. After the exclusion of studies according to the eligibility criteria, the remaining studies were analyzed by abstract or full-text reading and were excluded if they did not assess the main outcome (SB) via EMA. The selection of studies was conducted independently by 2 researchers (CLPR and ERVR). In case of inconsistency in the selection of records, a third researcher (MR) was invited to review the selection.

Extraction Criteria
In total, 2 researchers independently extracted information from records about study characteristics including sample size, mean age, outcomes, and measures. Data extraction was also conducted for specific methods used in EMA studies from the CREMAS [20] including the main technology used, prompt approach (such as prompting design), wave duration, monitoring period, and prompt frequency. In addition, compliance (ie, overall response rate to EMA prompts) was extracted from studies. Finally, the authors detailed the measures used to assess SB, the context, and if it is the current or past measure. The authors choose to keep the records of different studies even when the overlapping of participants was found.

Results
Characteristics of the Studies
A total of 115 potential studies were identified. Figure 1 presents the diagram of systematic reviews for analysis of studies proposed by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA).

After the records were located in each of the databases, all were imported into the reference program. Following the PRISMA model, 65 duplicate records were excluded, after which the eligibility criteria were applied, and finally the analysis was carried out by reading the abstracts. Thus, 21 discrete studies were included in the qualitative synthesis of the data.

The characteristics for youth and adult studies are presented in Table 1. Of the 21 studies included in the qualitative synthesis, 16 were with youth [21,22,23-30,31-36] and 5 with adults [37-41].

The publication dates of articles ranged between 2007 and 2017 and 42.8% of these were published in the past 4 years. The majority of studies included both females and males; however, some collected information only with females [29,33,34] or only with males [30]. The mean number of participants per study was higher in studies with youth (696 participants) than in studies with adults (80 participants).

Only 3 studies collected information solely about SB [21,31,35], whereas the majority collected information about SB and other outcomes, such as PA, environmental factors, nutrition information, and depressive symptoms.

Only 1 study with youth [25] and 3 studies with adults [37,38,40] combined EMA with an objective measurement of SB (ie, accelerometers).

The methodological characteristics of the studies on SB in youth and adults are presented in Table 2.
Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram.
Table 1. Characteristics of ecological momentary assessment studies on sedentary behavior in children, adolescents, and adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2007 [30]a</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>14.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2007 [29]a</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [21]a</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>14.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [22]a</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>14.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [23]a</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>14.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [24]a</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>15.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>13-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2009 [27]a</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>14.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2009 [28]a</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soos et al, 2012 [35]a</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>16.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>13-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al, 2014 [31]a</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>__f</td>
<td>9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soos et al, 2014 [36]a</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>15.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunton et al, 2015 [25]a</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>__f</td>
<td>8-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raudsepp, 2016 [33]a</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>__f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Connor et al, 2017 [32]a</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>9.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>8-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raudsepp and Riso, 2017 [34]a</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rouse and Biddle, 2010 [41]f</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>__f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunton et al, 2012 [38]f</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>27-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves et al, 2015 [39]f</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.6 (9.5)</td>
<td>__f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al, 2015 [40]f</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>__f</td>
<td>27-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruening et al, 2016 [37]f</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>__f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aStudies with children and adolescents.
bPA: physical activity.
cSB: sedentary behavior.
dEMA: ecological momentary assessment.
eMP EMA: mobile phone ecological momentary assessment.
fMissing data.
gStudies with adults.
hACC: accelerometer.
Table 2. Methodological characteristics of the ecological momentary assessment studies on sedentary behavior in children, adolescents, and adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Technology; Response rates</th>
<th>Prompt design, Waves</th>
<th>Monitoring period, frequency</th>
<th>SB(a) measures; Context of SB; Current, past SB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2007 [30](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 50.2%</td>
<td>RIC(c), 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR(d) times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2007 [29](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 92%</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [21](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; not informed</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts by gender; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [22](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 95%</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 22 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [23](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 85%</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biddle et al, 2009 [24](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; Hungary 96%, Romania 78%, Slovakia 86%</td>
<td>RIC</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2009 [27](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 50.2%</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15; 23 contexts by gender; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorely et al, 2009 [28](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 91.4%</td>
<td>RIC, 2 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunton et al, 2011 [26](b)</td>
<td>HTC Shadow MP(g), MyExperience; 80% with ACC(h)</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>4 days, (3 vs 7)</td>
<td>SR; 4 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soos et al, 2012 [35](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 75%</td>
<td>RIC</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 22 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al, 2014 [31](b)</td>
<td>HTC Shadow MPMy Experience; 77%</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>4 days, (3 vs 7)</td>
<td>SR; 2 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soos et al, 2014 [36](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 75%</td>
<td>RIC</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 23 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunton et al, 2015 [25](b)</td>
<td>Mobile Phone Android or Motorola Moto G; Mothers 80%, Children 69%</td>
<td>RIC, 6 times</td>
<td>7 days (3 vs 7), 1 add for mothers</td>
<td>SR; 1 context; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raudsepp, 2016 [33](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 95.6%</td>
<td>RIC, 3 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 4 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Connor et al, 2017 [32](b)</td>
<td>Mobile Phone Android or Motorola Moto G; not informed</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>8 days (3 vs 4), 7 vs 8</td>
<td>SR; 1 context; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raudsepp and Riso, 2017 [34](b)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 81.8%</td>
<td>RIC, 4 times</td>
<td>4 days (3 vs 1), every 15 min add per day (feedback)</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 12 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rouse and Biddle, 2010 [41](k)</td>
<td>Paper and pencil diaries; 57%</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>2 days (1 vs 1), every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 3 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunton et al, 2012, 2015 [38](k)</td>
<td>HTC Shadow MPMyExperience; 82%, 85% with ACC</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>4 days, 8 per day</td>
<td>SR; 3 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves et al, 2015 [39](k)</td>
<td>Paper based EMA diaries; not informed</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>5 days, every 15 min</td>
<td>SR times per 15 min; 1 context (sitting); Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al, 2015 [40](k)</td>
<td>HTC Shadow MPMyExperience; 82%</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>4 days, 8 per day</td>
<td>SR; 3 contexts; Current(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruening et al, 2016 [37](k)</td>
<td>Android; iPhone Operational System, MP; Motorola Moto G; Mobile ecological momentary assessment, 84% with ACC</td>
<td>RIC, 1 time</td>
<td>4 days, 7 per day; 1 past per day</td>
<td>SR, 10 contexts; Current(f), and Past</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In relation to the Methods aspect of the CREMAS, 85.7% of the studies adhered to these items (18 studies of 21 met all the criteria; 3 studies did not mention wave duration). The most widely used software for mobile phone studies was My Experience [26,31,38,40]. One study used a version of an application (devilSPARC) created specifically for the study [37] and another 2 studies did not specify the software used [25,32]. The majority of studies included in this review (85.7%) presented information about response rates to EMA prompts, adhering to reporting recommendations. The mean range was between 50.2% and 95.6%.

All of the studies analyzed used the random interval contingent (EMA prompts were set to be randomized throughout the day) [20] to deliver the prompts. In general, studies with youth used multiple measurement waves of monitoring periods (ranging from 1 to 4 waves). All of the studies with adults used only one data collection wave. Each wave ranged from 2 to 8 days of EMA monitoring. The most common prompting frequency was every 15 min mainly in studies with youth (75%). In studies with adults, 40% prompted at a frequency ≤ 15 min; another 40% prompted at a frequency ≥ 2 hours.

All articles used self-report measures to define different contexts of SB. The contexts utilized ranged from 1 to 23 different contexts. About 81% of the articles included questions related to the behavior of the moment, such as: What are you doing now?, What are you doing and where are you?, What were you doing right before you got this text?, Which of these things have you done?, Who (if anyone) was with you while you were doing this?, What were you DOING right before the beep went off?, and Have you engaged in any of the following activities during the past two hours?; 19% sought to identify the location and company.

Only 4 studies (19%) used an objective method to measure SB, 1 with youth [25] and 3 with adults [37,38,40]. Although 1 study cited the use of an accelerometer, the main outcome (SB) was not measured with this method; only the total number of steps and moderate-to-vigorous PA were measured. In all cases, the accelerometer used was the ActiGraph (models GTM2 or GT3X+), and the cutoff point to define SB was <100 counts/min.

A 15-min window for more and less of the registration prompt obtained by EMA was the most common.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to systematically review the literature on EMA studies of SB in youth and adults, verify the number of studies that adhered to the Methods aspect of the CREMAS, and describe the measures used to assess SB in EMA. There was a paucity of EMA studies of SB in adults (n=5), indicating a need for more research in this area. The majority of studies were conducted with youth, and these studies typically collected more waves of data and had larger sample sizes compared with studies in adults. In general, the samples in the reviewed studies often had a greater number of women compared with men.

**Principal Findings**

Related to the studies conducted with mobile phones, the most commonly used software was MyExperience. This was one of the first software programs developed for EMA and it is an open license software developed especially for Windows mobile devices [42]. However, MyExperience was used in older studies and currently newer technology is available for use in EMA studies. One factor to consider when choosing software is accessibility on various mobile operating systems—some are only available for use on Android and others use Android and iPhone Operational System. Another consideration is cost of the software, which may limit applicability for large-scale studies [4].

This review indicated that EMA monitoring periods lasted from 4 to 8 days, 4 days being the most cited for capturing behavioral information. Although the use concomitant of EMA in mobile phones and accelerometers can represent the best method to measure SB, we believe that 4 days of concomitant use of EMA and an accelerometer is a good recommendation to obtain more accurate information on the context and pattern of SB, representing a typical week of this behavior.

**Comparison With Previous Work**

The fact that the subject is monitored several times during the day may induce them not to respond to the activity being performed at the time of prompting; however, this is a limitation
not only of this type of record, but also of self-reporting instruments and questionnaires [4]. On the contrary, the use of EMA could also lead to decreased burden on the participant compared with the use of questionnaires, potentially yielding higher rates of compliance and lower rates of missing data [37]. In general, the response rates in studies that use EMA to assess with mobile phones are very high [25,37,38,40], providing evidence of the feasibility of using EMA to collect information about SB. This could be because of the easy access to mobile phones nowadays.

In our systematic review, we only identified 3 studies with adults that combined EMA with mobile phones and accelerometers [37,38,40]. All of these indicated the acceptability of 4 days of use of the EMA protocol in mobile phones to measure SB in adults and that this method can offer an innovative approach to capture PA and the context of SB. For purposes of comparison of the records between EMA and the accelerometer, it might be useful to standardize the 2 pieces of information to the same number of days.

This analysis may provide researchers with a more accurate interpretation of the context in which each sedentary period occurs, as well as identification of the intensity of PA that the subject assumes when he or she interrupts SB [43], for example. Moreover, it could help to eliminate the information bias of subjective instruments, which depend on the subject’s capacity to remember what they did at a particular moment of the day, as it has already been found that there is great variation between subjective and objective measures [44].

Identification of the context of the activities is important, as, in addition to the term sedentary behavior, there are particular SBs that generally occur in a variety of contexts such as watching television and other recreational activities with a screen in the home environment and occupations that require prolonged sitting in work and transport environments [45]. Thus, it is highly likely that in each of these contexts, there are distinct determinants for the subject to assume this behavior, as they are shaped by the attributes of the environments where they occur and the social structure involved [45]. In addition, a recent study showed that time watching television has a stronger magnitude of effect on all-cause mortality [15]. In this sense, the use of EMA can provide this identification about the context, and combined with information of the accelerometer, we can estimate the time in which the subject can spend in a specific type of SB context. This kind of information has not yet been researched and gains a body of evidence to future research.

Recently, more advantages have been highlighted with regard to the use of mobile phones, such as collecting data more quickly from a large number of people than traditional cross-sectional or other methods. In addition, this paper introduces new concepts that must be explored in the field of research on PA behavior, which relate to synchrony, sequentiality, and instability [46].

In total, 2 systematic reviews, aimed at providing an overview of existing studies on sedentary time in children and adolescents [47] and in adults [48], through a joint programming initiative called DEterminants of DIet and Physical ACtivity [49], made important notes on the need for harmonization and standardization of methods to assess sedentary time in this population, mainly in combination with objective and self-report methods.

In this sense and seeing that the evidence indicates that the use of EMA is still limited in the adult population, further studies should be conducted, as, in this population, life contexts can lead to an even greater amount of SB involvement owing to commitments such as transportation and work. Thus, as SB can be identified in different contexts, new studies can also be conducted that identify which of these may eventually have the greatest impact on health risks. In addition, new research could advance the comparative analysis of SB measurements obtained by EMA and objective measures such as accelerometer, or analyze the posture adopted by participants (inclinometers).

Limitations

This review has strengths and limitations. The strength of this review is that it is the first to systematically review the literature focusing on EMA studies of SB. In addition, this is the first systematic review that used the CREMAS specifically to identify methodological characteristics of the EMA studies [20], demonstrating the importance of tailoring methods to the unique futures of EMA studies, especially those using mobile phone technology. Although an exhaustive literature search was conducted, it is possible that some studies may have been missed and some details about quantitative study characteristics may have been omitted.

Conclusions

This review systematically evaluated information about the characteristics and methodology of empirical articles using EMA to measure SB in youth and adults. The majority of studies adequately presented the minimum criteria necessary for describing studies conducted with EMA, as proposed in the CREMAS. The main assessment used to measure SB with EMA was self-report; only a few studies used objective methods (accelerometer). There was no single standard adopted in the included studies to compare the EMA and accelerometer data, but we believe that the standardization of criteria (eg, use of a 15-min window to compare EMA and accelerometer and the use of the same cutoff point to define SB such as <100 counts/min) lead to higher quality studies. New studies using mobile phones should be carried out as they can be considered an attractive method for capturing information about the specific context of SB activities of youth and adults, in real time or very close to it.
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Abstract

Background: Over 30% of women in Canada undergo an abortion. Despite the prevalence of the procedure, stigma surrounding abortion in Canada leads to barriers for women to access this service. The vast majority of care is concentrated in urban settings. There is evidence to support utilization of innovative mobile and other technology solutions to empower women to safely and effectively self-manage aspects of the abortion process. This study is part 1 of a 3-phase study that utilizes user-centered design methodology to develop a digital health solution to specifically support follow-up after an induced surgical abortion.

Objective: This study aimed to (1) understand how women at 3 surgical abortion clinics in an urban center of British Columbia utilize their mobile phones to access health care information and (2) understand women’s preferences of content and design of an intervention that will support follow-up care after an induced abortion, including contraceptive use.

Methods: The study design was based on development-evaluation-implementation process from Medical Research Council Framework for Complex Medical Interventions. This was a mixed-methods formative study. Women (aged 14-45 years) were recruited from 3 urban abortion facilities in British Columbia who underwent an induced abortion. Adaptation of validated surveys and using the technology acceptance model and theory of reasoned action, a cross-sectional survey was designed. Interview topics included demographic information; type of wireless device used; cell phone usage; acceptable information to include in a mobile intervention to support women’s abortion care; willingness to use a mobile phone to obtain reproductive health information; optimal strategies to use a mobile intervention to support women; understand preferences for health information resources; and design qualities in a mobile intervention important for ease of use, privacy, and security. Responses to questions in the survey were summarized using descriptive statistics. Qualitative analysis was conducted with NVivo using a thematic analysis approach. This study was approved by the local ethics board.

Results: A waiting-room survey was completed by 50 participants, and semistructured interviews were completed with 8 participants. The average age of participants was 26 years. Furthermore, 94% (47/50) owned a smartphone, 85% (41/48) used their personal phones to go online, and 85% would use their cell phone to assist in clinical care. Qualitative analysis demonstrated that women prefer a comprehensive website that included secure email or text notifications to provide tools and resources for emotional well-being, contraceptive decision making, general sexual health, and postprocedure care.

Conclusions: A community-based mixed-methods approach allowed us to understand how women use their cell phones and what women desire in a mobile intervention to support their postabortion care. The findings from this formative phase will assist in the development and testing of a mobile intervention to support follow-up care after an induced surgical abortion.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13387) doi:10.2196/13387
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Introduction

Background

Despite abortion being without any criminal restriction in Canada and over 30% of women in Canada undergo an abortion, recent studies suggest that women who have an abortion experience isolation, particularly for those who travel far distances, perceived need for secrecy, feelings of stigma, and other social factors, suggesting that access to the procedure is only one aspect of what it means to deliver quality abortion care [1,2]. Specifically, studies have demonstrated that the dynamics of the political and social climate in Canada can lead to both externalized and internalized stigma for individuals who undergo an abortion [1,3,4]. Despite global guidance that states that follow-up is not necessary after an induced surgical abortion, there is evidence documenting women’s desire for postabortion support [5-7]. Opportunities to use innovations using digital solutions for abortion care can support women to self-manage part, if not all, of the abortion process [6,8-11]. More importantly, evidence has pointed to its potential to provide follow-up support, particularly for women who live in rural and remote areas [4].

The intersection of digital health with self-care is moving rapidly and being utilized in various aspects of health care [12-17]. The New England Journal of Medicine published a special report on Telehealth—an example of digital health—in the United States, highlighting its utility and future. In 2016, Kaiser Permanente of Northern California reported that its virtual (email, telephone, and video) communications had exceeded in-person visits [18]. Similarly, research supports the safe and effective use of digital health solutions for provision of medical abortion care globally [19-25]. Evidence supports its safe, effective, and acceptable utility in provision of abortion. Studies utilizing hotlines, text messaging, and mobile apps in Cambodia, Indonesia, and South Africa are just a few examples of how digital health is being utilized to improve the abortion experience for women who face barriers to abortion care globally [14,20,26,27].

Despite the development and implementation of mobile health (mHealth) innovations for family planning, research is limited in understanding the follow-up needs of women who undergo an abortion, how they would perceive a digital health tool to support them, and more importantly, engage them as active participants in the design process.

Objectives

Given the existing evidence in support of mHealth for family planning innovations, we aimed to determine if a mobile technology intervention would be acceptable and feasible to women to support follow-up care after first and second trimester surgical abortion. This study was designed and conducted before the availability of mifepristone for medical abortion in Canada, and thus, women undergoing a surgical abortion were the focus population for this study [28]. We developed a 3-phased study based on user-centered design and the development-evaluation-implementation process from Medical Research Council Frameworks for Complex Interventions [29]. Phase I was a mixed-methods formative design to understand how women at 3 surgical abortion clinics utilize their mobile phones to access health care information and to understand their preferences of content and design of a mobile intervention that will support follow-up care after a surgical abortion. Phase II was the design, development, and usability testing aspect of the study, and finally, phase III was a prospective mixed-methods pilot study to determine the feasibility and acceptability of the mobile intervention to support follow-up care after a surgical abortion. This study is the first to utilize mHealth and user-centered design in Canada as a novel approach to support follow-up care for women who undergo surgical abortion. The focus of this manuscript is phase I methodology and results.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited from publicly funded abortion clinics, 3 in urban settings and 1 in a rural site within British Columbia, Canada. The eligibility criteria were that the participant should (1) have consented to undergo a first or second trimester surgical abortion procedure, (2) be able to read and write English, (3) be able to participate in study procedures, and (4) be aged ≥14 years. The participants were excluded if they were (1) attending the clinics because of fetal anomaly or miscarriage, (2) undergoing medical abortion, (3) in a situation that may be dangerous to utilize a mobile intervention, and (4) unable to provide consent to participate. To elicit whether a woman was in a dangerous situation, counselors asked patients as part of routine care if they felt safe in their current relationships. In cases where risk is identified, counselors provided resources and would refer to the appropriate provider or service.

Theoretical Framework

The study design and instruments were developed using the technology acceptance model (TAM) and theory of reasoned action (TRA). These theories have been validated in studies for the development of mHealth solutions in low- and middle-income countries [27,30,31]. TAM identifies 2 distinct attitudes that could predict the adoption of a new information system: perceived ease of use and usefulness of the system. TRA states that a person’s behavior can be determined by 3 factors: (1) subjective norms, defined as whether or not people important to the individual believe they should perform the behavior or not; (2) individual’s attitude toward the behavior; and (3) an individual’s intention to engage in a behavior [30-32]. The study instruments for all 3 phases were developed using these theories based on validated survey tools [13,31,33,34]. Specifically, these tools have been utilized for formative research on developing digital health solutions specific to health behaviors.

Study Design

The overall study design is a mixed-methods user-centered design approach with 3 phases based on the development-evaluation-implementation process from the Medical Research Council Frameworks for Complex Medical Interventions [35]. Phase I is a community-based mixed-methods study conducted in 3 urban clinics in Vancouver, British Columbia, between May and August 2017 with the goal of...
understanding how women utilize technology to access health care information and their preferences of content and design of a mobile intervention to support follow-up care after an induced surgical abortion. The quantitative component of the study included an anonymous survey that was adapted from validated surveys from studies conducted for mHealth interventions and contraception use [13,31,33,36]. The survey asked questions about (1) how they use technology and their preferences for its use in clinical care and follow-up after an abortion, (2) mobile device use, privacy, and security, and (3) their past experience with contraception. The qualitative interview guide included topics on the types of wireless device used, technology engagement, acceptable information to include in a mobile intervention to support women’s abortion care, willingness to use a mobile phone to obtain reproductive health information, optimal strategies to use the mobile intervention to support women, understanding preferences for health information resources, design qualities of mobile intervention, and privacy and security. Counselors at each of the abortion clinics obtained consent from eligible participants and distributed the survey for participants to complete in the waiting room or counselors’ office before going for their procedure. Embedded within the survey, we asked participants whether they consented to being contacted within a week of their visit by our research coordinator to participate in an optional semistructured individual interview for the qualitative component of this study. Participants who consented to a follow-up telephone call received information regarding the qualitative component of the study, and if they were interested in participating, a convenient time was set for the principal investigator or the research coordinator to interview participants over the phone. Verbal consent was obtained at the start of the audio-recorded interviews, which lasted approximately 45 min each. Participants received a Can $25 gift card for participating in the semistructured interview. A convenience sample of approximately 50 participants for the quantitative component of the study was desired and, for the qualitative component, 10 to 20 participants or until saturation of themes was reached. Studies have suggested that thematic saturation could be reached with as few as 12 to 15 participants [37].

This study was approved by the Children’s and Women’s Research Ethics Board (H16-02823).

Data Analysis

Phase I

Descriptive analysis of each variable from the waiting room survey was reported as a mean (SD) or median for continuous variables and count (%) for categorical variables. The semistructured interview transcripts were uploaded to NVivo 11 (QSR International Pty Ltd) and read by 2 researchers. Inductive analysis was done to identify emerging themes, which were further refined through collaborative analysis with the first author and coinvestigator. Highlighted text was coded into nodes representing similar or repeating ideas. Some text was coded to more than 1 node to reflect the number of ideas presented. Nodes were then grouped together in a respective theme and a thematic map was developed and discussed with the research team and triangulation of data with expert opinion was used to enhance the validity of the findings.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Table 1 provides the demographic profile of participants. A total of 50 participants were recruited, and of these participants, 78% were Canadian, 84% were under the age of 30 years, 69% had an annual income less than Can $35,000, and 36% had high school education, whereas 38% were between high school and a bachelor’s degree. There was variation in the distance traveled by participants, with 30% traveling less than 10 km, 22% traveling between 20 and 40 km, and 18% who traveled over 100 km. In addition, 94% (47/50) of participants reported owning a smartphone. Of the 50 participants who completed the survey, 25 consented to being contacted about the interview, and a total of 8 participants were recruited to participate in the semistructured individual phone interviews.
Table 1. Demographic profile of participants (N=50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic details</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birthplace</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>39 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>27 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-37</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can $0-15,000</td>
<td>16 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can $15,000-35,000</td>
<td>18 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can $35,000-55,000</td>
<td>8 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can $55,000+</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>17 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between high school and bachelor’s</td>
<td>19 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s or higher</td>
<td>13 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distanced traveled to clinic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 km</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20 km</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40 km</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60 km</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80 km</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+ km</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Own cell phone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (basic)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (smartphone)</td>
<td>47 (94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative Results

Results from the waiting room survey are summarized in Table 2. Specifically, 89% (42/47) of participants stated they use a smartphone most often out of a list of five commonly used devices, 92% (45/49) of participants’ phone plans included unlimited SMS plans, 92% (45/49) of participants use the internet on their phone. 88% (42/49) of the participants use their personal phones to go online, 85% (41/48) liked the idea of using a cell phone to assist in their clinical care and follow-up and 92% (45/49) of participants used mobile apps. Participants reported being more comfortable with receiving information about contraception, general postabortion care information, signs and symptoms after an abortion, mental health information and sexual health via email followed by website and mobile apps opposed to other modalities. Results are presented in Figures 1-4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey questions (N=50)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Which device do you use?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic mobile phone</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone</td>
<td>47 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
<td>35 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop computer</td>
<td>16 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Which device do you use the most? (N=47)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic mobile phone</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone</td>
<td>42 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop computer</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of the above</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>When you have question about your health, what do you use? (N=50)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>46 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile apps</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Provider</td>
<td>34 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What do you use the most often? (N=50)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>23 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile app</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Does your cell phone plan include text messaging? (N=49)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, pay per text</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, limited short message service (SMS)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, unlimited SMS</td>
<td>45 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you use the internet on your phone? (N=49)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Would like the idea of using cell phone to assist with follow-up care? (N=48)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How participants go online</strong>a (N=49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Survey questions (N=50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal computer</td>
<td>34 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family/friends’ computer</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal phone</td>
<td>42 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet café</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family/friends’ phone</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of the above</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t go online</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Mobile app use (N=49)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Features that make a mobile app easy to use\(^a\) (N=49)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple design</td>
<td>44 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not overly time consuming</td>
<td>31 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled push notifications</td>
<td>18 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy scrolling</td>
<td>28 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link to other websites</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log in by Facebook/Google+</td>
<td>16 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration with other apps</td>
<td>8/49 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Frequency of messages from clinic (N=48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once/week</td>
<td>14 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice/week</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once/month</td>
<td>25 (52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Prefer not to see the words abortion, birth control, and contraception in a text message (N=48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, prefer if not used</td>
<td>35 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, I don’t care if they are used</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Participants to mark all that applied.
Figure 1. Number of participants who are comfortable receiving information about contraception via different modalities on their mobile device.

Figure 2. Number of participants who are comfortable receiving information about postabortion care via different modalities on their mobile device.

Figure 3. Number of participants who are comfortable receiving information about postoperative signs and symptoms via different modalities on their mobile device.
Figure 4. Number of participants who are comfortable receiving information about mental health via different modalities on their mobile device.

Figure 5 highlights previous contraceptive use. The top 2 methods were oral contraceptives, followed by condoms. Participants were asked if they were using a birth control method just before the index pregnancy and 48% (24/50) stated yes, 28% (14/50) said no, and 24% (12/50) said sometimes.

We further explored questions around contraceptive use and behavior using an adapted version of ORTHO Birth Control Satisfaction Assessment Tool [33]. We analyzed the questions according to lifestyle impact (63), compliance/adherence (51), and assurance/confidence on a scale of 0 to 100 (58). Higher scores indicate better satisfaction; however, the results ranged between 50 and 65, suggesting that participants were neutral with regard to the degree of satisfaction with previous contraceptive use. This information was used to assist with content and design elements for the mobile intervention.

Semistructured Interviews
A thematic analysis approach was used to analyze the interviews. We explored themes around 3 specific categories: (1) participants’ overall abortion experience and how that may inform their thoughts on follow-up both for physical and/or emotional well-being, (2) current level of interaction with technology and specifically around health care–based issues, and (3) preferences for a mobile intervention to support follow-up care following a surgical abortion. Overall, 10 key themes were identified. These are listed inTextbox 1 with definitions of each on the basis of the various nodes and subnodes that were established from the analysis. Half of the participants who were interviewed traveled greater than 50 km to obtain care at 1 of the 3 urban abortion clinics. Importantly, they noted that for women such as themselves who travel far distances or are from rural areas, a resource that is comprehensive, secure, simple, and accessible is an important need and would address a gap in postabortion care.

Figure 5. Previous contraceptive methods (numerical representation), n=50.
Textbox 1. Definitions of themes on basis of nodes and subnodes. (Numbers represent the nodes and the letters [a, b, c...] represent the subnodes.)
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Talking About Abortion
Participants unanimously described the experience as lonely, particularly as they felt that they were unable to talk to someone about the procedure. When asked if participants would want an intervention that allowed them to connect with other women or to resources where women share their stories, many supported this. One participant stated:

...I like the principle of women being able to talk about their experiences and I think that just creates more and more awareness, and awareness is a positive thing

Some felt that for religious or cultural reasons they may not feel comfortable speaking to their health care provider and that having a mobile intervention that was comprehensive and evidence based would be a good way to access information.

Accessing Health Information
The majority of our participants utilized Google as their first point to access information but did not view this as a panacea to health information. Ultimately, participants preferred to obtain information from a trusted health care provider. One participant stated:

...sometimes I would probably go online first and just Google things and try and find things out but I usually try and go to a doctor eventually. When I go online it’s just like premature before I decide to go to the doctor

Participants would also use the internet to elicit opinions of other women on birth control:

I Googled it [referring to the intrauterine device] to see what people thought of it. I do that with every kind of birth control. But at the same time you have to take it with a grain of salt because people don’t post reviews on contraception if they like it. They only post negative reviews.

This information was useful for our study team and key stakeholders when considering content development for contraception.

Barriers to Health Care
Participants provided valuable information to highlight that stigma around abortion continues to exist among health care providers and specifically in rural areas. This theme was valuable to consider when we engaged with our rural key stakeholders to share and elicit information from their perspective of the challenges of rural abortion care. One participant stated:

I live in a remote community. For sure, and it’s a really small town. So I probably wouldn’t even feel comfortable going to somebody because it’s a small town.

Another participant commented that there is not enough information on the abortion services provided in British Columbia on the internet, and rather, the first resources that are presented are pregnancy options services that are in fact centers to convince women to keep their pregnancies. This participant stated:

...because when I was looking it up on the Internet a bunch of places came up for pregnancy options but none for actual clinics that do these procedures and what not.

This highlighted that we needed to consider a resource that had a comprehensive and vetted list of resources that women could refer to.

Contraception
We asked participants about their contraceptive behaviors and preferences because this is a component of abortion care and subsequent follow-up. Participants shared their frustration that contraception is not free in Canada. Furthermore, concerns about good access were brought up frequently, as was the need to be well informed that contraception is not just for pregnancy prevention but also for prevention of sexually transmitted infections. Participants were motivated to use contraception to prevent future pregnancies.

Desire for Postabortion Counseling
This was a consistent theme among participants. Many stated that it would be useful to have access in person as well as remotely to postabortion counseling. One participant who had her second procedure had used counseling postabortion after her first termination and stated:

when I first got a termination years ago, I was super against it [counselling] because I was an idiot and I was 20. And then I did it anyway and it was the best thing I could have ever done.

General Postprocedure Needs
We asked participants about their general postprocedure needs. The vast majority felt good physically but found more emotional hardships. One participant stated:

Physically I felt better than I expected. I was in bed for maybe a day, but it wasn’t bad. It wasn’t painful. Like, I have bad cramps on my period and it was at most like that. But that only lasted a short time. But otherwise the pregnancy symptoms left pretty quickly, I guess in about a week, some of them sooner than that.

On the emotional experience, a participant stated:

Emotionally it was harder than I expected it to be. I knew that this was something I needed to do if this was to happen. But I never obviously had to do it before, so it was definitely harder, even though I knew it was the right thing to do. It still brought up a lot of emotional questions and feelings.

Another participant highlighted the importance for a postprocedure intervention to include a phone call or text
message that would be a check-in with the patient. Many stated it was an isolating and lonely experience and to have either a service that connected them to other resources and services or a live chat group would be something valuable.

Understanding Follow-Up

Despite follow-up being an important aspect of health care, there are little data published that examine how individuals perceive follow-up. This is important to consider when developing a postabortion support tool. When asked what follow-up means, a participant stated:

...with a healthcare provider, I guess just following up with any physical, medical relevant issues.

Furthermore, some participants expressed desiring follow-up based on whether or not they had an intrauterine device inserted at the time of abortion. One participant stated:

They just told me to come back in six weeks to get an ultrasound of my IUD to make sure it’s in the right place...and I’m assuming they’ll probably see how I’m feeling emotionally, physically and—that was my understanding of the follow-up.

When we asked participants whether they felt follow-up was needed and/or desired, a vast majority stated that it would be desired for emotional support, and they did state it was a necessity physically as that was what was told to them at the time of their procedure. However, many had recovered physically a few days after and noted that emotional and social support was desired. Some also commented that it might be good to have a mobile intervention that allowed women who lived in remote communities to have access to. The following are excerpts from individuals who highlighted the desire for emotional support and the benefit of a secure resource for those living in rural areas.

I feel like it’s a—like, for mental health I feel like maybe it’s more desired

I think it would probably be beneficial for more people who are living in more rural or conservative communities who might not feel comfortable talking to their doctor or don’t have very good access to the aftercare. I’m fine ’cause I’m in downtown Vancouver. But other people might not have such an easy time.

Features and Considerations of a Mobile Intervention

Participants consistently stated that they wanted an easy-to-navigate, simple, professional mobile intervention that was concise and user-friendly. In addition, 2 of our participants expressed that it should be:

Easy to navigate...more simple, straightforward but with options to look at more information if you wanted some

and:

I think just simplicity and user-friendly ease sort of is important. Not having too much information which to be honest I don’t know how you do it because there’s a lot of information on this topic.

Participants also stated that the intervention should allow them to unsubscribe, particularly, if it included text or email notifications. There was also encouragement to include partners in the intervention and to have information relevant for partners specifically. One participant commented on the importance to ensure that the app or website is functioning and checked frequently. She stated:

Mediocre apps are just an inconvenience, and I feel like this category, it’s not—I don’t know. I feel like it just wouldn’t get the funding that it needed for it to really work properly. I have no patience for apps that don’t work well. So if it’s not awesome, it’s not on my phone and I’m not wasting my time using it.

Participants suggested that options listed in the form of a dropdown list would allow for ease of navigation. Participants also wanted to be able to book counseling services directly online with the counselors from the respective abortion clinics where they received services. This was a service already provided by the counselors but participants encouraged this be part of a follow-up mobile technology resource. Finally, having more concise, patient-centered information on contraception in an easy-to-access manner was an expressed need:

More information on contraception and all the different types that women can use because I know a lot of my girlfriends the only type they use is a condom or birth control...I feel like there should be more information on the IUDs because it would be a really good alternative for some women who are like me and would prefer just to not have to worry about taking oral contraceptives at a certain time if they’re busy or something like that. Because my current experience with birth control is after going to the clinic and receiving the information that I got, I realized the IUD was best for me. And there should be more information about that, whether it be on an app or on the Internet.

Features and Considerations for a Text Messaging Service

Overall, participants encouraged follow-up by either an individual from the clinic texting them at set times or an email or text messaging service that was automatic and timed in appropriate frequencies. It was important that there be an unsubscribe feature. Furthermore, participants stated that weekly messages at first would be useful but then to decrease these messages to monthly up to a maximum of 6 months. The following excerpts highlight this theme:

I think it’s a really good thing. I would definitely have somebody remind me about appointments, remind me to get a checkup,...I would definitely use that. But I think as well—as long as you consent to it and you can set the frequency yourself, like, I think it’s a great idea.

I think that would be handy as long as it had the option to stop at any time, an unsubscribe feature
Privacy and Confidentiality

Privacy and confidentiality was very important for women who were interviewed, which was slightly different from the results of the quantitative survey. Participants stated that they would want something that is discrete and did not mention the words abortion or have any way to link the patient to the clinic where they had their procedure:

I think confidentiality is a big part of it, just because—especially with some people, they don’t really want everyone to know. I know I don’t want everybody in the whole world to know what happened. Privacy is everything for me. That’s the most important thing for me. And I think that’s maybe because I do live in a small town. It’s really, really important to have that here. If you’re in a big anonymous city it might be different.

Obviously with mobile technology you want to be really considerate of privacy and have secure servers and—especially if there’s contact information with a whole list of women...as it relates to mobile, that’s definitely something to consider.

Discussion

This study represents the first phase of a 3-phase study and highlights the importance of formative research that incorporates the voice of end users to assist with development of a mobile intervention for follow-up after an induced surgical abortion. Specifically, this formative mixed-methods study explored women’s experiences with technology and important aspects to design and content for a mobile intervention to support follow-up after a surgical abortion. It is important to include a formative aspect to the development of technology-based interventions to improve women’s health generally, but abortion care specifically [20,36,38-40]. The theoretical framework for all 3 phases included both the TAM and TRA theories. In this study particularly, we were able to elicit information about what was important in the design of the tool, what are the contextual factors to consider in designing the tool but also around the stigma women continue to experience around abortion and how that can inform one’s attitude and intentions to engage in a tool to support them in care related to an abortion. This information was utilized in the development of the intervention and further testing for phases II and III.

According to the literature, including the voice of end users early in the development of an intervention is a fundamental principle of human-centered design [16,20,38,39]. A study conducted by Smith et al [20] explored women’s needs in Cambodia to develop a mobile phone–based intervention to support postabortion family planning, specifically, contraceptive adherence [20]. Similarly, researchers from University of San Francisco’s Program in Women-Centered Contraception, developed a tablet-based contraceptive decision support tool for women [41]. This study utilized a multiphase approach that incorporated the end user throughout the entire design of the project. The formative research findings from these studies emphasized that using an iterative process informed by patient and provider input throughout the development and testing led to a more patient-centered innovation [42]. In addition, the formative research in these studies identified main patterns of mobile use of the women from the respective countries, main reasons and expectations for contraception and abortion care, researchers drew on components of existing interventions and behavior change theories to then develop conceptual frameworks. It also highlights that anytime a mobile intervention is to be adapted to a new context, formative research is essential to ensure the tool can be adapted to particular contexts and cultures.

In this study, a theme that resonated was the desire for a tool that incorporated emotional support as part of follow-up abortion care, which has been previously described in the published literature. A qualitative study conducted in Canada explored women’s abortion experiences in the Yukon territory, a remote service area, highlighting that “fragmented services left women unsatisfied, stressed and upset about lack of information, multiple appointments and lengthy wait times” [43]. Women further expressed frustration with lack of follow-up counseling and recommended that it be routinely offered as they feel contact with health care providers is cut off after the procedure [43]. In addition to access issues, barriers of cost, knowledge among the general public, health care provider competence, and attitudes have also been highlighted in the literature [44]. Another study explored women’s expressed desire for postabortion support services, highlighting the stigma around abortion that exists in political and social contexts, preventing women from sharing their experiences [1]. This study specifically highlighted that though women may not necessarily need mandatory physical follow-up, they desire access to postabortion support for emotional well-being [1]. Furthermore, there is great deal of inconsistency in the type of support and information available to women after an abortion. In addition to emotional support, participants stressed key characteristics of the mobile intervention such as privacy and confidentiality, evidence-based information, some form of interaction with the health care system, and is professional and easy to use.

Finally, it was important to capture the voice of women who traveled from rural and remote areas. According to our demographic data, over one-third of our participants traveled over 50 km to obtain an abortion. Though abortion is legal in Canada, there are access barriers, particularly for women living in remote and rural areas. Studies that have developed strategies in restrictive settings utilizing mHealth could be adapted to rural and remote contexts that have restricted access to abortion services in Canada. Providing safe and effective means, such as telemedicine, text messaging services, or mobile apps, has been proven to be acceptable and satisfactory for women in legally restricted settings [12,21-23,26] and, therefore, could have potential for Canadian women living in rural and remote areas, where abortion is legal but barriers to service delivery exist.

The limitations for this study include overall generalizability to other populations and small convenience sample sizes. Furthermore, abortion continues to be stigmatized, which can contribute to difficulties with recruitment and loss to follow-up. Accordingly, we noted that recruitment for the qualitative interviews took longer than expected and further assumed that
lack of participant engagement may be associated with conducting research a few weeks out after the abortion, where participants have moved on and are resuming back in their busy lives. Consideration of recruitment strategies will need to be taken into consideration for future studies, particularly when thinking about diversifying the participants recruited and obtaining robust response rates for analysis.

Balancing these limitations are the strengths of our study, including user engagement early in the research design, a mixed-methods design incorporating both quantitative and qualitative data, engagement with both urban and rural key stakeholders, and robust findings that will inform the design of the mobile intervention.

Details of phases II and III will be published as separate papers. Specifically, phase II incorporated these findings to develop, design, and test an intervention based on findings from this study, and phase III was a pilot, prospective mixed-methods study to determine the feasibility and acceptability of the tool for women who undergo a surgical abortion.

**Implications**

This study was the first phase of 3 and perhaps the most important phase as it determined crucial findings about women’s interactions with technology and their preferences for design and content of an intervention that could support their care after a surgical abortion. Moreover, there is great deal of momentum toward self-managed abortion care and technology has a role to play. This study is the first to utilize mHealth and user-centered design in Canada as a novel approach to provide a means for women to self-manage their follow-up care after a surgical abortion.
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Abstract

Background: Approximately 73% of US adults use YouTube, making it the most popular social media platform. Misinformation on social media is a growing concern; recent studies show a high proportion of misinformative health-related videos. Several studies on patient-provider communication and general health information seeking have been conducted. However, few studies to date have examined the potential association between patient-provider communication and health information seeking on specific social media platforms such as YouTube. A better understanding of this relationship may inform future health communication interventions.

Objective: The aim was to use nationally representative cross-sectional data to describe the association between perceived patient-provider communication quality and sociodemographic factors on watching YouTube health-related videos.

Methods: Data from the 2018 Health Information National Trends Survey were analyzed (N=3504). The primary outcome was whether participants watched a health-related video on YouTube over the past 12 months. A patient-provider communication composite score was created by summing responses about how often providers did the following: (1) gave you the chance to ask all the health-related questions you had, (2) gave attention to your feelings, (3) involved you in health care decisions as much as you wanted, (4) made sure that you understood the things you needed to do to take care of your health, (5) explained things in a way that you could understand, (6) spent enough time with you, and (7) helped you deal with feelings of uncertainty. Sociodemographic factors included age, gender, race/ethnicity, and education. Descriptive statistics and multivariable logistic regression were conducted.

Results: Approximately 1067 (35% weighted prevalence) participants reported watching a health-related video on YouTube. Higher perceived quality of patient-provider communication on the composite score was significantly associated with lower odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube. Regarding sociodemographic factors, increasing age and being a high school graduate (compared with college graduate) were associated with lower odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube; whereas, Hispanic and non-Hispanic Asians were more likely to have watched a health-related video on YouTube. For individual aspects of patient-physician communication, two of seven patient-provider communication variables were significant. Those who reported that providers “sometimes” spent enough time with them had higher odds of watching a health-related video on YouTube, compared with those who said providers “always” spent enough time with them. Participants reporting that they “never” have a chance to ask all their health-related questions also had higher odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube compared with those who reported “always.”

Conclusions: Higher perceived quality of patient-provider communication is associated with lower odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube. When providers do not spend enough time or give an opportunity to ask questions, patients are more likely to pursue health information on social media.
Introduction

Background

Historically, patient-provider communication has been associated with various health outcomes [1-3] and health information-seeking behaviors [4,5]. For example, one study found that problems with patient-centered communication and clinical care coordination were associated with a higher likelihood of independent eHealth engagement [6], whereas another study found that internet health information seeking could improve the patient-physician relationship depending on the history of the relationship and whether the patient discussed the information with their doctor [4]. However, an underexplored area of research is the association between patient-provider communication and health information seeking on specific social media platforms. As of January 2018, the Pew Research Center reported that nearly 70% of Americans use at least one social media site [7]. By popularity, YouTube ranks first with 73% of Americans using the site, followed by Facebook at 69% and Instagram at 37% [8].

Given the popularity of social media and relative ease in which information can be posted online, health-related misinformation on social media has become a growing public health concern that may affect patient-provider communication [9-12]. A number of studies have explored how and why patients use social media for health-specific purposes [13-15]. For example, Benetoli et al [16] conducted focus groups with Australian consumers and found that blogs helped consumers learn about other people’s experiences with the same condition, Facebook allowed them to follow health-related pages of interest and participate in disease-specific group discussions, Wikipedia was used to help gather information about health conditions and treatments, and YouTube was used to learn about medical procedures including surgery. Other studies have evaluated both the quantity and quality of YouTube video content for various health conditions and behaviors including prostate cancer, infertility, and smoking [11,17-24], as well as, more broadly, how YouTube videos tags are assigned and described by the disseminator [25].

Although many health-related videos on YouTube are deemed educationally useful and are of high quality [22,26-29], some studies show that health-related videos on YouTube are often of poor quality, misleading, and/or have commercial content designed to sell products or services [11,12,30-32]—all which may have serious implications for consumer attitudes and medical decision making. For example, our group recently examined the top 150 YouTube videos on prostate cancer and found that 77% had misinformative and/or biased content in the video or comments, and that there was an inverse relationship between views and thumbs up with expert-rated quality [11]. Another study showed that YouTube videos portraying immunization negatively were more highly rated by users than positive videos, but 45% of the negative videos contained misinformation [33]. In general, viewer engagement with YouTube videos appears to be higher when health-related videos contain personal stories, misinformation, and/or nonrecommended therapies [11,17,34,35].

Theory Considerations and Health Communication

The rapid growth of internet and social media use over the past 20 years also has implications for health communication or “the study and use of communication strategies to inform and influence individual and community decisions that enhance health” [36]. Health communication strategies may include, but are not limited to, the exchange of information between individuals, development of health messages, providing multiple ways for people to access health information, and ensuring that health information meets the needs of people at varying health literacy levels [37]. Although no single theory or model captures all the factors affecting health communication, theories can be combined to better understand these processes; this decision should be based on the health issue or problem, target population, and context [38]. For example, the Basic Communication Model highlights that source and channel, message, and audience are the key elements of communication [39]; whereas, the Chronic Care Model posits that an activated patient and a prepared, proactive health care team are needed to have productive interactions [40]. Street’s [41] Ecological Model of Communication in Medical Encounters helps explain “how communication in these interactions is (or can be) affected by the interpersonal, organizational, media, political-legal, and cultural environments within which they take place.” This model also suggests that patients and providers have predisposing factors such as communication style, verbal and nonverbal behaviors, and cognitive-affective influences that impact the patient-provider communication process [41]. The Technology Acceptance Model, which is an adaption of the Theory of Reasoned Action, was originally developed to assess perceived usefulness and ease of use of technology in workplace settings [42-44] but has also been used to understand consumer acceptance of health technology [45-47].

More broadly, information processing theories such as the Elaboration Likelihood Model can also be informative in the contexts of patient-provider communication and information seeking on social media [48,49]. The Elaboration Likelihood Model suggests that persuasive messages are processed through one of two routes: central or peripheral. Central route processing occurs when people are highly motivated to think about an issue (and have sufficient time and cognitive resources to do so), which should lead to greater “elaboration” or thoughtful, deliberate weighing of the message attributes. In contrast, peripheral route processing occurs in low motivation situations in which people are more prone to rely on peripheral cues such as a celebrity endorsement of a product or behavior, or the esthetic features of the health information product. Taken
together, the aforementioned theories and the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) framework [50] might suggest that patient-provider communication may affect how a patient’s health information needs are met, which in turn may influence their health information-seeking behaviors, potentially leading them to seek health information on social media platforms.

The purpose of this study was to describe the association between perceived patient-provider communication quality and sociodemographic factors on the likelihood of watching health-related videos on YouTube. We hypothesized that younger people, minorities, and individuals who do not feel like they had enough time with their provider or did not have their questions answered would be more likely to seek health information on YouTube. This research is important because it may shed light on which specific patient-provider communication aspects are associated with health information seeking on social media and which patient subgroups are more likely to use YouTube to watch health-related videos, both of which may inform future health communication interventions. Social media platforms including YouTube have great potential for the delivery of behavioral interventions, but this area of research is still in its infancy. A better understanding of which health consumers are using these platforms for health information and what perceived gaps they fill could help with this burgeoning field.

**Methods**

**Brief Overview of the Health Information National Trends Survey**

HINTS is a probability-based, nationally representative cross-sectional survey of noninstitutionalized US adults aged 18 years and older that was designed to monitor trends in the American public’s use of cancer and other health-related information. The survey was developed by the National Cancer Institute’s Health Communication and Informatics Research Branch and has been administered approximately every 2 years since 2003. HINTS is guided by a conceptual framework (see Figure 1) informed by the communication and behavioral science literature. To help ensure participant understanding, HINTS surveys involve at least two rounds of cognitive testing; field testing is also conducted. Criteria for inclusion of survey items are based on scientific validity (ie, use of established measures for assessing constructs of interest), utility of information for key stakeholders, and implementation considerations [50]. For race and ethnicity, blacks and Hispanics are oversampled to help enhance minority representation. Full details about general HINTS methodology are documented elsewhere [50-52].

This study evaluated participant data from HINTS 5, Cycle 2. The sample design consisted of two stages. In the first stage, an equal probability sample of addresses was selected from within each explicit sampling stratum. In the second stage, one adult was selected within each sampled household using the next birthday method. The sampling frame consisted of a database of addresses used by Marketing Systems Group to provide random samples of addresses. Data were collected between January and May 2018 via a mailed survey. A US $2 prepaid monetary incentive was included to encourage participation. Benefits of the sampling approach include enhanced geographic and demographic diversity. Additionally, data collection was anonymous and done via a pen-and-paper survey, two strategies to help reduce social desirability bias. Complete data for HINTS 5, Cycle 2, were collected from 3504 respondents and the overall survey response rate was 32.9%. As this study involved an analysis of a nonidentified publicly available data, institutional review board review approval was not required.

**Figure 1.** Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) framework, which relates psychosocial characteristics to the dynamic process of becoming aware of, and then seeking new information on, public health messages. Source: [50]; reprinted with permission from Taylor & Francis Ltd.
Measures

Health-Related Video on YouTube

The primary outcome was whether participants watched a health-related video on YouTube over the past 12 months (yes/no).

Patient-Provider Communication

A patient-provider communication composite score was created by summing responses to questions about how often doctors, nurses, or other health care professionals did the following: (1) gave you the chance to ask all the health-related questions you had, (2) gave the attention needed for your feelings and emotions, (3) involved you in health care decisions as much as you wanted, (4) made sure that you understood the information needed to take care of your health, (5) explained things in a way that you could understand, (6) spent enough time with you, and (7) helped you deal with feelings of uncertainty. Per Epstein and Street [53], these survey items represent key aspects of patient-centered care and have been used in several studies [6,54-58]. Response options were recoded so that a higher number would indicate more positive patient-provider communication (ie, 1=never, 2=sometimes, 3=usually, and 4=always). The minimum and maximum patient-provider composite scores were 7 and 28, respectively. The Cronbach alpha for the seven patient-provider communication items was .92; this high internal consistency provides support for creating a composite score. Patient-provider communication items were also evaluated individually.

Sociodemographic Factors

Age, gender (male/female), race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Asian), and education (less than high school, high school graduate, some college, and college graduate) were evaluated.

Statistical Analyses

Per the HINTS analytic recommendations, replicate weights were applied to compute accurate standard errors for statistical testing procedures and to estimate US population-level percentages. Descriptive and inferential statistics including chi-square and t tests, and two multivariate logistic regression models were conducted. The first logistic regression model explored the association between watching a health-related video on YouTube and the seven patient-provider composite score, age, gender, race/ethnicity, and education. The second logistic regression model explored the association between watching a health-related video on YouTube and the seven individual patient-provider communication items, age, race/ethnicity, and education. Gender was removed from the second model because it was not significant in either model. All analyses were conducted using Stata 14.2 [59]. We excluded missing data from the analyses.

Results

As shown in Table 1, the participants included in this analysis were mostly non-Hispanic white, with some college, and a mean age of 48.9 (SE 0.3) years. The overall mean composite score for patient-provider communication was 23.8 (SE 0.1). Approximately 1067 participants (35% weighted prevalence) reported watching a health-related video on YouTube in the last 12 months. Participants who reported watching a health-related video on YouTube were generally younger (eg, 66% were younger than 50 years old) and slightly more were female (54%). Those who watched a health-related video on YouTube had a lower mean composite score for patient-provider communication compared to those who did not report watching a video (mean 23.1, SE 0.2 vs mean 24.2, SE 0.1; t_{921}=-3.74, P<.001). Additionally, compared with those who did not report watching a health-related video on YouTube, those who watched a health-related video on YouTube had lower percentages for endorsing that health care providers “always” did the seven patient-provider communication behaviors listed (versus never, sometimes, or usually).

In the first multivariable logistic regression model (Table 2), a higher composite score for patient-provider communication (odds ratio [OR] 0.95, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.92-0.99, P=.02) and increasing age (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.95-0.97, P<.001) were associated with lower odds of having watched a health-related video on YouTube. Compared with non-Hispanic whites, Hispanics and non-Hispanic Asians had higher odds of watching a health-related video on YouTube (OR 1.65, 95% CI 1.13-2.42, P=.01 and OR 2.40, 95% CI 1.19-4.81, P=.02, respectively). Regarding education, high school graduates had lower odds of having watched a health-related video on YouTube compared with those with a college degree or higher (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.39-0.85, P=.007).

In the second multivariable logistic regression model that evaluated the seven patient-provider communication items individually (Table 3), only two items were significant. Compared with those who said providers “always” spent enough time with them, those who reported that providers “sometimes” spent enough time with them had higher odds of watching a health-related video on YouTube (OR 1.92, 95% CI 1.17-3.14, P=.01). Additionally, participants who said providers “never” give them to chance to ask all their health-related questions had higher odds of watching a health-related video on YouTube compared with those who said providers “always” did so (OR 4.78, 95% CI 1.16-19.63, P=.03). Age, race/ethnicity, and education remained significant.
Table 1. Participant characteristics according to watching health-related videos on YouTube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic and category (raw counts)</th>
<th>All (N=3504)</th>
<th>Watched YouTube video (n=1067)</th>
<th>Did not watch YouTube video (n=2361)</th>
<th>P value\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (n=3417), mean (SE)\textsuperscript{b}</strong></td>
<td>48.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>42.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>52.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (years), weighted %\textsuperscript{a}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 (n=406)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 (n=658)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 (n=1113)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74 (n=736)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75 (n=504)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender, weighted %\textsuperscript{a}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=1394)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=2054)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity, weighted %\textsuperscript{a}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white (n=1983)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black (n=444)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic (n=461)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian (n=138)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education, weighted %\textsuperscript{a}</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school (n=275)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate (n=631)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college (n=1039)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree (n=1508)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient-Provider communication composite score (n=2871), mean (SE)\textsuperscript{a}</strong></td>
<td>23.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>23.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>24.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient-Provider communication individual items,\textsuperscript{b} weighted %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance to ask health-related questions (n=2945)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention needed for your feelings and emotions (n=2936)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved you in health care decisions (n=2933)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made sure you understood things needed to do (n=2936)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explained things in a way that you could understand (n=2933)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent enough time with you (n=2923)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped you deal with feelings of uncertainty (n=2917)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Testing for differences in distributions between those who have and have not watched a health-related video on YouTube.

\textsuperscript{b}Values for these variables represent the percent of people who answered “always.”
Table 2. Multivariable logistic regression of the association between patient-provider communication composite score, sociodemographic factors, and watching health-related videos on YouTube (N=2408).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>OR(^a) (95% CI)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient-Provider communication score (continuous)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.92-0.99)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (continuous)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95-0.97)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender**

- Men (ref\(^b\))
  - OR: 1.0
- Women
  - OR: 1.25 (0.91-1.71) \(P\) = .15

**Race/Ethnicity**

- Non-Hispanic white (ref)
  - OR: 1.0
- Non-Hispanic black
  - OR: 1.25 (0.80-1.96) \(P\) = .31
- Hispanic
  - OR: 1.65 (1.13-2.42) \(P\) = .01
- Non-Hispanic Asian
  - OR: 2.40 (1.19-4.81) \(P\) = .02

**Education**

- \(\geq\) College degree (ref)
  - OR: 1.0
- Some college
  - OR: 1.14 (0.82-1.58) \(P\) = .41
- High school graduate
  - OR: 0.57 (0.39-0.85) \(P\) = .007
- Less than high school
  - OR: 0.66 (0.29-1.47) \(P\) = .31

\(^a\) OR: odds ratio.  
\(^b\) ref: reference.
Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression of the association between individual patient-provider communication items, sociodemographic factors, and watching health-related videos on YouTube (N=2427).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>OR^a (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chance to ask health-related questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>1.06 (0.72-1.54)</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>0.49 (0.22-1.08)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>4.78 (1.16-19.63)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention needed for your feelings and emotions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>0.85 (0.53-1.36)</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>0.95 (0.50-1.79)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.81 (0.31-2.13)</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved you in health care decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>1.11 (0.70-1.74)</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>1.50 (0.78-2.88)</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>3.17 (0.83-12.08)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made sure you understood the things you needed to do</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>0.97 (0.60-1.58)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>0.98 (0.46-2.11)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.72 (0.19-2.75)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explained things in a way that you could understand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>0.94 (0.51-1.73)</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>0.73 (0.26-2.04)</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.45 (0.06-3.29)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent enough time with you</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>1.39 (0.90-2.13)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>1.92 (1.17-3.14)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>2.62 (0.83-8.22)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helped you deal with feelings of uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>0.89 (0.52-1.51)</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>0.96 (0.48-1.92)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.63 (0.26-1.53)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (continuous)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95-0.97)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white (ref)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>1.29 (0.82-2.02)</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1.68 (1.12-2.53)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian</td>
<td>2.27 (1.12-4.60)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a All models were adjusted for age (continuous), race/ethnicity (Non-Hispanic white as reference), and gender (male as reference).
Discussion

Principal Findings

The purpose of this study was to describe the association between perceived patient-provider communication quality and sociodemographic factors on watching health-related videos on YouTube. In summary, we found that perceived patient-provider communication quality (measured on a composite scale and as individual items), age, race/ethnicity, and education were significantly associated with watching health-related videos on YouTube. Our findings are in line with previous studies showing poor patient-provider communication was associated with higher online health information seeking [6,60] and sociodemographic differences in use of social media platforms [8,61-63]. New findings are that higher perceived patient-provider communication quality is associated with lower odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube.

To date, several studies have used HINTS data to explore different aspects of patient-provider communication including disparities in communication [55], the effects of health utilization and sociodemographic factors on patient-provider communication [64], degree of patient-centeredness in communication with cancer survivors [54], and the role of patient-centered communication on different types of eHealth usage [6]. Other studies have explored online health information seeking after medical visits broadly [60,65] and health care information on YouTube specifically [12]. However, ours is the first-known study to examine patient-provider communication in the specific context of watching health-related videos on YouTube. This research is important because there may be unique reasons that some people seek out health information on YouTube as compared with other channels. There may also be opportunities for health care providers to assist patients in finding credible sources of information on YouTube before, during, and after a medical visit. In addition, these data are important for further efforts to use YouTube for delivery of behavioral interventions, which is a burgeoning area of research.

Patient-Provider Communication and Watching Health-Related Videos on YouTube

Overall, those with a higher perceived quality of patient-provider communication had lower odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube. Regarding the seven patient-provider communication items that were evaluated individually, only two were associated with watching health-related videos on YouTube: (1) “never” having a chance to ask all health-related questions and (2) “sometimes” feeling that health care provider spends enough time with you compared with people who reported that providers “always” did these behaviors. Although several studies have been conducted on the link between patient-provider communication and health outcomes, our findings provide a new window into the specific associations between patient-provider communication and health information seeking on YouTube. Our findings may support the idea of a feedback loop regarding patient-provider communication and health information seeking on YouTube (see Figure 2).

Poor patient-provider communication during a medical visit may have implications for how, if at all, a person’s health information needs are addressed. This can lead a person to conduct more health information seeking after the medical visit by watching health videos on YouTube because they still have questions or because they are anxious, which may potentially expose the patient to misinformation on YouTube. In turn, exposure to misinformation on YouTube may affect the quality of patient-provider communication in future medical visits because the time is largely spent discussing non-evidenced-based recommendations found on YouTube (eg, injecting herbs into the prostate will cure prostate cancer, immunization is dangerous) [11,33,66]. Consequently, there may be less time to talk about other issues, such as which pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatments may be most efficacious for the patient and the harms, benefits, and quality of life considerations associated with each option. Conversely, watching health-related videos on YouTube before or after a medical encounter may improve a patient’s knowledge of a health condition and related treatment options, which may enhance patient-provider communication in future visits because the patient is more informed and potentially clearer about their goals and preferences [4,67]. In both scenarios, patient characteristics and context will impact the patient-provider communication process, health information needs, and health information-seeking behaviors.
One can also argue that discussing *any* information that a patient finds online, regardless of a subjective assessment of whether that information is misinformative, provides additional opportunities to build the patient-provider relationship. For example, a hypertensive patient may seek out YouTube videos on “natural ways” to cure hypertension because they are concerned about overtreatment and potentially falling if their blood pressure is treated too aggressively. Another patient may be drawn to watching certain health-related videos on YouTube because of their illness beliefs [68], philosophies about medical interventions [69], or from a desire to learn more about how other patients such as them manage their condition [70]. If providers are nonjudgmental and invite discussion about why certain types of health information resonate with a patient, this may provide an opportunity for the provider to better understand the patient’s concerns, values, and preferences.

**Sociodemographic Factors and Watching Health-Related Videos on YouTube**

We found that Hispanic and non-Hispanic Asian participants had higher odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube compared with white participants. Although we cannot fully explain this finding, a potential explanation may be due to cultural perspectives about the authority of health care professionals, which may lead these groups to be less likely to ask questions during medical visits, potentially leaving unanswered questions and leading them to seek out health videos on YouTube. Trust of health care professionals may have also played a role, which may have affected patient-provider communication. In a prior study using HINTS data from 2014, Singh et al [64] found that Hispanics and Asians reported lower quality patient-centered provider communication compared to whites; whereas, in a separate study on shared decision making, Levine et al [71] found lower scores for patient-perceived shared decision making in Asians compared to white adults.

We also found that as age increased, the odds of watching a health-related video on YouTube decreased. These findings are consistent with general trends in social media consumption by age [8,61,63]. Finally, regarding education, people who were high school graduates were 43% less likely to report watching a health-related video on YouTube compared to those with a college degree. It is likely that those with greater levels of education have greater self-efficacy for finding information online or are possibly exploring alternative knowledge sources beyond their healthcare providers. Moreover, lower levels of health technology acceptance [72,73] and eHealth literacy [74,75] among high school graduates may also partially explain this finding.

**Strengths, Limitations, and Future Directions**

Our findings provide an important and nuanced contribution to the literature given that we evaluated two aspects of health communication typically examined separately: patient-provider communication and mass communication via social media. Strengths of this study include the use of HINTS, a large nationally representative survey designed to track changes in health communication and information technology in the United States. The questions asked in HINTS allowed us to examine perceived patient-provider communication quality and health information seeking on YouTube which is the most commonly used social media platform in the United States. Despite its strengths, some limitations should be noted. First, we do not know the reasons why participants were watching health-related videos on YouTube (eg, to learn about a screening test or disease), for whom they were watching (eg, themselves, parent, significant other), or the quality of the videos viewed. Second, the YouTube-related question in HINTS was general and did not provide a specific definition of what constituted a “health-related” video; therefore, we do not know what participants considered as being “health related” (eg, videos
about getting a mammography, weight lifting, mindfulness meditation). HINTS 5, Cycle 2, did not include measures of eHealth literacy or the “ability to seek, find, understand, and appraise health information from electronic sources and apply the knowledge gained to addressing or solving a health problem” [76]. This may have affected how and why people sought out health information on YouTube.

Directions for Future Research

This study raises several potential questions for future inquiry. First, it should be acknowledged that social media has the potential to support patients in several important ways, including meeting their informational and emotional needs [67]. Social media also has the potential to affect attitudes and beliefs in ways that are counter to the goals of many health care professionals (eg, people avoiding vaccines because of antivaxer messages on social media) [12,33]. A better understanding of why and how patients are using different social media platforms for health-related purposes may shed light on which needs that are not being addressed in the routine clinical encounters and how these needs may be partially supported with population-level approaches to health communication. Second, future work should explore the degree to which health care professionals are helping patients find health information on YouTube and which sources of information they are recommending. Third, future research should explore whether strategies to enhance patient-provider communication and access to interprofessional health care teams (eg, doctors, nurses, pharmacists, health coaches, consumer health librarians) affects the likelihood that patients will watch health-related videos on YouTube as team-based care theoretically provides multiple “touch points” and, thus, more opportunities for quality patient-provider communication. Fourth, as patients navigate the vast sea of health information on YouTube, further investigation is needed to understand the process by which patients determine whether health information is useful and credible.

Fifth, the notion of misinformation on social media has garnered a lot of attention in recent years [9,11,32]; however, it is not clear what exactly constitutes misinformation and who gets to determine whether something is misinformative. This raises other questions for health communicators regarding what the “gold standard” of health information should be when equipoise exists or there is disagreement between professional societies about guidelines. Relatedly, different studies have used different approaches to assess the quality of health information. Future work should aim to develop more standardized approaches to assessing and labeling content as misinformative. Sixth, further studies are needed on the impact of illness beliefs and representation on patient-provider communication and subsequent information seeking on YouTube [77-79]. It is possible that people who are watching health-related videos on YouTube are doing so because they (1) want to confirm the information given by their physicians, (2) simply want more information to better understand their health condition, or (3) disagree with the treatment plan recommended by their doctor and are exploring other options and knowledge sources that support their beliefs. The latter option supports the notion of “confirmation bias” or the concept that people seek out information that supports their preexisting beliefs [80,81]. Finally, further evaluation of which theory (or combination of theories and constructs) best explains the relationship between patient-provider communication and watching health-related videos on YouTube is needed. Notably, several concepts from various disciplines are relevant, including technology acceptance, eHealth literacy, information processing, social media self-efficacy, interpersonal communication, mass communication, and message framing.

Conclusions

Lower perceived quality of patient-provider communication is associated with higher odds of watching health-related videos on YouTube. This study could have implications for health professionals (eg, try to give their patients enough time to ask all their questions) and for researchers seeking to use social media for health promotions to understand their potential audience. As social media grows in popularity, more research is needed on the relationship between the impact of patient-provider communication and health-related information seeking on YouTube. In particular, which features of health-related videos are most likely to engage consumers also needs to be examined so that health communication professionals can design population-level health communication interventions that are credible and evidence-based, yet still appealing to the audiences they are trying to reach.
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Abstract

Background: Brief intervention is a critical method for identifying patients with problematic substance use in primary care settings and for motivating them to consider treatment options. However, despite considerable evidence of delay discounting in patients with substance use disorders, most brief advice by physicians focuses on the long-term negative medical consequences, which may not be the best way to motivate patients to seek treatment information.

Objective: Identification of the specific symptoms that most motivate individuals to seek treatment information may offer insights for further improving brief interventions. To this end, we used anonymized internet search engine data to investigate which medical conditions and symptoms preceded searches for 12-step meeting locators and general 12-step information.

Methods: We extracted all queries made by people in the United States on the Bing search engine from November 2016 to July 2017. These queries were filtered for those who mentioned seeking Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) or Narcotics Anonymous (NA); in addition, queries that contained a medical symptom or condition or a synonym thereof were analyzed. We identified medical symptoms and conditions that predicted searches for seeking treatment at different time lags. Specifically, symptom queries were first determined to be significantly predictive of subsequent 12-step queries if the probability of querying a medical symptom by those who later sought information about the 12-step program exceeded the probability of that same query being made by a comparison group of all other Bing users in the United States. Second, we examined symptom queries preceding queries on the 12-step program at time lags of 0-7 days, 7-14 days, and 14-30 days, where the probability of asking about a medical symptom was greater in the 30-day time window preceding 12-step program information-seeking as compared to all previous times that the symptom was queried.

Results: In our sample of 11,784 persons, we found 10 medical symptoms that predicted AA information seeking and 9 symptoms that predicted NA information seeking. Of these symptoms, a substantial number could be categorized as nonsevere in nature. Moreover, when medical symptom persistence was examined across a 1-month time period, a substantial number of nonsevere, yet persistent, symptoms were identified.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that many common or nonsevere medical symptoms and conditions motivate subsequent interest in AA and NA programs. In addition to highlighting severe long-term consequences, brief interventions could be restructured to highlight how increasing substance misuse can worsen discomfort from common medical symptoms in the short term, as well as how these worsening symptoms could exacerbate social embarrassment or decrease physical attractiveness.
alcohol use disorder; substance use disorder; 12-step programs; brief intervention; brief physician advice; anonymized internet search log data

Introduction

Background

Alcohol use disorder (AUD) exerts a heavy burden on psychiatric and medical facilities, which has led to early identification and intervention efforts with problem drinkers who are engaging in regular risky drinking patterns but do not yet have physical dependence on alcohol or an immediate medical need for abstinence. For many problem drinkers, the first point of contact often occurs at routine office visits to primary care physicians, where roughly 10%-20% of primary care patients are found to be drinking alcohol at hazardous levels [1-3]. Risky drinking patterns (such as binge or regular heavy drinking) have been linked with numerous adverse medical consequences [4-9] including numerous cancers [5], heart disease and stroke [10-13], pneumonia [14], tuberculosis [15], epilepsy [16], diabetes [17], pancreatitis [18-21], and liver disease [22]. Although the abovementioned conditions are among the more severe long-term medical consequences of alcohol, heavy alcohol consumption has been implicated in the onset or exacerbation of over 100 diseases and conditions [23]. Since primary care office visits can often be prompted by the need to address medical symptoms partially or can fully arise from heavy drinking patterns, such visits offer an opportunity to motivate problem drinkers toward alcohol-reduction treatment options.

To help reduce drinking among their patients, from hazardous levels down to low-risk levels, physicians have made efforts to integrate minimal treatment protocols into their routine care (via face-to-face or electronic delivery), known as brief intervention (BI) [24,25]. The largest venue for BI, which follows after The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration’s largest-of-its-kind federally funded Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) initiative, is primary care clinics and emergency rooms. SBIRT has been a vital source of early identification of alcohol and drug use disorders, which has so far screened and offered BI or treatment referral in 29 US states [26]. SBIRT programs have demonstrated effectiveness with drug and alcohol reductions at 6 months postintervention [27], along with clinically and statistically significant improvements in physical and mental health as well as social, legal, housing, and employment outcomes [28]. In addition to expanding the scale of the existing SBIRT program, identifying ways to further maximize BI’s effectiveness is also of critical importance to SBIRT.

One largely unexplored avenue for enhancing BI’s personalized feedback for more severe cases is to evaluate which negative medical consequences most contribute to information seeking for abstinence-based treatment. Twelve-step programs remain the most utilized form of substance use disorder (SUD) treatment [29,30]. Specifically, BI’s health risk information could be improved by understanding which of the >100 alcohol-implicated diseases and conditions are most likely to motivate severe cases to consider 12-step treatment options. Many potential improvements may be possible regarding this health risk information.

In particular, many of the medical consequences emphasized in BI occur over the long term (eg, cancer). However, meta-analytic evidence has shown that individuals who engage in addictive behaviors make more impulsive decisions, have greater difficulty delaying gratification for greater rewards, and tend to discount delayed rewards or other reinforcers more quickly than healthy controls [31,32]. Thus, the actual and perceived speed of reward or reinforcer delivery is particularly critical to motivation in patients with AUD, and as a result, they may be more motivated by less chronic but more immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing symptoms such as gastrointestinal distress. Thus, BI’s health risk information could potentially be improved by focusing on an increased likelihood of experiencing specific sets of immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing symptoms rather than a risk of diagnosis with various medical diseases, some of which occur in the long term (eg, cancer). Reorganizing BI’s health-risk information around the medical symptoms that can be empirically shown to motivate treatment seeking holds the potential to increase BI’s efficacy for the more severe cases often seen in primary care settings.

Prior Work

Analysis of large-scale anonymized Web-search log data offers a promising new method for identifying medical symptoms that are most predictive of subsequent seeking of 12-step treatments. Recent analyses of subtle and temporal changes in medical symptom Web-search queries have been able to predict later medical events, including influenza [33], pancreatic and breast cancers [33,34], and adverse side effects of medications [35]. Such analyses can be readily adapted from the prediction of dichotomous health events (eg, search log evidence of having cancer versus not having cancer) to the prediction of dichotomous behavioral health care choices (eg, search log evidence of interest in 12-step treatment versus no such treatment). Although many researchers investigating online patterns in psychiatric disorders and SUD have opted to examine social media activity rather than search engine queries [36-48], Web-search log data analysis is particularly well suited to the study of alcohol-related medical symptoms. Past work has shown that medical health information seeking most often takes place using search engines, especially when medical symptoms may be perceived as potentially connected to stigmatized conditions (eg, symptoms perceived to be the possible negative medical consequences of addiction) [49]. It is most likely due to the associated stigma that regional variation in the interest in the topics of alcohol and Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) on Facebook show inconsistent patterns with respect to regional variation in the rates of alcohol abuse [50]. Due to its
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anonymous nature, aggregate and anonymous Web-search volume from Google Trends has also been used to study the link between macroeconomic conditions and problem drinking and has shown that a 5% rise in unemployment is followed by an approximate 15% increase in alcoholism-related searches in the next 12 months [51]. In addition, using data from Google Trends, other researchers have assessed the relationship between different legal statuses of marijuana and the level of search interests for “dabbing,” the vaping of high-potency marijuana concentrates [52].

At the individual level, anonymized Web-search log data offer an ecologically valid and representative sampling of individuals who are likely to show up at primary care clinics and disclose their alcohol-related medical symptoms to a physician when prompted. A recent systematic review found that individuals experiencing acute or conspicuous medical symptoms searched for online health information prior to seeking real-world medical care. These individuals were also subsequently more persuaded to see a physician than those who did not do a Web search, endorsing less embarrassment and concern about bothering their physician with a trivial complaint [53]. Search log data analysis is also well suited to reorganizing BI’s brief advice by a physician, regarding the medical consequences of alcohol misuse, as the same type of analysis has already helped inform how online cancer information may be reorganized to best address the distinct needs of patients and their caregivers when patients are at different levels of disease progression [54]. Taken together, past work suggests that Web-search log data analysis is the best-suited technique to provide unique insights into which negative medical consequences of alcohol misuse are most persuasive for motivating interest in the 12-step treatment.

Goal of the Study

The present study used anonymous internet search log data to better understand how seeking medical symptom information contributed to seeking AA and narcotics anonymous (NA) treatment information, including searches for treatment locators. We examined anonymous Microsoft Bing search data to identify individuals that graduated from using Bing search to investigate their medical complaints (which may be potentially alcohol related) to using Bing search to seeking AA or NA information or finding AA or NA meetings. We then examined which of these medical symptom searches most increased the likelihood of conducting either of these two types of Bing searches. Such data can help inform BI-personalized feedback to enhance acceptance of SBIRT’s treatment referrals for patients spanning the broader range of alcohol and drug use severity levels often seen in primary care physicians’ offices.

Of note, we chose to include NA-related queries in our primarily AUD-focused study since alcohol and drug use disorders are highly comorbid, AA meetings are far more ubiquitous than NA meetings, people with drug use disorders are not typically turned away from AA meetings after revealing their drug of choice is not alcohol, these two types of 12-step programs share many characteristics, and both meeting types can often have mixed attendance [55]. Given such comorbidity and cross-attendance, our online search data analysis was unable to reasonably estimate users’ diagnostic groups, and we instead opted to separately analyze all the various combinations and permutations of AA- and NA-related queries to gauge the convergence/divergence of symptom profiles that preceded them.

Hypotheses

We proposed the following hypotheses:

1. The medical symptom queries motivating subsequent seeking of information on 12-step programs would be nonsevere but immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing.
2. Symptoms or conditions considered severe or medically dangerous would not require persistence over time to induce queries for the 12-step programs, with individuals’ taking near-immediate action to seek out information on the 12-step programs soon after experiencing these symptoms.
3. Symptoms or conditions considered common or nonsevere would require persistence over time to induce queries about the 12-step programs, with individuals only taking action to seek out information on the 12-step programs after a period of enduring discomfort from these symptoms.

Methods

Data

We extracted all queries made by people in the United Stated on the Bing search engine from November 2016 to July 2017 (inclusive). Each query contained an anonymous user-identifier tracked via a browser cookie, the time and date of the query, the text of the query, and the Web address of pages clicked by users in response to the answers shown to them. Although Bing’s US market share is currently estimated at ~24% [56], past work has found it to be representative of the US population [57,58] and has shown that Bing is a viable data source even in the absence of other search engines. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Technion, Israel Institute of Technology.

Analysis

Identifying Queries of People Seeking Information on Alcoholics Anonymous and Narcotics Anonymous

We then found all queries made by 50 or more people, which resulted in a click to one or more of the 193 pages. There were a total of 100 such queries (eg, “alcoholic anonymous meeting directory”).

Identifying the Target Population

Based on the queries identified, we defined two target groups (ie, two 12-step information-seeking samples). The first larger overall sample comprised all 11,784 people who made an AA- or NA-seeking query during July 2017 and who did not make such queries in any of the preceding months (November 2016 to June 2017). The second sample, a subset of the first, included only those people who specifically queried for AA or NA meeting locators by seeking queries that also contained the term “meeting.” A total of 3820 people were included in this subsample.
Our overall sample consisted of a total of 10,522 people who sought AA information, 1022 people who sought NA information, and 240 people who sought both AA and NA information. Our subsample (whose first 12-step–related search was for AA or NA meeting information) consisted of 2324 people who sought information specifically on AA meetings, 501 people who sought information specifically on NA meetings, and 115 people who sought information on both types of meetings.

Of note, we did not require a medical symptom query to have been conducted in order for the query to be included in our comparison group. In other words, our overall sample also included people who searched for information on the alcohol or drug 12-step program without ever having queried a medical complaint.

**Identifying Symptoms Correlated With Seeking Treatment Information**

Queries were deemed to contain medical symptoms if their text included a symptom or a synonym thereof, as provided in the list of 195 symptoms and their synonyms in the study by Yom-Tov and Gabrilovich [35]. Colloquial symptoms were mapped to their medical symptom wording, which is why medical terminology descriptors appear in our data (eg, a search query for “sweating” would be mapped onto the more medical descriptor “diaphoresis”). This list included medical symptoms that were indicative of both medical and psychological distress (eg, fever and cough as well as anxiety and depression). The list also included both symptoms that would be immediately discomforting as well as distant or long-term diagnoses (eg, gastrointestinal distress versus cancer).

We compared the symptoms prior to seeking information on the 12-step programs in two ways: First, we compared the entire population of Bing users in the United States. Second, we performed a within-group comparison, taking into account the temporal sequence of searches. When examining these temporal sequences, we categorized “symptoms with persistence in time” as symptoms that were repeatedly queried across any two of our three measurement points (measurement points at 0-7, 7-14, and 14-30 days prior to a 12-step–related search); symptoms were defined as persistent when they were queried during both the 0-7 day and 14-30 day time lags, during both the 7-14 day and 14-30 day time lags, or during both the 0-7 day and 14-30 day time lags. A full schematic of procedures for data collection and filtering as well as the between-group comparison and the time-lagged within-group analysis of medical symptom queries for identified users are provided in Figure 1.

For the first analysis, we calculated the probability that users in the treatment population will ask about each symptom in their queries, divided by the same probability for all Bing users in the United States. For the second analysis, we defined a window of time prior to the first query seeking information on the 12-step programs for each person. We then constructed a 2 × 2 table for each symptom (Table 1) and calculated the Chi-square score of this table. We only retained symptoms where the probability of asking about a symptom was greater in the time window than all previous times and where the Chi-square score was statistically significant at \( P < .05 \) with Bonferroni correction \( (P = .05/152 \times 3 = .00011) \). This approach identified cases where there was a statistically significant increase in the queries for a symptom in the days prior to the first query seeking information about treatment.

**Figure 1.** Procedure for data collection, filtering, and analysis with a between-group comparison and time-lagged within-group comparison of medical symptom queries. NA: narcotics anonymous; AA: alcoholics anonymous.
Results

When comparing the data of all the people who queried a medical symptom in the United States, our analysis of 195 medical symptoms revealed that 10 medical symptoms predicted AA information seeking and 9 symptoms predicted NA information seeking. Results also identified 10 medical symptoms that predicted subsequent querying for an AA meeting and one symptom (bloating) that predicted subsequent querying for an NA meeting. Of these symptoms, a substantial number could be categorized as nonsevere in nature (Textbox 1). Moreover, when medical symptom persistence was examined across a 1-month time period, a substantial number of nonsevere, yet persistent, symptoms were identified (Table 2).

We first hypothesized that given the evidence of heightened levels of delayed discounting in SUD populations compared to controls [31,32], more immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing medical symptoms and conditions would be most prevalent for those who subsequently search for information on the 12-step treatment program. This first hypothesis was only partially confirmed. As hypothesized, compared to all other Bing search users in the United States, the probability of a user querying about AA or NA, in general, or the meeting information was significantly increased by earlier queries for nonsevere symptoms and conditions such as bloating, sweating, hives, heartburn, dizziness, bulging eyes, impotence, and back ache. However, several severe symptoms significantly increased the likelihood of subsequent AA or NA queries, although it was unclear if they were primarily medical, psychiatric, or related to substance withdrawal.

We also hypothesized that the symptom queries that were significantly linked to immediate/near-immediate seeking of 12-step information would be severe or medically dangerous; severe symptoms would not require persistence over time to produce treatment motivation. Surprisingly, this second hypothesis was not confirmed. No medical symptom queries were significantly linked to immediate seeking of NA information or concurrent NA and AA information (e.g., co-occurring drug and alcohol addiction treatment). In addition, the symptom queries that were significantly linked to the immediate seeking of AA information primarily consisted of common and nonsevere medical conditions such as cramp, rash, toothache, and dry mouth (the exceptions were hallucination and phobia, which could also be psychiatric or related to withdrawal).

Last, we hypothesized that the persistent symptom queries that were significantly linked to subsequent seeking of information on the 12-step programs would be common or nonsevere in nature; a common or nonsevere symptom would need to be persistent to sufficiently compel a search for addiction treatment information. This third hypothesis was only partially confirmed, as several common and nonsevere symptoms prompted near-immediate search for AA (but not for NA or for NA and AA) information. However, as hypothesized, most persistent and near-persistent symptom queries were about common or nonsevere symptoms (ie, blister, itch, swelling, tinnitus, dizziness, heartburn, and diarrhea for AA and cough, cramp, constipation, fever, and back pain for NA).

Notably, some symptoms were vague regarding severity. Specifically, regarding blurred vision for AA, pain and weight loss for NA, and pain for NA and AA, the extent of pain and weight loss were not specified and blurred vision could potentially range from mild (yet persistent) to severely impairing vision loss. Queries about the vague symptom of “deformity” was also nearly persistent for AA information seekers, which may reflect concerns about appearance with increasing alcohol misuse but may also reflect concerns about pregnancy and alcohol-related birth defects.

In contrast, symptoms such as hallucination, akathisia, amnesia, blindness, and cataplexy (ie, the brief loss of voluntary muscle movement) can more clearly be categorized as severe, although in the absence of comprehensive psychiatric interviews, it was not possible to discern whether these symptoms reflected a primary medical condition/psychiatric disorder or were secondary to substance intoxication, substance withdrawal, or the adverse effects of psychiatric medications (see Limitations).

Textbox 1. Symptom queries preceding the first search for information on the 12-step programs. Medical symptoms and conditions ranked from the highest to lowest according to the ratio of the probability that the symptom will be queried by those who later search for information on the 12-step programs, compared to the rest of all United States Bing users. A Chi-square test found no significant differences between medical symptom queries compared to the rest of all United States Bing users. A Chi-square test found no significant differences between medical symptom queries.
that preceded searches for information on the 12-step programs versus meeting-specific searches for the 12-step programs. For symptoms appearing in both general and meeting-only categories, the differences in the number of searches is statistically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General or meeting information</th>
<th>Queries for alcoholics anonymous information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exophthalmos (bulging eyes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrosis (heartburn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hallucination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impotence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Back ache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urticaria (hives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Akathisia (inner restlessness, inability to stay still)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diaphoresis (heavy sweating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agoraphobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cataplexy (brief loss of voluntary muscle movement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Queries for narcotics anonymous information: |
| Pyrosis (heartburn) |
| Hallucination       |
| Impotence           |
| Urticaria (hives)   |
| Agoraphobia         |
| Bloating            |
| Blindness           |
| Amnesia             |
| Dizziness           |

| Meeting information only |
| Queries for alcoholics anonymous information: |
| Exophthalmos (bulging eyes) |
| Pyrosis (heartburn)         |
| Hallucination               |
| Impotence                   |
| Back ache                   |
| Akathisia (inner restlessness, inability to stay still) |
| Urticaria (hives)           |
| Diaphoresis (heavy sweating) |
| Agoraphobia                 |
| Amnesia                     |

| Queries for narcotics anonymous information: |
| Bloating |
Table 2. Medical symptom search increasing in a statistically significant manner over the 30 days preceding seeking of information on the 12-step programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom persistence</th>
<th>Category of the 12-step treatment information sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persistent (manifesting at all three time points)</td>
<td>Alcoholics anonymous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Anxiety(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Blister(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Blurred vision(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Itch(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Swelling(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tinnitus (ears ringing)(^a,b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near persistent (manifesting at two of the three time points)</td>
<td>Alcoholics anonymous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dizzy(^a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Deformity(^a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pyrosis (heartburn)(^a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Paresthesia (skin crawling)(^a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diarrhea(^b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Malaise(^b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Phobia(^b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tired(^b,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Depression(^a,c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately before 12-step information seeking (manifesting at the last time point only)</td>
<td>Alcoholics anonymous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cramp(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hallucination(^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Phobia(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rash(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Toothache(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Xerostomia (dry mouth)(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal to 12-step information seeking (manifesting at the first time point only)</td>
<td>Alcoholics anonymous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dysphagia (trouble swallowing)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Paranoia(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perspiration(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diarrhea(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Symptom search occurred on days 0-7 in the 30-day lead up to a 12-step program information query on day 30.

\(^b\)Symptom search occurred on days 7-14 in the 30-day lead up to a 12-step program information query on day 30.

\(^c\)Symptom search occurred on days 14-30 in the 30-day lead up to a 12-step program information query on day 30.

\(^d\)None.

Discussion

Principal Results

The present study used anonymous Web-search log data analysis to examine which medical symptom queries best motivated subsequent searches for the general and meeting information on the 12-step programs. Our results suggest that queries about more severe or dangerous medical consequences as well as more nonsevere or common symptoms were important in motivating individuals toward subsequently seeking information on the 12-step programs.

Our findings support past work demonstrating the high prevalence of co-occurring psychiatric disorders in addiction [60,61] and the current knowledge base supporting the efficacy of physician advice about severe long-term medical consequences in motivating individuals towards addiction treatment options [62].

These results underscore an important point: Our results do not support the notion that more severe, long-term medical consequences of drugs and alcohol should, in any way, be ignored during brief advice by a physician, but rather, that adding on to current BI practices with additional emphasis on common nonsevere symptoms may be additionally beneficial.

Potential Underlying Mechanisms

Our findings raise questions about the possible underlying mechanisms that might make common or nonsevere medical symptoms persuasive for people to seek information on 12-step programs. One possible underlying mechanism is that more common symptoms may better allow individuals to envision the negative medical consequences of AUDs and SUDs compared to more chronic or dangerous symptoms. In other words, since a symptom like heartburn is common, it may be easy to identify with the experience of the symptom, notice it worsening with increasing substance use, and envision it becoming even more persistent or chronic. In contrast, it may
be difficult for an individual to envision obtaining a cancer diagnosis or its likelihood may be discounted as too distant for concern; moreover, for those who can envision a cancer diagnosis, such envisioning may be so frightening that it fosters denial rather than motivation. Emphasizing the potential for worsening frequency and discomfort of otherwise common symptoms (e.g., heartbeat, dizziness, hives, and back ache) in addition to severe medical consequences could help further motivate individuals to accept treatment after being newly identified in primary care settings as having an AUD or SUD.

A second possible mechanism underlying our findings may be related to the social embarrassment associated with certain medical symptoms. For example, symptoms such as bloating, bulging eyes, and impotence may impact physical attractiveness. Social conformity has been shown to be an important motive for alcohol and drug use [63], with alcohol, in particular, being considered a social lubricant [64]. Adding to BI’s current practices, highlighting how the medical consequences of substance use can be socially embarrassing may also help motivate patients newly diagnosed with AUD or SUD to consider treatment options including 12-step programs. Although discussion of the social repercussions of drinking alcohol is already a component of the brief motivational interviewing that is sometimes incorporated into BI, our results suggest it may provide additional motivation to discuss how medical and nonmedical consequences can interact to intensify social embarrassment in patients with newly identified AUD or SUD.

In sum, our results suggest that many common or nonsevere medical symptoms and conditions motivate subsequent engagement with 12-step programs. As a result, BI could be restructured in multiple ways to maximize patients’ motivation to engage in treatment, including accompanying current BI practices and highlighting the common medical symptoms that could immediately worsen with increasing substance misuse and how some of those worsening symptoms could exacerbate social embarrassment. Such findings may help inform SBIRT efforts to screen individuals who present with medical complaints for AUD, provide brief interventions that motivate individuals toward considering treatment options, and provide referrals to AUD treatments. Specifically, our findings suggest that it may be beneficial to modify SBIRT’s BIs to add focus on the contribution of alcohol to immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing medical symptoms.

Limitations

A primary limitation of the present study was the anonymous nature of Web-search log data, which did not allow us to collect information on multiple demographic factors including age, sex, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. Another primary limitation was our inability to follow-up on querying behavior to gauge whether search queries translated into real-world action. For example, although someone in the Prochaska and DiClemente [65] precontemplation stage of change would be very unlikely to run a search query for “AA information” (and even more unlikely to query for “AA meeting near me”), we cannot be certain whether search queries denote a contemplation, preparation, or action stage of change. In other words, we were unable to determine if searching for “AA meeting near me” was a query soon followed by AA meeting attendance or whether it was only gathering information for those contemplating or preparing to make a change but not yet ready to take action.

We also noted that in a minority of cases, people may be searching for others and multiple people may be using the same browser, thus conflating multiple people to the same user identifier. However, although this unlikely possibility cannot be ruled out entirely, it is estimated based on past work [66] that these searches are limited to only a small fraction of the data. For instance, although the keyword “alanon” was included, which typically reflects family members searching for loved ones, we found that only 1.4% of queries we studied contained this keyword.

Our study also lacked comprehensive psychiatric interviews, and thus, it was not possible to discern if certain symptoms were suggestive of co-occurring psychiatric conditions, the adverse side effects of psychiatric medications, substance-withdrawal symptoms, severe medical consequences of substance misuse, the use of multiple substances, physical comorbidities related to the searched-for symptoms, or the social consequences of excessive drinking. For instance, symptoms like hallucination and akathisia could reflect substance withdrawal (i.e., withdrawal-related hallucinations and severe agitation) or a co-occurring psychotic disorder alongside the adverse effects of the antipsychotic medication used to treat it. Similarly, phobia queries may signify a co-occurring anxiety disorder or withdrawal-related anxiety increases. Further, queries about amnesia may reflect concerns about blackout drinking, withdrawal-related states of delirium, or the severe cognitive repercussions of long-term substance misuse (i.e., memory loss).

Our anonymous online search data also grouped all people with NA queries together, and thus, our data did not allow us to differentiate between subgroups of narcotics being misused. For example, stimulants and sedatives have different and often opposing medical consequences. Thus, we did not have access to data that could have improved our precision in delineating the medical symptoms that preceded NA information queries.

Our data were exclusively derived from Bing searches and did not include data from other search engines such as Google or Yahoo. Future studies are needed to replicate our findings in other search engines as well as at a smaller scale where demographic characteristics can be more comprehensively collected (and statistically compared and contrasted) rather than estimated based on profoundly large usage data.

Our study scope was limited to an investigation of how delay discounting may impact online treatment information seeking, and indeed, there are many barriers to addiction treatment beyond delay discounting that our study did not investigate. This limited scope reflected our need to maintain study focus. Future studies are needed to expand on other potential barriers. Further, the conceptual scope of our study was also limited by the technical limitations of online search data analysis, which relies on an adequately large number of single keywords or short phrases that are well defined and unambiguous in their meaning and ability to be linked together. First, our treatments were limited to twelve step programs without other forms of evidence-based treatment such as counseling or medication. A
prerequisite of studying online search data is that any chosen query sets must be reasonably likely to have sufficient public visibility to gather a large enough number of responses for analysis. As a result, we narrowed our scope to 12-step programs, the most highly utilized form of addiction treatment [67] and therefore the most likely to have the greatest number of search queries. Second, we limited our predictors to adverse medical symptoms without other kinds of addiction-related problems such as social or legal consequences. Online search data analysis is not yet able to adequately capture more nuanced metrics such as social and legal problems without a significant number of false positives. To address this limitation, we opted to solely focus on medical symptoms because of pre-existing mapping of Web-search queries to medical symptoms that in the past work, has successfully limited false positives and avoided off-base random exploration [68]. Although accommodating these technical limitations narrowed our study focus, elucidating how medical symptoms impact seeking information on the 12-step program can offer unique insights critical to SBIRT and other early intervention efforts in primary care settings. Nevertheless, future studies are needed to expand upon the scope of our line of research inquiry to include other forms of treatment and consequences of addiction.

Conclusions
In conclusion, our anonymous search log data analysis indicated that querying about the 12-step program was preceded by the occurrence of a number of nonsevere medical consequences of alcohol and drug misuse. The persistence of these nonsevere symptoms also appeared to play an important role in motivating individuals to query about the 12-step program. These findings can help inform modifications to current SBIRT protocols by concurrently emphasizing the long-term medical consequences of alcohol and drug misuse alongside shorter-term sets of symptoms that are more immediately discomforting and socially embarrassing. Such modified SBIRT protocols may apply B1 to improve the motivation of the ~17% and 22.7% of individuals who screen positively during primary care encounters (using brief screening instrument scores) for risky/problematic alcohol and drug use, respectively [69,27] in order to accept referrals to 12-step programs or other outside addiction-treatment resources.
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Abstract

Background: Studies examining how sentiment on social media varies depending on timing and location appear to produce inconsistent results, making it hard to design systems that use sentiment to detect localized events for public health applications.

Objective: The aim of this study was to measure how common timing and location confounders explain variation in sentiment on Twitter.

Methods: Using a dataset of 16.54 million English-language tweets from 100 cities posted between July 13 and November 30, 2017, we estimated the positive and negative sentiment for each of the cities using a dictionary-based sentiment analysis and constructed models to explain the differences in sentiment using time of day, day of week, weather, city, and interaction type (conversations or broadcasting) as factors and found that all factors were independently associated with sentiment.

Results: In the full multivariable model of positive (Pearson r in test data 0.236; 95% CI 0.231-0.241) and negative (Pearson r in test data 0.306; 95% CI 0.301-0.310) sentiment, the city and time of day explained more of the variance than weather and day of week. Models that account for these confounders produce a different distribution and ranking of important events compared with models that do not account for these confounders.

Conclusions: In public health applications that aim to detect localized events by aggregating sentiment across populations of Twitter users, it is worthwhile accounting for baseline differences before looking for unexpected changes.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12881) doi:10.2196/12881
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Introduction

Background

Data from social media are increasingly being used in the digital phenotyping of individual users and the characterization of population-level behaviors to answer health-related questions [1-7]. Sentiment analysis is a broad class of methods used to detect opinions or mood from text. Although there are a range of approaches used in context-specific situations to detect positive and negative opinions about a topic [8-12], here we restrict the definition to include the general sentiment analysis methods used to detect mood. Sentiment analysis has also been used for applications in public health to evaluate reactions and attitudes to certain current events [13], health interventions such...
as vaccination [14], human mobility [15], and outcomes such as seasonal affective disorder and obesity [16-18].

When using sentiment analysis tools to observe or find signals of changes in the sentiment of a population, researchers must navigate the complicated interactions between the tools they use and the spatiotemporal and social factors that are known to modify mood and emotion. For example, the positive and negative affect measured by sentiment analysis has been shown to be associated with the time of day and day of week [19-21], weather [22-25], and the quality of social interactions [26].

Studies applying sentiment analysis to Twitter data have confirmed the periodicity of positive and negative affect by time of day and day of week [16,25,27-29]. However, the results and conclusions vary from study to study, and these differences may depend on the methods used to aggregate sentiment across sets of tweets or users, differences in the ways the investigators sampled the data, differences in the sentiment analysis algorithms or tools used, or because of challenges associated with validating results against external information. In comparison, studies examining variation in sentiment by geography or weather are relatively rare compared with those that measure temporal variation [30-34]. Studies that report analyses for social interactions on Twitter—tweets that mention, reply to, or quote other users—do not appear to have focused on measuring differences in the sentiment relative to tweets that broadcast a message [35].

**Objectives**

The aim of this study was to construct simple models of positive and negative sentiment using time of day, day of week, interaction type, weather, or city as factors to understand how each of the different modifying factors might distort the results of public health studies that use sentiment analysis to study Twitter data. We then used the model and degenerate versions of the model to measure the magnitude of the differences between expected and observed sentiment over time and show how accounting for spatiotemporal differences affects the ranking of the importance of individual events.

**Methods**

This study was an analysis of tweets posted by Twitter users in 100 cities. To address our aims, we aggregated sentiment scores for each hour in each of the 100 cities and constructed multivariable models to explain differences in the proportion of tweets, expressing positive or negative sentiment using city, interaction type, weather, time of day, and day of week as factors. We selected each of these factors because they have been shown to be associated with sentiment in past research and are relatively easily and accurately inferred from Twitter data.

**Twitter Data**

We used the Twitter streaming application programming interface (API) to collect tweets between July 13 and November 30, 2017, without using any keywords. The retrieved tweets represent an approximate 1% sample of all tweets produced globally. Each tweet contains information about the user including name, location, tweet counts, follower counts, and following counts and the information about the tweet itself such as timestamp and the users it mentions.

Information in the tweet also provides information about whether it was a reply to a previous tweet, a retweet, or includes a link (quotes) to another tweet. We used this information to label each tweet as either broadcast (quotes, retweets, and tweets that do not mention other users) or social (replies and direct mentions of other users in the tweet).

**Location Data**

Identifying the home locations of users on Twitter is a challenging task owing to the low number of posts with precise location information (geotags) and the need to parse user-defined location information using a gazetteer. Fewer than 0.5% tweets are geotagged, and fewer than 50% of Twitter users have provided useful home locations in their profiles [36]. To identify the location of the tweets from where it has been posted, we took the user-defined text from the location field in Twitter user profiles and used Nominatim, a gazetteer that returns a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) object containing structured geographical information and a score associated with the confidence in the answer. Rather than filtering Nominatim results using a threshold on the confidence score, we found that Nominatim produces better results if we filter addresses based on type field of the return JSON object; therefore, we used type field in the returned JSON object to accept the top first address having type as city, county, village, suburb, hamlet, state, or country. This helped us to filter out other types of addresses without needing to use a specific threshold.

Not all Twitter accounts represent individuals; some are brands or organizations where tweets may be posted by humans or bots. Rahimi et al [37] used a simple but effective approach to removing celebrities in a study on location inference, in which they removed tweets from accounts that had more than 300,000 followers. After examining a set of Twitter users on either side of this threshold in our training data, we followed the same approach and removed all users with at least 300,000 followers.

**Timing Data**

Past studies examining temporal patterns in sentiment on social media have found clear patterns [16,20,21,27]. However, those patterns vary substantially from study to study: some observed the most negative sentiment on Mondays and the most positive sentiment on Fridays or Saturdays. Some observed the strongest negative sentiment between 2 am and 5 am, whereas others observed the same between 8 pm and 11 pm.

As Twitter no longer includes a localized timestamp for users in the metadata of tweets, we used the identified location of the users posting the tweets to convert the timestamps of tweets from Universal Time Coordinated to local time. In what follows, all tweets are considered relative to the local time of the city in which the user is believed to be located.

**Weather Data**

Past studies examining weather and sentiment on Twitter have produced variable results, but most observe one or more associations [31-33]. We collected hourly weather data for the top 100 cities using the API from the Open Weather website.
The information provided by the Open Weather website includes detailed weather information, such as temperature and humidity, and weather descriptions. We then mapped weather for each hour in each city to one of 7 values: clear, clouds, fog, haze, rain, snow, or storm.

Sentiment Measures

Sentiment analysis of written text is a widely studied problem in natural language processing [39-41]. In this study, we have considered sentiment in a simple form—the presence of positive or negative affect—and applied SentiStrength [42], a widely used open-source Java library designed for sentiment analysis of tweets. It has been evaluated manually and compared with a range of advanced machine learning and statistical methods in several studies [42-44]. SentiStrength is a dictionary-based method, using a lexicon of words categorized as positive or negative with a score for its polarity and strength. For a given tweet, SentiStrength identifies the presence of sentiment terms from its lexicon and computes the sentiment of the text based upon the scores of the words found. SentiStrength produces 2 scores for each tweet, one indicating positive sentiment (from 1 to 5, least positive to most positive, respectively) and one indicating negative sentiment (from 1 to 5, least negative to most negative, respectively). As SentiStrength uses a score of +1 or −1 for neutral words, we considered scores from 2 to 5 for both positive and negative sentiments. In addition, as SentiStrength identifies positive and negative words independently, it is possible for a tweet to be labeled as having positive, negative, or both positive and negative sentiment.

We aggregated sentiment scores across a set of tweets using the proportion of tweets that have a positive sentiment score (a score from 2 to 5 in positive sentiment) or the proportion of tweets that have a negative sentiment score (a score from 2 to 5 in negative sentiment). Methods for aggregating scores across groups of tweets are important because they can influence the interpretation and lead to different conclusions. To aggregate sentiment scores, researchers have used counts, averages, proportions, ratios, and weighted averages [16,27,28,45-50]. Some have combined positive and negative scores to create a single measure [13,27,28,48,49], whereas others have kept positive and negative scores separate [46,47,51]. Following Scott et al [16], we used positive and negative sentiment scores separately because the positive and negative affect can coexist [52,53] and because when aggregated, a population can exhibit higher levels of both positive and negative sentiment at the same time. Thus, a low positive score indicates the absence of positive emotion across a set of tweets not the presence of negative emotion.

Analysis and Modeling

In the first part of the analysis, we examined how each of the factors—interaction type, time of day, day of week, weather, and city—were associated with differences in the proportions of tweets that expressed positive or negative sentiment in a city in an hour. To do this, we constructed multivariable regression models using each of the factors individually and then in combination. We chose to use multivariable regression models because they are a simple way of capturing the baseline patterns of sentiment, and models built using individual factors and their combinations can be directly compared. For each model, we reported the r-squared value as a percentage, representing the percentage of the variance in sentiment that can be explained by each model.

In our evaluation of the models on unseen data, we then reported the correlation (Pearson r) between the values predicted by the model and the observed data in a set of testing data, distinct from the period of observation used to construct the models. These comparisons tell us how important each of the factors are as independent predictors of the sentiment for a city-hour pair and can provide guidance on which of the factors may be useful to control for when analyzing sentiment to detect changes or anomalies.

In the second part of the analysis, we have used the models constructed in the first part of the analysis as a baseline for detecting deviations from the expected proportions of positive and negative sentiment tweets per city per hour. The objective was to determine whether baseline differences in spatiotemporal and social factors would introduce biases in the detection of extreme deviations in sentiment that occur during major localized news events and if accounting for them in a baseline model could address these biases. To do this, we compared the expected and observed proportions of positive and negative sentiment tweets per city per hour using a chi-square test and then used the resulting P value as an indicator of the magnitude of the deviation.

Rather than defining an explicit threshold to label hour-city pairs as events or nonevents, we used the magnitude of the deviation in sentiment to rank all hour-city pairs in descending order based on the chi-square test. To make it easier to understand the expected frequency of the events, we defined a recurrence interval: the number of days of observation divided by the frequency of an event of that magnitude across the set of all cities in the analyses. For example, given 60 days of observation in the test period, a recurrence interval of 30 days is an event with a test statistic that was exceeded only twice during the 60 days. A recurrence interval of 1 day is an event with a magnitude that was exceeded 60 times in a 60-day period.

To characterize an event by its magnitude, we also needed to account for extreme sentiment that persisted for multiple hours or was expressed across multiple cities within a country. To do this, we merged events that produced significant differences between the observed and predicted number of positive or negative sentiment tweets and labeled them using the highest test statistic in the period. Similarly, we merged cities within a country if significant events occurred at the same time. As a result, hour-city pairs could be merged to produce day-city, day-country, or multi–day-country events depending on how many of the ranked deviations were traversed.

We then compared the events identified from the full model with the events produced by degenerate forms of the full model (eg, excluding city or interaction type as a factor). We used these differences to evaluate how the use of baseline spatiotemporal modeling affected the identification and ranking of extreme sentiment events. The expectation was that the degenerate forms of the models would introduce a bias in the distribution of events toward certain cities or times of day.
Results

On average, we received 3.66 million tweets a day for 141 days, for a total of 507.60 million tweets from 27.61 million unique users. In the dataset, Twitter tagged 29.78% (151.21/507.60 million) as English language. Of these, 65.67% (99.30/151.21 million) had location information available in the users’ profiles.

After removing celebrity/brand accounts, we ranked cities based on the total number of English language tweets posted by users with locations that the gazetteer was able to resolve. We identified the 100 cities with the highest numbers of English language tweets posted during the study period. These included 52 cities in North America (45 from the United States, 6 from Canada, and 1 from Mexico), 11 cities in the United Kingdom, 6 cities from Europe, 16 cities in Asia and Southeast Asia, 9 cities in Africa, 3 cities in Australasia, 2 cities from the Middle East, and 1 city in South America. We were able to resolve 16.61% (16.50/99.30 million) of the English language tweets to one of the 100 cities (Figure 1). We used these tweets as the basis for the study.

Analysis of Spatiotemporal and Social Factors

The training data used to construct the multivariable models comprised 8.39 million tweets from the first 81 days of data collection (July 13 to September 30, 2017). Of these, we found that 39.69% (3.33 million) expressed positive sentiment and 28.13% (2.36 million) expressed negative sentiment. Users across the 100 cities posted more tweets on Monday to Thursday and slightly fewer tweets from Friday to Sunday. The hour in which users were typically most active was between 12 noon and 1 pm (an average of 7652 tweets across the 100 cities), and users were least active between 4 am and 5 am (an average of 1745 tweets across the 100 cities). The number of tweets in each category of weather varied from snow (230 tweets) and storms (189,201 tweets) to cloudy weather (3,247,680 tweets). Relative to the average proportions of positive and negative sentiment, early morning hours exhibited lower proportions for both positive and negative sentiment, with the highest proportions of positive sentiment between 9 pm and 10 pm and highest rates of negative sentiment in the hours between 11 pm and 1 am, with an additional smaller peak between 7 am and 8 am (Figure 2). Fridays exhibited the highest proportion of positive sentiment and the lowest proportion of negative sentiment.

We constructed each model to estimate the proportion of tweets that expressed positive or negative sentiment in a city in an hour and have presented results based on the correlation between the estimated and observed proportions within the training data (Tables 1 and 2).

Figure 1. From 507.6 million tweets, 16.5 million were labelled as English language and attributed to users in 100 cities.
**Figure 2.** Observed proportions of positive and negative sentiment aggregated for all city-hour pairs by hour of the day (top), day of the week (center), and weather type (below). CIs are an indication of the number of city-hour pairs that contributed and the variability in proportion for that value. All values are categorical, so dotted lines are for visual interpretation only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Number of coefficients (number $P &lt; .05$)</th>
<th>r-squared in the training period, %</th>
<th>Pearson r (95% CI) in the testing period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple factor models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All factors</td>
<td>136 (108)</td>
<td>9.345</td>
<td>0.306 (0.301-0.310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social, city, hour, day</td>
<td>130 (107)</td>
<td>9.338</td>
<td>0.306 (0.301-0.310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social, city</td>
<td>101 (80)</td>
<td>8.831</td>
<td>0.297 (0.292-0.302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hour, day</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.070 (0.065-0.075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single factor models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>100 (81)</td>
<td>8.736</td>
<td>0.296 (0.291-0.300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hour of day</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.055 (0.049-0.060)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of week</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.044 (0.039-0.049)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.044 (0.039-0.049)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social proportion</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010 (0.005-0.015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Final model coefficient estimates for models of the proportion of tweets that exhibited positive sentiment in an hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Number of coefficients (number P&lt;.05)</th>
<th>r-squared in the training period, %</th>
<th>Pearson r (95% CI) in the testing period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple factor models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All factors</td>
<td>136 (107)</td>
<td>5.584</td>
<td>0.236 (0.231-0.241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social, city, hour, day</td>
<td>130 (107)</td>
<td>5.580</td>
<td>0.236 (0.231-0.241)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social, city</td>
<td>101 (85)</td>
<td>4.671</td>
<td>0.216 (0.211-0.221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hour, day</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>0.115 (0.110-0.133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single factor models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>100 (90)</td>
<td>3.732</td>
<td>0.193 (0.188-0.198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hour of day</td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>0.113 (0.108-0.118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of week</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.023 (0.018-0.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.041 (0.036-0.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social proportion</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>0.118 (0.113-0.123)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A model combining both temporal factors was significantly correlated with the proportion of tweets expressing negative sentiment (r=0.070; 95% CI 0.065-0.070). The association was stronger with the proportion of tweets expressing positive sentiment (r=0.115; 95% CI 0.110-0.133) and explained 5% of the variance. For both positive and negative sentiment outcomes, adding the day of the week to the hour of the day in the model produced a significant improvement in the model.

Positive and negative sentiment also varied by interaction type, where social tweets (tweets that mention or reply to another user) were much more likely to be expressions of positive sentiment relative to nonsocial tweets (tweets that do not mention or reply to another user). In hours where higher proportions of tweets were social interactions, the proportion of tweets that expressed positive sentiment were higher (r=0.118; 95% CI 0.113-0.123) and the proportion of tweets that expressed negative sentiment were lower (r=0.010; 95% CI 0.005-0.015) but this was a much weaker association. Adding the proportion of tweets that were social interactions as a factor in multivariable models made a significant improvement to the performance of the model in all cases.

The median number of tweets per city during the testing period was 48,974 and the number varied from 24,825 (Istanbul, Turkey) to 856,471 (New York City, United States). The numbers of tweets generally matched with the populations of the cities (Figure 3) and was lower for countries where languages other than English are used. Cities in the United States tended to have higher proportions of negative sentiment tweets and lower proportions of positive sentiment tweets (Figure 4). Models using only city information exhibited the strongest correlation with the proportion of positive and negative sentiment tweets in an hour compared with all other factors, explaining 8.73% of the variance in negative sentiment (r=0.296; 95% CI 0.291-0.300) and 3.70% of the variance in positive sentiment (r=0.193; 95% CI 0.188-0.198).

Weather exhibited weak associations with the proportions of tweets expressing positive (r=0.041; 95% CI 0.036-0.046) or negative sentiment (r=0.044; 95% CI 0.039-0.049). Its addition to the multivariable model including all other factors significantly improved the performance. However, as the coefficients for weather were orders of magnitude smaller than other factors such as city and social proportion, weather did not appear to be a useful addition to the baseline models used in the detection of variation in sentiment caused by exogenous factors.
Figure 3. The number of tweets identified per city relative to the population of the city. Population data were manually collected from Wikipedia in December 2017, using the most recent metropolitan values available. Cities in the United States are highlighted in red and cities are partially labelled.
Detecting Deviations in City-Level Expression of Positive or Negative Sentiment

We then used the models constructed above to predict the expected sentiment in city-hour pairs constructed from a separate set of 8.02 million tweets from the following 60 days (October 1 to November 30, 2017). We found similar proportions of tweets expressing positive sentiment (3.20/8.02 million, 39.90%) or negative sentiment (2.28/8.02, 28.43%) as we found in the training data. For every hour-city pair, we determined the magnitude of localized deviations by measuring the difference between the expected and observed proportions of positive and negative sentiment tweets.

Using the full model to identify unexpected deviations in the proportion of positive or negative sentiment tweets in the test period, we ranked events based on the magnitude of the deviation (Figure 5). As the number of events that might be considered important may vary depending on application, we have used the rank set of all city-hour pairs and traverse the list from the most extreme deviations to the least extreme deviations.

The top examples of localized deviations are listed in Table 3. We aggregated hour-city pairs across contiguous hours and cities wherever possible by reporting the most extreme deviation and merging any subsequent (less extreme) deviation that was on the same day (eg, extreme deviations in sentiment in the same direction on the same day in the same city are merged and reported as a day event) or cities in the same country (eg, 10
am in New York City and 10 am in Los Angeles is reported as 10 am in the United States). This was also extended to merge over both dimensions to report events by country and day. Where contiguous days reported events in the same direction, these events were merged as multi-day events.

After accounting for city-level differences in baseline proportions of positive and negative sentiment tweets, we found that the highest ranked events were distributed across 7 countries and could be retrospectively matched with major news stories that were specific to each of the cities. Using the degenerate models that do not account for city-level baseline differences, the United States accounted for a lower proportion of extreme positive events (Figure 6). This occurs because cities in the United States tend to exhibit higher rates of negative sentiment and lower rates of positive sentiment than cities in other countries. Models that do not take this baseline difference into account may overestimate the number of important negative events in the United States (which also has the effect of making violence in Barcelona or Nairobi seem less important) or underestimate the number of positive events in the United States (shifting down positive sentiment events such as Thanksgiving Day parade in New York City, New York or the World Series win in Houston, Texas).

Figure 5. The set of all city-hour pairs for negative sentiment (left) and positive sentiment (right), ordered by decreasing the chi-square test statistic value. Note that there are thousands of city-hour pairs for which the test produces a P value under .05 (red). The recurrence interval for each city-hour pair is given by the value on the horizontal axis divided by the observation period in days (60 days).
### Table 3. Examples of extreme city-level events with large deviations detected in sentiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time and location</th>
<th>Percentage of negative sentiment tweets (% expected)</th>
<th>Percentage of positive sentiment tweets (% expected)</th>
<th>Recurrence interval (global; days)</th>
<th>Corresponding news event in the period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2, 2017 in the United States</td>
<td>49.56 (28.70)</td>
<td>31.30 (38.14)</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>Coverage following Las Vegas shooting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 25-27 in Manila</td>
<td>12.13 (22.91)</td>
<td>73.20 (45.67)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Miss Universe pageant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1-2, 2017 in Las Vegas</td>
<td>61.51 (30.72)</td>
<td>48.32 (40.52)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Shooting terror event at a music festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2017 in Barcelona</td>
<td>60.89 (23.78)</td>
<td>14.67 (39.56)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Voting for Catalonian independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 16, 2017 in Barcelona</td>
<td>67.41 (23.78)</td>
<td>17.8 (39.67)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Catalonian independence events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2, 2017 in Houston</td>
<td>14.41 (31.61)</td>
<td>56.60 (38.20)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Houston Astros win world series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 23, 2017 in New York City</td>
<td>20.40 (29.01)</td>
<td>50.51 (37.40)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Thanksgiving Day parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 19, 2017 in Dubai</td>
<td>8.11 (25.01)</td>
<td>92.13 (39.02)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diwali festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27, 2017 in Nairobi</td>
<td>48.50 (26.52)</td>
<td>22.13 (37.32)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Riots following election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 27, 2017 in Seoul</td>
<td>8.02 (21.01)</td>
<td>71.67 (43.30)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 North Korean embarrassments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 24, 2017 in London</td>
<td>35.50 (26.51)</td>
<td>47.12 (37.89)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>False terror scare in Oxford Circus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Figure 6. Most positive events for the 3 models aggregated where possible over hours, days, and cities. Note that compared with the full model (left), events from the United States tend to be moderated by the baseline tendency away from positive sentiment in the model without cities as factors (centre), and the null model (right).

From among the examples listed in Table 3, the visualization of the extreme events illustrates different types of deviations from the baseline (Figure 7). In each example, the expected baseline is the expected proportion of positive sentiment and negative sentiment tweets in an hour multiplied by the number of tweets from that city. Unexpected deviations occur when the observed number of positive or negative sentiment tweets is much higher or much lower than the baseline (in Figure 7, colored in red or blue). There were visible differences in the patterns indicating events that occur over a period of time (e.g., riots after an election in Nairobi and a day of attempted voting in Barcelona) and events that occur within 1 or several hours (Houston Astros winning a baseball final). Other events not pictured include the outpouring of grief across multiple cities in the United States after a mass shooting, which decay more slowly over a period of days.
Figure 7. Examples of individual cities (New York City, Barcelona, Houston, and Nairobi) by the expected (gray areas) and observed (black and colored lines) sentiment. The color of the line indicates the magnitude of the deviation (darker red: more negative or fewer positive tweets; darker blue: more positive or fewer negative tweets).

Discussion

When applying sentiment analysis tools to Twitter data to characterize a population over time, it is useful to account for baseline spatiotemporal differences before attempting to detect deviations in mood. The first contribution of this study was to show that hour of day, day of week, the proportion of social tweets, the locations of the users posting the tweets, and the weather are each independently correlated with both positive and negative sentiment. Second, although these factors together account for less than 10% of the variance in positive and negative sentiment, ignoring them can affect the detection of unexpected deviations. Finally, we confirmed that in studies aggregating across populations (ecological designs), positive and negative sentiment can rise and fall independently and aggregating them into a single measure may mean losing important information that helps characterize the mood of a population.

Comparisons With Past Literature and Implications

A range of studies have applied sentiment analysis tools to social media data to examine changes in mood or emotion in relation to current events, weather and season, or circadian and daily
rhythms. Our results extend these analyses to demonstrate the relative importance of each of these factors.

We found that the time of day and day of week were more closely correlated with positive sentiment than with negative sentiment. For positive sentiment, models built using these temporal factors typically explain less of the variance than models that used social interactions and cities as factors. Previous studies investigating hourly and daily patterns of sentiment on Twitter vary in structure from cohort designs, where individual users are followed [16,54], to ecological designs where signals from a population are aggregated [27,29,55]. The results of these studies and the conclusions they draw appear to be related to design choices including the tools used to measure sentiment and the methods used to aggregate measures of sentiment across populations.

The results of the study are consistent with previous studies that have found associations between weather and sentiment on Twitter [31-33]. Despite the observed independent correlations between weather and sentiment, weather explained little of the variance in positive or negative sentiment. These results should not be confused with seasonal variation in weather or sunlight; our results did not extend across a full range of seasons, and other studies have examined the use of Twitter data for its potential to observe seasonal affective disorder [16,17]. Mitchell et al [30] examined the geography of happiness in 373 cities in the United States using Twitter data and found that happiness was correlated with socioeconomic status and health-related census data, among other factors. We found that negative sentiment was more common and positive sentiment less common in tweets from many cities in the United States and suggest that future research in the area would benefit from studying international differences in sentiment associated with culture and patterns of living and working that might influence the expression of sentiment on social media.

Tweets that involve social interactions on Twitter (typically replies and mentions) are common in applications of network science. Our results show a strong positive correlation between the proportion of social interactions in a city in an hour and positive sentiment and a weak correlation with negative sentiment. Future applications that couple network analysis with sentiment tools may benefit from recognizing and potentially accounting for the differences between tweets that are social in nature, relative to those that are broadcasting information.

Twitter and other social media platforms offer the opportunity to undertake naturalistic studies of human behaviors at unprecedented scales [56-59]. However, studies in the area are at risk of producing incomparable results and inconsistent conclusions if sampling methods vary in ways that skew toward certain locations or certain times of the day or week. Practitioners in the area are already aware of the risks of selecting only geotagged tweets [60], but the spatiotemporal differences we highlight here are typically not discussed or accounted for in applications that use Twitter data to answer public health questions.

Limitations and Future Work

The study has several limitations. First, Twitter users represent a biased sample of countries and a biased sample of the population within countries [60-64], and we did not infer the demographics nor apply any reweighting methods to adjust for differences between the users posting English language tweets and the demographics of the cities we examined. Furthermore, users who include enough biographical information to be located within a city may represent a biased subset of the overall Twitter population, and we did not use location inference methods that take advantage of location-indicative words or social network structure [65-68] because these could introduce further sampling biases (eg, the overlapping of words in the dictionary and those that are useful in predicting a location). For these reasons, the study only captures deviations that might be expected to be important to population-level (epidemiological) studies.

Second, we used SentiStrength as a measure of sentiment and did not consider alternatives, sentiment in languages other than English, or ensembles combining multiple tools [69-72]. We think our use of SentiStrength is justified because it is a commonly used tool in studies in public health and has been examined for sentence-level sentiment and on individual tweets previously [42,44,45]. Although we did not test multiple sentiment detection methods to confirm, we expect that the need to account for baseline spatiotemporal differences is likely to be useful across all other sentiment detection approaches.

Third, certain events are less localized and affect multiple cities or even multiple countries and others may extend across many hours, days, or weeks. Methods for dealing with the spatiotemporal granularity of these events would be a useful addition to the sets of methods used in analyses of sentiment (or other measures that can be observed in social media datasets). Real-time event detection on Twitter is an active area of research [73,74], and our aim was not to add to this literature. Rather, we sought to develop a way to improve the robustness of observational studies that use sentiment analysis of Twitter to make sense of how populations react to real-world events.

Finally, we selected a set of factors that were known to be associated with sentiment on Twitter and used a relatively simple approach to modeling their associations. Other user-level factors and more sophisticated models may improve our ability to account for baseline differences in sentiment, including heterogeneity of individual-level differences that are apparent at population-level scales. For example, other factors that could have been included are gender, age, and number of followers; and other modeling pipelines might consider feature selection or dimensionality reduction and cross-validation techniques to avoid overfitting and improve generalization.

Conclusions

In this study we showed that in applications that use population-level measures of sentiment on Twitter, it is useful to account for baseline differences in sentiment by time of day, day of week, location, weather, and interaction type. Doing so could improve the accuracy of methods that use sentiment to detect localized events or changes in mood. The first contribution of this research is the consistent evaluation of a
broad set of factors—making it easier to compare the importance of location, time, and social interactions on positive and negative sentiment. The second contribution is the use of these factors to construct a simple and interpretable model of the expected variation in positive and negative sentiment on Twitter.
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Abstract

Background: An estimated 3.9 billion individuals live in a location endemic for common mosquito-borne diseases. The emergence of Zika virus in South America in 2015 marked the largest known Zika outbreak and caused hundreds of thousands of infections. Internet data have shown promise in identifying human behaviors relevant for tracking and understanding other diseases.

Objective: Using Twitter posts regarding the 2015-16 Zika virus outbreak, we sought to identify and describe considerations and self-disclosures of a specific behavior change relevant to the spread of disease—travel cancellation. If this type of behavior is identifiable in Twitter, this approach may provide an additional source of data for disease modeling.

Methods: We combined keyword filtering and machine learning classification to identify first-person reactions to Zika in 29,386 English-language tweets in the context of travel, including considerations and reports of travel cancellation. We further explored demographic, network, and linguistic characteristics of users who change their behavior compared with control groups.

Results: We found differences in the demographics, social networks, and linguistic patterns of 1567 individuals identified as changing or considering changing travel behavior in response to Zika as compared with a control sample of Twitter users. We found significant differences between geographic areas in the United States, significantly more discussion by women than men, and some evidence of differences in levels of exposure to Zika-related information.

Conclusions: Our findings have implications for informing the ways in which public health organizations communicate with the public on social media, and the findings contribute to our understanding of the ways in which the public perceives and acts on risks of emerging infectious diseases.
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Introduction

Social Media for Public Health

Internet data, including data from social media platforms such as Twitter, have been used extensively in recent years to study health patterns and better understand infectious disease outbreaks [1]. Although it is known that social media usage is demographically biased [2], these data are thought to be fundamentally changing health care [3]. Social media data have been studied to provide insights into public health discourse [4,5] and concerns [6,7].

A particularly successful area of research has used internet data to improve the forecasting of disease outbreaks. Several studies have found that these data, when combined with traditional
sources of epidemiological data, can improve the surveillance and forecasting of seasonal diseases such as flu [8-12] and mosquito-borne diseases such as dengue [13,14] and West Nile [15].

In this study, we have considered disease epidemics from the perspective of human behaviors that can affect a disease outbreak. We studied the recent outbreak of Zika virus, a mosquito-borne virus that has recently been linked to birth defects and other disorders, as a domain for studying disease-relevant behavior. We have focused on a specific behavior, decisions to change travel to avoid areas affected by Zika, because of the extensive literature that travel contributes significantly to infectious disease emergence [16,17]. We have used a combination of content analysis and supervised machine learning techniques to understand first-person accounts of travel-related decisions during the Zika outbreak. This study aimed to answer the following research questions (RQ):

1. **RQ1:** Can we identify individuals who report they changed their travel behavior in response to concerns about Zika?
2. **RQ2:** What are the characteristics of Twitter users who change or consider changing their travel behavior? In particular, we wished to know:
   - 2(a): Are there temporal, geospatial, or gender-based patterns in users who change their behavior?
   - 2(b): Are there linguistic differences in messages posted by these individuals compared with users selected at random from Twitter?
   - 2(c): Are these individuals exposed to more information about Zika on Twitter?

We have answered these questions by analyzing a collection of 29,386 English-language tweets filtered for keywords describing Zika and travel. We used a cascade of 3 machine learning classifiers to identify behavior mentions in tweets, and we have proposed a method of incorporating classifier error into our statistical analyses to test our hypotheses.

### Zika Emergence

Mosquito-borne infections have long been known to cause large outbreaks that result in substantial morbidity and mortality. An estimated 3.9 billion individuals live in a location endemic for common mosquito-borne diseases, for example, dengue, chikungunya, and now, Zika [18]. Although Zika emerged only recently in Central, South, and North America, the virus was originally discovered in 1947 in Uganda [19]. Through the 20th century, documented outbreaks were rare. The first outbreaks occurred in 2007 in Gabon and the Federated States of Micronesia [19]. Furthermore, 6 years later, French Polynesia experienced the first large outbreak, and there was a documented association between neurological symptoms and Zika [19]. The subsequent emergence of Zika in South America in 2015 marked the largest known Zika outbreak and caused hundreds of thousands of infections [19-21]. Between 2015 and 2017, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) reported over half a million suspected Zika cases in South and Central America [22].

For the overwhelming majority, Zika is a mild infection; the majority of cases are asymptomatic [23]. However, for some, Zika infection can lead to more serious complications, including the neurological syndrome, Guillain-Barré [24], and birth defects in fetuses infected in-utero [25].

Importantly, these causal relationships have only been recently established. In October 2015, Brazil reported an association between Zika cases and microcephaly, a condition where an infant’s head circumference is extremely small and is accompanied by severe developmental and health complications [26], and others noted a possible association with Guillain-Barré syndrome in adults [24]. As evidence mounted that there was a causal relationship, the World Health Organization (WHO) and PAHO issued alerts in December 2015 about the association between Zika, neurological syndromes, and birth defects. The United States responded to these alerts in mid-January 2016 by issuing a travel advisory for pregnant women, which cautioned against traveling to locations with local Zika transmission [21]. Zika was then declared a public health emergency by the WHO in February 2016 [21].

### Travel and Infectious Disease

Travel advisories are an important public health intervention because of the documented impact of travel on the emergence of infectious diseases [16,17]. Historical case studies describe imported cases of diseases that led to large outbreaks as early as the 1500s [16]. In the present day, there are many outbreaks that have been attributed to travel from other regions. For example, genetic data from the 2009 H1N1 outbreak show that the movement of swine around Mexico was responsible for outbreaks in various provinces [27]. Genetic evidence further indicates that H1N1 was probably introduced to the United States from both Mexico and Asia [27].

Simulations find that the impact of travel on disease spread varies based on a number of factors. For example, Bajardi et al found that travel restrictions could reduce cases but probably only minimally [28]. However, research done by Huizer et al finds that air travel could have dramatically changed the 1968 pandemic influenza in Hong Kong [29]. In general, travel is thought to play an important but variable role in disease transmission. Current recommendations are to implement travel-related control measures as necessary [30,31].

### Social Media and Zika

Internet data have been used to better understand individual health behaviors and health discourse on the Web. Studies have found evidence that users publicly discuss a variety of ailments [4], as well as particular behaviors used to prevent ailments. For example, Signorini et al observed discussions of behaviors such as hand washing and wearing masks to prevent the flu [10]. Paul and Dredze similarly note that individuals often report medications used for symptom relief [4].

As the largest known Zika outbreak occurred recently, researchers are only now beginning to investigate the use of internet data to understand this particular disease. McGough et al used an autoregressive modeling approach to combine epidemiological data from PAHO, Twitter, Google search queries, and reports from HealthMap to build short-term forecasts for several Central and South American countries.
They found that the lowest error models were produced when using Google search query volumes [32].

Others have found important information in Twitter data. Stefanidis et al used tweets from the first 3 months of the outbreak to characterize discourse around Zika [33]. These data were used to look at the emergence of spatial clusters in online discussions of Zika on Twitter and to identify distinct geospatial communities that participated in the conversation early in the outbreak. In particular, they found that Twitter users tended to use public health organizations to find information and did not generally use Twitter as a way to interact with organizations directly [33]. Using data encompassing more of the outbreak, Miller et al used Twitter to identify tweets about treatment, transmission, and prevention of Zika and noted the use of Twitter as a way to monitor concerns in the general population [34].

Sharma et al investigated information dispersion on Facebook and specifically noted that inaccurate or misleading posts were more popular than those with scientifically sound information [35]. This observation is consistent with previous work which identified rumors and health misinformation on Twitter [36]. Similarly, Gui et al noted that even official sources of information were unreliable during the outbreak because of incomplete information and observed that the internet provided spaces that allowed individuals to frame risk and decisions [37].

Seltzer et al used Instagram to look at image-sharing practices around Zika [38]. They found that health-related images related to Zika were predominately about transmission and prevention and suggested that Instagram could be used to track sentiment with regard to Zika [38].

**Motivation and Contributions**

Zika is likely to continue to be an emerging illness of concern with considerable impacts in South, Central, and North America [39]. In contrast to previous work that has focused on the discourse on different platforms or the possible utility of various internet data sources for modeling forecasting, this study focused on identifying a particular behavior of impact on the spread of the disease—travel change. As a first step, this study aimed to identify individuals on Twitter who chose to change their behaviors (RQ1), understand the characteristics of those individuals (RQ2a), and test explanations for any patterns observed (RQ2b and 2c).

Human behaviors directly impact disease transmission [40,41]. Previous work has found that travel patterns are important for transmission but difficult to quantify because of a general lack of available data [41]. A long-term goal of this study is to incorporate behavior change data into disease-forecasting models. This initial study focused on the important first step of identifying travel behaviors and characterizing the factors that affect them.

**Methods**

This section describes the process used to identify relevant tweets and the techniques used to train and tune the classifiers. We then provide details on the collection of the Twitter timeline and followee data used in later analyses. Figure 1 summarizes the various datasets and methods.

---

**Figure 1.** Data processing and experimental overview. Dotted boxes show datasets and corresponding sizes where applicable. Solid boxes show methods used and reference relevant text figures or tables. Black arrows show the flow of data through the pipeline. The gray arrows denote that the final classifiers were used to identify first person, travel consideration, and travel change tweets from the keyword filtered tweets.
Identifying Relevant Tweets

Our data come from a set of 15 million Zika-related tweets from March 1, 2015, to October 31, 2016, with about 7 million in English, described in Daughton et al [42]. This collection includes all tweets mentioning Zika and related terms during this time period.

Qualitatively, we observed that the bulk of these Zika tweets were sharing news or other information, usually with links to external articles. However, we also observed a number of English-language tweets describing personal or shared experiences with Zika, including behavior changes in response to concerns about Zika (eg, changing travel plans or buying a mosquito repellent). This section describes our approach to identifying such personal mentions of travel-related behavior through a pipeline of keyword filtering and supervised machine learning.

Keyword Filtering

As personal mentions of travel behavior are a very small proportion of the dataset, we first filtered the dataset to provide a subset with a higher fraction of relevant tweets. This is a standard approach in many social media applications to obtain a large enough fraction of relevant instances to build a reasonably balanced training set [43-47]. In our study, tweets were filtered for those that contained (1) at least one of a set of first-person pronouns to target personal behaviors and (2) at least one of a large set of travel-related terms (see Multimedia Appendix 1).

To be as comprehensive as possible when constructing the list of travel-related terms, we included all major airlines in the United States and all airlines with flights to South America [48,49]. Twitter handles of the airline, cruise, and travel agency companies, including official Twitter handles as well as handles used for negative feedback, were included. These were manually curated by searching for the company on Twitter and identifying associated handles.

After filtering and excluding retweets, 29,386 English-language tweets matched these criteria.

Classification

After keyword filtering, we still observed a variety of tweet topics in the data. This included mentions of changes in travel, opinions about the Olympics (which were hosted in Brazil during the outbreak), opinions about quarantining travelers, and general worry about Zika. The filters also captured tweets that were neither first person nor about travel, such as the headline, *Spraying Mosquitoes by Plane Ain’t Perfect, But It’s the Best We’ve Got for Zika - WIRED.*

To further filter the dataset to tweets of relevance to this study—tweets in which people express that they are personally changing or thinking about changing their travel behavior—we constructed 3 binary classifiers:

1. **First person:** Tweets where someone makes their own comment related to Zika in contrast to sharing external content. This can include jokes, opinions, observations, and questions. This category does not include headlines, promotion or solicitation for articles or events, or generic requests for congresspersons to fund Zika.

2. **Travel consideration:** First-person tweets that are about the tweeter’s travel plans. This can include tweets that explicitly express the desire to change or not change travel, as well as tweets that are concerned but undecided about travel change.

3. **Travel change:** Travel consideration tweets that explicitly indicate that the tweeter has changed travel plans or is actively trying to change their travel plans. We also attempted to categorize tweets that explicitly said the user would not change travel, but we were unable to build a reliable classifier (F1=.35) and, therefore, excluded it from this study. Messages such as *I want a refund for my trip* would be labeled as travel change whereas messages such as *I’m interested in your refund policy* would not.

Each category only applies to tweets positively labeled with the previous category—travel consideration tweets must also be first-person tweets, and travel change tweets must also be travel consideration tweets.

Annotation

To create a training set for learning supervised classifiers, we randomly sampled 2000 English-language tweets from the keyword-filtered dataset and annotated them with the 3 categories above. Furthermore, 2 researchers independently annotated all tweets to measure agreement. As tweets were only labeled for travel consideration and travel change when they were labeled with the previous category, we only calculated agreement for these categories when annotators also agreed on the previous category. This can be interpreted as measuring: in the cases where annotators agreed on first person, what was their agreement on travel consideration?

Examples of each category, frequency, and agreement are shown in Table 1. To create the final set of labeled data, the 2 annotators discussed the disagreements and updated category criteria to resolve disagreements. For example, annotators disagreed on whether promotion or solicitation of articles or events, as well as requests for congresspersons to fund Zika should be in the first-person category. After discussion, we clarified the criteria to exclude those types of tweets. Using these updated criteria, disagreements were resolved, and the final labels were selected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Example (paraphrased)</th>
<th>% (n/N)</th>
<th>κ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First person</td>
<td>When Zika explodes after the Olympics, I’m going to say I told you so!</td>
<td>41.15% (823/2000)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel consideration</td>
<td>Thinking about going to Rio for honeymoon. Will I be safe with Zika?</td>
<td>17.5% (350/2000)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel change</td>
<td>So mad I had to cancel my island babymoon because of Zika</td>
<td>10.8% (216/2000)</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training and Evaluation

All classifiers were binary logistic regression classifiers built using the Python package scikit-learn (version 0.19.1) [50], where the 3 classifiers were used in a pipeline. Binary logistic regression is an attractive method because outputs are easily interpretable and can be easily tuned for optimal precision and recall. Furthermore, this is a common method used in other health surveillance work [9,51]. Twenty percent (400/2000) of the initial dataset was reserved for testing. This is a standard method used in machine learning to avoid overfitting models [52]. On the training data, we used a grid search to learn the best regularization parameter and feature set, using 5-fold cross-validation to measure the validation performance. For all classifiers, we tested features that included 1-, 2-, and 3-grams. Unigrams (1-grams) consistently outperformed longer n-grams or combinations of n-grams. We also experimented with feature selection using a chi-square test in an attempt to improve classifier metrics [53]. The best results were obtained when all features were used (first person and travel consideration) and when the top 70% of features were used (travel change; see Multimedia Appendix 2). Tweets were preprocessed to remove emojis, punctuation, and consecutive identical characters (eg, vowel elongation) and to replace URLs and usernames with generic tokens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F1 (no pipeline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First person</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel consideration</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel change</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Final precision, recall, and F1 of the 3 classifiers.

Statistical Analysis

Our analyses involve measuring the proportion of tweets classified as the various categories along different dimensions. When appropriate, we have provided CIs of these estimates. Our CIs are based on bootstrap resampling [54], a nonparametric technique that works as follows. A single bootstrapped estimate of the desired statistic (eg, proportion of tweets) is estimated by resampling the dataset with replacement (bootstrapping) and calculating the statistic from the randomly sampled version of the dataset. This is repeated many times (1000 times in our experiments) to construct a distribution of bootstrapped estimates, and the middle 95% of the estimates are taken as a 95% CI for that statistic [55].

We further modify this approach to account for the uncertainty present in the classifier, using the negative predictive value (NPV) and the positive predictive value (PPV). The NPV is the ratio of true negatives to the sum of true negatives and false negatives whereas the PPV (equivalent to precision in classification) is the ratio of true positives to the sum of true positives and false positives (see [56] for an extensive description of the method). By using this method, we are able to account for the inaccuracies of the individual classifiers and avoid propagating error through the pipeline. We refer to this method as a weighted bootstrapped CI in all relevant figures.

Performance results on the held-out test data are shown in Table 2. Note that the F1 values shown here differ from those shown in Multimedia Appendix 2 because Multimedia Appendix 2 was generated using cross-validation on the training data, whereas the final metrics were generated using the testing data. We observed that the travel consideration classifier performs the weakest. We also compared the pipeline approach with stand-alone travel consideration and travel change classifiers. However, this method resulted in significantly worse F1 scores (.63 and .65, respectively), and thus, we proceeded with a pipelined approach. The next subsection describes how we account for the cascade of classifier errors in our statistical analyses.

Precision is a measurement of type I error and describes the number of selected items that are actually relevant (percent of those classified positive that are actually positive). Recall, related to type II error, instead describes how many relevant items are selected (percent of positive instances in the full dataset that are classified positive). F1 then combines these 2 metrics, using a harmonic mean, to describe the system overall. We show both F1 using the pipelined approach (the final classifier) as well as the F1 score if each classifier is built independently (see Table 2).

Timeline and Followee Collection

Owing to the widespread attention the Zika outbreak received in the media, we wanted to identify if there are other characteristics that differentiate users who changed or considered changing travel as compared with users who tweeted about Zika but did not discuss travel plans.

Using our labeled training data, we collected a set of 100 users sampled at random for each of the 3 classification categories. To construct comparison groups, we also sampled 100 users from the entire set of English-language Zika tweets, as well as 100 English-language users selected at random from all of Twitter. When sampling, we excluded verified users, as the inclusion of celebrities and other prolific accounts could bias the results. We then identified 3 sets of 100 users at random for each classifier. For each group, we collected the Twitter timelines of the users and the list of individuals they follow (their followees) using Tweepy [57]. These data were downloaded in January 2018.

Owing to Twitter’s application programming interface (API) restrictions on user timelines, we were only able to collect the most recent 3200 tweets for each user. This means that we were not able to collect tweets during the time period of the Zika outbreak especially frequently. This could affect the analyses but will be a close approximation as long as these users have not substantially changed their tweeting behavior since 2016.
Tweets were preprocessed in the same manner as described in the Classification section.

Results

Applying the classifiers to the keyword-filtered tweets resulted in a final dataset of 13,225 first-person tweets, 3083 travel consideration tweets, and 1567 travel change tweets. This section describes the results of our analyses of these tweets and the users who posted these tweets.

Temporal Patterns

Temporal trends in the 3 datasets are shown in Figure 2. Two major spikes corresponding to important events during the outbreak are evident. The first occurred in February 2016 during the time of initial travel advisories by the WHO and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [21]. The second, more gradual peak occurs in the summer of 2016 and appears to correspond to the summer Olympics in Rio de Janeiro. We noticed an increase in travel change tweets primarily during the initial set of travel advisories, rather than sustained interest in travel throughout the course of the outbreak.

Figure 2. Temporal trends in classifications by week.

We also explored temporal differences in the destinations of the users’ cancelled travel. To do this, we manually labeled the destinations in all 1567 tweets that were classified in the travel change category as international or domestic with regard to the United States. Many tweets were not specific about the location of travel plans; we were able to identify 34% of travel change destinations. We found 2 distinct peaks in decisions to change travel (Figure 3). International change spikes sharply in conjunction with the initial travel advisories of February 2016, whereas domestic change spikes sharply in August 2016. The latter spike aligns in time with evidence of local Zika transmission in Florida that was first identified in July 2016 [58] and may also correspond to the increase in cases in US territories such as Puerto Rico [59]. There is an additional peak in the international change tweets in September 2016. These tweets primarily discuss canceling travel to Singapore, which had started to identify local cases in late August 2016 [60]. Although the volume of tweets is small, they show a timely response to the news that Zika had emerged and was circulating locally, within a week of the initial official Ministry of Health report [60].
Geospatial and Gender Patterns

Geospatial Variability

To evaluate spatial trends, we geolocated tweets using Carmen [61], which resolves tweets to structured locations using geographic coordinates when available and user profile information if not.

We grouped tweets into geographic regions defined by the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). HHS Regions are regional groupings of states in the United States that are commonly used to aggregate states for health studies. As the traditional HHS Regions group geographically disparate states together (eg, Hawaii and island territories are grouped with mainland regions), we modified the HHS Regions as follows:

6. R6: Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas.
7. R7: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska.

We ultimately excluded both the Pacific Islands and Caribbean Islands from this analysis because there were not enough tweets classified in these regions (fewer than 50 tweets each).

As tweet volume varies by location, we created a type of per-capita estimate to adjust for the overall popularity of Twitter in each region. We collected a 1% sample of tweets from the Twitter streaming API over approximately 10 nonconsecutive days throughout December 2017 and January 2018 to normalize the estimates (42.1 million tweets). The number of tweets classified from each region was then divided by the total number of tweets from that region in the random sample.

Figure 4 shows a wide variation in the weighted volume of tweets across different spatial regions of the United States. Regions 1, 7, 8, and 10 have the highest relative volume of tweets considering and changing travel plans. These regions predominantly consist of landlocked states in the center of the country and include individuals who would have only been at risk of Zika infection if they traveled to an area with local transmission. Interestingly, regions that included states where Zika transmission occurred (Florida—Region 4 and Texas—Region 6) were among the lowest in weighted volume of tweets. It could be that individuals in these locations were not tweeting about travel change because they were at a more acute risk of infection. It is also possible that more granular (eg, state-level) observations are obscured by aggregation to the HHS level.

Gender Variability

As Zika is primarily a concern for women who are pregnant or trying to become pregnant, we investigated the relative percentage of women tweeting versus men (Figure 5). Gender was inferred using the Demographer tool [62], which infers gender of Twitter users with an estimated 94% accuracy based on character n-grams of the persons’ names.
Figure 4. Weighted volume of classified tweets by modified US Department of Health and Human Services Region. Bars show median weighted volume. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals obtained using weighted bootstrapped sampling.

Figure 5. Relative percent of women in a sample of Twitter (red), English Zika dataset (orange), travel consideration dataset (yellow), and the travel change dataset (blue). Bars show 95% weighted bootstrapped confidence intervals.

Linguistic Comparison
To better understand the factors that contribute to a decision to change travel, we compared the style and content of messages between users in the travel consideration and travel change groups with the random sample of Twitter users. We hypothesized that those who discuss Zika travel are more likely to talk about health in general than typical Twitter users and that those who consider changing travel may have higher levels of fear or anxiety.

We used Linguistic Inquiry Word Count (LIWC) [63], which maps various English-language terms to linguistic and psychological constructs. We selected a subset of LIWC categories related to our hypotheses (health and anxiety), as well as categories related to various personal concerns as a way...
of categorizing other general content of discussion. We also created a category specifically for pregnancy-related terms, using the regular expression `pregnan*`, because of the relevance of Zika to a developing pregnancy. Although pregnancy is included in the LIWC biological processes category, that category is much broader than pregnancy specifically.

For each user timeline and each LIWC category, we calculated the percentage of tweets that contain a term from the category. In this calculation, we excluded the tweets mentioning Zika so that the analysis does not reflect the same data used to select users. In addition, we restricted the analysis to timelines with a minimum of 10 tweets across the timeline. Finally, for each category, we calculated the average percentage across all timelines in each user group. The results are shown in Table 3.

Compared with a random sample of Twitter users, users who tweeted about changing or considering changing travel in reaction to Zika are significantly more likely to use past and present tense, as well as terms indicating social processes, perhaps indicating increased planning. Travel consideration users are significantly more likely to use personal pronouns and singular first-person pronouns and were significantly higher in the anxiety category. Travel change users were significantly more likely to use plural first-person pronouns, had higher inhibition, and tweeted more about pregnancy. There are no significant differences between the travel consideration and travel change groups.

Contrary to our expectations, the travel groups do not tweet significantly differently from the overall Twitter population about health or bodily functions. This indicates that the users we identified as part of this behavior change pipeline were uniquely concerned about Zika and did not appear to be generally more aware or interested in discussing health-related topics on social media (with the important exception of pregnancy). It would be useful to explore more on this line of inquiry in future work, as understanding who talks about infectious diseases (and how) is of immediate interest to the disease surveillance community [64].

Table 3. Average percent of Linguistic Inquiry Word Count category prevalence per group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>All Twitter</th>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>Personal pronouns</td>
<td>0.6080</td>
<td>0.7495</td>
<td>0.7501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>1st singular</td>
<td>0.2788</td>
<td>0.3673</td>
<td>0.3214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>1st plural</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
<td>0.0895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>3rd singular</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
<td>0.0895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>3rd plural</td>
<td>0.0474</td>
<td>0.0561</td>
<td>0.0571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>Past tense</td>
<td>0.1794</td>
<td>0.2538</td>
<td>0.2665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>Future tense</td>
<td>0.0648</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>0.0871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistic processes</td>
<td>Present tense</td>
<td>0.6053</td>
<td>0.7711</td>
<td>0.7947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Social processes</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
<td>0.8867</td>
<td>0.9760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Affective processes</td>
<td>0.6648</td>
<td>0.7362</td>
<td>0.7587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Positive emotion</td>
<td>0.4323</td>
<td>0.5106</td>
<td>0.5105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Negative emotion</td>
<td>0.2290</td>
<td>0.2225</td>
<td>0.2440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
<td>0.0364</td>
<td>0.0331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Tentativeness</td>
<td>0.1556</td>
<td>0.2019</td>
<td>0.2075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Certainty</td>
<td>0.1203</td>
<td>0.1437</td>
<td>0.1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Inhibition</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td>0.0680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Biological processes</td>
<td>0.2230</td>
<td>0.2712</td>
<td>0.2401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>0.0787</td>
<td>0.0674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>0.0495</td>
<td>0.0744</td>
<td>0.0734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological processes</td>
<td>Sexual</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>0.0648</td>
<td>0.0526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (non-Linguistic Inquiry Word Count)</td>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Instances where there are significant differences from the random sample. Significance is estimated using an unpaired 2-sided t test with a significance level of P<.05 after Bonferroni correction.
Network Comparison

As a final experiment, we look at the number of followees each of the randomly selected users had that were also present elsewhere in the Zika dataset—that is, the accounts a user follows that had at least one Zika-related tweet. Table 4 shows the number of Zika followees in each group as well as the number of tweets those followees tweeted that were about Zika. We calculate both the raw counts as well as normalized counts in which we divide the number of Zika followees and number of Zika tweets by each user’s total number of followees. This allows us to measure both the raw number of Zika tweets an individual could have been exposed to and the relative likelihood of exposure based on the proportion of their feeds that contained Zika content.

Although it is impossible to replicate Twitter’s algorithm for showing information on the timeline, we have the unique capability to look at network effects because we have 100% of the tweets during the time period that explicitly mentions either zika or zikv. We reasoned that individuals who have many followees who appear in the Zika corpus (ie, they follow accounts that are also tweeting about Zika) were more likely to have tweets about Zika appear in their feed. If we were to find that individuals who follow many accounts that appear in the dataset are more likely to appear in the travel change group, we would then further question the role that Twitter plays in catalyzing and informing decisions about behavior change.

Indeed, we did find that those individuals who considered or changed their travel plans had a higher number of followees and tweets that they could have been exposed to in the sample. Although the travel groups had higher counts under every metric when compared with the control group, the difference is only significant under the normalized metrics.

Table 4. The number of followees an individual user has who are also in the dataset, and the number of tweets that followees tweeted that are also in the dataset. We normalized to the number of total followees for each individual. Values in italics are significant (P≤.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>All Twitter, median (95% CI)</th>
<th>Consideration, median (95% CI)</th>
<th>Change, median (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of followees (raw)</td>
<td>92.8 (58.3-135.4)</td>
<td>111.6 (71.1-170.9)</td>
<td>122.2 (82.3-177.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of followees (normalized)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.06-0.11)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.12-0.17)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.14-0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tweets (raw)</td>
<td>93.6 (56.2-141.2)</td>
<td>111.3 (67.7-169.8)</td>
<td>122.7 (79.6-179.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tweets (normalized)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.02-2.62)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.41-8.74)</td>
<td>7.99 (3.47-14.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

In an age where infectious diseases are emerging and re-emerging rapidly [65], the ability to identify groups of individuals who might be at increased risk of contracting or contributing to the spread of infection can inform methods of risk communication, infectious disease interventions, and policies at a broader level.

We present supervised classifiers that identify evidence of behavior changes with regard to concerns and changes in travel plans owing to Zika on Twitter. Although previous work has observed that individuals mention protective health behaviors on social media [10], to the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to study a specific behavior change in depth. We examined temporal and demographic patterns in travel behaviors, as well as psycholinguistic markers and information exposure (as approximated through lexical and network analyses, respectively) of individuals changing behavior compared with a randomly sampled control group. More concretely, we considered 4 research questions. Their respective conclusions are discussed below.

RQ1: Can we identify individuals who report they changed travel behavior in response to Zika? We conclude that tweets about changing travel and considering changing travel can be identified with high recall. Furthermore, we are able to account for the comparatively lower precision achieved here using our weighted bootstrap resampling method.

RQ2(a): Are there temporal, geospatial, or gender-based patterns in users who change their behavior? We observed temporal patterns in travel consideration and travel change tweets, including the destination of travel, which correspond to important events in the Zika outbreak. We are encouraged that temporal trends correspond with events that we would expect to be reflected in this data stream.

We additionally find significant differences in the gender distribution of users tweeting about travel consideration and change compared with the general population of Twitter. In particular, we find that the relative proportion of women engaging in conversation indicating travel change behaviors on Twitter is higher than men. This, in combination with the results of RQ2(b) discussed below, is evidence that pregnancy was playing a role in these considerations.

For comparison with existing knowledge on this subject, we discuss 2 small surveys (85 and 121 participants) conducted in New York (NY) [66] and Miami [67] about the knowledge around Zika and travel and included related questions about behaviors. In NY, researchers found that roughly a third of women surveyed were not aware of the travel advisories in place during their travel, almost half were not aware that Zika was being transmitted in the location that they traveled to, and a relatively large number (about one-third) did not know they were pregnant at the time of travel [66]. In Miami, the vast majority of respondents were aware of Zika and reported that they changed their behaviors to avoid the disease; however, only 27% were aware that they were at risk of infection where they lived [67]. Although these survey data exclude men, they do find evidence that women were aware of the disease and that some women (though not all) took measures like changing travel plans to avoid exposure to the disease. Indeed, these surveys...
highlight the importance of more work in this area to further understand behavior changes over larger spatiotemporal regions.

**RQ2(b): Are there linguistic differences in messages posted by these individuals compared with users selected at random from Twitter?** We found that users in the travel categories do not appear to tweet more often about health than Twitter users in general. However, travel change users do tweet more often about pregnancy, which suggests this may be a factor in considering travel changes. In addition, travel consideration users tweet words associated with anxiety more than the general population.

**RQ2(c): Are individuals who change their behavior exposed to more information about Zika?** Travel consideration and change users have a statistically higher fraction of Zika-related followers and tweets in the sample, indicating that these users had greater exposure to Zika-related information. This is evidence that exposure to information about Zika may play a role in this decision-making process.

**Limitations**

There are several limitations of the data and our methodology that must also be considered. First, it is known that Twitter is a demographically biased data source [68] and as such may not be representative of the broader population. However, this research contributes to the vast literature that uses the Twitter platform to understand health behaviors [4,10,69]. The data are additionally biased in that data only includes tweets in English, which are predominately from the United States. However, we note that data from the United States are appropriate for studying travel behaviors because there was minimal Zika transmission in the United States, as the mosquito vector is absent in the majority of the country. As such, the main method of exposure was through travel to locations with local transmission. We believe our framework could be applied to other behaviors that are only applicable in places with local transmission, such as the use of mosquito repellent, but the classifiers would need to be trained in other languages such as Latin American Spanish.

Second, we recognize the lack of external validity owing to the absence of comparable ground truth data. We view this as a motivation for this research, where findings from this study can be viewed as hypotheses to test with future experiments. It is well known that human behaviors directly impact disease transmission [40,41,70]. For example, Lau et al find that the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome epidemic changed individuals' travel patterns [71], and substantial research has shown that beliefs and behaviors about vaccinations dramatically impact disease occurrence [72]. However, travel-related research data are currently sparse [41]. Although we cannot say that the findings from this research are generalizable, the fact that they exist on Twitter is evidence they do exist. As such, these data can be viewed as motivations for larger survey experiments to confirm the findings and to evaluate if Twitter is a viable alternative data stream. Future work could also aim to validate behavior estimates indirectly by verifying their utility in an external task such as disease forecasting.

Third, machine learning classifiers introduce error [73], which could be further amplified by using a pipeline approach. However, we use weighted bootstrap sampling to appropriately account for these errors in downstream analyses. As our results showed significant differences even after accounting for errors, we did not make it a priority to build the best possible classifier in this work, but instead relied on standard tools.

Finally, there are some limitations of our labeled dataset. It is relatively small compared with some previous work. We specifically chose not to scale up the annotation process with crowdsourcing [74] so that the annotations were done by researchers with domain expertise. However, it is possible that a larger training set could lead to better classifier performance. Similarly, our ability to identify statistically significant differences between user groups is limited by having only 100 timelines per group. However, the rate limits of the Twitter API make it difficult to collect large numbers of user timelines. Furthermore, although small sample sizes may affect the power of the analyses, this does not affect the correctness of the approach, which correctly constructs CIs.

In addition, the labeling criteria we used could introduce bias. In particular, we can only capture people who explicitly state that they are canceling travel and that they are doing so because of Zika. Research in this field is limited, but initial work on self-reports of cold and flu illness indicates that it is rare for individuals to tweet about their health concerns [77], and it is currently unknown how this could bias the distribution of labels. However, the experiments presented here do not try to measure overall levels of travel cancellation because of these issues. Instead, we focus on comparisons across groups, which are valid if these data biases are consistent across groups (eg, gender and geography).

**Implications**

The results of this study show that people do describe first-person behavior changes on Twitter and that such tweets can be classified and analyzed at scale. In particular, we find that our behavior change classifier produces a dataset that corresponds to events during the outbreak and shows evidence of geographic and gender-based differences in the behavior change.

These data support hypotheses that social media can play a role in an individual’s health choices. Other research has shown that an important predictor of population health is knowledge and that this knowledge can be disproportionate across different geographical areas based on access to health care expertise [75]. Research on ways in which social media can facilitate promotion of accurate and important health messages, thus, has clear applications.

Eventually, we envision these types of algorithms being used within the disease surveillance community. There is substantial previous work using internet data to gather traces of information about individuals’ health to monitor and forecast infectious disease outbreaks (eg, search query volumes used for Google Flu Trends). In principle, social media–derived data about behaviors that affect the spread of disease could be incorporated into forecasting models to better describe disease transmission dynamics. As part of this study, we plan to eventually incorporate this type of data into such models.
In addition to monitoring and forecasting, data and conclusions from studies such as this work can inform preventative health messaging. Previous research has found that the ways infectious diseases are framed contribute in important ways to the public perception of the event’s severity [76]. Gui et al describe the way in which individuals frame their personal risk from Zika amid uncertain or unclear public health recommendations [37]. They noted that even official sources of information were unreliable during the outbreak because of incomplete information and observed that the internet provided spaces that allowed individuals to frame risk and decisions [37]. We qualitatively observed in our data that there were many instances of individuals who were at low risk of complications resulting from Zika but were highly concerned about their personal risk from Zika. Future work in understanding how individuals frame personal risk from infectious diseases could contribute to our understanding of ways to improve public health risk communication.
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Abstract

Background: Twitter is an indicator of real-world performance, thus, is an appropriate arena to assess the social consideration and attitudes toward psychosis.

Objective: The aim of this study was to perform a mixed-methods study of the content and key metrics of tweets referring to psychosis in comparison with tweets referring to control diseases (breast cancer, diabetes, Alzheimer, and human immunodeficiency virus).

Methods: Each tweet’s content was rated as nonmedical (NM: testimonies, health care products, solidarity or awareness and misuse) or medical (M: included a reference to the illness’s diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, or prevention). NM tweets were classified as positive or pejorative. We assessed the appropriateness of the medical content. The number of retweets generated and the potential reach and impact of the hashtags analyzed was also investigated.

Results: We analyzed a total of 15,443 tweets: 8055 classified as NM and 7287 as M. Psychosis-related tweets (PRT) had a significantly higher frequency of misuse 33.3% (212/636) vs 1.15% (853/7419; \(P < .001\)) and pejorative content 36.2% (231/636) vs 11.33% (840/7419; \(P < .001\)). The medical content of the PRT showed the highest scientific appropriateness 100% (391/391) vs 93.66% (6030/6439; \(P < .001\)) and had a higher frequency of content about disease prevention. The potential reach and impact of the tweets related to psychosis were low, but they had a high retweet-to-tweet ratio.

Conclusions: We show a reduced number and a different pattern of contents in tweets about psychosis compared with control diseases. PRT showed a predominance of nonmedical content with increased frequencies of misuse and pejorative tone. However, the medical content of PRT showed high scientific appropriateness aimed toward prevention.
Introduction

Psychotic disorders are among the world’s leading causes of disability [1,2]. The estimated lifetime rate of suffering any psychotic disorder is 2 to 3% [3]. The societal and economic burden of schizophrenia is very high [4]. Schizophrenia is associated with at least 10 to 15 years of potential life lost, with no indication of a decline in this trend [5].

Despite many decades of research, the treatment of psychiatric disorders remains only partially effective, and their etiology is not fully understood [6,7]. Currently, patients are encouraged to take an active role in the development of an active and meaningful life while growing beyond the misfortune of mental illness [8,9]. The traditional clinical and societal view of schizophrenia is of a debilitating and deteriorating disorder, with a poor outcome [10]. There is evidence of persisting stigma about mental illness that leads to negative stereotyping and to discriminatory behavior toward people with schizophrenia [11]. Stigma may cause affected patients to experience rejection and to feel shame about their condition, reducing their self-esteem and limiting their opportunities [12-14].

Background

In recent years, the internet and social media have become pivotal instruments for sharing knowledge [15]. Accordingly, the internet has radically modified how people communicate, share, and seek out information regarding health and medical conditions [16,17]. Twitter, one of the most popular and widely used platforms of social media, is currently considered an effective channel of communication [18]. Different players in health and medicine have realized Twitter’s potential for acquiring and distributing medical information [19]. Furthermore, American mainstream media outlets and the general public demonstrate a preferential interest for psychiatric disorders on Twitter [20]. A third of patients with schizophrenia use social networking sites, including Twitter, at least daily [21]. The analysis of distributed tweets is increasingly appreciated in health research [22]. The utilization of Twitter data has enabled researchers to study health-related attitudes toward behaviors and diseases, predict the incidence of both communicable and noncommunicable diseases, or have an insight of patients’ medical experience [23-25]. The utilization of online data for health care purposes has led to the development of an emerging field defined as infodemiology [26].

Moreover, the analysis of tweets about psychiatric disorders is a recent relevant area of study for understanding the sentiments of society, patients, and health players [27-33]. Concerning results have been reported about the trivialization, stigmatization, and mockery of schizophrenia and other psychiatric disorders by Twitter users [34-39]. The areas of medical and nonmedical interest of Twitter users about psychosis spectrum disorders have not been established. The reach and impact of psychosis-related tweets (PRT) remain unknown.

Objectives

The aims of this multidisciplinary study were to investigate the medical knowledge and social consideration of Twitter users toward psychosis in comparison with 2 prevalent causes of death worldwide (breast cancer and diabetes mellitus), a relevant cause of severe neurocognitive impairment (Alzheimer disease) and a socially relevant disease (human immunodeficiency virus, HIV infection), as well as their areas of medical and nonmedical interest. In addition, we investigated the potential impact and reach derived from tweets and retweets of each condition.

Methods

Research Strategy

In this observational quantitative and qualitative study, we focused on searching for tweets that referred to psychosis over a period of 8 consecutive days in 2018. As controls, we studied in parallel the tweets related to breast cancer, diabetes mellitus, Alzheimer disease, and HIV infection. In this study, we focused on tweets with the following hashtags: #psychosis, #psychotic, #schizophrenic, #schizophrenia, #diabetes, #diabetic, #breastcancer, #hiv, and #alzheimer [40]. Content was limited to English-language tweets. Data collection spanned from Monday, February 26 to Monday, March 5, 2018. This period of time has at least 2 months of separation from any major international awareness month for any of the diseases we studied and was selected to avoid potential bias in the type of disease-related tweets.

Search Tool and Data Collection

In this study, we used the Twitter Firehose data stream, which is managed by Gnip and allows access to 100% of all public tweets that match a set of “search” criteria (query) [41]. In our study, the search criteria were the previously mentioned hashtags. Tweet Binder, the search engine we employed, uses automatic machine-learning text analysis algorithms, as well as node.js and the PHP language, which enables an analysis of tweets in the json format (used by Gnip).

Content Analysis Process

All of the collected tweets were classified using qualitative content analysis methods as a systematic method for making inferences from the text to summarize the content of communication [42]. In this study, we used a codebook specifically created by the members of the research team. All of the team’s members who qualitatively analyzed the content were medical doctors specialized in psychiatry, medical oncology, internal medicine, immunology, or endocrinology, with clinical practice in university hospitals. The analysis strategy included a series of steps. First, to achieve reliability, raters reviewed an initial subset of 100 tweets to apply initial
classifications of each category. Differences in categorization and other discrepancies between the evaluators were discussed until a consensus was reached, and classification criteria were adapted to reflect the initial rating experience. Second, researchers grouped by pairs, independent and blinded, rated a second training set of 300 tweets using the improved codebook. The obtained reliability was higher than 90% for tweet content analysis, and a final version of the codebook was established. Third, all tweets were analyzed separately by 2 blinded researchers. If discrepancies in the classification of a tweet occurred between both raters (less than 10% of the cases), the whole group of researchers analyzed the tweet’s content and reached a final decision by a consensus of at least two-thirds of the research team. Tweets that included unclassifiable content were excluded.

Each tweet, depending on the content, was rated as medical or nonmedical. Medical tweets included a reference to the illness and its diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, or prevention. We also assessed if the content was medically appropriate or inappropriate according to the current medical knowledge. Nonmedical tweets were classified into 4 categories: (1) patient, family, or caregiver testimony; (2) information about medical health providers and scientific meetings; (3) solidarity, support campaigns, and advocacy; and (4) misuse. Nonmedical tweets were also classified as positive or pejorative, depending on the tone of the tweet. Classification criteria and examples of tweets by category are shown in Multimedia Appendix 1.

**Measuring Influence on Twitter: Retweets and Hashtags’ Reach and Impact**

We analyzed the number of retweets generated by each tweet as an indicator of the user interest in a given topic [43-45]. We also measured the potential reach and impact of the hashtags analyzed. Impact is a numerical value representing the potential views a tweet may receive. To calculate impact, we multiplied, for each user who contributed to the hashtag, the number of followers by the number of tweets posted, and finally, we added this number for all such users. Reach is a numerical value measuring the potential audience of the hashtag (how many people could have seen it). To calculate reach, we measured the number of followers of each user who contributed to the hashtags and added them all together. We collected the 10 hashtags most frequently associated with the hashtags we studied.

**Ethical Considerations**

This study received the approval of the University of Navarra Research Ethics Committee and was compliant with the research ethics principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (7th revision, 2013). However, this study did not directly involve human subjects nor include any intervention but instead used publicly available tweets. Nevertheless, we have taken care to not reveal any username and to avoid citing the tweets that could reveal it.

**Statistical Analysis**

A descriptive study of the sample was performed, describing the variables by their absolute and relative frequencies. The percentages found were compared using the chi-square test. The mean numbers of retweets per original tweet about the different diseases were compared by analysis of variance. The Tamhane test was performed for a posteriori comparison between diseases.

**Results**

**Increased Pejorative Sentiment and Misuse Content in Psychosis-Related Tweets**

The number of tweets generated about Alzheimer disease and psychosis were lower than that of diabetes, HIV infection, or breast cancer (Table 1). Of the total of 15,443 tweets analyzed, 101 were excluded according to the criteria of the study. Thus, 15,342 tweets were classified into 2 categories according to their medical or nonmedical content, and the frequencies of both categories between the different diseases were significantly different ($P<.001$; Table 1). The percentage of PRT with nonmedical content was higher than those in the groups related to diabetes, HIV infection, or Alzheimer disease and lower than that in the breast cancer group. The percentage of tweets with medical content was higher among those related to HIV infection and diabetes than those related to the other diseases analyzed. Interestingly, different patterns of distribution of the nonmedical tweets among the 4 categorizes of contents were found between the diseases ($P<.001$; Figure 1). Remarkably, in PRT, the category with highest frequency of tweets was misuse, which was significantly higher than that found in the control diseases 33.3% (212/636) vs 1.15% (853/7419) ($P<.001$). In contrast, misuse was absent or minimal in breast cancer, diabetes, and HIV infection. The frequency of PRT with misuse content was 12 times higher than in those related to HIV infection. The frequency of tweets with solidarity and advocacy content related to HIV infection was the highest. The frequency of tweets with content about medical health providers and scientific meetings was lower in those related to psychosis, breast cancer, and HIV infection compared with Alzheimer disease and diabetes.

We analyzed the tone of the 8055 nonmedical tweets (Table 2). The frequencies of positive and nonpositive tweet contents were significantly different between the different diseases ($P<.001$). The frequency of PRT with positive content was significantly lower than what was found in the control diseases 63.7% (405/636) vs 88.67% (6522/7354; $P<.001$). The percentage of pejorative tweets related to psychosis 36.3% (231/636) doubled that of breast cancer 15.0% (365/2424) and diabetes 12.75% (677/3115) and was 5 times higher than that of Alzheimer 7.6% (38/506) and HIV 2.72% (37/1364). In psychosis and in the control diseases, the frequency of tweets with positive content was significantly lower in the misuse category than those found in the other 3 categories ($P<.001$).
Table 1. Number and content of tweets about psychosis and control diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated about the 5 diseases. Number of tweets with nonmedical and medical contents generated about the diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated about each disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical condition</th>
<th>Tweets generated, n (%)</th>
<th>Content&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Nonmedical, n (%)</th>
<th>Medical, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>1029 (6.66)</td>
<td>636 (61.81)</td>
<td>393 (38.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>3703 (23.98)</td>
<td>2434 (65.98)</td>
<td>1255 (34.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>6467 (41.88)</td>
<td>3115 (48.65)</td>
<td>3288 (51.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer</td>
<td>930 (6.02)</td>
<td>506 (54.64)</td>
<td>420 (45.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>3314 (21.46)</td>
<td>1364 (41.40)</td>
<td>1931 (58.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15,443 (100)</td>
<td>8055 (52.50)</td>
<td>7287 (47.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Test chi-square; *P*< .001. 101 tweets nonclassifiable (99.35% analyzed).

Figure 1. Different percentages (%) of tweets with nonmedical and medical content generated about psychosis and control diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated about each disease.


Table 2. Number of tweets with nonmedical, positive-tone content about psychosis and control diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of nonmedical contents tweets generated about each disease. Number of tweets with nonmedical, positive-tone content in the testimonies, medical health providers, solidarity/advocacy, or misuse categories generated about the diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated about each category and disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical condition</th>
<th>Nonmedical content positive, ( n, % )</th>
<th>Positive sentiment(^b), ( n, % )</th>
<th>Commercial/professional, ( n, % )</th>
<th>Solidarity/advocacy, ( n, % )</th>
<th>Missuse, ( n, % )</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>405 (63.7)</td>
<td>112 (80.58)</td>
<td>105 (88.24)</td>
<td>144 (87.27)</td>
<td>44 (20.75)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>2070 (85.05)</td>
<td>741 (80.81)</td>
<td>501 (91.26)</td>
<td>828 (85.54)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>2703 (87.25)</td>
<td>713 (74.89)</td>
<td>1236 (97.86)</td>
<td>746 (86.04)</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer</td>
<td>457 (92.40)</td>
<td>94 (100)</td>
<td>164 (98.20)</td>
<td>194 (97.98)</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>1287 (97.28)</td>
<td>129 (100)</td>
<td>262 (100)</td>
<td>818 (99.63)</td>
<td>2 (6.45)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6927 (86.70)</td>
<td>1789 (80.19)</td>
<td>2268 (96.10)</td>
<td>2730 (90.43)</td>
<td>59 (20)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Test chi-square; \( P < .001 \). 65 tweets not classifiable.

\(^b\) 85 tweets not classifiable.

The Medical Content of Psychosis-Related Tweets Showed High Scientific Appropriateness Geared Toward Prevention

We investigated the scientific appropriateness and areas of interest of the 7287 tweets with medical content, and we excluded 8 tweets according to the analysis criteria. The frequency of appropriateness between the 5 diseases was significantly different (\( P < .001 \); Table 3). According to the scientific evaluation, the content of the 391 PRT analyzed was correct. This frequency of scientific appropriateness was higher than that found in the 4 control diseases 100% (391/391) vs 93.66% (6030/6439; \( P < .001 \)). The scientific appropriateness found in the diabetes- and HIV infection–related tweets was higher than that found in breast cancer and Alzheimer disease.

Furthermore, the tweets were classified according to the area of interest of the medical content: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and prevention (Figure 1). We found a different pattern of distribution of the 4 categories of medical content between tweets related to psychosis and tweets related to the control diseases (\( P < .001 \)). Interestingly, the frequency of tweets with content about disease prevention were higher in those related to psychosis and Alzheimer disease than in those related to diabetes, HIV infection, and breast cancer. Opposite results were observed in diagnosis-related tweets. Tweets with treatment content were higher in breast cancer 37.84% (475/1255). As shown in Table 3, the lowest frequencies of scientifically appropriate medical content were found in tweets related to treatment and prognosis of Alzheimer disease.

Psychosis-Related Tweets Showed High Scientific Appropriateness

We measured the number of retweets generated about each disease (Table 4). We found that the retweet-to-tweet ratio, and thus, the probability of being retweeted for the PRT, was significantly higher than that found for the control diseases. We did not find significant differences (\( P = .49 \)) in the frequency of retweets between those with pejorative or positive tone related to the different health conditions analyzed. We did not find significant differences in the frequency of retweets between those with misuse content and the rest of the nonmedical tweets (\( P = .08 \)).

Psychosis-Related Tweets Showed Limited Reach and Impact

As shown in Table 4, we found that the potential impact and reach (7,738,305 and 5,360,995, respectively) of PRT were less than those of breast cancer (62,348,473 and 20,930,244, respectively), diabetes (92,770,714 and 46,143,068, respectively), Alzheimer disease (10,019,729 and 7,118,104, respectively), and HIV infection (101,643,088 and 52,072,034, respectively). Finally, we analyzed the 10 hashtags most frequently associated with the hashtags of the different diseases analyzed. We found that the hashtags most frequently associated with #psychosis were psychosis, mentalhealth, schizophrenia, cannabis, bipolar, depression, mental illness, schoolshooting, ptsd, and wpace18. In the case of the control diseases, the hashtags most frequently associated with #breastcancer were cancer, bcsmt, mastectomy, breastreconstruction, BreastCancerAwareness, bccww, health, blog, and chemo; the hashtags most frequently associated with #diabetes were health, obesity, t1d, cancer, diet, diabetic, insulin, t2d, and type1diabetes; the hashtags most frequently associated with #Alzheimer were dementia, health, brain, care, memory, caregiver, aging, science, and alzheimers; and the hashtags most frequently associated with #HIV were aids, PrEP, stigma, USA, health, Philippines, std, vaccine, and tuberculosis.
Table 3. Number of tweets with medically appropriate content about psychosis and control diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated with medical content about each disease. Number of tweets with medically appropriate content about diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, and prevention generated in the different diseases. Percentages (%) were calculated with respect to the total number of tweets generated about each different medical content and disease.

| Medical condition | Medical content accuracy<sup>a</sup>, N (%) | Scientific accuracy<sup>b</sup> | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                   | Diagnosis, N (%) | Treatment, N (%) | Prognostic, N (%) | Prevention, N (%) | P value |
| Psychosis         | 391 (100) | 28 (100) | 91 (100) | 2 (100) | 264 (100) | __<sup>c</sup> |
| Breast cancer     | 1034 (82.52) | 285 (84.07) | 400 (84.39) | 99 (84.62) | 250 (77.40) | .05 |
| Diabetes          | 3126 (95.57) | 627 (100.00) | 895 (88.61) | 85 (97.70) | 1519 (98.19) | <.001 |
| Alzheimer         | 374 (89.05) | 23 (95.83) | 60 (61.22) | 4 (66.67) | 287 (98.29) | <.001 |
| HIV               | 1905 (98.76) | 685 (98) | 258 (96.99) | 2 (100) | 958 (99.58) | .004 |
| Total             | 6830 (94.03) | 1648 (95.98) | 1704 (87.88) | 192 (89.72) | 3278 (96.75) | <.001 |

<sup>a</sup>Test chi-square; P<.001. 23 Tweets not classifiable.
<sup>b</sup>8 tweets not classifiable.
<sup>c</sup>It is not possible to calculate the p value because in Psychosis the four categories (Diagnosis, Treatment, Prognosis and Prevention) had the same value (100).

Table 4. Potential impact, potential reach, and number of retweets generated by psychosis- and control disease–related tweets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical condition</th>
<th>Potential impact</th>
<th>Potential reach</th>
<th>Contributors, n</th>
<th>Followers per contributor, n</th>
<th>Retweets per original tweet, mean (SE)</th>
<th>P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>7,738,305</td>
<td>5,360,995</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>19,409</td>
<td>0.23 (1.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>62,348,473</td>
<td>20,930,244</td>
<td>3161</td>
<td>6621</td>
<td>0.03 (0.29)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>92,770,714</td>
<td>46,143,068</td>
<td>5087</td>
<td>9071</td>
<td>0.11 (0.01)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer</td>
<td>10,019,729</td>
<td>7,118,104</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>6442</td>
<td>0.04 (0.32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>101,643,088</td>
<td>52,072,034</td>
<td>7308</td>
<td>11,029</td>
<td>0.08 (0.59)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Analysis of variance; P<.001. Numbers are Tamhane test between psychosis and each disease.
<sup>b</sup>Ref: reference category.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this work, we investigated all the tweets generated about psychosis during 8 consecutive days in the winter of 2018. As controls, we studied 2 prevalent causes of death worldwide (breast cancer and diabetes mellitus), a relevant cause of severe neurocognitive impairment (Alzheimer disease), and a socially relevant disease (HIV infection) [46]. We found a different pattern of content in tweets about psychosis with respect to those related to control diseases. PRT showed a predominance of nonmedical content with increased frequency of misuse and pejorative tone with respect to the control diseases. However, the medical content of PRT showed high scientific appropriateness geared toward prevention. The potential reach and impact of the tweets related to psychosis were low but showed a high retweet-to-tweet ratio.

The search tool utilized for data collection allows access to 100% of all public tweets. Thus, the conclusions were obtained from the results measured the total population of tweets, and they are not deduced from the analysis of a reduced sample (previous health-related studies utilizing Twitter have generally focused on the analysis of a 1% sample of the total number of tweets available). To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyzed all tweets about psychosis in particular in a defined period of time.

Our data show a differential pattern of information and opinions expressed in the contents of the PRT in comparison with those relating to the different control diseases. The majority of PRT with nonmedical content were focused on misuse, with a small proportion expressing solidarity. This bias observed in the content of PRT was further supported by the finding that more than a third of these nonmedical tweets had pejorative content about the disease and/or patients. Unfortunately, psychosis is still employed as an insult in a relevant proportion of tweets. Our findings about the elevated misuse and pejorative tone toward psychosis on Twitter are consistent with previous studies that analyzed schizophrenia in selected samples of tweets [35-38]. A recent study found that the terms psychosis/psychotic are associated with a significantly higher number of tweets with negative contents than schizophrenia/schizophrenic [34].

The relevance of this evident and extended misuse and pejorative content found in PRT is supported by the comparison of these results with those found in the investigated control diseases. Misuse in psychosis was 4 times greater than in Alzheimer disease and was marginal in breast cancer, diabetes, and HIV infection. The frequency of pejorative psychosis tweets was 5 times greater than the frequencies found in tweets related to...
Alzheimer disease and HIV infection and doubled those of breast cancer and diabetes. The fact that #schoolshooting was among the most frequently associated hashtags with #psychosis also reflects the negative and incorrect stereotyping of psychosis by a relevant number of Twitter users. All together, these twitter data show that psychosis patients are targets of negative ideas, feelings or judgments by twitter users, demonstrating the persistence of social stigma for psychiatric diseases, in general, and psychosis, in particular [47-50]. The bad social habit of using “schizophrenia” or “psychosis” to refer to a “madness” of some kind might have an impact on these negative results found in PRT [51]. Social stigma has major adverse effects on the lives of people with mental health conditions [52]. Stigma has also been common in portrayals of physical conditions. HIV infection has been a paradigmatic example of an organic condition suffering not only social stigma but also stigma from health care providers and professionals [53,54]. Interestingly, our results show a marginal frequency of HIV-related tweets with misuse and negativity content. We found that fewer than 3% of the HIV-related tweets had stigmatizing content, and this low number was 6 times lower than the frequency recently described [35]. This reduction may be explained by different factors, including the size and the selection criteria of the sample and the temporal gap between the 2 studies. These results support the notion of evolution in the social attitudes about diseases.

The cause of stereotypes about psychosis in Twitter is multifacitorial. It may reflect the persistence of social negative stereotyping and stigmatizing attitudes toward people with psychosis [11]. Furthermore, the use of Twitter for the distribution of health care information carries some risks that are even more pronounced in the field of mental health: high rate of misinformation, sources of questionable reliability, overwhelmingly high volumes of information available, and concerns about professionalism [55]. Due to the small number of characters required, tweets are often brief and must omit key information and may lead to fruitless discussions [56]. In this context, terms such as “psychotic” or “mentally ill” can be used to disparage or ridicule someone, thus spread social stigma to the social network. Furthermore, the massive and immediate response to nonexpert opinions or news related with mental disorders can convert Twitter on an “echo chamber of ideas,” representing shared opinions rather than balanced facts because of the ease of quoting or retweeting. Furthermore, other players may lead to the production of stigma in Twitter. The public rely on the media as a key source of information about mental illness turns the news media in a strong influence on public discourse and attitudes about mental health issues as well as to medical decisions, health service utilization, or consumption of antidepressants [57,58]. Selecting the topics they cover and highlighting certain aspects, they contribute to the creation of stereotypes. It has been shown that news stories referring to mental illness frequently emphasize on violence, although rates of violence among those with mental illnesses are very low, and people with schizophrenia are more likely to be victims of violence rather than perpetrators [59,60].

Twitter appears to be a relevant communication tool for distributing and acquiring medical information. Thus, it was relevant to investigate the scientific accuracy and the areas of interest of the medical content on Twitter pertaining to psychosis and the control disorders. Interestingly, the scientific content of all the PRT was surprisingly correct, and the rate was higher than those found in the control diseases. This accuracy of tweets containing medical content starkly contrasts the high rates of misuse and negative tone in the nonmedical tweets about psychosis. These data suggest Twitter is also used to communicate medical content about psychosis by users with correct medical information. The areas of interest of the medical information varied between psychosis and control diseases. Interestingly, the frequency of tweets with content about disease prevention was markedly higher in those related to psychosis or Alzheimer disease than in those of diabetes, HIV infection, and breast cancer. Different reasons may support this differential pattern of medical interest. The social perception of limited effectiveness for the medical treatment of psychosis and Alzheimer disease might support the special interest in preventive strategies. The chronic and severe impact of patients with both diseases on their families and caregivers might also explain the high interest in the prevention of these disorders [10,61,62]. The absence of established analytical or image criteria for the diagnosis of psychosis might also contribute to the limited interest in the diagnosis of the disease.

Finally, we investigated the interest and diffusion of the tweets generated about psychosis. In the period of time analyzed, the frequency of retweets generated by PRT was higher than that found in the control diseases. This parameter is considered an indicator of the user interest in the topic of each tweet [43-45]. However, the metrics of the tweets related to psychosis were small compared with those of the control diseases. The impact of the PRT measured as the potential views that the tweet may receive was clearly lower than that of the control diseases. Similarly, poor diffusion of the PRT was found when we calculated the potential audience or reach of the PRT. These metrics are used for the quantification of the diffusion of tweets and potential influence in society. Thus, our data imply that the stigmatization of and limited social support for psychosis are reflected in the low impact and reach of the PRT.

Strengths and Limitations

Although this study improves and expands previous research on the communication of psychosis in a popular and widely used form of social media, there are still some limitations. The rating process had an inherent degree of subjectivity because of differences in the perceived context and emotional tone of some tweets. This was made particularly evident by words that had dual meanings. There was also a degree of selection bias, as stigmatizing and trivializing tweets were more likely to be lacking in context and/or grammatical correctness, rendering them less likely to be considered for analysis. We minimized the effects of these issues through our robust rating criteria and binary rating system, which were chosen for the analysis performed by expert clinicians in the medical fields analyzed. To achieve maximum reliability, the qualitative analysis of the disease-related tweets required a manual input that was time-consuming and required expert involvement. We followed what we considered a gold-standard qualitative analysis strategy.
Conclusions
Twitter is a tool for developing interventions and strategies of information aimed at modifying health-related social and individual behaviors [63]. Our results support the dynamic and potentially positive evolution of the social stigmatization of health disorders, as can be observed in HIV infection and cancer. Thus, it is possible to expect a reduction of psychosis stigmatization. Moreover, mixed-methods research on Twitter and other social media may be a relevant strategy for measuring the effectiveness of the strategies and actions established for overcoming the social psychosis stigma [64]. A proactive sensitization by professionals, scientific societies, patient associations, and other social agents to use the promising platform of social media communication is needed. Furthermore, the respect and supportive in social media communication content may also impact a patient’s life and treatment. Psychosis patients often use social media [21], and despite the anonymity of Twitter, many users identify themselves as patients [27,32]. Although stigmatization is significantly decreasing and societal consideration is improving in other disorders, such as breast cancer and HIV, the stigma regarding psychosis is not decreasing. On one hand, psychosis is used as hate-speech on Twitter, but on the other hand, Twitter is used as a beacon of medically accurate information for the disorder. Therefore, Twitter may be a great tool for antistigma campaigns and promotion of healthy habits.
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Abstract

Background: Hikikomori is a severe form of social withdrawal, originally described in Japan but recently reported in other countries. Debate exists as to what extent hikikomori is viewed as a problem outside of the Japanese context.

Objective: We aimed to explore perceptions about hikikomori outside Japan by analyzing Western language content from the popular social media platform, Twitter.

Methods: We conducted a mixed methods analysis of all publicly available tweets using the hashtag #hikikomori between February 1 and August 16, 2018, in 5 Western languages (Catalan, English, French, Italian, and Spanish). Tweets were first classified as to whether they described hikikomori as a problem or a nonproblematic phenomenon. Tweets regarding hikikomori as a problem were then subclassified in terms of the type of problem (medical, social, or anecdotal) they referred to, and we marked if they referenced scientific publications or the presence of hikikomori in countries other than Japan. We also examined measures of interest in content related to hikikomori, including retweets, likes, and associated hashtags.

Results: A total of 1042 tweets used #hikikomori, and 656 (62.3%) were included in the content analysis. Most of the included tweets were written in English (44.20%) and Italian (34.16%), and a majority (56.70%) discussed hikikomori as a problem. Tweets referencing scientific publications (3.96%) and hikikomori as present in countries other than Japan (13.57%) were less common. Tweets mentioning hikikomori outside Japan were statistically more likely to be retweeted (P=.01) and liked (P=.01) than those not mentioning it, whereas tweets with explicit scientific references were statistically more retweeted (P=.01) but not liked (P=.10) than those without that reference. Retweet and like figures were not statistically significantly different among other categories and subcategories. The most associated hashtags included references to Japan, mental health, and the youth.

Conclusions: Hikikomori is a repeated word in non-Japanese Western languages on Twitter, suggesting the presence of hikikomori in countries outside Japan. Most tweets treat hikikomori as a problem, but the ways they post about it are highly heterogeneous.
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**Introduction**

Hikikomori is the romanization of a Japanese concept referring to a complex phenomenon characterized by severe social withdrawal [1-3]. Definitions of hikikomori have evolved slightly over time [4], but in general, individuals with hikikomori are defined by their pattern of social isolation, remaining usually at their homes, which includes significant distress or functional impairment (eg, inability to maintain academic studies or a job) and a duration of at least 6 months [5-7].

This concept was developed in the last decades of the 20th century in Japan [2], where it is widely recognized as a heterogeneous psychiatric condition, with an estimated prevalence greater than 1% among adults in that country [8]. The extent of this condition has become a major source of concern among many health professionals and policymakers in Japan, as it usually affects young individuals vulnerable to psychological distress, social stigma or cultural marginalization [9], worse physical health [10], and loss of opportunities for education and work. Consequently, hikikomori implicates suffering for not only the affected individual but also his or her family [11], and, at a large scale, it jeopardizes the labor market and public health [12].

In recent years, clinicians and researchers across the world have reported the existence of patients with similar patterns of severe social withdrawal [13], including in Hong Kong [14], mainland China [15], South Korea, India and the United States [6], Oman [16], Spain [17-20], Italy [21], France [22,23], and Brazil [24]. Recently, researchers in Spain characterized 190 patients with social withdrawal meeting the definition of hikikomori, constituting one of the largest described such cohorts outside of Japan [17]. Together, these observations have challenged the view of hikikomori as a syndrome restricted to Japan, a revelation that was hinted at years ago [4]. These studies also raise questions as to whether the extent of hikikomori varies across countries and points in time.

Despite the growing observation of hikikomori globally, there has been an alternative viewpoint that hikikomori does not represent a form of psychopathology; rather, it should be considered a nonproblematic self-imposed lifestyle of isolation [25]. This consideration of hikikomori frequently falls in the discussion of the so-called not in employment, education nor training (NEET) individuals [9]. Accordingly, some authors have suggested that hikikomori might be a reaction to the pressures of a globalized and postindustrialized Japanese society from individuals who consciously refuse to adopt the mainstream cultural values, perhaps a social pathology rather than a psychiatric one [25,26].

Individuals with hikikomori are usually a hard-to-reach population owing to the fact that hikikomori's defining feature (social isolation) prevents or delays the presentation of these individuals to clinical care and their involvement in research. Some have hypothesized that hikikomori and internet addiction are closely correlated, under the presumption that individuals with hikikomori spend much of their time retreating to anonymous and impersonal Web spaces [27]. Accordingly, the internet, and particularly social media, may be a unique space where these individuals might seek peer help and where they could be reached, identified, and supported by mental health professionals.

Twitter is one of the most popular social media platforms in Western countries and allows users to publicly share and interact with short posts (tweets) [28]. This environment has been the focus of an increasing amount of quantitative and qualitative medical research, using a wide range of different approaches, from descriptive metrics of tweets to manual or machine-learning content analysis regarding disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease [29], epilepsy [30], breast cancer [31], anorexia nervosa [32], schizophrenia [33], and depression [34].

Twitter is a unique venue to study the feelings, beliefs, knowledge, and behaviors of large numbers of people, particularly young ones, and has been proposed as a great source of infodemiologic data to survey, track, and predict medical problems [35-38]. In addition, previous research from our team has highlighted the increasing interest among Twitter users in psychiatric disorders [28]. Thus, Twitter constitutes a rich social context and online community to explore mental disorders and to identify and reach otherwise hard-to-reach individuals [39,40].

Using Twitter in the study of hikikomori offers several potential strengths. Aspects such as language of tweets (as a proxy of users’ geographical and cultural background) and time trends can be examined. In addition, content analysis could be used to classify tweets into different topics or categories of interest.

Finally, analyzing Twitter content related to hikikomori could inform the development of future social media-based interventions for individuals with hikikomori to provide users with accurate information, fight stigma, and reach a target population, which might be unlikely to leave their rooms to ask for help by themselves. These kinds of interventions, using other social media platforms, have started to prove effective in people with hikikomori [27] and other potentially marginalized populations such as the military veterans [41].

The primary aims of this study are to (1) describe and categorize the content of tweets regarding hikikomori in several Western languages; (2) identify what content related to hikikomori generates the most interest (retweets, likes, and associated hashtags); and (3) explore temporal trends in hikikomori on Twitter.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Data Source**

This study was designed as a mixed methods analysis of quantitative Twitter metrics and qualitative content from recent publicly available tweets about hikikomori in languages used in Western countries where hikikomori has been described. The inclusion criteria for tweets were (1) being public (nonprivate); (2) use of the hashtag #hikikomori; (3) posted between February 1 and August 16, 2018; and (4) text in English, Italian, Spanish,
Catalan, or French. The exclusion criteria were (1) no identifiable language or (2) only contained a link (ie, spam tweets).

Twitter provides 3 primary sources of data: Twitter’s Search application programming interface (API), Twitter’s Streaming API, and Twitter’s Firehose. Twitter’s Firehose is the only one that has access to 100% of Twitter content. Twitter’s Firehose formerly was handled by multiple data providers (eg, Gnip, DataSift, and Topsy), although, since August 2015, Twitter only allows access to Twitter’s Firehose through Gnip [28,42]. Tweet Binder, the search engine we employed, uses Twitter Firehose [43] and allows access to 100% of all public tweets that match a set of search criteria (query) [44]. In addition, Tweet Binder provided a full report with general metrics from all the tweets with #hikikomori in the defined period of time. This includes the total number of tweets and retweets, date and time tweeted, as well as (for this study) other secondary metrics: the temporal trend of the tweets, potential reach (the number of unique users that could have read the hashtag), and potential impact (the number of times that somebody could have read the hashtags).

Figure 1 shows a flowchart illustrating the process we followed for the analysis of the tweets, along with the number of tweets included and excluded.

**Content Analysis Process and Creation of the Codebook**

All the retrieved tweets were directly inspected by 2 raters fluent in the included languages (VPS and MAM): both of them were psychiatric trainees and had previous experience in Twitter-related research, including the analysis of tweets. First, we scanned all of the tweets to classify them by language and excluded 386 of the total of 1042 tweets, according to our exclusion criteria. We created a codebook based on our research questions, previous experience analyzing tweets, and also determined by the most common themes we had observed reading the tweets. VPS and MAM analyzed 252 tweets separately to test the codebook. After an agreement on the codebook, the 2 raters classified, independently, a random set of 80 tweets (40 in English and 40 in Spanish), and the interrater reliability between both raters was assessed obtaining Kappa values ranging from 0.28 to 0.83 for the different categories and subcategories. Discrepancies were discussed between the raters and with the senior author (AT), and after revising the codebook, the interrater reliability was reassessed with a different set of 80 randomly selected tweets (40 in English and 40 in Spanish). As this resulted in adequate Kappa values ranging from 0.71 to 1.00 [45], the raters then proceeded to code the remaining tweets. For the remaining tweets, one of the raters (VPS) coded the tweets in Spanish, Italian, Catalan, and French, whereas the other rater (MAM) coded the tweets in English. One rater manually compiled the count of hashtags other than #hikikomori associated with the tweets.

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of data management and content analysis.
Table 1. Category and subcategory definitions and examples of classification. Links and usernames within the tweets have been removed (usernames and personal names were replaced by XXX). To seek for clarity, all the tweets reported here are in English (when tweets in other languages are reported, an English translation prepared by us is included in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category (or subcategory)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Examples of tweets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclassifiable</td>
<td>Not enough information, only links, spam, or random content.</td>
<td>“Furries is Love Furries is Life #Furries #Hikikomori”; “damn... that’s why I play this game again... kawaii character :D; #WhoCares #Lunatic #Hikikomori”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hikikomori not as a problem</td>
<td>Positive or indifferent thoughts, attitudes, or behaviors related to hikikomori.</td>
<td>“I’m a hikikomori but I think outside the room. But many extroverts think like birds in a cage. #hikikomori”; “About to go full #Hikikomori. No regrets”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hikikomori as a problem</td>
<td>Medical publications or reports, or events, campaigns, or interventions that present data or information related to hikikomori.</td>
<td>“Can we use #SocialMedia to identify socially withdrawn youth in China? Our latest paper on #hikikomori now out in @XXXX”; “#japan, Doctors began to observe it in the mid-1980s, with young men suffering from lethargy and refusing to communicate – #hikikomori an insight #psychological ailment”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anecdotes</td>
<td>“#Hikikomori literally means ‘withdrawal from others’ in Japanese—follow the stories of a family affected by this modern-age social phenomenon as one day Nils decides to hide in his room and never leave. #Week53”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>“If you are experiencing #hikikomori chat to others on #joinin247 #london #tokyo #osaka #kyoto #isolation #yourenotalone #endthesilence”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scientific reference</td>
<td>“Can we use #SocialMedia to identify socially withdrawn youth in China? Our latest paper on #hikikomori now out in @XXXX”; “Secure Base Script and Psychological Dysfunction in Japanese Young Adults (Umemura et al 2018) #hikikomori via @XXXX”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other country</td>
<td>“#Hikikomori, è boom anche in Italia: migliaia di giovani si auto-recludono in casa”. (“#Hikikomori is also a boom in Italy: thousands of youth are self-reclusive at home”); “Can we use #SocialMedia to identify socially withdrawn youth in China? Our latest paper on #hikikomori now out in @XXXX”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 illustrates the final content classification (codebook), providing the definitions and some examples of tweets coded in each category and subcategory. Regarding the tweet texts in the included languages, the first distinction was between unclassifiable and classifiable tweets. Unclassifiable tweets were no further analyzed, whereas the classifiable were then split between those referencing hikikomori not as a problem and those referring to hikikomori as a problem. The latter were subsequently coded in the subcategories of medical, anecdotes, and social. Finally, all the classifiable tweets were assessed to identify explicit references to scientific contents and reports to the existence of hikikomori or related behaviors in countries other than Japan (in that case, we recorded the countries explicitly referenced). In case of finding contents repeated exactly or almost identically in different tweets, they were classified in the same way as the first tweet encountered.

All the tweets were statistically analyzed to describe the number of tweets, retweets, and likes per language and category (and subcategory), considering retweets and likes as indices for reflecting the users’ interests in particular topics. We had previously reported the value of retweets in this regard [28], so we further calculated the Spearman correlation between retweets and likes for all the tweets to assess whether the likes could provide similar information. These analyses were conducted with the software packages STATA v14 (StataCorp) and SPSS Statistics v23.0.0.0 (IBM Corp).

This study received the approval of the University of Navarra Research Ethics Committee (October 11, 2018, modified on December 13, 2018) and is compliant with the research ethics principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (seventh revision, 2013). This study did not directly involve human subjects, nor did it include any intervention; instead uses only publicly available tweets (subject to universal access through the internet according to the Terms of Service that all users in Twitter accept). Nevertheless, we have taken care to not directly reveal in this report any username, and we have avoided citing tweets that could be offensive or compromised to someone.

Results

Our search tool provided 1042 original tweets using #hikikomori in the established period, with 1433 retweets, a potential reach of 7,974,329, 10,613,856 potential impacts, and 908 contributors (ie, total number of different users posting with a given hashtag). As shown in Figure 1, our content analysis included 656 tweets (62.3% of the initial dataset). Of the total of 656 included tweets, 421 (64.8%) were considered classifiable. From these 421 classifiable tweets, 372 (88.36%) considered hikikomori as a problem.

Table 2 shows the numbers and percentages of tweets per language and (sub)category. The distribution of tweets in each category was significantly different (P<.001) among languages.
English and Italian were the most used languages (76.36%). The proportion of tweets referring to hikikomori as a nonproblematic behavior or lifestyle was highest in Spanish/Catalan tweets and lowest in Italian tweets. Italian was the language with the highest percentage of tweets considering hikikomori as a problem. Among tweets considering hikikomori as a problem, the medical contents were higher in all the languages in comparison with contents related to anecdotes or social. The language with the highest proportion of medical content was Italian, anecdotes was English, and social content was Italian. The proportion of tweets with explicit scientific references was very low in all languages; the highest proportion was found in English tweets. Only a minority of the tweets mentioned hikikomori as a problem outside Japan in all the languages except for Italian, where almost a third of the tweets had this reference. Figure 2 shows a world map including the countries other than Japan where hikikomori was explicitly reported as a problem.

The probability of retweet and like per category and subcategory is presented in Table 3. Tweets with an explicit reference to hikikomori outside Japan were statistically more retweeted and liked than those without that reference, whereas tweets with explicit scientific references were statistically more retweeted (but not more liked) than those tweets without that reference.

**Table 2.** Descriptive characteristics of the original tweets included in the analysis, categorized by total amount per language and category. For each language and category or subcategory, total number of tweets (n) and relative proportions (%) are provided. In the first row, percentages of the total tweets in each language is calculated over the total of included tweets (ie, percentage of tweets in a given language among the total number of included tweets, 656); in the following rows, percentages are calculated for each category over the figures in the first row and in the same column (ie, percentage of tweets from a category among the total tweets in the given language or percentage of total tweets in each category among the total number of included tweets). Percentages are rounded to two decimals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>English, n (%)</th>
<th>Italian, n (%)</th>
<th>Spanish and Catalan, n (%)</th>
<th>French, n (%)</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total tweets</td>
<td>290 (44.2)</td>
<td>211 (32.16)</td>
<td>61 (9.29)</td>
<td>94 (14.32)</td>
<td>656 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassifiable</td>
<td>125 (43.1)</td>
<td>54 (25.56)</td>
<td>19 (31.14)</td>
<td>37 (39.36)</td>
<td>235 (35.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classifiable</td>
<td>165 (56.89)</td>
<td>157 (74.44)</td>
<td>42 (68.86)</td>
<td>57 (60.64)</td>
<td>421 (64.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not a problem</td>
<td>30 (10.34)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (21.31)</td>
<td>6 (6.38)</td>
<td>49 (7.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Problem**

| Any            | 132 (45.1) | 156 (73.93) | 29 (47.54) | 51 (54.25) | 372 (56.7)  |
| Medical        | 79 (27.24) | 132 (62.56) | 19 (31.14) | 47 (50)    | 277 (42.22) |
| Anecdotes      | 43 (14.83) | 13 (6.16)   | 8 (13.11)  | 3 (3.19)   | 67 (10.21)  |
| Social         | 10 (3.45)  | 11 (5.21)   | 2 (3.28)   | 1 (1.06)   | 24 (3.66)   |
| Scientific reference | 18 (6.21) | 5 (2.37)    | 1 (1.64)   | 2 (2.13)   | 26 (3.96)   |
| Other country  | 16 (5.18)  | 64 (30.33)  | 2 (3.28)   | 7 (7.45)   | 89 (13.57)  |

**Figure 2.** World map with countries where the presence of hikikomori was explicitly referenced by the tweets (Italy=63 tweets, United States=7 tweets, France=6 tweets, China=4 tweets, United Kingdom=3 tweets, and South Korea, India, Tunisia, and Spain=1 tweet each).
Table 3. Retweet to tweet ratio per category and subcategory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category and subcategory</th>
<th>Tweets, N</th>
<th>Retweets</th>
<th>Likes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.86 (11.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>1.78 (2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As a problem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1.84 (2.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anecdotes</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.63 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5 (1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific reference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>1.72 (2.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.58 (2.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference to another country</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>1.68 (2.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>2.05 (2.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of retweets and likes per category and subcategory of all tweets in the included languages (here not separated by language), along with its mean (SD) and median values. Mann-Whitney U and Kruskall-Wallis tests were conducted to assess for statistical differences for the codification of each category. Statistical significance was considered when \( P < .05 \), and significant values are italicized.

There were no differences in retweets and likes ratios among the different subcategories that considered hikikomori as a problem. The distribution of retweets per language followed an asymmetric distribution, with some individual tweets receiving a large number of retweets and likes; therefore, a statistical comparison of tweets and likes per language would be unreliable. Interestingly, accounting for all the tweets in the sample, and a secondary research result, the indices of retweet and like showed a moderate (Spearman’s rho: 0.54) and significant (\( P < .001 \)) correlation (Figure 3).

Table 4 includes the top 5 most frequently associated hashtags per language group. Looking at all languages, the most repeated words include references to Japan, the youth, and mental health or psychology.

The number of times each hashtag appears is shown in parentheses. Less than 5 are reported if the total number of associated hashtags per language was lesser than that number. More than 5 are reported when a tie occurred. For hashtags in languages other than English, an English translation is provided in parentheses. An interpretation of the hashtags is provided in the Discussion section.

Finally, Figure 4 depicts the graphical timeline of tweets during the time frame selected, also as a secondary analysis. No temporal time trend pattern can be clearly identified.
Table 4. Top 5 hashtags associated with classifiable #hikikomori tweets, according to the language of the tweet. The values in brackets represent the number of tweets in the sample with the corresponding hashtags.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Top (^a) related hashtags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>#japan (32), #neet (10), #culture (9), #otaku (7), #mentalhealth (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>#giovani (youth) (24), #italia (Italy) (16), giappone (Japan) (9), #isolamento (isolation) (8), #adolescenti (adolescents), #asocialità (asociality), #stareindisparte (being apart) and #autorrecludono (self-reclusion) (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>#japon (Japan) (5), #psicologia (psychology), #neetlife (4), #depresion (depression), #videojuegos (videogames), #anime, #adiccionalosvideojuegos (addiction to the videogames) (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>#societe (society) (4), #sante (health) (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Top hashtags refer to the most prevalent hashtags (different from #hikikomori) included in the tweets of the study.

Figure 4. Time trend of all the tweets with #hikikomori in the period of study. The graph includes the number of contributors (different Twitter users publishing with this hashtag), original tweets, retweets, replies (tweets published as replies to the tweets with the hashtag), links and pictures included, and total number of tweets (original+retweets).

Discussion

Comments on the Results

In this study, we investigated the tweets generated about hikikomori in several Indo-European languages (English, Italian, Spanish, Catalan, and French) which are mainly spoken in Western countries and altogether account for more than a billion native speakers. Our analysis strategy with Twitter Firehose data stream allows access to 100% of all public tweets within the search limits of words and time [44]. Thus, the conclusions were obtained from the results measured in the total population of tweets in those languages between Februray and mid-August 2018, and were not deduced from the analysis of a reduced sample.

To our best knowledge, this is the first study related to hikikomori in Twitter and the first to apply a mixed quantitative-qualitative approach to analyze tweets in different languages. English and Italian were the most used languages among those analyzed. A fair amount of the tweets could not be analyzed, which might be due to lack of information or context, or a random or nonsense use of the word hikikomori (in several cases, probably, as spam). Among the classified tweets, a minority described a perception of hikikomori or related behaviors as nonproblematic, perhaps describing a self-imposed lifestyle. Conversely, the majority of the tweets reported hikikomori as a problem, mainly in general terms (as an alarming social phenomenon or as a psychopathology), and at a lesser extent, some included first- or third-person testimonials, whereas the fewer were related to solidarity/activism. Explicit scientific references were barely found, but more than one-tenth of the tweets reported hikikomori in countries other than Japan, with a striking majority referring to Italy. Among the classifiable tweets, top associated hashtags were mainly related to Japan, the youth, the mental health psychology, and the society and culture. The time trend did not show markedly differentiated peaks of activity related to the hashtag.

Recent publications have proven the role of social media as a target for medical research and interventions [46,47]. Mental health disorders and conditions are topics of increased interest in Twitter [28], and this platform could be an enormous niche where many young people and socially withdrawn individuals...
could be reached. Our study tried to identify users reporting hikikomori-like symptoms or behaviors in themselves or others, in the search of potential targets for social media–based interventions. We did find tweets reporting these symptoms and behaviors in the categories of *not a problem* and *as a problem*, *anecdotes*. The similar number of tweets found in both categories (combined, up to 18% of the included tweets) shows that many users disclosing these symptoms or behaviors do not perceive them as a problem: this fact might be related with the common perspective of hikikomori as a self-imposed nonpathological lifestyle [25]. It furthermore provides an insight of the potential value of Twitter as an arena where affected individuals seek to revendicate their way of living or seeking for help.

In addition, Twitter might be considered as an indicator of real-time public opinion [46,48], as a source of general and medical information, and a framework for peer support through an online social community [49]. In this context, we thought that a mixed quantitative and qualitative analysis of tweets in Western languages related to hikikomori would be able to explore the public perceptions regarding this condition and the extent of this phenomenon within a global perspective. Our results suggest that hikikomori is not univocally perceived by users, probably existing a wide confusion in how it is widely understood. They also reflect that, whereas it is often perceived as a problem related to Japan, it might exist in other countries in the world, with particularly alarming references to Italy.

It should be noted that, currently, the literature related to medical research in hikikomori is also heterogenous and the concept has not yet reached an international consensus regarding its nature as a social phenomenon, a cultural-bond syndrome, or a psychopathological symptom or disorder per se [4]. Despite the increased evidence of its existence in countries other than Japan, especially in East Asia and in the West [1], and its frequent identification in mental health settings, the conceptual diversity, ignorance, and confusion in relation to how this problem is perceived in the psychiatric community seem high and should be addressed with cross-cultural international research.

Although few tweets included personal testimonies, their existence proves the value of Twitter as a means of communicating this kind of contents to a large public in spite of the still present public and self-stigma toward psychiatric conditions [50]. However, as Twitter allows for anonymity, it might be preferred by people with real or perceived personal or social restrictions, what makes this social network an ideal arena to discuss this topic. The lack of tweets with explicit scientific contents, which is probably, in part, related to our unavailability to explore the links attached, contrasted with its statistically significative higher chance to be retweeted (but not liked). These results are a stimulus to encourage researchers, editors, and mass media outlets to explicitly highlight the results of scientific works in the social media, thus both offering evidence-based information to the general public and increasing the academic impact (ie, increasing the chance of citation) of the tweeted papers [51].

The global extension of the hikikomori phenomenon was confirmed in our analysis, as this term was used to name a problem existing in some countries across the world, and, despite the relatively low amount of tweets with these contents, they elicited a significant interest among users, as reflected by their statistically higher chance to be retweeted and liked. The increased interest in the emergence of hikikomori in countries other than Japan (especially in Italy, with some tweets reporting very high figures of prevalence in that country) reflected in retweets and likes of these contents contrasts with the still scarce published scientific literature of hikikomori and the lack of epidemiological figures and intervention guidelines in non-Japanese countries. Twitter is a fast social media reflecting real-time events and opinions; so, despite the heterogeneity and unaccuracy of many contents, clinicians, researchers, and policymakers should take them into account to address the problems and worries reflected in this social media and to rapidly detect and intervene on various health conditions [39].

We could not further characterize the contents of the tweets addressing hikikomori as a medical problem, but they included news, epidemiological figures, opinions, research reports, and interventions. It would be valuable to explore the medical contents more in detail and compare them with the Twitter research in other health conditions. In addition, hikikomori’s core symptoms make social media a valuable tool to reach these patients [27]. As it has been shown that interventions in Twitter can modify health-related behavior [52], this platform could be a good scenario to promote a healthy lifestyle among at-risk individuals and encourage hikikomori patients to get in touch with offline health providers.

In accordance with previous research in Twitter, we considered retweets as a measure of users’ particular interest in a topic, which might be associated to the emotions elicited by the tweets in them [53]. In addition, we have explored the value of likes for the same purpose and found a moderate positive correlation between both indices. Further research could assess if retweets and likes are interchangeable metrics of interest or present different particularities between them.

**Limitations and Strengths**

Some limitations should be noted in this study and need to be discussed in the light of its strengths. Most importantly, the codebook design and text analysis by 2 raters (with a third author as a supervisor), altogether with the mixed nature of this study (with a qualitative plus a quantitative approach), imply a degree of subjectivity, disagreement, and human error and constitute a challenge for its potential reproducibility by other authors. To address this issue, the study comprised a series of steps of initial review, design, and test of pilot codebooks and measurements of the interrater reliability. Thus, the process has been consistent, and the final ratings were expected to be reliable between the 2 raters. Consequently, in our opinion, this methodology is consistent with previous medical research studies in Twitter [54,55] and could be applied to different topics and by different authors. Although computerized machine-learning methods have been tested to automatically identify and classify topics in medical research in social media [56], we counted on the clinical expertise of the raters in Mental Health, which constitutes a qualitative advantage in relation to these automatized strategies.
To note, #hikikomori is not probably the unique word used in Twitter to reference this phenomenon (particularly outside Japan, where this concept may be unknown and where other words such as social isolation and withdrawal might be preferred), and tweets in Japanese (where one would expect to find the majority of the contents related to hikikomori) were not considered in this study and thus remain as a source of future cross-cultural research. However, although hikikomori is used as a limited term outside Japan (people might use other words to name it), this concept could also be a potential self-identification term for people who suffer from this problem and have no other words to name it [4].

The time frame was somewhat arbitrary but was selected to expect a reasonable number of tweets to classify. Apparently, the time trends of the retrieved tweets did not suggest the existence of a clear temporal pattern. A time trend was described in this study to rule out the presence of evident peaks in the activity of Twitter users regarding this topic, which has been observed in other medical conditions such as breast cancer during the so-called awareness months [57]. Many tweets were initially discarded owing to its language, according to the possibilities of the raters, so we could not analyze the contents of many tweets. Finally, the content analysis of tweets found the expected challenge of retrieving enough information to classify them. As tweets are limited in words and require no standard of linguistic or content correction to be published by anyone, and as we decided to avoid using information not included in the text itself (such as links or pictures, to limit the subjectivity in the rating, and for the sake of analytic efficiency), many tweets were rated as unclassifiable because of lacking enough information. Finally, the relatively small sample of tweets considered for content analysis precluded the possibility to separate independent samples for pilot testing of codebooks and interrater reliability, so the final classification might be somewhat biased. Nevertheless, the consistency of our method and the wide variety of tweets make us confident of having conducted a valuable mixed quantitative-qualitative exploration of this topic in a social media global context.

Conclusions
In conclusion, hikikomori is a repeated word in different Western languages in Twitter, and despite its frequent use for uncertain or nonsense purposes, it is markedly perceived as a problem with strong associations with Japan, the society, the youth, and isolation. In addition, Twitter is a means to report personal stories, scientific publications, and the presence of this problem in non-Japanese societies. Our results provide a framework to take advantage of Twitter to provide users with accurate information, fight stigma, and reach a target population which might be unlikely to leave their rooms to ask for help by themselves. These kinds of interventions, using other social media platforms, have started to prove effective in people with hikikomori [27] and other potentially margined populations such as the military veterans [41]. Further research should take a cross-cultural perspective looking at tweets and other kinds of social media contents in Japanese and other Eastern and Western languages and test the potential of Web-based interventions to reach individuals with hikikomori or related behaviors and offer them support.
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Abstract

Background: Renal anemia is one of the most important complications in patients on maintenance hemodialysis (MHD). Telehealth-based dialysis registration systems have the advantage of real-time monitoring and have gradually been applied to the management of chronic diseases.

Objective: The objective of our study was to evaluate the impact of a telehealth-based dialysis registration system on patients on MHD in terms of renal anemia control.

Methods: The Red China project aimed to develop a dialysis registration system based on the WeChat mobile platform. Demographic and baseline laboratory parameters such as age, gender, primary disease, dialysis age, and baseline creatinine levels were recorded using this system. In addition, the hemoglobin and hematocrit levels were recorded monthly. The platform then generated a hemoglobin and hematocrit statistics report for each hemodialysis center monthly, including the detection rate, target rate, and distribution of hemoglobin and released it to physicians via the WeChat mobile phone app. The physicians were then able to treat the individual’s anemia appropriately by changing the doses of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents or iron use on the basis of this report. We analyzed the demographic and baseline laboratory parameters, detection rate, target rate, and average level and distribution of hemoglobin 28 months after the launch of the project.

Results: A total of 8392 patients on MHD from 28 hemodialysis centers in Shanghai were enrolled from June 2015 to October 2017. The detection rate of hemoglobin increased from 54.18% to 73.61% (P<.001), the target rate of hemoglobin increased from 47.55% to 56.07% (P<.001), and the mean level of hemoglobin increased from 10.83 (SD 1.60) g/dL to 11.07 (SD 1.60) g/dL (P<.001). In addition, the proportion of patients with hemoglobin levels ≥11 g/dL but <13 g/dL increased from 40.40% to 47.48%.

Conclusions: This telehealth-based dialysis registration system can provide timely reporting of the anemia status in patients on MHD, which may improve the awareness of anemia and the attention to and compliance with anemia monitoring.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13168) doi:10.2196/13168
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Introduction

Telehealth technologies offer advantages of accessibility, convenience, and time-effectiveness and are thus being increasingly adopted to aid the management of long-term conditions worldwide. Increasing evidence has demonstrated promising results for telecare or telehealth medicine in the management of diabetes, heart failure, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cancer [1]; however, data on its use in patients on maintenance hemodialysis (MHD) are still sparse.

Recent Quality and Outcomes Framework Disease Register data comparing data from 2006-2007 to 2010-2011 showed a 45% increase in the prevalence of chronic kidney disease, second only to the increase in cancer (79%) [2]. The management of this long-term condition is increasingly challenging when it develops into end-stage renal disease (ESRD) or requires MHD. Renal anemia is extremely common among patients on MHD and often underlies symptoms including fatigue, depression, reduced exercise tolerance, and dyspnea; increased morbidity and mortality related to cardiovascular disease; an increased risk of hospitalization; and an increased length of hospital stay. Patient mortality and hospitalization risks were shown to decrease by 10%-12% for every 1 g/dL increase in mean facility-level hemoglobin [3]. MHD patients should thus be monitored for anemia in a timely manner and managed carefully; according to the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines, hemoglobin levels should be monitored at least monthly [4]. However, the actual management is still not satisfactory. Data from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) showed that more frequent hemoglobin monitoring was associated with lower facility-level variations in the hemoglobin levels [5]. Data from Chinese DOPPS facilities also showed that a large proportion of patients on MHD did not meet the expressed hemoglobin target and that less frequent and substantial increases in the doses of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) were associated with hemoglobin levels <9 g/dL [6]. Another cohort study enrolled a total of 2388 patients with ESRD (1775 patients on MHD) from nine centers in the largest dialysis facilities in six cities around China and found that about 60% of the patients did not reach the hemoglobin target of 11 g/dL, even though 85.0% of them were treated with erythropoietin [7].

Several renal dialysis registration data systems exist worldwide, including the United States Renal Data System (USRDS), the European Renal-European Dialysis and Transplantation Association Registry, the Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant Registry, the State of Chronic Dialysis Therapy in Japan, the Hong Kong Renal registration, and the China National Renal Data System. However, these registration systems collect data from dialysis units and issue a dialysis report every year only for quality measures. In China, a registration system that can reflect the dynamic, real-time
anemia status of patients on hemodialysis is still lacking, thus preventing their timely treatment.

We therefore established the Red China project using telehealth technology in June 2015 with the aim of improving renal anemia in patients on MHD, allowing timely reporting to nephrologists, facilitating the early recognition and resolution of anemia, and benefiting the long-term prognosis of patients on MHD.

Methods

Participants

The Red China project developed a dialysis registration system based on the WeChat mobile platform. All patients with ESRD undergoing MHD at the 28 centers between June 1, 2015, and October 31, 2017, were enrolled in the study. There were no exclusion criteria, apart from patients not willing to participate. Demographic and baseline laboratory parameters such as age, gender, primary disease, dialysis age, and baseline creatinine levels were recorded in this system. Hemoglobin and hematocrit levels were recorded monthly. We analyzed the demographic and baseline laboratory parameters and the detection rate, target rate, average level, and distribution of hemoglobin from June 2015 to October 2017 after the launch of the project. Detection rate refers to the proportion of patients for whom the hemoglobin level was recorded for one month, and target rate refers to the proportion of patients who achieved a hemoglobin level of 11 g/dL in that one month.

Telehealth System

The project was developed using an online platform based on WeChat, currently regarded as the most popular instant-messaging platform in China. This app is the largest social app in China and has met the requirements of international authoritative certification standards in terms of information security. The platform aimed to help medical staff record and monitor hemoglobin levels, hematocrit levels, and other physiological indicators in real time.

Clinical User Interface

Data entry was conducted by full-time personnel with research qualifications. The hemoglobin data were collected from laboratories in each hemodialysis center. The platform generated monthly hemoglobin and hematocrit statistics reports for each hemodialysis center, including the detection rate, target rate, and distribution of hemoglobin. The system highlighted patients who were outside the target and released this information to physicians via the WeChat mobile phone app. The physicians were then able to adjust the patient’s treatment to resolve their anemia individually, on the basis of this report.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics were expressed as the mean (SD) for normally distributed data and as frequencies and percentages for categorical data. Comparisons between baseline and 28 months after the project were performed using paired t tests or Chi-square tests, as applicable. All analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 19.0; SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL). A P value < .05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

This study included 8392 patients on MHD from 28 hemodialysis centers in Shanghai. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex - men, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>5059 (60.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>60.5 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dialysis duration, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3 months</td>
<td>1220 (14.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months to 1 year</td>
<td>3359 (40.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 years</td>
<td>3029 (36.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>744 (8.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 years</td>
<td>40 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary disease, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>3880 (46.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>781 (9.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive nephrosclerosis</td>
<td>843 (10.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic kidney disease</td>
<td>251 (2.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2002 (23.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>635 (7.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine level (µmol/L), mean (SD)</td>
<td>853.43 (341.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin level (g/L), mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.83 (1.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detection Rate and Target Rate of Hemoglobin
The detection and target rates of hemoglobin were both significantly higher in the 28 months after the project was set up compared with the period before the beginning of the project (detection rates: 73.61% vs 54.18%; target rates: 56.07% vs 47.55%, both $P<.001$; Figure 1).

Figure 1. Detection and target rates of hemoglobin in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Hb: hemoglobin.

Improvements in Hemoglobin and Hematocrit Levels
Hemoglobin and hematocrit levels were both significantly higher in the 28 months after the project was set up compared to the period before the start of the project (hemoglobin: mean 11.07, SD 1.60 g/dL vs mean 10.83, SD 1.60 g/dL; hematocrit: mean 34.08%, SD 4.89% vs mean 33.51%, SD 5.12%; both $P<.001$).

Distribution of Hemoglobin
The monthly distribution of hemoglobin in patients on MHD is shown in Figure 2. During the 28-month follow-up, the proportion of patients with hemoglobin levels $\geq 8$ g/dL but $<10$ g/dL decreased from 20.14% to 17.07%, the proportion of patients with hemoglobin levels $<8$ g/dL decreased from 4.96% to 4.08%, and the proportion of patients with hemoglobin levels $\geq 11$ but $<13$ g/dL increased from 40.40% to 47.48%.

Figure 2. Distribution of hemoglobin in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Hb: hemoglobin.
Discussion

Recently, there has been mounting evidence of the feasibility of smartphone apps in remote monitoring and flexible follow-up of patients in western countries [8-10]. However, the experience of using a smartphone app in the management of chronic diseases in China is limited. This study is the first in China to use telehealth technology to promote the management of renal anemia, assess user acceptability, and collect data on patients with ESRD on MHD.

The prevalence of ESRD is increasing worldwide. According to Nanjing Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance data, the prevalence of ESRD is expected to increase by approximately 1.95% annually from 2015 to 2025, with a predictive value of 1505 per million population in 2025 [2], representing high financial and public health burdens. Furthermore, the increasing number of patients with ESRD accessing hemodialysis is associated with increasing challenges in terms of managing the accompanying renal anemia. Anemia is extremely common among dialysis patients and underlies a range of symptoms including fatigue, depression, reduced exercise tolerance, and dyspnea; increased morbidity and mortality related to cardiovascular disease; an increased risk of hospitalization; and a longer hospital stay. According to a 2017 USRDS report, the mean hemoglobin level in ESRD patients was 9.5 g/dL [11], while the KDIGO guidelines, European Best Practices Guideline, and The National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcome Initiative guidelines recommend a target hemoglobin level of 11-12 g/dL in patients on MHD [4,12,13].

Telehealth technologies offer advantages of accessibility, convenience, and time effectiveness and are thus being increasingly adopted to aid the management of long-term conditions worldwide. Increasing evidence has indicated promising results for telecare and telehealth medicine in the management of diabetes, heart failure, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cancer [1] and even chronic kidney disease and peritoneal dialysis [14-16]. Sobrinho et al reported that a mobile health app that aimed at assisting in the early diagnosis and self-monitoring of disease progression in patients with chronic kidney disease was associated with quality attributes such as safety, effectiveness, and usability [14]. Telemedicine is also a promising new tool for the remote management of automated peritoneal dialysis, allowing timely intervention prior to the development of more significant problems, reducing the frequency of in-person visits for emergency problems, and reducing health care resource utilization and associated costs [17-19]. However, data on its use in patients on MHD, especially for management of renal anemia, are still sparse.

We therefore developed the Red China project using telehealth technology in June 2015 with the aim of allowing timely reporting to nephrologists, to facilitate the early recognition and resolution of anemia and thus improve the long-term prognosis in patients on dialysis. Similar to the American practice, the Red China project collects and reports the patient hemoglobin test data on a regular basis, prompting the clinician to personally adjust the anemia management medication for patients on MHD, which can reduce the workload of medical staff. In addition to individualized management of patients, the project monitors the compliance status of the patient population in the dialysis center, assists clinicians in overall analysis, and improves MHD patients’ hemoglobin level.

Our results showed that achieved rate of target hemoglobin levels in patients on MHD increased significantly from 47.55% to 56.07% during the 28 months following the introduction of the project, compared with another cohort study in China that showed a target rate of 40% [7]. Our results also showed that the proportion of patients with a hemoglobin level <8 g/dL decreased from 4.96% to 4.08% following the start of the project, compared with 12% in China’s DOPPS research [6], 31.7% in Japan’s DOPPS study [20], and 8.7% in North America’s DOPPS study [20,21]. The mean hemoglobin level in this study increased from 10.83 (SD 1.60) g/dL to 11.07 (SD 1.60) g/dL, compared with the mean hemoglobin levels of 10.5 (SD 2.0) g/dL, 10.4 (SD 1.2) g/dL, and 11.5 (SD 1.2) g/dL in China, Japan, and North America, respectively. Although the Red China project has the potential to help clinicians improve anemia in patients on hemodialysis, there is a gap in performance between Shanghai and developed countries such as Japan and North America. Notably, we did not observe a consistent improvement in the hemoglobin levels over baseline for several months. This could be because many other factors played an important role in modulation of the hemoglobin levels, including dialysis adequacy, nutrient status, infection, and chronic gastrointestinal bleeding, which were not recorded in this project. In addition, management of renal anemia via the app took time to yield benefits.

In terms of privacy and security, the Red China project follows the “National Standard of the People’s Republic of China: GB/T 35273-2017 Personal Information Security Specification of Information Security Technology.” When collecting information, full informed consent was obtained from all patients on MHD and data entry was conducted by full-time personnel with research qualifications. Only researchers have access to the patient data in the center. The data are deidentified, and security measures such as encryption are used for data transmission and storage. The Red China project uses the largest social app in China—WeChat—for patient management. The WeChat platform uses information security technologies such as encryption and anonymization to ensure information security. WeChat has passed the assessment and filing of national network security–level protection and has met the requirements of international authoritative certification standards. The Red China project uses the Alibaba Cloud server to transmit normal and secure traffic back to the server by conducting recognition of malicious features and protecting the service traffic of the app; through the website HTTPS encryption, it can prevent hijacking and tampering, avoid malicious invasion of the website server, and ensure the security of core data.

This study had several limitations. We did not include information on serum iron levels, total iron-binding capacity, transferrin saturation, ferritin levels, or use of drugs such as iron and ESA. However, we aim to improve this platform and include other laboratory parameters such as iron metabolism indicators as well as iron and ESA use in the future. In addition, this
Telehealth system has been limited to the physicians, and we believe it would be useful to send the information to patients as well and provide patient education to improve clinical outcomes in the future.

Telehealth technology offers a tool for improved monitoring and calibration of anemia to meet the recommended targets. This telemedicine system could be also used to analyze the reason why some patients could not meet the target and to help develop strategies to improve patient outcomes for anemia management as well as other clinical parameters such as dietary intervention for control of phosphate levels and blood pressure. Further studies in emerging dialysis practices serving large numbers of patients are needed to determine the effects of this technology on improving the achievement of anemia targets as well as the associations with patient outcomes.

In conclusion, telehealth technology offers a promising, feasible, and accessible tool for improving the management of renal anemia in patients on MHD.
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Abstract

Background: Families living with Alzheimer disease and related dementias have more access to support thanks to the development of effective telehealth-based programs. However, as technological science grows, so does the risk that these technology-based interventions will diverge from foundational protocols, diluting their efficacy. Strategies that ensure programs are delivered as intended, with fidelity to guiding protocols, are needed across the intervention spectrum—from development to wide-scale implementation. Few papers address fidelity in their technology-based work. Here, we present our translated telehealth intervention, Tele-STAR, with our fidelity findings.

Objective: This study aimed to assess the preliminary efficacy of Tele-STAR on reducing family caregiver burden and depression. Across the implementation phases, we assessed the fidelity of a caregiver education intervention, STAR-C, as it was translated into a telehealth option (Tele-STAR).

Methods: A total of 13 family caregivers consented to participate in an 8-week, videoconference-based intervention (Tele-STAR). Tele-STAR efficacy in reducing the affective burden of caregiving was assessed using pre- and postintervention paired t tests. Content experts assessed program fidelity by reviewing and rating Tele-STAR materials for adherence to the original STAR-C protocol. These experts assessed treatment fidelity by viewing videos of the intervention and rating adherence on a checklist.

Results: Tele-STAR reduced caregiver burden and retained good program and treatment fidelity to STAR-C.

Conclusions: We found Tele-STAR reduced caregiver burden and had good fidelity to the original protocol. Assessing fidelity is a complex process that requires incorporation of these procedures early in the research process. The technology used in this study facilitated the accrual of informative data about the fidelity of our translated intervention, Tele-STAR.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13599) doi:10.2196/13599

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13599/
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Introduction

Background
Caring for one of the world’s 47 million adults with Alzheimer disease or a related dementia can be both rewarding and taxing for family members [1,2]. For some, caregiving can have detrimental effects on their mental health, leading to depression and a sense of burden [3]. The strain of caregiving can also have negative effects on quality of life for the person with dementia. Stressed families are more likely to consider long-term care placement for those with dementia, which may alleviate some of the caregiving tasks but often not the affective symptoms of depression and pre-death grief [4-6].

Interventions such as educational programs and support groups are available to family caregivers for those with dementia. These interventions are often effective [7] but caregivers report access challenges. Distance, cost, neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia and time constraints all hinder caregiver engagement in these opportunities [8,9]. Real-time, internet-based videoconferencing technology (also known as telehealth) makes education and support interventions accessible for families living with dementia. Here, we report on our work developing and assessing an internet-based intervention to provide accessible support for family members.

Telehealth-based interventions are appealing because caregivers can receive help and support in their own homes. These interventions have small to moderate effects on reducing caregiver burden and depression and good consumer acceptance [10,11]. However, despite evidence that family caregivers prefer individualized interventions with real-time counselors, most telehealth interventions are group-based, automated, and not tailored to stages of disease [11-14].

To address the need for personalized, real-time educational interventions for families caring for those with dementia, we designed Tele-STAR. Tele-STAR uses videoconferencing to connect nurse consultants with family caregivers to guide caregivers in strategies to reduce the emotional, cognitive, and physical effects of distressing behavioral symptoms of dementia. Tele-STAR was developed from the in-person intervention, STAR-C [15]. STAR-C employs cognitive behavioral techniques to increase caregiver awareness of care partner behavior and their reactions to them. The efficacy of the 8-week STAR-C intervention has been established in earlier work [15,16]. STAR-C is implemented face-to-face in families’ homes. However, in rural states such as Oregon, implementation for STAR-C is implemented face-to-face in families’ homes. Consequently, we revised the STAR-C intervention into a telehealth option to increase access to anyone with a computer and internet connection.

To preserve the efficacy of STAR-C, we sought to retain its essential components as it was translated into Tele-STAR. Our aims were to (1) explore the preliminary efficacy of Tele-STAR and (2) assess the fidelity of Tele-STAR to the original STAR-C [15], after we converted it from an in-person intervention to a telehealth-based version.

Fidelity Assessment
Fidelity assessment ascertains if an intervention adheres to prescribed protocols and treatments across the implementation spectrum, from early-stage pilots to full-fledged wide-scale interventions [17,18]. High fidelity to an intervention increases confidence in internal validity so that scientists (and other consumers) can trust that the effects of the intervention resulted from the intervention and not extraneous factors [17]. A fidelity assessment can be used to examine adherence to a novel intervention in the early stages of implementation, to evaluate if a translated program is faithful to the original protocol across the stages of an intervention, or to prevent divergence from the protocol and ensure consistent delivery in large-scale programs [18].

Recognizing the value of fidelity, the US National Institute on Aging encourages scientists to consider fidelity assessments in their behavioral research [19]. Onken et al (2014) [19] argue that behavioral interventions cannot be adequately implemented if a fidelity assessment plan is not in place. Without a fidelity plan, community providers lack guidelines to ensure an intervention is delivered as intended.

We sought to determine if Tele-STAR retained the important components of the original intervention (STAR-C) [15], if the nurse consultants adhered to the intervention protocol, and if caregivers received and enacted the information provided. Thus, we assessed 2 types of fidelity: program and treatment, to evaluate how closely Tele-STAR aligned with STAR-C [15].

Our fidelity assessment, modeled on Teri’s (2010) and Griffiths’ (2016) work, examined both program fidelity and treatment fidelity. A program fidelity assessment examines if a program retains the theoretical foundation and essential components of an intervention when it is translated into a telehealth intervention. Treatment fidelity assessment explores if a treatment is implemented and received as planned, based on the program design [17,20,21].

Methods

Participants
We recruited family caregivers from the local community and our dementia clinic. Participants had to provide care at least 4 hours/day for a family member with Alzheimer disease or a related dementia, speak English, and have access to a functional computer. They were not required to live with their family member but all did. We accepted participants from Oregon and Southwest Washington, without regard to their distance from the study center. Caregivers were not paid for their work, nor did they receive any financial compensation for study participation. Each caregiver had to report 3 or more care recipient behaviors that were distressing for them. These
standardized questions were embedded in the initial telephone screening interview [15].

Most caregivers owned a computer with internet connectivity (broadband, Wi-Fi, or cellular); we lent a laptop with cellular service to those who did not have a functional connection. All caregivers consented to having the visits recorded. We did not query caregivers about the type of computer they used (eg, computer, laptop, and smartphone), but we did assess the age of their device. We assessed caregivers’ level of comfort and knowledge with computer use.

To further characterize the sample of caregivers, we asked them how often they contacted their health care provider (from once a year or less to more than once a week) and if they attended a support group. We also queried caregivers on how often they contacted their care recipient’s health care provider and how often they gave their care recipient “as needed” medications for behaviors. The computer, health care–usage and satisfaction surveys were all emailed to caregivers, allowing them to send anonymous replies using Qualtrics [22].

All participants provided consent over the telephone. Although the care recipients did not participate in the intervention, we required their consent for their caregiver to participate. If they were unable to consent, we followed Oregon Health and Science University’s (OHSU’s) Decisionally Impaired protocol [23] and sought assent from the family member. All components of this study were approved by OHSU’s Institutional Review Board (IRB# 17526).

**Tele-STAR Procedures**

Measures used in previous STAR-C studies [15,16,24] were used in Tele-STAR (Table 1). Caregivers were assessed before, during (after the 4th visit), and after the full 8-week intervention and then 2 months after the intervention. Demographic information was collected before the initiation of the intervention. We asked caregivers to estimate the number of daily hours of caregiving they provided per week. The research assistant administered all the measures in Table 1 via the direct-to-home videoconferencing interface.

A total of 2 consultants (AL and MM) provided the Tele-STAR intervention to the family caregivers over 8 weekly sessions. One consultant is a licensed practical nurse (LPN); the other, the principal investigator (PI), is a PhD-prepared gerontological nurse practitioner (GNP). The GNP, an experienced interventionist, trained the LPN in the Tele-STAR protocol using a training outline developed by the PI. The LPN received instruction in the booklets, forms, and telehealth approach. To ensure the LPN provided the intervention as intended, the GNP observed the LPN with her first 2 caregivers and reviewed the videos from these visits.

After the training was completed, each consultant met one-to-one with a caregiver for 8 weekly, hour-long sessions via Health Insurance Probability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)–secure, internet-based videoconferencing. The consultants followed the Tele-STAR manual, which guided them through the weekly sessions [23]. Caregivers used a workbook, which was a revision of the original STAR-C workbook [32]. The bound workbook, which contained all information, handouts, and space for writing notes, was mailed to the caregivers before session 1. Caregivers were encouraged to write in the workbook and keep it for later reference. At each session, caregivers were asked to read their notations to the consultant and/or show them their written work. Recognizing that shared authority is essential to adult learning, we asked caregivers to show us their written work on the video screen, but this was not a requirement for study participation [33].

At the first session, caregivers identified 2 or 3 care recipient target behaviors that they found distressing. Over the next 7 weeks, consultants guided the caregivers through a process in which they identified a plan to address the activators of the behaviors, the behaviors, and the consequences (ABC). The consultants, following the Tele-STAR manual, provided information on communication strategies, pleasant events, and caregiver health. After the second assessment (2 months after completing the intervention), caregivers joined 1 final videoconferencing meeting in teams of 3 dubbed “Tele-STAR Trios,” to meet each other, and if they so desired, exchange contact information. All Tele-STAR sessions were recorded then stored in the HIPAA-secure cloud-based site.
To foster treatment fidelity, the 2 consultants met every week to review the process and discuss challenges. The consultants met with the content experts 3 times over the course of the study to discuss the protocol and the intervention approach.

**Fidelity Procedures**

We structured our fidelity assessment framework based on Griffiths et al’s (2016) [12] and Teri et al’s (2010) [20] approaches (Table 2). Like these authors, we engaged experts who were very familiar with the original protocol. The senior expert (LT), who designed the original STAR-C [15], provided assistance with developing the assessment criteria and mentoring the PI (AL). A total of 3 content experts (DL, LAM, GM) volunteered their time to assist with the development of the assessment criteria, assess the written materials, and view a sample of Tele-STAR videos. All 3 experts have extensive experience with the STAR-C program and with fidelity assessment of the program.

Before implementing Tele-STAR, criteria for program and treatment fidelity were identified and reviewed by the senior expert and content experts [15,17,20]. We then gave the content experts a packet with the materials to assess and work sheets to document their reviews. They had the option to complete the assessments either online or traditionally with paper and pencil.

To assess program fidelity, the content experts assessed 30 components of 4 Tele-STAR domains: General principles (12 components), homework and handouts (9 components), consultant documents (6 components), and Tele-STAR-specific documents (3 components). They were asked to use the worksheet to rate the materials in each domain with the following scale (1=inconsistent with STAR-C, 2=Same as STAR-C, and 3=adds to STAR-C) [12].

Content experts assessed treatment fidelity by viewing 12 videos of Tele-STAR sessions, which recorded the participants and consultants as they progressed through the protocol. The content experts were given access to the videos via the university’s secure, HIPAA-compliant, cloud-based system for storing and sharing documents and videos. We used a store-and-forward process to provide the content experts with video recordings of a subsample of all Tele-STAR sessions [34]. The content experts accessed and viewed videos at a later date at their convenience. Using the Consultant Adherence Checklist worksheet [20], they rated how well the treatment information was provided by the consultants and enacted by the caregivers (0=not applicable, 1=not at all/some, 2=moderately, and 3=extensively). The 3 content experts each reviewed and rated the same sessions (2 and 5) for the same 2 caregivers, assessing a total of 12 videos. They then rated 7 more videos of their choice for 4 more caregiver participants. The content experts could choose from several participants but could only view sessions 2 and 5 to maintain consistency across reviews.

For each video, the content experts assessed 6 components: General homework review, behavior change planning, maintaining and focusing on current and observable behavior, assisting caregiver in developing own solutions, responsiveness to caregiver’s current needs, and overall quality of sessions. We calculated the percentage of caregivers who completed all sessions and prescribed homework.

**Data Analysis**

This was a pilot study, and thus, data analyses were for exploratory purposes. Efficacy was assessed by comparing the measure scores before, during, and after the intervention using paired \( t \) tests [35]. As the consultants (the LPN and GNP) had different training backgrounds, we examined if there were differences in Revised Memory and Behavior Problems Checklist (RMBPC) change [25] by consultant using simple \( t \) tests. For program fidelity, we calculated the percentage that each component was rated 1=inconsistent with STAR-C, 2=same as STAR-C, or 3=adds to STAR-C. We compared scores across the 3 expert consultants to identify agreement trends. We

---

### Table 1. Tele-STAR measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurea,b</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Revised Memory and Behavior Problems Checklist [25] | - 24 items  
- Documents the frequency of distressing care recipient behaviors and rates caregivers’ reactions to these behaviors  
- Among the most commonly used measures of burden in caregiver research [26]  
- Excellent reliability when used with telehealth technology (ICCb=.80) [27] |
| Zarit Burden Interview [28] | - 4 items  
- Reliable (ICC=.79) when used with telehealth [27] |
| Desire to Institutionalize, Revised [24,29] | - 5 dichotomous items and 1 modified item that rates the likelihood of placement on a 5-point Likert scale (1: “not at all likely” to 5: “very likely”) |
| Quality of Life in Alzheimer’s Disease (QOLAD) [30] | - 13 items, option for comments |
| Montreal Cognitive Assessment [31] | - 30-point assessment that measures cognitive function that is reliable when used with telehealth (ICC=.93) [27]  
- Used with care recipient only, if no formal assessment within 1 year of study start |

a All data were collected on all participants because of the research assistant’s ability to connect with the participants via videoconferencing.  
b ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient.
planned to use the Fleiss kappa to assess inter-rater agreement [36,37].

For treatment fidelity, we assessed consultant adherence to the weekly session content and the percent of caregivers attending 8 or more treatment sessions. We compared the proportion of content coverage for each consultant in Tele-STAR to content coverage in the original STAR-C study [15]. We calculated the percentage that each component on the Consultant Adherence Checklist was rated: 0=not applicable, 1=not at all/some, 2=moderately, 3=extensively. As assessing the videos could be subjectively interpreted, we used Cohen kappa coefficient to calculate inter-rater agreement, with the following parameters: almost perfect 0.81-1.00, substantial 0.61-0.80, moderate 0.41-0.60, fair 0.21-0.40, and slight/poor <0.00 [38].

Table 2. Tele-STAR fidelity assessment components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program fidelity [12]</td>
<td>Guiding principles: Do the materials reflect the guiding theory and principles of the original program (STAR-C)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homework and handouts: Consistent with those used in STAR-C?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant documents: Align with the principles and goals of STAR-C?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tele-STAR-specific documents: Does the Tele-STAR training outline and logo follow STAR-C principles?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment fidelity [20]</td>
<td>Was the Tele-STAR intervention delivered following the STAR-C approach?: Consultant Adherence Checklist (used with videos)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did forms reflect treatment receipt?: (1) Attendance records (% complete), (2) Content checklist (% complete), (3) Participant compliance measure (% complete)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Participants

The majority (77%) of the caregivers and 31% of the care recipients were women, and all were white (Table 3). All of the caregivers were spouses or siblings. Care recipients were, for the most part, in the moderate stages of dementia. A third of the families lived in rural areas. Of the 13 caregivers who consented to Tele-STAR, 1 dropped out and was lost to follow-up after the second visit. This caregiver had a higher depression score, but not burden score, than the other caregivers. The caregivers had newer computer models (1-3 years) and were moderately comfortable using telehealth videoconferencing (average 3.6; range 1: not at all comfortable to 5: extremely comfortable). The majority found Tele-STAR very convenient and, given the choice, would prefer a telehealth option over an in-home one (Table 4).

Table 3. Demographics (n=13; one lost to follow-up).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Mean (SD); range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>67.1 (7.4); 56-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years caregiving</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5); 0.5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care recipients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>71.5 (9); 56-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years with Alzheimer Disease and Related Dementias</td>
<td>3.6 (1.9); 1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</td>
<td>15.2 (3); 10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles from study center</td>
<td>39.1 (53.6); 1-169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMiles each dyad lived from the study.
Table 4. Participant experience rating (n=11; surveys emailed to caregivers; 1 did not respond).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question and response</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, how convenient was your Tele-STAR experience?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very convenient</td>
<td>11 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat convenient</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not convenient</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I had good technical support from study team</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>11 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I could easily see and hear the consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was easy to connect with my consultant via videoconferencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you had the option of in-home or telehealth training, which would you prefer?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-home</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telehealth</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of both</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caregivers contacted their own health care providers once a year or less (average 5.3; range 1-6, 1=more than once a week to 6=once a year or less). Of the 13 participants, 7 attended support groups. There were no significant differences in the RMBPC change between those who attended support groups and those who did not.

Caregivers contacted their care recipient’s health care provider about once per month (average 4.7; range 1-6). A total of 4 caregivers gave “as needed” medications daily to their family members; 10 did not give any as needed medications.

**Results: Tele-STAR**

Caregivers’ depression and burden decreased (improved) slightly, but not significantly, by session 4 (Table 5). Burden improved significantly after session 8 as indicated by improvements in caregiver reactivity to upsetting behaviors, measured on the RMBPC [25]. This effect was sustained at 2 months postintervention. The frequency and reactivity to the target behaviors reduced significantly and was appreciated clinically by the caregivers. Ratings on the RMBPC did not differ significantly by consultant type (LPN vs GNP; \( P = .50 \) and \( .47 \), respectively).

**Results: Program Fidelity**

The 3 content experts rated 30 Tele-STAR components for a total of 90 ratings (3 per component) (Figure 1, top). Of these, 72 out of the 90 ratings (80%) were labeled as “same as” STAR-C, and 16 of the 90 ratings (17%) were labeled “adds to” STAR-C. One rating was labeled “inconsistent with STAR-C,” and 1 data point was missing. There was total agreement between 3 content experts for 18 of the 30 (60%) of the components. There was some disagreement in 11 (36%) of the rated components, but the disagreement was between whether the components were “same as STAR-C” or “adds to STAR-C,” indicating 96% agreement that Tele-STAR was the same as or adds to STAR-C. Content experts noted the Tele-STAR trios “added to” the intervention. The lack of variability in the ratings made a Fleiss kappa statistic unstable. We chose not to report it because the value is not meaningful [37]. Content experts spent about 2 to 4 hours each on this assessment. These findings indicate the Tele-STAR adhered to the theoretical foundation and components of STAR-C.
Table 5. Tele-STAR results (n=12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variablea</th>
<th>Baseline, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post session 4, mean (SD)bc</th>
<th>Post session 8, mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>2 months postintervention, mean (SD)c</th>
<th>P valuec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CESD-10d</td>
<td>10.9 (3.4)</td>
<td>11.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>10.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>11.8 (4.4)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBIe</td>
<td>9.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>8.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>8.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QOL-ADf</td>
<td>32.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>33.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>31.4 (5.5)</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall RMBPC-h-frequency</td>
<td>41.8 (10.4)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>41.1 (11.1)</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>41.7 (12.6)</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall RMBPC-reactivityi</td>
<td>32.3 (12.5)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>28.2 (10.4)</td>
<td>.04k</td>
<td>30.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target symptom 1 frequencyj</td>
<td>3.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target symptom 1 reactivityk</td>
<td>2.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>.001l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target symptom 2 frequencyl</td>
<td>3.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>.01l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target symptom 2 reactivityk</td>
<td>3.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>.001l</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aExcludes baseline data for one caregiver who dropped out.
bOnly Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale-10-item (CES-D 10) and Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI) were assessed after session 4.
cChange from post session 8.
dCESD-10: Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale-10-item.
eZBI: Zarit Burden Interview.
fQOL-AD: Quality of Life-AD.
gN/A: not applicable.
hRMBPC-frequency: Revised Memory and Behavior Problems Checklist, frequency of behavioral symptoms.
iRMBPC-reactivity: Revised Memory and Behavior Problems Checklist, Caregiver’s reactions to behavioral symptoms.
jBehavioral symptoms identified by caregivers, frequency of behavioral symptoms.
kCaregiver’s reactions to behavioral symptoms.
lP value <.05.
mTarget symptoms frequency and reactivity were measured only post session 8.
Figure 1. Program fidelity ratings (top) and treatment fidelity ratings (bottom).

Results: Treatment Fidelity

Similar to Teri et al’s findings (2005) [15] for STAR-C, 92% (12 out of 13) of the caregivers completed all Tele-STAR sessions. Similarly, 92% of the caregivers (the 12 that completed all the sessions) completed the homework assignments and developed at least one ABC plan. These findings indicate that the vast majority of the caregivers were able to understand and engage in the treatment protocol. The content experts rated consultant adherence to the protocol as “moderately” for 56 out of the 114 (49%) of the components and “extensively” for 57 of the 114 components (50%), with 1 expert rating 1 component (“Assisting Caregiver in Developing Own Solutions”) as “not all or some” for 1 video only (Figure 1, bottom). Inter-rater agreement was moderate (kappa=0.43) [38]. Content experts spent about 1.5 to 2.5 hours assessing each video. These findings show that the consultants were able to follow the protocol and implement it as designed.

Discussion

This pilot study assessed the preliminary efficacy of a revised telehealth-based intervention (Tele-STAR) and the fidelity of Tele-STAR to the original caregiver intervention (STAR-C) [15]. We found that the Tele-STAR intervention in this small sample reduced burden on the RMBPC [25] but did not improve on depression scores (Table 5). Tele-STAR had good program and treatment fidelity to STAR-C. Our fidelity assessment suggests that the Tele-STAR intervention adhered to the original STAR-C protocol and that it was implemented as designed. The implication being that the caregiver burden was reduced by the intervention and not by extraneous factors caused by divergence from the STAR-C program.

Assessing fidelity is a complex process involving time, expertise, and resources. Perepletchikova and others (2009) identified multiple barriers to fidelity assessment and found, in
their review of 147 randomized trials, that only 3.5% of the papers adequately described comprehensive fidelity assessments embedded in the trials. The authors argued that to improve consumer trust, scientists need to implement, and then report, fidelity assessment findings. However, these authors also reported that fidelity assessment demands resources that many scientists lack, namely, money, time, and senior expertise. In contrast to these findings, we found that the use of videoconferencing allowed for a feasible fidelity assessment. The technological strategies modulated financial demands, maintained quality, minimized demands on content experts’ time and allowed access to nationally recognized experts.

As we had access to the university-based telehealth system that allowed video-recording of the intervention sessions, we were able to complete this assessment with minimal cost. Unlike Teri’s fidelity assessment (2010), we did not need to use direct observation strategies. If we had performed direct observation, we would have had to pay staff for the time and travel, costing a minimum of US $1500.00 [24]. None of our content experts or staff had to travel to any site for this fidelity project, making the assessment financially feasible.

Using video recordings allowed for high-quality, multidimensional assessment of the intervention sessions. The video recordings displayed participant and consultant nonverbal cues and body language [34] along with the audio. Participants consented to the recording, but the unobtrusive nature of the recording was, for the most part, ignored by the participants. Consultants were able to view the videos on their own schedules. This store-and-forward approach allowed the content experts to perform quality treatment fidelity assessments via a secure link, at their own pace. The literature supports the fidelity of assessing interactive sessions using store-and-forward strategies. An Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality study found that telehealth fidelity assessments work best when there is a high degree of human interaction (as in the Tele-STAR intervention) and less so when the activity involves complex diagnostic testing [34]. This ability to record the sessions speaks to a maturation of technology that can facilitate fidelity assessment at a lower cost, while at the same time retain quality.

Our study provided a unique opportunity to evaluate fidelity with a program (STAR-C) [15] that had undergone previous fidelity assessments [20]. Thus, we had published guidelines and skilled experts to facilitate the process. Further, even though some of the experts lived in other states, the technology allowed for content expert assessment and team meetings, minimizing time demands for all.

The videoconferencing technology not only allowed for implementation of the intervention, it provided a medium for expert access, indicating that content experts do not need to be on-site to provide valuable feedback and guidance. In our case, we were able to engage remote content experts who were intimately familiar with the earth-bound STAR-C program [15]. Their deep knowledge of the theoretical framework, the applied intervention, and the appropriate expectations for the consultants allowed for sophisticated mentoring for the PI (AL), skilled assessment of fidelity, and ready feedback for consultants in a timely manner.

Taken together, the usable technology, expert guidance, and published protocols facilitated a fidelity assessment that was informative and laid the groundwork for future assessments. Through this process, the limitations to our pilot study were highlighted. Specifically, the ordinal assessment scales prevented sophisticated statistical analyses for the fidelity assessment. Also of concern is the racial homogeneity of the sample. Although we were able to recruit underrepresented rural participants, we fell short of our goals in recruiting African American and Asian participants. Despite these limitations, we learned that our intervention adhered to the STAR-C [15] intervention and was effective in reducing caregiver burden.

Embarking on a fidelity assessment can be nerve-wracking for scientists. Researchers may be reluctant to perform a fidelity assessment because the assessment may identify poor fidelity to the protocols, which can undermine the scientific credibility [39]. This concern speaks to the need to include fidelity assessment in the pilot phases of early-stage research [19] and to engage experts in process. Our pilot study allowed for testing of our fidelity assessment, identified areas for improvement, and laid the foundation for more sophisticated assessments of future iterations of Tele-STAR.

As the use of technology for caregiver support expands, so does the risk for interventions to become detached from the original protocols. Yet, technology also allows for creative solutions that can both change an intervention or improve upon it. In this rapidly evolving world, tactics to maintain fidelity are essential to long-term programmatic success.
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Abstract

Background: Patient experience surveys are important tools for improving the quality of cancer services, but the representativeness of responders is a concern. Increasingly, patient surveys that traditionally used postal questionnaires are incorporating an online response option. However, the characteristics and experience ratings of online responders are poorly understood.

Objective: We sought to examine predictors of postal or online response mode, and associations with patient experience in the (English) Cancer Patient Experience Survey.

Methods: We analyzed data from 71,186 patients with cancer recently treated in National Health Service hospitals who responded to the Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2015. Using logistic regression, we explored patient characteristics associated with greater probability of online response and whether, after adjustment for patient characteristics, the online response was associated with a more or less critical evaluation of cancer care compared to the postal response.

Results: Of the 63,134 patients included in the analysis, 4635 (7.34%) responded online. In an adjusted analysis, male (women vs men: odds ratio [OR] 0.50, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.46-0.54), younger (<55 vs 65-74 years: OR 3.49, 95% CI 3.21-3.80), least deprived (most vs least deprived quintile: OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.51-0.64), and nonwhite (nonwhite vs white ethnic group: OR 1.37, 95% CI 1.24-1.51) patients were more likely to respond online. Compared to postal responders, after adjustment for patient characteristics, online responders had a higher likelihood of reporting an overall satisfied experience of care (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.16-1.32). For 34 of 49 other items, online responders more frequently reported a less than positive experience of care (8 reached statistical significance), and the associations were positive for the remaining 15 of 49 items (2 reached statistical significance).

Conclusions: In the context of a national survey of patients with cancer, online and postal responders tend to differ in their characteristics and rating of satisfaction. Associations between online response and reported experience were generally small and mostly nonsignificant, but with a tendency toward less than positive ratings, although not consistently. Whether the observed associations between response mode and reported experience were causal needs to be examined using experimental survey designs.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e11855) doi:10.2196/11855
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**Introduction**

Patient experience is an important aspect of quality of care [1]. In England, the National Health Service (NHS) has embarked on major policy initiatives regarding the measurement of patient experience through nationwide surveys since 2009 [2,3]. Relatively, the National Cancer Strategy indicates that patient experience should be considered as “being on a par with clinical effectiveness and safety” [4].

The English Cancer Patient Experience Survey (CPES), a major nationwide survey of patients recently treated for cancer, was first undertaken in 2010. Internationally, it is the largest annual nationwide survey of patients with cancer [5]. Survey items assess key domains of patient experience [6-8], including the interpersonal skills of care providers, the provision of information about cancer diagnosis and its treatment, and the experience of access and timeliness of care, care coordination, and satisfaction with care. Its findings are reported publicly, both at the national level and for each hospital and health care commissioning organization. The survey has consistently had a high response rate across different waves (approximately 65%-67%) [9].

The CPES was chiefly a postal survey until 2015 when an online response option was introduced. In this new context, it is important to understand which groups of patients tend to use the online response mode, and whether patients using different response modes rate their care experience differently. Such understanding can help to establish whether comparisons between patient groups or between hospitals and over time might be impacted by the introduction of the online response option and variation in its use [10].

With these prior considerations, we aimed to examine the sociodemographic and cancer site predictors of the postal or online response mode in CPES 2015 and, subsequently, to examine the associations between response mode and key aspects of the cancer patient experience.

**Methods**

**Data Source**

We analyzed anonymous data from responders to CPES 2015 [11]. The survey was commissioned by NHS England and carried out by Quality Health, a specialist survey provider. The sampling frame consisted of patients aged 16 years and older who were treated for cancer in English NHS hospitals from April to June 2015. After relevant vital status checks, patients were mailed the questionnaire (with two reminders for nonresponders). Patients could complete and return the survey questionnaire by post or complete it online. The questionnaire could also be completed by phone via a freephone helpline, which also provided a translation and interpreting facility for patients whose first language was not English. Of the 108,269 initially sampled eligible patients, 71,186 completed the questionnaire (response rate=65.75%).

**Variables**

Information was available on responders’ age group (<55 years, 55-64 years, 65-74 years, ≥75 years), sex, self-reported ethnic group (white, nonwhite), and deprivation status (based on quintiles of the Index of Multiple Deprivation [IMD] scores of the lower super output area of patients’ residence) [12]. Patients’ cancer diagnoses were categorized into the 11 major cancer sites (endometrial, melanoma, leukemia, rectal, lung, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, bladder, colon, multiple myeloma, prostate, breast) and an “other” group using the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, diagnosis code (based on hospital records) [13]. To ensure conformity with a strict anonymization standard (ie, regarding the minimum count of patients in a given stratum), ethnicity information in our analysis sample was suppressed by the data provider for 3064 (4.30%) patients; these were patients with melanoma, renal, and thyroid cancers (Multimedia Appendix 1). Information on the survey response mode was also available and categorized into three groups (postal, online, and other).

The survey consisted of 49 evaluative questions reflecting different aspects of the cancer care experience, with a question on overall satisfaction with care (#59: “Overall, how would you rate your care?”) (M Gomez-Cano et al, unpublished data, 2019). Of the 49 evaluative questions, 7 had binary response options and 42 used a Likert-response format. We used binary forms of the latter items (“positive” and “less than positive” experience categories), consistent with public reporting conventions of the survey [14]. Overall satisfaction with care was rated using scores 0 (very poor) to 10 (very good); answers to this question were dichotomized into two categories of “satisfied” (scores 9 or 10) or “less than satisfied” (scores 0 to 8). We were a priori interested in the question regarding overall satisfaction with cancer care (#59) separately to the other (experience) items, considering satisfaction as an outcome of care experience (M Gomez-Cano et al, unpublished data, 2019).

We excluded individuals who responded to the questionnaire with modes other than postal or online, had missing or suppressed ethnic group, or had missing deprivation information (ie, information on sex and age group was complete). Data from 63,134 responders were used for all analyses, representing 88.69% of the total responders’ sample (Multimedia Appendix 2). For associations between response mode and reported experience, responders with missing or noninformative answers (eg, “don’t know/can’t say”) to the survey questions were further excluded, resulting in variation in sample size across the different questions.

**Statistical Analyses**

To examine predictors of online response, crude proportions of responders using the postal and online response modes were calculated by sociodemographic characteristic and cancer site variables. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were used to obtain (1) the unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) of online response, and (2) the ORs of online response adjusted for all patient characteristic (age group, sex, ethnic group, deprivation status) and cancer site variables considered. From the latter model, covariate-adjusted proportions of online
responders were predicted and compared with the corresponding crude proportions.

Similarly, to examine the associations between postal or online response mode and reported experience, for each of the 50 survey questions, univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were used to obtain (1) the unadjusted ORs of reporting a satisfied/positive experience for response mode, and (2) the OR of reporting a satisfied/positive experience for response mode, adjusted for all patient characteristic and cancer site variables considered.

Supplementary Analyses
In supplementary analyses examining the association between response mode and overall satisfaction with care (#59), the sensitivity of the main analysis findings to another cut-off choice was explored, using scores 8 to 10 for a satisfied experience instead of scores 9 or 10 as in the main analysis. For this item, we also examined pairwise interactions between response mode and each sociodemographic variable in the multivariable logistic regression model. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Predictors of Online Response
Of the 63,134 patients included in this analysis, 58,499 (92.66%) completed the survey by post and 4635 (7.34%) online. In univariable analyses, online response mode was less likely among women (OR 0.68, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.64-0.72 for women versus men) (Table 1). Increasing age was associated with lower likelihood of online response (OR 0.40, 95% CI 0.36-0.44 for ≥75 years versus 65-74 years). Increasing level of deprivation was similarly associated with lower likelihood of online response (OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.63-0.78 for IMD quintile 5 versus quintile 1). Nonwhite patients were more likely to respond online compared to white patients (OR 1.62, 95% CI 1.47-1.78). There was also evidence for the variation in the odds of online response between patients across different cancer sites (joint P value <.001), with leukemia associated with the greatest odds and lung cancer with the lowest odds of online response compared with rectal cancer.

Similar patterns of variation and related estimates to those obtained in the univariable analyses were also observed in the adjusted analysis, suggestive of an overall small degree of confounding between cancer site and sociodemographic variables (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Associations Between Response Mode and Reported Experience
There were 60,921 patients in the analysis sample who answered the question about overall satisfaction with cancer care (#59). Of these, 22,030 (36.16%) patients gave a response in the “less than satisfied” category (defined as scores 0 to 8) and 38,891 (63.84%) patients responded in the “satisfied” category (defined as scores 9 or 10). Online responders were more likely to report a satisfied experience compared to those who responded by post (OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.09-1.24). Female, younger, more deprived, and nonwhite responders were more likely to report a less than satisfied experience (Table 2). There were also differences in overall satisfaction across cancer sites (joint P value <.001), with non-Hodgkin lymphoma being associated with the greatest likelihood and bladder cancer with the lowest likelihood of reporting a satisfied experience of care (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Adjusting for demographic characteristic, cancer site, and response mode variables led to some changes in the estimated associations for response mode, sex, and cancer site. In particular, adjustment accentuated the difference between online and postal responders (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.16-1.32) (Table 2 and Figure 2).

For each of the remaining 49 questions, the overall percentage of responders reporting a positive experience ranged from 28.89% (17,167/59,430) for question #58 (“patient asked to take part in cancer research”) to 95.63% (52,663/55,067) for question #42 (“cancer doctor had the right documents at outpatient appointment”) (Multimedia Appendix 3). Figure 3 presents the ORs of reporting a positive experience for responders who completed the questionnaire online compared with those who responded by post, both unadjusted and adjusted for patient demographic characteristic and cancer site variables. In general, the unadjusted associations tended to be more negative than the adjusted ones, possibly indicating a degree of confounding by the differences in the sociodemographic characteristics of online and postal responders, which became attenuated when age and other factors were taken into account.

Considering the adjusted analyses, there was evidence for an association between response mode and reported experience for 10 of 49 questions examined, although in opposite directions: for 8 questions, the online response mode was associated with a less than positive experience. These consisted of questions about “patient given all information needed about chemotherapy treatment” (#47), “overall rating of administration of care” (#56), “different people treating and caring work well together” (#54), “doctors and nurses asked what name patient preferred to be called by” (#33), “patient had confidence and trust in ward nurses” (#31), “patient told they could bring family/friend when first told they had cancer” (#8), “easy to contact clinical nurse specialist” (#18), and “patient found hospital staff to talk to about worries and fears during hospital visit” (#35). Conversely, for two questions online response mode was associated with a positive experience. Specifically, the questions about “doctors and nurses gave family/someone close to patient all information to help care at home” (#49) and “doctors and nurses talked in front of patient as if they were not there” (#28) (Figure 3).

https://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e11855/
Table 1. Predictors of online response: frequency and percentage of online response by patient characteristic and cancer site variables and related crude and adjusted odds ratios of online response (N=63,134).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency and percentage of online response</th>
<th>Univariable logistic regression models</th>
<th>Multivariable logistic regression model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Total, N</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2543 (8.78)</td>
<td>28,973</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2092 (6.12)</td>
<td>34,161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>1457 (15.28)</td>
<td>9535</td>
<td>2.82 (2.61-3.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>1377 (10.66)</td>
<td>12,913</td>
<td>1.87 (1.72-2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>1347 (6.01)</td>
<td>22,395</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>454 (2.48)</td>
<td>18,291</td>
<td>0.40 (0.36-0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMD score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 1 (least deprived)</td>
<td>1231 (8.06)</td>
<td>15,264</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 2</td>
<td>1163 (7.84)</td>
<td>14,832</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89-1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 3</td>
<td>1016 (7.33)</td>
<td>13,853</td>
<td>0.90 (0.83-0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 4</td>
<td>749 (6.83)</td>
<td>10,963</td>
<td>0.84 (0.76-0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5 (most deprived)</td>
<td>476 (5.79)</td>
<td>8222</td>
<td>0.70 (0.63-0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4083 (7.03)</td>
<td>58,067</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite</td>
<td>552 (10.89)</td>
<td>5067</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer site&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>527 (9.21)</td>
<td>5725</td>
<td>1.23 (1.05-1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>220 (9.27)</td>
<td>2373</td>
<td>1.24 (1.02-1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial</td>
<td>78 (5.55)</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>0.71 (0.55-0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>350 (7.88)</td>
<td>4444</td>
<td>1.04 (0.87-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>308 (6.80)</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>0.89 (0.74-1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cancers</td>
<td>1265 (7.76)</td>
<td>16,292</td>
<td>1.02 (0.88-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>235 (7.61)</td>
<td>3087</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>949 (7.35)</td>
<td>12,904</td>
<td>0.96 (0.83-1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>298 (6.54)</td>
<td>4555</td>
<td>0.85 (0.71-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>239 (5.39)</td>
<td>4437</td>
<td>0.69 (0.57-0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>166 (4.91)</td>
<td>3381</td>
<td>0.63 (0.51-0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4635 (7.34)</td>
<td>63,134</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) of online response from a series of univariable logistic regression models, conditional on each patient characteristic and cancer site variable considered.

<sup>b</sup>P values from joint Wald tests.

<sup>c</sup>Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of online response from a multivariable logistic regression model, conditional on all patient characteristic and cancer site variables considered.

<sup>d</sup>Responders with renal and thyroid cancers (grouped into the “other” category) and melanoma skin cancer excluded due to their ethnic group being suppressed or missing.
Figure 1. Predictors of online response: crude and covariate-adjusted proportions of online response.
Table 2. Associations between response mode and reporting a satisfied experience of cancer care (scores 9 or 10 to question 59): frequency and percentage and related crude and adjusted odds ratios of reporting a satisfied experience (N=60,921).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency and percentage of reporting a satisfied experience</th>
<th>Univariable logistic regression models</th>
<th>Multiuniform logistic regression model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Total, N</td>
<td>OR(^a) (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response mode</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal</td>
<td>35,809 (63.58)</td>
<td>56,318</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>3082 (66.96)</td>
<td>4603</td>
<td>1.16 (1.09-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18,006 (64.36)</td>
<td>27,976</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20,885 (63.39)</td>
<td>32,945</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>5551 (59.73)</td>
<td>9294</td>
<td>0.77 (0.73-0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>7828 (62.18)</td>
<td>12,590</td>
<td>0.85 (0.81-0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>14,286 (65.88)</td>
<td>21,686</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>11,226 (64.70)</td>
<td>17,351</td>
<td>0.95 (0.91-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMD score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 1 (least deprived)</td>
<td>9575 (64.82)</td>
<td>14,772</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 2</td>
<td>9326 (65.10)</td>
<td>14,326</td>
<td>1.01 (0.97-1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 3</td>
<td>8613 (64.41)</td>
<td>13,373</td>
<td>0.98 (0.94-1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 4</td>
<td>6513 (61.65)</td>
<td>10,565</td>
<td>0.87 (0.83-0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 5 (most deprived)</td>
<td>4864 (61.69)</td>
<td>7885</td>
<td>0.87 (0.83-0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>36,343 (64.80)</td>
<td>56,088</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite</td>
<td>2548 (52.72)</td>
<td>4833</td>
<td>0.61 (0.57-0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer site(^d)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>3025 (70.25)</td>
<td>4306</td>
<td>1.36 (1.23-1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>1604 (69.86)</td>
<td>2296</td>
<td>1.34 (1.19-1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>8257 (65.95)</td>
<td>12,521</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03-1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial</td>
<td>880 (65.67)</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1.10 (0.96-1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>2800 (64.35)</td>
<td>4351</td>
<td>1.04 (0.94-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>1898 (63.44)</td>
<td>2992</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>2788 (63.31)</td>
<td>4404</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90-1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9634 (61.39)</td>
<td>15,692</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>2002 (61.45)</td>
<td>3258</td>
<td>0.92 (0.83-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>2600 (61.19)</td>
<td>4249</td>
<td>0.91 (0.83-1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>3403 (61.74)</td>
<td>5512</td>
<td>0.93 (0.85-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>38,891 (63.84)</td>
<td>60,921</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) of reporting a satisfied experience from a series of univariable logistic regression models, conditional on each of the response mode, patient characteristic and cancer site variables considered.

\(^b\)P values from joint Wald tests.

\(^c\)Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of reporting a satisfied experience from a multivariable logistic regression model, conditional on response mode and all patient characteristic and cancer site variables considered.

\(^d\)Responders with renal and thyroid cancers (grouped into the “other” category) and melanoma skin cancer excluded due to their ethnic group being suppressed or missing.
Figure 2. Associations between response mode and reporting a satisfied experience of cancer care (scores 9 or 10 to question 59): adjusted odds ratios of reporting a satisfied experience. Ref: reference category.
Figure 3. Associations between response mode and reported experience: adjusted odds ratios of reporting a satisfied/positive experience for online versus postal responders, by question. CNS: clinical nurse specialist; GP: general practitioner.

Multimedia Appendix 4 presents the variation in observed $P$ values for the association between online response mode and reported experience for all 50 questions (49 aspect-specific questions plus the question addressing overall satisfaction) after adjustment for patient characteristic and cancer site variables. The observed variation is compared with what might be expected under the null hypothesis of no association (straight line). If there were no true associations, then two to three questions would be expected to have a $P$ value less than .05 (dashed line) by chance alone, and the observed distribution would follow the straight line. The distribution of $P$ values across these questions suggests that it is unlikely that online response mode was unrelated to reported experience.

Supplementary Analyses

When considering the association between response mode and overall satisfaction with cancer care, defining an alternative cut-off point for overall satisfaction (scores 8 to 10) yielded broadly comparable results to that using the original cut-off point (scores 9 or 10) (Multimedia Appendix 5). For this item, there was also strong evidence of an interaction between response mode and sex, as well as between response mode and ethnic group ($P$ value for each interaction < .001). In contrast, there was no evidence for an interaction between response mode and any of the age group, social deprivation, or cancer site variables. Therefore, although for both response modes women were less likely than men to report a satisfied experience of care, this difference by sex was stronger for female online responders (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.67-0.86 versus men) compared with female postal responders (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.86-0.93 versus men). Furthermore, although nonwhite postal responders were less likely to report a satisfied experience of their care (OR 0.60, 95% CI 0.56-0.64 versus white ethnic group), there was no evidence for such a difference among nonwhite online responders (OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.78-1.14 versus white ethnic group) (Multimedia Appendix 6).

Discussion

Summary of Findings

Using data from a major English experience survey of patients recently treated with cancer, we found that a notable minority (approximately 1 in 14) of all participants responded to the survey online, with male, younger, least deprived, and nonwhite patients being more likely to do so. However, when examining associations between response mode and aspects of cancer patient experience, we observed statistically nonsignificant associations, with point estimates generally indicative of less frequent positive ratings for online responders. There were some
exceptions; we found three questions with evidence that online responders were more likely to respond positively, particularly the question on overall satisfaction with care.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This study was based on the analysis of a large nationwide sample of respondents, which allowed us to examine potential interactions, beyond main effect analyses, and we were able to adequately adjust for a range of patient characteristics (namely age group, sex, deprivation, ethnic group, and cancer site) of known relevance to patient experience surveys [15-17]. An important limitation is that we were unable to directly examine how reported experience related to actual care experience. As such, we could not establish whether the online response mode affected how people reported their experience of care, or whether patients who would have provided lower or higher ratings of care (regardless of response mode) were more likely to respond online. The challenge of drawing appropriate inferences in respect of this research question is further complicated by the fact that the associations between response mode and patient experience that we examined were heterogeneous in their presence, direction, and size. Another limitation of our analysis is that we had no means of examining potential differences by response mode in usability (eg, the time taken to complete and post or submit the survey questionnaire).

To aid interpretation of findings, we conducted a post hoc comparison of how each question appeared in the postal and online questionnaires. For this, we used the online demo questionnaire of the 2017 survey provided by the survey provider (Quality Health), which was identical in format to that of the 2015 survey, and the published postal questionnaire of the 2015 survey. Allowing for the difference in the medium, we found that the presentation of items was identical between the postal and online questionnaires, except for the question regarding overall satisfaction, which was presented slightly differently. In particular, the anchoring text for this question on the postal version covered scores 8 to 10 for “very good”, whereas it only covered 10 on the online version, with similar differences at the opposite end of the scale (Multimedia Appendix 7). This discrepancy in the appearance of the question might contribute to explaining the difference in overall rating of satisfaction between postal and online responders. However, we urge caution in this interpretation because this item is not particularly unusual in its association with online response mode, considering the distribution of associations observed for the other items (Figure 3).

**Findings in Relation to Other Evidence and Implications**

We were not aware of any relevant literature on the use of an online response option specifically in cancer patient experience surveys. However, a previous German study that examined health behaviors using data from a population-based longitudinal panel reported an overall equivalence in responses obtained from the Web-only response mode arm compared with a mixed mode (paper or Web) arm [18]. Although not the principal focus of our inquiry, we confirmed a previously identified variation in the association between sociodemographic characteristics and cancer site with rated satisfaction or experience of cancer care [15,19]. The findings of a higher probability of online response by male, younger, and less deprived patients might be expected, but nonwhite patients were also more likely to respond online. This observation contrasts with the patterns of variation by ethnic group in use of the internet among members of the general population [20]. Further research to help understand this ethnic variation is needed.

In survey research, it is generally important to consider whether response mode ought to be adjusted for when examining sociodemographic inequalities, trends over time, or when considering organizational comparisons [21]. The answer to this research question depends on the direction of causality between response mode and reported patient experience. We advocate the need for high-definition experimental studies in small, yet adequately powered, subsamples of responders who will be otherwise matched for all their characteristics except response mode. For example, Elliott et al [10] conducted a randomized controlled trial to examine the influence of survey response mode on experience ratings in the context of the Consumer Assessments of Healthcare Providers and Systems Hospital Survey. The results suggested the need for adjusting for survey response mode in the calculation of hospital scores. Unlike other forms of randomized controlled trials that are associated with substantial practical and ethical barriers, such trials are relatively easy to conduct in the context of survey research.

**Conclusions**

We described the sociodemographic and cancer site predictors of the online response option in a major national survey in England, and examined potential associations of response mode with rated satisfaction and experience of cancer care. The findings highlighted that online and postal responders differed in their patient characteristics, with less evidence for variation between online and postal responders in terms of experience ratings. Whether the association between response mode and satisfaction with care is causal in the context of cancer patient experience surveys needs to be examined experimentally.
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Abstract

Background: Rapid advances in mobile technologies and applications and the continued growth in digital network coverage have the potential to transform data collection in low- and middle-income countries. A common perception is that digital data collection (DDC) is faster and quickly adaptable.

Objective: The objective of this study was to test whether DDC is faster and more adaptable in a roadside environment. We conducted a reliability study comparing digital versus paper data collection in 3 cities in Ghana, Vietnam, and Indonesia observing road safety risk factors in real time.

Methods: Roadside observation of helmet use among motorcycle passengers, seat belt use among 4-wheeler passengers, and speeding was conducted in Accra, Ghana; Ho Chi Minh City (HCMC), Vietnam; and Bandung, Indonesia. Two independent data collection teams were deployed to the same sites on the same dates and times, one using a paper-based data collection tool and the other using a digital tool. All research assistants were trained on paper-based data collection and DDC. A head-to-head analysis was conducted to compare the volume of observations, as well as the prevalence of each risk factor. Correlations ($r$) for continuous variables and kappa for categorical variables are reported with their level of statistical significance.

Results: In Accra, there were 119 observation periods (90-min each) identical by date, time, and location during the helmet and seat belt use risk factor data collection and 118 identical periods observing speeding prevalence. In Bandung, there were 150 observation periods common to digital and paper data collection methods, whereas in HCMC, there were 77 matching observation periods for helmet use, 82 for seat belt use, and 84 for speeding. Data collectors using paper tools were more productive than their DDC counterparts during the study. The highest mean volume per session was recorded for speeding, with Bandung recording over 1000 vehicles on paper (paper: mean 1092 [SD 435]; digital: mean 807 [SD 261]); whereas the lowest volume per session was from HCMC for seat belts (paper: mean 52 [SD 28]; digital: mean 62 [SD 30]). Accra and Bandung showed good-to-high correlation for all 3 risk factors ($r=0.52$ to $0.96$), with higher reliability in speeding and helmet use over seat belt use; HCMC showed high reliability for speeding ($r=0.99$) but lower reliability for helmet and seat belt use ($r=0.08$ to $0.32$). The reported prevalence of risk factors was comparable in all cities regardless of the data collection method.

Conclusions: DDC was convenient and reliable during roadside observational data collection. There was some site-related variability in implementing DDC methods, and generally the productivity was higher using the more familiar paper-based method. Even with low correlations between digital and paper data collection methods, the overall reported population prevalence was similar for all risk factors.
Introduction

Background

Road traffic injuries (RTIs) are the ninth leading cause of death worldwide, primarily affecting the young and productive age groups. In addition to causing over 1.35 million deaths each year, road traffic crashes are responsible for 50 million nonfatal injuries [1]. Although the rapid economic growth seen in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) has raised living standards and led to a reduction in many diseases of poverty, increased motorization without an increase in traffic enforcement or improvements in road environments has led to a rise in motor vehicle crashes [2-5]. Consequently, LMICs share a larger proportion of deaths and disability, and it is estimated that 966 to 1160 per 100,000 population disability-adjusted life years are lost because of RTIs [6].

To tackle this international problem, the Global Plan for the Decade of Action for Road Safety 2011-2020 recommended a range of road safety measures, including improvement in road user behaviors as an important pillar [7]. These recommendations focus on the development and implementation of comprehensive programs and strategies to positively affect seat belt and child restraint use, correct helmet wearing, speeding, and drunk driving. The Bloomberg Initiative for Global Road Safety (BIGRS), a consortium of international partners funded by Bloomberg Philanthropies, promotes the adoption of internationally recognized best practices to improve these 4 risk factors in 10 selected cities from across 9 LMICs [8-10]. As part of the project, the Johns Hopkins International Injury Research Unit conducts semiannual observational studies with local partners to measure the prevalence of these 4 RTI risk factors over time: helmet use, seat belt use, speeding, and drunk driving. These data provide evidence to inform program development, as well as monitoring and evaluation of interventions in the project.

Roadside Observational Studies for Risk Factor Monitoring

Paper-based data collection has been the standard method for primary roadside observational studies, and until recent years, the only method [11,12]. Paper-based data collection methods have certain advantages that make them easily adaptable. Paper-based tools are more flexible, immediately deployable, and do not require any specialized training other than the content of questionnaire. Writing on paper forms is easier especially for short and concise questionnaires. However, as other researchers have observed, legibility related errors are frequent, storage costs can be prohibitive, deployment and tracking of surveys are challenging, and double data entry is expensive and time-consuming [13,14]. Sometimes, when using paper data collection methods, other forms of data, including photographs or Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates, require separate equipment and careful tracking to link external data to the correct observation. Moreover, in administered questionnaires, there may be variability among surveyors in adhering to complex eligibility requirements or logical dependencies (skip patterns) across questions [15].

Recently, to facilitate real-time data collection in a roadside environment, mobile health (mHealth) tools were developed for population-level observational studies on 3 road safety risk factors, speeding, helmet use, and seat belt use, as a part of the BIGRS project. Electronic (digital) methods of data collection have merged the process of data collection and data entry, potentially saving costs and time [16]. The diversity of mHealth apps has generated immense interest among researchers to test innovative ideas, especially where accuracy and standardized data collection is required. Transitioning from traditional paper-based methodology into digital data collection (DDC) supports rapid aggregation and analysis of a large amount of data by avoiding the costs and time lag of data entry [15]. In addition, DDC also facilitates remote monitoring of the data collection process and can improve data quality by introducing standardized responses, skip patterns, logic checks, and automatic calculations [17-19]. However, these advantages of DDC must be compared with the productivity and reliability of widely accepted and established paper-based data collection method.

Rationale and Study Objectives

Although DDC is rapidly replacing traditional paper-based methods, the majority of studies or commentary about DDC method do not use multiple methods to establish its reliability by independent data collectors on the same targets and are usually done in the context of household surveys rather than observational studies [14,20,21]. Owing to lack of comparative studies, there is little evidence about the productivity, reliability, and efficiency of large volume data collection using mobile devices in a highly dynamic roadside environment [20]. The term productivity refers to sheer quantity, and in the context of observational studies, the amount of data collected per session, real time in the field, distinguishing it from efficiency, which is used in the context of quality of data that might include creating output in less time, using fewer resources, or spending less money. In the context of project management, efficient output may have different dimensions, including human and material cost, effort, turnaround time, etc. Reliability of a new tool or method indicates its ability to produce same or consistent results when compared with a reference or standard method. This study aimed to assess productivity and reliability of DDC by comparing simultaneously collected paper and digital data in terms of volume of observations, overall measurement of prevalence of road safety risk factors, and interobserver agreement about a busy roadside environment. The paper then discusses the impact of study findings in guiding the choice between paper and digital methods of data collection in different contexts.
Methods

Setting

The study was conducted in 3 different cities where roadside observational studies were taking place: Accra, Ghana; Bandung, Indonesia; and Ho Chi Minh City (HCMC), Vietnam. These cities were selected out of the 10 participating in the BIGRS project, based on the willingness of the local partners to switch from paper to digital format. Simultaneous paper-based data collection and DDC were conducted in Accra during March 2017, in HCMC during April 2017, and in Bandung during August 2017, as part of a routine semiannual data collection schedule.

The BIGRS Project team used KoBoToolbox data collection software and its KoBoCollect Android smartphone application, which was developed by the Harvard Humanitarian Initiative as an open source suite of tools for data collection and analysis [22]. The digitization process included programming digital forms to be downloaded on mobile app, using Android tablets for data collection and uploading information to the secure cloud server [23]. DDC forms for each risk factor were based on the same tools used in paper-based data collection method. To maintain standardization and quality, all the digital forms were the same, though the forms were available in English for Accra and were bilingual for HCMC (Vietnamese and English) and Bandung (Indonesian and English).

In all 3 cities, local data collectors were hired and trained over 2 days to familiarize them with the study protocols, as well as the Android environment and the KoBoCollect app, including mobile data entry process, saving digital forms, and uploading data to the server. All data collectors were trained on both digital and paper data collection methods. This training session was followed by a mandatory hands-on practice for both digital and paper data collectors. Similarly, supervisors and data managers were trained to manage field site data collection, monitor data upload and server activity, and download data from the server.

Any issues with data entry, saving, and uploading were identified and resolved during training and practice, before the roadside observational studies.

Data Collection Protocol

Within each city, locations for observation were selected using stratified randomization to ensure that all major road types and city administrative divisions were represented. Standardized observation methods were employed across all observation sites.

At each location, observations were done by 2 independently working teams on the same date and times with one team using paper-based forms and the other used digital forms. Each team consisted of 1 observer who viewed vehicles and conveyed the information to the data recorder who marked the presence of risk factors and demographic data for each vehicle as applicable. Data collectors were randomly rotated among teams and between digital and paper data collection methods throughout the study to avoid individual data collector competence influencing the productivity or reliability of data collection method. Each data collector’s schedule was randomly varied by date, time, location, data collection partner, and data collection method (paper or digital). Observations at each location were done during both weekdays and weekends and both rush hours and off-peak hours.

For speeding assessments, the sites were carefully chosen to avoid junctions or intersections, or areas where vehicles were slowing down because of construction or road blocks, as well as entrances to parking lots, gas stations, malls, or shopping centers. For observations on seat belts and child restraint use, junctions, intersections, or entrances of gas stations and rest areas where vehicles travel at reduced speeds were selected to facilitate close observations and ensure accuracy. The protocol required that only vehicles traveling in 1 direction were observed. Starting the observation with the vehicle closest to the curb or roadside also allowed data collectors to observe as many vehicles as possible with accuracy in a high-volume traffic flow.

At the beginning of the session at each site, the team filled a site description form using their respective method (digital or paper). These forms captured data about the road and traffic environment at each time, date, and location, including the traffic volume during a 15-min period, the weather, and the presence and nature of law enforcement, including the presence and placement of police and/or cameras for enforcement. Speeding observations also captured the posted speed limit and the existence of various environmental traffic calming measures, such as speed bumps. Data captured about the vehicle included the vehicle type (sedan, sport utility vehicle, truck, etc), vehicle ownership (private, commercial, government, etc), and for speeding observations, the actual speed of the vehicle in kilometer per hour (km/h). Finally, information on the vehicle’s occupants was captured during assessments of seat belt and helmet use, including each occupant’s gender, estimated age group, position within the vehicle, and use of safety equipment.

Consistent procedures and definitions were maintained between methods and across observation sites to ensure comparability of results. The metric for comparisons was volume of observations and prevalence of risk factor per 90-min session. We did not use an a priori number of observations and track the time to accomplish them. The helmet use risk factor was defined as wearing a strapped, standard helmet (not a cap helmet). Seat belt use was defined as wearing a buckled seat belt, or using a proper child restraint, on a single vehicle occupant. Speeding was defined as any speed in excess of the posted speed limit in kilometer per hour and levels of overspeed categorized in 5 or 10 km/h intervals.

Statistical Analysis

Observation periods in digital and paper formats were matched to each other by date, time, and location; digital observation periods without corresponding paper observation periods were not included in the analysis, and vice versa. The few sessions that did not match were because of issues with logistics, staffing, or equipment.

A head-to-head comparison of digital and paper data collection was conducted to assess the productivity during each session matched by date, time, and location. After pooling all sessions, the mean number of observations were compared between paper and digital methods. In addition, 2 sample tests of proportions.
were used to compare overall helmet use and seat belt use prevalence, pooling across all observation sessions. Furthermore, chi-square tests of independence were used to evaluate whether the numbers of vehicles in different categories of overspeeding varied between digital and paper data collection methods, again pooling across all observation sessions [24]. This was done to assess whether the larger picture of traffic safety was the same between digital and paper data collection methods, despite any differences between individual digital and paper observation sessions.

The reliability of a method could be measured in terms of inter- or intraobserver variations for which \( r \), interclass correlations, and kappa are appropriate statistics. In this study interrater reliability between digital and paper data collection methods were assessed using Pearson correlations for continuous measures and kappa for roadside environment data recorded as categorical variables, with the observation session used as the rating object [25,26]. Pearson correlation is appropriate for proportions when the majority of proportions are not close to 0 or 1. Although Spearman rank correlation is often used for proportions, we were interested in exact values rather than rank ordering and therefore Pearson correlation was more appropriate.

The risk factors were not pooled across the cities to better appreciate the contextual differences in the productivity and reliability of city teams.

Templates in Microsoft Excel were used for data entry from paper formats and all statistical analyses were conducted in STATA SE version 15.1 software package [27]. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, United States.

**Results**

**Productivity in Digital and Paper Data Collection Methods**

In Accra, there were 119 helmet use observation sessions matched exactly by date, time, and location between digital and paper data collection methods; 119 matched seat belt use observation sessions and 118 matched sessions observing speeding. In Bandung, each risk factor had 150 matched observation sessions. In HCMC, the numbers of matched sessions were somewhat lower, with 77 matched helmet use observation sessions, 82 matched seat belt use sessions, and 84 sessions matched for speeding observations. This lower number was because of both the fewer number of sessions conducted in digital and paper data collection methods and mismatches by date, time, or location between digital and paper observation sessions. As the number of sessions, and hence the total sample size for each risk factor varied by city, the mean volume of observations per session was used for comparison.

In addition to the number of sessions, the number of observations made per session varied among the 3 cities and across risk factors, with Bandung generally having higher productivity per session, followed by Accra, and last by HCMC (Table 1). The number of observations made per session was lower among research assistants conducting DDC as compared with paper data collection, with correlations between digital and paper for the same date, time, and location ranging from 0.23 to 0.95 across cities and risk factors (Table 1).

To assess the impact these differences between digital and paper data collections in productivity and overall sample size may have had on the precision of our estimates, we calculated the level of precision for the current digital data sample size (Table 2). We also calculated the sample sizes needed to estimate proportions to achieve a CI half-width of 0.01 and 0.005, based on the DDC proportion. With 1 exception, all digital and paper sample sizes were able to provide estimates within 1 percentage point, and in 6 out of 9 cases, the sample size was large enough to estimate proportions to within a half percentage point, thus eliminating any risk of sample size affecting the overall prevalence of risk factors. We did not find any risk factor in the cities where the paper sample size was able to estimate precision to one or one-half percentage point, but not the DDC.

### Table 1. Volume of observations: reliability between digital and paper observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Digital observation, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Paper observation, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Correlation value (( r ))</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helmet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>181.81 (84.87)</td>
<td>196.86 (91.53)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>353.97 (104.03)</td>
<td>509.81 (151.97)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>210.45 (86.29)</td>
<td>249.17 (107.32)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seat belt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>200.47 (71.20)</td>
<td>258.31 (96.73)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>199.78 (71.35)</td>
<td>245.23 (88.06)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>62.40 (30.09)</td>
<td>51.56 (28.45)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speeding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>305.32 (90.42)</td>
<td>331.65 (94.83)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>807.49 (261.23)</td>
<td>1092.08 (435.45)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>228.27 (109.80)</td>
<td>225.24 (131.98)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Level of precision and sample size requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Digital observation: existing sample size</th>
<th>Paper observation: existing sample size</th>
<th>Digital observation: current level of precision</th>
<th>Sample size required for estimation within 0.5 percentage point (0.005)</th>
<th>Sample size required for estimation within 1 percentage point (0.01)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helmet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>28,719</td>
<td>30,983</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>8655</td>
<td>34,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>71,846</td>
<td>101,197</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8378</td>
<td>33,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>20,842</td>
<td>26,419</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>7524</td>
<td>30,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat belt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>55,983</td>
<td>58,024</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>9553</td>
<td>38,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>50,391</td>
<td>57,758</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>8573</td>
<td>34,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>8205</td>
<td>5981</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>9567</td>
<td>38,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>36,028</td>
<td>39,135</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>7132</td>
<td>28,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>121,123</td>
<td>163,812</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>4572</td>
<td>18,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>19,175</td>
<td>18,920</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>2452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interobserver Agreement between Digital and Paper Data Collection Methods

There were some discrepancies between how digital and paper research assistants recorded the presence of police and camera enforcement at each site. The values of calculated kappa statistics ranged from just under 0.51 up to 1.00, indicating moderate to perfect agreement. In Accra, all speeding observation sessions recorded that there was no police presence and no camera enforcement; although there was 100% agreement between digital and paper data collection methods, without any variation, kappa is undefined (Table 3).

Table 3. Interrater agreement between digital and paper data collection methods on law enforcement and environmental deterrents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Kappa value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helmet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat belt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
<td>N/A b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aComparing police presence, camera enforcement, or both.
bN/A: not applicable; no sites were observed to have police or camera enforcement through digital or paper data collection; although there was 100% agreement between digital and paper data collection, without any variation, kappa is undefined.
cComparing environmental speed deterrents, including speed bumps, cross walks, and stop signs.
Prevalence of Risk Factors and Reliability between Digital and Paper Data Collection Methods

The prevalence of each of the 3 risk factors were assessed with moderate to high levels of reliability between digital and paper data collection methods. Accra showed the highest levels of reliability overall. For example, on average 63% to 66% of motorcycle occupants per session were observed to wear helmets in both digital and paper data collection methods, for a correlation of $r=0.94$ ($P<.001$; Table 4). Reliability between digital and paper data collection methods was similar for seat belt use and speeding; the correlation for seat belt use was 0.76 ($P<.001$) and 0.97 ($P<.001$) in speeding. Bandung also had similar levels of correlation between digital and paper data collection per observation session, with excellent reliability in helmet use and speeding observations ($r=0.89$, $P<.001$ and $r=0.95$, $P<.001$, respectively), and very good correlation between data collection methods in seat belt use observations ($r=0.70$, $P<.001$). HCMC had lower and nonsignificant correlations between digital and paper data collection methods in helmet and seat belt use observations ($r=0.11$, $P=.36$ and $r=0.08$, $P=.46$, respectively). Interestingly, speeding correlation in HCMC was almost perfect ($r=0.999$, $P<.001$).

For helmet use and seat belt use risk factor assessments, reliability was also assessed within subgroups of road users, by gender, estimated age group, and occupant’s position within the vehicle. When further breaking down helmet and seat belt use by occupant’s role, driver or passenger, the correlations followed similar patterns as the overall figures, with Accra and Bandung showing very high levels of correlation, followed by HCMC (Figures 1 and 2). Across all 3 cities, observations made on drivers showed higher levels of reliability than observations made on passengers.

In each city, the largest proportion of observed motorcycle occupants were males over the age of 18 years, with motorcycle occupants being almost exclusively adult males in Accra (98% of all occupants in both digital and paper data collection methods; Figure 3). Assessments of the seat belt use risk factor considered finer divisions of estimated age, as younger and older children should use different child restraints, rather than seat belts alone (Tables 5 and 6). Furthermore, the age groups and genders were estimated on best guess by data collectors, rather than exact ages and genders. However, as the gender of children is often difficult to assess, we have pooled the genders in the under 5 years and 6 to 11 years age categories.

### Table 4. Prevalence of risk factors: overall proportions and 2 sample tests of proportions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Digital proportion (SD)</th>
<th>Paper proportion (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helmet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.66 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.48)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.68 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.46)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.73 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.45)</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat belt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.46 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.50)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.63 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.47)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.53 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.49)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.75 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.42)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.14 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.02 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.12)</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Prevalence of correct helmet use by occupant role: reliability between digital and paper observations.
Figure 2. Prevalence of seat belt use by occupant role: reliability between digital and paper observations.
Table 5. Prevalence of helmet use by age-sex groups: reliability between digital and paper observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Digital observation proportion</th>
<th>Paper observation proportion</th>
<th>Correlation value (r)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female, &lt;18 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>N/A^a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female, &gt;18 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male, &lt;18 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male, &gt;18 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aN/A: not applicable.
Table 6. Prevalence of seat belt use by age-sex groups: reliability between digital and paper observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor and city</th>
<th>Digital observation proportion</th>
<th>Paper observation proportion</th>
<th>Correlation value (r)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both genders, &lt;5 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N/A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both genders, 5-11 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N/A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, 12-17 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, 18-24 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, 25-59 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, &gt;60 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N/A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 12-17 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 18-24 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 25-59 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, &gt;60 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandung</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho Chi Minh City</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>N/A: not applicable.
Among occupants of 4-wheeled vehicles, between two-thirds to three-fourths were adult males aged between 25 and 59 years (Figure 4). Generally, age-sex groups with low representation in the datasets, especially children, had lower reliability between digital and paper data collection methods, as did more narrowly defined age groups. For example, the proportion of 4-wheeler occupants that were females aged between 25 and 59 years was approximately 19% in Accra, 18% in Bandung, and 8% to 9% in HCMC; in those same cities, females aged between 12 and 17 years comprised 1% or less of 4-wheeler occupants, across digital and paper data collection methods (Figure 4). The correlation for seat belt use among females aged between 25 and 59 years was 0.73 in Accra, 0.58 in Bandung, and 0.04 in HCMC; the correlation for females aged between 12 and 17 years was 0.16 in Bandung, and was negative in Accra and HCMC.

Pooling across all observation sessions of helmets and seat belts that could be matched between the 2 modalities, the digital and paper data collection methods, resulted in very similar risk factor prevalence, within only a few percentage points of each other except that of HCMC (Figures 5 and 6). Speeding observations also demonstrated overall consistency between the 2 formats, with HCMC demonstrating very high correlation between digital and paper data collection (Figure 7). In Bandung and Accra, the Pearson chi-square tests of independence showed statistically significant difference in the proportion of vehicles in different categories of overspeeding, although the actual percentages fall between 1 to 2 points from each other. Across cities, the prevalence of helmet use, seat belt use, and speeding and category of overspeeding was largely similar between digital and paper data collection methods (Table 4).

Figure 4. Four-wheeler occupants observed by age-sex group.
Figure 5. Prevalence of correct helmet use: reliability between digital and paper observations.
Figure 6. Prevalence of seat belt use: reliability between digital and paper observations.
Discussion

Principal Findings

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the productivity and reliability of digital versus paper data collection in a roadside environment. This study also provides an illustration of different contexts and results from Accra, HCMC, and Bandung, using a standard methodology in all the sites. Each city had different number of observation sessions per risk factor, varying between 84 and 159 sessions depending on the risk factor and method. Considering only those digital and paper observation sessions matched by date, time, and location, the mean volumes of observations were statistically significantly higher for paper-based data than digitally collected data for all 3 risk factors in Accra and Bandung, and for helmet use in HCMC. However, larger sample size in paper data collection was not associated with meaningfully higher level of precision in forming prevalence estimates and same level of precision was achieved with relatively smaller sample size in DDC.

The differences in the number of observations per 90-min session between paper and digital methods varied by city, indicating that familiarity and dexterity varied by context. The data collection team in Accra showed the highest correlation and productivity across all risk factors. Bandung demonstrated high productivity with both data collection methods, with good reliability. In HCMC, however, larger sample size in paper data collection was not associated with meaningfully higher level of precision in forming prevalence estimates and same level of precision was achieved with relatively smaller sample size in DDC.

There may be several reasons why the seat belt risk factor assessment showed lower reliability across the 3 cities, as compared with other risk factors. Although the reliability of correct helmet use was high in both Accra and Bandung, moderate reliability of seat belt observations in those cities might be offset by inability to capture accurate data on all the vehicle occupants. The seat belt use observations (in contrast to speeding observations) require the data collector to peer inside each vehicle; multiple research teams have reported difficulties with visibility into vehicles, particularly those with tinted windows [28]. In the helmet use observations, although the occupants of the motorcycle are visible, the data collector has to interpret whether a helmet is being worn correctly or not and may not have sufficient time to correctly assess all occupants. The helmet and seat belt use observations were more reliable for drivers than for passengers in both Accra and Bandung, and the reliability among the visible motorcycle passengers was higher than the less visible 4-wheeler passengers.

Similarly, in a moving vehicle it is sometimes difficult to document the age and sex of the occupants with certainty. This problem magnifies when occupants are young children or are wearing helmets, which could negatively impact the reliability of the observation. Furthermore, the seat belt observations required finer estimates of age group, which can lead to more chances for misclassification. However, because of the design of the observational study, the issue of misclassification by age or sex is minimized by the random assignments of the data collectors by date, time, location, team pairs, and digital versus paper data collection. These misclassifications are therefore randomly distributed across paper and digital format; however, despite random distribution, this likely also lowered the reliability when making comparisons by sex and age group.
Misclassification of sex and age grouping is a general limitation of all studies based on roadside observations [28].

Another area where different observations were noted was the level of agreement on presence or absence of law enforcement. The presence and nature of police and camera enforcement, as well as environmental speed deterrents, must be same regardless of the method of data collection. The differences between observations might be simply a matter of timing (eg, if traffic police were at the location during only part of the session) or understanding of the environment (speed cameras vs closed circuit cameras; functional vs inactive speed cameras). These differences could be avoided by better training of the research assistants.

Speeding prevalences in paper and digital methods were found to be similar in HCMC and within 2 percentage points in Accra and Bandung, reflecting overall estimates as well as individual category of overspeeding. These small observed differences, though not meaningful, were in most instances statistically significant likely because of our very large sample sizes of vehicles and vehicle occupants. For instance, the prevalence of correct helmet use in Bandung was 0.68 according to the digitally collected dataset and 0.70 in the data collected through paper format. Although we do not judge 68% to be meaningfully different from 70%, with 173,043 observations on motorcycle occupants, this difference is statistically significant at the <.001 level of significance. The most important finding of this study was that despite the differences between digital and paper data collection formats in the volume of observations and variations in reliability, the overall prevalence of each risk factor was comparable. This finding is important for 2 reasons. First, switching from paper to DDC may reduce the mean number of observations per session, but it does not translate into a different prevalence of risk factors. DDC provided the advantage of reducing turnaround time, by eliminating the need of double data entry and cleaning required in paper format, which often delayed data analysis and dissemination of results. Second, the reliability of prevalence estimates for each risk factor obtained through digital method would allow to switch to DDC for future rounds of data collection in suitable environments, without impeding or distorting prior analysis of time trends for each road safety risk factor.

Challenges

DDC was not completely error-free but was found to minimize data entry errors resulting from an extra data entry step [29]. Although automated skip patterns, mandatory fields, and logic checks support data completeness and accuracy, there were instances where these led to slow recording or incomplete information. For example, if research assistant initially recorded 4 car occupants but could only observe 3 as the vehicle moved on, the digital application was programmed to not allow the form to be uploaded without completing required information on all occupants. This issue was fixed by changing the required fields and making the form more flexible by adding a nonobservable option, in consideration of these extremely dynamic roadside environments. Misclassification error between genders and among age categories results in lower reliability between digital and paper methods, but as this error was random, it did not affect the risk factor prevalence in the whole samples.

Generally, DDC was well received in all 3 cities, but some challenges were identified by the DDC teams. First, the research assistants in Bandung found DDC to be tiring, especially in the upper back and neck areas because of prolonged rigid upper body position during information recording. This has been previously reported in other studies and this issue was resolved by limiting the number of sessions to 2 to 3 per day for each data collector [30]. Second, unstable network connections made it difficult for local teams to upload data, particularly in suburban road networks. Without being able to upload data and clear the tablet memory, the tablets slowed down, especially when research assistants had to conduct multiple back-to-back sessions. Third, the battery ran out quickly when the mobile network was used to upload data to the server; to tackle this issue, the data collectors were provided with backup batteries and power banks. There were at least 2 occasions where digital data were lost for the entire session; in one instance, the tablet malfunctioned and in the other, a research assistant ignored the prompt to save the completed forms after finishing the session. Fourth, research assistants reported that sometimes they recalled an error, such as misspecifying their location, only after uploading the data to the server; this recall error was handled by the data managers who corrected the error on the server. Fifth, although the data collection tool was uploaded bilingually in Bandung and HCMC, some research assistants recommended the use of visuals and photos for data entry as opposed to text-based drop-down menus. It was also recommended to have screens that could be scrolled down than swiped to improve the efficiency; to date, this function was not available in KoBoCollect app. It is important to note that most of the observed challenges could be addressed by training of field personnel, investing in good quality tablets and power backup, and further development of a user-friendly tablet interface.

Implications for the Choice of Data Collection Method

Overall, paper-based data collection was found to be more productive method for observational studies in roadside environment. A possible explanation for this finding is that writing on paper is easier or at least more familiar initially; typing using an onscreen keyboard might be slower in some circumstances, owing to the requirement of entering information on each individual vehicle or vehicle occupant separately. This could be initially challenging for the average data collector, particularly if they were not familiar with Android technology or had not used a mobile phone or tablet on a regular basis. There appeared to be 2 learning curves for data collectors when moving to an electronic format; not only must they develop familiarity with the data entry system, but also with the content of the survey form as displayed in an electronic format [29]. The learning curve to use an electronic data entry system is usually proportionate to the degree of complexity in the electronic format and length of time spent developing experience. Considering our observational forms were much shorter and less complex (relative to a typical household survey), the time advantages of DDC might be less pronounced using an initially unfamiliar technology.
In those circumstances where sheer productivity is not the central focus and precision of estimates could be maintained by comparatively smaller sample sizes, DDC may be preferred, as shown in this study. DDC provides the advantages of standardization: logic checks; immediate updating of questionnaire version without wasting previously printed material; automatic synchronization of metadata, pictures, and GPS coordinates with the correct survey; and both remote and real-time monitoring of data quality, as documented by other researchers as well [14,15]. DDC requires a one-time cost of mobile or tablet devices as well as the cost of setting up a server and designing the digital module. Other longer-term costs include maintenance of devices, data plans, or internet service. Therefore, in some environments, paper-based data collection might be more feasible. However, in settings and circumstances where these conditions could be met, DDC could cut the time to aggregate large datasets, reduce the cost related with printing, transporting, and storing paper questionnaires, double data entry, reconciliation through hard copy checks, and associated human resources. The relative cost and availability of human and material resource could also impact the choice of data collection method.

Limitations
This paper does not directly address the efficiency of digital versus paper data collection. As mentioned earlier, efficiency of a data collection system is contextually determined and may imply time and cost efficiency or could be tied to logistical feasibility in a given environment. This study did not collect information on differential cost of supplies, equipment, training, human resource, data collection, and management.

Conclusions
DDC provides a reliable and convenient means for conducting large volume roadside observational studies of behavioral risk factors and reducing the turnaround time from data collection to policy decisions. There is some site-related variability in implementing DDC, but the big-picture results are comparable with the paper-based approach. There are upfront costs associated with resources to program the digital applications and acquire the necessary equipment for digital data collection, but the benefits of automating future rounds of data collection with quality data may help in reducing turnaround time and thus prove beneficial in the long run.
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Abstract

Background: Internet use for health information is important, given the rise of electronic health (eHealth) that integrates technology into health care. Despite the perceived widespread use of the internet, a persistent “digital divide” exists in which many individuals have ready access to the internet and others do not. To date, most published reports have compared characteristics of internet users seeking health information vs nonusers. However, there is little understanding of the differences between internet users seeking health information online and users who do not seek such information online. Understanding these differences could enable targeted outreach for health interventions and promotion of eHealth technologies.

Objective: This study aims to assess population-level characteristics associated with different types of internet use, particularly for seeking online health information.

Methods: The 2015-2016 California Health Interview Survey datasets were used for this study. Internet use was classified as never used the internet (Never use), ever used the internet but not to search for health information in the last 12 months (Use not for health), and ever used the internet and have used it to search for health information in the last 12 months (Use for health). Weighted multinomial logistic regression was used to assess sociodemographic and health characteristics associated with types of internet use. Findings are reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs.

Results: Among 42,087 participants (weighted sample of 29,236,426), 19% reported Never Use of the internet, 27.9% reported Use not for health, and 53.1% reported Use for health. Compared to Never Use individuals, Use for health individuals were more likely to be younger (OR: 0.1, 95% CI 0.1-0.2 for ≥60 years vs <60 years), female (OR: 1.6, 95% CI 1.3-1.9 compared to males), and non-Hispanic white (OR: 0.54, 95% CI 0.4-0.7 for Latinos and OR: 0.2, 95% CI 0.2-0.4 for African Americans) and have a higher socioeconomic status (>400% of Federal Poverty Guidelines; OR: 1.3, 95% CI 1.4-2.4). Overall, characteristics for the Use not for health and Use for health groups were similar, except for those with lower levels of education and respondents not having visited a physician in the last year. For these two characteristics, the Use not for health group was more similar to the Never Use group.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that a digital divide characterized by sociodemographic and health information exists across three types of users. Our results are in line with those of previous studies on the divide, specifically with regard to disparities in use and access related to age, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. Disparities in online health-seeking behavior may reflect existing disparities in health care access extending into a new era of health technology. These findings support the need for interventions to target internet access and health literacy among Never Use and Use not for health groups.
Introduction

The internet has become a widespread tool of social communication, economic opportunity, and health care information and access [1]. Since 2000, use of the internet has increased nationally from 52% to 89% [2]. In the realm of health and well-being, access to and use of the internet are central to the rise of electronic health (eHealth), which is the integration of technology into health care to improve and facilitate wellness and health maintenance [3-5]. The Affordable Care Act, in particular, has expanded the integration of the internet in health care by funding and incentivizing health information technology in the form of patient portals and electronic health records [6]. Although these advances are intended to improve patient wellness, a digital divide exists in which certain individuals have ready access to and can use the internet, whereas others do not [7]. This divide has the potential to exacerbate existing health disparities among vulnerable populations.

Although the digital divide was historically characterized by access to the internet, more recently, the divide has highlighted differences in use and skills [4]. Use of the internet for health information has real-world benefit, including application of information learned online to manage and monitor one’s health [4,8,9]. However, internet access and use vary by individual characteristics including race and ethnicity. Prior studies report that individuals of older age, lower income, male gender, and rural locality tend to be less likely to report internet access or use compared to their counterparts [7,10]. Latinos, African Americans, and individuals with low English proficiency are more likely to report no internet use compared to non-Hispanic Whites and English-proficient individuals [7,11]. Assessing characteristics of those who do and those who do not use the internet for health information may be important within the context of the digital divide, because it has implications on the potential impact of eHealth. Specifically, groups least likely to report internet use are also less likely to report use of patient portals and access online health information [12,13].

Published reports about internet use, specifically for seeking health information, have primarily compared individuals who do not use the internet in any capacity to those who use the internet specifically for seeking health information [7,10]. This type of dichotomy tends to focus primarily on internet use in the context of access (ie, those who have access to the internet for seeking health information are users and those who do not are nonusers). This overlooks a third group that exists—individuals who use the internet but not for seeking health information. To our knowledge, only one other study explored characteristics of these individuals in comparison to internet users who seek health information online [7]. However, characterization of groups by internet use should include nonusers of the internet as well as other types of users. By delineating group characteristics according to the type of use, findings may reveal new opportunities for intervention.

Therefore, this study aims to assess population-level characteristics associated with internet use, particularly for seeking health information online. Sociodemographic and health characteristics will be explored among those who report no use of the internet, those who use the internet for seeking health information, and those who use the internet but not for seeking health information. Varied profiles of use and nonuse may indicate areas of the digital divide that are changing and may benefit from intervention and targeted resources.

Methods

Data Source and Participants

Data from the 2015-2016 California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) were used for this study. CHIS is a statewide study conducted by the University of California Los Angeles Center for Health Policy and Research in collaboration with the California Department of Public Health and the Department of Health Care Services. Data are collected annually through surveys administered by random-digit dialing to both landline phones and cellphones. CHIS data yield a representative sample of the noninstitutionalized population of California to explore health, wellness, and access by race and region across the state.

This study used publicly available data files that allow for estimation of state-level outcomes. The 2015-2016 CHIS data files are provided separately for each year and require pooling to aggregate data. The first wave of data was collected from May 2015 to February 2016 and the second, from January 2016 to December 2016. Participants were recruited through landline and cell phone sampling. All individuals who participated in the adult (age≥18 years) 2015-2016 surveys were included in this study.

Types of Internet Use

The CHIS assesses types of internet use by asking participants “Have you ever used the Internet?” and “In the past 12 months, did you use the Internet to look for health or medical information?” [14]. Responses to questions were “yes” or “no.” Only those who responded “yes” to having used the internet were asked about searching for health or medical information online. Internet use could be on any computer or electronic device and encompassed a variety of activities like emailing and searching the Web. Health and medical information was broadly defined as searching for health and wellness advice (eg, nutrition and physical activity), disease symptoms, health plans, and other related topics [14]. These questions were combined to create the main outcomes of this study: never used the internet (Never use), ever used the internet but have not used it to search for health information in the last 12 months (Use not for health), and ever used the internet and have used it to search for health information in the last 12 months (Use for health).
Measures

Participant sociodemographic and health information characteristics were included to assess association with the types of internet use. Variables were selected based on previous literature indicating an association of internet access with type of use and online health seeking. Sociodemographic variables included age, gender, marital status, education, primary language used at home, nativity, household size, federal poverty level, and race/ethnicity. Age was categorized as <60 years and ≥60 years based on past research, which highlights a disparity in internet use around this age [10]. Race and ethnicity are reported in CHIS based on the Office of Management and Budget classification and included six categories: Hispanic, Non-Hispanic (NH) white, African-American (NH), Asian (NH), American Indian/Alaskan Native (NH), and Other races. Rural and urban locality is a CHIS-generated variable based on zip code data.

Health information included the type of health insurance (private, public, or no insurance), presence of usual care (“yes” was classified as access to a doctor or clinic/health center and “no” was classified as no reported usual care, emergency department listed as usual care, or no one place used for usual care), current health status (excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor), and visit to a medical provider in the last 12 months (“yes” or “no”).

Weighting of Data

As recommended by the CHIS, this study utilized weights for replication methods. The CHIS uses a complex sampling design that involves oversampling by geographic area and group characteristics (eg, race/ethnicity). Weights are thus needed to adjust for the different probabilities of selection and to most accurately reflect the population of California. Design characteristics that require weighted variables include multiple people interviewed in one household (maximum 3), oversampling from certain geographic areas, and random-digit phone dialing for participant recruitment. Weights are designed for the jackknife method of variance and bias estimation.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics on internet use and variables of interest were performed using design-adjusted or weighted frequencies. Correlations between variables were assessed to avoid multi-collinearity; closely identified variables (rho ≤ 0.5) were reduced to one variable for inclusion in the final model [15]. Bivariate analyses were conducted between each variable and internet use in order to determine inclusion into the final model at a significance of P < .05. To evaluate the association between the variables of interest and internet use, weighted multinomial logistic regression analysis was conducted to model internet use outcomes, using the NeverUse group assigned as the reference group. Findings are reported as odds ratios (OR) with 95% CIs. The difference of association between Use not for health and Use for health groups was assessed by evaluating overlapping CIs from the weighted multinomial logistic regression. Evaluating CIs is a conservative method of assessing significant differences as compared to other post-hoc analyses/comparisons of groups [16,17]. Assessment of CIs focused on CIs that did not overlap, thus indicating a significant difference between groups [16,17]. All analyses were conducted using SAS OnDemand for Academics (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

Results

Study Population

The CHIS sampled 21,034 individuals in 2015 and 21,055 in 2016. This resulted in a population-weighted sample of 14,541,326 from 2015 and 14,695,100 from 2016. The final population-weighted sample comprised 29,236,426 individuals.

Prevalence of Internet Use

Never use of the internet was reported by 83.8% (n=33,856) of the study sample (weighted: 84.2%, n=24,508,603). Among those, 65.6% (n=22,195; weighted: 65.4%, n=16,027,194) reported using the internet to search for health information in the last 12 months. In addition, 19% (n=7959, weighted: 15.8%, n=4,599,254) reported Never Use of the internet, 27.9% (n=11,641; weighted: 29.1%, n=8,473,075) reported Use not for health, and 53.1% (n=22,194; weighted: 55.1%, n=16,026,161) reported Use for health.

Internet Use by Population Characteristics

The distribution of population characteristics across levels of internet use is reported in Table 1. Comparison of proportions showed a significant difference (P < 0.05) within each characteristic across all categories of internet use. A greater percentage of older adults, Hispanics, and individuals with public health insurance were found in the Never Use group (Table 1). Public and private health insurance was split similarly among the Use not for health group (42.7% vs 45.3%). A majority of the Never Use group reported good or fair health (30.3% vs 34.9%), while very good and good health were more common among Use not for health (29.4% vs 32.9%) and Use for health (34.2 vs 29.8) groups (Table 1).

Weighted regression showed that compared that to Never Use individuals, Use for health individuals were more likely to be younger (OR: 0.1, 95% CI 0.1-0.2 for 260 years vs <60 years), female (OR: 1.6, 95% CI 1.3-1.9 compared to males), and non-Hispanic white (OR: 0.5, 95% CI 0.4-0.7 for Latinos and OR: 0.2, 95% CI 0.2-0.4 for African Americans), and have a higher socioeconomic status (≥400% of Federal Poverty Guidelines; OR: 1.3, 95% CI 1.4-2.4; Table 2).

Living in an urban location was significant for Use for Health compared to Never Use (OR: 0.7 95% CI 0.6-0.9 for rural vs urban); however, there was no significant difference in geographic locality between Never Use and Use not for health. Use for health individuals were also more likely to be employed (OR: 0.5, 95% CI 0.4-0.7 for unemployed vs employed) and privately insured (OR: 1.7, 95% CI 1.4-2.2 compared to Never Use; Table 2).
Table 1. Distribution of population characteristics according to type of internet use (weighted population values) from the 2015-2016 California Health Interview Survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Never use</th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2,057,498 (44.7)</td>
<td>6,640,214 (78.4)</td>
<td>12,907,147 (80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>2,541,756 (55.3)</td>
<td>1,832,861 (21.6)</td>
<td>3,119,014 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2,137,475 (46.5)</td>
<td>4,845,123 (57.2)</td>
<td>7,223,238 (45.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2,461,779 (53.5)</td>
<td>3,627,952 (42.8)</td>
<td>8,802,923 (54.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>1,038,704 (22.6)</td>
<td>3,069,101 (36.2)</td>
<td>8,058,396 (50.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>2,650,416 (57.6)</td>
<td>3,489,369 (41.2)</td>
<td>4,164,014 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>271,686 (5.9)</td>
<td>628,620 (7.4)</td>
<td>736,405 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>25,902 (0.56)</td>
<td>51,779 (0.61)</td>
<td>56,660 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>561,019 (12.2)</td>
<td>1,037,029 (12.2)</td>
<td>2,548,049 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>51,528 (1.1)</td>
<td>197,176 (2.3)</td>
<td>462,638 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses obtained, n (%)</td>
<td>4,599,254 (15.8)</td>
<td>8,473,075 (29.1)</td>
<td>16,026,161 (55.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>3.20 (0.06)</td>
<td>3.43 (0.04)</td>
<td>3.15 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>2,837,920 (61.7)</td>
<td>5,748,514 (67.8)</td>
<td>11,358,968 (70.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1,761,334 (38.3)</td>
<td>2,724,560 (32.2)</td>
<td>4,667,193 (29.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Federal Poverty Level, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-138</td>
<td>2,339,046 (50.9)</td>
<td>2,561,345 (30.2)</td>
<td>3,653,178 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139-200</td>
<td>687,038 (14.9)</td>
<td>1,018,756 (12.0)</td>
<td>1,562,263 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-400</td>
<td>938,716 (20.4)</td>
<td>2,158,134 (25.5)</td>
<td>3,683,057 (23.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥400</td>
<td>634,454 (13.8)</td>
<td>2,734,840 (32.3)</td>
<td>7,127,664 (44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographic location</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>4,096,249 (89.1)</td>
<td>7,593,284 (89.6)</td>
<td>14,582,763 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>503,005 (10.9)</td>
<td>879,791 (10.4)</td>
<td>1,443,398 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place of birth, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The United States</td>
<td>1,702,387 (37.0)</td>
<td>5,407,011 (63.8)</td>
<td>12,069,324 (75.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the United States</td>
<td>2,896,866 (63)</td>
<td>3,066,064 (36.2)</td>
<td>3,956,837 (24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General health, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>453,312 (9.8)</td>
<td>1,580,799 (18.7)</td>
<td>3,265,295 (20.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>647,263 (14.1)</td>
<td>2,494,385 (29.4)</td>
<td>5,481,351 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>1,392,301 (30.3)</td>
<td>2,785,481 (32.9)</td>
<td>4,777,245 (29.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>1,606,978 (34.9)</td>
<td>1,331,452 (15.7)</td>
<td>2,020,028 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>500,499 (10.9)</td>
<td>280,958 (3.3)</td>
<td>482,242 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance type</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>3,216,169 (69.9)</td>
<td>3,619,481 (42.7)</td>
<td>5,316,902 (33.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/employer</td>
<td>798,882 (17.4)</td>
<td>3,835,796 (45.3)</td>
<td>9,498,872 (59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>584,203 (12.7)</td>
<td>1,017,798 (12.0)</td>
<td>1,210,387 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>Never use ^a^</td>
<td>Use not for health ^a^</td>
<td>Use for health ^a^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>2,440,420 (53.1)</td>
<td>1,575,314 (18.6)</td>
<td>962,512 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>1,104,174 (24.0)</td>
<td>2,368,448 (28.0)</td>
<td>2,900,243 (18.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college^f^</td>
<td>575,168 (12.5)</td>
<td>2,184,604 (25.8)</td>
<td>4,115,447 (25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor of Arts/Science</td>
<td>360,689 (7.8)</td>
<td>1,600,450 (18.9)</td>
<td>5,062,836 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree or higher</td>
<td>118,802 (2.6)</td>
<td>744,259 (8.8)</td>
<td>2,985,123 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usual source of care</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3,710,563 (80.7)</td>
<td>6,889,486 (81.3)</td>
<td>13,892,613 (86.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>888,691 (19.3)</td>
<td>1,583,588 (18.7)</td>
<td>2,133,548 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visited physician in the last 12 months</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3,673,726 (79.9)</td>
<td>6,125,379 (72.3)</td>
<td>13,663,846 (85.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>925,528 (20.1)</td>
<td>2,347,696 (27.7)</td>
<td>2,362,315 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>2,321,581 (50.5)</td>
<td>3,865,368 (45.6)</td>
<td>7,733,475 (48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other^h^</td>
<td>1,715,317 (37.3)</td>
<td>2,078,225 (24.5)</td>
<td>3,377,259 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>562,356 (12.2)</td>
<td>2,529,483 (29.9)</td>
<td>4,915,426 (30.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language at home</strong>, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>1,464,336 (31.8)</td>
<td>4,388,202 (51.8)</td>
<td>9,998,790 (62.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>1,405,725 (30.6)</td>
<td>876,315 (10.3)</td>
<td>587,631 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian languages^i^</td>
<td>231,906 (5.04)</td>
<td>182,780 (2.2)</td>
<td>267,543 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>56,752 (1.2)</td>
<td>126,799 (1.5)</td>
<td>227,592 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilingual</td>
<td>1,440,535 (31.3)</td>
<td>2,898,979 (34.2)</td>
<td>4,944,605 (30.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^*Never use*: never used the internet; *Use not for health*: ever used the internet but not for seeking health information in the last 12 months; *Use for health*: used internet ever and for health information in the last 12 months.

^b^Based on the classification by the Office of Management and Budget [18].

^c^“Employed” includes those with full- and part-time employment; “Unemployed” includes those looking for work and those not looking for work.

^d^Determined by zip codes.

^e^Public: categorized as only Medicare, only Medicaid, combination of the two, and the combination of one with a classified other insurance. Private: categorized as employment-based insurance and privately purchased insurance.

^f^“Some college” includes vocational school, Associates of Arts, Associates of Science, and some years of college.

^g^Usual care includes doctor or clinic/health center. No usual care includes no reported usual care, emergency department listed as usual care, or no one place used for usual care.

^h^“Other” includes widowed, separated, divorced, or living with partner.

^i^Asian languages include Chinese, Vietnamese, and Korean.
Table 2. Association between population characteristics and type of internet use (weighted population values). Values are presented as multivariable-adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI (Reference—Never use).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Use not for health&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use for health&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1-0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1-0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8-1.1)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0.79 (0.58-1.06)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.40-0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.64 (0.48-0.84)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.21-0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>0.77 (0.43-1.40)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.24-0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.70 (0.48-1.03)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.46-1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.89 (0.51-1.56)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.44-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of individuals in a household, mean (SEM)</strong></td>
<td>1.1 (1.0-1.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0-1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5-0.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4-0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Federal Poverty Guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-138</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139-200</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8-1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-400</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1-1.9)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.04-1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4-2.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4-2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographic location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place of birth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The United States</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the United States</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4-0.7)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3-0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.2)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4-0.9)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5-1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4-0.7)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/employer</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1-1.7)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.4-2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9-1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>2.3 (1.8-3.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.6-4.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The **Use not for health** group had similar characteristics as the **Use for health** group, except in the likelihood of being female, likelihood of being African American (non-Hispanic), levels of education, and likelihood of not having visited a physician in the last year. Compared to **Use for health** individuals, **Use not for health** individuals were significantly less likely to be female but significantly more likely to be African American (NH). Compared to **Never Use** individuals, more **Use not for health** individuals had college level or higher education (OR: 4.5, 95% CI 3.2-6.3 for Bachelor of Arts/Bachelor of Science and OR: 7.1, 95% CI 4.5-11.4 for master’s degree or higher), indicating a larger proportion of people with higher education among the **Use for health** group. Not visiting a physician in the last year was not significantly different between the **Never Use** and **Use not for health** groups (OR: 1.2, 95% CI 1.0-1.6) but was different when compared to the **Use for health** group (OR: 0.6, 95% CI 0.5-0.8).

Overall, the **Use not for health** and **Use for health** groups shared more similarities in sociodemographic characteristics than the **Never Use** group (Figure 1). Only the usual source of care did not significantly differ between the groups.

### Table 1: Characteristics and Odds Ratios for **Use not for health** and **Use for health**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Some college</strong></td>
<td>3.9 (2.8-5.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (5.9-11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bachelor of Arts/Science</strong></td>
<td>4.5 (3.2-6.3)</td>
<td>15.3 (10.8-21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Master’s degree or higher</strong></td>
<td>7.1 (4.5-11.4)</td>
<td>32.1 (19.6-52.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Usual source of care**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Visited physician in the last 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0-1.6)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5-0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Marital status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8-1.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3-2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Language at home**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use not for health</th>
<th>Use for health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4-0.8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3-0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian languages</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4-1.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3-1.6)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilingual</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7-1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a Use not for health: ever used the internet but not for seeking health information in the last 12 months; Use for health: used internet ever and for health information in the last 12 months.

*b Significant difference across Use not for health and Use for health groups assessed by 95% CI overlap.
Discussion

Principal Results

This study assessed population characteristics associated with types of internet use for seeking health information among a population representative sample in California. The study adds to the literature by updating the assessment of the digital divide across three categories of use.

Limitations

Strengths of this study include use of a population representative sample of California and assessment of internet use, specifically for seeking health information online. The size of the sample and subsequent weighting allowed for nuanced evaluation of small but key groups within California like racial minority and rural residing groups. Limitations of the study include lack of specificity in terms of what type of health information respondents sought online and with what frequency respondents sought this information. Additionally, CHIS does not report on other aspects considered important for internet use including health literacy and technical skills to navigate online searching. As a population-based study specific to California, the CHIS results are not generalizable to other states. Additionally, CHIS is based on self-report and may be subject to recall bias.

Comparison to Prior Work

Compared to the study by Nguyen et al [7], the proportion of Californians who are internet users and who searched for health information has grown from 81.5% to 84.2% and from 64.5% to 65.4%, respectively [7]. This study shows that compared to Use for health individuals, Never Use individuals tend to be older, male, Hispanic, or African American (vs NH white); be of a lower socioeconomic status; and report poorer health (compared to excellent health). These distinctions mirror what is already understood about the digital divide, specifically with regard to age disparities (older adults vs adults) and racial disparities (African American/Hispanic vs NH white) [7,19]. Although general internet use is growing among older adults (67%) and racial/ethnic minorities (87%), these groups still report less internet use and access [2]. Older adults are a vulnerable group, given their high health needs, and may benefit from internet use for health. Barriers to internet use among older adults include technical difficulties, confusion with the amount of information online, disability in terms of psychomotor function, health literacy, costs, and distrust in internet sources [10,20]. Of note, distrust and low health literacy (eg, understanding health and medical information) have been significantly associated with less internet use for seeking health information even when older adults report using the internet [10,20].

Among African American and Hispanic individuals, internet access, defined by desktop, laptop, or handheld computer (ie, smartphone) ownership or broadband subscription, is low (63.5% and 69.6%, respectively) as compared to NH white individuals (78.8%) [21]. Smartphones have helped increase
access to the internet for minority groups. Compared to only 9% of NH white individuals, 22% of Hispanic and 15% of African American individuals are smartphone-only internet users [22]. Among these groups, activities such as health information seeking are more likely to be performed on smartphones than on a traditional computer. Among Hispanic individuals, nonusers tend to be less proficient in English, and Spanish is the primary language in which media is consumed [11]. Language and literacy barriers are significant, as searching, reading, and comprehending health information online can be complex. Use of a patient portal may be more complicated than searching for health information online, as the former involves logging in, password creation, and more specific medical information. Thus, language and literacy are significant barriers to internet use generally and in the context of eHealth.

Unique to our study is the classification of Use not for health and comparing this group to both Never Use and Use for health groups. Given the saturation of internet use in our sample (>80% reported internet use), we were able to subcategorize this group into use but not for health and use for health seeking. Differences in educational attainment, gender, race (specifically of African American race), and physician visits in the last year differentiate the Use not for health group from the Use for health group. Nguyen et al [7] reported similar findings when only characterizing internet users who search for health information online. Specifically, they found that individuals who use the internet to search for health information were more likely to have seen a physician in the past year, to have higher educational attainment, to be of an ethnic minority (ie, African American or Latino), and to be female [7]. Not having visited a physician in the last 12 months may explain the low use of the internet for seeking health information, because it may indicate no serious diagnosis and thus no concerns or interests in seeking health information online. A serious diagnosis, or risk of one, is associated with health information seeking, as evidenced by a national US sample in which health information seeking was more prevalent among cancer survivors (69.8%) and individuals with a family history of cancer (51.2%) than those who had no history of cancer (29.6%) [23]. In the context of eHealth and health technology integration, it is important to explore why individuals who have access to the internet in some capacity do not use it for health-seeking activities (eg, searching for health information).

Types of internet use may indicate the extent to which eHealth expansion and integrated online health tools may be missing key populations. Health systems and policy makers may consider the characteristics of populations identified in this study by Never Use and Use not for health groups, because these populations may be lacking in the intended benefit of patient portals and eHealth resources. In particular, if Never Use and Use not for health groups do not frequent medical providers, accessing these groups may require other techniques besides, for example, designating a patient portal during a clinic visit. Types of use may also reflect existing disparities in health care access continuing into a new era of health technology. As evidenced by a study of a representative sample in the Dutch population, disparities in internet usage reflected real-life disparities experienced within the Dutch population according to gender, age, and level of education [24]. Careful consideration and purposeful design of health and technology integration may have the potential to eliminate disparities [25]. Patient portals have already been shown to improve patient outcomes and engagement and reduce health care costs, especially among patients with chronic conditions [26]. However, this only applies to patients who are able to access, use, and comprehend the information being shared through these portals. Healthy People 2020 has incorporated internet access and use into its objectives to target these disparities [27]. Particularly relevant are objectives to increase the proportion of online health information seekers who report easy access to information to increase health literacy and the proportion of health-related websites that are simple and usable [27].

Conclusions

Advances in health care and management have promoted internet integration and its use to support health and wellness. Although our study found a high prevalence of internet use across the study population, findings suggest that a digital divide continues to exist. The widest divide still remains between nonusers and users, and a lesser divide exists among users who search for health information and users who do not. Disparities identified in both internet use and health information seeking reflect a lack of health equity in a new era of technological advances in society and health care. The internet is one important tool for the development of an empowered patient and individual. Underutilization of the internet as a tool of health information leaves behind vulnerable populations and may have an adverse impact on health care for these individuals. Future studies may explore specific barriers to internet use and online health information seeking among Never Users and Use but not for health to inform and shape targeted and tailored interventions. Targeting interventions and educational materials to nonusers and users of the internet may improve internet and health literacy and support the ultimate goal of developing a more informed and empowered individual.
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Abstract

Background: There has been an incremental increase in the use of technology in health care delivery. Feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy of interventions based on internet technologies are supported by a growing body of evidence.

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate use and preferences in the general adult population in Germany for remote, internet-based interaction (eg, email, videoconferencing, electronic medical records, apps).

Methods: A nationwide cross-sectional questionnaire survey in adults that was representative in terms of age, sex and educational level was carried out.

Results: A total of 22.16% (538/2428) of survey participants reported not using the internet for work or private use. The nonuser phenotype can be described as being older, having lower educational and income status, and living in less populated areas. The majority of participants within the cohort of internet users reported that they would not consider using electronic medical records (973/1849, 52.62%), apps (988/1854, 53.29%), or emails to report symptoms (1040/1838, 56.58%); teleconference with one (1185/1852, 63.98%) or more experts (1239/1853, 66.86%); or participate in video psychotherapy (1476/1853, 79.65%) for the purpose of medical consultation or treatment. Older age and lower educational level were the most robust predictors of assumed future denial of use.

Conclusions: Our results point toward low use and preference rates among the general population for the use of telemedicine. It also seems that those who might benefit from telemedical interventions the most, are, in fact, those who are most hesitating. These low use and preference rates of eHealth should be considered prior to designing and providing future telemedical care, supporting the need for easy-to-use, data secure solutions.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12416) doi:10.2196/12416
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Introduction

Background

With technological innovations permeating all aspects of life, there has been an incremental increase in the use of technology in health care delivery. Feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy in terms of symptom improvement or improvements in quality of life using interventions based on internet technologies are supported by a growing body of evidence [1-4]. Patient access to online tools implemented to address chronic conditions (diabetes mellitus, asthma, etc) has improved patient self-care [5-7], with a main advantage being self-care delivery in low- and middle-income countries [8-10]. In order to best exploit the advantages while simultaneously avoiding the pitfalls, the US government has issued a mandate for the appropriate use of health care technology [11].

Beside the implementation of internet-based interventions used by patients on their own independent of any patient-physician interaction, the actual (mostly real time, depending on definition) practice of medicine through the internet involving a physician-patient interaction, known as telemedicine, presents an opportunity to revolutionize health care delivery on a global scale [12]. While early work has focused on the delivery of telemedicine services on an organizational level (eg, clinic-to-clinic teleneurology or telestroke [13]), more recently telemedicine has expanded to the delivery of health care services directly to a patient’s home. Frequently called direct-to-consumer care, this kind of telemedicine, in which a patient interacts with a physician or another health care specialist via email or videoconferencing, for example, is growing rapidly [14,15].

In many countries, direct-to-consumer telehealth companies offer patients with minor illnesses around-the-clock access to a physician. In Germany, health insurance companies offer telephone or guided internet support for specific illnesses such as depression or tinnitus, while an increasing financial grant support is dedicated to the investigation of the potential benefits of different types of telemedicine services [16]. In other European countries, for example Estonia, electronic medical records have already been implemented. Patient-accessible electronic health records are thought to increase patient involvement in their own health care matters as access to information increases [17]. Still, direct-to-consumer telemedicine requires patients to use potentially unfamiliar technology, and data security aspects may restrict its use in view of privacy and liability concerns. It is therefore important to examine the perspective of the population toward telemedicine prior to the implementation of definite solutions. On the provider side, costs for infrastructure and the mobilization of resources (eg, need for trainings) are some of the potential limiting factors for the implementation of telemedicine services. The economic advantages of such internet-based services remain unclear; also, even though remote consultation or treatment may be less expensive than personal visits, new use may not decrease overall health care spending [18].

Need for the Study

In order to facilitate a safe, timely, efficient, effective, and equitable delivery of internet-based health care, assessment of needs of all stakeholders involved is crucial [19]. Most studies so far have intended to shed light on the provider point of view, mostly on an institutional level, in developed and developing countries [20], systematic review in [21]), while the recipient point of view has been scarcely examined, mostly in patients cohorts with very specific needs living with chronic conditions [22,23]. Early efforts to implement the electronic health card and corresponding telematic infrastructure are in progress in Germany, and some health insurance companies have established electronic medical records, but German use and preferences regarding internet-based health care delivery has not been assessed. Therefore, in a hypothesis-free manner, the aim of this study was to investigate use and preferences of the general adult population in Germany by means of a questionnaire about remote, internet-based interaction (email, videoconferencing, electronic medical record, apps) with medical professionals. The goal was to gather data and stratify them according to sociodemographic variables and in the light of benefit-related aspects, thus serving as a basis for the planning and implementation of future telemedical solutions.

Methods

Recruitment

Participants in the study were part of a larger cross-sectional survey on physical and mental well-being in a random sample of German residents aged 14 years and older (range 14 to 91 years). The demographic consulting company USUMA (Unabhängiger Service für Umfragen, Methoden und Analysen) assisted with sampling and data collection. The procedure was designed to yield a nationwide sample representative in terms of age, sex, and educational level over the fieldwork period from May to July 2018. Sociodemographic data were collected in person by trained interviewers. In addition, participants returned a battery of self-report questionnaires including the telemedicine questions. This study was part of a larger survey assessing different issues in the general population for research purposes in Germany. For the purposes of this study, we assessed adults only. Thus, only participants aged 18 years and older were included in the analyses.

Data Acquisition

In Germany, no directory is generally available containing the addresses of all private households or individuals that can be used by market research agencies as a sampling frame. The data collected by the local authorities are only available for surveys considered to be of major public interest. The consortium Arbeitsgemeinschaft ADM-Stichproben closes this gap by providing a sampling frame, the ADM Sampling System for Face-to-Face Surveys, to member agencies. The demographic consulting company, USUMA, supporting this study is a member agency and has access to this sampling system [24]. This frame allows representative face-to-face samples to be drawn for all households in Germany and for all people living...
in those households. The main statistical data are provided on a detailed level for this population.

The ADM Sampling System is organized as an area sample comprising all populated areas in Germany, organized by state, county, and community with the statistical areas within communities described by public data and the geographical data taken from traffic navigation systems. Taken together, the area sample consists of about 53,000 areas, each containing a minimum of 350 and an average of 700 private households. All areas were first regionally stratified resulting in approximately 1500 strata. Next, 128 nonoverlapping nets were randomly extracted containing a total of 258 areas across Germany. These 258 areas were drawn proportionally to the distribution of private households. Since the sampling is done randomly in three steps (first step: stratified drawing of a sample point system after random allocation, second step: random-walk household selection procedure, third step: Kish-Selection-Grid method for randomly selecting the target person within the household), this method for face-to-face surveys is based entirely on random sampling and fully meets the scientific requirements regarding randomization based on statistical theory [24].

The participation rate was 47.3%, taking into account all refusals to participate as well as interviews that failed to take place due to respondent illness or being otherwise unavailable during the fieldwork. All participants provided their written informed consent in accordance with the Helsinki declaration. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Leipzig.

The following sociodemographic data were assessed: Sex (male and female), age (distinguished according to groups: 18-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-65, >65 years), educational level (<12 years of education), monthly income (0 to <1000, 1000-2500, and ≥2500 euros/month), population size (<5000, 5000-50,000, and ≥50,000 residents).

Participants were first asked about their internet use, email use, and use of videoconference technologies (eg, Skype) in general. Participants were asked to choose between never, rarely (sporadic), frequently (on single days of the week), regularly (on most days of the weeks), and daily (every day) for internet use and yes or no for email and videoconference use.

Participants who reported at least sporadic use of the internet (internet users) were then asked a series of 7 pairs of questions about the medical context of consultation or treatment. Questions were constructed and chosen from a larger pool by physicians and psychologists at the Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy at Hannover Medical School who have previously been involved in internet-based studies [16,25,27]. Affirmative (yes) answers about the use of internet-based health care delivery were considered to reflect preferences, while no answers to the same questions were considered indicative of reluctance. All questions were short, target-oriented, and simple:

1. Would you use/have you used email to schedule visits with your physician?
2. Would you use/have you used email to report symptoms to your physician?
3. Would you use/have you used videoconferencing with your physician?
4. Would you use/have you used videoconferencing with more than one physician (eg, general practitioner and specialist) at the same time?
5. Would you use/have you used videoconferencing for psychotherapy?
6. Would you use/have you used electronic medical records you can access at any time to see your exam results and leave messages?
7. Would you use/have you used an app that offers personalized information about your condition and recommends exercises and support?

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows version 25.0 (IBM Corp). Analyses of variance or t tests for comparisons between groups were performed appropriately with sociodemographic data as between-subject factors or independent variables, respectively. In order to examine the predictive value of the independent variables, binary logistic regressions and multiple linear regressions were performed for dependent variables consisting of two or more than two categories, respectively. The level of significance was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \), but a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was performed according to the number of independent variables in each hypothesis testing.

Results

Cohort

A total of 2516 individuals participated in the study. Of those, 77 were excluded for being younger than 18 years. Thus, data from a total of 2439 adults were analyzed. This cohort consisted of 45.10% (1100/2439) males and 54.90% (1339/2439) females. Mean age was 49.04 (SD 16.87) years, and 40.01% (976/2439) of participants were aged older than 55 years. Almost 4 out of 5 participants (1926/2439, 78.97%) had less than 12 years of education, with 27.71% (662/2439) belonging to a low-income group and the majority (1487/2439, 62.24%) having an average income of 1000 to <2500 euros per month. More details on sociodemographics of the cohort are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Sociodemographics of study participants as a cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Survey participants, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n=2439)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1100 (45.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1339 (54.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (n=2439)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>196 (8.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>372 (15.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>423 (17.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>472 (19.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>483 (19.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>493 (20.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years (n=2439)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>1926 (78.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>513 (21.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (euros/month) (n=2389)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to &lt;1000</td>
<td>662 (27.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 to &lt;2500</td>
<td>1487 (62.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2500</td>
<td>240 (10.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population (n=2439)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
<td>351 (14.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 to &lt;50,000</td>
<td>1028 (42.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50,000</td>
<td>1060 (43.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Internet, Email, and Videoconference Use in General

When asked if they used the internet in general for work or for private purposes, 2428 responded (11 participants with missing data). Of these, 22.16% (538/2428) denied using the internet (nonusers), while 77.84% (1890/2428) said they used the internet for work or in private (internet users); 43.2% use the internet on a daily basis.

Among the 2428 respondents, there was no difference in the frequency of internet use in general by sex (P=.30). However, age group revealed a significant main effect (F5,2422=189.55, P<.001), with linearly declining internet use frequency parallel to increasing age. Similarly, a significant main effect was found for educational level (P<.001), with lower rates of internet use frequency in individuals with less than 12 years compared to those with 12 years or more of education. In fact, there was a significant interaction between age group and educational level (F10,2416=10.32, P<.001) (Figure 1).

Higher income corresponded to higher internet use frequency (F2,2375=25.33, P<.001; Games-Howell post hoc: highest income group > middle-income group = low-income group) (data not shown). Finally, there was also a significant main effect for population size (F2,2425=11.80, P<.001; Games-Howell post hoc: small communities/cities < middle size cities < big cities), with higher rates of internet use in larger cities (data not shown).

In a multiple linear regression to predict internet use frequency based on sex, age, educational level, income, and population size, a significant regression equation was found (F5,2372=213.71, P<.001), with an R2 of .31. Age, educational level, income (all P<.001), and population size (P=.001) were significant predictors of internet use frequency and remained significant even after Bonferroni correction with the new level of significance set at .05/5=.01. However, sex was not a significant predictor of internet use frequency (Table 2).
Figure 1. Internet use depending on age and educational level in a survey of adults from the general population. A significant interaction between age and educational level is found.

![Internet use chart](image)

Table 2. Linear regression analysis to predict internet use based on sex, age, income, population size, and educational level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Nonstandardized coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient beta</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>4.09 (.18)</td>
<td>3.74 to 4.44</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.11 (.06)</td>
<td>−0.002 to 0.23</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−.50 (.02)</td>
<td>−0.54 to −0.47</td>
<td>−.49</td>
<td>−28.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>.63 (.07)</td>
<td>0.49 to 0.77</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>.39 (.05)</td>
<td>0.29 to 0.49</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>.14 (.04)</td>
<td>0.06 to 0.22</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: standard error.

Internet Users
Comparing internet users and nonusers, no differences in sex distribution or population size of the community or city of origin were found. On the other hand, age distribution, educational level, and income differed (e.g., more than half of nonusers were aged 65 years and older, most of them had an educational level of less than 12 years, and there more nonusers belonging to the low-income group compared to internet users). More details are shown in Table 3.

Within the same group of internet users, the majority (58.71%, 1089/1855, 35 missing) did not use videoconference programs, and 41.29% (766/1855) were familiar with their use.

Internet Users Versus Nonusers
Within the group of internet users, age had a significant main effect ($F_{1,1889}=10,664.20, P<.001$) and educational level was significantly different with regard to the total years of education ($P<.001$). Similarly, age had a significant main effect ($F_{1,537}=9177.84, P<.001$) and educational level was significantly different with regard to the total years of education ($P<.001$) within the group of nonusers. These results are displayed in Figures 2 and 3.
Table 3. Sociodemographic characteristics of internet users and nonusers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Internet users, n (%)</th>
<th>Internet nonusers, n (%)</th>
<th>df&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n=1890)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>861 (45.56)</td>
<td>235 (43.68)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1029 (54.44)</td>
<td>303 (56.32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (n=1890)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>576.17</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>185 (9.79)</td>
<td>10 (1.86)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>347 (18.36)</td>
<td>22 (4.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>391 (20.69)</td>
<td>31 (5.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>413 (21.85)</td>
<td>59 (10.97)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>357 (18.89)</td>
<td>122 (22.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>197 (10.42)</td>
<td>294 (54.65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education in years (n=1890)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101.17</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>1410 (74.60)</td>
<td>509 (94.61)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>480 (25.40)</td>
<td>29 (5.39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income in euros per month (n=1845)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to &lt;1000</td>
<td>486 (26.34)</td>
<td>169 (31.70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 to &lt;2500</td>
<td>1141 (61.84)</td>
<td>342 (64.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2500</td>
<td>218 (11.82)</td>
<td>22 (4.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population (n=1890)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
<td>261 (13.81)</td>
<td>88 (16.35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 to &lt;50,000</td>
<td>790 (41.80)</td>
<td>231 (42.94)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50,000</td>
<td>839 (44.39)</td>
<td>219 (40.71)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>df: degree of freedom.

Figure 2. Distribution of age groups in noninternet users (a) compared to internet users (b). Within each of the groups users versus nonusers, age groups had a significant main effect. Age groups also differed between the groups.
Telemedicine Within the Medical Consultation and Treatment Context

The 1890 internet users were asked whether they would consider using email, videoconferencing, electronic patient charts, or apps within a medical context of consultation or treatment in the future and whether they have already made use of any of these options.

The majority of participants answered that they would take advantage of using email for scheduling medical visits (1257/1890, 66.51%), although the majority of participants have not used email for this purpose so far (1247/1890, 66.00%). In contrast, most participants would not use email for reporting symptoms to their physicians (1040/1890, 55.03%), and few participants (64/1890, 3.39%) have done this in the past.

The majority of internet users also proved to be reluctant to use videoconferencing for consultations with their physician (1185/1836, 64.54%), and only 0.76% (14/1836) reported having had experience with it. Similarly, consultation with more than one physician at once by means of videoconference would not be considered by most internet users (1239/1836, 67.48%). Only 1.35% (19/1836) had actual experience with psychotherapy using videoconference technology, and most users reported low preference rates for online video-psychotherapy (377/1836, 20.53%). Electronic patient charts were not familiar to most users (1547/1836, 84.26%), but a slight majority would consider electronic patient charts as a future option (973/1836, 53.00%). Almost half of internet users would use a medical app (866/1836, 47.17%); however, just 1.85% (34/1836) have already done so.

In Table 4, more details about the results concerning the willingness of adult internet users to consider the use of internet technologies within a medical context of consultation or treatment as well as their actual experiences with technologies of this kind within this specific context are displayed.

Next, binary logistic regression analyses were performed for the use of internet technology within a medical context based on sex, age, educational level, income, population size, and previous experience with the respective online tool under investigation. Due to the insertion of 6 independent variables in the regression equation, the new level of significance was set at .05/6=.008.

Regarding email use for scheduling future medical visits, a significant regression model was found, $\chi^2_{12}=296.4$, $P<.001$. Age, educational level, and previous experience with email for this purpose were significant predictors ($P<.001$) as was population size ($P=.007$). Sex and income (following Bonferroni correction) were not significant predictors.

For using email for reporting symptoms, the logistic regression model turned out to be significant, $\chi^2_{12}=137.5$, $P<.001$. Age, educational level, and previous email use for reporting symptoms were significant predictors (all $P<.001$). Sex, income, and population size were not significant predictors at the new level of statistical significance.

For videoconference use to communicate with a physician, the regression model was significant, $\chi^2_{12}=62.8$, $P<.001$. Age ($P=.03$), educational level ($P<.001$), and income ($P=.005$) were significant predictors whereas sex, population size, and previous experience with teleconference were not.

The regression equation for the possibility of videoconference use with more than one physician at once turned out to be significant $\chi^2_{12}=77.0$, $P<.001$. Age ($P=0.01$), educational level ($P<.001$), and previous experience with group teleconference in a medical context ($P=.008$) were significant predictors. Sex, income and population size were not significant predictors.

The regression model for prediction of psychotherapy to be considered by means of videoconference also proved to be significant $\chi^2_{12}=60.1$, $P<.001$. Here, only educational level ($P=.002$) and previous experience with online video psychotherapy ($P<.001$) were significant predictors.

Preferences regarding the use of electronic patient files were statistically predicted by age ($P=.001$), educational level ($P<.001$) as well as previous use of electronic patient files ($P=.006$) in a significant regression equation $\chi^2_{12}=77.0$, $P<.001$. 
Finally, considering app use within a medical context was once more statistically predicted by age and educational level (both \(P<.001\)) as well as previous app experience (\(P=.001\)) in a significant regression model \(\chi^2_{12}=90.5, P<.001\).

In all models, younger age, higher educational level, higher income, and previous experience with the internet-based interventions in question were associated with higher rates of preferences for future use of internet-based solutions. All regression models can be found in Multimedia Appendix 1.

**Discussion**

Internet-based solutions to provide health care consultation or even treatments have been shown to improve patient activation and engagement [28-32] and thus improve outcomes [33-35]. However, as most scientific studies have examined clinical cohorts with very specific needs (eg, patients suffering from chronic diseases), data on use and future preferences in the general population regarding internet-based solutions are scarce [21,36]. Obviously, personal preferences may differ depending on the actual individual need for medical consultation or treatment. The assessment of personal preferences and especially stratification according to specific variables may be important prior to designing and providing internet-based medical consultation or treatment approaches, the purpose being a consistent or repeated rather than a singular or just transient use.

**Principal Findings**

Even in a country as technologically developed as Germany, it was quite a surprising finding that 22.5% of survey participants reported they did not use the internet for work or private use. In contrast, in a recent survey conducted in 2017 by the Federal Statistical Office (Destatis) in Germany, internet use was above 90% in all age groups except age 65 and older, in which the respective percentage was 55% [37]. We explain this obvious discrepancy with the way the questions were asked; while the Federal Statistical Office asked about lifetime internet use, our questionnaire addressed current ongoing use. According to our results, those individuals with a low preference for the implementation of internet-based health care delivery can be described as being older and having lower educational and income status compared to those individuals who expressed a preference and were younger, more educated, and had a higher income. Since age, educational level, and living in remote (rural) areas are associated with lower utilization rates of medical services and thus with higher morbidity and mortality rates [38-40], it becomes obvious that designing and providing future internet-based telemedical solutions aimed at reaching a wide-ranging and region-wide number of recipients should take the above nonuser phenotype into serious consideration. It would therefore be necessary to develop easy-to-use solutions (eg, tablet-based) suitable for those individuals who are not familiar with technology but would still like to try following simple instructions. For those without access to either the internet or computers and tablets, further solutions are needed (eg, internet hot spots, supply of tablets to the elderly or similar).

In addition, and also rather surprisingly, the majority of participants within the subcohort of internet users reported that they would not consider the use of email, videoconference, video psychotherapy, electronic medical records, or apps for the purpose of medical consultation or treatment, with the exception of using emails to schedule medical visits. This finding may point toward the fact that internet use has not been associated with the idea of a tool helping to address medical issues and needs within the same context by the general population—not even by its very own regular consumers. There is a mismatch between everyday use of internet-based technologies (email, apps, skype, etc) and willingness to use the exact same technologies for the sake of delivering health care consultation or treatment approaches, the purpose being a consistent or repeated rather than a singular or just transient use.

### Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Yes, n (%)</th>
<th>No, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would use email to schedule visits</td>
<td>1257 (68.46)</td>
<td>579 (31.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used email to schedule visits</td>
<td>358 (22.31)</td>
<td>1247 (77.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use email to report symptoms</td>
<td>798 (43.42)</td>
<td>1040 (56.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used email to report symptoms</td>
<td>64 (4.04)</td>
<td>1519 (95.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use videoconferencing with their physician</td>
<td>667 (36.02)</td>
<td>1185 (63.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used videoconferencing with their physician</td>
<td>14 (0.89)</td>
<td>1561 (99.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use videoconferencing with more than 1 physician at the same time</td>
<td>614 (33.14)</td>
<td>1239 (66.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used videoconferencing with more than 1 physician at the same time</td>
<td>16 (1.02)</td>
<td>1559 (98.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use videoconferencing for psychotherapy</td>
<td>377 (20.35)</td>
<td>1476 (79.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used videoconferencing for psychotherapy</td>
<td>19 (1.21)</td>
<td>1554 (98.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use electronic medical records</td>
<td>876 (47.38)</td>
<td>973 (52.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used electronic medical records</td>
<td>22 (1.40)</td>
<td>1547 (98.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would use apps</td>
<td>866 (46.71)</td>
<td>988 (53.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have used apps</td>
<td>34 (2.20)</td>
<td>1508 (97.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Willingness of adult internet users (n=1836) to consider the use of internet technologies within a medical context of consultation or treatment and their actual experiences with technologies of this kind within this specific context.
care. This is important to consider prior to implementing internet-based health care delivery solutions in Germany. Many individuals may not be able to anticipate how such systems would function with success. Data security may also be an issue; a recent market research study in Germany revealed that 95.2% of all respondents indicated fearing a possibly fraudulent use of their personal data on the internet [41]. With older age and lower educational level in our study being the most robust predictors of assumed future use, it seems as those who are supposed to benefit from telemedical interventions the most, are, in fact, those who might not use it. Thus, the development of simple, secure systems as described above might be able to overcome these obstacles.

This is a study examining use and preferences regarding a variety of internet-based technologies for health care delivery in a large representative sample in Germany. A limited number of similar studies have been performed in convenience samples, with the associated risks of sampling error and lack of representativeness inherent in convenience samples. Apolínário-Hagen et al [42] performed a Web-based study in a convenience sample (N=646) examining general preferences with reference to internet-based therapy and found similar rates of interesting in psychotherapy by means of videoconference (22.8% compared to 20.3% in our cohort). Interestingly, attachment avoidance and stress were associated with preference to internet-based interventions, and those individuals who were well aware of internet-based approaches showed a higher preference for therapist-guided internet treatment. The authors highlight the importance of increasing public knowledge about internet interventions in order to promote acceptance and uptake [42]. In another convenience sample in German and Austrian citizens (N=496), Hoerbst et al [43] examined knowledge, expectations, fears, and barriers toward electronic health records. In contrast to our findings of 47.4% of respondents being open to the idea of electronic medical records, they found more than 80% of respondents being supportive of the idea, although data protection issues were the major concerns. Our results showing low interest rates among the general population for internet-based technologies for telemedical purposes are in accordance with the results by Jenssen et al [44], in a US national survey, showing that despite regular use of new digital technology, few participants would consider the use of these tools for communicating with their physicians. In another US nationwide survey assessing participant preferences for telemedicine, Welch et al [45] found that 41% percent of survey participants felt it to be unimportant if their current health care professional offered telemedicine, only 15% would consider changing to a new professional who would provide telemedical care, and 56% of participants felt it was important to have an established relationship with a physician before considering telemedical visits. White race and higher education and relatively younger age and higher income have been described as predictors for internet use and eHealth literacy among older adults (aged 55 years and older) who were patients at clinics serving low-income populations [46]; policy makers are asked to consider such findings prior to the implementation of eHealth programs in order to meet the needs of people living different realities.

In our study, a significantly higher proportion of the population reported interest in using new telemental technologies compared to the small minority that reported actual previous experience. Prior use was dependent on whether such technology-based solutions were provided by their practitioners and whether the need for such a use had actually existed in the past. This fact could be interpreted as insufficient exposure to the respective technologies so far; in other words, specific offers might increase specific demand. If recipients of telemental care solutions were to experience short-term advantages of such systems (eg, being able to schedule appointments online at any time of the day, being able to see examination results and have easy access to further personal medical data) in an uncomplicated manner combined with maximum security of the systems, higher levels of actual use would be expected. Countries such as Estonia have shown that user-friendly internet-based health solutions are accepted by the majority of the population. It may therefore be expected that the implementation of simple-to-use, data-secure systems in Germany might lead to much higher use rates than shown here.

Another survey in Germany investigated preferences for online interventions in psychiatry and psychotherapy between health care professionals and nonprofessionals and found that, overall, nonprofessionals were more skeptical in their ratings than professionals [47]. More favorable eHealth utilization rates by European general practitioners were associated with younger age of the practitioners, female sex, and specific working conditions (eg, self-employment) in the study by Torrent-Sellens et al [48]. Although assessment of preferences of the medical staff involved was not part of our survey, aspects such as changes in roles and responsibilities and need for additional resources, reimbursement, and training may be crucial for successful implementation of new health care delivery approaches [49] and should be targets of investigation in further studies.

Blended treatments—the use of online tools (eg, self-help) combined with face-to-face psychotherapy—are already part of clinical routines aimed at increasing the impact of psychotherapy [50]. Nevertheless, computerized treatments and mobile phone apps for mental health problems seem to be negatively viewed and the likelihood of their future use to be rated low, indicating that policy makers need to improve the public perception of such options in order to facilitate their dissemination [51]. In a recent study in Austrian psychotherapists, both recipients of internet-based psychotherapy and their providers associated the new modes of treatment with more disadvantages and risks [52]. Again, assessment of barriers to and facilitators of use for remote psychotherapy is necessary to develop tailored, feasible, and acceptable practice designs for all stakeholders involved.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Strengths of this study clearly include the large number of participants and representativeness of the cohort. However, our survey also has limitations. First, we asked the general population to express their opinions on the use of email, videoconference, apps, and electronic patient files, all of which are internet-based instruments intended to deliver health care.
The assessment of differences between these instruments was not part of our protocol, which aimed at assessing global preferences for a variety of new technologies that may provide future meaningful contributions to improve health care delivery in the general population. In addition, the reasons for or against considering the use of internet-based telemedicine interventions were not assessed (eg, fear of data surveillance, needs remaining unmet) and may only be assumed. Other predictors should also be investigated in future studies (eg, ethnic/cultural background, strength of the physician-patient relationship); in addition, research has shown that a health-related information seeking personality was a significant positive predictor of the willingness to undergo online treatment [53]. Furthermore, we did not use a validated questionnaire but a series of questions generated by experts in psychosomatic medicine. Presumably, although not explicitly assessed, a minority of the participants has been offered the use of the technologies under investigation within a medical context, as such systems are not yet fully developed or implemented in Germany in routine practice.

Conclusion

Among internet users, the majority reported that they would not consider using internet technology for the purposes of medical consultation or treatment. Internet-based health care approaches using the right tools aimed at the right recipients may prove to be of great benefit. Our results emphasize the importance of developing solutions able to convince the general population to make proper use of and benefit from the potential telemedicine has to offer. As a putative practicable future way to increase acceptance and promote implementation of internet-based approaches in routine care, it is crucial to provide continuous, large-scale information on newer technological possibilities in health care delivery and ensure that concerns (eg, data security) are adequately addressed. In addition, policy makers should be focus on outreach to the elderly and those with lower income and educational level, as it is those groups who are more likely to report lower use of and preferences for internet-based health care delivery. Such an approach includes easy-to-use solutions and facilitation of access to internet-based services.
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Logistic regression analyses for the prediction of attitudes toward internet-based health care delivery based on 6 predictors: sex, age, educational level, income, population size, and previous experience.
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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of diabetes and the use of electronic health (eHealth) are increasing. People with diabetes need frequent monitoring and follow-up of health parameters, and eHealth services can be highly valuable. However, little is known about the use of eHealth in different socioeconomic groups among people with diabetes.

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the use of 4 different eHealth platforms (apps, search engines, video services, and social media sites) and the association with socioeconomic status (SES) among people diagnosed with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T1D and T2D, respectively).

Methods: We used email survey data from 1250 members of the Norwegian Diabetes Association (aged 18-89 years), collected in 2018. Eligible for analyses were the 1063 respondents having T1D (n=523) and T2D (n=545). 5 respondents reported having both diabetes types and thus entered into both groups. Using descriptive statistics, we estimated the use of the different types of eHealth. By logistic regressions, we studied the associations between the use of these types of eHealth and SES (education and household income), adjusted for gender, age, and self-rated health.

Results: We found that 87.0% (447/514) of people with T1D and 77.7% (421/542) of people with T2D had used 1 or more forms of eHealth sometimes or often during the previous year. The proportion of people using search engines was the largest in both diagnostic groups, followed by apps, social media, and video services. We found a strong association between a high level of education and the use of search engines, whereas there were no educational differences for the use of apps, social media, or video services. In both diagnostic groups, high income was associated with the use of apps. In people with T1D, lower income was associated with the use of video services.

Conclusions: This paper indicates a digital divide among people with diabetes in Norway, with consequences that may contribute to sustaining and shaping inequalities in health outcomes. The strong relationship between higher education and the use of search engines, along with the finding that the use of apps, social media, and video services was not associated with education, indicates...
that adequate communication strategies for audiences with varying education levels should be a focus in future efforts to reduce inequalities in health outcomes.

*(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13615) doi:10.2196/13615*
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**Introduction**

**Increasing Prevalence of Diabetes**

The prevalence of diabetes is increasing worldwide; it is expected to rise to 642 million cases in 2040 [1]. Global prevalence in adults is estimated at 8.8% [1]. Around 245,000 people have been diagnosed with diabetes in Norway, of whom around 28,000 (11.4%) have type 1 diabetes (T1D) [2]. Most patients do not reach the combined national treatment targets for prevention of complications [3-5].

**Increasing Use of Electronic Health**

The World Health Organization defines electronic health (eHealth) as “the use of information and communication technologies for health” [6]. The use of eHealth has increased significantly over the past decades, and around 80% of the general population in the United States and Europe conducts health-related searches on the Web [7-10]. It has recently been reported that 87% of people with T1D in Norway used eHealth in 1 or more forms, and 84% of people with T1D had used search engines sometimes or often during the previous year [11]. Most Norwegian households (98%) have internet access [12], 96% of the population aged 16 to 79 years has used the internet during the previous 3 months, and 90% of this population uses the internet every day [13]. Social media is used by 80% of the Norwegian population [13].

**Socioeconomic Inequalities in the Prevalence, Morbidity, and Mortality of Diabetes**

Despite a relatively high average standard of living, all European countries still have substantial inequalities in health outcomes among socioeconomic groups, as affluent groups have better somatic and mental health and lower mortality than disadvantaged groups [14]. Relative health differences between the highest and lowest socioeconomic groups in Norway even rank among the highest in Europe [14]. The inverse association between socioeconomic status (SES) and the prevalence, morbidity, and mortality of diabetes is well documented [15,16]. In addition, there is evidence of worse health care for diabetes patients with low SES [15,17]. Health care services and individuals’ abilities to take advantage of them are considered parts of the explanations for inequalities in health, even in universal health care systems [17,18].

**Socioeconomic Inequalities in the Use of Electronic Health**

One might assume that communication inequalities can contribute to inequalities in health, as it is well known that new interventions and treatments reach people in higher socioeconomic groups first [19-21]. Research consistently indicates that women, younger people, and people with middle and high SES are more likely to seek health information and advice from the internet [22-25]. In addition, both long-term illness and good health are reported to be positively associated with eHealth use [8,22]. However, in the case of diabetes, there is evidence that there is no immediate benefit from health technology implementation in lower-education groups, in contrast to medium- and especially higher-education groups, with possible consequences regarding health outcomes [24]. Wangberg et al found that SES is related to differential use of eHealth, as people with higher education use eHealth tools that more likely influence health behaviors [26]. Given access to the internet, the digital health divide implies that some people are less likely to use the internet for health purposes, as well as to benefit from eHealth resources [10,25].

Despite recent reports of a decrease in gender and health disparities in the use of eHealth, persistent predictors of less use of eHealth seem to be higher age (75 years and older), lower education (lower than high school), and (very) low income [9].

**Diabetes and Electronic Health**

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is partly caused, maintained, and deteriorated by prevalent risk factors, such as physical inactivity, unhealthy diet, obesity, and smoking. In daily life, individuals with diabetes are in charge of managing their disease and self-management, and empowerment is essential in care and prevention of complications of T1D and T2D diabetes. Recent systematic reviews have shown that eHealth can play a positive role in this regard [27-29]. It is a core political ambition to equalize social inequalities in health, as reflected in the Norwegian Public Health Act [30]. To our knowledge, no one has studied the relationship among SES groups in the form of education and household income, and different forms of eHealth use by people with diabetes in Norway. As there is consistent evidence of socioeconomic inequalities in health, as well as inequalities in the distribution of diabetes and in the use of eHealth, studies of possible gaps in information seeking among people with diabetes deserve close attention. This is equally relevant in relation to the importance of information in the follow-up of this prevalent and lifelong chronic disease.

**Objective**

The aim of this study was to investigate the use of different eHealth platforms among people with diabetes (T1D and T2D) and investigate whether the use of eHealth was associated with SES. Specifically, we tested whether the use of apps, search engines (such as Google), video services (such as YouTube), and social media (such as Facebook) was associated with education and household income, adjusted for gender, age, and self-rated health.
**Methods**

**Data**

The current cross-sectional study is a part of the DIAcare project [31], investigating relations between eHealth use and the use of provider-based health care services. The project has previously published 3 papers, partly using the same dataset and methodology [11,32,33]. Initially, as described in our protocol paper [31], we planned to use data from the seventh Tromsø Study, conducted in 2015-2016. However, these data were not available to us because of an agreement with another researcher regarding exclusive rights to decide about the collected eHealth data for 3 years. Consequently, we developed a tailored questionnaire on the basis of the specific objectives of this study [31], using relevant questions from other published surveys on health seeking behavior [34,35].

Email survey data were obtained in January and February 2018 from members of The Norwegian Diabetes Association (NDA). By December 31, 2017, the patient organization had 33,908 members, 53% were women and 47% were men. Around 30% of the members have T1D [36]. The Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) Web Survey distributed the invitations to a randomly selected sample of 5971 individuals (about 18% of all members). We distributed information about the study purpose and what participation would entail together with the invitation. The questionnaire (Multimedia Appendix 1) included questions about demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, health status, including specific questions about duration, severity and treatment of the individuals’ diabetes, and use of and experiences with eHealth. Before data collection, 2 people diagnosed with diabetes and 2 experts from our research group (EÅ and AHH) reviewed and tested the questionnaire several times. Nonrespondents were given 1 reminder, submitted by email 15 days after the first request.

**Participants**

It was not possible for the same respondent to fill in the questionnaire more than once. From a total of 1250 participants, we first excluded those who had not been diagnosed with diabetes themselves (n=66). This group comprised 61 family members, 4 health personnel (2 overlapping), and 3 others. We also excluded participants who failed to respond to most of the questions (n=5) and those who did not give information about gender (n=93). Finally, as we had decided to investigate T1D and T2D in this part of the study, participants with other diabetes types were excluded (n=23). The final sample included 1063 respondents (Figure 1). Of these, 523 reported having T1D, and 545 reported having T2D. A total of 5 of these were overlapping, interpreted as double diabetes [37,38].

![Flow chart of the study population](image)

**Variables**

The 4 dependent variables were the use of apps (for mobile phone or tablet computer), search engines (such as Google), social media (such as Facebook), and video services (such as YouTube) for health purposes during the previous 12 months. For an easier interpretation of logistic regressions, and in line with previous research [34], these eHealth variables were dichotomized by merging the original 4 answering options into “never or once” and “sometimes or often.”

Education and household income were the key independent variables. Education was categorized into low (primary/part of secondary school), middle (completed secondary school), high
(college/university < 4 years), and highest education (college/university 4 years or more). The response options for household income were merged into 3 groups, labeled low (NOK 350,000 or less), medium (NOK 351,000-750,000), and high (NOK 751,000 or more) income. Adjustment independent variables were gender, age, and self-rated health. We grouped age in 20-year intervals. Response options for self-rated health were excellent, good, fair, bad, and very bad. The bad and very bad categories were merged because of low numbers in the very bad category (4 respondents). The 2 categories of the dichotomous response time variable were early and late respondents.

**Analyses**

Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics and logistic regressions. Correlations were determined using Spearman correlation coefficients. As T1D and T2D are different diseases, and as the samples differed substantially, particularly regarding gender, age, and duration of the participants’ diabetes, we decided to stratify analyses by diabetes type. For each diagnostic category, we constructed 1 multivariable regression model for each of the dependent variables. The independent variables (gender, age, education, household income, and self-rated health) were introduced collectively into the models. We performed similar analyses after excluding the 5 participants reporting double diabetes. Owing to a relatively low response rate, we compared respondents who did not respond initially but eventually consented (late respondents) with early respondents, assuming that the late respondents were more similar to nonrespondents [39]. This was done by subsequently introducing the response time variable into the regression models. We used 95% CIs throughout the study. Stata, version 14.2 (StataCorp LLC), was used for all analyses.

**Ethics**

Ethics approval was not required, which was confirmed by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics. This study has been approved by the Data Protection Officer (Personvernombudet) at the University Hospital of North-Norway (ref 2017/6579). The NSD resource center received no information about the participants other than their email addresses.

**Results**

**Participation**

In total, 1250 persons aged 18 to 89 years participated, constituting a minimum response rate of 20.9% (Figure 1).

Eligible for analysis were the 523 participants with T1D and the 545 with T2D, which made a total of 1063 participants. A total of 5 of them reported having both diabetes types, and thus they entered into both groups (Figure 1) [37].

**Sample Characteristics**

Mean age was 54.8 (95% CI 53.9-55.7) years; it was 47.0 (95% CI 45.7-48.3) years for those with T1D and 62.3 (95% CI 61.4-63.2) years for those with T2D. Median age was 57 years; it was 48 years (range 18-89) for T1D and 63 years (range 22-89) for T2D. Mean disease duration was 17.9 (95% CI 17.1-18.7) years; it was 23.2 (95% CI 21.9-24.6) years for T1D and 12.7 (95% CI 12.0-13.5) years for T2D, whereas median disease duration was 15 years; it was 22 years (range 0-75) for T1D and 10 years (range 0-68) for T2D.

Those who were married, working, and had good self-rated regulation of diabetes and good self-rated health made up the largest groups in both the T1D and T2D samples. For all other characteristics, the largest groups differed between the 2 diagnoses (Table 1).

Among participants with T1D, women (281/523, 53.7%), people aged 40 to 59 years (223/523, 42.6%), people with high education (152/480, 31.7%), high household income (238/467, 51.0%), and a diabetes duration of at least 30 years (187/522, 35.8%) made up the largest groups (Table 1). In contrast, the largest groups among participants with T2D were men (342/545, 62.7%), persons aged 60 years and over (356/545, 62.7%), persons with medium education (165/510, 32.4%), medium household income (253/488, 51.9%), and persons with a diabetes duration of less than 10 years (209/542, 38.6%; Table 1). As expected, the duration of diabetes differed substantially between the 2 diagnostic groups (Table 1).

In the T1D group, 87.0% (447/514) of the participants had used 1 or more forms of eHealth sometimes or often during the previous year. The corresponding proportion for those with T2D was 77.7% (421/542). The proportion who used search engines was the largest among those with T1D, as well as those with T2D (84.0% vs 73.0%, respectively), followed by apps (55.5% vs 50.8%, respectively), social media (45.2% vs 31.2%, respectively), and video services (23.2% vs 12.3%, respectively; Table 2).
Table 1. Sample characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total sample, n (%)</th>
<th>Type 1 diabetes, n (%)</th>
<th>Type 2 diabetes, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>N=1063</td>
<td>n=523</td>
<td>n=545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>483 (45.43)</td>
<td>281 (53.7)</td>
<td>203 (37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>580 (54.57)</td>
<td>242 (46.3)</td>
<td>342 (62.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>N=1063</td>
<td>n=523</td>
<td>n=545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-39</td>
<td>192 (18.06)</td>
<td>178 (34.0)</td>
<td>14 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>394 (37.07)</td>
<td>223 (42.6)</td>
<td>175 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>477 (44.87)</td>
<td>122 (23.4)</td>
<td>356 (65.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td>N=778</td>
<td>n=380</td>
<td>n=401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>55 (7.1)</td>
<td>42 (11.0)</td>
<td>13 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabitant</td>
<td>723 (92.9)</td>
<td>338 (89.0)</td>
<td>388 (96.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main daily activity</strong></td>
<td>N=984</td>
<td>n=481</td>
<td>n=507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working (full-time or part-time)</td>
<td>519 (52.7)</td>
<td>308 (64.0)</td>
<td>215 (42.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioner old age</td>
<td>265 (26.9)</td>
<td>65 (13.5)</td>
<td>200 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioner disability</td>
<td>132 (13.4)</td>
<td>53 (11.0)</td>
<td>79 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupil/student</td>
<td>35 (3.6)</td>
<td>35 (7.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>33 (3.4)</td>
<td>20 (4.2)</td>
<td>13 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td>N=986</td>
<td>n=480</td>
<td>n=510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (primary/part of secondary school)</td>
<td>107 (10.9)</td>
<td>39 (8.1)</td>
<td>68 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (completed secondary school)</td>
<td>302 (30.6)</td>
<td>139 (29.)</td>
<td>165 (32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (college/university &lt;4 years)</td>
<td>300 (30.4)</td>
<td>152 (31.7)</td>
<td>150 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest (college/university, 4 years or more)</td>
<td>277 (28.1)</td>
<td>150 (31.2)</td>
<td>127 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income</strong></td>
<td>N=951</td>
<td>n=467</td>
<td>n=488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;NOK 350,000)</td>
<td>114 (12.0)</td>
<td>66 (14.1)</td>
<td>48 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (NOK 351,000-750,000)</td>
<td>413 (43.4)</td>
<td>163 (34.9)</td>
<td>253 (51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (NOK 751,000 or more)</td>
<td>424 (44.6)</td>
<td>238 (51.0)</td>
<td>187 (38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of diabetes</strong></td>
<td>N=1059</td>
<td>n=522</td>
<td>n=542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 years</td>
<td>333 (31.45)</td>
<td>127 (24.3)</td>
<td>209 (38.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19 years</td>
<td>308 (29.08)</td>
<td>107 (20.5)</td>
<td>202 (37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 years</td>
<td>201 (18.98)</td>
<td>101 (19.4)</td>
<td>100 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 years and over</td>
<td>217 (20.49)</td>
<td>187 (35.8)</td>
<td>31 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-rated regulation of diabetes</strong></td>
<td>N=1054</td>
<td>n=520</td>
<td>n=539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>245 (23.25)</td>
<td>101 (19.4)</td>
<td>146 (27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>577 (54.74)</td>
<td>292 (56.2)</td>
<td>287 (53.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>193 (18.31)</td>
<td>103 (19.8)</td>
<td>91 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad/very bad</td>
<td>39 (3.70)</td>
<td>24 (4.6)</td>
<td>15 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-rated health</strong></td>
<td>N=1054</td>
<td>n=521</td>
<td>n=538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>155 (14.71)</td>
<td>93 (17.9)</td>
<td>63 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>542 (51.42)</td>
<td>269 (51.6)</td>
<td>275 (51.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>261 (24.76)</td>
<td>113 (21.7)</td>
<td>150 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad/very bad</td>
<td>96 (9.11)</td>
<td>46 (8.8)</td>
<td>50 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Proportion of participants using different kinds of electronic health (eHealth) “sometimes” or “often” during the previous 12 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of eHealth</th>
<th>Total sample</th>
<th>Type 1 diabetes&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Type 2 diabetes&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n/N, %</td>
<td>n/N, %</td>
<td>n/N, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more forms of eHealth</td>
<td>864/1051, 82.21</td>
<td>447/514, 87.0</td>
<td>421/542, 77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apps</td>
<td>556/1048, 53.05</td>
<td>285/514, 55.5</td>
<td>274/539, 50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search engines</td>
<td>821/1048, 78.34</td>
<td>431/513, 84.0</td>
<td>394/540, 73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>399/1050, 38.00</td>
<td>232/513, 45.2</td>
<td>169/541, 31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video services</td>
<td>183/1037, 17.65</td>
<td>118/506, 23.3</td>
<td>66/536, 12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Statistically significant differences between T1D and T2D are marked in italics.

Positive Association Between Higher Education and the Use of Search Engines

We found a strong association between higher education and the use of search engines. In people with T1D, the odds were more than 3 times higher for the high education group (odds ratio, OR 3.26, 95% CI 1.34-7.96) and almost 6 times higher for the highest education group (OR 5.78, 95% CI 2.14-15.57) compared with the low education group (Table 3). Among those with T2D, the odds were more than doubled for those with high education (OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.11-4.26) and more than 3 times as high for those with the highest education (OR 3.30, 95% CI 1.58-6.89) compared with the low education group (Table 4).

We found no educational differences for the use of apps, social media, or video services in any of the diagnostic categories (Tables 3 and 4).

Type 1 Diabetes Patients With Higher Income Are Less Likely to Use Video Services

In people with T1D, we found that the middle- and high-income groups had lower odds of using video services (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.26-0.99 and OR 0.50, 95% CI 0.26-0.98, respectively) compared with the low-income group (Table 3).

Positive Association Between Higher Income and the Use of Apps

Among people with T1D, as well as T2D, the high income group more likely used apps, compared with the low income group (OR 3.05, 95% CI 1.63-5.71 and OR 2.06, 95% CI 1.02-4.19, respectively; Tables 3 and 4). We found no associations between household income and the use of the other eHealth types in people with T2D (Table 4).

Gender and Age

Men with T1D and T2D were less likely to use social media (OR 0.50, 95% CI 0.34-0.74 and OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.41-0.94, respectively), and men with T2D were less likely to use search engines (OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.34-0.87), compared with women (Tables 3 and 4).

In people with T1D, higher age was inversely associated with the use of apps and search engines (Table 3). We found no statistically significant age differences in the use of eHealth among people with T2D (Table 4).

Self-Rated Health

In many of the T1D groups that reported fair or bad/very bad health, the odds of using eHealth (except for the use of apps) were significantly higher than in the excellent health group. This was most apparent regarding the use of social media, where the odds of use were almost 3 times higher among those in bad/very bad health compared with those in excellent health (OR 2.96, 95% CI 1.34-6.54; Table 3). In the fair health group, the use of search engines was more than twice as likely as in the excellent health group (OR 2.37, 95% CI 1.03-5.46). The group with bad/very bad health was more likely to use video services (OR 2.62, 95% CI 1.15-5.97), compared with the excellent health group (Table 3). Among people with T2D reporting bad/very bad health, the odds of using search engines was significantly higher than among those in excellent health (OR 2.73, 95% CI 1.02-7.31).

There were no strong correlations (defined as rho>0.5) among the independent variables in any of the models. The strongest correlations were found for education and household income in both the T1D and T2D models (rho 0.2757 and 0.2555, respectively). Performing the regression analyses after excluding the 5 participants with “double diabetes” did not alter the results.
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Table 3. Associations of using electronic health "sometimes" or "often" in people with type 1 diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Apps (n=467), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Search engines (n=466), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Social media (n=466), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Video services (n=463), OR (95% CI)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femalec</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.40 (0.95-2.06)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.41-1.21)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.34-0.74)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.91-2.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-39 yearsc</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59 years</td>
<td>0.52 (0.33-0.84)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.16-0.74)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.76-1.89)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.50-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+ years</td>
<td>0.45 (0.26-0.77)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10-0.52)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.42-1.23)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.31-1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low educationc</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium education</td>
<td>0.84 (0.39-1.80)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.70-3.82)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.48-2.20)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.36-2.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High educationc</td>
<td>0.81 (0.38-1.73)</td>
<td>3.26 (1.34-7.96)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.44-2.03)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.45-2.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest education</td>
<td>0.84 (0.39-1.82)</td>
<td>5.78 (2.14-15.57)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.37-1.71)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.39-2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low incomec</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium income</td>
<td>1.26 (0.68-2.33)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.49-2.50)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.54-1.86)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.26-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income</td>
<td>3.05 (1.63-5.71)</td>
<td>1.60 (0.68-3.78)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.83-2.89)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.26-0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent health</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good health</td>
<td>1.31 (0.78-2.20)</td>
<td>1.88 (0.95-3.71)</td>
<td>1.99 (1.15-3.45)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.52-1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair health</td>
<td>1.68 (0.91-3.12)</td>
<td>2.37 (1.03-5.46)</td>
<td>2.46 (1.30-4.64)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.53-2.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad/very bad health</td>
<td>1.96 (0.89-4.32)</td>
<td>2.13 (0.69-6.60)</td>
<td>2.96 (1.34-6.54)</td>
<td>2.62 (1.15-5.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOR: odds ratio.
bStatistically significant findings are marked in italics.
cReference groups.
Table 4. Associations of using electronic health ‘sometimes’ or ‘often’ in people with type 2 diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Apps (n=478), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Search engines (n=479), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Social media (n=480), OR (95% CI)b</th>
<th>Video services (n=476), OR (95% CI)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femalec</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.92 (0.63-1.36)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.34-0.87)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.41-0.94)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.40-1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-39 yearsc</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59 years</td>
<td>0.83 (0.23-2.96)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.21-6.37)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.30-4.12)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.16-4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+ years</td>
<td>0.83 (0.24-2.91)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.16-4.54)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.21-2.82)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.11-3.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low educationc  (primary/part of secondary school)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium education (completed secondary school)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.71-2.35)</td>
<td>1.74 (0.92-3.29)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.56-2.04)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.27-1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High education (college/university, &lt;4 years)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.76-2.63)</td>
<td>2.17 (1.11-4.26)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.54-2.05)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.48-2.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest education (college/university, 4 years or more)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.68-2.43)</td>
<td>3.30 (1.58-6.89)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.42-1.69)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.35-2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low incomec  (NOK &lt;350,000)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium income (NOK 351,000-750,000)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.84-3.26)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.52-2.33)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.58-2.50)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.39-3.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High income (NOK 751,000 or more)</td>
<td>2.06 (1.02-4.19)</td>
<td>2.25 (0.99-5.11)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.53-2.46)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.31-2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-rated health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent healthc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good health</td>
<td>1.11 (0.62-1.97)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.74-2.74)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.57-2.05)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.43-2.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair health</td>
<td>1.20 (0.64-2.23)</td>
<td>1.56 (0.77-3.19)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.69-2.70)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.66-4.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad/very bad health</td>
<td>1.44 (0.66-3.18)</td>
<td>2.73 (1.02-7.31)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.45-2.51)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.31-4.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOR: odds ratio.
bStatistically significant findings are marked in italics.
cReference groups.

**Discussion**

Principal Findings

We found that 87.0% (447/514) of people with T1D and 77.7% (421/542) of people with T2D had used 1 or more forms of eHealth sometimes or often during the previous year. In both diagnostic groups, the proportion using search engines was the largest, followed by apps, social media, and video services. Those with higher levels of education had higher odds of using search engines, whereas we found no educational differences for the use of apps, social media, or video services. In both diagnostic groups, those with high income more likely used apps, whereas T1D patients with medium and high income had lower odds of using video services. Men in both diagnostic groups used social media less than women did. In people with T1D, higher age was inversely associated with the use of apps and search engines, whereas those in poorer health had higher odds of using eHealth, particularly social media. There was no association between self-rated health and the use of apps.

Among people with T2D, those with bad/very bad health had significantly higher odds of using search engines compared with those in excellent health.

**Overall Use of Electronic Health Among People With Diabetes**

We revealed a high overall use of eHealth, and search engines were the most commonly used platforms. This conforms with the study by Hong et al, which reported that seeking health information on the Web has been the most typical health-related internet use in the general US population of older adults (55 years and over), increasing from 57% in 2003 to 80% in 2011 [9]. Considering the high mean age in this study’s sample, this study’s rates for a Norwegian diabetes population can be seen as a confirmation of this trend, and for T1D, they can be seen as an extension of it. This is also in line with previous research, indicating that long-term illness and good health, which characterize this study’s sample, are related to increased use of eHealth [22]. The high overall use of eHealth suggests increased...
efforts in providing high-quality electronic information and services tailored for people with diabetes.

**Differences According to Education**

There was a strong association between higher education and the use of search engines in both diagnostic groups. This is in line with most other studies of education and Web-based health information seeking in general and disease-specific populations [10,25,40]. The finding might be explained by higher-educated groups’ capabilities and experiences of seeking out, finding, understanding, and making sense of health- and disease-related information [25,40]. It might also reflect educational differences in engagement with health, health care systems, and health care activities [25]. This might be reinforced by information tailored for people with higher education more than for people with lower education, hindering future searches among those who experienced that they did not fully understand what was found [25]. Notably, education was not significantly associated with the use of apps, social media, or video services in this study. Kontos et al even found lower levels of education to be associated with increased use of social media for health purposes [25], which might indicate that social media information is experienced as more accessible and useful in groups with lower education. The peer-to-peer interactions and social and emotional support provided by

social media may be of significance in this regard [41]. Regarding the use of apps, our finding of no association with education supports other recently conducted studies [42,43]. This might indicate benefit from communication through apps, social media, and video services, regardless of education, whereas higher-educated people might additionally experience benefit from information through literature and other texts, whether internet-based or not. When targeting people with lower education, one might thus consider providing information through apps, videos, or social media. This is important, as it might have consequences for engagement in healthy lifestyle behavior and the ability to achieve better health outcomes.

**Differences According to Household Income**

Health apps may be used for a wide variety of health purposes, including self-management and control of diabetes. In both diagnostic categories, the high-income group had higher odds of using apps than the low income group. The association was stronger for T1D than for T2D (Tables 3 and 4). In contrast, an Australian study recently reported no association between SES and the use of apps for T1D and T2D [42]. This is interesting even if findings are not directly comparable. A possible explanation of our finding might be the costs of downloading some of the apps. As the use of apps is still increasing, another possible explanation might be that novel solutions and treatments reach people in higher socioeconomic groups first [19-21]. We found that T1D patients with medium and high income had lower odds of using video services compared with the low-income group. This might indicate that people with low income are more likely to benefit from video-based information regarding health issues. However, this association was not found for T2D. A possible explanation might be the higher age in this group and less use of video services compared with the T1D group (Tables 1 and 2). In a general US population, higher household income was significantly associated with seeking health information on the Web in 2003, 2005, and 2011-12 [9]. This is only partly supported by this study’s results, as we did not find any significant association between household income and the use of search engines or social media.

**Differences According to Gender**

The only significant gender differences in this study were that men in both diagnostic categories used social media less than women and that men with T2D used search engines less than women. Previous research reports a general trend that women have a higher engagement in health issues, eHealth, and social media, and women often act as a liaison for their family [9,25], which might underpin and explain these findings. Nevertheless, we found no gender differences for the use of apps and video services. Previous research has produced conflicting results regarding gender and the use of apps [43,44], and evidence is scarce about predictors for the use of video services. The small gender differences in this study (Tables 3 and 4) are in line with the trend reported by Hong et al that the digital health divide between genders narrowed from 2003 and was no longer significant in 2011 [9].

**Differences According to Age**

Higher age was inversely associated with the use of apps and search engines in people with T1D. Others have specifically described an association between younger age and app use among people with diabetes [42]. In line with these results, previous research consistently reports that older people use eHealth less than younger people, both in general populations and elderly populations, as well as in populations with chronic disease [10,11,22,25]. Another possible explanation is that people with T1D are likely to have a longer disease duration the older they are, as incidence of T1D decreases with increasing age. With their greater experience in living with diabetes, they may not use apps or search engines as much as if they were more recently diagnosed. This is consistent with the duration of diabetes described in Table 2. In addition, the lower use of apps and search engines among older people with T1D might partly be because of less use of mobile devices in general, as well as lower education in older age groups [13,45]. Age-sensitive information design for elderly people could be an area for development. However, in the T2D group, we found no age-related differences in the use of eHealth. The association regarding app and search engine use described above for the T1D group may be consistent with the lack of association with age among those with T2D. As T2D incidence is higher with increasing age, more of the T2D sample is at any given age likely to be more recently diagnosed than the veterans of T1D at their comparable ages, thus explaining that eHealth use does not differ by age in the T2D group. Hong et al described a narrowing of the age divide in the general US population from 2003 to 2011 [9]. This study’s findings suggest a disappearance of the age divide in the T2D group, as well as regarding the use of social media and video services in the T1D group. Our findings are not surprising, as the use of eHealth is increasing rapidly among elderly people in the western world [10,13,46], and the elderly population is gradually transforming from “digital immigrants” (having to learn and acquire digital activity
Differences According to Self-Rated Health

Previous research has produced conflicting results regarding the relationship between health status and the use of eHealth [47]. A striking finding in this study was that those reporting bad/very bad health were almost 3 times as likely to use social media compared with those in excellent health. Access of shared information through social media might give valuable fellowship, along with clinical and emotional support for people with T1D, particularly in periods of poorer health [41]. In people with T2D, we found a positive association between bad/very bad health and the use of search engines, which is in line with the illness behavior model, stating that people in poorer health more likely seek disease-related information on the Web [23]. We found no significant associations between self-rated health and app use (Tables 3 and 4). Others have found an association in terms of better outcomes of health parameters among app users, stating that the use of apps contributes to better disease management and health outcomes [42].

Limitations and Strengths

Limitations and strengths have been discussed in detail in our first study in this project [11]. One limitation is the low estimated participation rate. However, response rate must not be confused with response quality [39]. Older people dominated among the late respondents compared with the early respondents [11]. As late respondents might be more similar to nonrespondents [39], seniors might be underrepresented in this study.

Distribution of the questionnaire by email is another limitation, which excluded those who do not use the internet. As 98% of Norwegian households have internet access, we do not think this affected our results significantly [11]. It is well known that women, healthier persons, higher socioeconomic groups, and middle-aged people are more likely to participate in surveys [11]. This suggests that women, people around 40 to 80 years, people in better health, and higher socioeconomic groups might be overrepresented in this study. As different factors might pull the tendency in different directions or level each other out, it is not possible to judge the magnitude or direction of a possible nonresponse bias. The low response rate is in itself not an indication of low representativeness [48]. We suggest that nonresponse bias posed a limited threat to this study’s validity; however, generalization must be made with caution. We investigated socioeconomic differences in the form of self-reported education and self-reported household income. Education was measured at the individual level, whereas household income was measured at family level, which is a limitation. The answers regarding household income might be less accurate than those regarding one’s own education. We thus consider education a more solid measure than household income. However, we think that both these aspects of SES, despite limitations, offer a broader picture than one of them might do alone.

Recall bias might have occurred for all aspects of this questionnaire study. Other relevant limitations explored in the first study were the validity of self-reported data, the cross-sectional study design, and interest in the subject studied [11]. Finally, we cannot exclude the possibility of unmeasured confounders of the reported associations. This study also has some strengths, which are similar to the strengths discussed in the first paper in this series [11]. The most important strength is the focus on a scarcely investigated research field. Other strengths are the detailed questionnaire specifically tailored to people with diabetes, the recruitment of participants from all of Norway, the inclusion of a wide age span of participants, and the opportunity to analyze the data shortly after they were collected. Finally, the collection of data in cooperation with the NDA enabled us to develop an excellent user participation, with a large and important group of health care users.

Conclusions

Overall, this study indicates a digital divide among people with diabetes in Norway, with consequences that may contribute to shaping inequalities in health outcomes. We want to highlight the strong relationship between higher education and the use of search engines, along with the finding that educational level was not associated with differences in the use of apps, social media, and video services. We also revealed that the use of video services was more likely in lower income groups. Collectively, our findings suggest that information through apps, social media, and video services might be a good choice when targeting lower educational groups. In society’s effort to reduce inequalities in health outcomes, clinicians and health care leaders should be aware of these inequalities in eHealth use to design adequate health communication strategies for different target groups, particularly according to educational level. More research is needed to confirm our findings.
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Abstract

Background: The expanding use of the internet contributes to more effective searches for health-related information and opens up opportunities for direct Web-based communication with health care professionals. However, little is known about how users’ characteristics on the demand side influence health-related internet use, especially in remote and rural areas within developing countries. The absence of accurate estimates of users’ characteristics and their impact on adaptations of health care services in developing countries constrains focused policy-centered discussions and the design of appropriate policies.

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of health-related internet use and to identify its determinants in a remote province in China.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional survey in June and July of 2018 in Ningxia, located in northwestern China. Rural households were selected by multistage random sampling, and households’ key members were interviewed face-to-face at the respondents’ home. Dependent variables were whether the households use Web health services or not. Independent variables were chosen based on the Andersen behavioral model. Sociodemographic characteristics were compared between households that used health-related Web services with nonusers. We applied logistic regression models to evaluate multivariate associations between respondents’ characteristics and their usage of Web-based health services and obtained odds ratios with 95% CI.

Results: A total of 1354 respondents from rural households were interviewed, of whom 707 (52.22%) were men. The mean age of the respondents was 44.54 years (SD 10.22). Almost half of the surveyed households (640/1354, 47.27%) reported using 1 or more Web-based health care services, whereas 37.8% (502/1354) reported using the internet to obtain health-related information, 15.51% (210/1354) used the internet to communicate with professionals about health issues, and 7.24% (98/1354) had engaged in Web-based consultations in the last year. After controlling for potential confounders, households engaged in health-related internet use were found to be wealthier, have higher health demands, and have less geographic access to high-quality health care compared with other households.

Conclusions: The internet has become a major health information resource in rural Ningxia. Social structures, family enabling factors, health needs, and characteristics relating to health care access were significant predictors of households’ health-related internet use in rural and remote areas in China. Those who belong to older age groups, have low income, and whose education levels do not extend beyond primary school education are significantly less likely to use Web-based health care services and to benefit from Web-based health care programs. A need for continued collaborative efforts involving multiple stakeholders, including communities, Web-based and other health care providers, family members, and the government is needed.

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e12693/
Health care can be delivered to geographically remote locations via the internet, which can potentially play an important role in facilitating access to medical information and service delivery in rural and remote areas for hard-to-reach populations. The internet provides access to quality health care for those who may be underserved by the traditional medical system [8,9], thus addressing disparities in health care [10]. Moreover, studies have shown that internet-based health care training, education, and research programs targeting health care providers in rural and remote areas have led to increased communication among clinicians, resulting in more efficient, higher quality, and less costly care in these areas [11,12].

The Internet and Health Care in China

With the rapid development of the internet and ICT in China, 802 million people were reported to have access to the internet in 2018, of which 26.3% were from rural areas [13]. The government is actively promoting integrated provision of internet and health care facilities. The Internet Plus health care strategy was proposed in 2015 by the National Development and Reform Commission and the National Health and Family Planning Commission (renamed the National Health Commission) to improve access to health care in rural and remote areas through ICT. Extensive investments of social capital have been made in parallel in Web-based health services available on the internet through the development of consultations, hospital facilities, and medicines as well as in health management. However, the effects and consequences of large investments of capital in the emerging Web-based health care market on the performance and accessibility of health care remain unclear.

Disparities in health care across geographical, socioeconomic, racial, and ethnic groups can only be reduced through effective eHealth designs and practices that are responsive to public demands [10]. Evidence of the demanding characteristics and determinants are needed to improve efficiency and effectiveness of policies and investments. However, the limited availability of demand-related information has resulted in uncertainty regarding the performance of eHealth programs and their adoption.

Research on Electronic Health Usage

Previous studies [14-17] on the internet and health care, which have mainly focused on developed countries, have contributed to a better understanding of their characteristics and impacts on adaptations of health care services. They have shown that people who use the internet for health-related purposes are more likely to be young and female, with high levels of income and education. Moreover, factors such as health status and attitudes toward the internet have been found to influence Web health-related activities.

As per the World Health Organization, in a low-resource setting, ICT can be a key component for providing universal health
coverage [18]. However, studies conducted in developing countries [19-22] have only focused on internet hospitals, the market for Web-based consultations, and the government’s role in the provision of eHealth. Their findings were based on secondary data and Web-based resources. In contrast, less is known about how users’ characteristics on the demand side influence health-related internet use, especially for hard-to-reach populations living in remote areas in developing countries. The absence of accurate estimates of users’ characteristics and their impact on adaptation of health care services in developing countries limits focused policy-centered discussions and the design of appropriate policies.

For populations facing barriers to health care access, the internet can be a particularly appealing source of health information and professional advice. It is important to know how internet-based health care services have been used among such hard-to-reach populations. Previous studies were mostly conducted in cities, and a few studies were conducted among hard-to-reach populations. Furthermore, previous studies lacked a concept framework in their methods.

Objectives

The aims of this study were to assess the prevalence of health-related internet use, to identify its determinants in rural areas in a western province in China, and to make policy recommendations for Web-based health care development in hard-to-reach populations. We sought to answer the following research questions: (1) What are the characteristics of users of Web-based health care services in remote areas? (2) What are the determinants of health-related internet use among the hard-to-reach populations?

Methods

Study Site

Ningxia, located in northwestern China, is the representative region for remote areas in China. Of the 6.68 million inhabitants of Ningxia, 45% were living in rural areas at the end of 2016. In 2016, the number of medical and technical personnel per 1000 inhabitants was 3.9 in rural Ningxia, compared to the national number of 6.1. Furthermore, the number of beds was 3.33 per 1000 people in this region compared to the national number of 5.37 [23]. In addition, Ningxia has a variety of complex landforms—mountains, plateaus, plains, hills, and valleys—that affect the population’s geographic accessibility to health care, especially in rural areas.

Study Design and Data

We conducted a cross-sectional survey in June and July 2018 in Ningxia. Of the 22 counties and districts in Ningxia, 4 were selected by considering the socioeconomic factors and environmental and health care resources (Table 1). Of the selected counties and districts, 46 rural villages were chosen for the survey. Within each village, about 30 households were randomly selected. The respondents within the selected households had to meet the following inclusion criteria: age of 18-65 years and possessing the right to make decisions related to the use of health care services within their families. Only one respondent in a family was selected and responsible for the family’s information on the Web-based health-related service usage. Face-to-face interviews were conducted at the respondents’ homes, and the mean time required for completing the survey was around 30 min.

Measurements

The Andersen Behavioral Model of Health Services Use is a conceptual framework widely used to investigate demands for health care [24]. The model enhances our understanding of the reasons individuals use health services and promotes equitable access to health care services. It proposes determinants to explain why people use health care services, such as an individual’s predisposition to use services, factors that enable or impede use, and people’s need for care. Even if the model has been widely applied to the general health service field, few studies apply it to the Web health care context [25]. Web-based health care is an innovation used in health care services; this model can help develop a comprehensive adoption model and explain why people use the internet to obtain health information and services.

The dependent variables in our analysis were the respondents’ internet search for health-related information, Web-based communication with health professionals, and Web-based consultation. A Web-based consultation takes place on professional medical platforms or websites, and the process of consultation includes history taking, diagnosis, and intervention advice. Web-based communication is more casual: It can occur on social apps between patients and professionals, and the context of communication is health related. Use of the Web-based health care services provided was judged based on whether the respondents use any of the three abovementioned services. Table 2 presents the definitions and response options for the selected variables.

Table 1. Economic development and human resources for health in the selected counties or districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counties/districts</th>
<th>Disposable income (Renminbi, in rural areas)</th>
<th>Number of doctors per 1000 people</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tongxin</td>
<td>6710.69</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiji</td>
<td>6857.12</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huinong</td>
<td>10995.46</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingtongxia</td>
<td>11200.00</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningxia</td>
<td>9118.69</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Definitions and response options for the selected dependent and independent variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Web-based health care services(^a)</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching the internet for health-related information(^a)</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based communication with health professionals(^a,b)</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based consultation(^a,b)</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social structure factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education levels</td>
<td>No formal education, primary school, junior middle school, high school, college and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Farmer, informally or formally employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic groups</td>
<td>Han, Hui, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family enabling factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household annual income</td>
<td>Renminbi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health knowledge scores(^c)</td>
<td>Range: 1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health care accessibility factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distances to county hospital</td>
<td>Kilometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distances to city hospital</td>
<td>Kilometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health need characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the household have chronic patient(s)?(^d)</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the household have outpatient(s) in the last month?</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the household have inpatient(s) in last 12 months?</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the household have older persons (age≥60 years)?</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the household have kids (age≤5 years)?</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other confounding factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female/male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Number of years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Internet use for health purposes was measured as usage by all family members for addressing their health issues over the last 12 months.

\(^b\)A Web-based consultation must include history taking, diagnosis, and intervention advice and can take place on the internet platforms of professional medical services. Web-based communication is more casual; it can occur on social apps between patients and professionals, and the context of communication is health related.

\(^c\)Five questions on health-related knowledge were posed, entailing true/false responses.

\(^d\)Chronic diseases were hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes or high blood sugar, cancer or malignant tumor, cardiovascular disease, stroke, chronic lung diseases, liver disease, stomach or other digestive disease, arthritis or rheumatism, asthma, emotional or psychiatric problems, and memory-related disease.

**Data Analysis**

We first performed a descriptive analysis to obtain a basic sociodemographic profile of respondents and their characteristics. The sociodemographic characteristics of households that used Web-based health-related services were then compared with those that did not use these services. We performed a univariate analysis for each of the variables considered by using an appropriate statistical test (Chi-square test for discrete variables and t test for continuous variables).

We applied logistic regression models to evaluate multivariate associations between respondents’ characteristics and their usage of Web-based health services and obtained odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. Regression analysis was performed according to the following equation:

\[
Pr[y_i = 1|SS, FE, HA, HN, O] = F(\beta_0 + \beta_1SS_i + \beta_2FE_i + \beta_3HA_i + \beta_4HN_i + \beta_5O_i)
\]

Where \(y_i\) is an indicator of health-related internet use; SS, FE, HA, HN, and O are measures of social structure, family enabling...
Regression analysis was performed on the sample as a whole and for each individual Web-based health-related activity, namely, searches conducted for health-related information, communication with professionals, and Web-based consultations. In addition, we performed regression analysis for households that availed of any of these three Web-based activities to obtain health care assistance. A P value <.05 was considered statistically significant. Stata version 14.0 for Microsoft Windows (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

Study Population

Descriptive statistics for the study sample are presented in Table 3. A total of 1354 respondents from rural households were interviewed, of whom 707 (52.22%) were men. The mean age of the respondents was 44.54 years (SD 10.22). More than three-quarters of the respondents (1038/1354, 76.66%) were able to use the internet. In this study, those who were able to use the internet were considered to be able to use social apps such as WeChat to contact with people or search information on their mobile phone or computer with an internet connection.

An examination of the factors related to the social structure revealed that more than 74.15% (1004/1354) of the respondents were farmers, most of whom lacked an education beyond junior middle school (1176/1354, 86.86%). The majority of the respondents (917/1354, 67.73%) were of Han ethnicity. In 2017, the mean household annual income was ¥39,150.17 (or US $5725.63), and the mean score for health-related knowledge was 3.57 (range: 0-5). Almost half of the selected households (619/1354, 45.72%) had members who were chronic patients, 37.81% (512/1354) of households had used outpatient services in the last 1 month, and 35.86% (486/1354) of households had used inpatient services in the last 12 months. The mean distances of the surveyed households from county- and city-based hospitals were 21.39 km (SD 30.04) and 69.19 km (SD 42.74), respectively.

Overall Health-Related Internet Use

Almost half of the surveyed households (640/1354, 47.27%) reported using one or more Web-based health care services, 37.8% (502/1354) reported using the internet to obtain health-related information, 15.51% (210/1354) used the internet to communicate with professionals about health issues, and 7.24% (98/1354) had engaged in Web-based consultations in the last year (Table 4).

We conducted a univariate analysis of differences among households that had used and those that had not used Web-based services for all of the variables. As shown in Table 2, the overall utilization of Web-based services was associated with the respondents’ positions within the social structure, family enabling factors, family members’ health status, and geographic access to health care. Specifically, respondents with the following characteristics were more likely to use Web-based health care services: higher education levels, higher health-related knowledge scores (3.79 vs 3.57), higher household incomes (¥50,514 vs ¥28,963 or US $7399 vs US $4242), higher health demands, and living nearer to county- or city-based hospitals (Table 5).

Determinants of Health-Related Internet Use

Table 6 shows the determinants of health-related internet use. The ORs from the logistic regression analysis were summarized after controlling for potential confounders. For the category of factors related to the social structure, households with higher levels of education and formal or informal jobs were more likely to use Web-based health services. There was no difference in the use of Web-based health services among respondents of Han and Hui ethnicities. For the category of family enabling factors, respondents with higher health-related knowledge scores were more likely to seek Web-based communication (OR 1.43) or Web-based consultations (OR 1.40) with health care professionals. High-income households were more likely to search for health-related information (OR 1.25) and communicate through the internet on health issues (OR 1.44). Findings for the health need characteristics revealed that households with higher health demands were more likely to use Web-based health services. In particular, households that included outpatients over the last 1 month were more likely to search for Web-based health-related information (OR 1.38), perform Web-based communication (OR 1.99), and schedule Web-based consultation (OR 2.00). Findings on the geographic factors related to health care access revealed that greater distances to city-based hospitals were more likely to prompt members of households to search for health-related information (OR 1.27), perform Web-based communication with professionals (OR 1.60), and schedule Web-based consultations (OR 1.80). Younger respondents were more likely to opt for health-related internet use than older respondents.
Table 3. Characteristics of the study sample (N=1354).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social structure, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>306 (22.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>403 (29.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior school</td>
<td>472 (34.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>116 (8.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College and above</td>
<td>57 (4.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>1004 (74.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>350 (25.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>917 (67.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui</td>
<td>437 (32.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family enabling factors, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health knowledge</td>
<td>3.57 (1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Renminbi)</td>
<td>39,150.17 (51,196.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health need factors, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of age &lt;5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>394 (29.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>960 (70.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of age &gt;60 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>406 (29.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>960 (70.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members with chronic conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>619 (45.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>735 (54.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient clinic visit in last 1 month</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>512 (37.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>842 (62.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization in last 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>486 (35.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>868 (64.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health accessibility, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to county hospital (km)</td>
<td>21.39 (30.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to city hospital (km)</td>
<td>69.19 (42.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>647 (47.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>707 (52.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>44.54 (10.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.43 (1.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The prevalence of health-related internet use (N=1354).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of Web-based health care services</td>
<td>640 (47.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching internet for health-related information</td>
<td>502 (37.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based communication with health professionals</td>
<td>210 (15.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based consultation</td>
<td>98 (7.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Characteristics of the study sample in relation to Web-based health-related activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators/variables</th>
<th>Overall use of Web-based services (n=640)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based information use (n=502)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based communication (n=210)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based consultation (n=98)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n (%)a</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>66 (21.57)</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 (11.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (5.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (1.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>161 (39.95)</td>
<td></td>
<td>112 (27.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 (13.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 (4.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior school</td>
<td>278 (58.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td>232 (49.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79 (16.74)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 (8.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>84 (72.41)</td>
<td></td>
<td>74 (63.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td>33 (28.45)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (14.66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College and above</td>
<td>51 (89.47)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (87.72)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (49.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (29.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation, n (%)a</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>407 (40.54)</td>
<td></td>
<td>302 (30.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td>111 (11.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td>48 (4.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>233 (66.57)</td>
<td></td>
<td>200 (57.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>99 (28.29)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (14.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic groups, n (%)a</td>
<td></td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>434 (47.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td>345 (37.62)</td>
<td></td>
<td>128 (13.96)</td>
<td></td>
<td>59 (6.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui</td>
<td>206 (47.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>157 (35.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td>82 (18.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>39 (8.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family enabling factors, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>3.79 (1.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.84 (1.17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4.05 (1.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health knowledge scoreb</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>50514.77 (64409.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>53223.2 (67844.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>57890.55 (43781.19)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Renminbi)b</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>3.79 (1.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.84 (1.17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4.05 (1.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Renminbi)b</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>50514.77 (64409.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>53223.2 (67844.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>57890.55 (43781.19)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Renminbi)b</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>50514.77 (64409.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>53223.2 (67844.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>57890.55 (43781.19)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (Renminbi)b</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>50514.77 (64409.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>53223.2 (67844.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>57890.55 (43781.19)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health need factors, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of age &lt;5 yearsa</td>
<td></td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>197 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td>163 (41.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td>72 (18.24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 (9.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>443 (46.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>339 (35.31)</td>
<td></td>
<td>138 (14.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td>60 (6.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of age &gt;60 yearsd</td>
<td></td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>197 (48.52)</td>
<td></td>
<td>155 (38.18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>64 (15.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>37 (9.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>443 (46.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td>347 (36.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>146 (15.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>61 (6.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members with chronic conditionsa</td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>315 (50.89)</td>
<td></td>
<td>236 (38.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>106 (17.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 (8.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>325 (44.22)</td>
<td></td>
<td>266 (36.19)</td>
<td></td>
<td>104 (14.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 (6.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient clinic visit in last 1 montha</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>276 (53.91)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209 (40.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td>108 (21.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 (10.35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>364 (43.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>293 (34.80)</td>
<td></td>
<td>102 (12.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 (5.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization in last 12 monthsa</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>263 (54.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>195 (40.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>94 (19.34)</td>
<td></td>
<td>44 (9.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>377 (45.88)</td>
<td></td>
<td>307 (33.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td>116 (13.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 (6.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health accessibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to county hospital (km), mean (SD)b</td>
<td>17.42 (18.13)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>17.54 (19.62)</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.06 (30.04)</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>19.37 (26.68)</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to city hospital (km), mean (SD)b</td>
<td>65.22 (44.34)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>64.09 (43.62)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>72.83 (47.47)</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>76.27 (44.35)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendera</td>
<td></td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>307 (47.45)</td>
<td></td>
<td>236 (36.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td>88 (13.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 (6.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators/variables</td>
<td>Overall use of Web-based services (n=640)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Web-based information use (n=502)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Web-based communication (n=210)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Web-based consultation (n=98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>333 (47.10)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>266 (37.62)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>122 (17.26)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>56 (7.92)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.22 (10.56)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>41.03 (10.47)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>41.01 (10.88)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>39.03 (11.41)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size, mean (SD)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.43 (1.56)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>4.42 (1.66)</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>4.52 (1.49)</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>4.41 (1.60)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Pearson Chi-squared test was performed for the univariable analysis.
<sup>b</sup>t-test was performed.
### Table 6. Multivariate associations of respondents’ and households’ characteristics and Web-based health-related activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Web-based service use (n=640, OR(^a))</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based information use (n=502, OR (95% CI))</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based communication (n=210, OR (95% CI))</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Web-based consultation (n=98, OR (95% CI))</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education (reference: no formal education)</strong>(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>2.16 (1.51-3.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.55 (1.65-3.94)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.41 (1.32-4.42)</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>2.19 (0.84-5.65)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior school</td>
<td>4.05 (2.79-5.88)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5.55 (3.59-8.58)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.72 (1.47-5.02)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>3.32 (1.31-8.43)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>6.67 (3.88-11.48)</td>
<td>&gt;.001</td>
<td>9.18 (5.21-16.18)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4.25 (2.07-8.71)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5.11 (1.80-14.49)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College and above</td>
<td>15.26 (5.87-39.64)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>25.90 (10.20-65.74)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>8.27 (3.53-19.35)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>9.89 (3.15-30.99)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation (reference: farming)</strong>(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>1.27 (0.93-1.74)</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>1.19 (0.87-1.64)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>1.72 (1.17-2.52)</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>1.71 (1.01-2.90)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic group (reference: Han)</strong>(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui</td>
<td>0.83 (0.61-1.15)</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>0.75 (0.53-1.04)</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>1.21 (0.80-1.84)</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>0.97 (0.54-1.74)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health need factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of age &lt;5 years (reference: No)**(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.91 (0.67-1.22)</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>0.97 (0.71-1.33)</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>0.97 (0.66-1.43)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>1.11 (0.66-1.86)</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members with chronic conditions (reference: No)**(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.35 (1.05-1.75)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>1.19 (0.91-1.56)</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>1.13 (0.80-1.59)</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>1.33 (0.84-2.13)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient clinic visit in last 1 month (reference: No)**(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.57 (1.21-2.04)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.38 (1.05-1.81)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>1.99 (1.42-2.78)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.00 (1.27-3.16)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient clinic visit in last 12 months (reference: No)**(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.63 (1.25-2.12)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.30 (0.99-1.71)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>1.51 (1.07-2.12)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>1.28 (0.80-2.03)</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family enabling factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td>1.26 (1.10-1.44)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.25 (1.09-1.44)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>1.44 (1.18-1.75)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.19 (0.938-1.52)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health knowledge score</td>
<td>1.13 (1.01-1.26)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>1.08 (0.96-1.21)</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>1.43 (1.22-1.67)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.40 (1.12-1.75)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health accessibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to county hospital</td>
<td>0.61 (0.50-0.77)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.68 (0.54-0.85)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>0.75 (0.56-1.01)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.81 (0.54-1.23)</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to city hospital</td>
<td>1.26 (1.01-1.55)</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>1.27 (1.02-1.58)</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>1.60 (1.19-2.14)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>1.80 (1.18-2.76)</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.97 (0.97-0.99)</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95-0.98)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98-1.02)</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96-1.01)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90-1.08)</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>0.98 (0.90-1.08)</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>0.98 (0.87-1.10)</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>0.98 (0.84-1.15)</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)OR: odds ratio.

\(^b\)Reference category set to a value of 1.

### Discussion

#### Principal Findings

Our findings indicate that in rural and remote areas, almost half (640/1354, 47.27%) of the surveyed households had used the internet to obtain health-related information or Web-based treatment over the last year. Households engaged in health-related internet use were found to be richer, have higher health demands, and have less geographic access to high-quality health care compared with other households.

As previous studies reported [16,17,26,27], the most common way to use the internet for health is to search for health information. The internet has become a major health information resource in rural Ningxia, although the prevalence is less than that in developed countries [16,17,26,27]. At the same time, the internet provides an alternative for households in rural and remote areas to contact professionals about health issues.
Social structures, family enabling factors, health needs, and characteristics related to health care access were significant predictors of households’ health-related internet use in rural and remote areas in China. A more favorable position within the social structure increased the likelihood of Web-based use of health services, as higher education levels or employment potentially increased knowledge and proficiency about internet use as well as eHealth literacy [28]. Family enabling factors, especially household incomes, were found to be an important determinant of Web-based health care use. This finding is consistent with those of other studies [15,17]. As expected, higher household incomes increased the probability of respondents’ use of Web-based health-related services. Higher health demands also increased the likelihood of health-related internet use. Households with either inpatients or outpatients were more likely to use the internet to search for health-related information. Our findings related to the final predictor of health access supported a geographic digital divide, as the likelihood of health-related internet use significantly increased among respondents located at greater distances from city-based hospitals. This finding is consistent with that of a previous study [29], which showed that the use of Web-based health services is associated with limited access to health care. The internet may offer a low-cost source of health information and could help meet the heightened demand for health-related information among those facing barriers of access to care. Before the potential confounders were controlled, people who lived nearer to county- or city-based hospitals were more likely to use Web-based health care services. However, after controlling for potential confounders, the residents who live farther from the city hospitals were found to be more likely to use Web-based health care services, which confirms that residents in low-resource settings are more likely to ask for help from the internet. In addition, residents who lived nearer to the county hospitals were found to be more likely to use Web-based health care services even after controlling for the variables. A possible explanation is that the service provision by county hospitals in western regions is still limited and needs further research and deep exploration.

Our findings also showed that different types of Web-based health care users demonstrate similar as well as contrasting characteristics. Although younger participants were more likely to search for health-related information on the internet, the age factor did not significantly influence Web-based communication with doctors or Web-based consultations. Our findings further indicated that farmers were more likely to search for health-related information on the internet than to conduct other Web-based health-related activities. This finding could be attributed to the fact that farmers have lived in rural areas for a long time and have had few opportunities to work in cities, which could reduce the likelihood of their participation in Web-based communication and consultations. Although health-related knowledge scores had no significant impact on searches for information on health-related issues, participants whose scores were higher were more likely to conduct Web-based consultations and communication. The presence of extensive health-related information on the internet highlights the importance of health literacy. Health literacy was independently related to health knowledge [30]. Respondents with low levels of health literacy are unable to evaluate health information available on the internet, owing to which, they are misled by unsubstantiated information obtained from the internet [31].

The internet may also play a major role in future health care delivery. Although previous studies [15-17] have examined the characteristics of internet users who engage in Web-based health-related activities, this study is one of the first to examine the characteristics of households within rural and remote areas that include different types of health-related internet users.

In summary, the internet is an important alternative to obtain health information and services for rural and remote residents in China. The findings of this study confirm the results of previous studies [15-17]: Socioeconomically disadvantaged individuals (according to age, income, and education levels) are less likely to access health-related information and engage in Web-based consultations. Those who belong to older age groups, have low incomes, and have education levels less than primary school are significantly less likely to use Web-based health care services and benefit from related health care programs.

**Implications for Policies, Practices, and Future Studies**

Our findings indicate a need for continued collaborative efforts involving multiple stakeholders, including communities, Web-based and other health care providers, family members, and the government. Web-based health-related services and programs should focus on the provision of training for older adults, lower-income households, and households located in remote areas to reduce disparities in health care. Health education programs conducted by communities and health care institutions could integrate internet skills development with health education when targeting the abovementioned key population segments.

More specifically, populations should be educated on ways to acquire high-quality and useful health-related information and services from internet. Health knowledge and promotion programs should ensure that education efforts take into account a population’s health literacy skills. Health educators need to be aware of their target population’s health literacy skills and adjust educational interventions accordingly. Considering the characteristics of populations in rural and remote areas, researchers and providers of Web-based health-related services should develop simple and engaging methods for providing information and treatment.

From the family perspective, younger family members and those with higher education levels can provide meaningful assistance for older family members to enhance their health-related internet use. Regulations, legal restrictions, and rules should be clarified and strictly implemented in relation to Web-based consultations and the dissemination of health-related information to strengthen the management of marketing activities associated with Web-based health care delivery.

Further studies should examine the effects of internet usage on the delivery of health care services using longitudinal or experimental research designs and focusing especially on remote
and rural areas within developing regions. The association between chronic conditions and the characteristics of specific Web-based health services is another important area of inquiry. Studies should attempt to identify the characteristics of acquired health-related knowledge that may be associated with the likelihood of increased use of health care facilities from the internet, as the conditions of knowledge acquisition may help resolve the barriers to Web-based communication and consultation. Furthermore, intervention studies are needed to examine what works for people with low health literacy skills, to improve their health knowledge and evaluate whether increased knowledge or health literacy results in improved health outcomes.

Limitations
The findings of this study provide important insights into the use of internet-based health care services among populations located in remote and rural areas and contribute to the evidence base on the delivery of Web-based health care services from the demand perspective. However, there were several limitations to our analysis. First, as this was a cross-sectional study, meaningful differences could only be considered as correlational, not causal. Second, a comprehensive spectrum of health-related Web-based activities such as Web-based purchases of medicines was not considered. Moreover, the study site (northwestern China) is not representative of other regions within the country.

Despite these limitations, these results have significant implications for future research and the development of computer/internet training for inhabitants of rural and remote areas.

Conclusions
The internet has become a major health information resource in rural Ningxia. Social structures, family enabling factors, health needs, and characteristics related to health care access were significant predictors of households' health-related internet use in rural and remote areas in China. People who belong to older age groups, have low incomes, and have education levels below primary school are significantly less likely to use Web-based health care services and benefit from Web-based health care programs. There is a need for continued collaborative efforts involving multiple stakeholders, including communities, Web-based and other health care providers, family members, and the government.
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Abstract

Background: The pace of drug discovery and approvals has led to expanding treatments for cancer patients. Although extensive research exists regarding barriers to enrollment in oncology clinical trials, there are limited studies evaluating processes to optimize patient education, oral anticancer therapy administration, and adherence for patients enrolled in clinical trials. In this study, we assess the feasibility of a video-based, personalized webpage for patients enrolled in genitourinary oncology clinical trials involving 1 or more oral anticancer therapy.

Objective: The primary objective of this trial was to assess the differences in the number of patient-initiated violations in the intervention arm compared with a control arm over 4 treatment cycles. Secondary objectives included patient satisfaction, frequently asked questions by patients on the intervention arm, patient-initiated calls to study team members, and patient-reported stress levels.

Methods: Eligible patients enrolling on a therapeutic clinical trial for a genitourinary malignancy were randomized 2:1 to the intervention arm or control arm. Patients randomized to the intervention arm received access to a video-based, personalized webpage, which included videos of patients’ own clinic encounters with their providers, instructional videos on medication administration and side effects, and electronic versions of educational documents.

Results: A total of 99 patients were enrolled (89 were evaluable; 66 completed 4 cycles). In total, 71% (40/56) of patients in the intervention arm had 1 or more patient-initiated violation compared with 70% (23/33) in the control arm. There was no difference in the total number of violations across 4 cycles between the 2 arms (estimate=−0.0939, 95% CI=−0.6295 to 0.4418, P value=.73). Median baseline satisfaction scores for the intervention and control arms were 72 and 73, respectively, indicating high levels of patient satisfaction in both arms. Median baseline patient-reported stress levels were 10 and 13 for the intervention and control arms, respectively, indicating low stress levels in both arms at baseline.

Conclusions: This study is among the first to evaluate a video-based, personalized webpage that provides patients with educational videos and video recordings of clinical trial appointments. Despite not meeting the primary endpoint of reduced patient-initiated violations, this study demonstrates the feasibility of a video-based, personalized webpage in clinical trials. Future research assessing this tool might be better suited for realms outside of clinical trials and might consider the use of an endpoint that assesses patient-reported outcomes directly. A major limitation of this study was the lack of prior data for estimating the null hypothesis in this population.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12044) doi:10.2196/12044
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Introduction

Background

Over the past several years, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved several new therapies for the treatment of genitourinary malignancies [1], and this is largely because of patient enrollment in clinical trials [2]. Between June of 2017 and May of 2018, the FDA approved 58 oncology drugs and granted new indications for previously approved drugs, 6 of which were indicated for genitourinary malignancies [3]. Improvement of patient outcomes hinges on well-designed clinical trials [4]. Therefore, it is essential for investigators to optimize clinical trial processes and develop strategies to improve the patient experience on therapeutic clinical trials. Researchers have identified several oncology clinical trial obstacles, which include low patient enrollment, underrepresentation of minorities [5], and data collection burden on the clinical trials system [6].

Given that approximately 25% to 30% of the oncology drug pipeline involves oral anticancer therapy [7], researchers can anticipate new and evolving barriers to oncology clinical trial conduct. Self-administered oral therapies create the added responsibility of ensuring out-of-hospital drug dosing and monitoring. The transition to more oral anticancer therapy has resulted in increased challenges related to patient adherence to prescribed treatment regimens [8]. Adherence is defined as the degree to which patients follow recommendations for day-to-day treatment with respect to the timing, dosing, and frequency of oral anticancer therapy [9]. Nonadherence can result from improper timing of doses, missed doses, incorrect amount, and erratic dosing schedules. Nonadherence has been shown to affect treatment efficacy, leading to worsened survival, resistance, and treatment failure [10-12].

Adherence interventions for patients in clinical trials have typically focused on providing oral anticancer therapy education at the time of clinical trial informed consent or initial investigational drug prescription. Experience demonstrates that trials involving oral anticancer therapy necessitate additional support for patients to optimally manage treatment outside the secure and supportive clinic environment [13].

Before the rise of oral chemotherapy, patients typically received their treatments in the clinic where intravenous drugs could be administered in a safe, protected environment. Now, patients can take their oral chemotherapy at home without direct observation. The level to which adherence is an issue in oncology clinical trials is not clearly defined, and the degree of additional support patients require to properly self-administer oral anticancer therapy has not been quantified. It is known, however, that the strict requirements of a clinical trial (eg, frequent clinic visits, pharmacokinetic blood draws, and medication diary completion) can cause patients to feel overwhelmed and confused. Research has shown that patients can have misconceptions about aspects of research, such as the risk of side effects, trial aims, and the likelihood of personal benefit [14].

Prior Research

Research looking at the use of Web-based interventions and video content to improve oral medication adherence remains largely untapped, but data do suggest that Web-based interventions can effectively increase medication adherence among chronically ill patients, patients undergoing smoking cessation, patients initiating HIV treatment, and in other clinical scenarios [15-17]. Research has also shown that patients, with their increasing aptitude for technology, benefit from innovative approaches that go beyond the written informed consent document, such as interactive Web-based tools that can be used to engage patients and improve understanding of their disease and treatment [18-21]. A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies assessing Web-based interventions compared with usual care or other decision aids within the realm of screening for prostate cancer found that of the 4 studies that used knowledge as an outcome, patients assigned to the Web-based intervention group had higher average knowledge scores than those assigned to usual care [22]. Of the 2 studies that compared Web-based interventions with video decision aids, patients’ average knowledge was higher in the group of patients using video.

Phase 3 studies have shown that video content that is tailored to the cancer patient compared with standardized text can effectively improve patient knowledge related to clinical trials and reduce attitudinal barriers [18]. Furthermore, video content has been shown not only to increase knowledge but also to increase patient satisfaction as well [23,24]. Advantages of video and Web-based modes of communication are that they can be stored, shared, and accessed repeatedly by patients and their families. On the basis of current research implications and the evidence supporting video and Web-based interventions to improve knowledge, medication adherence, stress, and satisfaction, we believe creative technology and personalization of communication will improve the patient experience and reinforce the patient care plan.

This Study

In this randomized phase 2 study, we assessed the use of a video-based, personalized webpage via the information-sharing platform, Postwire, to help patients navigate their participation in genitourinary oncology clinical trials involving 1 or more oral anticancer therapy. We used a novel and objective endpoint, patient-initiated protocol violations, for this study to quantitatively evaluate whether a personalized, video-based webpage might help clinical trial patients better adhere to the strict clinical trial guidelines. Patient-initiated protocol violations can be objectively measured and reflect patient misunderstanding regarding medication administration and other protocol procedures. We hypothesized that patients receiving the video-based intervention would have less patient-initiated protocol violations given improved understanding about mediation administration and trial procedures, which would be
provided through the video-based, personalized webpage compared with a control arm. In addition, we hypothesized improved patient satisfaction and reduced stress.

Methods

Study Design

This was a randomized, phase 2 clinical trial assessing a video-based, personalized webpage as a complement to standard patient education for clinical trial patients. The institutional review board (IRB) at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI) approved this intervention and determined that this randomized, phase 2 trial did not meet the requirements for registration to a WHO-accredited trial registry as it was an ancillary, noninvasive trial.

The Postwire application was chosen as it is Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant and uses secure sockets layer technology to prevent hacking and ensure privacy. All patients provided written informed consent. This study was pilot-tested before the initiation of patient recruitment and enrollment to optimize workflow and minimize technology malfunctions. A safety run-in of 9 weeks took place to carefully monitor patients and protocol procedures, and adjustments were made as necessary to optimize workflow and study conduct.

The study consisted of 2 arms: the video-based intervention arm and the control arm. Patients were randomized 2:1 to the intervention arm or control arm and were stratified by therapeutic or parent clinical trial protocol type (targeted, hormone, or combination therapy). Patients randomized to the control arm received standard clinical trial oral anticancer therapy education and care without a video-based personalized webpage. Patients enrolled in the intervention arm had access to a video-based personalized webpage in addition to standard of care educational materials.

Intervention Arm

Patients assigned to the intervention arm were introduced to the Postwire interface prior to cycle 1 day 1. A designated clinical research coordinator used a tablet to show the patient how to navigate the personalized webpage using a template page. The study team obtained the patient’s email address, and within 24 hours of the patient’s cycle 1 day 1 clinic visit, a secure email containing a link to the patient’s personalized webpage was sent. The next 3 clinic visits with the patient’s oncology provider were recorded in real time and uploaded to the patient’s personalized webpage. This webpage included videos of the patient’s own clinic encounters, their parent clinical trial informed consent, emergency contact information, oral anticancer therapy dosing guidelines, and medication diaries. When new or revised content was uploaded to a patient’s personalized webpage, a notification email was sent. In addition to the video recordings of patient’s encounters with their providers, the webpage was equipped with templated videos of the patients’ own nurses describing how to self-administer oral anticancer therapy (Multimedia Appendix 1), what side effects to expect versus side effects requiring an emergency room visit or a call to the study team, and how to complete study-required documents, such as a medication diary. Patients had the ability to share their webpage access with caregivers (referred to as patient designees).

The personalized webpage was available to patients on the intervention arm until 6 full cycles had been completed. Once data collection was complete, the webpage was deleted. If a patient withdrew from the parent, therapeutic clinical trial, or this ancillary trial, the webpage was deactivated. All patients on the intervention arm received paper-based instructional documents and were encouraged to contact the study team with any questions. This intervention was a complement to standard practice rather than a replacement. Patients randomized to the control arm received the same educational content in paper form and were educated by their treating oncologists and care teams according to standard practice.

Study Calendar

This ancillary study’s treatment cycles matched the patient’s parent clinical trial treatment cycles, which ranged from 21 to 42 days except for 1 trial which had 90-day treatment cycles after the first 28 days. Patients remained on the intervention for 6 cycles (4 cycles of the intervention and 2 cycles of follow-up), withdrawal of consent, or until meeting parent trial discontinuation criteria.

Patient Population

Patients with genitourinary malignancies enrolling in a clinical trial involving 1 or more oral anticancer therapy were eligible if they had consented but not yet started on 1 of several selected parent clinical trials. When a patient was consenting to 1 of the selected parent clinical trials, the provider would discuss this ancillary trial and assess patient interest. Eligible patients were English-speaking and had adequate internet competency and use, as determined by a 3-point scale eligibility questionnaire. Patients’ level of functioning and ability to perform activities of daily living as well as physical ability were recorded using the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Scale of Performance Status and included in the baseline characteristics. Support was not available to develop videos in multiple languages. Patients who were interested and eligible were consented at the same time they were consented to the parent clinical trial. If the provider was unable to collect consent to this ancillary trial on the day of parent trial consent, it was possible to obtain consent and receive registration and randomization status before the initial cycle 1 day 1 clinic visit. The IRB deemed this trial low risk and determined it acceptable for clinical research coordinators trained on the study to consent patients, if delegated by the principal investigator.

Study Objectives

The primary objective of this trial was to assess the differences in the number of patient-initiated violations in the intervention arm compared with the control arm over 4 treatment cycles. Patient-initiated protocol violations reflect incidents of patient misunderstanding that could potentially be improved upon with the personalized, video-based intervention. Although patient-initiated protocol violations could be measured quantitatively and objectively, the degree to which patient-initiated protocol violations affect the clinical trials...
process and the rate at which they occur was not known at baseline. This was the rationale for choosing this novel endpoint. Secondary objectives included assessment of patient satisfaction as measured by the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Treatment Satisfaction-Patient Satisfaction (FACIT-TS-PS) [25], patient perceived stress as measured by the Perceived Stress Scale-10 Item (PSS-10) [26], frequency of webpage use, and number of patient-initiated phone calls to providers.

**Patient-Initiated Violations**

Patient-initiated violations were defined as events that deviated from instructions detailed in the clinical protocol and including inappropriate drug dosing and operational or safety-related events. All instances of violations were recorded by cycle. To give equal weight to the 11 violations, a given violation, no matter how often it occurred within a cycle, counted once per cycle. For example, a patient would have 2 patient-initiated violations if he missed 2 doses (counted as 1 violation) and self-administered 3 doses at the wrong time (counted as 1 violation). We chose to calculate violations as such because each violation reflected 1 episode of patient misunderstanding. Consequently, a patient completing 4 cycles would have a count ranging from 0 to 44 patient-initiated violations.

**Frequency of Webpage Usage**

Postwire allowed for internal tracking of user access, and individual patient and patient designated access was recorded. A designated clinical research coordinator documented individual webpage access on a weekly basis and inserted the data into the electronic data capture system.

**Patient Satisfaction and Stress Measures**

The FACIT-TS-PS and PSS-10 were collected at each day 1 visit for 6 cycles. The FACIT-TS-PS was used as it is an expansion of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale, used specifically in the cancer population. FACIT questionnaires have been robustly validated and address many realms of psychology including physical well-being, social and family well-being, emotional well-being, and functional well-being [27]. The FACIT questionnaire is appropriate for use in patients with any form of cancer, and it has been used and validated in other chronic illnesses, too. The questionnaire can be tailored to include the most relevant questions. Finally, administration time for any 1 assessment is usually less than 15 min.

The PSS-10 predicts both objective biological markers of stress and increased risk for disease among persons with higher perceived stress levels. The PSS-10, which has been externally validated, was chosen because it is one of the most widely used assessments to measure perceived stress and allows patients to appraise what aspects of their lives are stressful [26]. In addition, each question regarding perceived stress is specific to the past month, which is how often most patients on this trial were seen in the clinic. Each question is scored 0 to 4, on a 40-point scale, with scores over 20 representing above average stress. The FACIT-TS-PS contains the following subscales: physician communication, treatment staff communication, technical competence, nurse communication, and confidence and trust.

For this study, we did not use the technical competence subscale. On the remaining 4 subscales, there are 23 items, scored 0 to 3, and 1 overall question scored from 0 to 4. This tool is scored on a 73-point scale, with higher scores representing high levels of satisfaction and confidence.

**Frequently Asked Questions in the Intervention Arm**

Questions asked during the filmed patient encounters were retrospectively reviewed and placed into predefined categories by a dedicated clinical research coordinator assigned to this trial. Questions were collected to assess patient clinical trial-related educational needs for future development of educational materials.

**Patient-Initiated Provider Calls**

Standard practice among the DFCI genitourinary clinical trial study team is to document any outgoing or incoming phone calls in the patient electronic medical record. In the event that incoming or outgoing calls were not documented, a clinical research coordinator contacted providers whose patients were participating on this ancillary study for additional phone data. This information was entered into the electronic data capture system unique to this study.

**Statistical Design**

To determine an appropriate sample size, the number of cumulative patient-initiated violations over 4 treatment cycles was simulated under a Poisson distribution, accounting for various proportions of patients without any patient-initiated violations. The intervention and control arms were compared with a 1-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test 3000 times. On the basis of these simulations, the initial sample size was estimated at 75 patients, 25 patients in the control arm and 50 patients in the intervention arm, resulting in 93% power (1-sided alpha .10) to detect a 1:3 rate of patient-initiated violations in the intervention arm to the control arm equivalent to a rate ratio of 33%. This assumed 50% (12.5/25) of the control arm patients would have 1 or more patient-initiated violation or violations. Power was reduced to 80% if fewer patients experienced 1 or more patient-initiated violation or violations (33%).

Given early dropout of patients from their parent clinical trials (causing subsequent removal from this ancillary study), the sample size was expanded from 75 to 99 patients (66 intervention: 33 control) to enable well-powered analyses based on the original hypothesis. Patient dropout was because of our patient population’s aggressive and advanced disease states. A total of 61 out of 89 (69%) patients were enrolled in parent trials related to metastatic cancers of the genitourinary system (Table 1). Given patient replacement was not permitted on this study, the sample size was expanded to enable well-powered analyses based on the original hypothesis.

**Statistical Analysis**

On the basis of the statistical design, the primary analysis was to compare the intervention and control arms using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (1-sided alpha=.10). The primary endpoint was also evaluated as a violation rate (total numbers of violations per cycle), and the comparison between 2 arms was analyzed using a Poisson model. With the Poisson model, patients who
dropped off before 4 cycles contributed to the endpoint for as long as they remained in the study. A log-linked model was applied for rate as a function of the predictor variable. By including the log of the treatment cycles into the model, treatment cycles became the denominator of log of the rate of total number of violations. Pearson chi-square was used to estimate the dispersion parameter to account for overdispersion in the model. Standard errors of regression coefficients were adjusted as well. As a sensitivity analysis, exact Wilcoxon rank-sum test adjusting for ties was used to assess whether total number of violations differed by arms in patients who completed all 4 cycles. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize secondary endpoints by arm and cycle.

Results

Baseline Patient Characteristics
A total of 99 patients were enrolled from September 2014 to November 2016 (Multimedia Appendix 2). In total, 90% (89/99) of patients were evaluable, of whom 63% (56/89) were in the intervention arm and 37% (33/89) were in the control arm. A total of 74% (66/89) of evaluable patients completed 4 treatment cycles. Reasons for early study discontinuation (23/89, 26%) included disease progression (17/23, 74%), adverse events on primary study therapy (2/23, 9%), withdrawal (1/23, 4%), and unknown (3/23, 13%). In total, 76% (68/89) of patients on this study had prostate cancer, and 24% (21/89) had renal cell cancer in the final analysis. Baseline and disease characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

Most patients had metastatic disease (61/89, 69%), were enrolled in parent trials of hormonal therapy (54/89, 61%), and had ECOG performance scores of 0 (70/89, 79%). The ECOG performance scale is routinely used to assess how patients’ cancers are progressing holistically. A score of 0 means a patient is fully active, and a score of 5 means death [28]. In total, 64% (57/89) were extremely confident in their use of the internet, whereas the remaining patients (32/89, 36%) were somewhat confident in their use of the internet.

Patient-Initiated Violations
Of the 89 patients analyzed, 71% (40/56) of patients in the intervention arm had 1 or more patient-initiated violations compared with 70% (23/33) of patients in the control arm. Table 2 describes the total violations.

For the intervention arm, the range of violations was 0 to 11, with a median of 1 in patients who completed greater than or equal to 4 cycles. For the control arm, the range of violations was 0 to 7, with a median of 1 in patients who completed greater than or equal to 4 cycles. For all patients who completed greater than or equal to 4 cycles, the range of violations was 0 to 11, with a median of 1. In total, 71% (63/89) had 1 or more violations over the course of 4 cycles.

Improper dosing (. 2/89, 2%), improper concomitant medication administration (5/89, 6%), and wrong doses (5/89, 6%) were among the patient-initiated violations. The most common patient-initiated violations were procedural in nature and included failing to return unused medication for pharmacy drug accountability (16/89, 18%), failing to return medication diaries (10/89, 11%), and incomplete medication diaries (6/89, 7%). There was no difference in the total number of patient-initiated violations across 4 cycles between arms (estimate=−0.0939, 95% CI −0.6295 to 0.4418, P value=.73). As a sensitivity analysis, the total number of patient-initiated violations was summarized for patients who completed 4 cycles of the intervention. There was no difference in total patient-initiated violations between arms (P=.92) in the 66 patients who completed greater than or equal to 4 cycles.
Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis population baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Arm 1 (N=56), n (%)</th>
<th>Arm 2 (N=33), n (%)</th>
<th>All (N=89), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>39 (70)</td>
<td>22 (67)</td>
<td>61 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmetastatic</td>
<td>17 (30)</td>
<td>11 (33)</td>
<td>28 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>44 (79)</td>
<td>24 (73)</td>
<td>68 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent protocol type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>10 (18)</td>
<td>5 (15)</td>
<td>15 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone therapy</td>
<td>35 (63)</td>
<td>19 (58)</td>
<td>54 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted therapy</td>
<td>10 (18)</td>
<td>7 (21)</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>45 (80)</td>
<td>25 (76)</td>
<td>70 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 (18)</td>
<td>8 (24)</td>
<td>18 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet use confidence scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely confident</td>
<td>38 (68)</td>
<td>19 (58)</td>
<td>57 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat confident</td>
<td>18 (32)</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>32 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency of internet access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 time a week</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 times a week</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more times a week</td>
<td>54 (96)</td>
<td>31 (94)</td>
<td>85 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of times per week internet is accessed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 time a week</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 times a week</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 times a week</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 times a week</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more times a week</td>
<td>54 (96)</td>
<td>30 (91)</td>
<td>84 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>56 (100)</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
<td>89 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline characteristics in patients who completed greater than or equal to 4 cycles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent protocol type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination therapy</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone therapy</td>
<td>34 (79)</td>
<td>16 (70)</td>
<td>50 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted therapy</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
<td>8 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>26 (60)</td>
<td>12 (52)</td>
<td>38 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmetastatic</td>
<td>17 (41)</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
<td>28 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
<td>23 (100)</td>
<td>66 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aNot applicable.
Table 2. Summary of overall frequency and type of patient-initiated violations by arm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient-initiated violations by arm</th>
<th>Arm 1 (N=56), n (%)</th>
<th>Arm 2 (N=33), n (%)</th>
<th>All (N=89), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total patient-initiated violations in the analysis population</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16 (28)</td>
<td>10 (30)</td>
<td>26 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 (26)</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>24 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>16 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>13 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>_a</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>56 (100)</td>
<td>33 (100)</td>
<td>89 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of type of patient-initiated violations in the analysis population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incomplete medication diary</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>11 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure to return medication diary to visit</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure to return leftover medication to visit</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11 (19)</td>
<td>5 (15)</td>
<td>16 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure to notify study team of interim cycle adverse event</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10 (17)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure to notify study team of interim emergency department visit</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of improper doses</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of improper self con-medication administration</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-initiated violations by arm</td>
<td>Arm 1 (N=56), n (%)</td>
<td>Arm 2 (N=33), n (%)</td>
<td>All (N=89), n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of missed appointments**

| 1                                 | —                   | 1 (3)               | 1 (1)             |
| 5                                 | 1 (1)               | —                   | 1 (1)             |
| 12                                | —                   | 1 (3)               | 1 (1)             |
| Total                             | 1 (1)               | 2 (6)               | 3 (3)             |

**Number of missed doses**

| 1                                 | 3 (5)               | 2 (6)               | 5 (5)             |
| 3                                 | 5 (8)               | 3 (9)               | 8 (9)             |
| 6                                 | 3 (5)               | —                   | 3 (3)             |
| 15                                | 1 (1)               | —                   | 1 (1)             |
| Total                             | 12 (21)             | 5 (15)              | 17 (19)           |

**Number of wrong doses**

| 1                                 | 2 (3)               | —                   | 2 (2)             |
| 3                                 | 2 (3)               | 1 (3)               | 3 (3)             |
| Total                             | 4 (7)               | 1 (3)               | 5 (5)             |

**Number of doses self-administered at the wrong time**

| 1                                 | —                   | 1 (3)               | 1 (1)             |
| 3                                 | 2 (3)               | 2 (6)               | 4 (4)             |
| 4                                 | —                   | 1 (3)               | 1 (1)             |
| Total                             | 2 (3)               | 4 (12)              | 6 (6)             |

aNot applicable.
Table 3. Webpage accession by cycle for patients in the intervention arm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle number and number of times webpage accessed</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>56 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>29 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>54 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>45 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>26 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Frequency of Webpage Usage**

Table 3 describes webpage accession by patients and their designees. In total, 70% (39/56) of patients assigned to the intervention arm (including 9 designees) accessed the video-based, personalized webpage and its subcontents during cycle 1, 45% (25/56) during cycle 2, 27% (15/56) during cycle 3, and 25% (14/56) during cycle 4. During the 2 follow-up cycles, 5 patients accessed the webpage during cycle 5, and 1 patient accessed the webpage during cycle 6.

**Patient Satisfaction and Stress Measures**

The median baseline satisfaction score for the intervention arm was 72 and 73 for the control arm (Table 4). Following baseline, the median satisfaction score for both arms at each time point was 73. The median baseline stress level for the intervention arm was 10 and 13 for the control arm (Table 5). Median stress levels for the intervention arm at cycles 2 to 6 were 9, 8, 8, 9, and 7, respectively. Median stress levels for the control arm at cycles 2 to 6 were 11.5, 10.5, 10, 11, and 9.5, respectively. Median stress score for both arms at the end of study (following 6 cycles) was 7.
Table 4. Patient satisfaction scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle and arm</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=37)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=26)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=63)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=50)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=29)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=79)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=46)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=26)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=72)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=34)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=22)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=56)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=38)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=18)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=56)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=37)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=18)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=55)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=39)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=19)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=58)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle and arm</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=54)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=31)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=85)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=53)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=83)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=24)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=64)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=47)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=27)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=74)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=41)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=21)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=62)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=41)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=61)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (N=43)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (N=21)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (N=64)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Number of questions asked during the video encounters, according to type of question and cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle and question type</th>
<th>Median number of questions asked by patient (interquartile range)</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (n=56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug administration</td>
<td>2 (1-4)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug handling</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research team contact</td>
<td>0.5 (0-1)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>1 (0.5-2)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>1 (0-3.5)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webpage</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (n=52)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug administration</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug handling</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research team contact</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webpage</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (n=44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug administration</td>
<td>0 (0-2)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug handling</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research team contact</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webpage</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (n=43)^a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug administration</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug handling</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research team contact</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webpage</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aData missing on 1 patient.

Frequently Asked Questions in the Intervention Arm
The most frequently asked questions by patients during the video encounters were tabulated for individuals in the intervention arm. Most questions asked were related to drug administration, drug side effects, and scheduling (Table 6).

Patient-Initiated Provider Calls
Overall, 21% (19/89) of patients in this study called an oncologist or nurse practitioner (Table 7) at least once (range 1-5), and 73% (65/89) of patients on study called a research nurse at least once (range 1-12).
Table 7. Patient-initiated calls to providers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle and call type</th>
<th>Arm 1, n (%)</th>
<th>Arm 2, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to MD(^a) or NP(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to RN(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>6 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to MD or NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to RN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to MD or NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to RN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to MD or NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to RN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Medical Doctor.  
\(^b\)Nurse Practitioner.  
\(^c\)Registered Nurse.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we evaluate a video-based, personalized webpage as a complement to routine clinical trial patient education. Our trial did not prove that the video-based, personalized webpage intervention reduced patient-initiated violations. This result might in part be related to the low total number of patient-initiated violations in both arms. Nearly one-third of patients had no violations, and 27% (24/89) had only 1 violation over the course of 4 cycles. We suspect that given the sophistication needed to seek care at an urban tertiary cancer center and enroll in, not 1 but 2 clinical trials [29], our patient population was perhaps more engaged in their cancer care than the general cancer population, contributing to the low number of patient-initiated violations observed in this trial. Such an intervention might be of more use in a less engaged patient population to aid in adherence of oral anticancer therapy prescribed in a standard of care setting.
Due to the exclusion criteria of the parent clinical trials and the lack of resources necessary to create materials for non-English-speaking patients, only English-speaking patients were included in this study. Research has touched upon the lack of minority representation in clinical trials. Video education has been shown to increase non-English-speaking patients’ understanding of consent information compared with oral education and home visits and has helped with recruiting and retaining non-English speaking patients in clinical trials [30]. This video-based, personalized webpage might be of use in the recruitment of a greater number of minority patients in oncology clinical trials.

The FACIT-TS-PS and PSS-10 results show that patients were satisfied with their research teams and stress levels were low in both arms. We suspect that this is because patients who remained on study were deriving clinical benefit from their parent clinical trials, thus impacting their satisfaction and stress levels. Though generally patients were satisfied with their care teams, experienced low levels of stress, and were relatively compliant regarding patient-initiated violations, there remain opportunities for continued improvement of communication and education in the clinical trials process [8,19].

On the basis of patient feedback in the form of emails to the study team, patient caregivers appeared to derive benefit from the intervention. Future work can assess the effect of similar interventions on patient family members or caregivers as a means of supporting the patient and improving the clinical trial experience. Future research might also consider enabling webpage communication functions, allowing researchers to assess the utility of real-time, Web-based modes of communication over standard methods [31]. Video recording by use of iPads required trained personnel in the exam room for each encounter. This approach is not well suited for environments with limited staffing resources. Future studies should consider the use of remote video-recording to eliminate this need. Further development of this intervention should also explore mobile phone accessibility.

Limitations
To objectively quantify the impact of the video-based, personalized webpage, we selected an innovative primary endpoint for this study: patient-initiated violations. Developing the primary endpoint was challenging given the lack of clinical studies of this type. We chose patient-initiated violations because this endpoint was quantifiable and clinically meaningful. Furthermore, we thought that this novel primary endpoint would serve as a surrogate of patient knowledge of trial medication adherence, administration, and overall clinical trial procedures. Defining the null and alternative hypotheses at the onset of the study was also difficult, as there are no published examples of patient-initiated violations in similar patients. An alternative endpoint that has been used in other studies of video-based education delivery includes patient-reported outcomes of knowledge, attitude, and preparation for making decisions about clinical trials [18]. Such an endpoint should be considered in future research assessing this intervention.

The intervention might have broader impact in a less resourced setting or community practice to enhance clinical trial procedures and patient education as well as in settings outside the realm of clinical trials. This trial, which was conducted at a single institution with extensive experience in clinical trial operations, required patients to have access to the internet and some degree of confidence in internet use. These enrollment criteria might have biased the patient population to those with the most potential for understanding clinical trial procedures and excluded those who could have experienced the greatest benefit.

Comparison With Prior Work
Strategies to incorporate Web-based and mobile communication on clinical trials are underway, such as the Preparatory Education About Clinical Trials, a Web-based and interactive computer program delivering educational content to patients considering clinical trials, and a Web-based prostate cancer treatment decision aid assessing treatment satisfaction, decisional regret, and quality of life [18,21,32]. Advances in technology, such as video-based interventions and mobile apps, are expected to impact the delivery of oncology care over the next decade [33]. Advantages of e-technology (computer-assisted interventions and mobile phone apps) in clinical trials include improved efficiency, cost reduction, and fostering research and development [32]. These interventions have the potential to enhance patient understanding, improve patient enrollment, and streamline clinic operations [18].

Conclusions
As the population in general becomes more skilled with technology, we believe this type of intervention will be useful for patients and providers of varying disciplines. Although the primary endpoint of reduced patient-initiated violations over 4 cycles of treatment in the intervention arm was not met, this study demonstrates the feasibility of providing patients and caregivers with instant, at-home access to the discussions that take place between patients and their care teams. There was no difference between arms at the end of 4 cycles or following 2 cycles of follow-up. Nevertheless, our video-based personalized webpage offered patients an alternative to impersonal, lengthy, and written information as is currently provided in informed consent documents. Our intervention allowed patients to store, share, and access complex discussions related to their cancer care repeatedly over extended periods. With the ever-increasing role of technology in health care, the potential of a video-based, personalized webpage in oncology care includes optimization of oral anticancer therapy adherence, management of drug side effects, increase in patient safety, and improved trial operational quality and efficacy in a sustainable and translatable way that could benefit patients and providers to come.
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Abstract

Background: The increasingly pervasive presence of technology in the operating room raises the need to study the interaction between the surgeon and computer system. A new generation of tools known as commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) devices enabling touchless gesture-based human-computer interaction is currently being explored as a solution in surgical environments.

Objective: The aim of this systematic literature review was to provide an account of the state of the art of COTS devices in the detection of manual gestures in surgery and to identify their use as a simulation tool for motor skills teaching in minimally invasive surgery (MIS).

Methods: For this systematic literature review, a search was conducted in PubMed, Excerpta Medica dataBASE, ScienceDirect, Espacenet, OpenGrey, and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers databases. Articles published between January 2000 and December 2017 on the use of COTS devices for gesture detection in surgical environments and in simulation for surgical skills learning in MIS were evaluated and selected.

Results: A total of 3180 studies were identified, 86 of which met the search selection criteria. Microsoft Kinect (Microsoft Corp) and the Leap Motion Controller (Leap Motion Inc) were the most widely used COTS devices. The most common intervention was image manipulation in surgical and interventional radiology environments, followed by interaction with virtual reality environments for educational or interventional purposes. The possibility of using this technology to develop portable low-cost simulators for skills learning in MIS was also examined. As most of the articles identified in this systematic review were proof-of-concept or prototype user testing and feasibility testing studies, we concluded that the field was still in the exploratory phase in areas requiring touchless manipulation within environments and settings that must adhere to asepsis and antisepsis protocols, such as angiography suites and operating rooms.

Conclusions: COTS devices applied to hand and instrument gesture-based interfaces in the field of simulation for skills learning and training in MIS could open up a promising field to achieve ubiquitous training and presurgical warm up.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e11925) doi:10.2196/11925
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Introduction

Background

The increasingly pervasive presence of technology in the operating room raises the need to study the interaction between the surgeon and computer system. In sterile environments, using the hand to operate a mouse, keyboard, or touchscreen is unacceptable as it alters the normal pace of surgery and breaks asepsis and antisepsis protocols [1-6]. Using a physical barrier between the surgeon’s gloves and the interaction device [7], or the foot for manipulation, are not practical solutions either, as they do not allow fine interaction and carry risks of contamination [8]. Moreover, using a person to manipulate images in accordance with the surgeon’s verbal instructions has proven difficult and is prone to giving rise to misunderstandings when the visualization of specific areas of the image are requested [9,10].

Early solutions to circumvent any contact between the surgeon and computer were based on voice recognition Automated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning (AESOP) and HERMES (Stryker Europe) [11,12], but these systems were impractical as they were difficult to use when performing complex tasks [13]. Natural user interfaces were first developed in the 1990s to enable interaction with the computer through natural human movements to manipulate radiological images in sterile surgical environments [14]. Gesture-based interfaces were another variant [15]. These enabled touchless manipulations to be performed and held great promise as a viable solution in the operating room and autopsy suites [10,16-19]. However, they could not be employed in sterile environments as they required some contact when gloves or position sensors were used [20-24].

Early attempts to use touchless gestures in minimally invasive surgery (MIS) involved hand and facial gestures [9,25]. Gesture recognition systems with Web and video cameras were later described [26,27] using the time-of-flight principle [28] and achieving interaction with the Osirix viewer [17,29]. However, these systems were very expensive and inaccurate and required calibration and a complex setup, making them impractical for use in the operating room [30].

A new generation of tools known as commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) devices enabling touchless gesture–based human-computer interaction is currently being explored as a solution in surgical environments. The term COTS refers to a device that can be taken from a shelf, that is, sold over the counter. In addition to being low-cost, wireless, and ergonomic, they facilitate real-time interactivity and allow the user to point to and manipulate objects with 6 degrees of freedom [31]. Hansen et al described the use of the Wii Remote (Nintendo) for the intraoperative modification of resection planes in liver surgery [32], whereas Gallo et al used it for pointing to and manipulating 3-dimensional (3D) medical data in a number of ways [31,33-36]. However, intraoperative manipulation of the device required it to be wrapped in a sterile bag, thus eliminating the concept of contactless. In November 2010, the Microsoft Kinect (MK) 3D depth camera system (Microsoft Corp) was launched as a device for the Xbox 360 games console. The first descriptions of MK for medical use were in relation to physical and cognitive rehabilitation [37]. Subsequent experiences in this field showed that additional studies were required on issues such as effectiveness, commitment, and usability [38-40]. Its use in an operating room was first reported in 2011, at Sunnybrook Hospital in Toronto, when it was used to view magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography scans, eventually giving rise to the GestSure system [13]. In 2012, the Leap Motion Controller (LMC; Leap Motion Inc) was launched, and in July 2013, the Myo armband (Thalmic Labs) was launched.

Construct validity [41,42], concurrent validity [43,44], and predictive validity [45,46] studies, as well as systematic reviews [47,48], have shown that simulation in virtual reality environments is an effective tool for motor skills learning in MIS. However, the high cost of virtual reality and augmented reality simulators calls for the development of new, portable low-cost solutions enabling ubiquitous learning. New COTS technologies that allow hand gestures and instrument movements to be detected open up an interesting field of exploration for the development and validation of new simulation models in virtual environments. One of the objectives of this systematic review was to recognize the existence of developments in this area.

Objectives

The aim of this systematic review was to provide an account of the state of the art of COTS devices in the detection of manual gestures in surgery and to identify their use as a simulation tool for motor skills teaching in MIS.

Methods

Article Retrieval

A search was conducted in the electronic databases PubMed, Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), ScienceDirect, Espacenet, OpenGrey, and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) for articles published between January 2000 and December 2017, using combinations of the following Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms: surgery, computer simulation, simulation training, laparoscopy, minimally invasive surgical procedures, robotic surgical procedures, and virtual reality. The following were used as free terms: commercial off-the-shelf, COTS, surgical education, surgical simulation, Wii, Microsoft Kinect, Xbox Kinect, Leap Motion, Leap Motion Controller, Myo armband, and gesture control. The search strategy used a combination of MeSH terms and free terms. Boolean operators (AND or OR) were used to expand, exclude, or join keywords in the search. The devised strategy was applied first to PubMed and then to the remaining databases.

The search was limited to English-language publications and was complemented using the snowballing technique to identify relevant articles in the references of articles returned by our search [49]. A manual search was also conducted on the indices of the following publications: Surgical Endoscopy, Surgical Innovation, Minimally Invasive Therapy and Allied Technologies, the Journal of Medical Internet Research, and
the *Journal of Surgical Education*. The snowballing search and the manual reviews enabled the retrieval of conference proceedings, letters to the editor, and simple concept descriptions. A MeaSurement Tool to Assess systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) [50] and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) [51] checklists were used to ensure the quality of the review. In total, 3 authors assessed the risk of bias. Disagreement on bias assessment and the interpretation of results was resolved by consensus discussions.

**Study Selection**

A total of 3180 studies were identified, and the abstracts were reviewed to determine whether they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were (1) original research articles, (2) proof-of-concept or prototype user testing and feasibility testing studies, (3) studies conducted in surgical environments (preoperative, intraoperative, or postoperative), and (4) studies carried out in real or simulated surgical settings. The exclusion criteria were (1) studies on COTS devices requiring hand contact, (2) studies conducted in nonsurgical clinical environments, and (3) studies on the technical description of devices that did not include criteria of clinical usability, feasibility, or acceptance as an outcome. Studies on COTS devices requiring hand contact (ie, Wii) were excluded from the analysis. After the first review of the titles and abstracts, 361 studies were selected, 220 of which corresponded to the Wii device and were therefore discarded. Of the 141 remaining articles, 55 were duplicate references. After reading the full texts of these studies, 86 were deemed to have met the search selection criteria. The search and selection processes are summarized in Figure 1.

**Results**

Of the 86 articles identified, 43 (50%) were on MK, 31 (36%) were on the LMC, 2 compared MK with the LMC [77,113], 1 compared the LMC with the Myo armband [58], 1 compared MK with the LMC and the Myo armband [52], 6 were on web, video, or commercial cameras (7%), and 2 reviewed gesture interaction in general [59,65]. The data and detailed information on the studies reviewed are shown in Tables 1-3 (see Multimedia Appendices 1-3 for the full Tables 1-3). The results are organized by the type of COTS device used (Tables 1-3, see Multimedia Appendices 1-3 for the full Tables 1-3), by the type of surgical specialties in which COTS devices were used (Table 4), and by the type of use made of COTS devices in surgery, including simulation for motor skills learning (Table 5).
## Table 1. Summary of included studies evaluating Microsoft Kinect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Results/Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>To describe a system for the interactive exploration of medical images through a gesture-controlled interface using MK³.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT, MRF and Positron emission tomography images.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>As the interface does not require direct contact or calibration, it is suitable for use in the operating room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99]</td>
<td>To explore the potential simplifications derived from using 3D sensors in medical augmented reality applications by designing a low-cost system.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Augmented reality in Medicine.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>The concept is feasible but the whole process is still too time-consuming to be executed in real time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[101]</td>
<td>To present an augmented reality magic mirror for anatomy teaching.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Augmented reality in Medicine. Anatomy education.</td>
<td>A hospital and a school.</td>
<td>The system can be used for educational purposes, to improve communication between doctor and patients. A possible use for anatomy teaching in surgery is not mentioned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>To evaluate the response time and usability (gestures and voice commands) compared with mouse and keyboard controls.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing and feasibility testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT images.</td>
<td>2 radiologists and 8 forensic pathologists who recreated 12 images.</td>
<td>Users took 1.4 times longer to recreate an image with gesture control and rated the system 3.4 out of 5 for ease of use in comparison with the keyboard and mouse. The voice recognition system did not work properly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[84]</td>
<td>To develop a system to allow the surgeon to interact with the standard PACS system during sterile surgical management of orthopedic patients.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images in orthopedics.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>This is the first example of this technology being used to control digital X-rays in clinical practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[83]</td>
<td>To present a sterile method for the surgeon to manipulate images using touchless freehand gestures.</td>
<td>Experiment.</td>
<td>Manipulation of MRI images.</td>
<td>9 veterinary surgeons, 22 students.</td>
<td>The hypothesis that contextual information integrated with hand trajectory gesture information can significantly improve the overall recognition system performance was validated. The recognition accuracy was 98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[76]</td>
<td>To evaluate an MK-based interaction system for manipulating imaging data using ‘Magic Lens visualization.’</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept in the operating room.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>A laryngoplasty.</td>
<td>The surgeon can manipulate the preoperative information with the intraoperative video and the simulations to correctly place the implant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[79]</td>
<td>To compare the accuracy and speed of interaction of MK with that of a mouse. To study the performance of the interaction methods in rotation tasks and localization of internal structures in a 3D dataset.</td>
<td>User testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>15 users.</td>
<td>The gesture-based interface outperformed the traditional mouse with respect to time and accuracy in the orientation and rotation task. The mouse was superior in terms of accuracy of localization of internal structures. However, the gesture-based interface was found to have the fastest target localization time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[74]</td>
<td>To develop a user-friendly touchless system for controlling the presentation of medical images based on hand gesture recognition in the operating room.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept in the operating room.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images in orthopedic surgery.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>The system does not require calibration and was adapted to the surgical environment following the principles of asepsis/antisepsis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study | Aim | Type of study | Intervention | Sample | Results/Conclusions
---|---|---|---|---|---
[30] | To present a touchless gesture interface that allows the surgeon to control medical images using hand gestures. | Proof-of-concept and prototype feasibility testing. | Manipulation of CT images. | Enucleation of 4 tumors in 3 urology patients. | First description in the literature of a gesture user interface using MK in the operating room in vivo surgery, showing that it is an efficient and low-cost solution. |
[100] | To develop a low-cost augmented reality interface projected onto a mannequin simulator. | Proof-of-concept. | Augmented reality for education in Medicine. | A physical simulator, video projector, Wii Remote and MK. | The manipulations obtained using MK were similar to those described with the Wii. |
[67] | To develop a version of a gesture-based system for controlling images. | Proof-of-concept. | Manipulation of MRI images. | Resection of a glioma. | Except for the scanning movement, each movement was recognized with great accuracy. The algorithm can be installed in the clinical area. |
[128] | To use MK to operate an automated operating-room light system. | Prototype user testing. | Manipulation of operating room lights. | 18 volunteers. | The gestures were easy to learn and the movement of the light beam was sufficiently precise. |
[102] | To create a touchless head tracking system for an immersive virtual operating room. | Proof-of-concept. | Virtual reality for simulation and education in surgery. | A 3D virtual operating room with a virtual operating table. | Using MK, it was possible to implement a very accurate interactive tracking system regardless of the complexity of the virtual reality system. |
[85] | To present a new prototype that allows the user to control the OsiriX system with finger gestures using a low-cost depth camera. | Proof-of-concept and prototype feasibility testing. | Manipulation of CT images. | 4 forensic pathologists, 1 radiologist and 1 engineer. | On average, 4.5 min were required to learn to use the system. Participants rated the intuitiveness of the gestures with 3.8 out of 5 and control of the images with 3.8 out of 5. The low cost of the system makes it affordable for any potential user. |
[104] | To present a new immersive surgical training system. | Proof-of-concept and prototype fidelity testing. | Virtual reality for education in surgery. | Cholecystectomy training on animal tissue blocks. | Initial feedback from the residents showed that the system is much more effective than the conventional videotaped system. |
[60] | To test a speech and gesture-controlled interventional radiology system. | User testing. | Manipulation of CT and angiography images. | 10 radiology residents used commands under different lighting conditions during 18 angiographies and 10 CT-guided punctures. | 93% of commands were recognized successfully. Speech commands were less prone to errors than gesture commands. 60% of participants would use the application in their routine clinical practice. |
[86] | To develop an image operation system for image manipulation using a motion sensor. | Proof-of-concept. | Manipulation of angiographic images. | Not described. | The system can be implemented as a useful tool in angiography for controlling image viewing using gestures in the operating room. |
[19] | The working hypothesis is that contextual information such as the focus of attention, integrated with gestural information, can significantly improve overall system recognition performance compared with interfaces relying on gesture recognition alone. | Ethnographic study. Experiment. Survey. | Manipulation of MRI images. | 10 veterinary surgeons. 20 volunteers. | The surgeon’s intention to perform a gesture can be accurately recognized by observing environmental cues (context). The hypothesis was validated by a drop in the false positive rate of gesture recognition from 20.76% to 2.33%. A significant rate of reduction of the mean task completion time indicated that the user operates the interface more efficiently with experience. The tracking algorithm occasionally failed in the presence of several people in the camera’s field of view. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Results/Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[96]</td>
<td>To examine the functionality and usability of MK to complete the visualization of 3D anatomical images.</td>
<td>User testing, Survey.</td>
<td>Manipulation of anatomical images.</td>
<td>32 participants: Medical students, professors and anatomy laboratory staff.</td>
<td>MK users reached accuracy levels almost identical to those who used a mouse, and spent less time on performing the same tasks. MK showed potential as a device for interaction with medical images.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[103]</td>
<td>To examine usability for navigating through 3D medical images using MK compared with a traditional mouse.</td>
<td>User testing, Survey.</td>
<td>Manipulation of anatomical images.</td>
<td>17 veterinary students.</td>
<td>Improvements should be made to MK before it can be implemented as a device for medical use. The preferred method was the mouse. MK has the potential to reduce time on the task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>To develop a prototype and to examine the feasibility of this new device to help bridge the sterility barrier and eliminate the time and space gap that exists between image review and visual correlation with real-time operative field anatomy.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept and prototype feasibility testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT and MRI images.</td>
<td>2 MIS procedures and 4 open procedures performed by a surgeon.</td>
<td>The system worked well in a wide range of lighting conditions and procedures. There was an increase in the use of intraoperative image consultation. The gesture library was intuitive and easy to learn. Gestures were mastered within 10 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61]</td>
<td>To investigate a solution for manipulating medical images using MK.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept and prototype feasibility testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT images.</td>
<td>29 radiologists (diagnostic and interventional).</td>
<td>The potential of the device to enhance image-guided treatment in an interventional radiology suite while maintaining a sterile surgical field was demonstrated. 69% of those surveyed believed that the device could be useful in the interventional radiology field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[112]</td>
<td>To investigate the need for posture and position training during bronchoscopy using a tool called ETrack</td>
<td>Pilot study.</td>
<td>Analysis of the operator's movements during a bronchoscopy.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>The results highlight the importance of posture during bronchoscopy and the need to implement a training module for the simulator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td>To evaluate a new touchless, portable, low-cost 3D measurement system for objective breast assessment.</td>
<td>Concurrent validation study.</td>
<td>Calculation of breast implant volumes.</td>
<td>9 silicone implants of known volumes.</td>
<td>The implant volumes were calculated with an error margin of 10%. Reproducibility was satisfactory. The system was validated for clinical use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[106]</td>
<td>To describe a gesture-controlled 3D teaching tool in which temporal bone anatomy is manipulated without using a mouse or keyboard. To provide a teaching tool for patient-specific anatomy.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of anatomical images.</td>
<td>0.15 mm slice thickness cadaveric temporal bone images.</td>
<td>The interactive 3D model developed seems promising as an educational tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>To develop hand recognition software based on MK, linked to an interventional CT, to manipulate images.</td>
<td>Feasibility testing</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT images in surgery.</td>
<td>10 interventional radiology procedures.</td>
<td>Tested on 10 procedures, feasibility was 100%. The system also allowed information to be obtained without using the CT system interface or a third party, and without the loss of operator sterility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131]</td>
<td>To present a novel method for training intentional and nonintentional gesture recognition.</td>
<td>Experiment.</td>
<td>Performance of a simulated brain biopsy on a mannequin assisted by images manipulated using gestures.</td>
<td>19 subjects.</td>
<td>Continuous gesture recognition was successful 92.26% of the time with a reliability of 89.97%. Significant improvements in task completion time were obtained through the context integration effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[113]</td>
<td>To evaluate 2 contactless hand tracking systems, the LMC(^2) and MK, for their potential to control surgical robots.</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Manipulation of robots in surgery.</td>
<td>4 trained surgeons.</td>
<td>Neither system has the high level of accuracy and robustness that would be required for controlling medical robots.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[107]</td>
<td>To use a projector for visualization and to provide intuitive means for direct interaction with the information projected onto the surgical surface, using MK to capture the interaction zone and the surgeon’s actions on a deformable surface.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Augmented reality in surgery.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>The system eliminates the need for the surgeon to look at a location other than the surgical field. It therefore removes distractions and enhances his or her performance. It not only provides the surgeon with medical data during the intervention, but also allows interaction with such information by using gestures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>To present an ethnographic study of a system based on MK developed to allow touchless control of medical images during vascular surgery. The study aims to go beyond demonstrating technical feasibility in order to understand the collaborative practices that emerge from its use in this context.</td>
<td>Ethnographic study.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>Endovascular suite of a large hospital.</td>
<td>With touchless interaction, the visual resources were embedded and made meaningful in the collaborative practices of surgery. The importance of direct and dynamic control of the images by the clinicians in the context of talks and in the context of other artefact use is discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[130]</td>
<td>To evaluate a system for manipulating an operating table using gestures.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of an operating table.</td>
<td>15 participants.</td>
<td>Major problems were encountered during gesture recognition and with obstruction by other people in the interaction area due to the size and layout of the operating room. The system cannot yet be integrated into a surgical environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[110]</td>
<td>To study the technical skills of colonoscopists using MK for motion analysis to develop a tool to guide colonoscopy education and to select discriminative motion patterns.</td>
<td>Construct validity study.</td>
<td>Analysis of the movements of the operator during a colonoscopy.</td>
<td>10 experienced and 11 novice endoscopists.</td>
<td>Certain types of metric can be used to discriminate between experienced and novice operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[72]</td>
<td>To develop a 3D surface imaging system and to assess the accuracy and repeatability on a female mannequin.</td>
<td>Interrater reliability study.</td>
<td>Measurement of the surface distances of the breast on a mannequin.</td>
<td>A female mannequin.</td>
<td>MK seems to be a useful and feasible system for capturing 3D images of the breast. There was agreement between the measurements obtained by the system and those taken manually with a measuring tape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[105]</td>
<td>To present a new surgical training system.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Real-time immersive 3D surgical training. Education.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>Preliminary experiments show that this immersive training system is portable, effective and reliable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[68]</td>
<td>To present the development and clinical testing of a device that enables intraoperative control of images with hand gestures during neurosurgical procedures.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of MRI images.</td>
<td>30 neurosurgical operations.</td>
<td>OPECT demonstrated high effectiveness, simplicity of use and precise recognition of the individual user profile. In all cases, surgeons were satisfied with the performance of the device.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[68]</td>
<td>To test whether an automatic motion analysis system could be used to explore if there is a correlation in scope movements and the level of experience of the surgeon performing the bronchoscopy.</td>
<td>Construct validity study. Prospective, comparative study.</td>
<td>Analysis of the operator's movements during a bronchoscopy. Education.</td>
<td>11 novice, 9 intermediate and 9 experienced bronchoscopists performed 3 procedures each on a bronchoscopy simulator.</td>
<td>The motion analysis system could discriminate between different levels of experience. Automatic feedback on correct movements during self-directed training on simulators might help new bronchoscopists learn how to handle the bronchoscope like an expert.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[77]</td>
<td>To compare 2 commercial motion sensors (MK and the LMC) to manipulate CT images, in terms of their utility, usability, speed, accuracy and user acceptance.</td>
<td>Two-strand sequential observational study. Qualitative and quantitative descriptive field study using a semi-structured questionnaire.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT images.</td>
<td>42 participants: radiologists, surgeons and interventional radiologists.</td>
<td>Marginal to average acceptability of the 2 devices. MK was found to be more useful and easier to use, but the LMC was more accurate. Further research is required to establish the design specifications, installation guidelines and user training requirements to ensure successful implementation in clinical areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[57]</td>
<td>To develop an integrated and comprehensive operating room information system compatible with HL7 and DICOM (MediNav). A natural user interface is designed specifically for operating rooms based on MK.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Users tested the application's various modules.</td>
<td>A prototype system is tested in a live operating room at an Iranian teaching hospital. 30 general surgeons.</td>
<td>The results of usability tests are promising, and indicate that integration of these systems into a complete solution is the key. Touchless natural user interfaces can help to collect and visualize medical information in a comprehensive manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[75]</td>
<td>To develop an integrated and comprehensive operating room information system compatible with HL7 and DICOM (MediNav). A natural user interface is designed specifically for operating rooms based on MK.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Augmented reality in orthopedic surgery.</td>
<td>Simulations of 12 orthopedic procedures. 5 participating clinicians, 3 experienced surgeons, 2 fourth-year medical students.</td>
<td>The system showed promising results with respect to better surgical scene understanding and improved depth perception using augmented reality in simulated orthopedic surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[114]</td>
<td>To explore 3D perception technologies in the operating room.</td>
<td>Ethnographic. Prototype testing.</td>
<td>Detection of the interaction between operating staff and the robot.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>The paper described a supervisory system for the operating room that enables intention tracking. The system had low latency, good registration accuracy and high tracking reliability, which make it useful for workflow monitoring, tracking and avoiding collisions between medical robots and operating room staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[125]</td>
<td>To use MK and color markers to track the position of MIS instruments in real time.</td>
<td>Comparative study between MK and the SinaSim trainer.</td>
<td>Movement of the instrument to position its tip in 81 holes of a Plexiglas plate on 5 occasions.</td>
<td>1 user.</td>
<td>Although the new method had inferior accuracy compared with mechanical sensors, its low cost and portability make it a candidate for replacing traditional tracking methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[80]</td>
<td>To compare 3 different interaction modes for image manipulation in a surgery setting: 1) A gesture-controlled approach using MK; 2) verbal instructions to a third party; and 3) direct manipulation using a mouse.</td>
<td>Crossover randomized controlled trial with blocked randomization.</td>
<td>Interaction modes were direct manipulation using a mouse, verbal instructions given to a third party, and gesture-controlled manipulation using MK.</td>
<td>30 physicians and senior medical students.</td>
<td>Under the premise that a mouse cannot be used directly during surgery, gesture-controlled approaches were shown to be superior to verbal instructions for image manipulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[121]</td>
<td>To evaluate the feasibility, validity, and reliability of the training system for motion parameter and ergonomic analyses between different experience levels of surgeons using the NDI Polaris System and MK camera.</td>
<td>Construct validity, concurrent validity and test-retest reliability. Prospective blinded study.</td>
<td>Tying of intra-corporeal MIS knots.</td>
<td>10 MIS novices, 10 intermediate level and 10 experts.</td>
<td>Validity and reliability of the self-developed sensor and expert model-based MIS training system ‘iSurgeon’ were established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>To analyze preoperative breast volume in patients with breast cancer in order to predict implant size for reconstruction.</td>
<td>Exploratory study.</td>
<td>MK was used to acquire 3D images of the patients’ breasts before surgery and after surgery.</td>
<td>10 patients.</td>
<td>This study showed the feasibility of using fast, simple and inexpensive 3D imaging technology for predicting implant size before surgery, although there were significant technical challenges in determining breast volume by surface imaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>To evaluate the feasibility of using 3 different gesture control sensors (MK, the LMC and the Myo armband) to interact in a sterile manner with preoperative data as well as in settings of an integrated operating room during MIS.</td>
<td>Pilot user study.</td>
<td>2 hepatectomies and 2 partial nephrectomies on an experimental porcine model.</td>
<td>3 surgeons.</td>
<td>Natural user interfaces are feasible for directly interacting, in a more intuitive and sterile manner, with preoperative images and integrated operating room functionalities during MIS. The combination of the Myo armband and voice commands provided the most intuitive and accurate natural user interface.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMK: Microsoft Kinect.
bCT: Computed Tomography.
cMRI: magnetic resonance imaging.
d3D: 3-dimensional.
eMIS: minimally invasive surgery.
fLMC: Leap Motion Controller.
### Table 2. Summary of included studies evaluating the Leap Motion Controller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Results/Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[63]</td>
<td>To evaluate the implementation of a low-cost device for touchless PACS control in an interventional radiology suite. To demonstrate that interaction with gestures can decrease the duration of the procedures, the risk of re-intervention, and improve technical performance.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept and prototype feasibility testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of images in interventional radiology.</td>
<td>Intervventional radiology suite.</td>
<td>The LMC is a feasible, portable and low-cost alternative to other touchless PACS interaction systems. A decrease in the need for re-intervention was reported, but no explanation was given of how it was measured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[54]</td>
<td>To present the first experience of using new systems for image control in the operating room: the LMC and OsiriX.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT and MRI images.</td>
<td>2 general surgeons, 1 urologist, 3 orthopedic surgeons and 2 surgeons</td>
<td>The average training time was 5 min. The system is very cost-effective, efficient and prevents contamination during surgery. First experience of using the LMC to control CT and MRI images during surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[116]</td>
<td>To validate the possibility of performing precise telesurgical tasks by means of the LMC.</td>
<td>Comparative study of the Sigma.7 electro-mechanical device and the LMC.</td>
<td>Peg transferring task and answering a questionnaire. The success rate of peg transfers.</td>
<td>10 researchers.</td>
<td>The results allowed the authors to confirm that fine tracking of the hand could be performed with the LMC. The observed performance of the optical interface proved to be comparable with that of traditional electro-mechanical devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[87]</td>
<td>To describe a piece of software for image processing with OsiriX using finger gestures.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>It is possible to implement gesture control of medical devices with low-cost, minimal resources. The device is very sensitive to surface dirt and this affects performance. The device favors the occlusion phenomenon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[113]</td>
<td>To evaluate 2 contactless hand tracking systems, the LMC and MK, for their potential to control surgical robots.</td>
<td>Experiment.</td>
<td>Manipulation of robots in surgery.</td>
<td>4 trained surgeons.</td>
<td>Neither system has the high level of accuracy and robustness that would be required for controlling medical robots.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[129]</td>
<td>To evaluate the LMC for simple 2-dimensional interaction and the action of entering a value.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept and prototype testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of medical information and operating room lights.</td>
<td>A 90-min conference on computer science and untrained users.</td>
<td>The user cases should be carefully classified and the most appropriate gestures for each application should be detected and implemented. Optimal lighting conditions for the LMC have still not been evaluated as unwanted light with deterioration of the IR light emitted may lead to a reduction in the recognition rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[81]</td>
<td>To compare the average time required by the conventional method using a mouse and an operating method with a finger-motion sensor.</td>
<td>Observational study.</td>
<td>Manipulation of angiographic images.</td>
<td>11 radiologists who observed a simulated clinical case.</td>
<td>After a practice time of 30 min, the average operation time by the gesture method was significantly shorter than that by the mouse method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>To develop a workstation that allows intraoperative touchless control of diagnostic and surgical images in dentistry.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>2 surgeons. A case series of 11 dental surgery procedures.</td>
<td>The system performed very well. Its low cost favors its incorporation into clinical facilities of developing countries, reducing the number of staff required in operating rooms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[88]</td>
<td>To propose an interface to control hand gestures and gestures with hand-held tools. In this approach, hand-held tools can become gesture devices that the user can use to control the images.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of ultrasound images.</td>
<td>12 participants.</td>
<td>Users were able to significantly improve their performance with practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>To develop a software application for the manipulation of a 3D\textsuperscript{D} pancreatic or liver tumor model by using CT and real-time elastography data.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT and real-time elastography images.</td>
<td>15 patients with liver cancer and 10 patients with pancreatic cancer.</td>
<td>A 3D model of liver and pancreatic tumors was successfully implemented with a hands-free interaction device suitable for sterile environments and for aiding diagnostic or therapeutic interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[90]</td>
<td>To propose a web-based interface to retrieve medical images using gestures.</td>
<td>User testing. Pilot study.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>2 users.</td>
<td>User feedback was positive. Users reported fatigue with prolonged use of gestures. Additional studies are required to validate the interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64]</td>
<td>To describe the use of the LMC for image manipulation during hepatic transarterial chemoembolization and internal radiotherapy procedures.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of images in interventional radiology.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>Gesture-based imaging control may lead to increased efficacy and safety with decreased radiation exposure during hepatic transarterial chemoembolization procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[77]</td>
<td>To compare 2 commercial motion sensors (MK and the LMC) to manipulate CT images, in terms of their utility, usability, speed, accuracy and user acceptance.</td>
<td>Two-strand sequential observational study. Qualitative and quantitative descriptive field study using a semi-structured questionnaire.</td>
<td>Manipulation of CT images.</td>
<td>42 participants: radiologists, surgeons and interventional radiologists.</td>
<td>Marginal to average acceptability of the 2 devices. MK was found to be more useful and easier to use, but the LMC was more accurate. Further research is required to establish the design specifications, installation guidelines and user training requirements to ensure successful implementation in clinical areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[91]</td>
<td>To evaluate a new method for image manipulation using a motion sensor.</td>
<td>Observational study. User testing and proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images in dentistry.</td>
<td>14 students. 6 images.</td>
<td>Using the system, several processes can be performed quickly with finger movements. Using gestures was significantly superior to using a mouse in terms of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[92]</td>
<td>To develop a new system for manipulating images using a motion sensor.</td>
<td>Observational study.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images in dentistry.</td>
<td>14 students. 25 images.</td>
<td>The operation time with the LMC was significantly shorter than with the conventional method using a mouse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[108]</td>
<td>To design a virtual 3D online environment for motor skills learning in MIS\textsuperscript{D} using exercises from the MISR-VR. The environment is designed in Unity, and the LMC is used as the device for interaction with the MIS forceps.</td>
<td>Letter to the editor.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>If it can be shown that 3D online environments mediated by natural user interfaces enable motor skills learning in MIS, a new field of research and development in the area of surgical simulation will be opened up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[124]</td>
<td>Patent for accurate 3D instrument positioning.</td>
<td>Patent.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Representing, on an output display, 3D positions and orientations of an instrument while medical procedures are being performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[69]</td>
<td>To describe the configuration for using the LMC in neurosurgery for image manipulation during a surgical procedure.</td>
<td>User testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of images during a surgical procedure.</td>
<td>Resection of a meningioma and sarcoma surgery.</td>
<td>The learning curve only took 30 min. Although the main disadvantage was the lack of standardization of the gestures, the LMC is a low-cost, reliable and easily personalized device for controlling images in the surgical environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[109]</td>
<td>To develop skills in students and professionals using computer simulation technologies based on hand gesture capture systems.</td>
<td>User testing.</td>
<td>Description of the virtual environment.</td>
<td>Not described.</td>
<td>Simulation and new gesture recognition technologies open up new possibilities for the generation of computer-mediated procedures for medical training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[93]</td>
<td>To present a gesture-controlled projection display that enables a direct and natural physician-machine interaction during CT-based interventions.</td>
<td>User testing (pilot and main).</td>
<td>8 tasks manipulating CT images.</td>
<td>12 participants (biomedical engineers, medical students and radiologists).</td>
<td>Gesture recognition is robust, although there is potential for improvement. The gesture training times are less than 10 min, but vary considerably between study participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[94]</td>
<td>To develop an anatomy learning system using the LMC.</td>
<td>User testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of 220 anatomical images.</td>
<td>30 students and lecturers from an anatomy department.</td>
<td>The anatomy learning system using the LMC was successfully developed and it is suitable and acceptable as a support tool in an anatomy learning system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123]</td>
<td>To study the possibility of tracking laparoscopic instruments using the LMC in a box trainer.</td>
<td>Experiment.</td>
<td>3 static experiments and 1 dynamic experiment.</td>
<td>1 user.</td>
<td>The LMC had acceptable precision for tracking laparoscopic instruments in a box trainer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[126]</td>
<td>To assess the potential of the LMC to track the movement of hands using MIS instruments.</td>
<td>Construct validity, concurrent validity. Comparative study with the InStrac.</td>
<td>Passing a thread through pegs using the eoSim simulator.</td>
<td>3 experts and 10 novices.</td>
<td>The LMC is able to track the movement of hands using instruments in a MIS box simulator. Construct validity was demonstrated. Concurrent validity was only demonstrated for time and instrument path distance. A number of limitations to the tracking method used by LMC have been identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[118]</td>
<td>To explore the use of the LMC in endonasal pituitary surgery and to compare it with the Phantom Omni.</td>
<td>Comparative study between the LMC and the Phantom Omni.</td>
<td>16 resections of simulated pituitary gland tumors using a robot manipulated by the Phantom Omni and by the LMC.</td>
<td>3 neurosurgeons.</td>
<td>Users were able to achieve a very similar percentage of resection and procedure duration using the LMC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95]</td>
<td>To try to interact with medical images via a web browser using the LMC.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Rotation, panning, scaling and selection of slices of a reconstructed 3D model based on CT or MRI.</td>
<td>1 user.</td>
<td>It is feasible to build this system and interaction can be carried out in real time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>To analyze the value of 2 gesture input modalities (the Myo armband and the LMC) versus 2 clinically established methods (task delegation and joystick control).</td>
<td>User study, Comparative study.</td>
<td>Simulating a diagnostic neuroradiological vascular treatment with 2 frequently used interaction tasks in an experimental operating room.</td>
<td>10 neuroradiologists</td>
<td>Novel input modalities have the potential to carry out single tasks more efficiently than clinically established methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[120]</td>
<td>To investigate the potential of a virtual reality simulator for the assessment of basic laparoscopic skills, based on the LMC</td>
<td>Face and construct validity.</td>
<td>3 basic tasks: camera navigation, instrument navigation, and two-handed operation.</td>
<td>2 groups of surgeons (28 experts and 21 novices).</td>
<td>This study provides evidence of the potential use of the LMC for assessing basic laparoscopic skills. The proposed system allows the dexterity of hand movements to be evaluated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Results/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>To evaluate the feasibility of using 3 different gesture control sensors (MK, the LMC and the Myo armband) to interact in a sterile manner with preoperative data as well as in settings of an integrated operating room during MIS.</td>
<td>Pilot user study.</td>
<td>2 hepatectomies and 2 partial nephrectomies on an experimental porcine model.</td>
<td>3 surgeons</td>
<td>Natural user interfaces are feasible for directly interacting, in a more intuitive and sterile manner, with preoperative images and integrated operating room functionalities during MIS. The combination of the Myo armband and voice commands provided the most intuitive and accurate natural user interface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[127]</td>
<td>To evaluate the LMC as a tool for the objective measurement and assessment of surgical dexterity among users at different experience levels.</td>
<td>Construct validity study.</td>
<td>Surgical knot tying and manual transfer of objects.</td>
<td>11 participants.</td>
<td>The study showed 100% accuracy in discriminating between expert and novice performances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[66]</td>
<td>To design an affordable and easily accessible endoscopic third ventriculostomy simulator based on the LMC, and to compare it with the NeuroTouch for its usability and training effectiveness.</td>
<td>Concurrent and construct validity study.</td>
<td>4 ellipsoid practice targeting tasks and 36 ventricle targeting tasks.</td>
<td>16 novice users and 2 expert neurosurgeons</td>
<td>An easy-access simulator was created, which has the potential to become a training tool and a surgical training assessment tool. This system can be used for planning procedures using patient datasets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[119]</td>
<td>To present the LMC as a novel control device to manipulate the RAVEN-II robot.</td>
<td>Comparative study between the LMC and the electro-mechanical Sigma.7.</td>
<td>Comparison of peg manipulations during a training task with a contact-based device (Sigma.7).</td>
<td>3 operators.</td>
<td>With contactless control, manipulability is not as good as it is with contact-based control. Complete control of the surgical instruments is feasible. This work is promising for the development of future human-machine interfaces dedicated to robotic surgical training systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[98]</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect of using virtual reality surgery on the self-confidence and knowledge of surgical residents (the LMC and Oculus Rift).</td>
<td>Multisite, single-blind, parallel, randomized controlled trial.</td>
<td>The study group used the virtual reality surgery application. The control group used similar content in a standard presentation.</td>
<td>95 residents from 7 dental schools.</td>
<td>Immersive virtual reality experiences improve the knowledge and self-confidence of the surgical residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[97]</td>
<td>To develop and validate a novel training tool for Le Fort I osteotomy based on immersive virtual reality (the LMC and Oculus Rift).</td>
<td>Face and content validity.</td>
<td>A pre-intervention questionnaire to understand training needs and a postintervention feedback questionnaire.</td>
<td>7 consultant oral and maxillofacial surgeons.</td>
<td>The results confirmed the clinical applicability of virtual reality for delivering training in orthognathic surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[70]</td>
<td>To investigate the feasibility and practicability of a low-cost multimodal head-mounted display system in neuroendoscopic surgery (the LMC and Oculus Rift).</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept in the operating room.</td>
<td>Venticulocysto-cisternostomy. Ventriculostomy. Tumoral biopsy.</td>
<td>21 patients with ventricular diseases. 1 neurosurgeon.</td>
<td>The head-mounted display system is feasible, practical, helpful, and relatively cost efficient in neuroendoscopic surgery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aLMC: Leap Motion Controller.
bCT: Computed Tomography.
cMRI: magnetic resonance imaging.
d3D: 3-dimensional.
eMK: Microsoft Kinect.
fMIS: minimally invasive surgery.
Table 3. Summary of included studies evaluating other devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Results/Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>Camera with Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor sensor</td>
<td>To propose an architecture for a real-time multimodal system to provide a touchless user interface in surgery.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Gesture detection in computer-assisted surgery.</td>
<td>The preliminary results show good usability and rapid learning. The average time to click anywhere on the screen was less than 5 seconds. Lighting conditions affected the performance of the system. The surgeon showed strong interest in the system and satisfactorily assessed the use of gestures within the operating room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[82]</td>
<td>Webcam</td>
<td>To describe a vision-based system that can interpret gestures in real time to manipulate objects within a medical data visualization environment.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of medical data (radiology images and selection of medical records) and movement of objects and windows on the screen.</td>
<td>The system implemented in a sterile environment demonstrated performance rates between 95% and 100%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Canon VC-C4 color camera</td>
<td>To describe a vision-based gesture capture system that interprets gestures in real time to manipulate medical images.</td>
<td>Beta testing during a surgical procedure. Experiment.</td>
<td>A beta test of a system prototype was conducted during a live brain biopsy operation, where neurosurgeons were able to browse through MRI images of the patient’s brain using the sterile hand gesture interface.</td>
<td>Gesture recognition accuracy was 96%. For every repeat of trials, the task completion time decreased by 28% and the learning curve levelled off at the 10th attempt. The gestures were learned very quickly and there was a significant decrease in the number of excess gestures. Rotation accuracy was reasonable. The surgeons rated the system as easy to use, with a rapid response, and useful in the surgical environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>Canon VC-C4 camera</td>
<td>To evaluate the Gestix system.</td>
<td>Prototype user testing.</td>
<td>Manipulation of MRI images during a neurosurgical biopsy.</td>
<td>The system setup time was 20 min. The surgeons found the Gestix system easy to use, with a rapid response, and easy to learn. The system does not require the use of wearable devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[59]</td>
<td>Interaction with gestures in general</td>
<td>Fieldwork focusing on work practices and interactions in an angiography suite and on understanding the collaborative work practices in terms of image production and use.</td>
<td>Ethnographic study of minimally invasive image-guided procedures within an interventional radiology department.</td>
<td>Manipulation of radiological images.</td>
<td>The paper discusses the implications of the findings in the work environment for touchless interaction technologies, and suggests that these will be of importance in considering new input techniques in other medical settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[115]</td>
<td>Commercial video camera</td>
<td>To describe the development of Gestonurse, a robotic system for surgical instruments.</td>
<td>Proof-of-concept.</td>
<td>Surgical instrumentation using a robot.</td>
<td>95% of gestures were recognized correctly. The system was only 0.83 seconds slower when compared with the performance of a human instruments handler.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results/Conclusions

Alternative ideas, such as multiple cameras, are the kind of solution that these findings suggest. Such reflections and considerations can be revealed through careful analysis of the spatial organization of activity and proxemics of particular interaction mechanisms. However, it is very important to study current practice in order to speculate about new systems, because they in turn may alter practice.

### Field observations of work practices in neurosurgery.

**Type of study:** Ethnographic study. **Aim:** To understand and use common practices in the surgical setting from a proxemics point of view to uncover implications for the design of touchless interaction systems. The aim is to think of touchlessness in terms of its spatial properties. What does spatial separation imply for the introduction of the touchless control of medical images?

### Touchless interaction systems in general

- **Device:** Touchless interaction systems in general
- **Study:** [65]
- **Intervention:** Field observations of work practices in neurosurgery.

The results showed a resolution of 0.616 mm on each axis of work, linearity and repeatability in motion tracking, as well as automatic detection of the 3D position of the tip of the surgical instruments with sufficient accuracy. The system is a low-cost and portable alternative to traditional instrument tracking devices.

### Recording the movements of the instrument within an imaginary cube.

- **Device:** Webcam
- **Study:** [122]
- **Intervention:** Recording the movements of the instrument within an imaginary cube.

The results showed a resolution of 0.616 mm on each axis of work, linearity and repeatability in motion tracking, as well as automatic detection of the 3D position of the tip of the surgical instruments with sufficient accuracy. The system is a low-cost and portable alternative to traditional instrument tracking devices.

### Pilot user study.

- **Device:** MK, the LMC\(^c\), the Myo armband and voice control
- **Study:** [52]
- **Intervention:** 2 hepatectomies and 2 partial nephrectomies on an experimental porcine model.

Natural user interfaces are feasible for directly interacting, in a more intuitive and sterile manner, with preoperative data as well as in settings of an integrated operating room during MIS. The combination of the Myo armband and voice commands provided the most intuitive and accurate natural user interface.

### User study. Comparative study.

- **Device:** The Myo armband and the LMC
- **Study:** [58]
- **Intervention:** Simulating a diagnostic neuroradiological vascular treatment with 2 frequently used interaction tasks in an experimental operating room.

Novel input modalities have the potential to carry out single tasks more efficiently than clinically established methods.

---

\(^a\)MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

\(^b\)MIS: minimally invasive surgery.

\(^c\)LMC: Leap Motion Controller.
Table 4. Clinical areas and types of surgical intervention in which gesture-based commercial off-the-shelf devices were used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical areas</th>
<th>Types of surgical intervention</th>
<th>Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General surgery (N=7)</td>
<td>Intraoperative image control, image-guided minimally invasive surgery (adrenalectomy, pancreatic tumor, liver resection, a Whipple procedure, as well as liver and pancreatic cancer and renal carcinoma resection), open and laparoscopic bile duct surgery, cholecystectomy, and hepatectomy and nephrectomy in an animal model.</td>
<td>[13,52-57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventional radiology and angiography (N=7)</td>
<td>Arterial dilatation with balloon and umbrella devices, hepatic arterial chemoembolization and selective internal radiation therapy, abdominal computed tomography, and interventional neuroradiology.</td>
<td>[58-64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgery (N=7)</td>
<td>Biopsies, resection of brain gliomas, resection of a meningioma, ventriculostomy, and intraoperative image control.</td>
<td>[26,65-70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic surgery (N=3)</td>
<td>Measurement of breast implant volumes and measurement of distances on the breast surface.</td>
<td>[71-73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedics (N=3)</td>
<td>Intraoperative image control.</td>
<td>[74,75,75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear, nose, and throat (N=1)</td>
<td>Laryngoplasty.</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urology (N=2)</td>
<td>Enucleation of renal tumors and intraoperative image control.</td>
<td>[30,54]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Use of gesture-based commercial off-the-shelf devices in surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation of images in interventional radiology environments or in the operating room (N=42)</td>
<td>[5,13,14,17,26,27,30,52,54,56,58-64,67-69,74,76-95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual or augmented reality for educational or interventional purposes (N=16)</td>
<td>[75,94,96-109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in endoscopy (bronchoscopy and colonoscopy; N=3)</td>
<td>[110-112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotic surgery (N=7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics in surgery and in surgical instrumentation</td>
<td>[113-119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking of hand or instrument movements during open or minimally invasive surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument tracking in MIS(^a) (N=7)</td>
<td>[108,120-125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking of hand movements during MIS (N=2)</td>
<td>[109,126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking of hand movements during open surgical knot tying (N=1)</td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation for skills learning in MIS (N=4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation for motor skills learning in MIS</td>
<td>[66,108,120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using patient-specific 3-dimensional images during MIS in real patients or simulators, and presurgical warm-up</td>
<td>[52,66,70,108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other uses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnographic studies (N=5)</td>
<td>[59,65,78,83,114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of breast implant volumes and measurement of distances on the breast surface (N=3)</td>
<td>[71-73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation of the operating table and lights (N=4)</td>
<td>[128-130]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)MIS: minimally invasive surgery.

Aims, Types of Study, Metrics, Samples, Results and Conclusions

In 78% (67/86) of the articles, the aim was to develop, create, present, describe, propose, examine, or explore a COTS-based system for gesture recognition in surgery. Most of the articles [65] identified in this systematic review were proof-of-concept or prototype user testing and observational and feasibility testing studies (Tables 1-3, see Multimedia Appendices 1-3 for the full Tables 1-3). In the 5 ethnographic studies included, the aim was to identify interactions between the staff and gesture-based COTS systems in interventional radiology departments or in the operating room [19,59,65,78,114]. In 4 studies, the aim was to compare the performance of MK with that of a mouse [5,79,80,96]; in 1 study, it was to compare the performance of the LMC with that of a mouse [81]; and in 4 studies, it was to compare different COTS devices [52,58,77,113]. In 10 studies, the aim was to evaluate face validity [97,120], content validity [97], construct validity [66,110,111,120,121,126,127,132], or concurrent validity of the devices [66,71,121,126]. A total of 7
studies involved experiments [19,26,113,115,122,123,131] and there was 1 patent application for an LMC-based application [124] and 1 interrater reliability study [72]. In addition, 1 study was a quasi-experimental prospective, blinded study with test-retest reliability [121]. Only 2 randomized controlled trials were identified [80,98], and when a tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials [133] was applied to them, it was found to be low in both.

In total, 25 out of 86 (29%) articles failed to describe the metric used, whereas 23 out of 86 (27%) used time as the main one. Given the varied nature of the design of the studies, the remaining 38 articles described multiple metrics such as performance rates, percentage of gesture recognition, accuracy of gesture recognition and/or speed of transmission thereof, measures of volume or distance, and questionnaires or interviews. Similarly, the sample types and numbers were very dissimilar: 17.4% of the articles did not describe the sample type, and the remainder stated that the samples comprised medical or veterinary students or specialists in several radiological or surgical specialties (Table 4).

Interventions
The most common intervention (42 studies) was image manipulation in general radiology, ultrasound imaging, interventional radiology, angiography, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and real-time elastography (in the operating room, in the operative dentistry setting, or in the interventional radiology suites; Tables 1-3; see Multimedia Appendices 1-3 for the full Tables 1-3). Table 5 shows other uses identified for gesture-based COTS devices in surgical environments.

Use of Commercial Off-The-Shelf Devices as Simulation Tools for Motor Skills Teaching in Minimally Invasive Surgery
In the field of skills learning in MIS, in 2013, Pérez et al first described the tracking of laparoscopic instruments using webcams, with encouraging results [122]. From 2016, several authors proposed the interesting possibility of using COTS devices for tracking laparoscopic instruments. Such devices include both the LMC [108,121,123,124] and MK [125]. In 2017, a portable low-cost simulator using the LMC [120] for basic motor skills learning in MIS was described, and so too were a simulator for endoscopic third ventriculostomy learning [66] and a head-mounted display system using Oculus Rift and the LMC to guide neuroendoscopic surgery by manipulating 3D images [70]. Others used the approach of tracking hand movements during MIS training [109,126]. Only 1 study explored the use of the LMC to assess surgical dexterity in tying surgical knots in open surgery [127].

Furthermore, 1 study compared 3 natural user interfaces (MK, the LMC, and the Myo armband) in combination with voice control to perform 2 hepatectomies and 2 partial nephrectomies on an experimental porcine model [52]; similar to the studies by Wright [66] and Xu [70], this study used 3D reconstructions of preoperative images of the patient, which were manipulated by gestures during surgery. However, the application of gesture control technology in these cases is not for training purposes but for surgical assistance and planification.

Discussion
Principal Findings
Using commercial devices to detect manual gestures in surgery is a very topical issue, given the need to manipulate medical images and for real-time 3D reconstructions during procedures without breaking asepsis and antisepsis protocols. Early studies published on this possibility used COTS systems with webcams, Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor-sensor cameras, and commercial digital cameras [26,27,53,82]. These pioneering studies showed that contactless interaction with images and medical information in environments such as operating rooms was possible using low-cost devices.

In this systematic review, MK and the LMC were identified as the most widely used COTS systems. MK was rated as a useful tool for the manipulation of medical data in sterile environments, with a positive rate of acceptance in 85% (39/46) of the studies on it. The LMC had a positive rate of acceptance in 83% (29/35) of the studies on it. The Myo armband was used to manipulate interventional neuroradiology images [58]. In addition, in a comparative study of the Myo armband, MK, and the LMC, they were used to manipulate images while hepatectomies and partial nephrectomies were being performed on an animal model [52]. In both cases, the device was rated highly. The main positive characteristics identified for the devices were the following: there was no need for contact; they were low-cost and portable; there was no need for calibration at the time of use; the gesture learning curve was easy; and the gesture recognition rates were high.

Performance of Individual Devices
MK [30] and the LMC [14,81,87,134,135] both use infrared cameras. The MK system is based on the time-of-flight principle [61], whereas the LMC is based on a sensor for infrared optical tracking with stereo vision accuracy. The MK depth sensor works at a distance between 0.8 m and 3.5 m, and the interface tracks the skeleton of the system operator. The wide range of distances at which the device recognizes gestures presents problems when using it in close interaction. The LMC detects the positions of fine objects such as finger tips or pen tips in a Cartesian plane. Its interaction zone is an inverted cone of approximately 0.23 m² and the motion detection range fluctuates between 20 mm and 600 mm [91,129]. The manufacturer reports an accuracy of 0.01 mm for fingertip detection, although 1 study showed an accuracy of 0.7 mm, which is considered superior to that achieved using MK [134,136]. The dimensions of the MK device are 280 mm (width), 71 mm (depth), and 66 mm (height) and its weight is 556 g, whereas those of the LMC are 76 mm (width), 30 mm (depth), and 13 mm (height) and its weight is 45 g.

Only 5 of the 46 (11%) studies that evaluated MK identified disadvantages relating to a longer latency time, difficulty in recreating an image when compared with a keyboard or mouse [5], limited gesture recognition, interference between the movements of different people in small environments.
Various studies have highlighted the inaccuracy of MK in detecting finger movements \([5, 17, 85, 137]\), and the system also requires the use of large format screens \([14, 24, 54, 85, 90]\). The system was taken off the market in October 2017.

With regard to the LMC, once the 6 studies on robotics had been discarded, 4 articles were identified that presented limitations derived from using the device (18%). These studies noted alterations in performance when there was dirt on the surface of the device, as well as the limited number of gestures recognized owing to the occlusion phenomenon \([87]\), alterations caused by ambient lighting \([129]\), fatigue in some users \([90]\), and a lack of studies validating the device for medical use \([77]\).

The Myo armband was launched in 2013. This wearable wireless device is able to record electromyography via 8 stainless steel dry surface electrodes. It has a 9-axis inertial measurement unit sensor, haptic feedback, and Bluetooth communication capability. The main disadvantage is its limited sampling frequency of 200 Hz \([138-140]\). In total, 2 studies on the Myo armband were identified. The first concluded that the combination of the Myo armband and voice commands provided the most intuitive and accurate natural user interface \([141]\). The second compared the Myo armband and LMC with traditional image manipulation methods in surgery and concluded that the new input modalities had the potential to become more efficient \([58]\).

**Commercial Off-The-Shelf Devices in Robotic Surgery**

Studies on the application of gesture-based COTS devices in robot-assisted surgery failed to demonstrate usefulness, owing to either the high cost of the robotic arm when using commercial cameras in surgical instrumentation \([115]\) or, in the case of the LMC, the need for a more robust Application Programming Interface \([116, 117]\) and the lack of sufficient accuracy and robustness for manipulating a medical robot \([113]\). However, an ethnographic study found that MK was useful for workflow monitoring and for avoiding collisions between medical robots and operating room staff \([114]\). A simulation study of endonasal pituitary surgery comparing the LMC with the Phantom Omni showed that surgeons achieved a very similar percentage of tumor mass resection and procedure duration using the LMC to control the robot \([118]\). Another study found that the robotic tools could be controlled by gestures for training purposes but that the level of control had yet to reach that of a contact-based robotic controller \([119]\).

**Commercial Off-The-Shelf Devices in Training and Simulation**

Studies on the use of COTS devices for gesture-based interfaces using the hand in the field of education in surgery refer to the use of virtual reality and augmented reality for teaching anatomy or for living the immersive experience within a virtual operating room. A total of 3 studies explored the possibility of using MK as a tool for skills learning in bronchoscopy and colonoscopy by means of simulation \([110-112]\).

Various authors explored the possibility of hand tracking \([109, 126]\) or instrument tracking \([108, 121-125]\) using COTS devices to assess performance in MIS training. From these 2 approaches, Lahanas \([120]\) eventually presented a portable low-cost model of a virtual reality simulator for basic motor skills learning in MIS, which was based on the LMC and capable of tracking instruments. The author also presented face and contrast validity studies. The original forceps tracking problems noted by the author were probably because of the fact that they were black. Problems caused by this color were also described in the study by Oropesa. This issue had already been raised by our group \([108]\).

In the field of simulation for robotic surgery learning, the first studies published \([113, 115-117]\) found that the interfaces did not allow robots to be manipulated by gestures. However, the most recent publications \([118, 119]\) have suggested that the LMC could be a low-cost solution for creating control interfaces for surgical robots for the purposes of performing operations or training by means of simulation.

**Ethnographic Studies**

Ethnographic studies \([59, 65, 78, 83, 114]\) deserve a separate mention as they transcend proofs-of-concept and user and prototype testing and approach gesture-based touchless interaction from a holistic viewpoint that includes the social practices of surgery, as well as the way in which medical images and manipulation devices are embedded and made meaningful within the collaborative practices of the surgery \([10]\).

**Requirements for the Future**

There was found to be a shortage of objective validation studies (face validity: 1 study; concurrent validity: 3 studies; construct validity: 3 studies; discriminant validity: none; and predictive validity: none) of the different applications developed and presented as prototypes or proofs-of-concept for use in the clinical or teaching field. In teaching, the field of hand gesture–based interfaces should prioritize the following research objectives: first, to transcend studies on technical feasibility and individual hand gesture–based interaction with medical images so as to tackle the issue systematically within a framework of collaborative discussion, as happens in real surgical environments; and second, to conduct experimental studies in simulated surgical environments that allow hand gestures to be validated as a useful tool for touchless interaction in real operating rooms. To that end, the language of hand gestures for medical use would have to be standardized, so that the surgeons’ cognitive load can be reduced. In turn, algorithms should be developed to allow differentiation between intentional and unintentional gestures (spotting) in the small spaces of the operating room. Finally, the problem of temporal segmentation ambiguity (how to define the gesture start and end points) and that of spatial-temporal variability (gestures can vary significantly from one individual to another) must be resolved.

From the range of evidence found, it is possible to infer that, with regard to the use of COTS devices, there is a very interesting field of study for the development and objective validation (contrast, concurrent, discriminant, and predictive validities) of portable low-cost virtual reality simulators for motor skills learning in MIS and robotic surgery. Such simulators will enable surgeons to do presurgical warm-ups...
anywhere at any time based on 3D reconstructions of specific patients’ images [52,66,70,108]. Thus, surgeons will be able to practice the surgery the night before they are due to perform it from the comfort of their own homes.

Despite the fact that MK was taken off the market in 2017 and that the LMC software only allows tool tracking up to V2 Tracking, the use of interaction with gesture-based virtual environments in the field of simulation identified in this review will enable new COTS devices (ie, the Myo armband) to be explored for skills learning in MIS and robotic surgery.

Limitations
A number of potential methodological limitations in our systematic review should be discussed. First, our inclusion criteria were limited to English-language publications. Second, although we used the most commonly used search engines in the health field (PubMed, EMBASE, ScienceDirect, Espacenet, OpenGrey, and IEEE) and complemented that by using the snowballing technique to identify relevant articles in the results generated by our search, we may have missed a few articles related to our research question. Finally, there may have been some potential for subjectivity in analyzing the findings, although 2 authors carefully reviewed each study independently and then discussed the results while double-checking each process and subsequently resolved any discrepancies through discussions with the third author whenever necessary.

Conclusions
As most of the articles identified in this systematic review are proof-of-concept or prototype user testing and feasibility testing studies, we can conclude that the field is still in the exploratory phase in areas requiring touchless manipulation within environments and settings that must adhere to asepsis and antiseptic protocols, such as angiography suites and operating rooms.

Without doubt, COTS devices applied to hand and instrument gesture–based interfaces in the field of simulation for skills learning and training in MIS could open up a promising field to achieve ubiquitous training and presurgical warm-up.

The withdrawal of MK from the market and suspension of the instrument tracking function in the latest LMC software versions constitute threats to the new developments identified in this review. Nevertheless, gesture-based interaction devices are clearly useful for manipulating images in interventional radiology environments or the operating room and for the development of virtual reality simulators for skills training in MIS and robotic surgery.
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Abstract

Future development of electronic health (eHealth) programs (automated Web-based health interventions) will be furthered if program design can be based on the knowledge of eHealth’s working mechanisms. A promising and pragmatic method for exploring potential working mechanisms is qualitative interview studies, in which eHealth working mechanisms can be explored through the perspective of the program user. Qualitative interview studies are promising as they are suited for exploring what is yet unknown, building new knowledge, and constructing theory. They are also pragmatic, as the development of eHealth programs often entails user interviews for applied purposes (eg, getting feedback for program improvement or identifying barriers for implementation). By capitalizing on these existing (applied) user interviews to also pursue (basic) research questions of how such programs work, the knowledge base of eHealth’s working mechanisms can grow quickly. To be useful, such interview studies need to be of sufficient quality, which entails that the interviews should generate enough data of sufficient quality relevant to the research question (ie, rich data). However, getting rich interview data on eHealth working mechanisms can be surprisingly challenging, as several of the authors have experienced. Moreover, when encountering difficulties as we did, there are few places to turn to, there are currently no guidelines for conducting such interview studies in a way that ensure their quality. In this paper, we build on our experience as well as the qualitative literature to address this need, by describing 5 challenges that may arise in such interviews and presenting methodological tools to counteract each challenge. We hope the ideas we offer will spark methodological reflections and provide some options for researchers interested in using qualitative interview studies to explore eHealth’s working mechanisms.
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The Need to Identify the Working Mechanisms of Automated Electronic Health Programs

Building the next generation of automated electronic health (eHealth) programs will require a shift of attention from the performance of individual programs to a joint effort of understanding eHealth’s working mechanisms [1,2]. The term eHealth is a broad term that may refer to many forms of technological health support; this paper concerns itself with automated Web-based interventions for mental and physical health purposes [3], or eHealth programs. The outcomes of individual eHealth programs are well established; a vast majority of the research published between 1996 and 2013 concerned outcome (74%). However, much fewer publications focused on processes (26%) [4]. Consequently, the working mechanisms that underlie the outcomes of individual interventions are substantially less documented [5-7]. This is not only theoretically unsatisfactory; it is also problematic when it comes to designing new eHealth programs, as there are few, if any, field-specific theories of models that can be used to inform program development.

Instead, the development of eHealth programs often relies on rather static traditional behavior change theories [2] or models from face-to-face therapy [8-11], under the assumption that the principles are transferrable to automated eHealth therapy. However, the interaction between a program user and an automated eHealth program is in many cases not static; many programs include different degrees of interactivity and tailoring [3,5], making traditional behavior change theories potentially unsuitable [1,2]. On the other hand, using models from face-to-face therapy may not be appropriate either, as automated eHealth therapy by definition does not involve human contact. As automated eHealth programs are neither static nor involve human contact, it is possible (or even likely) that the way they achieve their effects is not explained with established theories and models [1,2]. This suggests a need for research that can identify eHealth’s working mechanisms, knowledge on which it is possible to build eHealth-specific theories and models.

Qualitative Interviews: A Promising and Pragmatic Method for Studying Electronic Health Programs’ Working Mechanisms

eHealth’s working mechanisms can be studied using various methods, but a promising and pragmatic venue of investigation is the qualitative interview, that is, “professional conversations (…) where knowledge is constructed in the interaction between the interviewer and the interviewee (…) about a theme of mutual interest” [12]. The qualitative interview is a promising method for investigating eHealth working mechanisms as it grants unique access to participants’ experiences and as it is especially suited to explore what is unknown [13]. Providing a means to explore the unknown makes qualitative interviews a potent method for generating new knowledge and theory [14,15], and some interview studies have already demonstrated their potential for uncovering important insights about the processes that may be involved in automated eHealth therapy [16-19].

The qualitative interview is also a pragmatic research method, as many researchers already conduct interviews with program users as part of an applied research goal (developing or implementing an intervention). In the process of conducting interviews with program users, a researcher may become intrigued by a more basic research question and may perhaps consider the pragmatic solution of pursuing both the applied and the basic research goal in the same interviews by simply adding questions to the existing interview guide. We believe that such studies mixing basic and applied research goals have the potential of becoming an important asset to the field, by accumulating knowledge on more general issues that may help us understand how eHealth therapy works.

However, to become such an asset, the interviews conducted in these studies should provide what in qualitative methodology is known as thick descriptions or rich data [20,21]. Rich data are usually considered a requirement for a valid qualitative analysis, and the concept signifies having enough data of sufficient quality relevant to the research question, including both variation (ie, data breadth) as well as details and nuances (ie, data depth) [22,23]. Data that are not rich—that lack in breadth or depth—might threaten the study’s quality or the potential reach of its conclusions. Thus, getting rich data that inform the research question is an important aspect of a qualitative study. In the case of qualitative interviews, producing rich data means conducting interviews in a way that makes the participants spend a lot of time talking about the aspects that are central to the investigation, including both breadth and depth in their descriptions. This may seem straightforward, but it can be surprisingly difficult in practice.

Difficult in Practice: The Case of a Study on a Person-To-Program Alliance

The reflections that are presented in this viewpoint paper arose from some of the authors’ experiences with a specific interview study [24] (in review), the aim of which was to explore a potential person-to-program alliance. The study in question included the development of an alliance-supporting program [25], and in an early study phase, the interviews had both an applied and a basic purpose: the applied purpose was getting feedback for program improvement, and the basic purpose was exploring how the participants related to the program. By exploring how participants related to the program, we hoped to achieve a better understanding of a person-to-program alliance [26-28] as a potential eHealth working mechanism. However, it was surprisingly difficult to conduct interviews that would yield rich data on how the participants related to the program, and the initial interviews resulted in scant data to answer the research question. This left us with 3 options: (1) answering the basic research question with scant data, which would limit the conclusions we could draw, (2) abandoning the basic research question as unanswerable, or (3) trying to generate richer data by changing the way the interviews were being conducted.
We opted for trying to improve the interview method; however, we found no guidelines within the field of eHealth for how to conduct high-quality qualitative interview studies on potential eHealth working mechanisms. Therefore, we started looking more closely at the interviews we had conducted, asking ourselves what had gone wrong. This process led to the identification of a handful of problems that we believed were likely to have contributed to the difficulties in getting rich data on how the participants related to the program. As we started defining these problems, we discovered that we had also encountered several of them in other eHealth studies we had been involved in [29-33], and we therefore believed they could be relevant beyond the specific study we were currently engaged in.

We wanted our experiences to be of benefit to other researchers with similar agendas and interests, and we therefore sought to describe the problems we had encountered in a way that would maximize their generalizability. Thus, through discussion among ourselves and with other researchers, we conceptualized 5 interview challenges: achieving a joint understanding of the interview topic, keeping participants from straying off the focus of enquiry, aiding recall of specific program experiences, avoiding negative influence of the social interview situation, and structuring the dual-aim interview. Having identified the challenges, we consulted the literature on qualitative methodology to identify methodological tools to counteract each challenge.

Returning to the study that had started this process [24], we changed the interview method to include some of the tools we had identified. This markedly enhanced the quality of subsequent interviews, producing rich data to answer how the participants related to the eHealth program as well as whether this way of relating influenced change. Thus, although the original interview method generated scant data on the basic research question, the revised interview methodology led to interviews that could answer the same research question with rich data.

In short, although it seemed a pragmatic solution to use already-planned interviews to pursue the answer to a basic eHealth research question, we experienced that getting rich data on the basic research question was challenging. In the absence of guidelines for conducting high-quality qualitative interviews specifically adapted to the field of eHealth, the process we entered into led to an enhanced methodological awareness and specific methodological tools for increasing study quality. The main focus of this paper is to share the identified challenges and tools with the research community. However, before doing so, we will offer what we consider to be a handy heuristic for understanding some of these methodological challenges: the invisible interaction between eHealth program and program user.

**A Handy Heuristic: The Invisible Interaction**

We suggest that a person’s interaction with any health intervention can be visualized in terms of a triangle, which includes the individual help seeker, the intervention, and the behavior change processes (Figure 1, adapted from Moen and Middelthon’s discussion of interviews) [34]. A health intervention’s working mechanisms can be conceptualized as how the interaction between the person and the health intervention influences the person’s internal change processes. The interaction, in turn, can be described as a combination of the interaction’s content (the what of the interaction) and the interactional processes (the how of the interaction). For example, psychotherapy’s working mechanisms can be described as the therapy sessions’ influence on the client’s internal change processes. The therapy sessions, in turn, can be described as comprising 2 main elements: their content (eg, the topic discussed) and the interactional processes, when and how often interaction is initiated, how the interaction unfolds, how the next interaction is initiated, and so on.

However, interventions may differ according to how much the 2 interacting parties—the person and the intervention—influence the interactional content and the interactional processes. In the case of psychotherapy, both the client and the therapist highly influence both components of the interaction. Taking another example, a person reading a self-help book is also interacting with a health intervention: things also act, and people interact with them, in that the properties of a thing influence how a course of action involving that thing unfolds [35]. The working mechanisms of a self-help book can therefore also be described in terms of the interactional content and the interactional processes. However, the relative influence of the 2 interacting agents (reader and book) differ from the case of psychotherapy. The book decides the interactional content, although the reader largely decides the interactional processes: when and how often interaction is initiated (when to read again), how the interaction unfolds (what to read in what sequence), how the next interaction is initiated (picking up the book), and so on.

Considering the working mechanisms of an eHealth program, many programs will influence both the interactional content and the interactional processes. As with a self-help book, the interactional content will usually to a large extent be decided by the program. Moreover, just as a self-help book, the program is a thing, and many people are likely to think of things such as computer programs as inanimate objects with content. Indeed, the most prominent feature of eHealth programs is their content [3,10], even though they also may substantially influence the interactions with the user [3]. For example, eHealth programs may influence when and how often the interaction takes place (eg, through reminders to log on), how the interaction unfolds (eg, by responding with tailoring to user input), how the next interaction is initiated (eg, through invitation), and so on [5,10]. Some of these interactional processes may not be experienced directly by the individual user, for example, in the case of tailoring, the program may be adapted specifically to the user’s input, but she or he nevertheless only sees 1 version of the program, masking the actual interaction. In sum, although eHealth programs may have a substantial influence on the interactional processes, the average program users may primarily focus on their content and think of them as inanimate objects that do not interact. In other words, to the user, the interaction with the program can be largely invisible (Figure 2).
The invisible interaction is a useful heuristic when considering the challenges of interview studies for exploring eHealth’s working mechanisms. We previously stated that an asset of qualitative interviews is their potential to explore eHealth working mechanisms from the program user’s perspective. However, from this perspective, part of the program’s working mechanisms—the interactional processes—are maybe invisible to the participant, unless she or he purposefully directs his or her attention toward them. In other words, being largely invisible, the interactional processes may not be part of the participant’s conscious experience that she or he is ready to share in an interview. This may create or contribute to certain challenges with exploring eHealth working mechanisms through interviews. We will now present 5 such challenges and suggest methodological tools to counteract them.

**Figure 1.** Working mechanisms of a behavior change intervention.
Interview Challenges and Tools

Achieving a Joint Understanding

When a researcher sets out to explore a potential eHealth working mechanism in an interview, it may be difficult to achieve a joint understanding of the interview topic together with the participant. For example, as mentioned previously, in the study that was the starting point for this paper, the researchers were interested in understanding how the participants related to the program [24]. The interview guide comprised mainly of descriptive interview questions—questions that ask the participant to describe a specific experience, which are usually recommended to get close to the participants’ own experience [13]. Examples of descriptive interview questions were could you tell me what you thought and felt the first time you used the program and could you describe the role the program has had in your quit attempt (the program was for helping people quit smoking). However, the researchers struggled with superficial answers that did not seem to reveal anything about how the participants related to the program (such as I thought the program was fine) until 1 participant called the program a secret friend. The researchers were puzzled. Was this person’s program experience unique? Why did other participants not talk about the program in this way at all? However, apart from a few statements similar to this one, the interviews were not generating data to answer the research question of how the participants related to the program.
Failure to get rich data on a research question may indicate a marginal phenomenon—or that the interviewer is failing to communicate the focus of enquiry in a way that facilitates joint understanding with the participant. We believe it is a truism that experience is multifaceted and that an experience can be described from many perspectives. For example, a client may describe a therapy session from a factual perspective of when and where it took place, from an experiential perspective of his or her emotions before, during, and after the session, from a historical perspective of the session as a stage in his or her spiritual development, and so on. If the interviewer’s questions are mostly descriptive, there may be a scarcity of cues concerning which perspective to assume, leaving the decision up to the participant—and the participant’s choice may not be the researcher’s choice. This may be especially challenging in studies on eHealth working mechanisms, as the interactional processes may not be part of the participant’s conscious experience. Therefore, descriptive questions asking for the participant’s program experiences will perhaps not cause him or her to talk about the (invisible) person-program interaction but rather about the program as a thing with a content. On the other side of the conversation, the interviewer may fear that more direct questions onto the focus of enquiry will put words in the participant’s mouth and disqualify any subsequent answer. An interviewer can use several methodological tools to foster a joint understanding of the interview topic with the participant. One such tool is vignettes: vivid, exemplifying prose stories that guide the conversation toward a particular aspect of the participant’s experience [36-38]. The interview vignette is constructed before the interviews and included in the interview guide. The vignette can be constructed on the basis of a participant account, on relevant literature, or on the researcher’s current understanding of the processes under study. The interviewer might introduce the vignette by saying that she or he wants to share a story with the participant. After recounting the vignette, the interviewer can ask for the participant’s reactions and ask follow-up questions (eg, if the participant has experienced anything similar or can provide a different perspective). Using several vignettes in the same interview can be a useful way of illustrating different perspectives on the research topic. This will implicitly communicate to the participant that all answers are acceptable, ensuring that the vignettes function to guide the conversation but not restrict the answers [36,38].

Another and more direct way of fostering a joint understanding of the interview topic is to involve the participants as coresearchers, or using epistemic interviewing [13,14,39,40]. In traditional qualitative interviewing, participants describe their subjective experiences and the data are analyzed and interpreted afterward by the researcher [13,14]. In contrast, a coresearcher design entails that the researcher shares his or her current understanding of the research topic and asks for the participant’s views, and the research questions are investigated in collaboration. Involving participants as coresearchers also changes the roles of the interviewer, who becomes a sort of participant contributing with his or her perspective. This joint exploration entails that much of the analysis and validation is done in the interview [14,39].

A final tool to clarify and exhaust the interview topic is to ensure the possibility of conducting follow-up interviews [41]. A follow-up interview gives both the interviewer and the participant an opportunity to reflect on what was talked about in the first interview, allowing new insights or aspects to emerge [22]. It also gives the researcher an opportunity to clarify questions or test interpretations with the participant directly [39], giving more nuanced data and enhancing validity.

Coresearcher design and vignettes foster clearer communication, but they may also threaten the study’s validity if the researcher holds on to his or her initial assumptions about the studied process, failing to acknowledge unexpected perspectives. To ensure that these tools strengthen and not hamper the quality of the study, the researcher should adopt what in psychotherapy is known as the beginners mind: remaining curious and receptive, open to all possibilities [42-44]. Furthermore, the interviewer must throughout the research process practice reflexivity, that is, considering how she or he may be affecting the study with “(…) thoughtful, conscious self-awareness” [44]. Reflexivity about, for example, preunderstandings, motivations, and the influence of previous experiences can lead to important insights [43,44]. Reflexive insights that could be of importance for analysis should be documented (eg, through memos or notes) [15,43,44]. Finally, documented reflections should be made part of the analysis and be made explicit to the reader [44].

Keeping Participants From Straying off the Focus of Enquiry

To allow time for joint exploration of the person-program interaction, it is necessary to limit the interview time spent on matters that are not at the core of the research question. Returning to Figure 2 and the triangle of program, user, and behavior change, the relative importance of each triangle endpoint will vary according to the research question: some parts of the triangle will be the focus of enquiry, whereas the other parts will be contextual. For example, in 1 study [33] (paper under preparation), the researchers interviewed patients who had gambling problems and had used a Web-based referral site to connect with problem gambling services. The focus of enquiry was their use of the website; the gambling problems were the context. However, the interviewer struggled with keeping the conversation focused on the website, as participants talked mostly about their personal history with gambling problems. When asked about their experiences with the website, they appeared to feel alienated and at a loss. Consequently, there was a lot of interview data on the participants’ behavior change efforts—but little data on their use of the website.

If the participants continuously stray off the focus of enquiry by spending time on contextual aspects, it can threaten the data richness. Aspects that are contextual to the researcher may be aspects the participant wants to share or aspects she or he believes to be important to the investigation. The interviewer may try to lead the conversation back onto the focus of enquiry, but the participant may return to the contextual aspects, turning the interview into a battle over topic. Apart from being unpleasant for both, the result may be scant data on the focus of enquiry. When the focus of enquiry is potential eHealth working mechanisms, the invisible interaction may add to the
challenge of straying off the topic. As the participant may be largely unaware of the interactional processes, she or he will instead talk about the aspects of which she or he is aware: the change processes (in isolation of the program) or the program (in isolation of the change processes). Information about the behavior change and about the program is certainly relevant contextual information, but talking about these aspects in isolation should not dominate the interview.

The interview conversation can be kept from straying off the research topic by using in-interview questionnaires to keep contextual answers short. The questionnaire can include questions addressing contextual issues (eg, How long have you been worried about your gambling? Or Have you tried restricting how much you gamble before?), together with any other questions that might serve as relevant analytic background (eg, demographics). The interviewer may fill out the questionnaire together with the participant at a suiting point during the interview. Using a piece of paper to fill out the answers will help keep the answers short, by providing limited space and communicating a wish for answers that the interviewer can write down. Short contextual answers will in turn leave more time for the focus of enquiry.

Aiding Recall of Specific Program Experiences

Sometimes participants may not recall program experiences in sufficient detail to answer the interviewer’s questions. In the study that inspired this paper [24], the interviewer asked the participants to tell her about a program session they remembered especially well, thinking that she would use this session as a starting point for further descriptive interview questions [13]. To her surprise, several participants who were still active program users and had completed most sessions up until the time of the interview had difficulties remembering any particular program session at all.

Recalling specific program experiences may be challenging as although participants may be active program users at the time of the interview, they are not engaging with the program at that particular moment (unless you are combining the interview with a think-aloud-technique, discussed below) [45]. That means that to talk about program experiences, the participants must retrieve memories. However, program sessions may be short, and the participants are likely to use the program in between their other daily business. Consequently, program use may not be encoded as distinct episodic memories to begin with [46]; rather, these memories may be intertwined with other memories of everyday life. Thus, if the interviewer asks the participant to describe a program session, his or her question may not contain the right memory cues [47] to trigger memories of program use, and the participant may seemingly not recall any sessions at all. The invisible interaction may amplify this problem: if the participant is unaware of the program influencing the interaction, these program aspects will be even more difficult to retrieve on demand.

There are, however, methodological tools to amend the problem with recall in the interview situation: 1 such tool is to get live access to the person-program interaction through the think-aloud procedure [45]. In the think-aloud procedure, the participants go through (parts of) the program during the interview as the interviewer instructs the participant to think aloud, reporting all thoughts without censoring them. The interviewer should not interrupt the participant’s flow of thoughts, and follow-up questions should be saved for after the think-aloud procedure is completed [45]. However, there are some limitations to this approach: unless the program comprises just 1 website or session, the researcher cannot use the think-aloud procedure to go through all program content, requiring him or her to select the most relevant sessions. Furthermore, when the focus of enquiry is working mechanisms within the invisible person-program interaction, the interviewer’s presence may draw attention from the program’s role in the interaction, adding to its invisibility. However, if these issues do not apply, the think-aloud procedure can enable a researcher to study possible eHealth working mechanisms as they happen, potentially removing the problem of recall.

Another tool for aiding recall is asking memory-facilitating interview questions. If program experiences have not been encoded as specific episodic memories, the interviewer’s phrasing of questions becomes increasingly important, as the words she or he uses will influence the participant’s memory-retrieval process by serving as memory cues [47]. The interviewer’s choice of words can be guided by mapping the participant’s program habits early in the interview. Knowledge of program habits can in turn be used to phrase questions in ways that contain memory cues; reflecting what the participant was doing before using the program, where she or he was, and his or her emotional state at the time of the experience [47]. Such memory-facilitating interview questions may help the participant disentangle the recall of program experiences from everyday life.

As a final note on program recall, it may not be necessary for the participant to remember any particular program session at all; the researcher must consider what level of detail is necessary to answer the research questions meaningfully. For some research questions, the sum of program experiences may be more important than any particular experience. If so, using the interview to discuss the participant’s overall experience with the program can be more meaningful than facilitating recall of specific sessions [22].

Avoiding Negative Influence of the Social Interview Situation

All interviews are also social situations, and aspects of the social situation will influence the data [48]. In 1 of the interviews from the study that inspired this paper [24], a female interviewer interviewed a male participant, with the goal of understanding how he related to the eHealth program he had used. The interview was brief and disappointing; the participant’s answers were short, and the topic was exhausted quickly. It was not until later that the interviewer became aware that she had been afraid of the participants judgment; that he would perceive her as a typical woman, valuing emotions (interactional processes; relating to the program) over facts (the program content). This subconscious fear had caused her to rush through the questions (which she during the interview had found awkward), partly answering some of them on behalf of the participant and ending the interview early.
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Gender stereotypes are not the only potential social disturbances in an interview—other social roles may be prominent, and within eHealth research, the interviewer may be particularly prone to be perceived as an interviewer or clinician or interviewer or developer. Perceiving the interviewer as also a clinician may cause the participant to think of him or her as a therapeutic interactional partner and to be less attentive to the therapeutic agency of the eHealth program. Similarly, perceiving the interviewer as also a program developer may highlight the program as a thing made by someone else, making it more difficult to see the program’s role as a therapeutic agent—or cause the participant to self-censor negative experiences, as 1 of the authors experienced in 2 different studies [29,31]. In both cases, the interviewer’s presence may cause the participant to think of the interviewer as the interacting agent, pushing the experience of the program as an interacting agent to the background and adding to the interaction’s invisibility. In sum, the social interview situation may cause the participant to talk differently about his or her program experiences than she or she would have otherwise. The consequence of this may be less rich data, or data that do not correctly represent the participant’s experience.

The potentially negative influence of the social interview situation can be counteracted with methodological tools. The researcher acknowledging the potential negative influence of roles and stereotypes, both before and after the interviews, can minimize their negative effect. Before an interview, researchers should reflect on potentially salient social aspects and whether something should be done about them [43]. If circumstances can make the interviewer appear as a clinician or a program developer, the interviewer may try to change these circumstances beforehand, for example, by changing the interview location or considering how to dress or talk. Alternatively, these issues can be addressed explicitly in the beginning of the interview, clarifying the interviewer’s role [19]. During the interview, the interviewer should try to monitor the social exchange [43], making notes of elements that may be impacting the conversation. After the interview, anything that might be of importance to the analysis should be documented [44]. These notes should be included somewhere easily accessible (eg, in the interview transcript or in a separate document) and analyzed as data that might inform, confirm, or qualify the analysis. Regarding the danger of additionally concealing the invisible interaction through the social exchange between the interviewer and the participant, the interviewer can try to arrange the interview situation so that it includes all 3 as potential agents: the participant, the program, and the interviewer [34].

Finally, it is important to acknowledge that although the social interview situation may sometimes be a negative influence on the data, it can also be an asset. Through the interviewer’s reflexivity, the social situation may generate insights that would otherwise be missed. The interview in which the interviewer had rushed through the questions as she feared being labeled an emotional woman was considered as empirical material highlighting a possibly relevant aspect of how people relate to a program, namely, that relating to a program may go against social norms and produce feelings of embarrassment (in this case, as felt by the interviewer).

**Structuring the Dual-Aim Interview**

It was mentioned in the introduction that qualitative interviews are pragmatic for exploring potential eHealth working mechanisms as the development or implementation of eHealth programs often entail user interviews anyway. Therefore, researchers who are interested in exploring potential eHealth working mechanisms may do so through existing interviews with applied purposes. However, when applied and basic research goals are mixed like this in the same interview study, it may create an additional challenge in getting rich data on the basic research question. In the study that inspired this paper [24], early interviews had both an applied research goal (getting feedback for improving the program) and a basic research goal (understanding how the participants related to the program as a potential eHealth working mechanism). The interview guide started with questions addressing possible sources for program improvement (participants’ likes or dislikes, specific program elements). Toward the end of the interview guide, questions on how the participants related to the program gradually increased in number (Has the program ever made you happy? Have you ever been upset by the program?). However, most participants answered interview questions on how they related to the program briefly and superficially, resulting in scant data.

Mixing applied and basic research aims can be problematic as different aims may require different interviewing modes. For the interviewer, changing from an applied interviewing mode to a basic interviewing mode will involve changing the point of his or her focal attention, that is, what to listen for and which follow-up questions to ask. For the participant, changing interviewing modes will involve changing how she or he is expected to answer, from talking more superficially about the breadth of his or her program experiences (applied mode) to talking in depth about a few aspects (basic mode). If the transition between the different modes is not explicit to the participant, she or he may answer interview questions with the wrong mindset—basic interview questions as if they were applied questions or applied interview questions as if they were basic. Unclear transitions may also cause the interviewer to miss important leads in the participant’s answers because of the need to split his or her attention between the 2 research questions. The invisible interaction may exacerbate this challenge: in applied research, the program is treated as a thing, whereas in the search for basic working mechanisms, the program can be considered an interacting agent. An unclear transition between applied and basic research goals may make it more difficult for the participant to take the perspective of the program as an agent influencing the interaction.

Interviews with both applied and basic research aims may serve both aims through topical blocks and clear introductions. The transition can be facilitated by structuring the interview in topical blocks [49]: one covering the applied research question, another covering the basic research question. Topical blocks enable the interviewer to focus on 1 research question at a time, facilitating active listening and choosing following-up questions. The topical blocks should be kept separate; therefore, if the participant says something relevant for research question number 1 in the topical block of research question number 2, the interviewer’s follow-up questions on this should be saved for
the respective topical block. Furthermore, the transition between the different topical blocks should be made explicit through small introductions: first, a general introduction to the interview along with a presentation of the topical blocks, then separate introductions preceding each topical block. The introductions can even specify the interviewing modes and what the researcher expects of the participant in each section, for example, that the applied topical block involves factual questions and answers, whereas the basic topical block involves a co-researcher design with joint exploration. Providing the interview with structure and appropriate introductions helps both the participant and the interviewer into the right frame of mind, moving from 1 research question to another. In addition, structuring the dual-aim interview into topical blocks ensures that both research questions are being covered, instead of leaving this overview for analysis.

Concluding Thoughts

Conducting qualitative interviews is a promising and pragmatic approach for identifying the working mechanisms of automated eHealth programs. Existing user interviews for applied purposes can be used to also pursue basic research questions on eHealth working mechanisms. Researchers planning to conduct user interviews for applied purposes would be wise to ensure the possibility to pursue research questions concerning potential eHealth working mechanisms by including this purpose in the study information provided to ethics boards and prospective participants. However, getting rich data on eHealth working mechanisms through qualitative interviews may be challenging. In this paper, we suggest that challenges may arise partly due to what we have described as the invisible interaction: that eHealth programs affect the program users' change processes through their content and how they influence the person-program interaction, but that their influence on the interaction is largely invisible to the user. We have described 5 interview challenges and suggested tools from qualitative methodology to counteract each challenge. These tools may serve as a step toward a set of guidelines for conducting interview studies on eHealth working mechanisms, with the goal of generating rich data that will improve the quality and reach of the findings. Findings from high-quality interview studies can in turn be used to build more general, theoretical knowledge about the working mechanisms of automated eHealth programs. Through theorizing the general working mechanisms of eHealth interventions, we believe that the next generation of eHealth programs can be developed to fully take advantage of this medium's potential.
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Abstract

Background: Suicide is a great public health challenge. Two hundred million people attempt suicide in China annually. Existing suicide prevention programs require the help-seeking initiative of suicidal individuals, but many of them have a low motivation to seek the required help. We propose that a proactive and targeted suicide prevention strategy can prompt more people with suicidal thoughts and behaviors to seek help.

Objective: The goal of the research was to test the feasibility and acceptability of Proactive Suicide Prevention Online (PSPO), a new approach based on social media that combines proactive identification of suicide-prone individuals with specialized crisis management.

Methods: We first located a microblog group online. Their comments on a suicide note were analyzed by experts to provide a training set for the machine learning models for suicide identification. The best-performing model was used to automatically identify posts that suggested suicidal thoughts and behaviors. Next, a microblog direct message containing crisis management information, including measures that covered suicide-related issues, depression, help-seeking behavior and an acceptability test, was sent to users who had been identified by the model to be at risk of suicide. For those who replied to the message, trained counselors provided tailored crisis management. The Simplified Chinese Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count was also used to analyze the users’ psycholinguistic texts in 1-month time slots prior to and postconsultation.

Results: A total of 27,007 comments made in April 2017 were analyzed. Among these, 2786 (10.32\%) were classified as indicative of suicidal thoughts and behaviors. The performance of the detection model was good, with high precision (.86), recall (.78), F-measure (.86), and accuracy (.88). Between July 3, 2017, and July 3, 2018, we sent out a total of 24,727 direct messages to 12,486 social media users, and 5542 (44.39\%) responded. Over one-third of the users who were contacted completed the questionnaires included in the direct message. Of the valid responses, 89.73\% (1259/1403) reported suicidal ideation, but more than half (725/1403, 51.67\%) reported that they had not sought help. The 9-Item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) mean score was 17.40 (SD 5.98). More than two-thirds of the participants (968/1403, 69.00\%) thought the PSPO approach was acceptable. Moreover, 2321 users replied to the direct message. In a comparison of the frequency of word usage in their microblog posts 1-month before and after the consultation, we found that the frequency of death-oriented words significantly declined while the frequency of future-oriented words significantly increased.

Conclusions: The PSPO model is suitable for identifying populations that are at risk of suicide. When followed up with proactive crisis management, it may be a useful supplement to existing prevention programs because it has the potential to increase the accessibility of antisuicide information to people with suicidal thoughts and behaviors but a low motivation to seek help.
suicide identification; crisis management; machine learning; microblog direct message; social network; Chinese young people

Introduction

Approximately one million people die by suicide globally every year. Aside from being a great challenge to public health, suicide also causes significant economic losses and aggravates labor shortages. It is estimated that by 2020, approximately 1.53 million people will die from suicide annually, and the number of people who attempt suicide will be 10 to 20 times greater [1]. Suicide is the leading cause of death among young people aged between 15 and 29 years [2]. In China, 200 million people attempt suicide annually, with two-thirds aged between 15 and 34 years [3]. Suicide prevention is thus crucial, particularly for young people.

Current suicide prevention methods practiced globally include school-based screening, screening by a primary care provider, and gatekeeper training, all of which are methods that are targeted at the general population and involve passively waiting for people to be in need [4]. However, many studies have found that because most people experiencing suicidal thoughts and behaviors tend not to participate in the aforementioned activities and have low motivation to seek help, existing methods have a rather weak effect on suicide prevention [5-8]. For example, only 17% of suicidal people in low-income countries, such as China, receive treatment in a timely manner [6]. The main reasons for not seeking help include the lack of a perceived need for services, high self-reliance, stigma, and structural factors such as time and cost [6-8]. However, the subjective judgment of at-risk individuals may not be good, and a high self-reliant tendency may lead to severe depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation among young people [9]. The passive suicide approach needs suicidal cases to actively seek help [10], such as the Columbia suicide screening program in which suicidal students filled in surveys in schools to get help [11]. In contrast, a proactive approach for suicide prevention, in which the program itself takes the initiative to identify suicidal people and invite them to use specific services, may increase the likelihood of service usage for the hidden people [10].

As in most developing countries, mental health care in China is at an early stage of development [12]. Moreover, because of China’s large population and uneven distribution of resources, it is hard to implement school-based screening or maintain primary care provider screenings nationally [13,14]. Gatekeeper training is still at an early stage [15], and thus new suicide prevention methods are urgently needed.

The internet has been used to manage suicide-prone crises [18,19], more effort is needed to prevent suicide. Previous studies have used the internet simply as a platform, and this means that they suffered from the same shortcomings as traditional prevention methods.

Half of the Chinese population uses the internet. Approximately two-fifths (40.9%) of China’s netizens use the Sina microblog, the Chinese version of Twitter [20]. Microbloggers (users of microblogs) can post microblog posts publicly, similar to Twitter. They can also send direct messages to other users that can be seen by the sender and receiver exclusively. Microbloggers can follow other users, along with replying to, commenting on, reposting, or liking others’ posts. An average of 139 million new posts are generated on the Sina microblog daily, with most (82%) microbloggers being under 30 years old [21]. These phenomena provide opportunities to prevent young people dying from suicide in China because existing findings suggest that young people feel they can freely discuss suicide-related topics on social networks [22,23]. The challenge is that the proportion of suicide posts is extremely low, making it nearly impossible to identify them manually, and that is why researchers have called for more efforts to build automated or semiautomated suicide ideation detectors to facilitate the provision of timely help and support to people at risk of suicide [24]. Suicidal people need to first be identified, and suicide identification and prevention can be carried out with the assistance of machine learning models.

To our knowledge, no research has previously been undertaken on the combination of proactive identification of suicidal individuals via social media and specialized crisis management. Moreover, a growing number of studies have demonstrated that (1) there is a shortage of online suicide prevention approaches [25], (2) unidirectional monologic suicide prevention information distributed by professional institutions is insufficient and more dialogic communication is needed between professionals and people at risk of suicide [26], (3) suicidal statuses can be detected from human language [27], and (4) a large improvement (such as enhancement of recall) can be achieved by applying machine learning algorithms to suicide identification [17]. Because language is an explicit behavior that indicates human mental status, people with suicide ideation are more likely to talk about suicide than people without suicide ideation [28]. Recently, researchers have started to use online longitudinal data to evaluate certain improvements after receiving psychosocial support services [29,30]. For example, people who used more future tense words online were found to have benefited more from online social support [31]. Previous studies have shown that higher future orientation is associated with less suicide ideation [32,33]. In our view, a reduction in death-oriented language and an increase in future-oriented language may serve as indicators for reduced suicide risk.
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We proposed a new internet-based approach, Proactive Suicide Prevention Online (PSPO), for the identification and prevention of suicidal thoughts and behaviors (see Figure 1). We identified a microblog group online and manually annotated their comments on a suicide note to train a machine learning model. Next, the model was used to automatically identify posts that suggested suicidal thoughts and behaviors. We proactively provided crisis management in the form of emotional and informational support to microbloggers identified as at risk. Finally, we used the language changes in their posts as criteria to evaluate the efficacy of the PSPO approach. Based on the research gaps summarized above, we aimed to evaluate (1) the performance of PSPO in identifying high-risk social media users with suicidal thoughts and behaviors, (2) the acceptability of a proactive approach that offered help to social media users with suicidal thoughts and behaviors, (3) the improvement of PSPO in prompting suicidal social media users to seek help in comparison with traditional suicide prevention methods, and (4) the efficacy of PSPO for suicidal social media users in terms of changes in their language use (ie, reduced death-oriented words and increased future-oriented words).

**Methods**

**Data Collection**

**Identifying At-Risk Individuals**

A microblogger, Zoufan, died by suicide due to depression on March 17, 2012, with her suicide arousing wide attention online. Since her death, her blog has become a “secret garden” where suicidal people share their feelings and thoughts. By July 24, 2018, more than 1.3 million comments had been posted on her online suicide note, and many of them contained suicide information. This microblog group comprises people with and without suicide ideation. We analyzed the comments left on Zoufan’s online suicide note. The official Sina microblog application programming interface was used to obtain comments posted from April 1 to 28, 2017, which were manually annotated as the training set. Comments posted from July 3, 2017, to July 3, 2018, were obtained and automatically identified by the developed machine learning model. Further details regarding the procedures used for building the machine learning model (eg, the coding system for suicidal thoughts and behaviors in posts, feature selection for the machine learning models) are provided in Data Analysis.
Providing Crisis Management

All microbloggers who were identified by the machine learning model as expressing suicidal thoughts and behaviors were invited to join the study via direct message. There were no exclusion criteria because we aimed to reach out and provide support to as many suicidal social media users as possible.

The direct message, designed in our previous study [34], included (1) a brief introduction to the project; (2) URLs for assessment protocols on suicidal thoughts and behaviors, depressive symptoms, and help-seeking behaviors; (3) emotional support (empathy, recommendations such as having regular physical exercise and a healthy diet, and encouragement); (4) informational support (the URL for this study, along with referrals to hospitals and hotline services); and (5) details regarding the availability of one-to-one counseling by contacting counselors via direct messaging (see Multimedia Appendix 1).

If a user replied to the direct message, counselors provided support that was targeted to the user’s specific problem. Twelve certified counselors (2 men and 10 women, mean age 23.08 [SD 1.08] years) with experience in handling suicidal cases were trained to provide counseling services through direct messaging.

Direct Message Assessment Protocol

Suicidal thoughts and behaviors were tested with the use of two items chosen based on previous research [35] and the 9-Item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [36]. The two items were “Do you have a plan to commit suicide?” and “Have you ever attempted suicide?” Participants responded with binary choices (yes/no), and if the answer was yes to the first item, they were required to indicate whether they had a specific or vague plan. A sample item on the PHQ-9 would be “having little interest or pleasure in doing things.” Participants rated the frequency of the 9 symptoms over the past 2 weeks on a 4-point Likert scale (0 = not at all, 3 = nearly every day), and the total score of the PHQ-9 ranges from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating a greater severity of depressive symptoms. The Chinese version has been shown to have good psychometric properties [37], and internal consistency was .84 in this study.

Help-seeking behavior was assessed by two items [35]: “What kind of psychological treatment have you received before?” and “Have you sought help when you had suicidal ideation?” and if the answer was positive to either of the questions, the effectiveness of the former help was rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = totally disagree, 7 = totally agree). If the participant’s rating for the question was 3 or lower, we recorded that the former help was not useful.

Acceptability was measured with one item (“How acceptable do you find this proactive help?”) and rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = totally disagree, 7 = totally agree). We considered a rating of 4 or higher to indicate that the program was acceptable.

One-to-One Counseling

The counselor training was based on problem-solving therapy [38], which begins with identifying a person’s problem and then helping them to affirm feasible solutions to a specific issue by setting goals and comparing the pros and cons of every plausible solution. A concrete and feasible plan is then made to facilitate the client in overcoming the problem they face. The goal for counselors in crisis management was to persuade suicidal microbloggers to seek professional services and provide them with the appropriate referrals. The interaction between the microbloggers and our counselors also depended on the needs of the microbloggers. The training lasted 3 hours and included a theoretical explanation and practice of applying problem-solving therapy to this online situation. In addition to counselors being under monthly supervision by psychiatrists and senior counselors, we also formed an online chat group where the counselors could discuss the problems they encountered in consultations at any time.

Because the data used in this study were all publicly available, traditional informed consent was not appropriate. In the identification section, measures were taken to anonymize the data in the data analysis to minimize the inadvertent disclosure of personal information or information that may reveal clues with regard to an individual’s online identity. In the crisis management part of the study, participants gave informed consent voluntarily when agreeing to take part in the program. The project received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, with the ethics approval number H16003.

Data Analysis

Building Machine Learning Models for Suicide Recognition

The first step for supervised learning was to obtain a training set. To achieve good performance of the machine learning model, this study decided not to use crowd-sourcing [39]. Rather, 5 psychology postgraduates with expertise in analyzing suicide annotated the microbloggers’ comments. The annotation process was identical to the one used in our previous study [34]. Expressing a death wish or writing about suicide was coded as suicide ideation. Because a suicide plan is defined as suicide-related communication to account for its interpersonal nature, which is often expressed in verbal words regarding how one might advance from ideation to action [40], we operationalized a suicide plan as one that involved discussions regarding the act of dying (eg, a death kit, death place and time, making a will) after considering the nature of dialogue in social media. Attempted suicidal behavior within the preceding 2 weeks with current suicide ideation or the possibility of executing a suicide plan in the coming 1 to 2 weeks was coded as a suicide attempt. Posts were ranked as follows: 0 = no suicide risk; 1 = risk of suicide ideation but no detailed plan made; 2 = risk of suicide plan not requiring emergency aid; and 3 = significant risk of suicide attempt requiring emergency aid.

Comments identified as indicating suicidal thoughts and behaviors were labeled as positive training samples, and 10,000 posts without suicidal thoughts and behaviors were randomly selected to serve as negative training samples. Another strategy for the improvement of the performance of the machine learning model was the theoretical-based feature selection. Researchers in the field of computer science had a tendency to select predictive features by randomly using linguistic analyses, such as n-grams and sentiment analyses, without a theoretical or
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the text analysis program LIWC designed to perform well in Simplified Chinese on a microblog [54]. It is composed of 7 main categories and 64 subcategories. Death-oriented and future-oriented words are 2 of the subcategories, and every participant’s posts were parsed into these 2 subcategories. Category scores were calculated by the ratio of words within the category to all the words in the posts. Furthermore, we used the change tendencies of the 2 subcategories as a measure of the efficacy of the program.

**Results**

**Machine Learning Models for Suicide Recognition**

From April 1 to 28, 2017, four weekly sessions of manual annotation of comments were conducted. Of the 27,007 comments, 10.32% (2786/27,007) were identified as indicating suicidal thoughts and behaviors. In those, 81.44% (2269/2786), 13.75% (383/2786), and 4.81% (134/2786) contained suicidal thoughts and behaviors. In those, 81.44% (2269/2786), 13.75% (383/2786), and 4.81% (134/2786) contained suicidal thoughts and behaviors. In those, 81.44% (2269/2786), 13.75% (383/2786), and 4.81% (134/2786) contained suicidal thoughts and behaviors.

Of the 387,823 comments made between July 3, 2017, and July 24, 2018, 24,727 (6.38%) were identified as being indicative of suicidal ideation, suicide plan, and suicide attempt, respectively (Table 1).

Table 2 presents the means and standard deviations in the performances of the detection models with the whole feature set and two baseline feature sets developed by the selected classification algorithms. The best overall models were the SVM models. We compared the performances of SVM models, which were constructed with each feature set using a Tukey honestly significant difference post hoc test. The precision of the model of set C is lower than set A (t=−6.32, P<0.001). However, the recall (t=12.07, P<0.001), F-measure (t=5.48, P<0.001), and accuracy (t=3.32, P=0.004) of the model were all significantly higher in set C than with set A. Comparing the performance of SVM models using the feature sets C and B, and despite the precision of the SVM model with set C also being lower (t=−5.80, P<0.001), the recall and F-measure of the model using set C were significantly higher (t=12.23, P<0.001 and t=3.87, P=0.001, respectively) than those of the model using set B, while their accuracies were equivalent (t=1.34, P=0.20).

Of the 387,823 comments made between July 3, 2017, and July 3, 2018, 24,727 (6.38%) were identified as being indicative of suicidal thoughts and behaviors by the machine learning model.

**Using Language Frequency Changes as an Efficacy Indicator for Crisis Management**

Because of the low response rate in the completion of the online survey, we were unable to collect 1-month post-PHQ-9 data. We used language frequency changes as an efficacy indicator for crisis management. To examine the microbloggers’ language characteristics and emotions and detecting suicide ideation. To our knowledge, no prior studies have included personality traits and depression into a model for suicide detection. A binary classification of suicidal thoughts and behaviors detection model was built to determine if the comment indicated suicidal thoughts and behaviors. We used support vector machine (SVM), decision tree, random forest, and logistic regression algorithms with 10-fold cross-validation to train the detection model because these machine learning algorithms are regression algorithms with 10-fold cross-validation to train the detection model. The performance of the detection model was evaluated through the use of four metrics: precision, recall, F-measure, and accuracy [53].

**Table 1.** Manual identification of suicidal comments posted in April 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments (n)</th>
<th>Suicidal comments</th>
<th>Suicide ideation, n (%)</th>
<th>Suicide plan, n (%)</th>
<th>Suicide attempt, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/01-4/07</td>
<td>6975</td>
<td>849 (12.17)</td>
<td>702 (82.69)</td>
<td>107 (12.60)</td>
<td>40 (4.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/08-4/14</td>
<td>6201</td>
<td>682 (11.00)</td>
<td>561 (82.26)</td>
<td>90 (13.20)</td>
<td>31 (4.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22-4/28</td>
<td>7364</td>
<td>692 (9.40)</td>
<td>549 (79.33)</td>
<td>104 (15.03)</td>
<td>39 (5.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27,007</td>
<td>2786 (10.32)</td>
<td>2269 (81.44)</td>
<td>383 (13.75)</td>
<td>134 (4.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Performance of the machine learning models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model performance and feature set</th>
<th>SVM(^a), mean (SD)</th>
<th>DT(^b), mean (SD)</th>
<th>RF(^c), mean (SD)</th>
<th>LR(^d), mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precision</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(^e)</td>
<td>.88 (.01)</td>
<td>.84 (.02)</td>
<td>.87 (.01)</td>
<td>.87 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(^f)</td>
<td>.88 (.01)</td>
<td>.76 (.01)</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.87 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(^g)</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.76 (.01)</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.88 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.78 (.02)</td>
<td>.68 (.05)</td>
<td>.75 (.02)</td>
<td>.79 (.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.80 (.01)</td>
<td>.75 (.01)</td>
<td>.74 (.01)</td>
<td>.79 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.75 (.01)</td>
<td>.73 (.01)</td>
<td>.80 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F-measure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.83 (.01)</td>
<td>.74 (.03)</td>
<td>.80 (.01)</td>
<td>.83 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.84 (.01)</td>
<td>.76 (.01)</td>
<td>.79 (.01)</td>
<td>.83 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.76 (.01)</td>
<td>.78 (.01)</td>
<td>.84 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
<td>.79 (.02)</td>
<td>.83 (.01)</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.86 (.01)</td>
<td>.78 (.01)</td>
<td>.82 (.01)</td>
<td>.85 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.86 (.01)</td>
<td>.78 (.01)</td>
<td>.82 (.01)</td>
<td>.86 (.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) SVM: support vector machine.  
\(^b\) DT: decision tree.  
\(^c\) RF: random forest.  
\(^d\) LR: logistic regression.  
\(^e\) A: n-gram features.  
\(^f\) B: n-gram features + domain knowledge features.  
\(^g\) C: n-gram features + domain knowledge features + theory-motivated features.

Crisis Management

We sent direct messages to the 12,486 microbloggers (some microbloggers had multiple comments) who were identified as having expressed suicidal thoughts and behaviors in the 24,727 comments by the machine learning model. A total of 34.58% (4318/24,727) of individuals completed the assessment protocol, and there were 1403 valid samples (mean age 21.66 [SD 3.26] years). Females significantly outnumbered males (\(\chi^2\) = 647.33, \(P < .001\)), and most of the participants were students or employed, single, and had graduated from college (see Table 3).

In terms of suicide risk, most of the respondents (1259/1403, 89.73%) thought they would be better off dead or by hurting themselves in some way. Nearly half of these (699/1403, 49.82%) had a suicide plan, with 6.34% (89/1403) indicating a specific plan to commit suicide and 43.48% (610/1403) indicating a vague plan to do so. Of the 1403 participants, 545 (38.85%) had previously attempted suicide. The mean score for the PHQ-9 was 17.40 (SD 5.98), and this represents moderately severe depressive symptoms. Two-thirds of the participants (924/1403, 65.86%) had never received any kind of psychological treatment. Just over half (725/1403, 51.67%) had not sought help from anyone, 12.19% (171/1403) had sought help from professionals (such as a psychiatrist, therapist, or general practitioner), and 36.14% (507/1403) had sought help from people around them (such as family and friends). Of the participants who had sought help before, 48.33% (678/1403) rated the efficacy of the former help as 2.60 (SD 1.43), and 77.00% (522/678) thought that the former help rendered was of no use to them.

On a 7-point Likert scale, nearly 70% (968/1403, 69.00%) of participants rated proactive help through the use of direct messaging acceptable (4 or more on the scale). The average score for all participants was 4.35 (SD 1.81).

Between July 3, 2017, and July 3, 2018, microbloggers logged into the study website to view prevention information 12,300 times. A total of 2321 users replied to a direct message at least once. Figure 2 shows the total number of microbloggers who interacted with our counselors monthly from July 3, 2017, to July 3, 2018. On average, there were 234.08 (SD 88.70) microbloggers who interacted with our counselors every month. Table 4 shows the interaction between microbloggers and counselors, and this included the number of microblogger replies and interaction days. Approximately 90% (2043/2321, 90.12%) of the microbloggers replied fewer than 10 times. Nearly 97% (2246/2321, 96.77%) of the microbloggers interacted with our counselors for less than 5 days. A total of 1097 users completed an assessment protocol and consultation. Of the 12,486 microbloggers who were contacted, 5542 (44.39%) responded...
to our direct message either by completing the assessment protocol or by interacting with the counselors. Earlier studies found that the percentages of college students seeking help from professionals were 5.1% for all college students, 14.4% for college students with mental health problems, and 4.5% for college students without mental health problems [55]. Compared with the traditional methods used, we were able to prompt a larger number of people identified via the machine learning model as having posted suicidal content to seek help for their distress or suicide ideation.

Table 3. Demographic characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>225 (16.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1178 (83.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior middle school or below</td>
<td>82 (5.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior high school</td>
<td>272 (19.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>1006 (71.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate or above</td>
<td>43 (3.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>472 (33.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>229 (16.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>603 (42.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single/divorced</td>
<td>1342 (95.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>61 (4.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Number of microbloggers who interacted with our counselors from July 3, 2017, to July 3, 2018.
Table 4. Interactions between microbloggers and counselors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interactions</th>
<th>Value, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of microblogger replies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤10</td>
<td>2043 (90.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-30</td>
<td>118 (5.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-50</td>
<td>46 (2.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>60 (2.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>54 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of interactions with counselors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>2246 (96.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>48 (2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>27 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Changes in frequency of language use pre- and postprogram.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Preprogram, % (SD)</th>
<th>Postprogram, % (SD)</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death-oriented words</td>
<td>Die/suicide/will</td>
<td>0.37 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.01)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future-oriented words</td>
<td>After/soon/future</td>
<td>0.34 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.01)</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, we used SCLIWC to detect language changes in the 2321 social media users who replied to the direct message. By tracing the accounts of those microbloggers, we compared their microblog posts from a month before and after receiving services from our counselors. After deleting users who did not complete the interaction with the counselors for one month, there were 2031 microbloggers. As shown in Table 5, the frequency of death words significantly declined (P=.03), and the frequency of future-oriented words significantly increased (P=.02). For the month before the program, the number of posts by a single user ranged from 1 to 1013. The mean was 30.59 (SD 84.36). For the month after the program, the number of posts by a single user ranged from 1 to 1279, and the mean was 27.41 (SD 74.04). The paired sample t test result showed that the difference in the total number of posts by a single user before and after the program was thus not significant (t=1.92, P=.06).

Discussion

Principal Findings

In our study, we first identified a microblog group formed around the Sina microblog account of a microblogger who committed suicide, which was an efficient way to identify a high-risk population. Then, we proactively pushed direct messages to invite all of the microbloggers identified by the machine learning model as people who had exhibited suicidal thoughts and behaviors to participate in our study. Our results provided some preliminary evidence that automatic identification of suicidal thoughts and behaviors along with proactive suicide prevention are acceptable and helpful.

For suicide ideation detection, recall is arguably more important than precision. The results of machine learning models demonstrate that in general, incorporating theory-related features and features based on domain knowledge can improve the recall, F-measure, and accuracy of a model for detecting suicide ideation. The best results were .88, .85, .85, and .86 for precision, recall, F-measures, and accuracy, respectively, which demonstrates the utility of the model in identifying suicide posts. Apart from multiresources and theoretical-based feature selection [39,41] being able to ensure that relevant features are included and redundant ones excluded, our results outperformed earlier suicide machine learning models to identify posts with suicide content [52,56] mainly because we did not rely on crowd-sourcing [39] and instead opted for postgraduate annotators specializing in studying suicide. In addition, the dataset size was almost twice the size of those used in similar studies done earlier [36,57].

In this study, most individuals who completed the questionnaires were single females (employed or students) with a college degree. This is consistent with a previous study showing that females are more likely to talk about suicide ideation to health professionals and use health services than males [6]. Those with a higher education and those who are never married also had significantly higher odds of receiving mental health treatment [6].

For the period of July 3, 2017, to July 3, 2018, 6.38% of the comments were identified as expressive of suicidal thoughts and behaviors. The self-reports of the 1403 participants identified as individuals who displayed suicidal proclivities testified to the utility of the machine learning model. The self-reported results in the survey showed that percentages for suicide ideation, suicide plan, and past suicide attempt were 89.73%, 49.82%, and 38.85%, respectively. These are much higher than the results in a meta-analysis study that showed percentages of suicide ideation and past suicide attempt for the general Chinese population were 3.9% and 0.8%, respectively [58]. Moreover, 6.34% of our participants self-reported a specific suicide plan. Their mean score for the PHQ-9 was 17.40 (SD 5.98), indicating moderately severe depressive symptoms.
If suicide ideation can be identified as early as possible, then at-risk individuals can be prevented from deteriorating to a point where they make a specific suicide plan [59]. PSPO enables timely crisis management only for those in need, without disturbing others.

Even with a high suicide ideation rate, 65.86% of participants who completed the questionnaires had never received any kind of psychological treatment. Moreover, 51.67% of them did not seek help from anyone regarding their suicide problem. This is consistent with earlier studies [60,61] and may explain why 69.00% of the participants accepted our PSPO—it provided a new way of accessing help for those who had experienced barriers to seeking help for suicidal thoughts and behaviors previously. Another possible reason for the acceptability of PSPO was its anonymous nature.

This study has demonstrated some primary evidence for the efficacy of PSPO. Eliminating suicide ideation can be a long process, but suicide crisis management can serve as “emotional cardiopulmonary resuscitation” for people at risk. We sent out 24,727 direct messages to 12,486 different social media users, and 5542 (44.39%) of them responded. Of these, 4318 individuals finished the assessment protocol and 2321 users replied to the direct message. On average, 234.08 (SD 88.70) microbloggers interacted with our counselors monthly, approximately 90% of the microbloggers replied fewer than 10 times, and nearly 97% of the microbloggers interacted with our counselors for less than 5 days. Those results indicate that PSPO might largely extend the potential for suicide prevention for those who have never sought help before when compared with traditional passive methods. Moreover, the prevention information on our website was viewed 12,300 times. Finally, after interacting with the counselors, the microbloggers with suicidal thoughts seemed to change the language they used on social media significantly. In particular, the frequency of death-oriented words was found to have been significantly reduced a month after the crisis management as compared with the frequency of those words one month prior to receiving crisis management. One possible reason could be that the microbloggers felt the concern, social support, and empathy of the counselors. Another possible reason is that these users started to seek help after the consultation. At the same time, the frequency of future-oriented words increased significantly, although it was a slight change. This may be due to the relatively small number of future words in the overall vocabulary used. Nevertheless, it may also signal that the users had less suicide ideation and became more willing to accept support than before.

Limitations and Future Work

In this study, we only focused on a microblog group. Future studies would be needed to establish whether our machine learning model can be applied to other similar suicide groups and other social media platforms such as school bulletin boards, suicide groups online, or online self-help groups for suicidal thoughts and behaviors. Because our suicidal thoughts and behaviors detection model is at an early stage of development, only a binary classification model was built, and it was mainly focused on finding suicidal candidates for the primary crisis management. Multiclass classification can be adapted and adapted in the future to facilitate customized suicide prevention for different social media users. Moreover, to detect suicide ideation, we concentrated mainly on text features extracted from posts, although other behaviors on social media, such as interactions with other users and posting frequencies and times, could also be effective predictors. Examining these potential factors may provide additional insights and guidance for building more effective models based on social media to detect suicide ideation.

Slightly more than one-third (34.58%) of the users completed the questionnaires provided in the direct message. Given the sensitivity of the subject, the relatively low response rate is understandable, although it is higher than those found in earlier studies (close to 10%) [62]. For future research, we plan to investigate the differences between those who participated in the program and those who did not to acquire more first-hand data to develop a deeper understanding of their psychology and behavior. Our aim is to involve more human resources in lifesaving, thereby increasing the prevention rate for suicide.

We only offered the primary crisis management information to microbloggers with suicidal thoughts and behaviors. More standardized and systematic emergency intervention protocols, mental health resources, and professional referrals are needed to guarantee reasonable retention in a future study. While PSPO provides an opportunity for longitudinal study, the effectiveness of various Web-based suicide prevention and intervention approaches including PSPO should be examined because a follow-up is crucial in suicide intervention [63]. We will thus try our best to provide follow-up measures and actions for the identified users.

Finally, because this was a preliminary study, we mainly used the changes in future-oriented word frequency to demonstrate the efficacy of PSPO. Our efficacy evidence should be interpreted with caution because the relationship between future-oriented words and reduced suicide risk would still need further verification. Future studies should consider using direct indicators of reduction of suicidal thoughts and behaviors to demonstrate the improvement. Moreover, there is a possibility that the results reflect regression to the mean. A few strategies in the study design stage (eg, using a randomized controlled trial, having multiple tests at different time points for actual behavior instead of just intention or attitude) and analysis stage (eg, using analysis of covariance) are desirable to reduce the regression to the mean [64,65].

Conclusion

This paper presents PSPO as a proactive suicide prevention method for identifying and preventing suicide incidents by social media users, especially young people. The results indicate that PSPO is feasible for identifying populations at risk of suicide and providing effective crisis management, and the identification of at-risk individuals is automatic and timely. The crisis management is also proactive, acceptable, and low cost. Our study may be a useful supplement to existing prevention programs, and suicide crisis management may increase public awareness of help-seeking related to suicide risk, thereby improving the well-being of the population. This approach could alleviate the problems associated with a huge population with
weak psychological services and help with an imperfect suicide prevention system in large developing countries such as China.
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Abstract

Background: Electronic inhalation monitoring devices (EIMDs) are available to remind patients with respiratory diseases to take their medication and register inhalations for feedback to patients and health care providers as well as for data collection in research settings.

Objective: This study aimed to assess the validity as well as the patient-reported usability and acceptability of an EIMD.

Methods: This observational study planned to include 21 community pharmacies in the Netherlands. Patient-reported inhalations were collected and compared to EIMD registrations to evaluate the positive predictive value of these registrations as actual patient inhalations. Patients received questionnaires on their experiences and acceptance.

Results: A convenience sample of 32 patients was included from across 18 pharmacies, and 932 medication doses were validated. Of these, 796 registrations matched with patient-reported use (true-positive, 85.4%), and 33 inhalation registrations did not match with patient-reported use (false-positive, 3.5%). The positive predictive value was 96.0%, and 103 patient-reported inhalations were not recorded in the database (false-negative, 11.1%). Overall, patients considered the EIMD to be acceptable and easy to use, but many hesitated to continue its use. Reminders and motivational messages were not appreciated by all users, and more user-tailored features in the app were desired.

Conclusions: Patients’ interaction with the device in real-world settings is critical for objective measurement of medication adherence. The positive predictive value of this EIMD was found to be acceptable. However, patients reported false-negative registrations and a desire to include more user-tailored features to increase the usability and acceptability of the EIMD.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13551) doi:10.2196/13551
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**Introduction**

Electronic monitoring devices are increasingly important in the self-management of chronic diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma. These two chronic respiratory diseases affect an estimated 384 and 235 million people worldwide, respectively [1,2]. According to the prevailing clinical guidelines, daily intake of inhaled corticosteroids is the cornerstone for optimal asthma treatment, and inhaled corticosteroids are also used in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, together with bronchodilators [2,3].

An electronic inhalation monitoring device (EIMD), which measures inhalation actuations, provides detailed data on patient adherence to treatment for both patient and health care providers [4-7]. EIMDs, in combination with audiovisual reminders and feedback, have the potential to improve medication adherence and health care outcomes by facilitating self-management and aiding in clinical (shared) decision making [8-15]. Previous studies have shown that involving patients in monitoring their own symptoms can lead to improved awareness and competence in disease management [16].

Besides clinical practice, EIMD data could be used as objective outcome measures for medication adherence in research [17-19]. The integrated time stamp enables comprehensive data collection in research populations on the timing and pattern of inhaler actuation, including dose dumping [20]. This way of data collection was stated to be more accurate and objective for the evaluation of medication use and is considered to be superior to self-report, canister weighing, or pharmacy dispensing data [17,21].

However, the widespread use of EIMDs for measuring patients’ medication adherence in clinical practice and research setting depends on the acceptability by patients as well as health care providers and researchers, respectively. From the perspectives of both patient care and research, it is important that the EIMD is accurate and valid [20,22-24]. Earlier in-vitro studies (product validation studies) evaluating the validity of an EIMD, following a fixed protocol and simulating inhalations, found 99.2% overall accuracy of detection [25]. On the contrary, small-scale studies reported malfunctioning devices and potential loss of data as well as overrecording of doses that did not reflect actual inhalations [22-24,26]. Use of EIMDs as objective measures for medication adherence for research purposes in a real-world setting depends not only on technical capabilities, but also on how the user interacts with the system in real life. In other words, results in laboratory settings might not apply to EIMD validity and reliability in the broad use by community-dwelling patients. As EIMDs could be used for measuring real-time medication adherence in clinical trials, evidence on their potential to measure patient inhalations in community-dwelling patients is relevant.

For the implementation of EIMD in clinical practice, it is important to acknowledge the complexity of the implementation process, influenced by patients’ acceptance and ability to use information technology tools [27,28]. Hence, before the EIMD is implemented and used as an accurate and reliable measure for patients’ actual medication adherence in a real-world setting (in both clinical decision making and research), a rigorous evaluation of the technical performance, usability, and acceptability in clinical practice is required.

This study aimed to assess the validity and patient-reported usability and acceptability of an electronic adherence monitor and reminder device for patient care and research.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This prospective observational study on agreement between EIMD measurements and patient-reported inhalations was conducted between April 18 and May 25, 2018.

**Setting**

This study was conducted by 21 students in the second year of their master’s program in pharmacy from the University of Leiden, the Netherlands, during their internships in community pharmacies. The students were asked to validate EIMD registrations for two patients each, for 3 weeks, and they received additional training on the use of the EIMD program. The individual monitoring of patients was time consuming because of the protocol-specific information requirements, and consequently, dedicated persons with enough time were needed in the pharmacy. Additionally, the acquaintance with an innovative device to be implemented into daily practice and individual patient coaching were relevant learning objectives for the students.

Dutch pharmacists have a professional and legal responsibility to provide drug treatment for their patients and, as most patients in the Netherlands attend one community pharmacy, pharmacists usually possess the complete medication histories of their patients [29].

**Ethics Approval**

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Radboudumc Nijmegen (approval number, 2018-4153). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in this study, prior to the study.

**Electronic Monitoring**

The EIMD to be assessed was the Turbu+ V2.1 (AstraZeneca UK Limited), consisting of three components: (1) the electronic device that could be attached to the inhaler of the corresponding product “Symbicort Turbuhaler,” (2) an app to be installed on the patient’s mobile phone, and (3) an online portal allowing the health care professional access to the same actuation data. During patient inhalation, the device was actuated and the date and time of the actuations were recorded. The data were synchronized with the app on the mobile phone by Bluetooth, and the app visualized the timeline of these data up to the previous month. All EIMD data for measured actuations were automatically and electronically linked to the online portal of the health care provider for his/her patients and an additional research database containing the same data but anonymized. The research database has been setup to study patterns of medication adherence and to evaluate the effectiveness on interventions for medication adherence across multiple studies.
Actuation data from all health care professionals included in this study were aggregated into one central research database containing data on all included patients from the participating pharmacies.

Patients could only use the EIMD program after they were enrolled and trained by their health care provider. After entering the name, birth of date, sex, dose regimen settings, and email address, the patient automatically received an email with the link to download the app. The time(s) for a pop-up reminder in the app could be set individually by the patient at the time of scheduled inhalation(s). If patients did not take their medication, 30 minutes after the scheduled inhalation, a “missed-medication” motivational message was sent automatically. Patients received a weekly motivational push notification in the app (eg, “Great week. You’ve been following your prescription this week! Keep it up!”).

**Patient Inclusion**

Patients were eligible to participate if they (1) were current users of budesonide/formoterol Turbuhaler (Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical code R03AK07) [30] with at least two prescriptions in the previous 12 months; (2) were of age ≥ 18 years; (3) were regular patients in the pharmacy (registered in the pharmacy system and receiving dispensings from this pharmacy only); (4) had access to a smartphone, and (5) were able to use the internet. We aimed to include current users who were familiar with the inhaler. At random, eligible patients were invited during regular pharmacy visits or by phone. If interested, patients received an information leaflet on the study and an informed consent form. Patients interested in participating were asked to provide informed consent, allowing the student to collect general data about gender, age, use of short corticosteroid courses (indicating exacerbations), and refills from the pharmacy database (to assess adherence rates). Eligible patients were invited to the pharmacy for an intake visit.

**Intake Visit**

During the intake visit, the students provided the patient with an EIMD and (oral and written) instructions. The patients attached the device to the inhaler and installed the app during the visit or at home following the instructions. Additionally, the students verified the pharmacy’s information collected on drug use, patient’s refill adherence to inhaled corticosteroids, and the number of oral corticosteroid courses in the previous year. Subsequently, the patients completed the Beliefs About Medicines Questionnaire (BMQ), consisting of two parts: the BMQ Specific list, which measures perceptions of specific medicines, and the BMQ General list, which measures general beliefs about medicines. The BMQ Specific list comprises two scales—one assessing patients’ beliefs about the necessity of preventer medication for maintaining present and future health (Necessity scale) and the other for assessing their concerns about the potential adverse consequences of using such medication (Concerns scale) [31,32]. All items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale, with a range of 5-25 possible scores for both scales.

The students explained that they would call the patients 6 times within 14-21 days to check on their actual drug intake on the previous days and agreed on the most suitable days and time to call. If patients wished to, they were allowed to use a paper diary.

**Accuracy and Patient-Reported Use of the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device: Procedures and Measures**

During the follow-up period of 14-21 days, the students phoned the patients 6 times to check on their actual drug intake and EIMD performance from the previous day, and if possible, up to the day of the earlier phone call. The student collected and reported information on the number and time of daily inhalations, and all particularities or other circumstances that the patient reported were registered by the student in an Excel file. These were subsequently centralised into one patient self-reported database.

To avoid socially desirable answers and to collect reliable data, patients were instructed that this study focused on the accuracy of the device (instead of their medication use), and they were asked to use the medication at their usual dose and frequency and to use only the EIMD prepared device during the study period and no other inhalers of this medication. Semistructured questions were used during the phone call; for example, “When did you use your medication for the last time?” and “Do you see any registrations in your app that do not represent real medication use?”

During the phone call, the student had immediate access to the EIMD data and compared the data from the research database with the information reported by the patient (and registered in the patient self-reported database). Any discrepancies were directly discussed with the patient and registered.

**Usability and Acceptability of the Patient Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device: Procedures and Measures**

To evaluate patients’ usability, patients received two questionnaires at the end of the study, including the System Usability Scale (SUS), which is a validated instrument for evaluating the usability of a wide range of products and services [33,34]. The SUS score can range from 0 to 100. For products with a score less than 70, improvement options should be considered [34].

The second questionnaire addressed the experiences and acceptability of the program and the expectations on the pharmacists’ role in counselling. This part consisted of 12 statements, formulated positively or negatively, measured on a 5-point Likert Scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree), followed by 3 multiple-choice questions regarding the frequency of using the app and whether the patient would like to continue the program and by 4 open questions on advantages, disadvantages, and targets for improvements.

**Data Analysis**

The main outcome measure was the positive predictive value (PPV), calculated as (the number of correctly registered doses according to the patient self-reported database and registered database)/(the total number of registered doses [true and false positives])*100. Results of the questionnaires were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Responses to the open questions...
were coded and summarized for patients’ opinions, wishes, and barriers encountered using the EIMD program to identify key topics via the grounded theory approach [35].

Patient-specific characteristics were assessed with the scores for the BMQ Specific and BMQ General questionnaires, represented on a continuous scale. The BMQ necessity and concerns scores were split at the scale midpoints to distinguish between four subgroups: accepting (high necessity, low concerns), ambivalent (high necessity, high concerns), skeptical (low necessity, high concerns), and indifferent (low necessity, low concerns) [36]. Refill adherence was calculated as the proportion of days covered in the prior 12 months (due to prior dispensings up to 15 months).

Results

Patient Demographics and Questionnaire Completion

A total of 32 patients were included by 18 students (Table 1). In 3 pharmacies, no patients eligible and willing to participate were available. The mean age of the included patients was 48.1 years (range, 20-69 years), and 17 patients were female (53.1%). Medication adherence in the previous year calculated from dispensing data was 81.3%. Most patients used their medication twice a day (n=26). Three patients (9%) used an additional diary to note their daily inhalations. Twenty-five patients (78%) completed the questionnaires and provided individual comments on the EIMD (Table 2 and Textbox 1).

Table 1. Patient characteristics (N=32).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (range)</td>
<td>48.1 (20-69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (53.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of oral corticosteroid courses in the last year, mean (range)</td>
<td>0.31 (0-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication adherence in the previous year calculated from dispensing data (n=28), mean % (range)</td>
<td>81.32 (17.53-194.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ² General Harm score (n=29; possible range: 4-20), mean (range)</td>
<td>10.21 (5-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ General Overuse score (n=29; possible range: 4-20), mean (range)</td>
<td>8.04 (4-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ Specific Necessity score (n=30; possible range: 5-25), mean (range)</td>
<td>17.70 (10-24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMQ Specific Concerns score (n=30; possible range: 5-25), mean (range)</td>
<td>10.97 (6-17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²BMQ: Beliefs About Medicines Questionnaire.

Table 2. Patients’ acceptability of the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device (EIMD) program. Values in italics indicate the highest percentage(s) per statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Disagree (score 1-2), n (%)</th>
<th>Neutral (score 3), n (%)</th>
<th>Agree (score 4-5), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme does provide useful insights in my medication use²</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme is useful to me</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme is time-consuming</td>
<td>19 (76)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The motivational messages in the EIMD app feel positive for me</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The reminders of the EIMD programme help me to take my medication in time</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme contributes to dealing with my illness²</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme contributes to the interaction with my pharmacist</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme helps establishing a habit to use my medication</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The EIMD programme gives me confidence to help manage my disease</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pharmacist’s monitoring of my medication use with this programme helps me to use my medication better²</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When someone can monitor my medication intake, I take my medication as usual²</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>18 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I see added value of the EIMD programme to manage my medication intake²</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would recommend the EIMD programme to other patients</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pharmacist can play an important role in counselling</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²Item was phrased as a negative statement.
Textbox 1. Selection of patients’ free-text comments about the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device program. Values in brackets represent gender and age of the participants (e.g., F24=female, 24 years).

Technical functionalities:

*If the app synchronises the data, this may take a long time (maybe this can be improved). In the end, the registration of my puffs did not go well.* [F24]

*Until now, the app missed three inhalation registrations in the morning. I clicked twice within a minute, but only one [inhalation] was registered.* [M52]

Patient-technology interaction:

*Not all inhalations were registered [in the app on my mobile phone], so I inhaled again and used too much medication.* [F45]

*The app is not always working. If medication is taken, this is not always measured. Even if the medication has already been taken (before the time set), still a reminder was sent. The app does not provide insight into whether the medication has been taken in the right way.* [F20]

*The alarm you can set will never go off. So you have no reminder.* [F45]

*The Turbu+ did not register when the app was [physically] not around, and it was therefore not possible to register 2 inhalations in 1 day.* [M55]

*The notifications did not work with my Galaxy s7 Edge.* [M57]

Patients’ opinions and acceptability of the program:

Regarding the device/app:

*The device itself feels rather rickety. The two parts did not really fit together.* [M51]

*The idea is good, I also see the commercial need, but think about the return for the patient. Information in the app for how many days medication is still available in the inhaler, would be such convenient return for the patient. For example, before he goes on holiday, he knows if there are extra medicines needed.* [M55]

*I feel that tracking medication use can be useful for many patients. However, it remains very difficult, because the connection of the device and the app is not clear. As a result, it [medication use] is registered at some time, but not on other times, for unclear reasons. With this, possible useful options of the app will immediately disappear.* [M43]

Regarding reminders:

*If you are not adherent, the Turbu+ can be convenient as a reminder for using the medication.* [F39]

*[Useful] when you forget [medication intake] (but I never forget this).* [M42]

*I personally liked the reminders, and it was also very pleasant that I could monitor myself whether I had taken it or not!* [F24]

*I would like to modify the app myself for what I agreed on with my doctor (about minimum and maximum use per day).* [F47]

*I would prefer] a clearer reminder sound signal.* [V56]

Regarding motivational messages:

*The tone of the written messages deserves carefulness: the patient is the boss, the app only gives advice.* [F47]

Regarding attitude to electronic monitoring:

*I would only use the app if only I can see it [the data]. Watching by pharmacist should not be the default for each patient.* [F47]

*I am intrinsically motivated to use my medication and do not really need an app for that.* [M55]

*Once the routine is there to take an inhalation twice a day, it has little added value for the users. It gives the impression that it is only useful for the pharmacist and the manufacturer to collect Big Data.* [M55]

*I always take my medication when brushing my teeth. So there was no need for help with the intake. In addition, I am able to feel when I have to use my medication more often, according to my needs. I think that the app can help people who have difficulties with this.* [M28]

*An advantage is] to check when I am not sure about forgotten medicines. Insights into patterns in periods when I have more symptoms help me to anticipate.* [F48]

Thirty patients (94%) completed the BMQ General and Specific questionnaires at the start of the study. The majority of them could be classified as acceptant (n=19) regarding their inhalation medication: 4 patients were ambivalent and 7 were indifferent. No patients were classified as skeptical.
Accuracy and Patient-Reported Use of the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device

Of the 32 patients, 28 completed all 6 phone calls. Overall, the 18 students verified 932 medication doses (mean = 29.1 doses verified per patient; range = 3-88). A total of 796 doses registered in the research database matched patient-reported inhalations captured in the patient self-reported database (true-positive, 85.41%). In addition, 33 inhalations were registered in the research database that did not match the actual drug intake, as reported by the patients in the patient self-reported database (false-positive, 3.5%). Further, 22 patients reported an average of 4.7 inhalations (range, 1-20) that were not recorded in the research database, accounting for a total of 103 inhalations (false-negative, 11.1%).

Information on registration of 6 of the 32 devices was in full agreement with the patient-reported inhalations. The PPV of all registrations in the research database was 96.0%. Some patients reported technical problems with EIMD data recording and synchronization of the EIMD data with their mobile phone. Delayed data synchronization resulted in two patients taking more medication than prescribed under the assumption that they had forgotten their dose.

Usability and Acceptability of the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device

The majority of patients indicated that the app was easy to use and not unnecessarily complex; the mean SUS score was 68.9 (SD 11.34; range, 52.5-90).

In the acceptability questionnaire, patients rated the EIMD generally as useful (76% neutral or agree) and 84% rated the EIMD program as not time-consuming (Table 2). With regard to recommending the EIMD to other patients, the majority rated this item as neutral (n=12, 48%). In addition, 80% of the patients indicated that they were not willing (n=15) or uncertain (“maybe,” n=5) about continuing the program themselves, and 70% (n=14) of these patients reported that they had only participated in this study because they wanted to help the students fulfill their assignment and facilitate the research. The five patients who were positive about continuation reported that they participated mainly to gain personal insight into their inhalation patterns. The patients generally felt positive about the pharmacists’ role in counselling.

Written feedback on the advantages, disadvantages, and targets for improvements was provided by 25 patients. This feedback was clustered into four themes: EIMD functionality, reminders, motivational messages, and attitude toward electronic monitoring (Textbox 1).

Some of the previously mentioned technical issues reported by some patients resulted in a level of frustration or confusion because of missed or unnecessary reminders due to missing data, which impacted their acceptance of the app (Textbox 1). The reminders and motivational messages were appreciated by 9 and 4 patients, respectively. Several patients suggested more individualization of the settings in the app, such as inclusion of a personal choice to share data with a specific health care provider and management of their dose regimen settings.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we found an acceptable PPV: 96.0% of the registered doses represented patient-reported drug intake. However, we found a high number of false-negative registrations: 11.1% of patient-reported inhalations were not recorded by the EIMD.

These unrecorded patient-reported inhalations could have been the result of a number of factors, either technical or user-related issues, that should be discussed. Although in earlier studies, loss of data or missing data were associated with technical issues such as battery drain, this was not likely to have occurred during the short duration of our study [7,24,26]. The high number of false-negative registrations could possibly be the result of overreporting by the patients or suboptimal use of the device (eg, Bluetooth not activated, EIMD not paired to the phone, or EIMD not within a 5-meter distance from the phone during inhaler actuation). Assessment of user experience revealed that the written instruction did not contain detailed information about the data synchronization protocol, which, combined with instructions at enrolment, may have led to some of the reported observations. In earlier research with the same EIMD, the researchers had presumed the possibility of false-negative as well as false-positive registrations, but they were unable to verify the registrations by patients’ actual inhalations or the data on user interaction with the system to interpret their findings [15].

This study demonstrated the importance of validating medication adherence data in real-world settings. Patients’ interaction with the device is critical for objective measurement of medication adherence in research and clinical settings. We emphasized on the importance of evaluating technical performance to identify technical/user issues and stressed the need of evaluating usability and acceptability across multiple components of the EIMD.

Previous studies on EIMD performance focused on accuracy and reliability in laboratory settings and lacked data collected in a real-life setting where patients interact with the EIMD. Furthermore, previous studies did not access patient acceptability and user experience [7,20,23,24,26,37,38], both of which are essential for successful implementation and sustained use in daily practice [39]. This is the first study to demonstrate the importance of evaluating and validating EIMDs in a real-world setting. The accuracy of inhalation measurement is essential from not only a research point of view, but also a clinical perspective, as false-negative registrations lead to unnecessary signals and reminder messages. Furthermore, it falsely reports patients as nonadherent, and this could lead to underestimation of adherence, incorrect clinical decision making, and overuse of medication when patients assume to have forgotten their dose.

Patient Experiences and Acceptability of the Electronic Inhalation Monitoring Device

Our findings on acceptability and user experiences further underline the importance of evaluating EIMDs on acceptability, preferably early in the implementation process. There is a
Our findings emphasized the need for clear patient selection and a more individualized, tailored device. In this study, half of the people found the reminders helpful in taking the medication on time, while the other half found them useless. We found similar results for the motivational messages. Hence, when designing a self-management intervention containing multiple self-management strategies (i.e., motivational messaging, reminders, and audio-visual behavioral feedback), it is important to critically review each component on usability separately and preferably tailor the intervention to the needs of the patient.

Strengths and Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, the actual inhalations were self-reported by the patients, which could have led to bias by overreporting actual use (and thus also an overestimation of unrecorded actuations), as patients might tend to provide socially desirable answers. However, as a 24-hour patient observation was not feasible, this was the best way to collect data on patients’ actual drug intake within this setting. To prevent socially desirable answers, patients were instructed at the intake that this study was on verifying the registrations of the EIMD rather than their medication use or adherence, and during the phone calls, the students asked open questions. Additionally, patients were questioned about their actual inhalation mainly at the same and previous days, with a maximum of 5 days prior. Thus, the phone calls for data evaluation focused on the most recent moments of drug intake in order to reduce possible recall bias. In further analysis regarding the possible impact of recall bias, we did not observe any differences between measurements on day 5 and those on the earlier days, or between different age groups (data not shown). As earlier studies have shown that there is considerable variation in the accuracy of diaries to note medication intake [44], the use of a diary was not mandatory. As patients frequently reported technical issues as a possible explanation for both missing and extra registered inhalations, we do not expect much bias from this setting.

Second, all pharmacies were related to the master’s education program of pharmacy from the University of Leiden. Data were collected in different pharmacies and by different students, to prevent bias from specific settings. However, such bias could not be fully excluded. Although the students were not yet registered health care providers, they were quite motivated and technically skillful. The students selected a convenience sample of patients, although it seemed difficult to find patients with the original inhalation medication that was fit for the EIMD; in some pharmacies, no patients could be selected at all. Third, the short inclusion time of this study, due to the internship period, could have led to selection of patients who were more willing to help the students with their task rather than being interested in their own medication performance or adherence. This was reflected in patients’ individual comments: They regularly indicated that the program could be especially useful for other patients, but that it was of little value to them. Some patients reported that they did not need “help with the inhalation” or “an app for taking the medication.” With a mean medication adherence of >80%, this seems to be a group with relatively high adherence and could indicate selection bias of the more adherent patients, for which the device may be less useful. Further research is needed on how health care providers should preselect patients for an EIMD on the basis of their experiences.

A strength of this study was the intensive follow-up from students, with more than 900 validated measurements. Although the number of included patients was limited, the number of drug intake comparisons was sufficient to detect omissions in the recorded actuations. However, the small population may have affected the representativeness of the results of the acceptability questionnaire. The statements in this questionnaire were formulated positively or negatively to reduce the risk of positively biased answers. This questionnaire was adapted to this specific EIMD and not validated beforehand. As a consequence, some patients might have experienced difficulties in comprehending the language used or the variety in both positively and negatively formulated questions.

Implications for the Future

It is recommended that the discussed technical issues should be further elucidated and solved before using EIMD data as an objective adherence measurement, and medication overuse may also be of interest. In order to fully benefit from the EIMD and guarantee reliability and validity, an EIMD should be validated in a setting where the users interact with the system and can encounter technical or user issues. Furthermore, EIMDs and the accompanying self-management program should be evaluated on usability in daily practice. This study provided an example of how to do this. Validation of EIMDs in real-world settings is likely to improve usability in daily practice; the EIMD...
should be easy to use and measure all actuations correctly, even when the patient is not technically skilled. Future research should pay sufficient attention to different types of patient segments, as the EIMD needs to match with the patient profile (eg, adherence and asthma control), needs, and preferences.

Conclusions
Comparison of EIMD data with patient-reported inhalations showed that EIMD registrations represented patient inhalations to an acceptable degree, with a PPV of 96%, but these registrations were likely to underreport actual drug intake by 11%. Technical improvements should address the Bluetooth connection and data synchronization. Additionally, patient characteristics contribute to the validity of EIMD measurements, and larger sample sizes are needed to explore their influence. For the acceptance of a self-management program with an EIMD, patients who benefit from self-monitoring and reminders should be targeted by tailoring the possibilities to the needs of the individual user.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMQ</td>
<td>Beliefs About Medicines Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIMD</td>
<td>electronic inhalation monitoring device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>positive predictive value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUS</td>
<td>System Usability Scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Background: Following a 2010-2011 pilot intervention in which a limited sample of primary care doctors offered their patients secure Web-based portal access to their office visit notes, the participating sites expanded OpenNotes to nearly all clinicians in primary care, medical, and surgical specialty practices.

Objective: The aim of this study was to examine the ongoing experiences and perceptions of patients who read ambulatory visit notes written by a broad range of doctors, nurses, and other clinicians.

Methods: A total of 3 large US health systems in Boston, Seattle, and rural Pennsylvania conducted a Web-based survey of adult patients who used portal accounts and had at least 1 visit note available in a recent 12-month period. The main outcome measures included patient-reported behaviors and their perceptions concerning benefits versus risks.

Results: Among 136,815 patients who received invitations, 21.68% (29,656/136,815) responded. Of the 28,782 patient respondents, 62.82% (18,081/28,782) were female, 72.90% (20,982/28,782) were aged 45 years or older, 76.94% (22,146/28,782) were white, and 14.30% (4115/28,782) reported fair or poor health. Among the 22,947 who reported reading 1 or more notes, 3 out of 4 reported reading them for 1 year or longer, half reported reading at least 4 notes, and 37.74% (8588/22,753) shared a note with someone else. Patients rated note reading as very important for helping take care of their health (16,354/22,520, 72.62%), feeling in control of their care (15,726/22,515, 69.85%), and remembering the plan of care (14,821/22,516, 65.82%). Few were very confused (737/22,304, 3.3%) or more worried (1078/22,303, 4.83%) after reading notes. About a third reported being encouraged by their clinicians to read notes and a third told their clinicians they had read them. Less educated, nonwhite, older, and Hispanic patients, and individuals who usually did not speak English at home, were those most likely to report major benefits...
Conclusions: In this first large-scale survey of patient experiences with a broad range of clinicians working in practices in which shared notes are well established, patients find note reading very important for their health management and share their notes frequently with others. Patients are rarely troubled by what they read, and those traditionally underserved in the United States report particular benefit. However, fewer than half of clinicians and patients actively address their shared notes during visits. As the practice continues to spread rapidly in the United States and internationally, our findings indicate that OpenNotes brings benefits to patients that largely outweigh the risks.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13876) doi:10.2196/13876
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Introduction

Secure Patient Portals

Patients who engage and participate actively in their health care appear to achieve better health outcomes and incur lower health care costs [1]. In part, to stimulate such engagement, the US federal government passed a legislation in 2009 incentivizing doctors and health systems to adopt new technologies offering patients electronic access to their health data via secure electronic patient portals [2]. Patients were invited to review their test results and problem and medication lists and, in many cases, to send secure messages to their clinicians. However, very few offered patients access to the notes written by their clinicians during or following face-to-face encounters.

The OpenNotes Initiative

In 2010, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation funded the OpenNotes initiative, designed initially to examine the feasibility and effects of having primary care physicians (PCPs) share their notes routinely with patients. For 12 months, PCPs invited patients registered on portals to read these notes and 105 doctors and 5500 of their patients subsequently completed surveys. Representing 3 geographically dispersed and very different health care settings, the respondents were highly positive, with patients reporting a wide range of clinically important benefits and doctors noting little impact on their workflow [3]. Subsequent studies extending beyond primary care to medication adherence, inpatient care, oncology, mental illness, and other specialties suggested similar benefits, and in recent years, the practice of inviting patients to review their clinicians’ notes has spread [4-13]. Presently, US clinicians offer more than 38 million patients electronic access to their notes through patient portals, policy makers are considering mandating such practice, and fully transparent records are spreading in several other nations [14-16]. Many prominent American institutions now offer open notes in the vast majority of their ambulatory practices, including medical and surgical offices and those focusing on mental illness. However, at this point, we know little about patient experiences with open notes over time throughout the broad spectrum of ambulatory care.

Following their initial limited experiment in primary care, the 3 institutions that originally piloted OpenNotes adopted the practice throughout, and many of their patients have grown accustomed to reading notes following visits to nearly all clinicians in their associated primary care, medical, surgical, and mental health practices. On the basis of the reports and observations over the past few years, we developed 4 primary hypotheses: (1) over time, patients would continue to report important benefits from reading visit notes; (2) patients would often share or discuss notes with others; (3) those traditionally at risk for experiencing substandard care would report the greatest benefit; and (4) patients and clinicians would communicate about these notes actively during visits. We report findings from a large survey of patients conducted in the institutions that participated in the pilot OpenNotes inquiry.

Methods

Setting

We conducted a Web-based survey of patients who had been seen in hospital offices and community practices at 3 health systems: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC), an urban academic health system in and around Boston; Geisinger, a large rural integrated health system in Pennsylvania; and University of Washington Medicine (UW) in Seattle, which includes both private and community-funded safety net practices affiliated with the University of Washington. All 3 systems participated in the original 2010-2011 OpenNotes pilot involving PCPs [3] and, by 2014, all 3 had expanded open notes to virtually all outpatient offices and clinicians, thereby providing access to visit notes in specialty as well as primary care settings. Open notes became the standard for virtually all types of outpatient clinicians who sign notes in the patient’s medical record, including doctors, physical, occupational, speech, and other types of therapists, dieticians, nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants. At the same time, the systems developed policies allowing some individual clinicians to opt out of participation and enabled participating clinicians to manually block the release of individual notes to the patient portal [17]. BIDMC and UW sent automated email messages informing patients when new notes were made available, but Geisinger did not [18]. At the time of the survey, somewhat fewer than half of all ambulatory patients were registered for the patient portal at each site (personal communications from Rebecca Stametz and Thomas Payne, July 24, 2018, and Amy Goldman, July 25, 2018).
Participants
The survey included patients seen in primary care and specialty offices: at the hospital and 6 affiliated sites at BIDMC, at 3 hospitals and 9 freestanding offices at UW, and at 7 hospitals and 53 outlying practices at Geisinger. Eligible patients were aged 18 years or older, had logged into the portal at least once in the previous 12 months, and had at least 1 ambulatory visit note available in the previous 12 months. We excluded patients who had been invited to participate in focus groups or other surveys related to OpenNotes within the preceding 12 months. Using portal tracking data, we identified patients who had, and had not, accessed available visit notes in the previous 12 months and described them as readers and nonreaders, respectively. We did not exclude nonreaders because we wanted to gain some understanding of why they had not read the notes. BIDMC and UW included all eligible readers and a random sample of eligible nonreaders in the survey sample. For administrative reasons, Geisinger drew random samples from both groups, resulting in smaller samples than the other 2 sites. Across the 3 sites, 109,904 readers and 27,959 nonreaders were sent invitations for the survey. The Institutional Review Boards at BIDMC, Geisinger, and UW approved the survey and study protocol at their respective sites.

Constructing the Questionnaire
This survey draws heavily on questions used in the original demonstration project in primary care; its development has been previously described [19]. We updated the questionnaire based on comments from outside reviewers, focus groups with diverse patients from a community health center, assessment of the distribution of responses in the original study, and an evaluation of psychometric properties of different versions of some of the items, including those related to benefits and risks of reading notes (manuscript under review). Except for a few site-specific modifications, the questionnaire was the same for all patients, and with the exception of skip patterns, free text, and demographic questions, all items required a response. Both the original and updated versions are available on request from the authors.

Conducting the Survey
We surveyed patients between June and October 2017, using a Web-based survey platform, Survey Gizmo. Patients were sent invitations by email either to their portal accounts or to the personal email address associated with the accounts. Each patient’s invitation contained his or her study identification embedded in a unique link to the survey, and each study identification could be used only once. Following the original invitation, patients received 2 reminders 1 week apart if they had not completed the survey. Knowing that patients sometimes confuse notes with other parts of the medical record, or with the portal itself, we described clinical notes in both the survey invitation and in a survey question and we also showed a screenshot of the location of visit notes on their institutions’ portals to increase the likelihood that patients would report on visit notes. Knowing also that invitations might be opened by care partners rather than patients, each respondent had an option to complete the survey as a patient or as a care partner, and care partners were automatically linked to a different questionnaire.

We offered respondents an incentive for completing the survey: a raffle of 50 prizes of US $25 or US $50 at each site.

Statistical Analysis
To maximize the chances that we were including responses about clinical notes rather than another part of the record, as a final step, we excluded responses from patients whose self-report of note reading in the past 12 months did not match portal data; for example, patients reported they had read notes, but the portal tracking data showed they had not. We also excluded respondents who reported reading notes for a week or less, or did not answer the question about length of time reading notes, since our objective was to assess patients’ experiences over the prior 12 months. Except as noted in the tables, all questions included in this analysis had < 4% missing responses, and denominators include all nonmissing responses to each item. Items related to medication management are addressed in another paper [20].

Most items addressing potential benefits and risks asked for ratings on an 11-point scale, ranging from 0 (not at all important, confusing, or concerned) to 10 (extremely important, confusing, or concerned). Responses to these items were collapsed into 4 categories a priori for analysis: 0-1, 2-4, 5-7, and 8-10, and we reported the 8-10 category as very important, confused, or concerned. Four-level agreement responses were dichotomized as agree or somewhat agree, and disagree or somewhat disagree.

Using percentages and chi-square tests, we compared respondents with nonrespondents using administrative data available at each institution: age and sex at all 3 sites, Hispanic ethnicity at Geisinger and UW, and insurance type at BIDMC and Geisinger.

We used descriptive statistics to examine respondents’ sociodemographic and health characteristics and experiences with note reading, both overall and stratified by study site. We used the chi-square test for independence (degrees of freedom: row-1 × column-1) to test for differences according to demographic characteristics in patients’ experiences in note reading. We performed a multivariable analysis using log Poisson regression models to estimate overall relative risks and 95% CIs for reporting benefits as very important according to patient characteristics, adjusted for other sociodemographic and health characteristics and number of notes read. Owing to the large sample size, we expected even slight differences between groups to be statistically significant. Therefore, we interpreted intercategory differences in proportions or relative risks of 10% or more as meaningful differences. All analyses were completed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

Participants
Of 136,815 patients who received survey invitations, 21.68% (29,656/136,815) responded; 28,782 were patients, and 874 were care partners (Figure 1). Compared with nonrespondents, respondents were older at all 3 sites. At BIDMC and UW, white patients were more likely to respond than nonwhite patients. No differences were noted between responders and nonresponders regarding sex at any of the 3 sites, regarding...
Hispanic ethnicity at UW and Geisinger, and regarding insurance type at BIDMC and Geisinger (data not shown).

Of the 28,782 patient respondents, 62.82% (18,081/28,782) were female; 72.90% (20,982/28,782) were aged 45 years or older; 76.94% (22,146/28,782) were white; 53.06% (15,271/28,782) were employed; and 14.30% (4115/28,782) reported fair or poor general health. Overall, 64.92% (18,685/28,782) had completed college, but the sites differed substantially: the proportion of those with a high school education or less was 4.8% (655/13,613) at BIDMC and 5.4% (708/13,119) at UW, but 25.9% (530/2050) at Geisinger.

Figure 1. Study flow diagram. BIDMC: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; UW: University of Washington Medicine.

Accessing and Reading Notes

After note reading exclusions, 23,710 responses were included in the analysis: 22,947 note readers and 763 nonreaders. Among note readers, three-quarters reported reading notes for a year or more and half reported reading 4 or more notes. In general, they reported locating notes easily on the portals and considered email notifications about new notes useful. About one-third of readers at BIDMC (4065/11,899, 34.16%) and UW (2998/9719, 30.85%), but only 20.1% (237/1178) at Geisinger, reported mentioning to their clinicians that they had read a note, and about the same proportion (7324/22,798, 32.13%) said they were encouraged by their clinicians to read notes. Among those who were encouraged, 60% read 4 or more notes compared with 46% of those who were not encouraged to read notes. In total, 37.74% (8588/22,753) reported sharing or discussing a note with a family member or someone else. Overall, 98.46% (22,593/22,947) of readers thought making notes available to patients a good idea, and 62.38% (13,427/21,525) said access to visit notes would be very important in choosing a future provider.
Benefits and Risks of Note Reading

As shown in Figure 2, between 50.43% (11,346/22,514) and 72.62% (16,354/22,520) of patients in all sites combined rated note reading as very important for helping them achieve 6 different benefits. In contrast, 3.3% (737/22,304) said they were very confused by their notes, 4.83% (1078/22,303) reported being more worried after reading notes, and 11.46% (2529/22,067) reported being very concerned about privacy. The positive perceptions of benefits were evident across the 3 sites, with patients in rural Pennsylvania reporting the highest ratings of importance of note reading.

We found meaningful differences (≥ 10%) in patients’ perceptions of the benefits of reading notes according to sociodemographic characteristics (Table 1). Black patients were more likely than white patients to rate note reading as very important for 5 of the 6 benefits and patients aged 45 years or older rated it very important for 4 of the benefits compared with those aged 18 to 24 years. Those who usually spoke a language other than English at home were more likely than English speakers to use notes to make the most of visits, remember the plan of care, and prepare for visits. Patients with the fewest years of education and Hispanic patients were more likely than others to cite note reading as very important for remembering the care plan and preparing for visits. Patients who read a greater number of notes were more likely to cite reading notes as very important for all 6 benefits.

Few patients reported being very confused or more worried from reading notes, with only minor differences according to sociodemographic characteristics (Table 2). Though differences did not reach the 10% threshold, greater proportions of black patients, Asians, and other minorities reported being very concerned about privacy related to open notes, 16.2% (174/1076) to 19.2% (104/543), compared with 10.15% (1828/18,012) of white patients.

After adjusting for other factors, education level continued to be inversely associated with patient ratings of the importance of note reading for all 6 benefits (Table 3). Similarly, after adjusting for other characteristics, black patients were more likely than white patients to rate note reading as very important for achieving benefits. Older patients—especially those aged > 45 years—were more likely to rate notes as important for 4 of the benefits. Hispanic patients and those who spoke a language other than English at home found notes very important for 3 benefits. Notably, the importance of note reading in preparing for office visits was the benefit most endorsed among more vulnerable demographic groups.

When we asked the 763 nonreaders about the main reason they had not looked at visit notes, about half selected, I forgot or did not know my visit notes were available. A total of 10.4% (79/761) indicated they did not know they had a right to look at notes, 8.8% (67/761) were too busy, 7.2% (55/761) did not think reading would be useful, and 6.3% (48/761) were not able to find the notes. Among the 12.0% (95/761) reporting another reason, the majority wrote that they had no need to read notes because they trusted their clinicians, had received printed copies, or had no health issues or recent visits. Only 9.1% (69/760) of nonreaders reported being encouraged by a clinician to read their notes. We found no material differences in demographic characteristics in the nonreaders compared with the readers (data not shown). Even though they had not read notes, 89.0% (679/763) of nonreaders agreed that making notes available to patients on the Web is a good idea.
Figure 2. Benefits and risks of reading notes. BIDMC: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; UW: University of Washington Medicine.
Table 1. Proportion of patients identifying notes as extremely important in achieving benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Taking care of health^a</th>
<th>Active role in care^ab</th>
<th>Feeling in control of care^a</th>
<th>Making the most of visits^ab</th>
<th>Remembering the plan of care^a</th>
<th>Preparing for visits^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value^c</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value^c</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>436 (58.80)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>233 (53.60)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>498 (67.10)</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>3358 (67.63)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>1471 (62.38)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>3452 (69.54)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>7073 (75.47)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2453 (66.07)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>6652 (70.99)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>5487 (73.74)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1977 (64.88)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5124 (65.76)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10,376 (72.96)</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>3954 (65.99)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>10,212 (71.82)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5978 (72.04)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2180 (61.22)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5514 (66.46)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>13,012 (72.24)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4757 (64.03)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>12,523 (69.53)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>455 (83.80)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>93 (73.00)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>436 (80.30)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>824 (76.60)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>433 (73.00)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>797 (74.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>535 (76.00)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>236 (68.80)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>522 (74.20)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple races</td>
<td>578 (75.70)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>322 (69.00)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>560 (73.30)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>626 (80.50)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>217 (67.40)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>612 (78.70)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>14,845 (72.69)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5641 (64.66)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>14,282 (69.93)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters or doctoral degree</td>
<td>5713 (71.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1958 (62.12)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5410 (67.55)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or some graduate school</td>
<td>5210 (71.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2052 (64.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5101 (69.84)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or technical school</td>
<td>3591 (76.40)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1563 (68.07)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>3450 (73.40)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>1113 (79.73)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>335 (68.90)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>106 (76.50)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>372 (80.50)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>142 (72.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>361 (78.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>15,136 (72.84)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>5728 (64.58)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>14,567 (70.10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>Taking care of health&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Active role in care&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Feeling in control of care&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Making the most of visits&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Remembering the plan of care&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Preparing for visits&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>P value&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent, very good, or good</td>
<td>13,134 (72.76)</td>
<td>4853 (65.09)</td>
<td>12,717 (70.45)</td>
<td>4752 (63.73)</td>
<td>11,853 (65.66)</td>
<td>9050 (50.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair or poor</td>
<td>2452 (74.21)</td>
<td>1047 (63.38)</td>
<td>2275 (68.86)</td>
<td>1052 (63.68)</td>
<td>2283 (69.10)</td>
<td>1778 (53.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of notes read</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>869 (54.80)</td>
<td>429 (51.40)</td>
<td>892 (56.20)</td>
<td>421 (50.40)</td>
<td>830 (52.30)</td>
<td>552 (34.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or 3</td>
<td>5815 (66.86)</td>
<td>2244 (59.81)</td>
<td>5619 (64.64)</td>
<td>2176 (57.96)</td>
<td>5251 (60.40)</td>
<td>3780 (43.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 or more</td>
<td>9098 (80.56)</td>
<td>3223 (71.34)</td>
<td>867.6 (76.83)</td>
<td>3202 (70.89)</td>
<td>8194 (72.55)</td>
<td>6615 (58.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know or not sure</td>
<td>572 (60.70)</td>
<td>238 (53.00)</td>
<td>539 (57.20)</td>
<td>234 (52.20)</td>
<td>546 (57.90)</td>
<td>399 (42.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Answering 8 to 10 on a 0-10 scale.

<sup>b</sup>Only asked at University of Washington Medicine.

<sup>c</sup>P values from the chi-square test for independence between categorical measures.
Table 2. Proportions of patients reporting risks from reading notes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Notes were very confusing&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Very concerned about privacy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>More worried after reading notes&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>8 (1.00)</td>
<td>48 (7.00)</td>
<td>46 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>112 (2.30)</td>
<td>433 (9.00)</td>
<td>256 (5.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>285 (3.10)</td>
<td>1284 (13.96)</td>
<td>471 (5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>332 (4.50)</td>
<td>764 (10.40)</td>
<td>305 (4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>481 (3.40)</td>
<td>1488 (10.68)</td>
<td>721 (5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>256 (3.10)</td>
<td>1041 (12.81)</td>
<td>357 (4.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>570 (3.20)</td>
<td>1828 (10.10)</td>
<td>807 (4.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>17 (3.10)</td>
<td>104 (19.20)</td>
<td>32 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>42 (4.00)</td>
<td>174 (16.20)</td>
<td>65 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25 (4.00)</td>
<td>129 (18.30)</td>
<td>50 (7.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple races</td>
<td>25 (3.00)</td>
<td>105 (13.70)</td>
<td>45 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>23 (3.00)</td>
<td>101 (13.00)</td>
<td>43 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>659 (3.20)</td>
<td>2280 (11.16)</td>
<td>962 (4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s or doctoral degree</td>
<td>218 (2.70)</td>
<td>891 (11.10)</td>
<td>330 (4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or some</td>
<td>208 (2.90)</td>
<td>796 (10.90)</td>
<td>359 (4.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graduate school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or technical school</td>
<td>183 (3.90)</td>
<td>585 (12.50)</td>
<td>245 (5.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>78 (6.00)</td>
<td>149 (10.70)</td>
<td>82 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21 (5.00)</td>
<td>67 (15.00)</td>
<td>29 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>664 (3.20)</td>
<td>2327 (11.20)</td>
<td>978 (4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent, very good, or good</td>
<td>547 (3.00)</td>
<td>1996 (11.06)</td>
<td>710 (3.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair or poor</td>
<td>138 (4.20)</td>
<td>429 (13.00)</td>
<td>301 (9.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of notes read</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33 (2.00)</td>
<td>165 (10.70)</td>
<td>51 (3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or 3</td>
<td>239 (2.80)</td>
<td>904 (10.60)</td>
<td>369 (4.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 or more</td>
<td>420 (3.80)</td>
<td>1331 (12.02)</td>
<td>614 (5.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know or not sure</td>
<td>45 (5.00)</td>
<td>129 (14.50)</td>
<td>44 (5.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Proportion answering 8 to 10 on a 0-10 scale.

<sup>b</sup>Proportion answering more worried.

<sup>c</sup>P values from chi-square test of independence between categorical measures.
### Table 3. Adjusted relative risk and 95% CI of identifying notes as very important for potential benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Taking care of health (95% CI)</th>
<th>Having an active role in care (95% CI)</th>
<th>Feeling in control of care (95% CI)</th>
<th>Making the most of visits (95% CI)</th>
<th>Remembering the plan of care (95% CI)</th>
<th>Preparing for office visits (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07-1.22)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07-1.29)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.10)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.05-1.28)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.12)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.03-1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>1.27 (1.20-1.36)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.13-1.36)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.01-1.12)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.16-1.40)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.01-1.14)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.18-1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>1.25 (1.18-1.33)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.14-1.38)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.11)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.2-1.46)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.11)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.21-1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00-1.04)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.04-1.11)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.05-1.09)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01-1.08)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.04-1.08)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00-1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.13 (1.09-1.18)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.00-1.24)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.16)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.03-1.27)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.08-1.19)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.14-1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.15)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02-1.15)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.03-1.11)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.08-1.22)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.08-1.17)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.11-1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple races</td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.10)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.01-1.14)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.08)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03-1.17)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.09)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.02 (0.98-1.07)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.98-1.14)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.09)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.98-1.15)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.00-1.10)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s or doctoral degree</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year degree or some grad school</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99-1.03)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.09)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.09)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.06-1.11)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02-1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or technical school</td>
<td>1.06 (1.04-1.08)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.05-1.14)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.06-1.11)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.05-1.14)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.14-1.20)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.18-1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>1.11 (1.08-1.14)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.05-1.20)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.11-1.18)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07-1.22)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.19-1.27)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.31-1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.16)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.94-1.11)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.04-1.13)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.94-1.11)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.16)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent or very good</td>
<td>1.0 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0.95 (0.93-0.98)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.87-0.95)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.88-0.93)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.88-0.96)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96-1.02)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.92-0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair or poor</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96-1.00)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93-1.00)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.93-0.96)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.92-0.98)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98-1.03)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary language</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.07 (1.02-1.13)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.99-1.20)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.04-1.15)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03-1.23)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.06-1.18)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19-1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of notes read</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1.20 (1.14-1.26)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.08-1.25)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.09-1.20)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.07-1.24)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.09-1.20)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.14-1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>1.44 (1.37-1.51)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.29-1.48)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.31-1.43)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.30-1.51)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.30-1.43)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.51-1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>1.08 (1.01-1.16)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.92-1.15)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.95-1.10)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.93-1.17)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.99-1.15)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.03-1.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Informal care partners [27,28] and to those in search of informal second opinions from both lay and professional associates.

In this survey, patients who are potentially the most vulnerable—those who are older, less educated, non-white, Hispanic, or not English speakers at home—reported the most benefit. By virtue, both of taking the initiative to sign onto a patient portal and reading their notes, they may not be representative of other Americans with similar demographics, as is true for everyone in our sample. But this finding extends and amplifies findings in earlier inquiries [5-7,9,10,12,13,21]. Vulnerable patients may come to a visit with a lower baseline sense of control and knowledge than others; they may also have more difficulty understanding or retaining what practitioners say or emphasize. Assisted at times by family members or other acquaintances who can help interpret and research points made in notes, the possibility of review in their homes may contribute to their particular enthusiasm for this new opportunity. Moreover, in several studies, patients who are disadvantaged may trust their health professionals less than those with social and educational backgrounds similar to their clinicians [29]. Shared notes can increase trust, as studies and anecdotes from both patients and clinicians suggest [24,26]. That particularly vulnerable patients may gain the most from open notes is worthy of further inquiry. Similarly, the fact that minority patients are more concerned about privacy than white patients also deserves further study.

As some of our findings suggest, embedding open notes into clinical practice faces many challenges. Even in these 3 mature institutions, the majority of their patients have not registered on their patient portals, and half of the responding nonreaders did not know that notes were available—even though they were using their portals for other purposes. Moreover, contrary to our predictions, interchange in the clinician’s office about past notes was infrequent. Here, there were also substantial differences across the sites. Patients from rural Pennsylvania were less likely than those in Boston and Seattle to report speaking with their providers about note reading. However, they were also more likely to report benefits from reading, including greater feelings of control of their care and better preparation for office visits. Access to clinicians’ notes may offer particular benefits to rural populations, a possibility that warrants further study.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, the largest assessment to date of patients who read a wide variety of clinicians’ notes over time, patients report that reading clinical notes brings them substantial benefit. The respondents represent urban and rural settings, varying education levels, and broad age and racial distributions, and they had access to notes composed by most clinicians providing primary care and ambulatory care in medical, surgical, and mental health specialties. About two-thirds of patients describe notes as extremely important in increasing their sense of control, improving recall and understanding of their plans for care, and better preparing them for visits. Few reported confusion or increased worries, and those patients from medically underserved groups reported the most benefit. Whether or not they chose to review their notes, patients overwhelmingly approved the practice, with a majority reporting that access to notes would be extremely important in determining their future choice of clinicians. On the contrary, only a third of patients recalled discussing their notes during visits or having their clinicians recommend that they read them.

Comparison With Other Studies

These reports from almost 23,000 patients who read their notes amplify and reinforce the findings from smaller, more targeted, and shorter studies within primary care practices and discrete clinical specialties [5-7,9,12,13,21]. Many respondents had read notes over several years, and these results may foretell a steady state of patient experiences over the longer term. The high proportion of patients reporting benefits from reading notes did not diminish compared with our first survey in primary care patients several years ago, and more patients reported mentioning their note reading to their providers (32%, up from 19%) [3].

Implications of the Findings

Focusing on clinically important process measures, these results strongly suggest that transparency helps patients feel more engaged in their care. This is an important finding, given that a growing body of evidence indicates that engaged patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans and medications, follow through on screening and prevention protocols, detect and prevent errors, and adopt more effective management strategies for chronic illnesses [22-26].

Although the time-honored principle of patient-clinician confidentiality is not in itself affected by open notes, it is up to patients to decide whether or not to disclose their medical information to others. More than a third of respondents reported sharing notes with someone else, almost twice the rate reported in 2012 in the study of primary care patients in the same institutions [3]. Shared notes may be particularly helpful to
and the size of the sample analyzed gives further weight to the findings. It should be noted also that the majority of respondents were white, in good health, and highly educated. It is difficult to draw firm conclusions about the benefits to non-English speakers because the survey was only offered in English; subsequent surveys could be administered in other languages and also explore issues of health literacy. Finally, the literature on the impact of portals on patients is often confused by lack of specificity about different functionalities. A strength of our study is that we took several steps to make sure patients had experience reading notes and were reporting on reading visit notes, rather than on other information available on their portals.

Unanswered Questions and Future Directions

Some argue today that fully open medical records are simply ...the right thing to do, and this large survey of patients furnishes further evidence that their benefits outweigh their risks, certainly from the point of view of patients. Although the findings confirm that the benefits of note reading extend beyond primary care practices to virtually all specialties and types of clinicians, more needs to be learned about using open notes as a tool for communication and promoting interaction between patients and clinicians across health care venues and populations. The Department of Health and Human Services has proposed new rules that would increase patient access and control of their medical information, and easy electronic access to notes could become the law of the land [31]. To what degree can active educational interventions help patients learn optimally from what their records document? And in the future, might patients also contribute to their records by providing interval histories and articulating their goals for a visit in their own words, thereby enriching narratives, promoting focused interactions, and hopefully off-loading work from beleaguered clinicians? [24]. At a time when medical practice in the United States is moving toward shared notes as a new standard, the patients participating in this study offer both affirmative and provocative reports, but building shared notes into the fabric of care remains a work in progress.
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Abstract

Background: The use of health technology by older people is coming increasingly in focus with the demographic changes. Health information technology is generally perceived as an important factor in enabling increased quality of life and reducing the cost of care for this group. Age-appropriate design and facilitation of technology adoption are important to ensure functionality and removal of various barriers to usage. Development of assessment tools and instruments for evaluating older persons’ technology adoption and usage as well as measuring the effects of the interventions are of high priority. Both usability and acceptance of a specific technology or service are important factors in evaluating the impact of a health information technology intervention. Psychometric measures are seldom included in evaluations of health technology. However, basic attitudes and sentiments toward technology (eg, technophilia) could be argued to influence both the level of satisfaction with the technology itself as well as the perception of the health intervention outcome.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to develop a reduced and refined instrument for measuring older people’s attitudes and enthusiasm for technology based on relevant existing instruments for measuring technophilia. A requirement of the new instrument is that it should be short and simple to make it usable for evaluation of health technology for older people.

Methods: Initial items for the TechPH questionnaire were drawn from a content analysis of relevant existing technophilia measure instruments. An exploratory factor analysis was conducted in a random selection of persons aged 65 years or older (N=374) on eight initial items. The scale was reduced to six items, and the internal consistency and reliability of the scale were examined. Further validation was made by a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA).

Results: The exploratory factor analysis resulted in two factors. These factors were analyzed and labeled techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety. They demonstrated relatively good internal consistency (Cronbach alpha=.72 and .68, respectively). The factors were confirmed in the CFA and showed good model fit ($\chi^2=21.2$, $\chi^2/df=2.65$, comparative fit index=0.97, adjusted goodness-of-fit index=0.95, root mean square error of approximation=0.067, standardized root mean square residual=0.036).

Conclusions: The construed TechPH score showed expected relations to external real-world criteria, and the two factors showed interesting internal relations. Different technophilia personality traits distinguish clusters with different behaviors of adaptation as well as usage of new technology. Whether there is an independent association with the TechPH score against outcomes in health technology projects needs to be shown in further studies. The instrument must also be validated in different contexts, such as other countries.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13951) doi:10.2196/13951
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Introduction

Background
Older people’s use of technology is increasingly coming in focus with the demographic changes. Gerontechnology (technology for the aging population) is a growing field in transdisciplinary research as well as in the development of new products [1,2]. Previous research into older people’s technology use has identified that the design [3,4] and technology adoption [5,6] perspectives are important to ensure appropriate functionality and remove various barriers for use. Personal factors, such as self-efficacy and proficiency [5,7,8], as well as subjective technology adaptivity [9,10] have also been identified as significant predictors of technology use in old age.

One area that has attracted interest is the use of technology by older adults in various health settings, both in formal health care [11,12] and from salutogenic perspectives [13,14] targeting social isolation and participation. However, there is a strong need to find evidence of the effectiveness and efficiency of health technology interventions, which is becoming increasingly important as the number of available health technology solutions grows [15].

Several instruments for evaluating interactions with health technology exist today. Common theoretical concepts addressed in those instruments are effectiveness, efficiency, hardware/software, perceived ease of use, and satisfaction, although validated psychometric instruments measuring personality traits are sparse [16].

One of the most widely used instruments is the technology acceptance model (TAM) [17] and its subsequent developments [18] for evaluating attitudes predicting intentions to use and how users come to accept and use a particular information technology. In this model, two specific factors determine the user’s acceptance: perceived usefullness and perceived ease of use. Although TAM was not developed specifically for health information technology (HIT), it has found its way into this area as a measurement of end users’ reactions to HIT [19]. Expansions to adapt TAM to a more specific HIT context have been made: the health information technology acceptance model (HITAM) [20]. Application and problematization of TAM toward older persons have also been made: the senior technology acceptance model [21] and HITAM of older persons [22]. The importance of contextual factors [23,24], as well as the usability and acceptability for older adults with mild cognitive impairment and dementia [25] have recently been in focus.

Another widely used model is the Delone and McLean information systems (IS) success model, which considers constructs of intention to use, user satisfaction, and net benefits as the outcomes of three sets of indicators that are information quality, service quality, and system quality [26]. The Delone and McLean IS success model and its extended variants, such as human, organization, and technology-fit [27], have been widely used in health technology [28]. How traits affected by or closely related to technophobia, such as technostress [29], can impact the satisfaction construct in the Delone and McLean IS model has been discussed [30].

Usability is another essential aspect of HIT evaluation. Both the design and evaluation of artifact, efficiency, effectiveness, and satisfaction are important. The Health Information Technology Usability Evaluation Scale [31] and the System Usability Scale [32] are instruments for usability evaluation that have been used in a HIT context [33,34]. In what is an extension of the TAM perspective, Kamin and Lang [10] explored the motivational resources for older persons’ technology use by the concept of subjective personal adaptivity. They found that positive beliefs about the benefits of technology, the time and effort invested to learn how to use technology, and a sense of trustworthiness and safety while using technology is connected to both perceived technology competence and technology usage.

Usability, acceptance, motivation, and adoption of a specific technology or service are important factors in evaluating the impact of a HIT intervention. However, basic attitudes and sentiments toward technology (eg, technophilia) could be argued to influence both the level of satisfaction with the technology itself as well as the perception of the health intervention outcome. This would constitute a personality trait, an underlying factor that would create a preintervention entry level of acceptance and interest, positive or negative. Edision and Geissler [35] argue that this “affinity” toward technology is a more general attitude that precedes the more specific attitudes resulting from the rational (reasoned or planned) process that is measured in TAM. Ploclennick et al [36] found that technophilia has a direct influence on perceived usefulness in TAM. Ronit [37] sees technophilia as the enthusiasm toward technology with its rewarded and knowledgeable adoption correlated with both perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. Kamin and Lang [9,10] showed a correlation between usability and utility and a subjectively perceived interest and competence.

Technophilia
Technophilia has no universally established definition but generally refers to a strong enthusiasm and love for modern technology. Seebauer et al [38] define it as “an attitude toward ICT [internet and communication technology], representing a subaspect of technology-related values, just as ICT are a subcategory of modern technology.” Osicenciu [39] defines technophilia as an “attraction, enthusiasm of the human individual determined by the activities which involve the use of advanced technologies. It is expressed by easy adaptation to the social changes brought by technological innovations.” Martínez-Córcoles et al [40] suggest that merely enthusiasm and desire is not enough for technophilia, but also an acquired need for (dependency) and joy of having and displaying the latest products/versions (technoreputation). In a working paper, Li and Fuller [41] suggest a definition of technophilia as “positive affective states that arise momentarily in response to an individual’s ICT context that is appraised to exceed his or her expectations and goals.”

In their review of previous related research on technophilia and similar concepts, Seebauer et al [38] found three hierarchically nested perspectives on technophilia. At the top level, they found values connected to general technology-related values reflecting
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global beliefs in societal progress through technology. At the intermediate level were attitudes referring more specifically to ICT as a part of modern technologies. The lowest level was constituted by a keen interest in and use of a specific technology or service as a subcategory of ICT. Openness toward technology and innovation influences personal dedication to certain technological artifacts and services, whereas feelings of low enthusiasm may work in the opposite direction [38]. Donat et al [42] refer to this lower (negative) end of technology enthusiasm as technophobia, and their construct is made up from two opposite ends where technophilia is at the positive end. Nimrod [43] includes this positive end of the spectrum in her construct of a technophobia scale while investigating ICT use among older adults. Osiceanu [39] further views technophobia as the negative feelings about using technology, but also includes perceptions on the adverse effects that technology may have on society in the construct. This is a common way to conceptualize technophobia construct with both factors concerning personal feelings toward technology use together with overall perceptions of technology in society.

In this study, we choose to avoid the complexity of bringing the two concepts of technophilia and technophobia together and simply let technophilia refer to a person’s enthusiasm for and positive feelings toward their technology use and absence of the fears and doubts some older people could have about their ability to manage using new technology. It constitutes a personality trait, an underlying psychological construct [38] that would create a pretechnology and preintervention entry level of acceptance and interest. This could also be connected to other psychological characteristics (ie, the personality traits of openness and neuroticism) [44].

Steinerman et al [45] report that technophilia is a consistent predictor of openness to research participation for older adults and that research with older adults that incorporates technology should consider technophilia to be more successful in recruiting participants for the study.

Older people compared to younger persons are sometimes reported to score lower on technophilia scales [38]. Still, older people are not a homogeneous group, and there may be differences between “younger” old and “older” old individuals. Therefore, it is of importance to create an instrument with the ability to discriminate between high and low technophilia individuals within various groups of older people.

Objectives

The purpose of this study is to develop a reduced and refined instrument for measuring older people’s attitudes and enthusiasm for technology based on relevant existing instruments for measuring technophilia (named TechPH, short for technophilia).

Technophilia is a general quality for any individual’s relationship to technology that could potentially influence a wide range of aspects of technology use, such as adoption, continuity, and perceived outcome.

In this text, we contextualize this general quality with a focus on older people for use in health technology intervention research as a complement to existing instruments. The new instrument requires that it should be short and simple to make it usable for older people.

Methods

Data Collection and Sample

Data were obtained from a sample of participants in the Swedish National Study of Aging and Care (SNAC). SNAC is a longitudinal cohort study of a representative sample of the aging Swedish population that began data collection in 2001. It is a comprehensive, interdisciplinary study that investigates the health and living conditions of the Swedish population aged 60 years and older. A detailed outline of the SNAC study is available by Lagergren et al [46]. Our study sample was based on participants from one of the four regions in the SNAC study, the SNAC Blekinge (SNAC-B) cohort with individuals living in the municipality of Karlskrona.

Data were collected through a questionnaire that was sent out in October 2017 to all participants in the SNAC-B study who were alive in January 2017 (N=878). Of these, 18 had deceased before answering the questionnaire. A total of 659 persons responded, corresponding to a response rate of 77% (659/860). Among nonresponders, 28% (57/201) were unable to respond due to their health conditions (eg, severe dementia or other diseases) and were considered nonusers of ICT. In this study, only individuals who responded that they were ICT users were included (N=374). Demographic data for the study population (ie, age, gender, and educational level) are presented in Table 1.

Measures and Scale Development

Development of the new short instrument, TechPH, was made in three steps. First, a search was made in Web of Science and Google Scholar for technophilia measurement instruments to use as a background for building the new instrument. To be included, the articles had to contain a psychometric instrument for use on the individual level. Eight relevant instruments were found to match the criteria (Multimedia Appendix 1), of which seven reported a full instrument and were included in this study. Instrument 8 [40] did not include the full instrument in their article and could not be used in the content analysis. Overarching themes (emotional, personal gain, openness/curiosity, competence, general attitudes) were identified through content analysis and items corresponding to these themes were constructed with a five-point Likert scale questionnaire, ranging from 1 (fully disagree) to 5 (fully agree). Following the instruments in the analysis, both questions with a positive and a negative direction were constructed to make sure both the lower and the higher end of the spectrum of technophilia were sufficiently covered. The instruments used in the analysis also gave reason to assume that more than one factor could be present. A total of six to eight questions was considered ideal both for the length of the instrument and for the case that more than one factor would emerge from the factor analysis.
Table 1. Demographic data for the study population, including age, gender, and educational level (N=374).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>196 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>178 (47.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>72.6 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-75, n (%)</td>
<td>232 (62.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-96, n (%)</td>
<td>142 (38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level, a n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>94 (26.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>135 (38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>131 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aN=360 for education level. Education was categorized in three groups according to the previous Swedish education system, relevant for the age groups in this study (low: those who did not finish secondary school; medium: those who finished secondary school but no further education; high: those with some form of higher education).

The new instrument resulting from the content analysis was able to build on the previous instruments by including all themes in the same instrument. The analysis showed that none of the seven existing instruments investigated covered all the themes resulting from the analysis, making the new developed short instrument (TechPH) more comprehensive than its predecessors.

Expert knowledge in gerontology from both the medical side (gerontologist) and the technical side (design expert) was used to phrase and formulate the questions for the target group.

Secondly, the questionnaire was pretested and cognitive interviews [47] were made with the target group (eight individuals of both sexes varying in age between 60 and 82 years). The interview persons were given the 8-item questionnaire and were encouraged to think-aloud when they read them. The interviewer would also follow-up with verbal probing (ie, questions about how the interview persons understood the question) based on item wording, terminology, and if the structure was clear and easy to understand. Specifically, the questions “Can you repeat the question I just asked in your own words? Was there anything confusing about this question? What does the word <term> mean to you as it is used in the question? Tell me what you were thinking when I asked about <topic of question>.” The item questions were then revised according to the feedback from the interviews with respect to the verbal probing. Especially important was to make sure that the questionnaire was using terminology relevant to older people using technology to ensure face validity. The resulting questionnaire items (Table 2) was also pretested on four persons from the target group.

Finally, factor analyses were made. First exploratory factor analysis to see the factor structure and decide on a one or multiple factor solution. Before the exploratory factor analysis, Bartlett test of sphericity was used to ensure significant correlation and Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test of sampling adequacy for sufficient variance among the items. Maximum likelihood factoring and Promax with Kaiser normalization were used, and only factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 were included. The reliability of the questionnaire was calculated with the Cronbach alpha coefficient to ensure sufficient internal consistency.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of suggested instrument items (N=374).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire item</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I think it’s fun with new technological gadgets</td>
<td>3.40 (1.19)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Using technology makes life easier for me</td>
<td>3.78 (1.27)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I like to acquire the latest models or updates</td>
<td>2.53 (1.34)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I am sometimes afraid of not being able to use the new technical things</td>
<td>2.90 (1.35)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Today, the technological progress is so fast that it’s hard to keep up</td>
<td>3.73 (1.22)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I would have dared to try new technical gadgets to a greater extent if I had had more support and help than I have today</td>
<td>3.10 (1.41)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. People who do not have access to the internet have a real disadvantage because of all that they are missing out on</td>
<td>4.13 (1.16)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Too much technology makes society vulnerable</td>
<td>4.10 (1.07)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmatory factor analysis was then used to verify the factor structure. Measures of fit are reported, such as chi-square statistic and its significance, the adjusted goodness-of-fit Index (AGFI), the comparative fit index (CFI), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR).

Finally, an index based on sum scores was calculated [48], and sociodemographic attributes and self-assessed technical competence were assessed for group comparisons and as indicators of criterion validity.

Results

Exploratory Factor Analysis

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test of sampling adequacy was in the adequate range of 0.76 [49] and the Bartlett test of sphericity ($\chi^2=554.1$ was significant ($P<.001$), indicating that the items were appropriate for a factor analysis [50].

A two-factor solution for technophilia emerged with an eigenvalue greater than 1 and examination of the scree plot. A one-factor solution was also tested for but showed low internal consistency and was discarded. The two factors were distinctly different with respective clear loadings. The factor with questions regarding positive feelings toward technology (ie, items 1-3) contained items with various aspects of enthusiasm toward technology was accordingly named techEnthusiasm.

The factor with more negative feelings (items 4-6), contained items with different aspects of anxiety toward technology with respect to use and competency and was named techAnxiety.

The questions regarding general attitudes (items 7 and 8) gave low loadings and cross-loaded on both factors above the recommended maximum threshold of 0.32 [51]; therefore, they were removed.

The final six-item solution (Table 3) gave satisfactory loadings of above 0.5 [50], and a total variance explained of 63.5% for the two factors together.

Convergent validity with average variance extracted above 0.5 [50] and discriminant validity shown with only small cross-loadings, together with a factor correlation of −0.48. The reliability of the questionnaire, in terms of internal consistency, was calculated by Cronbach alpha (Table 3) with satisfactory results for the small item number (techEnthusiasm Cronbach alpha=.72 and techAnxiety Cronbach alpha=.68).

Confirmatory Factor Analysis

The final confirmatory factor analysis conducted showed relatively good [52] fit indexes for the two-factor model ($\chi^2=21.2$, $\chi^2/df=2.65$, CFI=0.97, AGFI=0.95, RMSEA=0.067, SRMR=0.036). The model showed satisfactory (>0.5) standardized factor loadings given the sample size [50] confirming construct validity. Table 4 shows the standardized parameter estimates.

Table 3. Exploratory factor analysis loadings and Cronbach alphas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>techEnthusiasm</th>
<th>techAnxiety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I think it’s fun with new technological gadgets</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Using technology makes life easier for me</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I like to acquire the latest models or updates</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I am sometimes afraid of not being able to use the new technical things</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Today, the technological progress is so fast that it’s hard for me to keep up</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I would have dared to try new technical gadgets to a greater extent if I had had more support and help than I have today</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach alpha</td>
<td></td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Confirmatory factor analysis standardized factor loadings for TechPH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>techEnthusiasm</th>
<th>techAnxiety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I think it’s fun with new technological gadgets</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>_a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Using technology makes life easier for me</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I like to acquire the latest models or updates</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I am sometimes afraid of not being able to use the new technical things</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Today, the technological progress is so fast that it’s hard to keep up</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I would have dared to try new technical gadgets to a greater extent if I had had more support and help than I have today</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_aNot applicable.
**TechPH Index**

A composite score [50] (see Table 5) was created from the six items in the two factors, techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety (the latter reversely coded due to the negative correlation). Each item was weighted with its loading before sum scores were created and averaged [48] and standardized back to a 1 to 5 scale so that the TechPH index could be interpreted on a five-point response scale, ranging from 1 (fully disagree) to 5 (fully agree), where the higher the index indicates a higher level of technophilia.

Distribution of all individuals’ scores in the two factors, techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety, is presented in a scatterplot (see Figure 1). A low negative correlation between the two factors was observed ($R^2 = .12$).

**Group Comparison**

The TechPH index (Table 5) was used for group comparison for gender, age group, level of education, self-assessed technical skills, and internet use frequency. This comparison yielded significant ($P < .05$) results. Cronbach alpha was .71, which signifies moderately good reliability of the index and internal consistency.

The TechPH index scores reflect the same finding or assumptions in technology acceptance by demographic variables; the index decreases in the older old ($\geq 75$ years) group and is slightly higher for men, which confirms other findings with regard to age groups and gender [53].

### Table 5. TechPH index: descriptives and group test statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TechPH index, mean (SD)</th>
<th>$t$ test (df)</th>
<th>$F$ test ($df_1,df_2$)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>3.01 (0.86)</td>
<td>$^a$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>3.08 (0.88)</td>
<td>1.71 (372)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>2.93 (0.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.89 (372)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>3.11 (0.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 75$</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2.85 (0.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.96 (0.92)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 (2,357)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2.96 (0.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3.07 (0.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assessed technical skill$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.40 (2,337)</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.38 (0.64)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.18 (0.70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.02 (0.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet use frequency$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2.59 (0.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.26 (2,338)</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.87 (0.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.38 (0.84)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Not applicable.

$^b$“How skilled do you consider yourself when it comes to using a smartphone or a tablet?” Low=not at all skilled, medium=average skilled, high=very skilled.

$^c$All post hoc (Tukey) group mean differences were significant at the .05 level.

$^d$The participants were categorized as high=daily, medium=at least once a week but not daily, low=less than once a week.
Discussion

This project set out to create a short instrument to enable measuring of technophilia for use among older persons participating in health technology research projects. The requirements were that it should be based on existing validated instruments and that it should be short and simple to make it usable for older people.

The resulting instrument consists of six items in two factors measuring techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety as factors of technophilia. Factor analysis of the instrument showed the feasibility of a two-factor model. Better fit for a two-factor model, compared to a one-factor model, shows that techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety are not just inverse ends of the same continuum, but two independent factors that could influence various aspects of the use of technology. There is a slight reverse correlation between them (Figure 1).

The results in Table 5 complies with general findings, and assumptions about gender, age, technical skills, and internet use frequency correlates with technology adoption. The correlation between technical skills and TechPH confirms other findings regarding reduced fear of technology by gaining technical skills [54,55]. Seifert and Schelling [56] showed that affinity for technology has a positive impact on internet use. This is also consistent with the findings of Nimrod [43] with similar relations to education, gender, and age, as well as use of technology (in this case, the internet) as in our study. Nimrod investigated technophobia with Sinkovics et al [57] with a 13-item and 3-factor instrument (personal failure, human versus machine ambiguity, perceived convenience). This is a similar setup to TechPH, with different factors pointing both to a lower and higher end of the technophilia/technophobia spectrum. Nimrod measured technophobia specifically toward ICT, such as “computers, internet, and mobile phones,” thus making some of the questions border a measure of a utility perspective rather than a personality trait with feelings toward technology in general.

Concerning techEnthusiasm, item 1 (“I think it’s fun with new technological gadgets”) loaded strongly on the techEnthusiasm factor, which was expected based on the theoretically assumed relationship with the latent variable, confirming that an item (observed variable) that closely reflects the latent variable should be highly correlated with that for a valid model. Item 2 (“Using technology makes life easier for me”) and item 3 (“I like to acquire the latest models or updates”) loaded somewhat weaker
but are still seen as conceptually valid for the construct as a whole.

On the techAnxiety side, item 4 (“I am sometimes afraid of not being able to use the new technical things”) closely reflects techAnxiety both in articulation and relatively high correlation. It should be noted that this item considers an internal cause, that is an inability to use technology. Item 5 (“Today, the technological progress is so fast that it’s hard to keep up”) reflects the anxiety over a perceived inability to internalize and relate to the fast, technological progress and connects to a “technostress” [58]. Item 6 (“I would have dared to try new technical gadgets to a greater extent if I had had more support and help than I have today”) refer to the anxiety older people can feel about their lacking ability to handle technology on their own and fear of social isolation and lack of support of the aging population [59].

The two questions regarding general attitudes, items 7 and 8 (ie, “People who do not have access to the internet have a real disadvantage because of all that they are missing out on” and “Too much technology makes society vulnerable”), did not load sufficiently on any of the factors and did not make up a factor of their own. Both these items showed high means and medians and had poor discriminant value, signifying that these attitudes are shared between persons both with high and low techPH. This result is similar to that of Seifert and Schelling [56], in which both onliners and offliners with a high affinity for technology attributed a high value to the internet (in this case, for staying independent longer in old age).

It might be assumed that a high techEnthusiasm score is associated with a low techAnxiety score and vice versa, but it is still possible that both scores could be high or low together. This could have implications for a medium score and needs to be investigated further when TechPH is tested in health technology projects.

An interpretation of a set of high scores in both techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety factors could be that the individual has a basic positive attitude or enthusiasm to technology, but also feels limitations. A lack of interest in technology could be the reason why a person might show low degrees of technology enthusiasm and anxiety simultaneously. However, this lack of interest in technology does not necessarily indicate ignoring technology benefits in general, but it might be the personal attitude about their necessity for the current situation of the respondent [23].

From this perspective, TechPH could be hypothesized to have an effect on the outcome that is separate from the planned intention to use or the perceived usefulness of the application itself. Another assumption is that this could have an impact on how a person perceives problems with use and nonuse friendliness and make a person more error tolerant. This could possibly skew the usability measurements and constitute a confounder to the measured health effect outcome. In smaller studies, especially in randomized controlled studies, this would be a variable of interest to study. This is similar to the effect that Kamin and Lang [10] suggest while exploring the motivational resources for older persons’ technology use by the concept of subjective personal adaptivity. They argue that usability testing might be misleading if motivational factors moderating task performance in person technology transactions are not considered.

Whether this is a personal trait influencing attitudes toward technology that is related to age or physical or cognitive problems will be tested in further studies. We can also assume that the impact on the factors in TechPH is affected differently depending on the type of health technology being evaluated. It could be assumed that the techAnxiety factor has a greater impact on technology that influences items such as personal privacy.

A strength of the instrument introduced in this study is that it is based on previously validated, relevant instruments. It is shortened as much as possible, to three variables per factor [60], and articulated by expert analysis to be suitable for older people. The factor analysis is based on a satisfactory sample size of the general population of older adults from a midsized community in Sweden. Overall, the instrument performed as expected and will now be tested for its prediction ability of the outcome for a health technology project with older people.

In conclusion, we suggest that different technophilia traits distinguish clusters with different behaviors of adaptation as well as usage of new technology and hypothesize that this can be measured with the TechPH score. Whether there is an independent association with the TechPH score or either of the two factors contributing to the score, techEnthusiasm and techAnxiety, against outcomes in health technology projects needs to be shown in further studies. The instrument must also be validated in different contexts, such as other countries.
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Abstract

Background: With the availability and capabilities of varied technologically enhanced learning activities, the blended learning approach has become increasingly popular in interprofessional education. The combined use of different technologically enhanced learning activities has not been fully examined, particularly to determine the effects of instructional sequences for effective learning outcomes.

Objective: The objective of this study was to investigate whether the instructional sequences of a blended learning approach can improve students’ learning outcomes on interprofessional competencies.

Methods: A randomized controlled study was conducted with 40 interprofessional health care teams. These teams undertook three technologically enhanced learning activities—Web-based instruction (WI), virtual reality (VR), and simulation exercise (SE)—after random assignment to three groups based on three different instructional sequences (WI-VR-SE, WI-SE-VR, and SE-WI-VR). Pretests and posttests were conducted to evaluate the students’ learning outcomes on interprofessional competencies.

Results: A total of 198 participants from the three groups completed the questionnaires. All three groups reported significant improvement in their levels of self-efficacy ($P<.05$) and attitudes ($P<.001$) toward interprofessional team care about 1 month after the interprofessional learning activity. Although no significant difference was found ($P=.06$) between the WI-VR-SE and WI-SE-VR groups in the self-efficacy posttests, participants in the SE-WI-VR group reported significantly lower ($P<.05$) posttest scores than those in the WI-SE-VR group. The majority of the participants (137/198, 69.1%) selected the instructional sequence “WI-VR-SE” as their top preference.

Conclusions: This study shows that the instructional sequence of a blended learning approach can have a significant impact on students’ learning outcomes. The learning of concepts from WI followed by problem-solving activity in the SE was found to be a more effective learning sequence than the reverse sequence. We recommend that future studies focus on scaffolding students’ learning when planning instructional sequences for technologically enhanced learning activities within blended learning environments.
Technologically enhanced learning in health professional education has evolved rapidly from basic text-based learning to inclusion of more multimedia features (eg, video and animation), also known as Web-based multimedia instruction, to provide self-directed learning opportunities for learners on didactic material. Yavner et al [1] described Web-based multimedia instruction as a screen-based set of learning material in a personal computer or other multimedia devices that learners can read, listen to, and watch. Although Web-based multimedia instruction can be used to replace didactic learning methods (eg, lecture), integrating it with group learning activities and experiential learning (eg, patient encounter) can significantly enhance learning [2].

With the development of communication tools, there is a growing trend of electronic learning (e-learning) use in interprofessional learning to support interaction and promote information sharing among different health care professionals [3]. E-learning has been identified as a practical and accessible learning tool to overcome logistical challenges often associated with scheduling interprofessional learning activities across different health care courses [4]. Although the evidence of e-learning in improving interprofessional collaboration is significant, feelings of isolation among learners are commonly reported. As a result of diminishing face-to-face interactions, the use of e-learning may also affect interprofessional interactions/dynamics [5]. Therefore, it will be beneficial to use a blended learning approach that combines e-learning and face-to-face interactions to develop interprofessional competencies. A study by Riesen et al [6] found that a blended learning environment that included online, virtual face-to-face, and traditional face-to-face interactions improved health care students’ interprofessional competencies.

Advanced interactive technologies including game-based learning, virtual patient, and virtual reality have recently gained attention in health care education. A virtual reality environment generated by computers to create 3D realms allows every user to don the role of a virtual avatar and enables him/her to interact with the avatar in a real-time environment [7]. The use of this environment for collaborative learning is gaining popularity in health care education, as more health care professionals are searching for ways to develop their interprofessional collaborative practice competencies, as encouraged by the government and professional bodies. Many were also spurred by the success of the use of physical simulation for team training [8]. Implementing such training in a virtual environment offers several advantages, including overcoming logistical challenges (eg, facilities and scheduling) associated with physical simulation [9]. Studies have shown that the use of virtual simulations was found to be as effective as physical simulation in improving performance in acute care [10,11]. Blending virtual and physical simulations may optimize learning effectiveness [12].

Blended learning refers to combining computer-mediated learning with face-to-face interactions. This can involve a mix of Web-based technologies or various pedagogical approaches to support learning [13]. A systematic review on the effectiveness of blended learning in health professionals showed a consistent positive effect when compared with no intervention, which is better than or at least comparable to nonblended instruction for the acquisition of knowledge [14]. Another systematic review, which focused on the role of blended learning in health care clinical education, reported the potential of learning in improving clinical competencies and suggested that future research should go beyond a mere comparison with traditional approaches [2]. Rather, research into blended learning should pay attention to the ways of implementing a blended course effectively [14], including different blends of effective approaches, tools, and technologies [15].

Although the combined use of different learning modalities within blended learning environments has become increasingly popular in the delivery of interprofessional education [6], it remains unclear whether the instructional sequences of these learning modalities affect learning outcomes. In this study, a technologically enabled blended learning approach was designed to deliver an interprofessional learning activity on patient-centered team care for health care students. Based on the learning processes of concept building, experiential learning, and problem solving, three technologically enabled learning modalities—Web-based instruction (WI), virtual reality (VR) environment, and face-to-face simulation exercise (SE)—were implemented. The conventional instruction sequence often involves scaffolding of students’ learning that starts with the acquisition of content (concept building) using WI, engagement in experiential learning in VR to internalize the learned concepts, and the application of learning to problem solve in SE (ie, WI-VR-SE). Would other alternative sequences such as SE-WI-VR and WI-SE-VR be as effective? An initial exploratory problem-solving SE followed by concept building or experiential learning can unfold benefits by activating learners’ prior knowledge, enhancing their awareness of knowledge gaps, and helping them to relate new knowledge delivered by instructional and experiential learning [16].

This study aimed to investigate whether the instructional sequences of a blended learning approach can improve students’ learning outcomes on interprofessional competencies. The study also aimed to explore students’ evaluations of the different technologically enhanced learning modalities and their instructional sequences for the delivery of interprofessional education.
Methods

Study Designs, Setting, and Participants
After obtaining approval from institutional review boards of higher educational institutions, a prospective randomized controlled trial study with a pre-post test design was conducted on students undertaking health care courses (medicine, nursing, pharmacy, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and medical social work) who were in their senior year at three tertiary educational institutions. Participants were recruited via convenience sampling using email and Facebook. The results of a previous study were used to estimate the sample size [17]. For a moderate effect size, a power analysis suggested at least 52 samples in each group to achieve 80% power at 5% level of significance for one-way analysis of variance testing the differences among the three groups. With an estimate of 20% overall dropout rate, a minimum of 195 students (65 per group) were targeted for recruitment. The recruited participants were assigned to interprofessional teams, each consisting five to six health care students, with one member from each health care course. These interprofessional teams were randomly assigned to one of the three groups: WI-VR-SE (14 teams), WI-SE-VR (13 teams), and SE-WI-VR (13 teams). The grouping allocations were made known to the researcher but concealed from the participants.

Implementation of Blended Learning Strategies
The interprofessional training on multidisciplinary rounds for patient-centered team care was selected as the focus of the learning content. As shown in Figure 1, the interprofessional teams were assigned to undertake interprofessional learning using three different instructional sequences (WI-VR-SE, WI-SE-VR, and SE-WI-VR) at a university simulation center. At the WI station, the participants were brought into an individual room with a computer set up. At their own paces, they acquired the concept of team mental models for multidisciplinary rounds by watching a video of a patient case presentation. At the VR and SE stations, the participants formed an interprofessional team of five to six participants to engage in the learning activities in the multidisciplinary rounds. At the VR station, using their own health care professional avatars, the participants worked through virtual simulation scenarios for the delivery of team care on multidisciplinary rounds. This was followed by debriefing sessions led by a trained facilitator in the VR environment. At the SE station, after spending some time exploring a case study and discussing the plan of care, an interprofessional team would enter a simulated physical ward environment to perform a multidisciplinary round on a simulated patient with physical and psychosocial problems. Taken together, the entire learning process on multidisciplinary rounds for patient-centered team care involved concept building using WI, facilitator-led experiential learning in VR, and the application of learning to problem solve in SE.

Data Collection and Instruments
The participants were asked to complete questionnaires before and 1 month after the blended learning activity to evaluate their interprofessional competencies. A 5-item confidence scale with a 10-point scale, developed by Grundy [18] for measurement of confidence level related to a performance, was used to measure the participants’ level of self-efficacy in contributing to patient-centered care in an interprofessional team. The Cronbach alpha for this study was 0.79. A 24-item Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale (ISVS) with three subscales (self-perceived ability to work with others, value in working with others, and comfort in working with others) was used to measure the participants’ beliefs, behaviors, and attitudes in interprofessional socialization [19]. Each item was rated on a 5-point Likert scale. A high internal consistency of Cronbach alpha of 0.80 was obtained in this study. A 14-item Attitudes Toward Interprofessional Health Care Teams (ATIHCT) was administered to measure the participants’ attitudes toward health care teams [20]; this study obtained a high internal consistency with a Cronbach alpha of 0.80.

Figure 1. Three blended learning approaches. WI: Web-based instruction; VR: virtual reality; SE: simulation exercise.
An evaluation questionnaire was administered immediately after the blended learning activity to evaluate three learning modalities in relation to the levels of enthusiasm, help, and satisfaction on a 5-point Likert scale. A question on ranking the three instructional sequences in order of the learner’s preference was included in the evaluation questionnaire. All questionnaires were completed electronically to ensure that participants answered all the questions.

**Data Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to represent the demographic characteristics of the study population and the evaluation of the learning strategies. Analysis of variance with a posthoc test was carried out to determine the differences between the groups on demographic characteristics. A paired t-test was used to examine any significant changes between the baseline and posttest attitude scores measured by the ATIHCT and ISVS. Analysis of covariance was employed to evaluate the effect of the blended learning approach on attitudes and self-efficacy posttest scores by using pretest scores as a covariate.

**Results**

A total of 207 health care students participated in the blended learning activity. However, only 198 completed the questionnaires (WI-VR-SE: 73; WI-SE-VR: 60, SE-WI-VR: 65), with a response rate of 95.7% for the one-month posttest questionnaires (Figure 2).

As shown in Table 1, most of the participants were female (65.2%) and undertaking a degree course (69.7%). There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics among the three groups, including age ($P=.62$), gender ($P=.81$), type of qualification ($P=.81$), and type of health care course ($P=.21$). This suggested homogeneity of the participants between the three groups.

As shown in Figure 3, all three groups reported significantly higher levels ($P<.05$) of self-efficacy in performing interprofessional team care after interprofessional learning. However, the SE-WI-VR group had the lowest self-efficacy posttest mean scores. Between-group comparisons using analysis of covariance revealed a significant difference ($P=.03$) among the three groups in terms of self-efficacy posttest mean scores, with the SE-WI-VR group reporting significantly lower posttest scores than the WI-SE-VR groups after controlling the pretest scores ($P=.04$). There were no significant differences between the WI-VR-SE and WI-SE-VR groups in self-efficacy posttest scores after controlling the pretest scores ($P=.06$).

Table 2 shows that the posttest scores on interprofessional socialization using the ISVS increased significantly ($P<.001$) from the baseline scores for all three groups. However, no significant differences were found between the baseline and posttest scores on the ATIHCT for all three groups. Between-group comparisons also did not identify any significant differences in the attitude posttest scores for both the ISVS and ATIHCT after controlling the pretest scores.

As shown in Table 3, the mean score ratings on 5-point scales indicated that the participants were enthusiastic, satisfied, and able to perceive the helpfulness of the individual learning strategies. Among them, SE had the highest mean scores for level of help (mean 4.19, SD 0.79), level of satisfaction (mean 4.17, SD 0.79), and level of enthusiasm (mean 3.99, SD 0.68).
Table 1. Demographic characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall (n=73)</th>
<th>WI-VR SE group (n=65)</th>
<th>WI-SE-VR group (n=60)</th>
<th>SE-WI-VR group (n=60)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>22.60 (1.73)</td>
<td>22.73 (1.83)</td>
<td>22.63 (2.08)</td>
<td>22.44 (1.20)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69 (34.8)</td>
<td>27 (37.0)</td>
<td>19 (31.7)</td>
<td>23 (35.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>129 (65.2)</td>
<td>46 (63.0)</td>
<td>41 (68.3)</td>
<td>42 (64.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of qualification, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>138 (69.7)</td>
<td>49 (67.1)</td>
<td>47 (78.3)</td>
<td>42 (64.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>60 (30.3)</td>
<td>24 (32.9)</td>
<td>13 (21.7)</td>
<td>23 (35.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of health care course, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>38 (19.2)</td>
<td>14 (19.2)</td>
<td>13 (21.7)</td>
<td>11 (16.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>36 (18.2)</td>
<td>14 (19.2)</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>12 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>38 (19.2)</td>
<td>14 (19.2)</td>
<td>12 (20.0)</td>
<td>12 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational therapy</td>
<td>29 (14.6)</td>
<td>11 (15.1)</td>
<td>9 (15.0)</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
<td>22 (11.1)</td>
<td>7 (9.6)</td>
<td>6 (10.0)</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social work</td>
<td>35 (17.7)</td>
<td>13 (17.8)</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>12 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aWI: Web-based instruction.
bVR: virtual reality.
cSE: simulation exercise.

Figure 3. Mean (SD) self-efficacy scores at pretest and posttest. WI: Web-based instruction; VR: virtual reality; SE: simulation exercise.
### Table 2. Pretest and posttest scores on Attitudes Toward Interprofessional Health Care Teams and Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument (range of possible scores) and group</th>
<th>Baseline, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post score, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Change of scores (post-pre score), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Within group difference, t (df)</th>
<th>Between group, F (df)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale (24-168)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI-VR-SE</td>
<td>124.49 (14.10)</td>
<td>137.25 (16.87)</td>
<td>12.75 (14.49)</td>
<td>7.52 (72)</td>
<td>0.22 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI-SE-VR</td>
<td>123.92 (14.99)</td>
<td>135.77 (20.05)</td>
<td>11.85 (20.41)</td>
<td>4.49 (59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-WI-VR</td>
<td>121.94 (13.57)</td>
<td>137.65 (12.41)</td>
<td>15.70 (16.55)</td>
<td>7.65 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attitudes Toward Interprofessional Health Care Teams (14-70)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI-VR-SE</td>
<td>55.26 (5.38)</td>
<td>56.44 (6.94)</td>
<td>1.18 (6.43)</td>
<td>1.57 (72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI-SE-VR</td>
<td>56.02 (5.12)</td>
<td>56.45 (6.31)</td>
<td>0.43 (5.59)</td>
<td>0.60 (59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE-WI-VR</td>
<td>55.42 (5.66)</td>
<td>55.60 (7.14)</td>
<td>0.18 (6.23)</td>
<td>0.24 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aP<.001

### Table 3. Participants’ evaluation of the learning strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation items and learning strategies</th>
<th>Mean score (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of enthusiasm</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation exercise</td>
<td>3.99 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>3.92 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based instruction</td>
<td>3.61 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of help</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation exercise</td>
<td>4.19 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>4.07 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based instruction</td>
<td>3.75 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of satisfaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation exercise</td>
<td>4.17 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual reality</td>
<td>4.03 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based instruction</td>
<td>3.90 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of the participants’ top preferences for interprofessional learning through the three different instructional sequences of a blended learning approach, the majority of the participants (69.1%) selected the instructional sequence “WI-VR-SE” as their top preference; in addition, less than 10% of the participants chose the sequence “SE-WI-VR” as their top preferences and about 20% chose the sequence “WI-SE-VR.”

### Discussion

The evaluation of the participants’ levels of self-efficacy in contributing to interprofessional patient-centered care, which was conducted 1 month following the interprofessional learning activity, indicated significant improvements from the baseline scores for all three groups. Drawing from the constructivist learning theory, case-based and problem-based learning approaches were incorporated into the blended learning strategies to help learners develop competencies in performing interprofessional patient-centered care. These approaches are known to enable participants to actively construct their knowledge based on their interpretations of experiences [21]. Furthermore, according to the situated learning theory, which is another aspect of constructivism [22], situating learning in authentic contexts that reflect the way knowledge and skills can be applied in actual life provides learners with meaningful learning experiences and thus deepens their learning [23]. As SE provided the most authentic learning environment, participants reported that it contributed to the highest levels of help and satisfaction toward their learning.

Among the three groups, participants who undertook the SE-WI-VR sequence were found to have the lowest improvement of self-efficacy levels, which was significantly lower than those who undertook WI-SE-VR. These findings suggest that the instructional sequences of a blended learning approach can significantly influence learners’ perceptions of learning outcomes. Our findings show that learning starting with instructional prompts on concepts followed by problem-solving in SE was a more effective learning sequence than the reverse sequence. Although the problem-solving activity in SE may prepare learners to benefit from WI learning, the introduction of concepts seemed to be necessary, particularly for novice health care students who may not have sufficient domain knowledge to engage in problem-solving tasks in SE.
This study therefore supports the introduction of concepts on team mental models, as they serve as cognitive tools that scaffold students’ abilities to perform tasks on interprofessional team care delivery [24].

Although no significant differences in self-efficacy scores were found between the instructional sequences WI-SE-VR and WI-VR-SE, the instructional sequence WI-VR-SE was chosen by the majority of the students as their top preferences. In this WI-VR-SE instructional sequence, scaffolding support was provided initially in WI through a video demonstration of desired performances using cognitive tools. This was followed by facilitator-led experiential learning in VR that fostered reflection and metacognition. The support was gradually decreased in SE, where students were given the opportunity to collaborate among themselves to apply their learning to problem solve a case scenario. According to Kim and Hannafin [25], in the context of technologically enhanced learning, scaffolding is defined as “cognitive and social supports designed to augment student problem-solving inquiry.” Our findings therefore support a previous study on the use of scaffolding to facilitate the alignment of technologically enhanced learning activities in blended learning [25].

Unlike self-efficacy in performing interprofessional team care, no significant differences were reported among the three groups in their attitudes toward interprofessional socialization and the health care team. Given the opportunities to engage in collaborative learning in VR and SE, all groups reported a significant improvement in attitudes toward interprofessional socialization. According to the social constructivist views of learning, the exchange and discussion of ideas through social interaction are critical for learners to construct meaningful knowledge [26]. Although SE provided a more authentic social learning environment, most students in our study preferred to undertake interprofessional learning in VR before engaging in SE. A possible reason could be that, unlike face-to-face social interactions, the anonymity embedded in VR may provide a less stressful and less threatening learning environment for different health care students to communicate and collaborate with one another. The presence of stress in simulation training was commonly reported in previous studies [27]. The study therefore supports the use of VR to prepare students for physical simulation.

Although a robust study using a randomized controlled trial and a large sample size was employed in this study, an important limitation is the lack of performance measurement as the outcome measure. The use of self-reported surveys that measure changes in attitudes and self-perceived efficacy may not predict actual performances and may be subjected to social desirability. Future studies can measure the impact on team performance. Another limitation is that we did not incorporate a debriefing or feedback session in the SE after the students’ role-play experiences, which could have served as expert feedback and engage learners in reflection on actions.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence of the effectiveness of a blended learning approach using a randomized controlled study. Technologically enhanced learning strategies based on the constructivist learning theory improves health care students’ interprofessional competencies. The study suggests that the instructional sequence of a blended learning approach can have a significant impact on students’ learning outcomes. The more effective learning sequence allows learners to grasp concepts from WI before presenting them with a problem-solving activity in SE. From the learners’ perspective, they preferred to start with concept building using WI, followed by experiential learning in VR and subsequent application through SE. This study provides recommendation for future practice to scaffold students’ learning when planning the instructional sequence of technologically enhanced learning activities within blended learning environments. Future studies can undertake a more robust outcome measurement by evaluating the effects of the instructional sequence on team performance.
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Abstract

Background: The quality and quantity of families' support systems during pregnancy can affect maternal and fetal outcomes. The support systems of expecting families can include many elements, such as family members, friends, and work or community groups. Emerging health information technologies (eg, social media, internet websites, and mobile apps) provide new resources for pregnant families to augment their support systems and to fill information gaps.

Objective: This study sought to determine the number and nature of the components of the support systems of pregnant women and their caregivers (eg, family members) and the role of health information technologies in these support systems. We examined the differences between pregnant women’s support systems and those of their caregivers and the associations between support system composition and stress levels.

Methods: We enrolled pregnant women and caregivers from advanced maternal-fetal and group prenatal care clinics. Participants completed surveys assessing sociodemographic characteristics, health literacy, numeracy, and stress levels and were asked to draw a picture of their support system. Support system elements were extracted from drawings, categorized by type (ie, individual persons, groups, technologies, and other) and summarized for pregnant women and caregivers. Participant characteristics and support system elements were compared using the Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables and Wilcoxon ranked sum test for continuous variables. Associations between support system characteristics and stress levels were measured with Spearman correlation coefficient.

Results: The study enrolled 100 participants: 71 pregnant women and 29 caregivers. The support systems of pregnant women were significantly larger than those of caregivers—an average of 7.4 components for pregnant women and 5.4 components for caregivers ($P=.003$). For all participants, the most commonly reported support system elements were individual persons (408/680, 60.0%), followed by people groups (132/680, 19.4%), technologies (112/680, 16.5%), and other resources (28/680, 4.1%). Pregnant women’s and caregivers’ technology preferences within their support systems differed—pregnant women more often identified informational websites, apps, and social media as parts of their support systems, whereas caregivers more frequently reported general internet search engines. The size and components of these support systems were not associated with levels of stress.

Conclusions: This study is one of the first demonstrating that technologies comprise a substantial portion of the support systems of pregnant women and their caregivers. Pregnant women more frequently reported specific medical information websites as part of their support system, whereas caregivers more often reported general internet search engines. Although social support is important for maternal and fetal health outcomes, no associations among stress, support system size, and support system components were found in this study. As health information technologies continue to evolve and their adoption increases, their role in patient and caregiver support systems and their effects should be further explored.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e10865) doi:10.2196/10865
Introduction

Background

Significant and meaningful social support has been generally accepted as a means of positively affecting a pregnant mother’s well-being. Specifically, it mitigates her stress, improves her eventual birth outcomes, and buffers against prenatal and postpartum depression [1-5]. Social support also has functional value as members of the support system can provide material and physical assistance as well as emotional and informational feedback [6,7]. Although some literature has suggested that the amount and quality of social support does not improve a pregnant mother’s stress coping mechanisms or birth outcomes, a positive association between social support and mother’s and child’s well-being has prevailed in many studies [8,9]. There are many types of social support that contribute to a pregnant mother’s well-being. Social relationships describe an individual’s more immediate social ties, including family members, marriage partners, and close friends [10]; social resources are those relationships that are identified by an individual as helpful [11]. This important distinction differentiates between a pregnant mother’s social connections and the subset of these personal connections whose support she finds most valuable and the least stress inducing. The combination of a pregnant woman’s social relationships and social resources creates her unique social support system that helps her navigate pregnancy [12].

Not only is the physical presence of a social support system important but also is the perceived support of this system. Perceived social support has been shown to have a positive effect on stress levels and quality of life [13,14]. Specifically for pregnant women, perceived social support has been shown to be increased when using health information technologies such as online blogs and Facebook [13,15]. This greater perceived social support leads to higher perceptions of individual empowerment and improved maternal well-being, especially when looking at the variables of stress, depression, and marital satisfaction and conflict [16,17].

To build a strong and well-perceived support system, pregnant women may employ the help of caregivers to assist with their emotional and physical needs during this life stage [14,18]. In the context of this study, a caregiver is any informal, that is, any nonprofessional assistant who provides care for the pregnant woman [19,20]. These caregivers could include individuals such as spouses, parents, or friends, and they are an invaluable source of support [18,20]. However, it is important to recognize that providing this support can cause stress for the caregivers themselves. Previous research has shown that caregivers can experience negative health outcomes and psychological distress while fulfilling the caregiver role [20]. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the support systems of both pregnant women and their caregivers as both these groups may experience stress during the pregnancy.

As consumer health information technologies have proliferated and evolved, they have also become popular resources for pregnancy support. According to a recent study of 613 women from throughout the world, 97% of pregnant mothers with internet access used general search engines to seek pregnancy-related information for a variety of reasons, including unsatisfactory experiences with health care providers, social networking, and general pregnancy information [21]. Women’s confidence in making pregnancy-related decisions can increase significantly after consulting internet sources. Researchers have observed that smartphone apps and social media platforms combine the “expert patient” ideal, the desire to feel up to date with all available health information on the internet, with the ideologies of responsible motherhood [22]. Many of these apps are considered useful by mothers because they push content. Instead of mothers having to seek out relevant information, pregnancy apps often send regular emails and updates to their users about temporal fetal development and the stages of pregnancy. There have also been recent albeit limited efforts to create health-related technologies for expectant fathers and other caregivers of the pregnant mothers. For example, an Australian app, mPregnancy, designed for men, includes more masculine-oriented descriptions of the phases of fetal development and stages of pregnancy [22].

Goal of This Study

Little has been published about the presence and role of health information technologies in the support networks of pregnant women and their caregivers. As part of a comprehensive study of health information needs and information management practices in pregnant families, we aimed to elicit the components of the social networks of pregnant women and their caregivers, the types of technologies considered part of this support system, and any relationships between social network characteristics and stress. With these data, this study sought to identify the prevalence of technology in the social networks of pregnant women and their caregivers to expose a potential need for increased and improved technological resources for this population.

Methods

Study Population

We enrolled 100 pregnant women and their caregivers from 2 diverse prenatal care settings at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC): the Junior League Fetal Center at Vanderbilt (FCV) and the Expect with Me (EWM) group prenatal care program. The FCV is an advanced maternal-fetal care setting that incorporates a clinical program in fetal diagnosis and therapy. Multidisciplinary teams bring a group of expert medical providers from different specialties to deliver care at 1 location. Most patients seen at the FCV experience a pregnancy with a fetal anomaly or other complications of pregnancy. EWM is an innovative group prenatal care program that combines the components of traditional prenatal care with health education and support delivered in a group setting. Small
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groups of pregnant women (ie, 8-12 individuals) with similar gestational ages and their caregivers meet for 10 group sessions during pregnancy. This model is aligned with the Institute of Medicine’s six domains of quality and has been demonstrated to reduce preterm births and health care costs during and after birth [23]. Inclusion of these 2 settings ensured that this study included both normal pregnancies and pregnancies with complications.

Adult pregnant women and then their caregivers were approached at both sites for participation in the study; if interested, they contacted us to set up an interview time. Pregnant women were approached for participation first. If she agreed to participate, she could then choose whether or not to include up to 3 caregivers in the study as well. Not all pregnant women chose to include caregivers in the study; nor did all pregnant women have caregivers available for participation. The eligibility criteria for all participants included age greater than or equal to 18 years, pregnancy with gestational age less than 36 weeks, home within 100 miles of VUMC, and the ability to speak English or Spanish. All participants provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the VUMC institutional review board.

Measures

This research project contains analyses of data from a comprehensive study of information needs and information management practices in pregnant women and their caregivers. All participants completed an individual research visit to VUMC during which they completed surveys and participated in an interview, all of which typically lasted between 1 and 2 hours. For Spanish-speaking participants, all research materials were translated using a forward and backward translation process into Spanish, and a Spanish interpreter was present for the entire interview, all of which typically lasted between 1 and 2 hours.

Participants were compensated for their time with a US $25 gift card and reimbursement for their travel to and from VUMC. The measures relevant to this specific research project are described below.

The participants completed a demographic questionnaire assessing age, race, ethnicity, home location, marital status, parity (number of children previously born), employment status, individual and household income, education, and access to various technologies. We recorded the gestational age of the pregnancy at the date of the interview and the relationship between the pregnant woman and any participating caregivers. Additional surveys were used to assess health literacy, numeracy, and levels of stress. For English-speaking participants, health literacy was measured using the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM), a well-validated and widely used measure of health literacy [24,25]. For Spanish-speaking participants, health literacy was assessed with the Short Assessment of Health Literacy for Spanish-speaking Adults, which is based on and highly correlated with REALM [26].

Numeracy was measured by the General Health Numeracy Test, a 6-item validated questionnaire for assessing general health numeracy [27]. Stress was determined using the Cohen Perceived Stress Scale, a widely used psychological instrument for measuring the perception of stress that has demonstrated high reliability in pregnant populations [28,29] and has been translated into Spanish with adequate fit [30,31]. This instrument is a questionnaire in which various feelings are assessed on a 5-point scale, ranging from never (0) to almost always (4). Positively worded items are reverse scored, and the ratings are averaged, such that a higher score indicates more perceived stress. We examined stress levels because in the pregnant population, high stress levels have been associated with decreased birth weight of babies as well as preterm delivery [32] and because of reported associations between social networks and stress during pregnancy. Studies involving other health-related diagnoses have found correlations between meeting information needs and reductions in stress [33].

Support resources were assessed by giving participants a sheet of paper with a face in the center, representing the participant, and the following instructions:

> **Draw or list your support system and indicate the key people/sources that you have relied on as resources during this pregnancy. The most important people should be closer to you or indicated with an * . Also, tell us who these people are. For example, they might be a member of your family, friend, healthcare professional, or internet resource (e.g., contacts from blogs, social networking sites, or other web or mobile application resources).**

This method for gathering this information is in line with participatory design methods and has been used successfully in other studies [34]. The interviewer would read the instructions and answer any questions that the participant had about this measure.

An example of a caregivers’ support network is shown in Figure 1.
Analyses

From each social resource drawing, research team members extracted the total number of elements and determined the number of individual people, groups of people, technologies, and other elements. The independent variable we noted was the role of each person (e.g., husband, sister, or mother), and the dependent variables were the name or type of each group and the types of technologies. Subtypes of technologies were categorized as general internet search engines (e.g., Google), educational or informational resources (e.g., WebMD), apps (e.g., BabyBump), or social media (e.g., Facebook).

For continuous characteristics, we summarized the data using mean and SD, and we compared these variables between pregnant women and caregivers with the use of the Wilcoxon rank sum test. For categorical characteristics, we reported the percentages of each category and compared between roles using the Pearson chi-square test. Stress level was evaluated by 10 items, with each given a value ranging from 0 to 4. The internal consistency of items was measured by the Cronbach coefficient. With good to excellent internal consistency (Cronbach alpha >.8), the stress score would be calculated as the average of nonmissing 10-item values. Associations among support system size, components, and stress were examined with the Spearman correlation for continuous variables and Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables. The 95% CI was constructed for Spearman correlation coefficient based on 5000 bootstrap samples. The 2-sided P values less than .05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed using R3.4.4 (the R Project for Statistical Computing). These analyses were conducted using the lens of grounded theory: empirical data are coded, categorized, and interpreted to recognize patterns and theories [35].

Results

Population

This study enrolled a total of 100 participants, including 71 pregnant women and 29 caregivers. For the 29 caregivers, the relationships with the mother consisted of 11 spouses, 7 significant others, 7 parents, 2 siblings, 1 child older than 18 years, and 1 ex-husband. The characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Overall, 83 participants were recruited from the FCV and 17 from EWM. In addition, 79.0% (79/100) of our sample was female and 21.0% (21/100) male. Pregnant participants had an average age of 27.6 years (SD 6.3 years), whereas caregivers were significantly older, with an average age of 33.9 years (SD 12.0 years; P=.04). Furthermore, 20.0% (20/100) of participants identified as black or African American, 71.0% (71/100) as white, 1.0% (1/100) as Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and 8.0% (8/100) as other. In addition, 5 participants (4 pregnant women and 1 caregiver) were Spanish speaking.
### Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristic</th>
<th>Pregnant women (n=71)</th>
<th>Caregivers (n=29)</th>
<th>Total (N=100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>27.6 (6.3)</td>
<td>33.9 (12.0)</td>
<td>29.4 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated gestational age, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>30.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>31.1 (3.9)</td>
<td>30.9 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
<td>6 (21)</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>50 (70)</td>
<td>21 (72)</td>
<td>71 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>71 (100)</td>
<td>8 (28)</td>
<td>79 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>21 (72)</td>
<td>21 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other children, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36 (51)</td>
<td>17 (59)</td>
<td>53 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35 (49)</td>
<td>12 (41)</td>
<td>47 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighth grade</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>22 (31)</td>
<td>11 (38)</td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college courses</td>
<td>17 (24)</td>
<td>8 (28)</td>
<td>25 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-year degree</td>
<td>6 (8)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year degree</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
<td>5 (18)</td>
<td>19 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD or equivalent</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual income, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under US $15,000</td>
<td>34 (51)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td>41 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $15,000-US $29,999</td>
<td>12 (18)</td>
<td>9 (33)</td>
<td>21 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $30,000-US $44,999</td>
<td>8 (12)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $45,000-US $59,999</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $60,000-US $79,999</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $80,000-US $99,999</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $100,000 and above</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under US $15,000</td>
<td>13 (19)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>19 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $15,000-US $29,999</td>
<td>13 (19)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $30,000-US $44,999</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $45,000-US $59,999</td>
<td>9 (13)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $60,000-US $79,999</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $80,000-US $99,999</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $100,000-US $119,000</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $120,000-US $139,000</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US $140,000 and above</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average gestational age of the fetus at the time participants were interviewed was 30.9 weeks, 30.8 weeks for pregnant participants, and the pregnant woman associated with the caregiver was 31.1 weeks’ average gestational age at the time of their caregiver’s interview. Overall, 53.0% (53/100) of participants had children before the pregnancy looked at in this study. Health literacy scores (calculated out of 66 maximum points) averaged 62.2 for all study participants, 62.6 for pregnant women, and 61.1 for caregivers. A score of 61 or greater correlates to a ninth-grade literacy level. Individuals at this literacy level will be able to read most health education materials [24,25].

The average gestational age of the fetus at the time participants were interviewed was 30.9 weeks, 30.8 weeks for pregnant participants, and the pregnant woman associated with the caregiver was 31.1 weeks’ average gestational age at the time of their caregiver’s interview. Overall, 53.0% (53/100) of participants had children before the pregnancy looked at in this study. Health literacy scores (calculated out of 66 maximum points) averaged 62.2 for all study participants, 62.6 for pregnant women, and 61.1 for caregivers. A score of 61 or greater correlates to a ninth-grade literacy level. Individuals at this literacy level will be able to read most health education materials [24,25].

### Support System Components

Tables 3 and 4 present the types and numbers of support system components for pregnant women and their caregivers. The 100 participants reported a total of 680 support system components. Individual persons were the most common support system elements, comprising 60.3% (408/680) of the support system for all participants, 58.4% (307/525) for pregnant women, and 57.7% (90/156) for all caregivers. Among the individuals cited, first-degree relatives such as spouses, parents, or siblings were the most common, although many individuals also included specific health care providers or named friends. The next most common support component was groups of people, making up 19.3% (132/680) of the support system for the entire sample, 21.0% (110/525) for pregnant women, and 21.2% (35/165) for female caregivers. Friends, especially those with children, were one of the most common groups in support networks. Other commonly mentioned groups included colleagues, health care providers, and members of one’s church.

Both groups identified technologies as parts of their support system: 16.2% (112/680) for all participants, 16.8% (88/525) for pregnant women, and 16.0% (25/156) for all caregivers. Google (20/112, 17.9% technology components), internet searches (21/112, 18.8%), and BabyCenter (14/112, 12.5%) were the most common support network components, but a wide variety of health information technologies were identified, including blogs, online support groups, and online journals. Finally, other resources comprised the smallest part of the support system: 4.2% (28/680) of the system for all participants, 3.8% (20/525) for pregnant women, and 5.1% (8/156) for caregivers. In this category, magazines and books, most notably the book *What to Expect When You’re Expecting*, were the most common elements.

### Technology Access

Table 2 presents the technologies that pregnant women and their caregivers reported having access to at the time of their participation. The most participants in both groups reported having smartphones, including 96% (68/71) of pregnant women and 93% (27/29) of caregivers. In both groups, fewer participants had home phones, specifically 37% (26/71) of pregnant women and 41% (12/29) of caregivers. Within the caregiver subset, there was a difference in access to technologies based on the caregiver’s sex. Overall, female caregivers reported lower rates of technology access across all categories. Female caregivers had the least access to home phones and gaming consoles, with 25% (2/8) reporting access in both categories, whereas male caregivers had the least access to just home phones (10/21, 48%).

### Table 2. Pregnant women’s and caregivers’ current access to technologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>All participants (N=100), n (%)</th>
<th>Pregnant Women (n=71), n (%)</th>
<th>Caregivers (n=29), n (%)</th>
<th>Female caregivers (n=8), n (%)</th>
<th>Male caregivers (n=21), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer at home</td>
<td>81 (81)</td>
<td>59 (83)</td>
<td>22 (76)</td>
<td>5 (63)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer at work</td>
<td>63 (63)</td>
<td>42 (59)</td>
<td>21 (72)</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td>18 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone</td>
<td>95 (95)</td>
<td>68 (93)</td>
<td>27 (93)</td>
<td>7 (89)</td>
<td>20 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell phone</td>
<td>92 (92)</td>
<td>66 (93)</td>
<td>26 (90)</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>20 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home phone</td>
<td>38 (38)</td>
<td>26 (37)</td>
<td>12 (41)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>18 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming console</td>
<td>66 (66)</td>
<td>46 (65)</td>
<td>20 (69)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>68 (68)</td>
<td>49 (69)</td>
<td>19 (66)</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We examined whether the participant characteristics, including the social network size and components, were associated with levels of stress. Stress was not found to significantly correlate with age (Spearman $\rho = -0.176$; 95\% CI $-0.361$ to $0.021$; $P=0.8$), race ($P=0.9$), household income (Spearman $\rho = -0.196$; 95\% CI $-0.395$ to $0.008$; $P=0.6$), or education level (Spearman $\rho = -0.111$; 95\% CI $-0.286$ to $0.07$; $P=0.27$) within the entire sample. None of these variables within the pregnant women and caregiver data subsets significantly correlated with stress (all $P>0.05$). In addition, none of the support system components described in Table 3 significantly correlated with stress; among all participant data, pregnant women subset data, and caregiver subset data, there was no significant correlation between stress and the number of persons, groups, technology, and other resources in an individual’s support system. The composition of an individual’s support system (ie, percentage of support system distributed across persons, groups, technology, and other support components) also did not correlate significantly with stress. Only 1 variable, individual income, correlated with stress level (Spearman $\rho = -0.229$; 95\% CI $-0.425$ to $-0.026$; $P=0.03$).

### Technology Support System Components

Table 4 presents the types of technologies pregnant women and their caregivers reported as part of their support systems. Among all the participants, informational websites were the most popular form of technology support resources (45/107, 42.1\%), followed by general internet search engines (40/107, 37.3\%), social media tools (12/107, 11.2\%), and apps (10/107, 9.3\%). Among pregnant women, informational websites are the most popular form of technological support (36/86, 41.9\%), followed by general internet search engines (29/86, 33.7\%), social media tools (11/86, 12.8\%), and apps (10/86, 11.6\%). Caregivers more frequently reported general internet search engines (11/22, 50\%) as part of their support systems, followed by informational websites (9/22, 40.9\%), social media tools (2/22, 9.1\%), and then apps (0/22, 0\%). Consistent with Table 2, only 1 female caregiver reported a technology support element (general internet search engine), whereas male caregivers reported support using general internet search engines (10/21, 47.6\%), informational websites (9/21, 42.9\%), and social media tools (2/21, 9.5\%).
Discussion

Principal Findings

This study is one of the first to examine the presence of health information technologies as part of the support systems of pregnant women and their caregivers [15-17,22]. We observed that both pregnant women and a diverse set of caregivers reported technologies as a substantial component of the support systems for pregnancy. Pregnant women more frequently cited medical informational sites rather than broad internet search engines as components of their support system, with social media and apps identified less frequently. Caregivers more often included general internet search engines in their support networks, followed by informational websites, social media, and apps. These differences suggest that pregnant women may have more specific questions regarding their own pregnancy and the medical conditions of both themselves and the fetus. Therefore, they seek more specific advice from reputable informational websites such as hospital or government sites rather than search broadly using sites such as Google. Previous research has suggested that prenatal visits are ineffective in addressing the information needs of pregnant women, and therefore, they may turn to technologies and online resources to fill these gaps [36].

We also noted that male caregivers reported more individual and technology support system components than female caregivers did. This finding may expose a current need for pregnancy-related technology and resources specifically designed for male caregivers as most pregnancy resources are likely tailored for expectant mothers and a female audience. Previous research has found that during pregnancy, male caregivers are often portrayed as the “bumbling assistants,” and thus, the resources available to them are written with oversimplified information [22]. In actuality, male caregivers may wish to play a more serious and informed role, and current technology resources do not support this role. Our analysis of information needs reported by participants in this study revealed that male caregivers do indeed want information about the phases of pregnancy, normal versus abnormal symptoms of a pregnant woman, and other relevant information to assist the pregnant individual [37]. However, currently available information may be written primarily with a pregnant audience in mind, which could limit the understanding of a male caregiver and reinforce the “bumbling assistant” stereotype [22]. Fathers often feel excluded from the prenatal education process [38], and our findings might suggest that our caregivers sought additional information and support through a greater number of persons and technologies in their network. Male caregivers also reported greater access to all forms of technology than did female caregivers, so their greater inclusion of technology-based resources as support system components may have been observed simply because of this greater access.

Overall, pregnant women had larger support systems than their caregivers, suggesting that expectant mothers seek larger and broader support networks during the vulnerable life stage of pregnancy. Pregnant women identified more persons, groups, and technologies as parts of their support systems than did caregivers. This finding supports the results of previous research, which demonstrated that first-time mothers reported more numerous sources of support than their husbands [38]. This may insinuate that a pregnant woman is offered more help during her pregnancy through a variety of personal and informational resources. Alternatively, she may simply be more receptive of the aid provided during this time in her life.

In our study, women also valued information and support from experienced mothers and women who had recently given birth. One pregnant participant in our sample reported that she regularly sought information on Facebook from her high school friends who lived in another state; they were also pregnant and having children, and they would provide information tailored to her. This finding supports previous research that pregnant women who invested time into online blogs and Facebook groups reported feeling more supported in their transition to motherhood and more connected to extended family, friends, and the outside world [15-17]. Technologies such as social media may provide a way for both pregnant women and caregivers to obtain support from family and friends who are geographically remote.

Female caregivers, such as paternal and maternal grandmothers of the child, have been shown to play a central role in pregnancy decision making and in postnatal decisions such as breastfeeding by sharing their experience [39]. Female caregivers such as grandmothers are often seen as possessors of time-tested knowledge, and thus, they are able to significantly influence a pregnant woman, both positively and negatively [39]. One caregiver in our study, who was a mother to the pregnant participant, reported using social media to share her knowledge and experience with expectant mothers within and outside her family. As older age groups continue to adopt and use social media, this type of support may become more commonplace. However, in our study, we see that both pregnant women and female caregivers reported people-based resources such as individuals and groups in their support systems much more frequently than technologies. Female caregivers may both contribute toward and benefit from prenatal group-style programs such as EWM where they can share, compare, and gain knowledge. The predominance of persons in the support networks of pregnant women may have been affected by recruitment from such a group prenatal care setting, giving these participants and their caregivers greater access to persons with shared experiences to build their support networks.

Both pregnant women and their caregivers also indicated that groups comprised a substantial portion of their support systems. Many cited groups in support networks were large, for example, a church congregation or a physician group/midwifery team. Previous studies have shown that church attendance and involvement in clubs during pregnancy were not associated with increased perceived social support [40,41]. The high prevalence of religious and health care groups observed in the support networks in our study may have been a reflection of cultural norms in the southeastern United States and the intensive care provided in both of our recruitment sites.

Pregnant women more frequently noted social media tools as part of their support networks. The social media category
includes interactive information searching, such as online forums, blogs, Facebook, and Pinterest, which may appeal more to the pregnant woman who seeks a more tailored, immediate, or relatable response to a question. The role of social media in meeting pregnancy information needs and augmenting a pregnant woman’s support network has not been extensively explored, but the potential health benefits to extending social networks through technologies are numerous. It is well known that health behaviors and conditions such as smoking and obesity are spread through in-person social networks, but the exact nature of how such health states are propagated has yet to be elucidated fully [41,42]. Positive health-related behaviors, such as smoking cessation and happiness, have also been shown to spread through social networks [43,44]. Online social networks and social media tools, such as Facebook and Twitter, are communication tools by which social ties can be formed, strengthened, and maintained, which can increase perceived social support [13,14]. One could envision pregnant women and their caregivers obtaining social support for important perinatal activities such as exercise and breastfeeding through health information technologies. No caregivers acknowledged using any sort of app in their support system, and only 1 caregiver reported the use of 2 social media tools. This supports the notion that most pregnancy-related apps are more directly targeted toward pregnant women rather than toward their caregivers.

Our findings correspond with a fundamental tenet of social network theory: when an individual is exposed to components of her social network, she may adjust her behavior via mechanisms such as social pressure and information gathering [45]. Though our study was not designed to collect data within a social network theory framework, it is interesting to consider how the strength, quality, and variety of our participants’ support systems may influence information-seeking behaviors.

Unlike previous studies, we did not see any relationship between the size or nature of the support system and stress [13]. The generally large breadth of our pregnant women’s and caregivers’ support systems may have offered the emotional and informational support that could counter stress. Our only variable that did significantly correlate with stress, individual income, is an external variable that is not easily stabilized or impacted by a support system. In addition, this research project was a cross-sectional study that examined support networks and stress before delivery, and both may change significantly after the birth of the child. The stress levels observed in our population were relatively low, and it is also possible that our sample of 100 participants may not be large enough to observe such a correlation between support system resources and stress. Our ongoing research includes a similar longitudinal study, which will examine changes in support systems and stress levels at various points during pregnancy and after delivery.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, only English- and Spanish-speaking participants were included, and relatively few Spanish-speaking individuals participated. The demographics of our population reflected those of the state of Tennessee and nearby communities, and our findings may not generalize to other settings. The size and nature of support systems likely have cultural influences, and our study may have excluded other populations with different types of social networks. Our study instrument also provided participants with potential examples that may have impacted their responses when completing this sheet. In addition, our study was cross-sectional, and the varying gestational ages of the participants could have influenced the responses given. For example, a pregnant woman at a gestational age of 34 weeks with delivery in the near future would consider her midwife and doctor as valuable support resources, but a pregnant woman at a gestational age of only 18 weeks may be more concerned with day-to-day support provided by family and colleagues. Our research team is currently conducting a longitudinal study in which support systems, technology usage, and stress will be evaluated during the course of pregnancy and after delivery. Finally, this study did not look more deeply into the types of support provided by each component of the support network. Therefore, we could not assess the quality of any support resource or make direct conclusions about its usefulness or purpose during the pregnancy experience of each participant.

Conclusions

Social support is critical for optimal maternal and fetal outcomes during pregnancy. This study is one of the first to demonstrate that technologies formed a substantial component of the support networks for pregnant women and their caregivers. Pregnant women more frequently cited specific medical informational or educational resources, whereas caregivers more commonly reported general internet search engines in their support networks. Male caregivers reported more access to technologies and identified them in their support networks more frequently than did female caregivers. Female caregivers’ support networks during pregnancy included more individuals and groups of persons. Additional research is needed to explore exactly how technologies provide support to families during pregnancy and the effects that use of health information technologies might have on pregnancy outcomes.
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Abstract

Background: Women with or at high risk of diabetes have unique health concerns across their life course. Effective methods are needed to engage women living with diabetes to develop and carry out a patient-centered research agenda.

Objective: This study aimed to (1) describe the creation of DiabetesSistersVoices, a virtual patient community for women living with and at risk for diabetes and (2) assess the feasibility and acceptability of DiabetesSistersVoices for engaging women in talking about their experiences, health care, and research priorities.

Methods: We partnered with a national advocacy organization to create DiabetesSistersVoices and to develop recruitment strategies, which included use of social media, Web-based newsletters, and weblinks through partnering organizations. Study inclusion criteria were as follows: Being a woman aged ≥18 years, residing in the United States, and self-reporting a diagnosis of diabetes or risk of diabetes. Eligible participants were given access to DiabetesSistersVoices and completed online surveys at enrollment and 6 months. We assessed trends in participants’ activities, including posting questions, sharing experiences about living with diabetes, and searching for posted resources.

Results: We enrolled 332 women (white: 86.5%; type 1 diabetes: 76.2%; median age: 51 years [interquartile range: 31 to 59 years]) over 8 months. Most (41.6%, 138/332) were classified as being active users (ie, posting) of the virtual community, 36.1% (120/332) as observers (ie, logged in but no posts), and 22.3% (74/332) as never users (ie, completed baseline surveys but then never logged in). Online activities were constant during the study, although participants had the highest website usage during the first 10 weeks after their enrollment.

Conclusions: We demonstrated the feasibility and acceptability of an online patient community for women living with diabetes by showing durability of recruitment and online usage over 6 months of testing. Next steps are to address barriers to joining a virtual patient community for women of color and women with type 2 diabetes to enhance inclusiveness and gain diverse perspectives to inform diabetes research.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13312) doi:10.2196/13312
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Introduction

Background

Diabetes is increasingly common among men and women, affecting 9.4% of the US population [1,2]. Women are disproportionately affected by diabetes, with a greater risk of heart attack and stroke, compared with men with diabetes [3-6]. Compared with women without diabetes, women with diabetes have unique health needs and concerns across their life course, including preconception health, pregnancy, postpartum care, and the menopausal transition. In addition, women with diabetes experience higher rates of eating disorders [6], pregnancy complications [7], sexual dysfunction [8], and higher rates of diabetes-related urinary incontinence [9]. There is a need to understand the patient experience for women living with diabetes to inform and improve patient-centered research, advocacy, and health care delivery for this important, high-risk population.

Increasingly, patients with a variety of conditions, including diabetes, are going online to learn more about their disease, create social support networks, and communicate with other patients such as themselves [10]. In a recent Pew survey, 16% of adult internet users reported going online to find other patients with similar experiences and diagnoses [11]. In fact, there has been a proliferation of online patient communities, including PatientsLikeMe and patient bloggers, especially for people living with and seeking support for living with chronic diseases [12]. The term Web 2.0 was introduced in 2004 to describe the improved communication and collaboration tools available via social networking [13-15]. Growing evidence shows online support groups or “learning health communities” as having benefits for patients [12,16-18]. Along with peer-to-peer support, online patient communities are useful for patient engagement and as an innovative tool to connect patients with researchers so that they can be involved in all stages of the research process [19,20].

Study Objectives

Engaging patients about what is most important to them is crucial to direct researchers, consumer and advocacy organizations, policy makers, health systems leaders, and funders toward clinical research and health system improvements that are patient-centered and meaningful [21]. Our goal was to develop a virtual patient community for women with diabetes to communicate with each other about their experiences to help identify research and health care priorities.

In partnership with DiabetesSisters, a national advocacy organization for women living with any type of diabetes, we developed and launched DiabetesSistersVoices, a virtual patient community to engage women with diabetes to talk about patient-centered research priorities and create a platform for peer support. We aimed to engage women to join the community across racial/ethnic groups, geographical regions, and types of diabetes. The objectives of this study were to (1) describe the creation of DiabetesSistersVoices, a virtual patient community for women living with and at risk for diabetes and (2) assess the feasibility and acceptability of DiabetesSistersVoices for engaging women about their experiences, health care, and research priorities.

Methods

We began with the development phase and refinement of the website, followed by a recruitment and enrollment phase to engage women with diabetes. The institutional review boards at Johns Hopkins University and the University of North Carolina approved the study.

Phase 1: Development and Refinement of DiabetesSistersVoices

The DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community’s platform began with refining a recently developed and tested platform. The platform, developed by Lehmann and colleagues [22], had been built for communication between community health workers. We chose this platform’s software approach because it utilized open-source software with customized interfaces and modules, making it easily adaptable and scalable, and it already contained multiple features that enabled communication and information sharing. Several key features of the platform were the ability to post a question or topic, respond to other posts, search for or post resources for others, tag or relabel, or “like” the topics. “Liking” a post provided points for the post to show its popularity or helpfulness.

As an initial step to refining the platform for the needs of women with diabetes, the chief executive officer of DiabetesSisters (AN) invited 8 patients and advocates who were active in the organization and willing to donate time to participate in semistructured phone interviews with the platform developer and research team. We demonstrated the functions of the platform and inquired about their desired functionalities and features for the new site.

We convened a diverse stakeholder advisory board to gather early input about the design and launch of DiabetesSistersVoices [23]. Stakeholder advisory board members represented 5 stakeholder groups including patient partners from DiabetesSisters, a national nonprofit diabetes organization for women and our main partner in this study. We additionally included leaders from the Black Women’s Health Imperative and PatientsLikeMe and a researcher conducting community-based work related to diabetes in racial minority communities. Members met every 3 months and they provided insights and feedback about the platform’s clarity and usability, font size, white space, and images and instructions for participants during the meetings. To ensure broad applicability of the platform, we iteratively refined the Web pages by conducting one-on-one “hands-on” semistructured interviews with each stakeholder advisory board member and additional patient partners identified by the stakeholder advisory board’s representative organizations (Multimedia Appendix I contains the interview guide). Comments from the semistructured interviews were presented back to the research team and used to refine the Web platform. From these interviews, we gathered valuable feedback on the ease of use of traversing the website and the ability to express ideas and exchange information with other participants.
Figure 1. Landing page of DiabetesSistersVoices. There were 4 basic functions of the website, including “share your experience,” “join a forum for conversation,” “find a resource,” and “explore a topic”. The size of popular topics listed below was based on their popularity, that is, the number of times they were clicked.

The final version of the website used in Phase 2 (www.diabetessistersvoices.org) comprised multiple features to facilitate online conversation. Additional features beyond those in the original platform included (1) a video orientation guide for participants that reviewed the goals of the project and how to use the site and (2) an online moderator who monitored discussions (and could take down posts if not appropriate), welcomed participants, and sent weekly emails (see Multimedia Appendix 2 for the list of topics). Figure 1 shows the landing page of DiabetesSistersVoices that participants were able to view after completion of the sign-in process using their individually selected username and password.

Phase 2: Observational Cohort Study
In Phase 2, we recruited women with diabetes to use the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community over 6 months.

Recruitment Strategies
In collaboration with the stakeholder advisory board, we developed and employed a wide range of recruitment strategies and activities to attract women to the site and engage participants across different age, racial, and socioeconomic strata. The recruitment strategies included (Multimedia Appendix 3):

1. Social media promotion through Facebook and Twitter and monthly electronic newsletters from DiabetesSisters. Facebook posts were “boosted” monthly to showcase the posts to more users.
2. Printed brochures, posters, and postcards distributed in person at churches in Baltimore, health clinics, health fairs, and conferences for patients with diabetes.

3. A toolkit aimed at partnering organizations, which included a template for the invitation from the partner, a description of DiabetesSistersVoices, and promotional materials including flyers and postcards.

4. The site moderator used online “Q&A” threads to keep promoting new discussion topics and emailed a weekly topic to all the registered users to get them to come back (see Multimedia Appendix 2 for the list of weekly topics).

Study Participants: Eligibility and Online Consent Process

Participants registered to participate on the DiabetesSistersVoices website. The website contained information about the project; and if they were interested, they clicked “Register to Participate” and were prompted to provide their name and email address. Our study team received a daily list of emails from the website. Email addresses were entered into the QUALTRICS survey platform to send an email message with further details about the study and a unique link to online screening questions. Participants were unable to access this unique link again once consent was completed.

Study inclusion criteria were of the female gender, aged ≥18 years, and self-report of type 1, 2, or prediabetes (defined as “at risk for diabetes” or gestational diabetes mellitus history) and residing in the United States. Following successful completion of the screening questions, participants completed an electronic consent process and downloaded a copy of the consent form. We conducted a monthly raffle of items related to healthy living with diabetes (e.g., hand weights, yoga mats, and books) to participants who logged in ≥2 times in the past month, starting December 2016.

Data Collection

We assessed with 2 time points of data collection using online surveys (at baseline enrollment and after 6 months since the launch of the website) and had continuous surveillance of website utilization using Google Analytics, a Web analytics service.

Online Surveys

Participants completed a baseline survey following consent. Participants who had been enrolled for ≥1 month completed an end of study online survey (administered 6 months after the initiation of the study). Participants received surveys as an email with a unique survey link. Participants were able to review and change their answers through a back button and then click submit, and the survey completeness was further verified after it was submitted. Survey response data were captured and stored automatically using the Johns Hopkins QUALTRICS server, which was protected under the Johns Hopkins University firewall, and only the principal investigators, coinvestigator, and study coordinator had access to the data. Baseline survey questionnaires assessed sociodemographic characteristics, health status, internet use, social support, and health-related quality of life using standard measures (Multimedia Appendix 4) [24,25]. We used the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey Instrument to assess emotional and informational social support [26] and the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) scale version 1.2 Global Health survey instrument to assess users’ self-reported health status [27]. The end of study surveys contained the Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) Social Support Survey instrument, PROMIS scale version 1.2 Global Health survey instrument, and satisfaction with the site (see Multimedia Appendix 5 for site satisfaction).

To measure the utilization of the DiabetesSistersVoices online community, we used Google Analytics, a Web analytics service, to track and report DiabetesSistersVoices’ website traffic and activities, including the length of time of individual sessions, number of downloads, page views, “clicks” on topic tags, and “likes” that were captured by this application. We were able to link website users with survey data using a deidentified unique user identification number, assigned by Google Analytics at the time of registration.

Data Analysis

We defined “never users” as participants who enrolled for the study but never logged in to the community, “observers” as participants who logged in to the site at least once but never posted on the site, and “active users” as participants who posted on the site at least once. We reported engagement activities as “posting” comments, “liking” comments or resources, clicking on a topic, and conducting a search. We calculated the proportion of participants who engaged in each of these activities at least once over the previous 2 weeks.

We used descriptive statistics to describe baseline characteristics of participants over time and by level of online engagement. We presented descriptive statistics for the satisfaction level of the participants who completed the end of study satisfaction survey. For participants who were eligible to complete the online survey (i.e., enrolled in the study for at least 1 month), we compared the sociodemographic of those who completed the end of study social support and health-related quality of life survey with those who did not. Both completed questionnaires and questionnaires terminated early were included in the analysis.

To test for statistically significant differences between groups, we performed a nonparametric k-sample test on the equality of medians for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. A 2-sided \( P \) value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the statistical analyses were performed using STATA (StataCorp LLC).

Results

Enrollment into DiabetesSistersVoices Virtual Patient Community

From November 2016 to June 2017 (30 weeks), 511 women registered at the DiabetesSistersVoices website. Among them, 395 women began the online screening and consent process and 332 women completed the consent form and enrolled in the study (Figure 2).

Figure 3 demonstrates the cumulative enrollment of participants over the 30 weeks, overall, and stratified by race and diabetes.
type (type 1 vs type 2 diabetes). At the beginning of the study, all participants were white with type 1 diabetes, when the majority of promotions were by DiabetesSisters’ online and in-person (at conferences) advertising. Over time and with the expansion of recruitment methods, the diversity of study participants increased. The study was “boosted” on Facebook multiple times for women with interests in diabetes-related topics.

**Figure 2.** Enrollment flow of participants into the DiabetesSistersVoices study. MOS: Medical Outcomes Study; PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System.
Participant Characteristics

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of study participants who enrolled in the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community. Overall, participants were 86.5% (287/332) white, 6.3% (21/332) black, 3.3% (11/332) Hispanic, 0.9% (3/332) Asian, and 3.0% (10/332) elected not to report race. The median age was 51 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 37 to 59). Compared with the “never users” and “observers,” “active users” were older (aged 54 years vs 49 and 50 years; P = .04). A total of 68.4% (225/332) of the participants had a college degree or above, followed by 30.4% (100/332) who had high school or some college, and 1.2% (4/332) had less than a high school diploma. The majority (76.2%, 253/332) of participants had type 1 diabetes (vs 22.0% [73/332] with type 2 diabetes). No participants reported having “prediabetes.” On average, participants were in the study for 5 months (IQR: 4 to 6 months). Most women reported frequent email use of at least once daily (90.0%, 297/332) and use of social networks such as Facebook (89.9%, 295/332).

A total of 74 (22.3%) of participants were classified as “never users,” 120 (36.1%) as “observers,” and 138 (41.6%) as “active users.” Similar proportions of white and nonwhite women and women with type 1 (vs type 2 diabetes) were active users on the site (Table 1). Compared with “never users,” “observers” and “active users” were more likely to use email daily (93.3% and 91.3% vs 82.2%) and use social networking sites (93.2% and 90.0% vs 84.7%). “Active users” had higher baseline social support scores compared with “never users” and “observers,” but there was no difference in the quality of life scores (Table 1).
Table 1. Characteristics of study participants who enrolled in the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants’ characteristics</th>
<th>All users (n=332)</th>
<th>Never users (n=74)</th>
<th>Observers (n=120)</th>
<th>Active users (n=138)</th>
<th>P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (interquartile range)</td>
<td>51 (37-59)</td>
<td>49 (34-56)</td>
<td>50 (36-58)</td>
<td>54 (40-63)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Education&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school or GED</td>
<td>4 (1.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or some college</td>
<td>100 (30.4)</td>
<td>29 (39.2)</td>
<td>31 (26.1)</td>
<td>40 (29.4)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree and above</td>
<td>225 (68.4)</td>
<td>43 (58.1)</td>
<td>88 (74.0)</td>
<td>94 (69.1)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Race/ethnicity&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>287 (86.5)</td>
<td>61 (82.4)</td>
<td>104 (86.7)</td>
<td>122 (88.4)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>21 (6.3)</td>
<td>8 (10.8)</td>
<td>7 (5.8)</td>
<td>6 (4.4)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>11 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>5 (4.1)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected not to report</td>
<td>10 (3.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
<td>5 (3.6)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Diabetes type&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>253 (76.2)</td>
<td>53 (71.6)</td>
<td>90 (75.0)</td>
<td>110 (79.7)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>73 (22.0)</td>
<td>20 (27.0)</td>
<td>26 (21.7)</td>
<td>27 (19.6)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes treatment duration (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>22.0 (15.0)</td>
<td>21.7 (14.6)</td>
<td>20.5 (13.2)</td>
<td>23.6 (16.1)</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Email use&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>297 (90.0)</td>
<td>60 (82.2)</td>
<td>111 (93.3)</td>
<td>126 (91.3%)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every few days</td>
<td>30 (9.1)</td>
<td>11 (15.1)</td>
<td>8 (6.7)</td>
<td>11 (8.0%)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than weekly</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever use social networking sites&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, n (%)</td>
<td>295 (89.9%)</td>
<td>61 (84.7)</td>
<td>110 (93.2)</td>
<td>124 (90.0)</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Website engagement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sessions, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3 (1.0-5.5)</td>
<td>_c</td>
<td>2 (1.0-4.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (4.0-12.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total topic clicks</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total posts/responses</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total likes</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total searches</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total downloads</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total social support score, mean (SD)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68.0 (23.6)</td>
<td>64.3 (25.6)</td>
<td>71.1 (22.5)</td>
<td>67.2 (23.8)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMIS-physical health score, mean (SD)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.4 (4.7)</td>
<td>36.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>36.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>36.4 (5.2)</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMIS-mental health score, mean (SD)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38.4 (8.7)</td>
<td>39.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>38.0 (8.6)</td>
<td>38.4 (9.1)</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Nonparametric k-sample test on the equality of medians for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup>Percentage may not add up to 100% because of missing data.

<sup>c</sup>—Not applicable.

<sup>d</sup>A total of 316 out of 332 completed the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Quality of Life and Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) social support questionnaires. MOS scores range from 0 (lowest) to 100 (highest). PROMIS scores were presented as t score. The norm in population is mean 50 (SD 10). Scores 0.5 to 1.0 SD or worse than the mean=mild symptoms/impairment, scores 1.0 to 2.0 SD or worse than the mean=moderate symptoms/impairment, and scores 2.0 SD or worse than the mean indicate more severe symptoms/impairment.

**Online Activities on DiabetesSistersVoices Virtual Patient Community**

Over the 30-week study, study participants clicked on topics 421 times, posted or replied on the site 904 times, “liked” posts 530 times, searched for resources 167 times, and downloaded resources 671 times (Table 1). Figure 4 shows that online activities on the site were constant during the study, with about one-third of participants at any given time posting or clicking a topic on the site at least once every other week. Fewer
participants “liked” posts on the site (approximately 20%) or performed searches (<10%). Figure 5 shows the proportion of participants engaged over time following their enrollment into the study. On average, participants’ website usage was highest during their first 10 weeks of enrollment. A total of 10 participants were enrolled during the entire study duration of 30 weeks.

Figure 4. Participants’ online activities over time. The proportion of participants who were engaged in each of the online activities was accumulatively calculated every 2 weeks since the initiation of the study.
Figure 5. Proportion of participants engaged over time (in weeks) following their study enrollment. The numbers below the x-axis represent the total number of participants in the study.

Satisfaction With DiabetesSistersVoices Virtual Patient Community

Table 2 describes the responses for the end of study satisfaction survey, completed by 120 out of 323 eligible participants (37.2%). A total of 67.5% of these participants met the definition of “active users” (Table 2). The majority (94%) of participants were not satisfied with the electronic consent process. However, participants were generally satisfied with the major functions of the DiabetesSistersVoices platform, including logging in, posting questions, searching for comments, and learning topics from weekly email sent by the site moderator. Active website users tend to have a higher satisfaction level compared with the “never users” (data not shown).

Compared with participants who completed only the baseline social support and quality of life survey, participants who completed both baseline and end-of-study surveys were senior (aged 54 years vs 49 years; \( P < .001 \)) and had a higher education level (Multimedia Appendix 6). The distributions of race and diabetes diagnosis had no statistically significant difference between those 2 groups (Multimedia Appendix 6).
Table 2. Participants’ satisfaction with the study and DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community’s platform features (N=120).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspects of the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community</th>
<th>Statistics, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consenting to participate in the study</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>21 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>92 (76.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>7 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online survey about you and your health at the start of the study</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>29 (24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>80 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logging on to the website</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>16 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>17 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>82 (68.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posting questions or comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>16 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>24 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>66 (55.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Searching for resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>14 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>22 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>67 (55.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communicating with other members of the community</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>15 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>25 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>62 (51.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic of weekly email</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>11 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>24 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>76 (63.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Percentage may not add up to 100% because some participants did not use the feature.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In partnership with DiabetesSisters, a national organization serving women living with diabetes, and a diverse stakeholder advisory board, we created the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community and enrolled 332 women with all types of diabetes to provide peer support and to identify high priority research areas for women living with diabetes. Our results demonstrate strong feasibility and acceptability of the online patient community, showing high levels of website engagement, even over a short time period. However, like other “online” only studies, the major limitation was the lack of broad representation of women living with diabetes (ie, older women, women of color, and women with type 2 diabetes). In addition, participants reported high levels of satisfaction with the DiabetesSistersVoices virtual patient community but were less satisfied with the electronic consent for research, which may have created a barrier for those who never enrolled.

**Advantages of and Barriers to Online Patient Engagement**

Virtual patient communities have multiple purposes, including peer-to-peer patient support (eg, PatientsLikeMe) [12,20,28], dissemination and sharing of resources either coming from health professionals or from patients to other patients, [12,29,30], as well as recruitment into research studies [31]. In addition, like DiabetesSistersVoices, virtual patient communities can provide an opportunity for researchers to engage with patients about what issues are most important to them for ongoing or to guide future research [12,19,32,33]. Patients value peer-to-peer communication as being “more real.” In a Web-based smoking cessation trial, the “crowdsourced”
messages by patients about how to quit smoking were associated with greater return visits to the smoking cessation clinic [34]. However, most patient engagement websites are not moderated, and we identified that patient-led moderation is an important component of patient engagement. To encourage engagement and re-engagement, the site moderator used online “Q&A” threads to keep promoting new discussion topics and emailed a weekly topic to all the registered users to encourage them to come back (Multimedia Appendix 2 lists the weekly topics). In addition, growing evidence supports virtual support groups or “learning health communities” as having some benefits for patients [12,16,17,35,36]. A 2004 systematic review identified 38 studies reporting computer-based peer-to-peer communities, and electronic self-support groups showed a need for rigorously designed studies to evaluate the effects of social media interventions on health behaviors and long-term health outcomes [36]. Given the strength of evidence supporting in-person peer-to-peer support for complex health behaviors and disease management in a wide variety of settings and disease [37,38]. In addition, few studies have used electronic modalities for patient engagement around research and to identify research priorities [21].

Despite our goal to engage diverse women with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, the majority of DiabetesSistersVoices participants were of white race and had type 1 diabetes, likely because of our strong affiliation with the DiabetesSisters organization, which has a strong allegiance and trust within the community of women with type 1 diabetes. Given the disparities in the diabetes epidemic [39], there is a high need to develop Web-based engagement strategies specifically tailored for African American and Latina women [40,41] as well as women with type 2 diabetes and prediabetes [42]. African American women with diabetes are diverse with regard to age, region (urban and rural population), access to care, socioeconomic status, and types of health disparities they experience. For example, diabetes self-management interventions have been designed and specifically tailored for African American women to take into account women’s values, multiple caregiving roles, cultural implications around eating and weight, socioeconomic barriers to healthful eating and medication adherence, and spiritual and social support needs, as well as day-to-day barriers to self-management (eg, high stress, family conflicts, and coping styles) [43-45]. Web-based modalities also need to specifically address the needs and values of African American women to have greatest impact.

Online engagement of African American women using Web-based modalities is underutilized in diabetes research despite the fact that African American women are “connected” and use mobile technology at very high rates [46]. In 2014, African Americans trailed whites by only 7% in internet use (80% vs 87%, respectively) [47] and the gap continues to close [48]. However, among them, compared with the traditional recruiting strategies (eg, paper flyers, media, and face-to-face advertising), the online enrollment rate was proportionally smaller [49]. Other studies have identified barriers to online recruitment for African Americans to enroll into research studies, include a learning curve for computer usability [50], preference to face-to-face support [51], and mistrust of the researchers [51-53]. In addition, barriers specific to diabetes, such as “diabetes stigma” ie, diabetes viewed as a “lifestyle disease” with blaming of the individual), may also prevent women to self-identify (eg, discuss their diagnosis online), seek out support and resources, and join a virtual peer community with other patients [54-58].

Study Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. First, because this was a research study, the consent process posed a barrier to women who otherwise may have joined an online peer support group. Second, we had lower enrollment in the study for minority women and women with type 2 diabetes. As described above, the majority of our participants were white and with type 1 diabetes, in part because our main stakeholder partner, DiabetesSisters, has a community in which 65% are women living with type 1 diabetes. We also engaged fewer older women who may use the internet for information but not as a method for social interaction with peers. Third, this was a short-term study, totaling 6 months, but many women used the site for less than 6 months (range 1 to 6 months), limiting our ability to assess changes in social support or diabetes knowledge as a results of website participation. Finally, overall rates of “posting” new content on the site was low but consistent with typical Web behaviors described on other websites, where the majority of users are considered “observers” but not active posters or leaders in social networking sites [59-61].

Conclusions

In summary, our study findings suggest that a virtual patient community can be an engaging and efficient tool for women with diabetes to interact with each other and provide their perspectives about diabetes care to inform the next generation of research questions. We identified multiple approaches to engage women to share their perspectives on a range of topics and interest related to diabetes. Further study is needed in a larger cohort of women with type 2 and gestational diabetes as the majority of study participants had type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, future studies might consider targeting aspects of diabetes care (eg, fertility and menopause) that are specific to women and address conditions across the woman’s lifespan.
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Abstract

**Background:** Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. Early detection of individuals at risk of lung cancer is critical to reduce the mortality rate.

**Objective:** The aim of this study was to develop and validate a prospective risk prediction model to identify patients at risk of new incident lung cancer within the next 1 year in the general population.

**Methods:** Data from individual patient electronic health records (EHRs) were extracted from the Maine Health Information Exchange network. The study population consisted of patients with at least one EHR between April 1, 2016, and March 31, 2018, who had no history of lung cancer. A retrospective cohort (N=873,598) and a prospective cohort (N=836,659) were formed for model construction and validation. An Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) algorithm was adopted to build the model. It assigned a score to each individual to quantify the probability of a new incident lung cancer diagnosis from October 1, 2016, to September 31, 2017. The model was trained with the clinical profile in the retrospective cohort from the preceding 6 months and validated with the prospective cohort to predict the risk of incident lung cancer from April 1, 2017, to March 31, 2018.

**Results:** The model had an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.881 (95% CI 0.873-0.889) in the prospective cohort. Two thresholds of 0.0045 and 0.01 were applied to the predictive scores to stratify the population into low-, medium-, and high-risk categories. The incidence of lung cancer in the high-risk category (579/53,922, 1.07%) was 7.7 times higher than that in the overall cohort (1167/836,659, 0.14%). Age, a history of pulmonary diseases and other chronic diseases, medications for mental disorders, and social disparities were found to be associated with new incident lung cancer.
Conclusions: We retrospectively developed and prospectively validated an accurate risk prediction model of new incident lung cancer occurring in the next 1 year. Through statistical learning from the statewide EHR data in the preceding 6 months, our model was able to identify statewide high-risk patients, which will benefit the population health through establishment of preventive interventions or more intensive surveillance.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13260) doi:10.2196/13260
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lung cancer; risk prediction model; electronic health records; prospective study

Introduction

Background
Lung cancer is the most common cancer and leading cause of cancer death worldwide [1,2]. In 2018, the number of new cases of lung and bronchus cancer was estimated to be 234,030 (13.5% of all new cancer cases); an estimated 154,050 people will die of this disease (25.3% of all cancer-related deaths) in the United States alone [3]. Statistics on survival in people with lung cancer vary depending on the stage of the cancer when it is diagnosed. Early captures at stage I have a 56.3% 5-year survival rate, which decreases to 4.7% by stage III in the United States, based on data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program 18, 2008-2014 [3]. Most people with lung cancer are diagnosed at a late stage when curative treatment is less effective. Therefore, early detection and timely disease intervention play an important role in reducing the mortality rate of lung cancer.

Annual low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening is a viable screening tool for early lung cancer detection. The US-based National Lung Screening Trial demonstrated that LDCT screening reduced lung cancer mortality by 20% relative to conventional chest x-ray screening [4]. However, the screening criteria for LDCT are only age (55-74 years) and smoking history (>30 pack-years, <15 years quit time) [5]. Therefore, a lot of patients take unnecessary tests, which is a serious misuse of social resources; at the same time, many people who seem healthy have been missed [6]. However, so far, there is no tool aimed at the whole population. An effective risk prediction model is critically needed for the initial screening of high-risk patients at the population level, which would hold promise for seeking out those high-risk individuals for further LDCT examination, ensuring that resources are focused on those who are most likely to benefit from them.

Accurate lung cancer risk prediction models would facilitate early diagnoses, decrease mortality rates, and reduce overall costs, ultimately benefiting patients, clinicians, and health care providers.

Possible Limitations of Existing Lung Cancer Risk Prediction Models
Many lung cancer risk prediction models have been proposed [7-21]; however, the clinical needs have not been sufficiently addressed [22]. Most of the recent lung cancer risk prediction models were developed (1) with a small number of risk predictors (N<15) [7,9-21], (2) with a small sample size and using data from only a single medical facility [12,17,21], and (3) with a focus on a particular subgroup of the population (eg, age>45 or smokers) [7,9-14,16,18-20] and the lack of generalizability across the heterogenous population [7-20]. Furthermore, most prior studies used smoking status (eg, smoking duration, smoking intensity, and years since cessation) as a risk factor and predictor [10,12,15,17,20,21], which may not be readily available in many of the medical data sources.

The use of electronic health records (EHRs) has increased dramatically in recent years. The large size and high-dimensional clinical patient information captured in EHRs may be more reflective of the characteristics of the general population than those of cohort studies based on a targeted subgroup of limited profiles. EHRs provide a unique opportunity to understand the health care status at the population level [23]. EHR-based models were developed for diseases including but not limited to type 2 diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and hypertension [23-32]; however, no model was reported to predict new incident lung cancer based on statewide EHR data in the United States.

Aim
Our study aimed to prospectively estimate the future 1-year risk of new incident lung cancer in a US state population. The predictive model uses the preceding 6 months’ EHR information including current health conditions, diagnosed diseases, symptoms, laboratory tests, medication history, clinical utilization measures, and social determinants. The model outputs a risk score that describes the probability of a diagnosis of new incident lung cancer in the next 1 year. The risk scores stratify patients into low-, medium-, and high-risk categories, by which limited health care resources can be targeted to high-risk groups to allow proactive intervention, which can ultimately allow early detection of cancer and reduction of regional/statewide lung cancer mortality rates.

Methods
A workflow to develop the new incident lung cancer risk prediction model is provided in Figure 1. This study includes five steps from cohort construction to prospective validation.

Ethics Statement
Protected personal health information was removed before the process of analysis and publication. Because this study analyzed deidentified data, it was exempted from ethics review by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board (March 20, 2017).
Figure 1. A workflow to develop the new incident lung cancer risk prediction model. EHR: electronic health record; HIE: health information exchange; PPV: positive predictive value. XGBoost: Extreme Gradient Boosting.

Population and Data Sources
The EHRs of Maine Health Information Exchange (HIE; HealthInfoNet) dataset cover records of nearly 95% of the population of the state of Maine [33]. The study included patients who visited any care facility, 35 hospitals, 34 federally qualified health centers, and more than 400 ambulatory practices in the Maine state, from April 1, 2016, to March 31, 2018.

Lung Cancer Definition
Lung cancer in this study was defined using International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) diagnosis codes. The diagnosis codes included category C34 (malignant neoplasm of bronchus and lung), C39 (malignant neoplasm of other and ill-defined sites in the respiratory system and intrathoracic organs), and C46.5 (Kaposi sarcoma of the lung).

Cohort Construction
This study contains a retrospective cohort and a prospective cohort (Figure 1). The retrospective cohort contained 873,598 patients with EHRs from April 1, 2016, to September 31, 2016, and 1091 of them developed lung cancer in the next year (from October 1, 2016, to September 31, 2017). Patients were excluded from the retrospective cohort if there was any record of a lung cancer diagnosis before October 1, 2016. The prospective cohort included 836,659 patients from October 1, 2016, to March 31, 2017, and 1167 of them were diagnosed with lung cancer in the
next year (from April 1, 2017, to March 31, 2018). Patients with a history of lung cancer before April 1, 2017, were excluded from the prospective cohort.

**Feature Selection**

The clinical parameters extracted from EHRs included demographic information, disease diagnoses (primary and secondary), symptoms and procedures (coded using ICD-10-CM), laboratory test results (coded by Logical Observation Identifier Names and Codes and labeled as abnormal or normal according to thresholds provided by each facility participating in the HIE network), clinical utility records, and outpatient medication prescriptions (coded according to the National Drug Code and referred to the number of prescriptions for a particular medicine during the past 6 months). We also extracted a number of accessible social determinants from the US census website [34] using zip code or county name in the Advanced Search of American FactFinder (Multimedia Appendix 1). These social determinants were mapped to the EHR database through a patient’s zip code. In addition, features associated with lung cancer identified by previous studies were also extracted as risk factors. Those risk factors included demographics (ie, age and gender), smoking, pulmonary diseases (ie, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and pneumonia), symptoms (ie, hemoptysis, cough, and chest pain), and abnormal laboratory test results (ie, C-reactive protein and fibrinogen). Overall, there were 33,788 features in our original data pool.

Given that high-dimensional EHR data are sparse and subject to noisy and missing data, a feature selection process was adopted before model construction. The process had included univariate analysis and XGBoost selection. For EHR clinical parameters, a univariate correlation filtering analysis was adopted to remove features that are not significantly related to lung cancer (P>.05). Specifically, the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test [35], capable of testing the association between a binary predictor and a binary outcome while taking into account the stratification, was applied to investigate the association between the binary features and the targeted outcome under age-group strata. The Cochran-Armitage trend test [35,36], also called the Chi-square test for trend, was used in the analysis of categorical variables with more than two categories. Univariate logistic regression [37] was used to assess features that are continuous variables. Social determinants, literature risk factors, and features identified by our univariate analysis were combined (N=346) for the downstream XGBoost analytics. XGBoost adopted the approximate greedy algorithm to split trees by sorting and picking features on each node to optimize purity at each splitting level. The algorithm can output estimates of feature importance after going through the training process [38].

**Model Construction**

Samples in the retrospective cohort (873,598 patients) were randomly split into 2 subsets for training (582,398 patients) and calibration (291,200 patients) purposes. The model construction, using the retrospective dataset, was accomplished in two phases: (1) the training subset was used to develop the initial model and generate predictive estimates and (2) the calibration subset was used to convert predictive estimates to risk scores for each patient.

**Training**

XGBoost [38], a gradient tree boosting algorithm, was applied to develop a prediction model. XGBoost algorithm is designed to discover statistical patterns in high-dimensional and multivariate datasets and is able to handle nonlinear correlations and random errors both in input features and the output variable [39]. We used binary classification with logistic objective function for the predictive estimate. The output predictions were probability confidence scores in (0,1), corresponding to the probability of receiving a new diagnosis of incident lung cancer within the next 1 year. The objective was implemented in the “xgboost” package for the R language provided by the creators of the algorithm. The output of the algorithm can be written as

\[ F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{K} \lambda_i h_i(x) \]

where \( F \) represents the space of a set of classification trees and \( K \) is the maximum number of trees (\( K=500 \) in this study). Each \( f_i \) corresponds to an independent tree, and the maximum depth of each tree was set to 5 in this study. The final prediction was calculated by summing up the scores of all the individual trees. To avoid overfitting, the model at the \( t \)-th iteration was trained to minimize the following item,

\[ \min \{ -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} l_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{K} \lambda_i \| f_i \|^2 \} \]

where \( \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) are parameters controlling penalty for the number of leaves \( T \) and magnitude of leaf weights \( w_i \), respectively. The penalty parameter is selected by cross-validation from the values ranging from \( \lambda=10e^{-2} \) to \( \lambda=10e^{-5} \), essentially covering the full range of scenarios from the null model containing no penalty to the least squares fit.

An approximate algorithm was used to split the finding. It first proposes candidate splitting points according to percentiles of feature distribution, following which splitting points were chosen to optimize purity at the next level.

**Calibration**

A calibration process was launched to map the predictive estimates of XGBoost to a measure of positive predictive values (PPVs) [40] in the retrospective cohort. It provided a universal, standardized risk measure. A PPV of a corresponding predictive estimate was defined as the proportion of new incident lung cancer events in the cohort with predictive estimates the same as or larger than \( \hat{f} \). Thus, PPVs could be interpreted as risk scores. Following that, we further ranked individuals by their risk scores from low to high, and two risk thresholds were applied to subgroup all patients into low-risk, medium-risk, and high-risk groups.
The scores after calibration were converted to relative risks. The relative risk of each individual was calculated by dividing the score of the individual by the mean score of all patients in the cohort. The relative risk measured the ratio of the probability of having lung cancer to the population baseline. The higher the relative risk, the higher was the probability of receiving a diagnosis of lung cancer in the next year.

**Prospective Validation**

The model was tested with the prospective cohort (836,659 patients). Performance of the model was investigated within each risk category in terms of PPV, sensitivity, and specificity. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the area under the curve (AUC) were also calculated. Relative risk of a subgroup (the ratio of the mean score of the patients in the subgroup to the population mean) was used to measure the increase or decrease in the chance of obtaining a new diagnosis of lung cancer in the next year for patients in the subgroup, compared to the population baseline.

Age- and gender-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) between cases and controls were calculated for top features using logistic regression. Clinical patterns stratified by risk categories were explored and compared. Multivariable Cox regression was used for subpopulation comparison. Spearman rank correlations were performed to assess the correlation between social determinants and the next 1-year risk of lung cancer.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**

Baseline demographic and clinical features of the retrospective and prospective cohorts are summarized in Table 1. Most characteristics were similarly distributed between these two cohorts.

**Model Performance**

By applying the XGBoost algorithm on the EHR-based data, the prediction model reached an AUC of 0.881 (95% CI 0.873-0.889) in the prospective cohort (Figure 2). The model also had effective discriminatory power within patient subgroups: (1) the smoking subgroup (14,248/836,659, 1.7%) with an AUC of 0.865 (95% CI 0.823-0.907), (2) subgroup of age≥65 years (220,702/836,659, 26.4%) with an AUC of 0.755 (95% CI 0.738-0.772), and (3) subgroup of age<45 years (366,752/836,659, 43.8%) with an AUC of 0.880 (95% CI 0.776-0.984; Figure 2). Predictive scores of cases and controls in the prospective cohort were analyzed using the Wilcoxon test [41] (P<.001), supporting the statistical importance of our results.

To explore the effectiveness and advantages of our model, we compared the predictive performance of our XGBoost algorithm with a few state-of-the-art existing predictive algorithms in the prospective cohort. Algorithms included RandomForest [42], Boosting [43], Support Vector Machine [44], Lasso [45], and k-nearest neighbors (KNN) [46]. Multimedia Appendix 2 compared the ROC AUCs with the 95% CIs of our model and other existing predictive algorithms to predict the future risk of new incident lung cancer in the next 1 year. Algorithm performances (ROC AUC) were compared and the differences were quantified using the deLong method [47]. For all comparisons, our model outperformed other models, with significantly superior predictive performance (P<.001). We also compared our model’s predictive performance to other feature selection methods including information gain and Gini index methods. The results were shown in Multimedia Appendix 3. Our comparative results showed that the model predictive performance based on our feature selection method outperformed the performance based on the other methods in terms of the ROC AUC (P<.001; P values calculated by the deLong method to compare the AUCs).
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the retrospective cohort (N=873,598) and prospective cohort (N=836,659).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Retrospective cohort, n (%)</th>
<th>Prospective cohort, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>385,009 (44.1)</td>
<td>366,752 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>116,655 (13.4)</td>
<td>109,986 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>143,960 (16.5)</td>
<td>139,219 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>227,974 (26.1)</td>
<td>220,702 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>386,251 (44.2)</td>
<td>369,022 (44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>487,347 (55.8)</td>
<td>467,637 (55.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>16,611 (1.9)</td>
<td>14,248 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other cancer history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPDb</td>
<td>32,180 (3.7)</td>
<td>36,221 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>9,896 (1.1)</td>
<td>12,179 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other respiratory disordersc</td>
<td>5131 (0.6)</td>
<td>5738 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chronic disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>73,854 (8.5)</td>
<td>70,005 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVDsd</td>
<td>166,088 (19)</td>
<td>161,685 (19.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKDe</td>
<td>18,458 (2.1)</td>
<td>18,912 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>26,574 (3)</td>
<td>36,810 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>32,101 (3.7)</td>
<td>35,057 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoptysis</td>
<td>770 (0.1)</td>
<td>981 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>4071 (0.5)</td>
<td>3755 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>2024 (0.2)</td>
<td>2356 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal weight loss</td>
<td>6136 (0.7)</td>
<td>5801 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abnormal laboratory test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein test</td>
<td>11,613 (1.3)</td>
<td>8,517 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes count</td>
<td>90,131 (10.3)</td>
<td>71,694 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>69,334 (7.9)</td>
<td>51,477 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration rate</td>
<td>21,446 (2.5)</td>
<td>18,695 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose in serum or plasma</td>
<td>137,575 (15.8)</td>
<td>103,671 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSmoking was defined with a diagnosis code of Z72.2 from the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification.
bCOPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (including chronic bronchitis and emphysema).
cOther respiratory disorders were defined with a diagnosis code of J98 from the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification.
dCVD: cardiovascular disease (including hypertension, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, arrhythmia, and abdominal aortic aneurysm).
eCKD: chronic kidney disease.
Figure 2. The receiver operating characteristic curves derived from the prospective cohort, smoking subgroup, age≥65 years subgroup, and age<45 years subgroup. The 95% CI of each receiver operating characteristic curve is indicated by the blue shaded area and the AUC (with 95% CI) of each subgroup is listed under each receiver operating characteristic curve. AUC: area under the curve.

The relationship between the PPVs and predictive scores with the prospective cohort is shown in Figure 3 a. Vertical dashed lines indicate two thresholds of 0.0045 and 0.01 of the predictive scores to group all patients into three risk categories (low, medium, and high). Horizontal dashed lines indicate the incidence of lung cancer in the overall cohort (0.14%, black), low-risk category (0.04%, green), medium-risk category (0.3%, orange), and high-risk category (1.07%, red). The 95% CI of the PPV curve is indicated by the gray shaded area. The box plots at the bottom show the distributions of predictive scores. The performance of our model within each risk category in terms of PPV, sensitivity, specificity, and mean relative risk is shown in Multimedia Appendix 4. Among the 1167 patients in the prospective cohort with confirmed lung cancer in the next 1 year, about half (579/1167, 49.61%) were correctly classified into the high-risk category (with a score≥0.01), and only 22.45% (262/1167) of them were classified into the low-risk category (with a score<0.0045). The relative risk showed a monotonic increase from the low-risk category (0.28) to the high-risk category (7.7). We also calculated the sensitivity and specificity of our model based on the best cut-off threshold for predictive scores, which was defined as the point at which the Youden index (sensitivity+specificity-1) [48] is maximum. After considering the Youden index, the best cut-off point was 0.0029, and the corresponding sensitivity and specificity of our model were 0.8363 and 0.7681, respectively.

A survival analysis using univariable cox regression was performed on each risk category to further evaluate the model performance. Three distinct survival curves stratified patients
in terms of lung cancer hazard \((P<.001)\), yielding a hazard ratio (HR) as high as 27.74 (95% CI 23.97-32.09) for the high-risk category relative to the low-risk group (Figure 3 b). In addition, our model identified 41.82% (289/691) of high-risk patients 6 months or more prior to assignment of a lung cancer diagnosis code. A total of 68.02% (470/691) of lung cancer cases were identified as high risk at least 3 months before the confirmatory diagnosis was made by physicians.

From the original 33,788 features, 346 features survived from the first step of feature selection process (filtered by univariate analysis) and 118 features were identified by XGBoost algorithm as final predictors of the model (filtered by nonzero weight in algorithm). They consisted of two demographic features, 11 social determinations, 19 diagnostic diseases, 9 clinical symptoms, 28 laboratory tests, 37 medication prescriptions, and 12 clinical utilization measures. The top 60 features with their age-gender adjusted ORs or coefficients are listed in Multimedia Appendix 5. COPD, pneumonia, and other respiratory disorders were recognized as the pulmonary diseases most associated with lung cancer, with ORs of 4.978, 2.790, and 5.484, respectively. Other cancer history, cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), diabetes, and CKD were considered to be chronic diseases most associated with lung cancer, with ORs of 1.899, 1.329, 1.374, and 1.270, respectively. Smoking also had a strong association with lung cancer (OR=4.084). Hemoptysis, pleural effusion, cough, and abnormal weight loss were recognized as symptoms most associated with lung cancer, with ORs of 5.080, 4.130, 2.108, and 2.010, respectively. For abnormal laboratory test results, inflammation marker C-reactive protein was most associated with lung cancer (OR=1.771). Medications for treatment of chronic diseases and mental disorders, clinical utilization, and social determinants were also detected by the model as powerful predictors of incident lung cancer within the next year.

Figure 3. (a) Stratification of patients in the prospective cohort. Positive predictive value was plotted as a function of the predictive score. Two thresholds of 0.0045 and 0.01 were applied to stratify the population into low-, medium- and high-risk categories. (b) Survival curves of the three risk categories. HR: Hazard Ratio.
Clinical Patterns Stratified by Risk Categories

Distribution patterns of impactful risk predictors were explored and compared among different risk categories: low risk (score 0-0.0045; 673,075 patients), medium risk (score 0.0045-0.01; 109,662 patients), and high risk (score 0.01-1; 53,922).

Age

In our study, age was aggregated into four distinct age groups (<45, 45-54, 55-64, and ≥65 years). A significant difference was found in the age distribution between the low- and the high-risk categories (Multimedia Appendix 7). In the low-risk category, younger individuals (<45) accounted for 54.16% (364,545/673,075), whereas older individuals (≥65 years) accounted for only 13.64% (91,815/673,075). In the high-risk category, the group aged ≥65 years constituted the largest subset (83.32%, 44,927/53,922), whereas the group aged <45 years constituted only 0.79% (426/53,922; Multimedia Appendix 6).

Diagnosed Diseases

History of pulmonary diseases and other chronic diseases also differed between low- and high-risk patients: 50.48% (27,219/53,922), 24.29% (13,100/53,922), and 21.62% (11,659/53,922) of the high-risk patients had CVDs, diabetes, and COPD, respectively, while 12.30% (82,757/673,075), 5.04% (33,927/673,075), and 1.98% (13,322/673,075) of the low-risk patients had these diseases, respectively (Multimedia Appendix 6).

The time-to-diagnosis curves were created using univariable Cox regression to explore lung cancer diagnoses in the high- and low-risk categories in different disease subgroups (ie, COPD, pneumonia, other respiratory disorders, CVDs, diabetes, and CKD), smoking subgroups, and other cancer history subgroups (Figure 4). In the high-risk category, 1.61% (47/2677) of patients with a smoking history and 1.28% (185/14,477) of patients with other cancer history received diagnoses of lung cancer in the next 1 year. In addition, 1.68% (46/2734), 2% (235/11,659), and 3.3% (45/1363) of patients with pneumonia, COPD, other respiratory disorders, respectively, received diagnoses of lung cancer in the next 1 year. This probability remained around 1% for patients with CVDs, diabetes, and CKD. These results implied that patients with pulmonary diseases (ie, COPD, pneumonia, or other respiratory disorders) have higher risks for developing lung cancer than patients with other chronic diseases (ie, CVDs, diabetes, and CKD). In the low-risk category, more than 99.6% of the patients were free from development of lung cancer in the next 1 year, and the survival curve dropped faster for patients with pulmonary diseases than for those with other chronic diseases.

We also investigated the time-to-diagnosis curves for patients who only had pulmonary diseases and patients who had pulmonary diseases together with at least one other chronic disease (including diabetes, CVDs, CKD, and other cancer history). Results showed that a history of pulmonary disease together with other chronic diseases increased the risk of incident lung cancer (HR=1.7; Multimedia Appendix 8). In addition, 22.05% (11,890/53,922) of the high-risk patients had pulmonary diseases together with other chronic diseases, while 5.76% (3082/53,922) of the patients had pulmonary diseases only. In the low-risk category, these values were 1.45% (9732/673,075) and 1.95% (13,100/673,075), respectively.

Symptoms and Abnormal Laboratory Test Results

The model recognized 6 symptoms and 10 abnormal laboratory test results as powerful predictors of the 1-year lung cancer risk (Multimedia Appendix 5). Most were acute symptoms related to the respiratory system, and most of the laboratory test results were markers associated with inflammation and chronic diseases. The percentage of patients with these symptoms and abnormal laboratory test results gradually increased from the low- to high-risk category (Multimedia Appendix 6). Furthermore, 23.73% (12,798/53,922) and 39.62% (21,364/53,922) of people in the high-risk category had at least one symptom or one abnormal laboratory test result compared with 9.12% (61,368/673,075) and 11.67% (78,564/673,075) in the low-risk category, respectively.

Figure 4. Time-to-diagnosis curves of the disease subgroup, smoking subgroup, and other cancer history subgroup for the low-risk (a) and high-risk (b) categories of the prospective cohort. Disease subgroups comprised patients who received diagnoses of COPD, pneumonia, other respiratory disorders, diabetes, CVDs, or CKD. CKD: chronic kidney disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD: cardiovascular disease.
Mental Disorders

The model identified 15 medications prescribed for mental disorders (e.g., depression and anxiety disorders) as impactful features (Multimedia Appendix 5). Mental disease information was unavailable in HIE due to privacy concerns. Our study used mental disorder-related medications to explore the association between mental disorders and lung cancer risk. People with a history of mental disorder-related medications were significantly enriched in the high-risk group (Multimedia Appendix 6), with a total of 22.97% (12,388/53,922) in the high-risk category and 7.36% (49,537/673,073) in the low-risk category.

Survival analysis was performed according to mental health status (mental disorder or no mental disorder) in the subgroup that comprised people with at least one chronic disease diagnosis and the subgroup of patients with no history of chronic diseases (Multimedia Appendix 9). Presence of a mental disorder was associated with an increased risk of lung cancer in both subgroups before and after adjustment for age, gender, and smoking ($P<0.001$). Therefore, the presence of mental disorders increased the risk of lung cancer independent of chronic diseases, age, gender, and smoking factors.

Clinical Utilization Indicators

We compared the clinical utilization indicators in our study across three risk categories. These utilization indicators of patients such as outpatient visits, emergency visits, inpatient admissions, inpatient days, clinical cost, and number of chronic diseases in the past 6 months gradually increased from the low-to-high-risk categories (Multimedia Appendix 6).

We further compared patients in the high- and low-risk categories by the average clinical costs in the past 6 months in 8 disease subgroups (including COPD, CVDs, pneumonia, other respiratory disorders, diabetes, CKD, mental disorders, and other cancer history) stratified by the average number of chronic diseases (Multimedia Appendix 10). The circles in Multimedia Appendix 10 were formed by 8 disease subgroups under the low-risk (green circle) and high-risk (red circle) categories. The circle size indicates the proportion of the disease subgroup under each risk category. Reference groups consisted of patients with no diagnosis of any of these chronic diseases. It is obvious that the low-risk patients and high-risk patients were separated by the number of the chronic diseases. High-risk patients, in general, had a higher chronic disease burden and thus higher clinical costs.

Social Determinants

In our study, the social determinants were derived from zip code or county-based census and were recognized as community-level social and environmental indicators. Spearman rank correlations were used to investigate the association between social determinants and lung cancer risk (Multimedia Appendix 11). Parameters related to a decreased risk of lung cancer ($p<0$) included high education levels (the proportion of people who received college or associate’s degree or bachelor’s and higher degree), high median household income, high proportion of population within half a mile of a park, and private insurance coverage. The high-risk category had a higher proportion of low-income or low-education populations (the percentage of a combination of the population aged 18-24 years with less than high school graduate diploma education and the population aged ≥25 years with less than 12th grade diploma education in the area) than the low-risk category (Multimedia Appendix 6), indicating a health disparity related to lung cancer.

Discussion

Summary of Main Findings

In this study, we developed and prospectively validated a risk prediction model of the future 1-year incidence of lung cancer using EHR data derived from more than 1.1 million people in the state of Maine. Patients were stratified into three risk categories, ranking the lung cancer risk as low, medium, and high. The model achieved an AUC of 0.881 (95% CI 0.873-0.889) in the prospective cohort, indicating our model’s ability to target those most at risk for subsequent prevention management. The incidence of lung cancer in the high-risk category (579/53,922, 1.07%) was 7.7 times higher than that in the overall prospective cohort (1167/836,659, 0.14%). Performance of the model in subgroups (Figure 2), especially those considered low risk by prior models (age<45 years), was fairly good (AUC is 0.880), showing predictive power in patients that traditional models tend to ignore. Key parameters of age, a history of pulmonary diseases and other chronic diseases, medications for mental disorders, and social disparities were found to be significantly associated with incident lung cancer.

Comparison With Prior Work

We compared our model with seven other risk prediction models for incident lung cancer (Multimedia Appendix 12). The models achieved AUCs between 0.57 and 0.87, but with limitations in targeted clinical application. The Bach model [20] and PLCO2012 (Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial) model [19] were only applicable to smokers. The Liverpool Lung Project model [12] and two Spitz models [17,21] were developed with case-control matched studies with small sample sizes that were not validated with a general population. The Extended Spitz model [17] required genetic test information, which was unavailable in routine clinical data. The EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) [15] and HUNT (Helseundersøkelsen i Nord-Trøndelag) [10] models used smoking status (e.g., smoking duration, smoking intensity, and years since cessation) collected from a questionnaire as a predictor, which may not be feasible in a large, general population. Furthermore, our model had a short prediction time frame (1 year) compared with most other studies where the follow-up periods were up to several years. A short prediction time frame resulted in a low incidence (0.12% in the retrospective cohort and 0.14% in prospective cohort), which raised the challenge of prediction. To address this issue, our model adopted more predictors (118 features) than prior risk models (<15 features), making our risk prediction more effective.
Interpretation of Risk Predictors and Implications for Prevention and Early Intervention

**Pulmonary Diseases and Inflammation Markers**

A total of 27.7% (14,972/53,922) individuals in the high-risk group had one or more pulmonary diseases, which was much higher than the number in the low-risk population (3.39%, 22,832/673,075). The association between the pulmonary diseases and lung cancer was reported in many previous studies [49-52]. Pulmonary diseases induced an inflammatory response in the lung, and inflammation played a critical role in the development of lung cancer [53-56]. The C-reactive protein level and leukocyte count are blood test markers for inflammation. Elevated C-reactive protein levels and leukocytes counts have been found to be associated with pulmonary diseases [57,58] and lung cancer [59], suggesting an etiologic role of pulmonary inflammation in lung cancer pathophysiology [59]. Consistent with these studies, our model recognized abnormal C-reactive protein levels and leukocyte counts as top features (Multimedia Appendix 5). In the high-risk category, 2.52% (1358/53,922) individuals had abnormal C-reactive protein levels and 19.98% (10,774/53,922) had high leukocytes counts compared with 0.7% (4711/673,075) and 6.27% (42,202/673,075) in the low-risk category, respectively (Multimedia Appendix 6).

**Combination of Pulmonary Diseases and Other Chronic Diseases**

Our study showed that more than 80% (43,165/53,922) of individuals in the high-risk category had at least one chronic disease, and the majority (75.41%, 507,565/673,075) of the low-risk population had no chronic disease diagnosis. Moreover, 22.05% (11,890/53,922) of the high-risk patients had pulmonary diseases together with other chronic diseases (ie, CVDs, CKD, and diabetes), and the risk of incident lung cancer increased among these patients (Multimedia Appendix 7). In addition, the concurrent chronic diseases led to an increased burden of clinical utilization and cost (Multimedia Appendix 10). We also found that incident lung cancer was associated with abnormal results of many chronic disease markers (eg, glomerular filtration rate, glucose level, and platelet count) and a group of previously prescribed medications including drugs for pulmonary diseases (Ipratropium bromide, albuterol sulfate, etc), drugs for diabetes (metformin HCl, glipizide, etc), and drugs for CVDs (amlodipine besylate, diltiazem HCl, valsartan, etc). Such markers and medication histories indicated that patients at risk for or living with diseases might develop lung cancer. These findings were consistent with those of previous studies. High chronic disease burden is a growing concern in the US population. It was reported that 6/10 adult Americans have at least one chronic disease and 4/10 have more than one chronic disease [60,61]. A recent study found that chronic diseases are an overlooked risk factor for cancer, and a substantial cancer risk is associated with a combination of cardiovascular disease markers, diabetes, chronic kidney disease markers, and pulmonary diseases [62], which were found to be linked to the risk of the next-year incident lung cancer by our model.

**Mental Disorders**

Mental disorders may affect the immune system and endocrine function, thus influencing the body’s susceptibility to cancer [63]. Several studies showed a positive association between mental disorders and the overall risk of cancer [63,64]. For lung cancer, some studies showed an etiological association [65,66], whereas some claimed there was no association between mental disorders and lung cancer [67,68]. We explored the role of this controversial and unclear association in our study.

Due to the data policy of the EHR data on mental illness in the state of Maine, we used the consumption of mental illness–related drugs as a proxy for mental disorders. We found that mental disorders had a positive association with the 1-year lung cancer incidence risk (Multimedia Appendix 9). Patients with no chronic diseases who were undergoing treatment for mental disorders had nearly 2.5 times the risk of incident lung cancer compared to those without any mental disorders, regardless of age, gender, and smoking status. This can be explained by a previous finding that adverse psychological events such as pressure and stress may impair the immune system and cause the development and progression of tumors [52]. A similar correlation was also observed in a study focusing on behavioral immunological activities: Researchers found that unpleasant or hostile emotions could cause immune system disorders, and consequently, the occurrence of tumors [53]. More interestingly, those psychological events were found to be correlated to smoking: People with a diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder were found to have a higher rate of smoking (45%) than people without a mental health diagnosis (23%) [69]. Smoking is an important factor of lung cancer. Therefore, close attention should be paid to those with a high-risk mental status to allow prevention of and intervention for lung cancer.

**Social Determinants**

A few studies have shown that lung cancer risk is inversely associated with socioeconomic status factors such as educational attainment, income, and occupation [70]. Socioeconomic status was found to be linked with health status through multiple pathways such as social resources, physical and psychosocial stressors, and health-related behaviors [71]. Consistent with these studies, our model found that patients with low income or less education had a higher risk of lung cancer (Multimedia Appendix 11). Living distance to parks and coverage by Medicaid were also risk factors in our model. The former may be explained by the fact that physical environment factors such as the concentration of parks in the living area can directly shape peoples’ physical activities and ultimately decrease the lung cancer risk. Low education level could be the causality for future low family income, less access to health care, and attainment of Medicaid health insurance.

**Implications of Findings**

The predictive model and risk scores can benefit health care organizations at multiple levels. For health care providers, stratifying the population by our risk score will help with budget planning and target intervention. For clinicians, the model can be used as an assistant tool for decision making. Our model can
also act as a prescreening tool: High-risk patients identified by our model can be referred to the LDCT screening test to decide whether the patients already have lung cancer.

The ultimate goal of this study is to guide health care providers to make decisions for the prevention and intervention of lung cancer. There are already established guidelines in lung cancer preventive care to address both nonmodifiable and modifiable risk factors. The modifiable risk factors such as concurrent chronic conditions and lifestyles are even more important than nonmodifiable predictors such as age and gender, as they offer an opportunity to both clinicians and patients to proactively manage the disease by implementing interventions before deterioration.

Our model identified 68.02% (470/691) of high-risk patients at least 3 months before the confirmatory diagnosis was made by physicians. This may provide the opportunity of early interventions to prevent or delay the development of lung cancer as well as to reduce corresponding health care expenditures. Early detection of lung cancer can lead to an improved 5-year survival rate [3]. In addition, a recent study revealed that patients who received anti-inflammatory therapy had a marked reduction in the incidence of lung cancer [72]. Another route of intervention is through the management of multiple chronic diseases. Recent studies showed a substantial impact of chronic diseases (eg, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, chronic kidney diseases, and pulmonary diseases) jointly on cancer risk, which was as important as five lifestyle factors combined (smoking, unhealthy diet, physical inactivity, obesity, and alcohol misuse) [62]. Better management of chronic diseases in primary care is therefore an effective strategy for future cancer prevention. In addition, increasing physical activity is a way to improve lifestyle. Our model found that patients living far away from a park were prone to an elevated risk of lung cancer, indicating that targeting this subgroup of patients with personalized action plans might lead to healthier life styles and a possible reduced risk of lung cancer.

**Limitations**

Our study has several limitations. First, some data were missing in our dataset. Tobacco use was not fully recorded in the EHR data; occupational exposure and family history of lung cancer were so sparse in the data source that our model did not include them as predictors; and patients with lung cancer might not have any record of this diagnosis, leading to an underestimation of lung cancer prevalence in the study. Second, air quality, cancer biomarker, and some individual-level lifestyle information (eg, diet habit and physical activity) could be potentially useful predictors for development of lung cancer, but in EHRs, these data were not available. Third, the grade and stage of lung cancer were not described in the data source, and the socioeconomic factors were analyzed at a community-level, limiting the findings between the association of the individual socioeconomic status and lung cancer.

**Conclusions**

A risk prediction model of the future 1-year incidence of lung cancer was developed and prospectively validated using the preceding 6 months’ EHR data derived from more than 1.1 million people in the state of Maine. The model was able to assign each individual a risk score and stratified patients into three risk categories of low, medium, and high risk. The model reached an AUC of 0.881 in the prospective cohort. Age, a history of pulmonary diseases and other chronic diseases, medications for mental disorders, and social disparities were found to be associated with new incident lung cancer. Targeting individuals at high risk has the potential to facilitate early intervention and reduce overall costs, which will ultimately benefit patients, clinicians, and health care providers.
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Abstract

Background: Problems in the usability of health information systems (HISs) are well acknowledged, but research still lacks a validated questionnaire for measuring and monitoring different dimensions of usability of HISs. Such questionnaires are needed not only for research but also for developing usability of HISs from the viewpoint of end-user experiences.

Objective: This study aimed to develop and test the validity of the questionnaire measuring the National Usability-Focused HIS-Scale (NuHISS) among a nationally representative sample of Finnish physicians.

Methods: We utilized 2 cross-sectional data collected from a random sample of Finnish physicians in 2014 (N=3781; of which 2340 [61.9%] were women) and 2017 (N=4018; of which 2604 [64.8%] were women). Exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses (structural equation modeling [SEM]) were applied to test the structural validity of the NuHISS. As the concurrent validity measure, we used the self-reported overall quality of the electronic health record system (school grade) provided by the participants using marginal structural models.

Results: The exploratory factor analyses with Varimax rotation suggested that the 7-factor solution did offer a good fit to the data in both samples (C²=2136.14 in 2014 and C²=2109.83 in 2017, both P<.001). Moreover, structural equation modelling analyses, using comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), Normed Fit Index (NFI), root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA), and Standardized Root Mean square Residual (SRMR), showed that the 7-factor solution provided an acceptable fit in both samples (CFI=0.92/0.91, TLI=0.92/0.91, NFI=0.92/0.91, RMSEA=0.048/0.049, and SRMR=0.040/0.039). In addition, concurrent validity of this solution was shown to be acceptable. Ease of use, but also all other dimensions, was especially associated with overall quality reports independent of measured confounders. The 7-factor solution included dimensions of technical quality, information quality, feedback, ease of use, benefits, internal collaboration, and cross-organizational collaboration.

Conclusions: NuHISS provides a useful tool for measuring usability of HISs among physicians and offers a valid measure for monitoring the long-term development of HISs on a large scale. The relative importance of items needs to be assessed against national electronic health policy goals and complemented with items that have remained outside the NuHISS from the questionnaire when appropriate.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12875) doi:10.2196/12875
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Introduction

Problems in usability of health information systems (HISs) are well acknowledged in research [1]. The vast investments in the adoption of HISs in the United States as well as in Europe have been driven by expectations reflecting key usability goals, particularly increased effectiveness and efficiency in health care [2-4]. The clinician community has, while considering electronic health record (EHR) systems as an improvement over the paper-based system, expressed frustration with the level of usability of available systems as well as their support for information exchange [1,5]. Moreover, several studies suggest that HISs may cause stress and frustration to clinicians and this appears to have increased recently [6,7]. If clinicians cannot achieve their goals with efficiency, effectiveness, and satisfaction by using the implemented information systems (ISs), they seek alternative solutions to reach their goals, that is, by using paper to document and transfer health information [8]. Declining to use is one important indication that the anticipated benefits are not being realized.

Research has shown that with high-quality management and high perceived usability, HISs could yield significant quality and productivity gains [4]. To show evidence of these gains arising from HISs use, appropriate measures need to be used. However, usability is qualitative and multidimensional by nature, thereby challenging the measuring [9] and thereby accumulation of results [10] on a larger scale. Depending on the definition used, the attributes and metrics of measurement have varied [11-14]. The widely known definition for usability is defined by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard as follows: “usability refers to an extent to which a system, product or service can be used by specified users to achieve specific goals with effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a specified context of use” [13]. The possible measures for each of these 3 aspects of usability are many. On the basis of a review of practices in measuring usability by Hornbæk [9], the challenges include, for example, distinguishing and empirically comparing subjective and objective measures of usability, studying long-term use and usability, extending measures of satisfaction beyond postuse questionnaire, validating and standardizing the host of subjective satisfaction questionnaires used, and studying correlations between usability measures as a means for validation. Alongside with usability, user experience (UX) as a concept has gained interest and is described as a person’s perceptions and responses resulting from the use or anticipated use of a product, system, or service [13]. According to the ISO standard [13], usability criteria can be used to assess aspects of UX as well.

Although governments have pushed the adoption of electronic Health (eHealth) systems and services, they have often lacked knowledge of longer term and larger scale eHealth usability and UX in health care contexts. Both the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and European Union (EU) have included information and communication technology (ICT) benchmarking as one of the issues on their policy agendas [15,16]; however, current HIS monitoring on a national level focuses on availability and usage rate of key functionalities of EHRs, personal health record (PHR) systems, health information exchange (HIE), and telemedicine [17-19]. Some HIS-related health care output or efficiency indicators (eg, number of visits saved, impact on length of stay, time saved by system use, and cost saved by system use) have also been defined [20] but rarely used in systematic, large-scale eHealth monitoring.

Validated usability questionnaires (eg, Software Usability Measurement Inventory (SUMI) [21], System Usability Scale (SUS) [22], and Questionnaire for User Interaction Satisfaction (QUIS) [23]) are context and domain independent and focus on evaluating the usability of user interfaces typically after usability testing. Our aim was to study and monitor longer-term experiences on usability and experienced outcomes of complex HISs from the viewpoint of physicians and their clinical tasks in specific contexts of clinical work. In addition, we aimed at addressing the development of the EHR systems. SUMI, SUS, or QUIS questions were therefore not as such regarded suitable as repeated measures of perceived usability of HISs on a national level.

The large-scale eHealth monitoring tools developed by the EU and OECD [17,24] include indicators for availability of key HIS functionalities and information contents, for example, documentation and retrieval of patient data, availability of patient summary data, and medication list. They do not cover end-users’ experiences on usability or experienced benefits of these functionalities or information contents.

The DeLone and McLean ISs success model that was developed in 1992 and revised in 2003 [25,26] is based on vast theoretical and empirical IS research with validation and updates. It has been used in over 30 scientific publications and, more importantly, also applied on a national level in the health care context in the Canada Health Infoway evaluation framework for HISs [20,27-29]. The original model and its application in the eHealth evaluation framework in Canada offer 6 interrelated dimensions on IS success: (1) System quality, (2) Information quality, (3) Service quality, (4) Use, (5) User satisfaction, and (6) Benefits. The framework does not list specific items or measures. The subareas are not specific to key functionalities and information contents needed in clinical work.

The Finnish eHealth strategy from 2015 listed a national-level eHealth usability survey as one strategic means to reach the strategy objectives [30]. In 2012, Nordic eHealth research network was established under the Nordic Council of Ministers to develop common Nordic eHealth indicators. The Finnish HIS usability-focused questionnaire for physicians was adopted in Iceland and Denmark in 2018. The OECD is also following work by the Nordic countries on common eHealth indicators for countries with advanced national ICT infrastructures [30]. The need to collect national-level evidence on usability and UXs of HISs in health care contexts to steer national eHealth policies and implementation coupled with the lack of suitable measures led to development of the Finnish EHR systems as tools for physicians questionnaire and within it, the National Usability-Focused HIS Scale (NuHIS) for physicians in 2009. The development team consisted of 2 physician-researchers, 1 usability researcher, and 2 researchers with experience in sociology of technology and national benchmarking studies. The first questionnaire for physicians with NuHIS was
conducted in 2010, before implementation of the first national eHealth service—ePrescription. The second data collection round in 2014 was timed so that the national ePrescription service was in full use in the public sector and implementation of the national patient data repository (Kanta) was to begin. In 2017, the data collection was repeated for physicians for the third time and also extended to cover the nurses. The data collection was timed so that the Kanta system was in full use in Finland. The Ministry of Social Affairs and Health has agreed to fund the next data collection round in 2020, extending it further to social workers and asking for a plan beyond 2020.

Establishing the Finnish questionnaire containing the NuHISS as a regular source of evidence for steering national eHealth policies as well as increased international attention about the Finnish questionnaire has added pressure for validation of the key measures used for usability. Thus, the objective of this study was to develop a valid and reliable measure of NuHISS for physicians. The research questions were as follows:

1. What are the most important dimensions of usability and UXs of HISs that the NuHISS for physicians should measure?
2. Does the developed NuHISS show acceptable construct validity (do the selected survey items measure their corresponding dimension and can the dimensions be separated from each other) and concurrent validity (do the dimensions have associations to other factors, such as quality ratings, that they theoretically should) of the scale?

Methods

The Survey and Data Used to Validate the Usability-Focused HIS Scale

The Survey Population

This report is based on the data collected from a random sample of Finnish physicians at 2 data collection points (2 cross-sectional samples) in 2014 (N=18,257) and 2017 (N=18,326). The response rates were 21% in 2014 and 22% in 2017 providing analytic samples of 3781 in 2014 and 4018 in 2017 [5,31]. Owing to missing values in a part of the variables, we imputed both datasets (missing pattern of both the samples is reported in the Multimedia Appendix 1). We used the multiple imputation method with chained equations using Mice R-package. We generated 5 separate imputed datasets for analyses purposes. The study has been approved by the Finnish National Institute for Health and Welfare Ethics Board.

The Survey Questionnaire

Key EHR, HIE, and PHR functionalities and information needed by physicians in everyday clinical work (eg, documentation, viewing and retrieval of patient data, medication list, decision support, HIE, and patient-provided data) were used as a starting point of the questionnaire; see the OECD model survey The OECD Guide for Measuring ICTs in the Health Sector [17,18]). The questions were grounded in physicians’ key HIS use tasks to increase face validity of the questions and to increase comparability with HIS availability monitoring (including the OECD model survey). The usability-focused questions (including aspects of stability, reaction speed, ease-of-use, recovery from errors, learnability, availability, information quality, and utility of information as well as effects) were modified based on the Finnish Medical Association’s information technology (IT)-physicians’ listing of key strengths and weaknesses of their HIS, as well as a comprehensive analysis of existing usability constructs [eg, 11-14,20-23,25,26].

The full questionnaire included 17 background questions, 41 usability-focused items, a list of 17 most urgent EHR development needs to select from, a list of 15 best functioning EHR features to select from, and a 9-item module measuring HIS-related well-being. For manager-level physicians, there was an additional 11-item module measuring HIS support for management.

The Usability-Focused HIS-Scale Items Used

Of the 41 usability-focused items, 32 items that were identical in the 2014 and 2017 questionnaires were selected for the NuHISS to measure physicians’ experiences on usability and benefits of HISs. The scale items are depicted in Table 1.

Items Used for Concurrent Validity Evaluation

As the concurrent validity measure of the dimensions of our construct, we selected the self-reported overall quality of the EHR system (school grade) provided by the participants. The overall quality was rated by a continuous scale from 4=very poor to 10=excellent. The scale was dichotomized into low (7 or less) and high (more than 7) quality estimates. In 2014, 1095 of 3781 respondents (29%) and in 2017 altogether 1323 of 4018 respondents (33%) rated the system they used as a high-quality system.

In the concurrent validity analyses, gender, the year of birth, work tenure (in years), and whether the respondent was specialized (yes/no) were used as time independent covariates and overall system quality (measured by school grade given to the system) as the outcome variable (Table 2).
Table 1. Measured items in the National Usability-Focused HIS-Scale scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Questionnaire item (with 5-point Likert scale: 1=fully disagree, 5=fully agree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Logic</td>
<td>The arrangement of fields and functions is logical on computer screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminology</td>
<td>Terminology on the screen is clear and understandable (eg, titles and labels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting</td>
<td>Entering and documenting patient data is quick, easy, and smooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating info</td>
<td>The systems keep me clearly informed about what it is doing (eg, saving data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightforward tasks</td>
<td>Routine tasks can be performed in a straightforward manner without the need for extra steps using the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed patient data</td>
<td>It is easy to obtain necessary patient information using the EHR system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing record</td>
<td>The information on the nursing record is in an easily readable format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>The systems are stable in terms of technical functionality (does not crash and no downtime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System errors</td>
<td>Faulty system function has caused or has nearly caused a serious adverse event for the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction speed</td>
<td>The system responds quickly to inputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected actions</td>
<td>In my view, the system frequently behaves in unexpected or strange ways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing info</td>
<td>Information entered/documentated occasionally disappears from the IS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medic list quality</td>
<td>The patient’s current medication list is presented in a clear format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary view</td>
<td>The EHR system generates a summary view (eg, on a timeline) that helps to develop an overall picture of the patients’ health status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order completion</td>
<td>The system monitors and notifies when the orders given to nurses have been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-provided info</td>
<td>Measurement results provided electronically by the patient (eg, via patient portal) help to improve the quality of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>EHR systems support cooperation and communication between physicians and patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion implementation</td>
<td>The system supplier implements suggested corrections and amendments as wished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor interest</td>
<td>The system supplier is interested in feedback from users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation speed</td>
<td>Suggestions for corrections and amendments are implemented sufficiently quickly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care quality</td>
<td>ISs help to improve quality of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care continuity</td>
<td>ISs help to ensure continuity of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guideline adherence</td>
<td>ISs help to ensure compliance and adherence with the treatment recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication errors</td>
<td>ISs help in preventing errors and mistakes associated with medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate tests</td>
<td>ISs help to avoid duplicate tests and examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care needs and impacts</td>
<td>The EHR system provides me with information about the need for and effectiveness of treatment of my patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE* medication</td>
<td>Information on medications ordered in other organizations is easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE speed</td>
<td>Obtaining patient information from another organization often takes too much time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE data quality</td>
<td>Patient data (also from other organizations) are comprehensive, up-to-date, and reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE collaboration</td>
<td>EHR systems support cooperation and communication between physicians working in different organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals collaboration</td>
<td>EHR systems support cooperation and communication between physicians and nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician collaboration</td>
<td>EHR systems support cooperation and communication between physicians in your own organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aEHR: electronic health record.
*bIS: information system.
*cHIE: health information exchange.
Table 2. Demographics, including means and SDs of overall system quality (school grade) covariates in the 2014 and 2017 data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Year of data collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target population, n</td>
<td>16,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire sent (target population), %</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents</td>
<td>3781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;34</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of birth, mean</td>
<td>1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specialized (1), %</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized (2), %</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work tenure (experience, years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10, %</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10&lt;20, %</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20&lt;30, %</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+, %</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.63 (11.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School grade given to the primary electronic health record system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (excellent)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (fail)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.64 (1.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analyses Assessing Sample Differences
Means and SDs of the NuHISS items for the 2 time points (2014 and 2017) were calculated and Welch 2-sample t test was used to analyze the differences in the mean profile of the responses from the 2 time points. Following the research questions and established psychometric testing procedures we tested the validity of the NuHISS in 2 steps: (1) structural validity test (do the intended dimensions or latent variables explain the covariance of the corresponding items) showing whether the scale measures the defined dimensions and (2) concurrent validity test (are the dimensions associated with the factors they should be associated with).

Assessing Construct Validity of the NuHISS Scale Dimensions
The preliminary structural analyses were conducted by calculating bivariate correlations between the 32 study variables in the 2014 and then in the 2017 data. For grouping the NuHISS scale items into dimensions, we then tested the factor structure and number of dimensions using exploratory factor analyses with eigenvalue 1 and loading structure as a criterion for the
appropriate number of factors. The factorial validity of the original scales was tested separately among the 2 samples (time points 2014 and 2017) with exploratory factor analyses (Varimax rotation; [32]) and then structural equation modeling (SEM; confirmatory factor analyses). Second, we tested the structure using SEM [33] that offers more stringent testing and allowing items to be loaded only to their corresponding latent variables (factors). SEM is a multivariate statistical analysis technique. This technique is a combination of factor analysis and multiple regression analysis, and it is used to analyze the structural relationship between measured variables and latent constructs. Goodness-of-fit of the SEM models was evaluated based on the chi-square test (X²), RMSEA, CFI, TLI, and Akaike’s information criterion (AIC). A nonsignificant chi-square value indicates that the model is a good fit to the data. RMSEA values of less than .05 and .08 suggest a good and reasonable fit, respectively. For CFI and TLI, values above .90 and .95 represent an acceptable and a good fit, respectively. AIC is a measure used to compare any models that have the same set of variables. In such cases, the model with the smaller value of AIC will be preferred [34]. Testing the final structure was done in 3 steps. First, a 1-factor model was estimated where all remaining items were loaded on the same underlying dimension (null model). In the second step, a model representing the original theoretical model was estimated, and, in the final step, the structural invariance (test showing whether the structure could be considered as similar between 2 measurement points) was tested between 2 samples as strong/scalar invariance with factor loadings and intercepts constrained to be similar. Again the same fit indexes were used as in the overall SEM test. As a final step for structural validation, we assessed internal consistency of each dimension using Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient. The closer Cronbach alpha coefficient is to 1.0, the greater the internal consistency of the items in the dimension. Assessing Concurrent Validity of the Scale Dimensions Concurrent validity is the extent to which one measurement is backed up by a related measurement obtained at about the same point in time. In testing, the validity of results obtained from one test (in this case ease of use) can often be assessed by comparison with a separate but related measurement (in this case, school grade given to the system) collected at the same point in time [35]. Concurrent validity was tested separately for each dimension of the EHR system with the overall quality (measured by school grade given to the system) evaluation as the criteria. The associations between overall quality evaluation and each dimension were tested using the marginal structural model (MSM) approach proposed by Robins et al [36,37], with inverse probability weights taking into account the effects of potential covariates. The approach produces a pseudopopulation with balance in all included covariates.

Statistical programming language R (version 3.5.1)/R-studio and multiple statistical R-packages (psych, psycho, missForest, mice, miceadds, ggplot2, reshape2, lavaan, semPlot, semTools, ipw, sandwich, and survey) were used for the statistical analyses.

Results

Differences of Samples From the 2 Time Points

Means and SDs of the measured items in 2014 and 2017 are presented in Figure 1. There were some differences between time points especially in HIE medication (information on medication prescribed in other organizations is easily accessible), duplicate tests (ISs help prevent overlapping examinations), care needs and impacts (IS provides me information on the need and efficiency of care), and B2C collaboration (ISs support collaboration between physicians and patients). The mean profiles were, however, relatively similar: Overall correlations between samples were 0.97, suggesting that the means were very similar between time points. The Welch 2-Sample t test suggested that the difference of the overall means between samples (in 2014, mean 3.28; in 2017, mean 3.27; difference=0.016) was not significant (t(7757.06)=1.14; 95% CI [−0.012 to 0.044]; P>0.10).

Construct Validity of the NuHISS Scale

Item-to-Item Correlations

Correlation matrices in both samples are presented in Figures 2 and 3. In 2014 data, items around dimension that we named technical quality show strong mutual correlations in both years, also correlating especially with most of the relatively strongly mutually correlating items in dimension that we named ease of use. Ease of use items also correlate with the dimension named benefit. Items in the dimension that we named cross-organization collaboration also cluster together, but with less significant correlations (lighter color) and correlations also with internal collaboration, ease of use, and benefits items. Items in the feedback dimension show strongest between-item correlation, represented by the darkest color, without strong external correlations. Items in the info quality dimension do not cluster together so clearly. Benefit dimension items correlate clearly with each other. The 2 internal collaboration items correlate with each other and also with some of ease of use and cross-organization collaboration items.

In the 2017 data, the clearest item-to-item correlations are within the feedback dimension items. In addition, ease of use items, technical quality items, internal collaboration items, and most of benefits dimension items show clear item-to-item correlations. Cross-organizational collaboration items show clearer within-item correlations in 2017 than in 2014 data.
Figure 1. Means and standard deviations of the measured items in 2014 and 2017. HIE: health information exchange.

Datasets (Means/SDs)
- Year 2014
- Year 2017

Figure 2. Correlation matrixes among items in 2014.
Figure 3. Correlation matrixes among items in 2017.

Scale Structure and Reliability Testing

The exploratory factor analyses with Varimax rotation suggested that the 7-factor solution did offer a good fit to the data in both samples (test of the hypothesis that 7 factors are sufficient, $X^2_{293}=2136.14$ in 2014 and $X^2_{293}=2109.83$ in 2017, both $P<.001$, see also Figures 4 and 5). However, in both samples the choice of 6 factor was supported by 2 (out of 9; 22.22%) methods (Optimal Coordinates and Parallel Analysis) and the choice of 8 factor was supported by 2 (out of 9; 22.22%) methods (Bayesian information criterion [BIC] and Sample Size Adjusted BIC).

In SEM analyses, the null model (without predicted structure) did not provide an acceptable fit to the data in 2014 (CFI=0.64, TLI=0.62, NFI=0.64, RMSEA=0.100, and SRMR=0.081) or in 2017 (CFI=0.66, TLI=0.64, NFI=0.66, RMSEA=0.094, and SRMR=0.074). The original 7-factor solution, however, provided an acceptable fit in both samples (CFI=0.92/0.91, TLI=0.92/0.91, NFI=0.92/0.91, RMSEA=0.048/0.049, and SRMR=0.040/0.039). The final solutions are presented in Figures 6 and 7.
Figure 5. Eigenvalues and explained variance by the number of factors (exploratory factor analyses) in 2017 sample.

Figure 6. Confirmatory factor analysis in 2014 data.
The strongest 2 factors (measured by the loadings of items) were feedback and internal collaboration—in both years all the item loadings were over 0.7. All items in ease of use, technical quality, and benefits—factors had factor loadings over 0.5 both years. The weakest factor loadings in 2017 were in information quality factor (0.38 in 2014 for Patient-provided information item, 0.45/0.49 for Medication list quality item, and 0.47/0.56 for Order completion item in 2014/2017). In addition, cross-organizational collaboration factor loading for 1 item remained under 0.5 in 2017 (0.44 for HIE speed item). In addition, the internal reliability of the final factors (assessed with alpha coefficients) in the 2014/2017 data shows that the first 4 factors were the strongest:

1. Factor 1 ease of use (alpha=.87/86).
2. Factor 2 technical quality (alpha=.82/80).
3. Factor 3 benefits (alpha=.85/81).
4. Factor 4 feedback (alpha=.88/88).
5. Factor 5 cross-organizational collaboration (alpha=.69/64).
7. Factor 7 internal collaboration (alpha=.70/69).

As the test of structural consistency, we performed the measurement invariance test that assesses the psychometric equivalence of a construct across groups or across time [38]. Results suggest that the final measure provided only minimal invariance between samples. The sequential tests are reported in Table 3. This was expected, because the fit of the final 7-factor solution was relatively modest.
Table 3. Changes of the fit indexes according to the sequential invariance tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invariance tests steps</th>
<th>$Df$</th>
<th>Akaike’s information criterion</th>
<th>Bayesian information criterion</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>Chi-square difference</th>
<th>$Df$ difference</th>
<th>$P$-difference (&gt;chi-square)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fit.configural</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>660,523</td>
<td>662,145</td>
<td>8613.6</td>
<td>—$^a$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fit.loadings</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>660,626</td>
<td>662,075</td>
<td>8766.9</td>
<td>153.29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fit.intercepts</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>661,458</td>
<td>662,733</td>
<td>9648.7</td>
<td>881.78</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fit.residuals</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>661,693</td>
<td>662,747</td>
<td>9947.7</td>
<td>299.00</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fit.means</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>661,848</td>
<td>662,853</td>
<td>10,116.5</td>
<td>168.86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;2.2e-16$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Not applicable.  
$^bP<0.001.$

Concurrent Validity of the Scale Items

In the weighted sample, the covariates had a balanced distribution between those who evaluated the overall quality of the system (school grade) low and high in both measurement years (Tables 4 and 5). The distribution suggests that the MSM fits relatively well and is effective in balancing covariates across Overall system quality (school grade) evaluation sequences (Table 6).

Table 4. Distribution of covariates between those who evaluated the overall quality of the electronic health record system (school grade) low and high in both measurement years from inverse probability weighting in 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>0 (school grade low; n=3780.96$^a$), mean (SD)</th>
<th>1 (school grade high; n=3781.33$^a$), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Standardized mean difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1.62 (0.49)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of birth</td>
<td>1966.24 (10.93)</td>
<td>1966.22 (10.84)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure</td>
<td>19.85 (11.46)</td>
<td>19.86 (11.33)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized</td>
<td>1.33 (0.47)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$n refers to pseudopopulation samples from inverse probability weighting.

Table 5. Distribution of covariates between those who evaluated the overall quality of the electronic health record system (school grade) low and high in both measurement years from inverse probability weighting in 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>0 (school grade low; n=4018.24$^a$)</th>
<th>1 (school grade high; n=4017.07)</th>
<th>Standardized mean difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.48)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of birth, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1969.24 (11.16)</td>
<td>1969.21 (10.83)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenure, mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.74 (11.60)</td>
<td>19.77 (11.26)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.47)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$n refers to pseudopopulation samples from inverse probability weighting.
Table 6. Controlled direct effect of overall quality evaluation (school grade) estimated from the marginal structural model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease-of-use</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.28 to 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical quality</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34 to 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46 to 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.42 to 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-organizational collaboration</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.56 to 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information quality</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.55 to 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal collaboration</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46 to 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease-of-use</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.32 to 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical quality</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.37 to 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52 to 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.45 to 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-organizational collaboration</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.57 to 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information quality</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.55 to 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal collaboration</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.51 to 0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Validated NuHISS Scale

The study resulted in a validated scale including 7 dimensions: the technical quality dimension measures reliability and safety aspects of the EHR system, including 5 items. The 5 items in the information quality dimension reflect availability and format of key information types of the EHR system. Information quality measures for the HIE functionality are included in the cross-organizational collaboration dimension. The feedback dimension measures responsiveness of the EHR system vendor to improvement suggestions including 3 items. The ease of use dimension consists of 7 items related to the key functionalities of the EHR system (including reading, documenting, and patient data retrieval). The benefit dimension covers 6 items measuring UX on overall benefits of HISs. The internal collaboration dimension with its 2 measures is actually a specific benefit that measures how well the EHR system supports cooperation and communication between professionals within their own organization. Cross-organizational collaboration dimension is another specific benefit dimension with 4 measures on systems support cooperation and communication among professionals in different organizations (focusing on HIE functionalities). Loadings of each of the measures show the strongest and weakest measures within each dimension (Table 7).
### Table 7. The validated National Usability-Focused HIS-Scale with dimension reliability and item loadings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension (reliability 2014/2017) and short name</th>
<th>Item on the questionnaire (with 5-point Likert scale: 1=fully disagree, 5=fully agree)</th>
<th>Factor loading 2014/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical quality (alpha=.82/.80)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>The systems are stable in terms of technical functionality (does not crash, no downtime)</td>
<td>0.76/0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System errors</td>
<td>Faulty system function has caused or has nearly caused a serious adverse event for the patient</td>
<td>0.65/0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction speed</td>
<td>The system responds quickly to inputs</td>
<td>0.77/0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected actions</td>
<td>In my view, the system frequently behaves in unexpected or strange ways</td>
<td>0.69/0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing info</td>
<td>Information entered/documentated occasionally disappears from the IS</td>
<td>0.56/0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information quality (alpha=.61/.62)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medic list quality</td>
<td>The patient’s current medication list is presented in a clear format</td>
<td>0.45/0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary view</td>
<td>The EHR system generates a summary view (eg, on a timeline) that helps to develop an overall picture of the patient’s health status</td>
<td>0.56/0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order completion</td>
<td>The system monitors and notifies when the orders given to nurses have been completed</td>
<td>0.47/0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-provided info</td>
<td>Measurement results provided electronically by the patient (eg, via patient portal) help to improve the quality of care</td>
<td>0.40/0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2C collaboration</td>
<td>EHR systems support co-operation and communication between physicians and patients</td>
<td>0.54/0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feedback (alpha=.88/.88)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestion implementation</td>
<td>The system supplier implements suggested corrections and amendments as wished</td>
<td>0.93/0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor interest</td>
<td>The system supplier is interested in feedback from users</td>
<td>0.78/0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation speed</td>
<td>Suggestions for corrections and amendments are implemented sufficiently quickly</td>
<td>0.83/0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ease of use (alpha=.87/.86)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic</td>
<td>The arrangement of fields and functions is logical on computer screen</td>
<td>0.75/0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminology</td>
<td>Terminology on the screen is clear and understandable (eg, titles and labels)</td>
<td>0.71/0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting</td>
<td>Entering and documenting patient data is quick, easy and smooth</td>
<td>0.77/0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating info</td>
<td>The systems keep me clearly informed about what it is doing (eg, saving data)</td>
<td>0.69/0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightforward tasks</td>
<td>Routine tasks can be performed in a straight forward manner without the need for extra steps using the system</td>
<td>0.75/0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed patient data</td>
<td>It is easy to obtain necessary patient information using the EHR system</td>
<td>0.68/0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing record</td>
<td>The information on the nursing record is in easily readable format</td>
<td>0.59/0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits (alpha=.85/.81)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care quality</td>
<td>ISs help to improve quality of care</td>
<td>0.83/0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care continuity</td>
<td>ISs help to ensure continuity of care</td>
<td>0.74/0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guideline adherence</td>
<td>ISs support compliance and adherence with the treatment recommendations</td>
<td>0.70/0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication errors</td>
<td>ISs help in preventing errors and mistakes associated with medications</td>
<td>0.60/0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate tests</td>
<td>ISs help to avoid duplicate tests and examinations</td>
<td>0.62/0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care needs and impacts</td>
<td>The EHR system provides me with information about the need for and effectiveness of treatment of my patients</td>
<td>0.72/0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross-organizational collaboration (alpha=.69/.64)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE medication</td>
<td>Information on medications ordered in other organizations is easily available</td>
<td>0.60/0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE speed</td>
<td>Obtaining patient information from another organization often takes too much time</td>
<td>0.48/0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIE data quality</td>
<td>Patient data (also from other organizations) are comprehensive, up-to-date and reliable</td>
<td>0.63/0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The main aim of this study was to develop and test the validity of the questionnaire measuring NuHISS among a nationally representative sample of Finnish physicians. The exploratory factor analyses showed that the 7-factor solution did offer a good fit to the data and SEM analyses showed that it provided an acceptable fit. Moreover, concurrent validity of this solution was shown to be acceptable. The 7-factor solution included *technical quality*, *information quality*, *feedback*, *ease of use*, *benefits*, *internal collaboration*, and *cross-organizational collaboration* dimensions. Our results show that NuHISS provides a useful tool for measuring the usability of HISs among physicians and offers a valid measure for monitoring the long-term development of HISs on a large scale.

Differences of Samples

Overall correlations between the 2 samples suggested that the means were very similar between time points. The Welch 2-Sample t test verified that the difference was not significant. This generated a good basis for validation of the scale.

Validity and Reliability of the Scale

Construct validity—the degree to which a test measures what it claims to be measuring—was supported by correlation analysis, which revealed the items clustering together, although correlations for some clusters were stronger and for some others weaker and more dispersed. The underlying components were analyzed using factor analysis—principal components analysis (PCA) for data collected with the same scale in 2 time points. PCA led to a 32-item 7-component solution with 65% of the total variance explained in 2014 and 63% in 2017. In confirmatory factor analyses, the original 7-factor solution provided an acceptable, although modest, fit in both samples. Component or factor loadings revealed that the highest loadings were for all items in the *feedback* factor and many items in the *technical quality*, *ease of use*, *benefits*, and *internal collaboration* factors. The *information quality* factor had overall the lowest loadings.

Internal consistency of factors was assessed by reliability (Cronbach alpha). Reliability of all factors exceeded .60, with the highest alphas in *feedback* (over .80), *ease of use*, and *benefit* factors. The *information quality* factor was the weakest in both years (.61/.62). *Cross-organizational collaboration* reliability was also below .70 in both years.

Concurrent validity measures the extent to which results correspond to those of a previously established measurement of the construct. The covariates had a balanced distribution between those who evaluated the overall quality of the system (school grade) low and high in both measurement years, suggesting that the MSM fits relatively well and is effective in balancing covariates across quality evaluation sequences.

Comparison of NuHISS and IS Success Model

The final 7-factor solution was compared with IS success model dimensions and items (Canada Infoway 2012 version, *Multimedia Appendix 2*). NuHISS covered all but one of the IS success model dimensions (*Use*) and most of the key subareas. The IS success model dimension of *Use* was out of the scope of our survey (Availability and Usage rate are assessed in Finland with a separate survey to health care providers). In addition, the items were grouped in a slightly different manner. *Multimedia Appendix 2* compares the 2 scales, where black font shows the actual items for each factor or dimension and gray font location of the item, if different in the scales.

The comparison shows that overall, the NuHISS-scale items are more grounded to the physician work and more detailed than the IS success model items. The NuHISS scale has a more focused *technical quality* factor than the IS success model *System Quality* dimension. In addition to similar items, the latter includes items that fell into NuHISS *ease of use* and *benefits* factors. NuHISS has a dedicated item for *ease of use*, whereas in the IS success model, corresponding items are listed under *system quality* and *information quality* dimensions. NuHISS *benefits* factor and *collaboration* factors correspond to IS success model *user satisfaction* and *information quality* dimensions. NuHISS has specific factors for *cross-organizational* and *internal collaboration*, unlike the IS success model. NuHISS does not include a *Service quality* factor, although the full questionnaire contains these items.

On the basis of this comparison, *service quality* factor is a potential new factor to be added in the scale in future. In addition, *information quality* factor has the clearest improvement needs based on the weakest reliability and factor loadings.

Strengths and Limitations

The face validity of our questionnaire is good: experts (physicians using the different HIs in their everyday work) have participated in generating the questionnaire and questions were grounded to the core IT functionalities and information contents needed by physicians. The physicians have evaluated the importance of questions from the viewpoint of actual end-users and long-term use of the systems in clinical environments. The surveys have also been pilot tested on both
occasions (before sending out the 2014 and 2017 surveys) on a subset of the intended population. The scale offers a valid tool for measuring usability of HISs among professionals and adds substantially to previous scales such as those focusing on measuring usability, acceptability, and user satisfaction of mobile apps among clients [39-41].

However, the questionnaire may have shortcomings typical to questionnaire-based surveys: it may include questions that are understood differently by different respondents, depending on the experience they have had with different systems and other individual factors. Although trying to use the language familiar to practicing physicians, we have also been obliged to use terminology from user interface and interaction design fields, such as label, input, or screen. In addition, we used the term EHR in instances where questions were particularly focused on the respondents’ main EHR system, and ISs in instances where questions focused not only on EHRs but also related specialty-specific regional or national ISs or patient-provided data (either via the EHR or via standalone systems). The wording aimed at relieving respondents from knowing the particulars of system integration, but may have also confused some respondents. As the clinical ICT system environments often include several systems, which are used simultaneously, it is not always clear for the physicians which functionalities and features are related to EHR systems and which to other IT systems.

The total number of respondents in both surveys was about 4000. Response rates remained relatively low (21% to 22%). A small proportion of this is due to the sampling method: We targeted the survey to all physicians in clinical work. The Finnish Medical association’s membership register did not allow us to select only physicians in clinical work into the target population. Therefore, the questionnaires were sent to all working aged physicians (ie, to a larger target population, with a cover letter calling for responses from physicians in clinical work). Calculation of the response rate was carried out from the sent questionnaires, which included physicians not in clinical work. Comparison of the respondents with the target population in 2014 [5] and 2017 [31] showed good representativeness of samples in both years.

The questionnaire length is another possible reason for the relatively low response rate. Although the core NuHISS for physicians had only 32 items, the full questionnaire had 17 background questions, 41 usability-focused items, a list of 17 most urgent EHR development needs to select from, a list of 15 best functioning EHR features to select from, and a 9-item module measuring HIS-related well-being. For manager-level physicians, there was an additional 11-item module measuring HIS support for management.

All the questionnaire items related to IS success were not included in the validated scale. Our questions on system integration and proficiency of use were not included because of a different scale. In the exploratory factor analysis (Multimedia Appendix 3), our items measuring learnability (Learning to use the EHR system does not require a lot of training), recovery from errors (It is easy to correct mistakes [such as entry errors, ending up in the wrong screen, changing incorrect selections, etc.], and decision support quality (The reminders, alerts and warnings provided by the system are useful and are adequate) loaded on the ease of use factor but did not qualify there in the validation. In the exploratory factor analysis, our items Diagnostic imaging results are easily available on a regional level and Laboratory results are easily available and are logically presented on a regional level loaded on cross-organizational collaboration factor but did not qualify for the validated scale. In the exploratory factor analysis, our questions If I have problems with the system I can easily get help and Use of EHR systems frequently takes my attention away from the patient loaded on technical quality factor but did not qualify into the validated scale. In the exploratory factor analysis, our item I know to whom and how I can send feedback on the system, if I so wish loaded on the feedback factor but did not qualify into the validated scale. All these questions reflect aspects of usability that may still be important to keep in the full questionnaire.

Although the functionalities and information needed by doctors in daily patient care remain relatively constant, development of technologies may enhance some functionalities (ie, artificial intelligence-assisted decision support and improved personalization possibilities). These developments should also be considered in the full questionnaire for physicians in future. In addition, further development of some of the measures in the information quality factor may be called for. In the exploratory factor analysis, there was an eighth factor, which we named Business to Client collaboration. This did not qualify as an individual factor in the validation, but combining the items with the information quality factor provided a satisfactory result. The eighth factor may become valid, if some items are added or rephrased.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, Finland is the first country to have administered regular national monitoring of usability of HISs from the viewpoint of end-user experiences. The introduced tool—NuHISS for physicians—offers a valid measure for monitoring the long-term development of HISs on a large scale. The scale is highly adoptable in other countries—it has already been used in Iceland, Denmark, and Germany in 2018. The relative importance of items needs to be assessed against national eHealth policy goals and complemented with items that have remained outside the NuHISS from the questionnaire when appropriate. Development of HIS functionalities calls for further development of the scale, especially within the information quality domain. Similar national-level scales have been developed in Finland for nurses and social workers, based on the physician scale. First data collections were conducted from 2017 to 2018. Validation of these in due course will show generalizability of the physician scale across professional groups.
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Abstract

Background: Sufficient sample size and minimal sample bias are core requirements for empirical data analyses. Combining opportunistic recruitment with a Web-based survey and data-collection platform yields new benefits over traditional recruitment approaches.

Objective: This paper aims to report the success of different recruitment methods and obtain data on participants’ characteristics, participation behavior, recruitment rates, and representativeness of the sample.
Methods: A longitudinal, Web-based survey was implemented as part of the European PASTA (Physical Activity through Sustainable Transport Approaches) project, between November 2014 and December 2016. During this period, participants were recruited from 7 European cities on a rolling basis. A standardized guide on recruitment strategy was developed for all cities, to reach a sufficient number of adult participants. To make use of the strengths and minimize weaknesses, a combination of different opportunistic recruitment methods was applied. In addition, the random sampling approach was applied in the city of Örebro. To reduce the attrition rate and improve real-time monitoring, the Web-based platform featured a participant’s and a researchers’ user interface and dashboard.

Results: Overall, 10,691 participants were recruited; most people found out about the survey through their workplace or employer (2300/10691, 21.51%), outreach promotion (2219/10691, 20.76%), and social media (1859/10691, 17.39%). The average number of questionnaires filled in per participant varied significantly between the cities (P<.001), with the highest number in Zurich (11.0, SE 0.33) and the lowest in Örebro (4.8, SE 0.17). Collaboration with local organizations, the use of Facebook and mailing lists, and direct street recruitment were the most effective approaches in reaching a high share of participants (P<.001). Considering the invested working hours, Facebook was one of the most time-efficient methods. Compared with the cities’ census data, the composition of study participants was broadly representative in terms of gender distribution; however, the study included younger and better-educated participants.

Conclusions: We observed that offering a mixed recruitment approach was highly effective in achieving a high participation rate. The highest attrition rate and the lowest average number of questionnaires filled in per participant were observed in Örebro, which also recruited participants through random sampling. These findings suggest that people who are more interested in the topic are more willing to participate and stay in a survey than those who are selected randomly and may not have a strong connection to the research topic. Although direct face-to-face contacts were very effective with respect to the number of recruited participants, recruiting people through social media was not only effective but also very time efficient. The collected data are based on one of the largest recruited longitudinal samples with a common recruitment strategy in different European cities.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e11492) doi:10.2196/11492
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longitudinal survey; multicentral; Web-based survey; opportunistic sampling; recruitment; Web-based questionnaire; mobile phone

Introduction

Recruiting participants has become increasingly challenging in the face of privacy concerns, an increasing number of surveys, expectations of rewards for survey completion, and necessary effort to achieve an unbiased representative sample [1]. Sufficient sample sizes and minimal sample bias are core requirements for empirical data collection in order to properly obtain answers to research questions [2]. Meeting these requirements is especially challenging for surveys with high response burdens such as longitudinal studies [3]. Traditional recruitment methods, such as mailed invitations based on samples drawn from population registries, are costly and increasingly yield sample biases due to declining response rates and selectivity effects [4-7], for example, because of an increase in the exclusive use of mobile phones or email rather than traditional mail or landline phone. Opportunistic approaches, such as recruitment through social media, promise cost savings [4,7-12] and a better coverage of person groups that are hard to reach with the traditional recruitment methods [13], like parents of adolescents [8], adolescents themselves [10], people with special conditions [14,15], smokers [16], low-income people [17], or people with a disproportionate risk for poor health outcomes [18]. Social media, like Facebook and Twitter, can potentially have a strong snowballing effect [19], given their intensive use and continuing growth (around 1.94 billion monthly active Facebook users worldwide [20]) and their features that allow information to be shared very easily among networks [19]; therefore, they are able to reach a large number of people in a very short time. Combining opportunistic recruitment with a Web-based survey and data-collection platform comprises additional benefits such as real-time monitoring of recruitment progress and enabling ongoing optimization of recruitment activities [4]. Poor response rates can further be improved by including rewards for participation such as financial compensations [21]. One major drawback of the opportunistic recruitment methods is the concern of sample bias, as the population sampled does not necessarily represent each group of the total population equally well [10]. Specific types of social media might, for example, be preferably used by younger people [3,7,11] and not by the elderly. In this case, the elderly have little chance to be sampled at all. Other, more traditional methods, such as flyers, involve problems of respondents not having a direct and convenient access to the survey and may result in a smaller recruitment rate [22].

The PASTA (Physical Activity through Sustainable Transport Approaches) Project [23,24] used a combination of different opportunistic recruitment methods to utilize strengths and minimize weaknesses. The project study collected data in a longitudinal, Web-based survey with a cohort design to study the effects of active mobility (like walking and cycling) on the overall physical activity and health, crash risks, and exposure to traffic-related air pollution. Data collection was performed in seven European cities: Antwerp, Barcelona, London, Örebro, Rome, Vienna, and Zurich. The target population for the project survey was the entire adult population in each of the seven case study cities, with the aim of oversampling participants who use a bicycle for their daily trips.
The objective of this paper was to report the success of these different methods with regard to obtaining participants’ characteristics, participation behavior, recruitment rates, and representativeness of the sample. More specifically, we aimed to (1) describe participant characteristics in the seven European cities in terms of the number of recruited people, gender, age, education level, and employment status; (2) show how participants found out about the survey; (3) illustrate participation behavior by reporting on the number of filled-in questionnaires, attrition, and withdrawal rate; (4) present the effectiveness (the number of predicted participants) and time efficiency of different recruitment approaches; and (5) compare our sample with the general population. Finally, we present our conclusions for the use of recruitment studies in future research on comparable topics.

Methods

Approach

The PASTA project used a longitudinal, Web-based survey between November 2014 and December 2016. After the first questionnaire, which collected baseline information, participants received a follow-up questionnaire every 13 days to collect prospective data on travel behavior, levels of physical activity, and traffic safety incidents [23]. During this period, participants in the seven European cities were recruited on a rolling basis by using different opportunistic approaches. To reach a sufficient number of adult participants, a standardized guide on recruitment strategy was developed for all cities. A common recruitment strategy was important to achieve a similar number of participants and ensure more evenly distributed participation across transport modes and social groups in each city. The strategy for all cities included press releases and editorials; common promotional materials following the same visual identity guidelines; direct targeting of local stakeholders and community groups to distribute survey information through their communication channels (like newsletters, intranet, and webpages); extensive use of social media (each city had its own Facebook and Twitter pages where the link to the survey was regularly posted; Figure 1); and incentivizing for participation (ie, participants entered into a prize draw if they completed a questionnaire). The chance of participants winning increased with each additional completed questionnaire, except for participants in Sweden (Örebro) where the lottery was not allowed by law.

A professional designer created a visual identity to enable clear and easy recognition of the project. All recruitment materials produced under the project were designed in line with the visual identity, which resulted in the creation of a strong brand (Figure 2). The survey as well as different dissemination materials (eg, flyers) were developed first in English and then translated into the local languages (ie, Dutch, Spanish, Catalan, Swedish, Italian, Swizz German, and Austrian German). This guaranteed that the same recruitment materials with the same contents were used in each city. Within this framework, there was flexibility to enable local initiatives and targeted city-specific recruitment, such as promoting recruitment for the project at social and cultural events. Furthermore, the city of Örebro applied an additional random sampling approach by contacting people aged 18-74 years through mail or phone.

To ensure high-data quality, several measures were put in place to reduce attrition rates, such as a user-friendly and custom-made survey platform and the automatic sending of reminder emails. Furthermore, participants were able to log into the platform at any time. There, they received an overview of their personal completed and open questionnaires and were able to complete unfinished questionnaires. Furthermore, they were given the opportunity to withdraw themselves actively from the survey if they did not want to participate any longer. In addition to the participants’ user interface, the platform also featured a researchers’ user interface and dashboard for real-time monitoring of recruitment and survey data collection. A user engagement strategy was also developed, including regular contact with the respondents, project branding, regular posting on social media, and keeping the project website up-to-date.

Participants were asked in the baseline questionnaire how they found out about the survey. They were given a choice between several different options, ranging from word of mouth to large-scale advertising campaigns. This question was answered by all participants who finalized the baseline questionnaire. At the same time, all city partners kept records on their local recruitment activities to measure invested efforts, including date, category, description, and invested time for each applied recruitment activity. Different categories were classified as follows: collaboration with local administration or organization (eg, survey link on webpages, newsletters, and intranet); handout of flyers at specific locations or specific events; display of posters at specific locations; use of mailing lists; advertisement in Web-based media or print media; papers in Web-based media, print media, or magazines; oral presentations for recruitment purposes; radio or television spots; Facebook; Twitter; street recruitment; and use of random sampling.

Ethics approval was obtained from the local ethics committees in the countries where the work was conducted and sent to the European Commission before the start of the survey.

Statistical Analysis

Standard descriptive statistics outlined overall participant characteristics and were stratified by city, gender, age, education level, and employment status. To assess participation behavior, we tested the number of filled-in questionnaires by different sociodemographic characteristics using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test. Each significant result (P<.05) was followed by a Dunn test to account for significant differences within a variable. The sociodemographic characteristics of the sample (age and gender) were compared with each city’s census data by applying the Pearson chi-square test and size effect calculations.
To estimate the effectiveness (number of participants who started the baseline survey) of different recruitment approaches, we developed a recruit-prediction model in the form of a nonlinear least squares model in cities that provided the most comprehensive and detailed information on their local recruitment activities (Antwerp, Barcelona, and Vienna). The prediction model is based on the assumption that each recruitment activity generates an effect on the number of participants, which resembles a density function of a log-normal distribution, that is, a steep increase and a flat decrease:

\[ r_i = e^\left(\mu + \sigma \cdot \ln(d + \sum_{a=1}^{A} i_{ca})\right) \]

Where \( r_i \) denotes the predicted number of responses on day \( i \); the index \( c=1,2,... \) refers to different categories of recruitment activities (such as Facebook, Twitter, and flyer); the index \( a=1,2,... \) refers to particular activities of category \( C \); \( e_c \) is the intrinsic effectiveness of an activity of category \( c \); \( i_{ca} \) is the intensity of a particular activity \( a \) of category \( c \); \( d \) is the number of days elapsed since the start of the activity; \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) are the location and dispersion parameter of the log-normal density function, respectively. The curve characteristics of the density function were assumed to be the same for all recruitment categories: Only one set of parameters \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) was estimated for all categories. The intensity was assumed to vary as follows: each category has its intrinsic (baseline) effectiveness \( e_c \); within a given category, the intensity varies according to the invested effort \( i_{ca} \) (indicated by the reported number of working hours); and in some cases, with strong peaks of recruited people, which could unambiguously be assigned to particular recruitment activities with exceptional success, the intensity parameter was manually increased to capture the success of this activity adequately.

To estimate the time efficiency of different recruitment categories, we divided the number of predicted participants by the number of invested hours for each recruitment category. This was possible because the hours of work for project members to apply each activity (except for Facebook and Twitter posts) was recorded in detail. For social media activities, an average time value of 5 minutes per post was used. All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.4.0; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Values given throughout the text are mean (SE).
Results

Sociodemographic Characteristics of Participants
A total of 10691 participants were recruited over a period of 27 months in the seven European cities, ranging from 1844 (17.25%) individuals in Rome to 1356 (12.68%) in Zurich. In all cities, except Rome, more women than men were recruited, with an average age of 41.9 (SE 0.19) years for men and 40.0 (SE 0.17) years for women. Most participants who stated their educational level were highly educated, with 6180 participants (of 8525, 72.49%) possessing a university degree and 2217 participants (of 8525, 26.01%) possessing a secondary education. In addition, 5939 participants (of 9892, 60.58%) were full-time employees, followed by 1662 (of 9892, 16.80%) part-time employees and 1361 (of 9892, 13.76%) students; furthermore, 876 (of 9892, 8.86%) participants had home duties, were retired, or were unemployed (Table 1). Regarding nationality, 3560 participants (of 8586, 41.46%) were from Western Europe (predominantly in Antwerp, Vienna, and Zurich), 2840 (of 8586, 33.08%) were from Southern Europe (Barcelona and Rome), and 1858 (of 8586, 21.64%) were from Northern Europe (Örebro and London; according to the Geographic Regions listed by the United Nations).

Table 1. Respondent demographic information by recruitment city.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Antwerp</th>
<th>Barcelona</th>
<th>London</th>
<th>Örebro</th>
<th>Rome</th>
<th>Vienna</th>
<th>Zurich</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants in the sample, n (%)</td>
<td>1445 (13.52)</td>
<td>1727 (16.15)</td>
<td>1446 (13.53)</td>
<td>1401 (13.10)</td>
<td>1844 (17.25)</td>
<td>1472 (13.77)</td>
<td>1356 (12.68)</td>
<td>10,691 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>689 (47.68)</td>
<td>706 (40.88)</td>
<td>600 (41.49)</td>
<td>530 (37.83)</td>
<td>1130 (61.28)</td>
<td>682 (46.33)</td>
<td>585 (43.14)</td>
<td>4922 (46.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>756 (52.32)</td>
<td>1021 (59.12)</td>
<td>846 (58.51)</td>
<td>871 (62.17)</td>
<td>714 (38.72)</td>
<td>790 (53.67)</td>
<td>771 (56.86)</td>
<td>5769 (53.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (SE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>43.5 (0.32)</td>
<td>37.3 (0.30)</td>
<td>40.4 (0.34)</td>
<td>45.2 (0.40)</td>
<td>40.3 (0.26)</td>
<td>39.7 (0.35)</td>
<td>40.6 (0.34)</td>
<td>40.9 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>44.8 (0.48)</td>
<td>38.2 (0.48)</td>
<td>41.3 (0.54)</td>
<td>46.3 (0.67)</td>
<td>40.6 (0.35)</td>
<td>41.2 (0.52)</td>
<td>43.0 (0.53)</td>
<td>41.9 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42.4 (0.43)</td>
<td>36.8 (0.38)</td>
<td>39.8 (0.44)</td>
<td>44.6 (0.50)</td>
<td>40.0 (0.41)</td>
<td>38.4 (0.46)</td>
<td>38.8 (0.44)</td>
<td>40.0 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n (%)</td>
<td>N=1245</td>
<td>N=1366</td>
<td>N=1033</td>
<td>N=1036</td>
<td>N=1548</td>
<td>N=1167</td>
<td>N=1130</td>
<td>N=8525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>1044 (83.86)</td>
<td>1100 (80.53)</td>
<td>875 (84.70)</td>
<td>691 (66.70)</td>
<td>1015 (65.57)</td>
<td>756 (64.78)</td>
<td>699 (61.86)</td>
<td>6180 (72.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>191 (15.34)</td>
<td>249 (18.23)</td>
<td>151 (14.62)</td>
<td>319 (30.79)</td>
<td>530 (34.24)</td>
<td>371 (31.79)</td>
<td>406 (35.93)</td>
<td>2217 (26.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>6 (0.48)</td>
<td>11 (0.81)</td>
<td>1 (0.10)</td>
<td>26 (2.51)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>37 (3.17)</td>
<td>22 (1.95)</td>
<td>103 (1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No degree</td>
<td>4 (0.32)</td>
<td>6 (0.44)</td>
<td>6 (0.58)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0.19)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0.27)</td>
<td>25 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, n (%)</td>
<td>N=1378</td>
<td>N=1595</td>
<td>N=1300</td>
<td>N=1257</td>
<td>N=1680</td>
<td>N=1377</td>
<td>N=1305</td>
<td>N=9892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>951 (69.01)</td>
<td>978 (61.32)</td>
<td>813 (62.54)</td>
<td>815 (64.84)</td>
<td>1151 (68.51)</td>
<td>639 (46.41)</td>
<td>646 (49.50)</td>
<td>5993 (60.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>281 (20.39)</td>
<td>200 (12.54)</td>
<td>180 (13.85)</td>
<td>116 (9.23)</td>
<td>183 (10.89)</td>
<td>277 (20.12)</td>
<td>425 (32.58)</td>
<td>1662 (16.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>31 (2.25)</td>
<td>285 (17.87)</td>
<td>156 (12.00)</td>
<td>142 (11.38)</td>
<td>278 (16.55)</td>
<td>302 (21.93)</td>
<td>167 (12.80)</td>
<td>1361 (13.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home duties, retired, or unemployed</td>
<td>115 (8.35)</td>
<td>132 (8.28)</td>
<td>151 (11.62)</td>
<td>184 (14.64)</td>
<td>68 (4.05)</td>
<td>159 (11.55)</td>
<td>67 (5.13)</td>
<td>876 (8.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How Participants Found Out About the Survey

Table 2 shows that for all 10,691 participants, the three main sources of finding out about the survey were workplaces or employers (2300, 21.51%), outreach promotion (2219, 20.76%) such as presenting the project at different events or street recruitment, and social media (1859, 17.39%). The results varied across cities. In Antwerp, London, and Zurich, the highest share of respondents were reached through workplaces, whereas respondents in Rome were primarily reached through social media announcements and those in Barcelona, Örebro, and Vienna were reached through outreach promotion. In terms of gender, men were more likely to be recruited through outreach promotion (976/4922, 19.83%) or social media (942/4922, 19.14%), while women were most likely to be recruited through their workplace (1399/5769, 24.25%). Although participants aged 30-60 years could be best reached through their workplace, those aged 20-29 and >60 years were reached most often through outreach activities. In addition, students and participants without employment could best be reached through outreach activities. In case of respondents without a school-leaving qualification, recruitment through workplace or outreach activities was also the most successful approach.
Table 2. Participants’ responses to the question, “How did you find out about this survey?” during the baseline questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Word of mouth</th>
<th>Other organizations</th>
<th>Outreach activities</th>
<th>News</th>
<th>Social media</th>
<th>Public notice</th>
<th>Random sampling</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants (N=10,691), n (%)</td>
<td>2300 (21.51)</td>
<td>1219 (11.40)</td>
<td>1358 (12.70)</td>
<td>2219 (20.76)</td>
<td>800 (7.48)</td>
<td>1859 (17.39)</td>
<td>186 (1.74)</td>
<td>360 (3.38)</td>
<td>368 (3.44)</td>
<td>22 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td>Male (N=4922)</td>
<td>901 (18.31)</td>
<td>576 (11.70)</td>
<td>681 (13.84)</td>
<td>976 (19.83)</td>
<td>416 (8.45)</td>
<td>942 (19.14)</td>
<td>113 (2.30)</td>
<td>158 (3.21)</td>
<td>151 (3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (N=5769)</td>
<td>1399 (24.25)</td>
<td>643 (11.15)</td>
<td>677 (11.73)</td>
<td>1243 (21.55)</td>
<td>384 (6.66)</td>
<td>917 (15.90)</td>
<td>73 (1.27)</td>
<td>202 (3.50)</td>
<td>217 (3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, n (%)</td>
<td>Antwerp (N=1445)</td>
<td>376 (26.02b)</td>
<td>195 (13.49)</td>
<td>289 (20.00)</td>
<td>132 (9.13)</td>
<td>98 (6.78)</td>
<td>311 (21.52)</td>
<td>13 (0.90)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>28 (1.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barcelona (N=1727)</td>
<td>199 (11.52)</td>
<td>369 (21.37)</td>
<td>113 (6.54)</td>
<td>665 (38.51b)</td>
<td>41 (2.37)</td>
<td>220 (12.74)</td>
<td>19 (1.10)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>95 (5.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>London (N=1446)</td>
<td>317 (21.92b)</td>
<td>146 (10.10)</td>
<td>282 (19.50)</td>
<td>198 (13.69)</td>
<td>110 (7.61)</td>
<td>243 (16.80)</td>
<td>22 (1.52)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>125 (8.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Örebro (N=1401)</td>
<td>343 (24.48)</td>
<td>15 (1.07)</td>
<td>36 (2.57)</td>
<td>550 (39.26b)</td>
<td>51 (3.64)</td>
<td>33 (2.36)</td>
<td>9 (0.64)</td>
<td>360 (25.70)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rome (N=1844)</td>
<td>337 (18.28)</td>
<td>244 (13.23)</td>
<td>141 (7.65)</td>
<td>158 (8.57)</td>
<td>253 (13.72)</td>
<td>533 (32.90b)</td>
<td>90 (4.88)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>88 (4.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vienna (N=1472)</td>
<td>233 (15.83)</td>
<td>155 (10.53)</td>
<td>315 (21.40)</td>
<td>329 (22.35b)</td>
<td>109 (7.40)</td>
<td>275 (18.68)</td>
<td>27 (1.83)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>25 (1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zurich (N=1356)</td>
<td>495 (36.50b)</td>
<td>95 (7.01)</td>
<td>182 (13.42)</td>
<td>187 (13.79)</td>
<td>138 (10.18)</td>
<td>244 (17.99)</td>
<td>6 (0.44)</td>
<td>N/Ac</td>
<td>7 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), n (%)</td>
<td>20-29 (N=2339)</td>
<td>378 (16.16)</td>
<td>334 (14.28)</td>
<td>239 (10.22)</td>
<td>618 (26.42b)</td>
<td>138 (5.90)</td>
<td>393 (16.80)</td>
<td>75 (3.21)</td>
<td>58 (2.48)</td>
<td>100 (4.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-39 (N=2339)</td>
<td>721 (23.84b)</td>
<td>415 (13.72)</td>
<td>358 (11.84)</td>
<td>528 (17.46)</td>
<td>197 (6.51)</td>
<td>590 (19.51)</td>
<td>37 (1.22)</td>
<td>80 (2.65)</td>
<td>96 (3.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40-49 (N=2339)</td>
<td>560 (24.39b)</td>
<td>231 (10.06)</td>
<td>298 (12.98)</td>
<td>400 (17.42)</td>
<td>199 (8.67)</td>
<td>435 (18.95)</td>
<td>28 (1.22)</td>
<td>63 (2.74)</td>
<td>79 (3.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-59 (N=2339)</td>
<td>474 (24.73b)</td>
<td>151 (7.88)</td>
<td>302 (15.75)</td>
<td>383 (19.98)</td>
<td>145 (7.56)</td>
<td>295 (15.39)</td>
<td>28 (1.46)</td>
<td>63 (3.29)</td>
<td>69 (3.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;60 (N=2339)</td>
<td>153 (15.09)</td>
<td>75 (7.40)</td>
<td>146 (14.40)</td>
<td>266 (26.23b)</td>
<td>109 (10.75)</td>
<td>132 (13.02)</td>
<td>13 (1.28)</td>
<td>96 (9.47)</td>
<td>20 (1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n (%)</td>
<td>University education (N=2339)</td>
<td>1405 (22.70b)</td>
<td>784 (12.67)</td>
<td>781 (12.62)</td>
<td>1193 (19.28)</td>
<td>449 (7.25)</td>
<td>1086 (17.55)</td>
<td>89 (1.44)</td>
<td>187 (3.02)</td>
<td>208 (3.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary education (N=2339)</td>
<td>454 (20.48b)</td>
<td>212 (9.56)</td>
<td>288 (12.99)</td>
<td>465 (20.97b)</td>
<td>180 (8.12)</td>
<td>392 (17.68)</td>
<td>53 (2.39)</td>
<td>106 (4.78)</td>
<td>64 (2.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary education (N=2339)</td>
<td>17 (16.50)</td>
<td>6 (5.83)</td>
<td>12 (11.65)</td>
<td>29 (28.16b)</td>
<td>14 (13.59)</td>
<td>13 (12.62)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (8.74)</td>
<td>3 (2.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No degree (N=2339)</td>
<td>7 (28.00b)</td>
<td>3 (12.00)</td>
<td>2 (8.00)</td>
<td>6 (24.00)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (20.00)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (8.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Don't know 
Other 
Random sampling 
Public notice 
Social media 
News 
Outreach activities 
Other organizations 
Word of mouth 
Work 
Characteristics 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Full-time (N=2339)</th>
<th>Part-time (N=2339)</th>
<th>Student (N=2339)</th>
<th>Home duties, retired, or unemployed (N=2339)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>1633 (27.25\textsuperscript{b})</td>
<td>408 (24.55\textsuperscript{b})</td>
<td>123 (9.04)</td>
<td>17 (1.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth</td>
<td>644 (10.75)</td>
<td>170 (10.23)</td>
<td>201 (14.77)</td>
<td>110 (12.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other organizations</td>
<td>738 (12.31)</td>
<td>252 (15.16)</td>
<td>145 (10.65)</td>
<td>137 (15.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach activities</td>
<td>1042 (17.39)</td>
<td>307 (18.47)</td>
<td>413 (30.35\textsuperscript{b})</td>
<td>266 (30.37\textsuperscript{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>443 (7.39)</td>
<td>124 (7.46)</td>
<td>83 (6.10)</td>
<td>84 (9.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1023 (17.07)</td>
<td>301 (18.11)</td>
<td>248 (18.22)</td>
<td>137 (15.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public notice</td>
<td>61 (1.02)</td>
<td>17 (1.02)</td>
<td>67 (4.92)</td>
<td>18 (2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random sampling</td>
<td>191 (3.19)</td>
<td>38 (2.29)</td>
<td>32 (2.35)</td>
<td>79 (9.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>211 (3.52)</td>
<td>43 (2.59)</td>
<td>48 (3.53)</td>
<td>26 (2.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>7 (0.12)</td>
<td>2 (0.12)</td>
<td>1 (0.07)</td>
<td>2 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Social media refers to Facebook and Twitter. 
\textsuperscript{b}These values refer to the highest share of participants reached through different recruitment activities. 
\textsuperscript{c}N/A: Not applicable.

**Participation Rates and Behavior**

A total of 12,825 people registered for the survey; however, 2134 never started the baseline questionnaire (attrition rate 16.64\%). From the remaining 10,691 participants who started the baseline questionnaire, 8567 finalized it (additional attrition rate 19.87\%). The attrition rates between people who registered, started, and finalized the baseline questionnaire varied across cities, with the lowest rates in Antwerp and the highest rates in Örebro and London (Figure 3).

The number of filled-in questionnaires per participant varied significantly across the cities (\(P<.001\)), with the highest number in Zurich (11.0, SE 0.33) and the lowest in Örebro (4.8, SE 0.17). In almost all cities, women filled in fewer questionnaires than men (7.7 [SE 0.1] vs 8.6 [SE 0.2]); furthermore, younger people or students tended to fill in fewer questionnaires than people aged 30-80 years or employees and people with home duties (\(P<.001\)). In addition, the way people were informed about the survey had a significant impact on the number of filled-in questionnaires (\(P<.001\)). Most questionnaires were filled in when people found out about the survey through other organizations (9.6, SE 0.3) or the news (9.5, SE 0.4; Table 3). In total, 12.17\% (1301/10,691) participants withdrew from the survey, with the highest share in Örebro (311/1401, 22.20\%) and the lowest share in Rome (82/1844, 4.45\%).

**Figure 3.** Number of participants who registered for the survey and who started and finalized the baseline questionnaire. (a) Attrition rate between the number of registrations and the number of started baseline questionnaires. (b) Attrition rate between the number of started and finalized baseline questionnaires.
Table 3. Number of filled-in questionnaires per participant by city, age groups, employment status, and mode through which participants found out about the survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean (SE)</th>
<th>Kruskal-Wallis test value (post hoc test: Dunn test)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>478.95</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Antwerp         | 10.5 (0.32)
| Barcelona       | 8.2 (0.25)
| London          | 5.3 (0.20)
| Örebro          | 4.8 (0.17)
| Rome            | 7.4 (0.23)
| Vienna          | 10.0 (0.32)
| Zurich          | 11.0 (0.33)
| Age (years), n (%) | 91.679 | <.001                                            |         |
| <20             | 3.14 (0.39)
| 20-29           | 6.85 (0.20)
| 30-39           | 8.13 (0.19)
| 40-49           | 8.80 (0.23)
| 50-59           | 9.11 (0.26)
| ≥60             | 8.33 (0.34)
| Employment status | 90.077 | <.001                                            |         |
| Full-time       | 8.9 (0.1)
| Part-time       | 9.2 (0.3)
| Student         | 6.7 (0.3)
| Home duties, retired, or unemployed | 8.2 (0.4) |         |
| Found out about survey through | 137.1 | <.001                                            |         |
| Work            | 8.5 (0.2)
| Word of mouth   | 7.9 (0.3)
| Other organizations | 9.6 (0.3) |         |
| Outreach activities | 6.9 (0.2) |         |
| News            | 9.5 (0.4)
| Social media    | 8.6 (0.3)
| Public notice   | 5.5 (0.6)
| Random sampling | 7.1 (0.5)
| Other           | 6.2 (0.2) |

Significant effect.

Values with different letters differ significantly from each other (e.g., mean values marked with “b” differ significantly from each mean value marked with “c,” “d,” “e,” or “f”).
Table 4. Effectiveness and time efficiency of different recruitment categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment categories</th>
<th>Effectiveness (n participants predicted)</th>
<th>$t^a$</th>
<th>$P$ value $^a$</th>
<th>Time-efficiency (n participants predicted per working hour invested)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antwerp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>402$^b$</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>41.2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing lists</td>
<td>909$^b$</td>
<td>80.48</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>60.6$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborations with local administrations</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with local organizations</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>.03$^b$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>.04$^b$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based advertisement</td>
<td>64$^b$</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>64.0$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barcelona</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>442$^b$</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>36.8$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing lists</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street recruitment</td>
<td>1002$^b$</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vienna</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>534$^b$</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>33.0$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based media</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing list</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>.02$^b$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with local administrations</td>
<td>149$^b$</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with local organization</td>
<td>406$^b$</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street recruitment</td>
<td>86$^b$</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>&lt;.001$^b$</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Columns 3 and 4 show the $t$ and $P$ values of the average parameter of a respective recruitment category; parameters of exceptionally successful activities (if available for a given category) are not shown (these parameters are always highly significant, eg, peak in Figure 4; the bottom graph). Residual SE: Antwerp, 4.552 ($df=782$); Barcelona, 3.028 ($df=725$); Vienna, 2.795 ($df=719$).

$^b$Significant effect.

Effectiveness and Efficiency of Different Recruitment Approaches

Table 4 gives an overview of only effective recruitment categories, that is, only activities that were able to recruit participants according to the model. The categories in different cities were as follows: (1) Antwerp: Facebook, mailing lists, collaboration with local administrations and organization, use of flyers and posters, radio spots, and Web-based advertisement; (2) Barcelona: Facebook, mailing lists, street recruitment, and print media; and (3) Vienna: Facebook, Web-based media, mailing lists, collaboration with local administrations and organizations, use of flyers and posters, and street recruitment. One of the most effective approaches in all 3 cities was Facebook, with >400 predicted participants in Antwerp and Barcelona and >500 predicted participants in Vienna ($P<.001$; Table 4). In Antwerp, most people could be reached through different mailing lists (>900 participants) and in Barcelona, through a range of street recruitment activities (>1000 participants). In Vienna, especially, collaborations with local organizations (like the local bike sharing provider) were very effective in reaching a high share of predicted participants (eg, peak in Figure 4, the bottom graph). Considering the invested working hours, one of the most time-efficient categories in all 3 cities was Facebook, with >30 participants per invested working hour. Although mailing lists or Web-based advertisements were also effective in Antwerp, reaching approximately 60 participants per invested working hour, only 1 participant could be reached per working hour in Barcelona through street recruitment. In Figure 4, lines represent the sum of different recruitment activities. The nonlinear model does not provide an $R^2$, because $R^2$ is not defined for nonlinear
models. We defined a pseudo $R^2$ value, because the model is reasonably close to a linear model and the sample size is sufficiently large.

**Representativeness of the Sample**

Compared with the cities’ census data, study participants in all cities, except Rome, were broadly representative in terms of gender distribution ($P>.05$). This was mainly the case if participants were informed about the survey by news, through word of mouth (friends, neighbors, or relatives) or social media (Multimedia Appendix 1). The main difference was that our recruited sample was on average younger than the general population (high deviation from census data within the age class >60 years; Multimedia Appendix 2).

**Figure 4.** Number of recruited (observed) and predicted participants in Antwerp (top graph), Barcelona (middle graph), and Vienna (bottom graph; based on a nonlinear model).
Discussion

The main finding of this study was that Facebook was one of the most effective approaches in reaching a high share of participants. Considering the invested working hours, it was also one of the most time-efficient recruitment methods. Recruited participants were representative of the population in terms of gender but younger than the general population.

Principal Results and Participation Behavior

The main source of information about the survey was workplaces or employers. Collaborations with different organizations who forwarded the survey information to their employees (eg, through their intranet or regular newsletters) was fundamental for raising awareness to drive recruitment. Outreach promotion by project members (eg, direct face-to-face recruitment at different events or on public places) and the use of social media channels (Facebook and Twitter) were the next most informative activities. This was especially the case in Rome, where social media was based on an account of the city council with a lot of followers.

One-third of people who registered for the survey did not complete the baseline questionnaire, with the highest attrition rate in Örebro and the lowest rate in Antwerp. In addition, Örebro had the lowest number of filled-in questionnaires per participant and the highest share of people who actively deregistered from the survey. One explanation may be the different approach adopted in Örebro, which also recruited participants through random sampling. The findings suggest that people who are more interested in the topic (in this case, active mobility research) are more willing to participate in a survey and more likely to stay in the study than those who are selected randomly and may not have a strong connection to the research topic [4-7]. Therefore, a (costly) random selection may eventually still lead to a biased sample that was to be avoided. However, poor response rates can also be improved by including rewards for participation [21]. The high attrition and deregistration rate in Örebro may also be (partly) caused by the fact that participation in Örebro was not rewarded.

Comparative Effectiveness and Representativeness of Recruitment Methods

Although direct face-to-face contacts (eg, street recruitment) were very effective in terms of the number of recruited participants, recruiting people through social media (mainly Facebook) was not only effective but also very time efficient. Similar results were observed by others [4,10,11], who applied Web-based sampling in their research. By using the same medium (ie, internet), such sampling reduces the burden of participants because they can easily reach the survey by only clicking on a provided link. Regarding the near ubiquity of the internet, it has become easier for people to engage in surveys [25], as it can overcome barriers such as physical distance, transportation, and limited time [14]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness and time efficiency must be balanced with how representative the resulting sample is of the target population. Compared with the general population, study participants in almost all cities were broadly representative in terms of gender distribution. In particular, reaching people through news, word of mouth, or social media were the most successful options in recruiting a gender-balanced sample that represented a city’s population. There was, however, an age bias among the applied strategies compared with the city’s census data. Although the cities applied different strategies to include older people (eg, by visiting seniors who recently completed computer courses), most strategies attracted a higher proportion of younger people.

Several studies, however, report successfully recruiting people who reflect the demographic spread of the general population using opportunistic sampling approaches [9,11,19,26]. People in our sample were further highly educated (6180/8525, 72.49% participants possessed a university degree), which is a common occurrence for survey research [9,27,28]. Nevertheless, this study found that targeting people without a school-leaving qualification through their workplaces or outreach activities was most promising; as such, some recruitment activities are better suited than others to attract hard-to-reach groups.

Limitations and Strengths

Although this study represents a comprehensive examination of different recruitment approaches in a longitudinal, Europe-wide, Web-based survey, there were some limitations that could not be addressed by the research design. First, the sociodemographic characteristics of participants recruited by the discussed methods may be different for topics other than active mobility and the corresponding health aspects. The study population in our sample was highly educated and younger than the general population. This may be because our recruitment partly addressed cyclists, and the subject may hold particular interest to those with higher education. Second, participants needed to have access to the internet to participate in the Web-based survey, which could also explain the high proportion of young participants in this study. Finally, the sample was limited to the adult population. The strategies used may be effective in recruiting children or adolescents.

These limitations are offset by several strengths. First, we were able to recruit one of the largest longitudinal samples in different European cities with a common recruitment strategy. Second, we were able to shed new light on the effectiveness and time efficiency of different recruitment approaches. We now have a large and very detailed database on response behavior as per the recruitment method for seven different cities in Europe. We observed that offering a mixed recruitment approach was very effective in reaching a high participation rate. The resulting database can answer research questions and analyze the effects of active mobility on people’s health, crash risks, and exposure to traffic-related air pollution (eg, for cyclists) because of its size and composition. Thus, overall, the use of a mixed-methods approach has been successful.
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Abstract

Background: E-mental health (eMH) interventions are now widely available and they have the potential to revolutionize the way that health care is delivered. As most health care is currently delivered by primary care, there is enormous potential for eMH interventions to support, or in some cases substitute, services currently delivered face to face in the community setting. However, randomized trials of eMH interventions have tended to recruit participants using online recruitment methods. Consequently, it is difficult to know whether participants who are recruited online differ from those who attend primary care.

Objective: This paper aimed to document the experience of recruiting to an eMH trial through primary care and compare the characteristics of participants recruited through this and other recruitment methods.

Methods: Recruitment to the SpringboarD randomized controlled trial was initially focused on general practices in 2 states of Australia. Over 15 months, we employed a comprehensive approach to engaging practice staff and supporting them to recruit patients, including face-to-face site visits, regular contact via telephone and trial newsletters, and development of a Web-based patient registration portal. Nevertheless, it became apparent that these efforts would not yield the required sample size, and we therefore supplemented recruitment through national online advertising and promoted the study through existing networks. Baseline characteristics of participants recruited to the trial through general practice, online, or other sources were compared using the analysis of variance and chi square tests.

Results: Between November 2015 and October 2017, 780 people enrolled in SpringboarD, of whom 740 provided information on the recruitment source. Of these, only 24 were recruited through general practice, whereas 520 were recruited online and 196 through existing networks. Key barriers to general practice recruitment included perceived mismatch between trial design and diabetes population, prioritization of acute health issues, and disruptions posed by events at the practice and community level. Participants recruited through the 3 different approaches differed in age, gender, employment status, depressive symptoms, and diabetes distress, with online participants being distinguished from those recruited through general practice or other sources. However, most differences reached only a small effect size and are unlikely to be of clinical importance.
Conclusions: Time, labor, and cost-intensive efforts did not translate into successful recruitment through general practice in this instance, with barriers identified at several different levels. Online recruitment yielded more participants, who were broadly similar to those recruited via general practice.

*(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12793) doi:10.2196/12793*
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**Introduction**

The potential for e-mental health (eMH) interventions to address many of the challenges faced by health care systems globally has seen them receive increasing attention from researchers and policy makers alike. eMH interventions provide an opportunity for individuals affected by mild-to-moderate symptoms of mental health disorders to access low-cost evidence-based treatments and aim to reduce the burden on providers while maintaining patients’ connection to the broader health system.

The value of eMH interventions may be particularly pertinent to primary care clinicians who are responsible for the majority of mental health care [1]. However, although the efficacy of eMH interventions is now well established [2], their implementation into routine care remains limited [3].

Of the 13 eMH randomized controlled trials (RCTs) included in a recent meta-analysis [2], only one recruited participants through primary care [4]. Some of this evidence gap is attributed to difficulties in conducting research in this setting, which are by no means unique to trials of eMH interventions. Primary care–based research accounts for a disproportionately small proportion of all health care research [5], and only one-third of RCTs recruit to target [6]. Documented barriers at the patient, practitioner, and organizational levels contribute to these difficulties [7]. As such, many randomized trials of eMH interventions to date have instead sourced participants online [2]. This approach is generally considered effective and efficient, but there is also evidence to the contrary [8-10], and the degree to which these samples are representative of the broader population has been questioned [11,12].

There is a need to correct the dearth of primary care–based research and for greater transparency and reporting of the issues researchers are facing in this setting. Our recent SpringboarD RCT [13,14] provides an opportunity to examine these issues in relation to an eMH intervention for people with mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms and type 2 diabetes (T2D)—2 highly prevalent and commonly comorbid conditions in primary care [15,16].

This paper has described an intensive and ultimately unsuccessful approach to primary care recruitment and the recruitment strategies that were required to supplement it and reports the outcomes of each. It then explored how participants recruited through primary care compare with those recruited through other avenues on key demographic and clinical characteristics. Such data help identify the tensions inherent in primary care that impact on recruitment.

**Methods**

**Study Overview**

The SpringboarD RCT (trial registration ACTRN1261500931572) [13,14] examined the effectiveness of a Web-based cognitive behavioral therapy–based self-help program (myCompass, Black Dog Institute) for improving work and social functioning and depressive symptoms in people with depressive symptoms and T2D at 3, 6, and 12 months compared with a placebo control program.

Our joint focus on eMH and primary care led us to design our recruitment strategy accordingly. First, we aimed to recruit through general practices in the 2 most populous states of Australia (New South Wales and Victoria). To supplement this approach, we also recruited through national online advertising and promoted the study through existing clinical and research registries. All promotional materials invited interested individuals to visit the SpringboarD website to learn more about this wellbeing project for people with T2D. After reading the trial information, interested participants provided informed consent and completed a screening questionnaire to determine eligibility. Those eligible then completed a baseline assessment and indicated how they learned about SpringboarD by selecting a referral source from a drop-down menu. They were then randomized to use the myCompass program or an active placebo control program for 12 weeks. The trial was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC; No. 15090) and registered with the University of Melbourne HREC (No. 1545422).

**Participants**

The target sample size was 600 participants at baseline (300 in each arm). Australian residents were eligible for the trial if aged 18 to 75 years, reported having T2D diagnosed by a health professional, screened positive for depressive symptoms, and had access to an internet-enabled device. Exclusion criteria were as follows: inability to read English; presence of severe depressive symptoms; probable psychosis; high suicide risk; current participation in face-to-face psychotherapy for depression; recent (<2 months) change to antidepressant medication; and previous use of myCompass.

**Stage I: Agreement in Principle to Participate**

Practice recruitment took place in Victoria and New South Wales between September 2015 and February 2016. We conducted a multipronged approach to recruiting primary care professionals and their practices, including the following:

1. Emailing members of relevant professional organizations (eg, Australian Association of Practice Managers and...
Australian Diabetes Educators Association) and primary care providers subscribed to the Black Dog Institute’s eMH in practice training suite.

2. Promoting SpringboarD at relevant conferences (eg, Australian Primary Health Care Nurses Association).

3. Directly contacting 281 general practices with existing links to the University of New South Wales or the University of Melbourne via mail or phone.

Interested practices were offered a visit from a member of the research team (SF [Victoria] or JC [NSW]) at a time convenient to them to discuss the trial in more detail. Practices were advised that by consenting to take part in SpringboarD they also agreed to (1) invite patients with T2D to visit the trial website, by informing clients verbally and providing an information brochure during consultations, as well as by conducting a mailout to all patients of the practice aged 18 to 75 years with T2D (materials and postage provided) and (2) nominate a member of their team as the point of contact for the study (typically the practice manager or diabetes educator). In return, practices were offered Aus $50 per enrolled participant as recompense for time and effort and a report at the end of the project providing aggregated, deidentified data comparing their patients with those from other practices.

Recruitment

General Practice Recruitment

As described by Bower et al [17], recruiting to primary care research can be considered across 4 stages. This paper has focused on the first 3 of these, in which (1) practices or primary care providers are initially approached and consent to take part in the research and (2) subsequently invite their patients who (3) then provide agreement to take part.

Stage II: Agreement to Recruit Patients

The nominated SpringboarD coordinators at each practice searched the practice database for patients with T2D aged between 18 and 75 years. Through this process, a total of 4569 patients were identified (on average, 169.2 per practice). Between November 2015 and March 2016, each of these patients was mailed a letter from the practice (using the practice letterhead), introducing the study and encouraging the patient to consider participating by visiting the trial website. Also enclosed was a letter of invitation from the SpringboarD research team, again providing the Web address and information about the option of entering a prize draw (Aus $100 grocery shopping voucher or tablet device) at each study time point, as recompense for the time and effort involved in study participation. All participating practices were provided with professionally produced posters (see Figure 1) and brochures to display in the waiting and consulting rooms.

Throughout 2016, recruitment proceeded slowly. We maintained regular contact including joint teleconferences with practice staff to identify and address barriers to patient recruitment. These discussions led to a series of practice engagement initiatives and reinforcing activities including the following:

1. The development of a recruitment Web portal for use during consultations where general practitioners (GPs) and diabetes educators could enter the patient’s name and email address to generate an automated email to the patient from the SpringboarD website with a link to the study page.

2. Development of a simple 3-step guide to introduce the study to patients using the Web portal above and laminated tags with the portal’s Web address that could be stuck on desktop computers as a prompt to navigate to it.

3. Provision of materials to remind practitioners about the trial, including trial-branded pens and magnets.

4. Offering alternative ways for the practice to promote the study, including providing text to upload on their website, or include in-patient newsletters, follow-up letters, or diabetes cycle of care reminders (including texts, emails, and phone calls) or having a research assistant located in the practice to invite patients to the trial as they presented for consultations.

5. World Diabetes Day (WDD) packs for patients, with WDD information, SpringboarD brochure, and a small gift (herbal tea and balloons), and suggestions about other ways the practice could link Springboard with their WDD activities.

6. A webinar for practice nurses to share experiences of introducing SpringboarD to patients and hear from a guest speaker (diabetes expert and practicing academic GP) about the latest developments in diabetes care and how these align with the goals of Springboard (ie, greater focus on impact and management of mental health comorbidities).

7. Scripts for practice staff to use in discussing the trial with potential candidates.

We also sent regular newsletters in both hard and soft copy to the nominated SpringboarD contact in each practice. These newsletters (see example in Figure 2) covered topics such as the rationale for and importance of study, provided reminders on using the Web portal, reiterated the offers of support in promoting the study to patients, introduced some of the SpringboarD practices and their staff (including a question and answer session about the benefits they perceived from taking part in the trial), and provided testimonials from participants.
Figure 1. Poster for display in general practitioners (GPs) waiting rooms.

Springboard
A wellbeing project for people with type 2 diabetes

Your GP clinic and doctor have agreed to help us with this project.

We are inviting people with type 2 diabetes aged between 18 and 75 years to take part.

We hope that you will help too.

To find out more or to take part, go to https://springboard.blackdoghealth.org.au or email us at Springboard@unsw.edu.au

This project has been approved by UNSW HREC 150500.
Online Recruitment

Our online recruitment activities were mostly Facebook advertising campaigns that commenced in November 2015 and intensified from mid-2016 as it became clear that our efforts to recruit through general practice would not achieve the required sample size. We selected Facebook as it is the most commonly used social media platform in Australia, with around 60% of the population holding an account (14 million users in November 2015) and half of all Australians accessing Facebook at least once a day [18]. Recognizing the possible risks associated with Facebook advertising for potentially sensitive topics such as mental health [19], our recruitment approach included the following:

1. The use of a static advertisement that appeared on the right-hand side of the screen for people in the target demographic (located in Australia, aged between 18 and 65 or older, interested in T2D awareness or type 2 diabetes mellitus awareness).

2. Posting links to the study on the Black Dog Institute Facebook page and asking other organizations (eg, Diabetes Australia) to do the same.

Over the course of the study, we ran 10 Facebook advertising campaigns, each building on the learnings of the last (Table 1). Through this iterative process, we identified the features that were most successful in encouraging link clicks and developed our advertisements accordingly (see examples in Figure 3). This resulted in an average click-through rate (ie, number of link clicks/number of unique users who had a SpringboarD advertisement appear on the screen) of 6.92% for campaigns 4 to 7, which compares favorably with the average click-through rate of 0.90% for Facebook advertisements generally and 0.83% for those that are health-related [20].

In addition to Facebook, we also advertised SpringboarD on the Black Dog Institute website and asked relevant organizations to do the same.

Other Recruitment Strategies

Our final approach toward recruitment involved specifically targeting populations in which we expected to have a high likelihood of recruitment success, warm populations with known T2D and an interest in research or diabetes care. This included sending email invitations and promoting the study at public diabetes forums and exhibitions, recruiting through diabetes organizations, word of mouth, and contacting members of 2 existing research cohorts (Table 2). The diamond cohort [21], recruited in 2005 through 30 general practices across Victoria, comprised 789 patients with depressive symptoms. Of these, 75 had reported having diabetes and consented to be contacted about relevant research. The 45 and Up study commenced in New South Wales in 2006 and recruited over 250,000 people aged over 45 years [22]. In total, 13,245 of these were identified as being potentially eligible for SpringboarD, of whom 4175 were randomly selected to be contacted by the Sax Institute on behalf of the SpringboarD team.
Table 1. Overview of Facebook recruitment campaigns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campaign number</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Target audience</th>
<th>Budget (Aus$)</th>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
<th>Click-through rate(^b) (%)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 November-11 December 2015</td>
<td>Location: New South Wales, Victoria; Interests: T2D(^c) awareness or type 2 diabetes mellitus awareness</td>
<td>$420</td>
<td>23,047</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 April-30 June 2016</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>9030</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>Small campaign (Aus $500 over 3 months) provided room to better define a target audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-24 July 2016</td>
<td>Location: Australia; Interests: Type 2 diabetes mellitus awareness, T2D awareness, or diabetes awareness</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>6510</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>Pilot testing new target audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 August-30 September 2016</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$904</td>
<td>29,912</td>
<td>2674</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>Increased budget and longer time frame allowed for monitoring and better analysis of which advertisements were performing the best during the campaign. This allowed us to pause posts with lower click-through rates and increased budget to higher performing advertisements, resulting in a proportional increase in daily click-throughs over time (from &lt;20 per day early in the campaign to &gt;100 in the second half).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23 October-22 November 2016</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>20,764</td>
<td>1761</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>Nothing was changed from the previous campaign except that only the best performing posts were used. This infers that a working formula for recruitment for SpringboarD on Facebook had been achieved by this stage; continued through subsequent campaigns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>12-30 April 2017</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$440</td>
<td>39,868</td>
<td>2453</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>12-19 April 2017</td>
<td>Location: High population areas of T2D in Sydney</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>Ceased owing to slow performance (ie, lower click-through rate) compared with concurrent campaign 6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 May-30 June 2017</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$1200</td>
<td>87,981</td>
<td>5727</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12-31 July 2017</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$982</td>
<td>74,724</td>
<td>3157</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 August-30 August 2017</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$4463</td>
<td>222,495</td>
<td>8314</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 September-30 September 2017</td>
<td>As per campaign 3</td>
<td>$5999</td>
<td>59,442</td>
<td>3486</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Reach: the number of unique users who had a SpringboarD advertisement appear on their screen.

\(b\) Click-through rate: link clicks/reach.

\(c\) T2D: type 2 diabetes.

\(d\) No additional comments provided.
Figure 3. Examples of Facebook advertisements (Left: campaign 2; Right: campaign 4).

Table 2. Recruitment campaigns through existing registries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registry name</th>
<th>Number of invitations sent</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Dog Institute Volunteer Research Registry</td>
<td>2378</td>
<td>November 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diamond cohort</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>May 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 and Up cohort</td>
<td>4175</td>
<td>June 2017-September 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of diabetes organizations</td>
<td>25,550</td>
<td>April-June 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Study name italicized as per [21].

**Data Collection**

The data presented here were collected through the SpringboardD website as part of study enrollment procedures. Demographic information included age, gender, highest level of education, relationship status, and current employment.

**Clinical Characteristics**

Regardless of the referral source, all participants completed the same baseline questionnaire that assessed mental health and diabetes management. A series of forced choice items (yes/no) asked whether participants had ever sought professional help for their emotional health, had done so in the past 6 weeks, had ever been diagnosed with a mental illness, were currently taking medication for mental illness, had visited a GP in the past 6 weeks for diabetes, had been in hospital in the past 6 weeks for diabetes, or had been referred for a blood test (for glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c]) in the past 3 months. Participants were also asked to report the results of their most recent HbA1c blood test, if known.

A number of validated measures in the screening and baseline questionnaires assessed mental health symptom severity and functioning. These included the Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item version (PHQ-9) [23] that assesses depressive symptom severity; the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7) [24], a measure of anxiety symptom severity; the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) [25] to assess the impact of current symptoms on functioning; and the Diabetes Distress Scale (DDS) [26] to assess distress attributed to diabetes. In all 4 measures, higher scores indicate poorer health and functioning.

**Analysis**

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 24 [27]. Information on the recruitment source was collapsed into 3 categories: (1) general practice; (2) online (social media, search, and link from another website); and (3) other (research registries, diabetes forums and exhibitions, diabetes organizations, and word of mouth). We grouped word of mouth together with research registries and diabetes organizations as we considered all 3 to be reaching potentially warm populations, likely to have a particular interest in the research.

Average scores and prevalence of participant characteristics were compared across the 3 groups using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous data, with effect sizes calculated using eta squared (η²). Preliminary analyses revealed that variance in age was nonhomogenous across groups, and we therefore employed Welch’s ANOVA for this variable [28], with post hoc differences examined using the Games-Howell test. For all other continuous variables, differences between groups were compared using standard ANOVA and post hoc differences were examined using Tukey HSD (which, in SPSS, automatically implements the Tukey-Kramer modification to account for unequal group sizes). For categorical data, we employed Fisher exact test owing to its robustness to small sample sizes; where overall results indicated a significant difference, pairwise z-tests were conducted to identify where differences lay. Effect sizes for categorical analyses are reported...
using Cramer V. For all analyses, Bonferroni corrections were applied for multiple testing, and missing data were handled using a pairwise deletion strategy to maximize the number of participants retained.

**Results**

Between November 2015 and October 2017, a total of 888 people met the eligibility criteria. Of these, 780 completed the baseline questionnaire and enrolled in SpringboarD. In total, 40 participants did not provide information on the recruitment source and were excluded from further analysis; hence, the sample reported here comprises 740 participants. Figure 4 provides an overview of the recruitment of these participants over time.

**Outcomes of General Practice Recruitment**

In total, our general practice recruitment efforts resulted in 27 practices consenting to take part in the trial. Despite our intensive approach to engaging these sites in patient recruitment, only 24 trial participants indicated they had learned about SpringboarD through their GP (0.53% of those who were sent an invitation letter from their GP). Most enrollments occurred soon after the initial invitation letter was mailed, and only 3 GP enrollments were recorded after April 2016.

Interviews with nominated SpringboarD coordinators identified several barriers to inviting patients to the trial, including the following:

1. Perception by some practice staff of a mismatch between trial participation requirements and the diabetes population. Some practice staff reported that because many of their patients with diabetes were older and therefore possibly less computer literate, they chose not to mention the study to them. In rural areas, some of our coordinators considered low socioeconomic status and internet or mobile phone access to be additional barriers to participation in the trial.

2. Practice nurses reported that because acute health issues must take priority in consultations, they often felt it impractical or inappropriate to bring up research (even when it was relevant to the patient’s presentation).

3. Cycle of care reminders were not used in some practices, meaning that the SpringboarD prompts offered by researchers to include in text, mail, or phone contacts were not taken up.

4. Some SpringboarD coordinators reported that patients had previously been unhappy with the practice sending third party emails, which made several practices reluctant to use the Web portal to send automated emails despite this being done in a GP or practice nurse consultation, with patient consent.

5. Community or practice events occasionally made it difficult for staff to focus on SpringboarD. This included routine events, such as staff leave, and unexpected events, for example, a dairy crisis in a region of Victoria affecting the entire community, including the local practice’s day-to-day operations.

**Outcomes of Online Recruitment**

Online recruitment was relatively slow through our early campaigns, as we tested different target audiences and nomenclature. In campaign 4, we identified that promoting the study as one trying to reduce burnout related to diabetes attracted most Facebook users and continued this approach through subsequent campaigns. By the end of recruitment, Facebook accounted for the majority of participants (462/740; 62.4%). Also included in the group of participants who found out about SpringboarD online were 58 individuals (7.8% of the total sample [58/740]) who came to the trial through an internet search or link from another website.

**Outcomes of Other Recruitment Strategies**

Recruitment through other sources yielded just over a quarter of the sample overall (196/740; 26.5%), with marked variation in effectiveness and efficiency. Sending invitations to members of existing research registries was most successful, accounting for 75.5% of participants in the other recruitment category (148/196; 20.0% of the overall sample). On the contrary, word of mouth generated very few participants (12/740; 1.6%).

Table 3 shows the number of participants indicating each recruitment source and the estimated number of people reached by each recruitment campaign. Note that higher numbers of recruited participants are associated with a larger population of people who received a study invitation or were targeted by an online advertisement. Overall, the conversion rate from potential exposure to promotional materials to trial enrollment was less than 1%, ranging from a low of 0.09% (520/573,333) from online recruitment and 0.61% (196/32,178) from other sources. These figures should be interpreted in light of the fact that online figures represent the total reach across multiple Facebook campaigns and individuals may be counted more than once. However, other potential denominators (such as the number of link clicks) do not translate across the recruitment source, and thus, we considered the total reach of each recruitment strategy to be the most appropriate point of comparison.
**Figure 4.** Cumulative enrolment in Springboard by recruitment source. GP: general physician.

![Cumulative enrolment in Springboard by recruitment source](image)

Table 3. Number of participants recruited by source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment source (general and specific)</th>
<th>Participants recruited(a), n (%)</th>
<th>Estimated reach(b)</th>
<th>Participants as percentage of estimated reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>520 (70.3)</td>
<td>573,733</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>462 (62.4)</td>
<td>573,733(^c)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet search/link from other website</td>
<td>58 (7.8)</td>
<td>___(^c)</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>196 (26.5)</td>
<td>32,128</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research registries</td>
<td>148 (20.0)</td>
<td>6628</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes organization</td>
<td>36 (4.9)</td>
<td>25,550</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth</td>
<td>12 (1.6)</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General practice</td>
<td>24 (3.2)</td>
<td>4569</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>740 (100.0)</td>
<td>610,480</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Excludes 40 participants who did not indicate a recruitment source.

\(^b\)Note that individuals may be exposed to multiple recruitment campaigns, both within and across sources.

\(^c\)Unknown.

**Characteristics of Participants by Recruitment Source**

A comparison of participant characteristics at baseline suggested that our 3 different approaches to participant recruitment yielded groups that were broadly similar. Overall differences were identified on only 5 of the 15 variables considered, including 3 demographic and 2 clinical characteristics, as described below.

In terms of demographic variables, overall group differences were evident in gender, age, and employment. Pairwise testing revealed that a significantly lower proportion of participants recruited online were male compared with both the GP and other groups that did not differ from each other (Table 4). Looking at age, post hoc testing indicated that the overall significant but weak effect was because of online participants being significantly younger than those recruited through either general practice or other sources (Table 5). Potentially as an artifact of this age difference, participants recruited online were also more likely to be employed than those recruited through other sources, with no difference in employment rates between the online and GP groups or the GP and other groups. There was also a nonsignificant trend toward educational differences between groups, driven by a tendency for participants recruited online to be less likely to have a university degree than those recruited through other sources.
Table 4. Baseline characteristics of participants recruited through general practice, online, and other sources (categorical).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>General practice (N=24), n (%)</th>
<th>Online (N=520), n (%)</th>
<th>Other (N=196), n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Effect size (Cramer V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>16 (66)</td>
<td>141 (27.1)</td>
<td>92 (46.9)</td>
<td>.000^a,b</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/de facto</td>
<td>16 (66)</td>
<td>332 (63.8)</td>
<td>139 (70.9)</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated/divorced</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>85 (16.3)</td>
<td>30 (15.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>83 (16.0)</td>
<td>17 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>20 (3.8)</td>
<td>10 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed (vs not)</td>
<td>9 (37)</td>
<td>283 (54.4)</td>
<td>67 (34.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.001^b</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest level of education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>10 (41)</td>
<td>170 (32.7)</td>
<td>44 (22.4)</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>8 (33)</td>
<td>146 (28.1)</td>
<td>82 (41.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprentice/trade</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>34 (6.5)</td>
<td>15 (7.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma/other</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>170 (32.7)</td>
<td>55 (28.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought professional help for emotional health in the past 6 weeks</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>77 (14.8)</td>
<td>33 (16.8)</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current medication for mental illness</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>178 (34.2)</td>
<td>60 (30.6)</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visited GP^d in the past 6 weeks for diabetes</td>
<td>14 (58)</td>
<td>299 (57.5)</td>
<td>120 (61.2)</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Been to the hospital in the past 6 weeks for diabetes</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>23 (4.4)</td>
<td>6 (3.1)</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had HbA1c^e test in the past 3 months</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>394 (75.8)</td>
<td>164 (83.7)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aSignificant difference between general practice and online group (Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparison).
^bSignificant difference between online and other group (Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparison).
^cPosthoc analysis not conducted due to nonsignificant main effect.
^dGP: general practice.
^eHbA1c: glycated hemoglobin.

Looking at clinical characteristics, no differences between groups were found in any variables related to mental health care or diabetes management (Table 4). Participants recruited online reported higher PHQ-9 and DDS scores (Table 5) and showed a trend toward higher GAD-7 scores than those in the other group, although these differences should be interpreted in light of several caveats. First, in all cases, the effect size was small. Second, a cutoff of 10 is typically used on the PHQ-9 to delineate clinically significant depressive symptoms [23] and both the online and other groups were just above this cutoff, whereas the GP group was below it. Finally, all groups fell below the cutoff of 3 on the DDS, indicating mild distress not requiring clinical attention. Therefore, differences between the online and other groups in these measures may be statistically but not clinically significant.
Table 5. Baseline characteristics of participants recruited through general practice, online, and other sources (continuous).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>General practice (N=24), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Online (n=520), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Other (n=196), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Effect size (eta-squared [$\eta^2$])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.99 (8.58)</td>
<td>55.32 (10.41)</td>
<td>63.07 (7.90)</td>
<td>F test value (df)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.71 (4.51)</td>
<td>11.51 (3.94)</td>
<td>10.08 (4.02)</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.33 (4.78)</td>
<td>7.79 (4.19)</td>
<td>6.68 (9.98)</td>
<td>5.97 (2, 737)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSAS&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.42 (7.28)</td>
<td>13.29 (7.68)</td>
<td>12.79 (8.82)</td>
<td>1.64 (2, 737)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.03 (0.85)</td>
<td>2.71 (0.95)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.88)</td>
<td>22.04 (2, 737)</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.00 (1.04)</td>
<td>8.11 (5.82)</td>
<td>7.31 (4.18)</td>
<td>.76 (2, 289)</td>
<td>.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Significant difference between general practice and online group (Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparison).
<sup>b</sup>Significant difference between online and other group (Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparison).
<sup>c</sup>PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item.
<sup>d</sup>GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item.
<sup>e</sup>WSAS: Work and Social Adjustment Scale.
<sup>f</sup>DDS: Diabetes Distress Scale.
<sup>g</sup>HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin.

**Discussion**

Despite considerable time, labor, and financial investment, as well as employing many recruitment strategies previously reported to improve the likelihood of success, we were unable to recruit successfully through general practice to a trial of a Web-based mental health intervention for people with T2D and mild-to-moderate depression. The characteristics of the small group of participants who were recruited to the trial via general practice were broadly comparable with the larger sample, suggesting that difficulties in primary care recruitment are not a significant threat to internal validity. However, as is common in research, across all recruitment sources, only a small proportion of people who were exposed to Springboard recruitment materials accepted the invitation to take part—and thus the broader challenge of research generalizability remains.

**Comparison With Previous Research**

Our findings that online recruitment yielded the greatest number of participants are consistent with some previous research showing this approach to be more time- and/or cost-effective than more traditional methods of RCT recruitment [10,29]. However, other authors have reported comparable or even lower efficiency of online recruitment compared with other sources [9,30,31]. Similarly, the evidence as to how online recruitment affects sample characteristics remains limited and equivocal. Published reviews comparing the characteristics of participants recruited via social media and other sources found no consistent trend in the effect of recruitment source on age, with social media variously associated with younger, older, or same aged samples [31,32]. More consistent was the tendency to find no difference in gender, level of education, and relevant clinical characteristics, although exceptions in both directions have also been identified [30-36]. Thus, our finding that participants recruited online are slightly more likely to be younger, female, employed, and more distressed supports some, but not all, previous reports. The jury appears to still be out when it comes to identifying meaningful patterns in the effect of recruitment source and sample characteristics. It is worth noting that much of our understanding of this relationship relates to cohort studies rather than RCTs and to studies of smoking cessation more so than other health behaviors [30,31]. This study therefore builds the evidence related to randomized trial recruitment while extending previous research to a new population (people with depressive symptoms and diabetes).

**The Challenge of General Practice Recruitment: There Is No Right Way**

General practice recruitment proved difficult despite an intensive effort, including involvement of GPs early in the trial design. This may reflect the fact that eMH has not yet been normalized into everyday practice, despite significant investment in raising GP awareness of these interventions (including nation-wide training for GPs—eMHPrac—funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and development of guidelines on when, how, and why to refer patients to these programs [37]). Alternatively, efforts to engage GPs in eMH may not have translated to engaging other key primary health professionals, such as diabetes educators. The Springboard trial was not designed to explore attitudes toward eMH among GPs and other primary care providers, and as far as we are aware, this topic has not been investigated previously. It therefore represents an important avenue for future enquiry.

Of course, our limited success in recruiting through general practice may not have been only due to barriers at the provider level, with patient response to the initial mailout also limited. This echoes our experience with people with type I diabetes, suggesting that diabetes itself may pose a barrier to research participation for some people. Participants in a study by Clarke et al [38] expressed limited awareness of the link between mental health and diabetes and spoke about the double stigma of chronic mental and physical comorbidity. This suggests that providing further education around the bidirectional relationship...
between mental and physical health may have benefits in terms of both patient outcomes and the future of primary care research for people with T2D.

There is limited evidence for how to optimize recruitment in primary care, but the general agreement is that a multifaceted approach is best [7]. However, identifying the most successful strategies is more complicated than it might appear. As noted by Graffy et al [39], both trials that successfully recruited, and those that do not, often report using many of the same recruitment strategies. Our SpringboarD experience certainly attests to this. Our first approach to recruitment through general practice was to send invitation letters to all patients with T2D aged between 18 and 75 years. We have previously used this approach with success in both randomized trials [40] and cohort studies [21], where 28.57% (5742/20,100) and 43.12% (7667/17,780) of patients, respectively, responded to a mailed invitation letter. Similarly, our recruitment approach shared many similarities with Reed et al’s [5] successful recruitment of older adults to a trial of chronic disease self-management, where 38.12% (634/1663) of patients who were mailed a letter of invitation expressed interest in participating in the trial. In the current trial, however, the number of participants who indicated they found out about SpringboarD through their general practice was less than 1% of the number of invitations mailed.

The general consensus is that primary care recruitment is most successful when patient eligibility criteria are simple and practice staff are not expected to spend time managing patient consent [6,7]. We designed our recruitment approach with this in mind and asked practice staff only to invite patients with T2D to visit the study website; all eligibility screening was completed online. To further reduce the burden on practice staff, we offered practices the option of having a research assistant located in the practice to invite patients directly, an approach we have previously found effective [41]. Our experience suggests that although this approach can help to achieve sample size targets, practices can feel uncomfortable with a shift to researcher-led recruitment midtrial if this is not initially agreed to.

Who Takes Part in an e-Mental Health Trial?

SpringboarD participants from all recruitment sources were generally similar to each other. This is perhaps not surprising when we consider that the majority of Australians can be categorized as both GP patients and Facebook users; the two are far from mutually exclusive and it is possible that internet searchers were looking for SpringboarD after hearing about it through our active recruitment strategies. Just as eMH interventions are designed to supplement traditional mental health care, participants recruited online should not be considered to exist outside the health care system. Over 80% of Australians visit a GP once per year, and this number rises to 95% among people with a long-term health condition [42]. Thus, Facebook users with T2D may be considered a subset of the general practice population, who happen to enter trials such as SpringboarD via a different path.

One key difference between our recruitment groups was that a greater proportion of the participants recruited online were female. This gender difference is consistent with findings that women are more likely to engage in help-seeking behaviors, generally, and searching for health information online, specifically [43]; they may have been more likely to find and follow the SpringboarD invitation link. The population prevalence of diabetes is similar in men and women overall (6% and 5%, respectively), but among older age groups (55 and older), the condition is more common in men [44]. One of the often-cited benefits of online recruitment is that it can reach populations that are traditionally hard to engage. When it comes to mental health research, men are one such population, but in this study, online recruitment did little to overcome this gap. The significance of the potential to reach men through GP or other avenues of recruitment should not be dismissed. Particularly where the research question is especially important to men’s health, the investment in time and resources required to recruit through primary care may be justified, if, of course, recruitment targets can be achieved within the study timeline and funding.

That different recruitment pathways yielded mostly similar groups provides reassurance that the effect of recruitment source on sample characteristics is negligible. However, questions about selection effects remain. The individuals who enrolled in SpringboarD and are reported here represent less than 1% of people who were potentially exposed to one or more invitations or advertisements. Our findings support those of previous authors, who reported similar biases in both online and offline recruitment methods [36], and similar characteristics of participants who enroll in trials of eMH and traditional psychological and pharmacological treatments [45]. In other words, people who take part in mental health research differ from people who do not, regardless of the recruitment approach or intervention being tested. Thus, although recruiting online may be a solution to the (significant) challenge of obtaining an adequate sample size, it does not address the broader issue of overcoming selection bias in community-based recruitment. As the saying goes, everything old is new again, and in the new era of Web-based research, we continue to face the old problem of representativeness.

Limitations

The findings reported above should be considered in light of certain limitations. First, it should be noted that information on the recruitment source was only collected for patients who were eligible for the trial. In total, 6145 people visited the SpringboarD landing page and 2849 of those completed the screening questionnaire but were ineligible; whether these individuals were spread evenly across recruitment sources is unclear. In addition, we did not collect information from participating general practices about all patients who were mailed an invitation letter and it is therefore unclear how participants and nonparticipants compare. Also note that although we base our uptake calculations on the estimated reach of each campaign, we have no evidence that Facebook users actually saw the advertisement or that invitation letters reached or were opened by GP patients and research registry participants. Our calculations therefore potentially overestimate the number of potential participants and therefore underestimate the proportion of those who enrolled in the trial. It is also possible that this over or underestimate differs by recruitment source;
potential participants may be more likely to open a letter from their GP than notice an advertisement on Facebook. Finally, this study relates to recruitment only; retention is a further significant challenge in all trials (particularly those conducted online). The interested reader is referred to Clarke et al [14] for further information on participant retention in the Springboard RCT.

Conclusions
Consistent with previous research, this study showed that recruiting to an RCT through general practice was difficult and ultimately unsuccessful. Our findings support previous recommendations that working with practice staff to identify the best approach early on may improve the likelihood of success. Furthermore, ensuring a match between recruitment strategies and the nature of the trial or intervention being tested may be beneficial. Nonetheless, trial participants recruited via general practice were not markedly different from those recruited online or through other means. This suggests that regardless of how people came into the trial, recruitment materials attracted those with similar characteristics. Thus, the threat of different recruitment sources to internal validity appears minimal. GPs can be reassured that current evidence for the effectiveness of eMH interventions, frequently generated from samples recruited online, is likely similar to that obtained if the sample was obtained entirely through general practice.
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Abstract

Background: As the quality of online health information remains questionable, there is a pressing need to understand how consumers evaluate this information. Past reviews identified content-, source-, and individual-related factors that influence consumer judgment in this area. However, systematic knowledge concerning the evaluation process, that is, why and how these factors influence the evaluation behavior, is lacking.

Objective: This review aims (1) to identify criteria (rules that reflect notions of value and worth) that consumers use to evaluate the quality of online health information and the indicators (properties of information objects to which criteria are applied to form judgments) they use to support the evaluation in order to achieve a better understanding of the process of information quality evaluation and (2) to explicate the relationship between indicators and criteria to provide clear guidelines for designers of consumer health information systems.

Methods: A systematic literature search was performed in seven digital reference databases including Medicine, Psychology, Communication, and Library and Information Science to identify empirical studies that report how consumers directly and explicitly describe their evaluation of online health information quality. Thirty-seven articles met the inclusion criteria. A qualitative content analysis was performed to identify quality evaluation criteria, indicators, and their relationships.

Results: We identified 25 criteria and 165 indicators. The most widely reported criteria used by consumers were trustworthiness, expertise, and objectivity. The indicators were related to source, content, and design. Among them, 114 were positive indicators (entailing positive quality judgments), 35 were negative indicators (entailing negative judgments), and 16 indicators had both positive and negative quality influence, depending on contextual factors (eg, source and individual differences) and criteria applied. The most widely reported indicators were site owners/sponsors; consensus among multiple sources; characteristics of writing and language; advertisements; content authorship; and interface design.

Conclusions: Consumer evaluation of online health information is a complex cost-benefit analysis process that involves the use of a wide range of criteria and a much wider range of quality indicators. There are commonalities in the use of criteria across user groups and source types, but the differences are hard to ignore. Evidently, consumers’ health information evaluation can be characterized as highly subjective and contextualized, and sometimes, misinformed. These findings invite more research into how different user groups evaluate different types of online sources and a personalized approach to educate users about evaluating online health information quality.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12522) doi:10.2196/12522
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Introduction

More than 70% of US adults search online for health information [1]. The information found online shapes and influences consumers’ health beliefs, intentions, health behaviors, and health care decision making [2-5]. Since the inception of the internet, the quality of health information has been a source of concern for stakeholders due to the unregulated nature of the medium [6]. This concern is furthered by the fast growth of social media and user-generated content and corroborated by more than 200 evaluation studies conducted by subject experts, which collectively suggest that the quality of consumer-oriented health information on the internet varies greatly and that the overall quality was low [7] and remains low [8].

Making decisions based on low-quality health information (eg, information that is inaccurate, incomplete, or biased) may lead to harmful consequences, such as delayed treatment or extreme anxiety [9], and subsequently increase consumer vulnerability [10,11]. Nevertheless, evaluating the quality of information has been a major challenge for online health consumers [12-14]. For example, some consumers are uncertain about the accuracy, completeness, and validity of the information they encounter [15,16]; some cannot differentiate between scientific facts, empirical factors, and personal opinions [17]; and others suffer from information overload and subsequently lack the confidence and ability to evaluate information [18-21]. Studies have found that compared to health care providers or information professionals, consumers tend to give higher quality ratings to health information from both traditional health websites [22] and social media sites [23].

The ability to critically evaluate the quality of health information is an important component of health literacy [10], which is an important determinant of health [24]. To enhance this ability (and related skills), it is necessary to understand how consumers evaluate the quality of health information in the current internet environment. Consumer evaluation is subjective, driven by one’s information needs. Therefore, as a starting point, we adopted a broad conceptualization that defines quality through “fitness for use” [25]: Information is of good quality when it serves users’ needs. It is worth noting that this concept of quality is described using different terms in the existing literature, including but not limited to quality, credibility, trust, reliability, believability, and usefulness. In this review, we included articles using all these terms. We chose to be inclusive, because we want to achieve a comprehensive view of the assessments that consumers perform in the process of determining whether they would be willing to use a piece of information.

Guided by this understanding of quality, three recent systematic reviews were identified as relevant to our current research: One review focused on identifying factors that impact consumer judgment of trustworthiness and credibility of online health information [11], the second one identified the antecedents of trust in health information websites [27], and the final one reviewed the association between low health literacy and perceived quality and trust in online health information and low literacy consumers’ ability to evaluate information quality [10]. These reviews revealed that consumers’ quality evaluation is influenced by both source- and content-related factors [10,11,27]. Examples of source-related factors are website design (eg, layout, visual design, and interactive features), loading speed, and the authority of the owner or sponsor [11,27-30]. Examples of content-related factors are the authority of the author, content readability, content organization, use of evidence and citations, and the appearance of advertisements [11,27,31-33]. Additionally, a number of individual characteristics were identified as influencers, including demographics (eg, age, gender, and educational attainment), perceived health status, knowledge about the content, health beliefs, and level of health literacy [10,11,22,27,31].

These reviews provide an informative overview of factors that influence consumer online health information evaluation behavior but shed limited light on why and how these factors influence the evaluation behavior. From the perspective of information seeking, evaluation of information is a judgment and decision-making process that precedes users’ acceptance or rejection of received information [34]. Judgment and decision making involve applying certain criteria, principles, or standards to form evaluations [35]. Thus, to understand consumer quality evaluation behavior, it is necessary to understand the criteria used to guide the evaluation. Among the previously mentioned systematic reviews, only one [10] summarized the evaluation criteria reported in five studies on consumers with low health literacy. A more comprehensive understanding of the evaluation criteria is needed. This review intends to fill this gap.

Evaluation of the quality of online health information is a process of applying criteria to evaluate information. Thus, in addition to applying criteria, we need a better understanding of how consumers perceive online information. To achieve this goal, we deliberately differentiate between two concepts: criteria and indicators. Criteria are rules or filters that people apply to an information object to assess its value or worth [36]. Indicators, also termed cues or markers [37], are perceivable elements associated with an information object that allow people to reflect on the quality of the object [8]. Criteria are abstract, reflecting one’s values and preferences and mediating information selection decisions. Indicators are affordances of information objects that trigger or support the application of the criteria. Criteria are comparatively stable, whereas indicators are amenable to change. New indicators could emerge, and old ones could disappear with the development of new technologies and design preferences.

In this article, we focus on the following research questions: (1) What criteria do consumers use to evaluate the quality of online health information? (2) What elements of information objects do consumers use as quality indicators? (3) Which indicators convey positive evaluations and which convey negative evaluations? (4) What is the relationship between indicators and criteria, that is, what criteria do each indicator correspond to? We argue that a more comprehensive understanding of criteria used in the evaluation process can bring some clarity to the dimensions of quality perceived by online health consumers as well as their quality evaluation process. By explicating the relationship between indicators and criteria and identifying positive and negative judgments that indicators convey, the results can also inform the design of more
user-friendly health information content and information systems.

**Methods**

**Search Strategies**

Seven online databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, PsycINFO, CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health), Cochrane Library, Library and Information Science Source, and Communication and Mass Media Complete, were searched in July 2017 to obtain relevant journal articles. These databases were chosen because they cover major academic disciplines that study consumer online health information search, including health, information and library science, psychology, and mass communication. Keywords, including quality, credibility, trust, reliability, accuracy, readability, relevance, and usefulness were used in combination with the keywords consumer or patient and online health information evaluation or online health information assessment. After the searches, we manually screened the references to identify relevant articles and further examined the reference lists of these articles. Additionally, we examined the references cited in the three systematic reviews mentioned above and articles that cited these reviews (using Google Scholar’s “cited by” function).

**Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria**

Articles meeting the following criteria were eligible for inclusion in this review: (1) The study primarily focused on consumer evaluation of health information on the internet. Health consumers include patients, caregivers, and the general public who sought or were interested in seeking health information. This focus differentiates this review from prior reviews of health care professionals or expert evaluation of online health information for consumers [7,8]. Articles that focus on media other than the internet (eg, TV and radio) were excluded. (2) The study was empirical and based on direct inquiries with health consumers where criteria were described by participants and not imposed by researchers. Articles that used only predefined evaluation criteria to survey consumers or analyze their responses without allowing new criteria to emerge were excluded. We also excluded correctional studies that focus on identifying factors (eg, source expertise) influencing consumer evaluation behavior but do not provide additional results on how quality evaluation is performed. (3) The article was published after 2002, when research on consumer evaluation of online health information began to emerge. (4) The article was written in the English language. (5) The article was published in a peer-reviewed journal.

**Study Identification**

Figure 1 shows the process involved in identifying eligible studies. Three authors (YS, YZ, and JG) reviewed a subset of the search results by reading titles and abstracts. YS and YZ both reviewed 10% of the records (256 records in total) to check the intercoder agreement in filtering potentially relevant articles (Cohen kappa=0.83). Both YS and YZ screened the full-text articles. When there was uncertainty involved in excluding a full-text article, the other two authors provided their input.

**Data Extraction and Analysis**

Full text of the 37 selected articles was imported into MAXQDA 12 (VERBI Software GmbH, Berlin, Germany) for analysis. We extracted the following information: basic characteristics of the articles (eg, year of publication, country of origin, health topics, and aims of the study), research methods, sampling techniques, participant characteristics (eg, demographics and disease experiences), source studied (eg, the internet or specific health websites), and characteristics of the search tasks (eg, self-generated vs assigned) when search tasks were involved. Guided by their corresponding definitions, indicators and the corresponding criteria were extracted from the results and discussion reported in the original papers. When no clear relationships were reported (in most of such cases, indicators were reported without mentioning the criteria. For example, .com was reported as a negative indicator of quality, but criteria by which this judgment was reached were not reported), the authors of the review derived the relationships from the participants’ direct quotes reported in the original papers, the original authors’ discussion of the results, or the interpretation of the authors of the review. Indicators were further coded into positive (+, entailing positive quality judgment), negative (–, entailing negative judgment), or both (±, entailing both positive and negative judgments). When participants commented on the absence of an indicator (eg, no author credential or no advertisements), it was coded as positive if the absence implies low quality and as negative if the absence implies high quality. The criteria were also coded into the three categories based on their correspondence with indicators.

We analyzed the basic characteristics of the included studies using descriptive statistics. The qualitative content analysis method [26] was used to identify themes and build categories based on the extracted information concerning criteria and indicators in an iterative manner. YS coded all the articles. YZ validated the results by comparing each assigned code to the full-text of the articles. A number of group meetings were held to discuss the codes, especially relationships between indicators and criteria. Discrepancies were discussed among all authors.
Results

Basic Characteristics of the Included Articles

The 37 articles included in the review were published between 2002 and 2017. They originated from 8 countries, primarily United Kingdom (N=12), United States (N=11), Australia (N=4), and the Netherlands (N=3). The characteristics of each included article are summarized in Table 1.

Focus groups (n=17), interviews (n=16), and observations of participants performing predefined (n=11) or self-generated (n=6) search tasks were the primary research methods used in the selected articles. Observations were often used with other methods including think aloud, guided interviews, focus groups, or diaries. Fourteen articles used multiple research methods.

Twenty-one articles focused on information on a specific health condition or issue (eg, HIV prevention, diabetes, disabilities, and chronic diseases), and the remaining articles did not specify a subject focus. Twelve studies recruited patients with a specific condition, and the others recruited people who had searched online for health information (n=6) or had a strong interest in their health or a particular condition (n=5). Twenty-eight articles involved adult participants (≥18 years old), of which 10 articles also involved older adults (>64 years old). Four studies included adolescents aged 11-17 years. The number of participants ranged from 5 to 188 (median=21). In terms of sampling technique, 26 articles used purposive sampling, five used convenience sampling, and the remaining six did not report the sampling methods.
Table 1. Characteristics of the included articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Articles</th>
<th>Health topics</th>
<th>Source studied</th>
<th>Sampling method</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Disease experience</th>
<th>Data collection methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eysenbach and Köhler [30]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Focus groups, naturalistic observation of consumers searching predefined search tasks, and follow-up interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frisby et al [39]</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Smokers from a smoking cessation campaign</td>
<td>Interviews, observations of participants searching both predefined and self-selected search tasks, and think aloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson et al [40]</td>
<td>Medicines/drugs</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>People who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al [41]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>People who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Open-question survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernhardt and Felter [42]</td>
<td>Pre- and postnatal health</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Mothers of young children</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childs [43]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Parents and caregivers of children with rare diseases</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam et al [44]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>People who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching both self-generated and predefined tasks, and semi-structured qualitative interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal and Greenberg [45]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>People who have a strong interest in their health</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching self-generated search tasks, think aloud, and guided interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr et al [46]</td>
<td>Chronic conditions (eg, Alzheimer disease)</td>
<td>Interactive health communication application</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Chronic disease patients and caregivers</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall and Williams [18]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Preselected websites</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Patients with various conditions and care givers</td>
<td>Information review groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman-Goet and Friedman [47]</td>
<td>Breast cancer information</td>
<td>Preselected websites</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Canadian aboriginal senior women</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silience and Briggs [48]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Internet users interested in their health</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silience et al [32]</td>
<td>Menopause</td>
<td>The internet, in general, and preselected websites</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Women faced with decisions concerning menopause and hormone replacement therapy</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined and self-generated search tasks with think aloud and guided focus groups, and free search with diary keeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silience et al [49]</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>The internet, in general, and preselected websites</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hypertension patients</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching self-selected and predefined search tasks, with think aloud and guided focus groups, and free search with diary keeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buhi et al [50]</td>
<td>Sexual health</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>First-year undergraduate students</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks and think aloud</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Articles</th>
<th>Health topics</th>
<th>Source studied</th>
<th>Sampling method</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>Disease experience</th>
<th>Data collection methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeman and Spyridakis [51]</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>The CDC website</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>Mean=21</td>
<td>University students</td>
<td>Controlled experiment with open-ended questions in a questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackert et al [52]</td>
<td>Childhood obesity and nutrition</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>Parents with low health literacy</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marton [53]</td>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Chronic mental health patients</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al [54]</td>
<td>Preconception nutrition</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>University students</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks with guided interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feufel and Stahl [13]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&gt;50 or &lt;30 (mean for older cohort=65, mean for younger cohort=23)</td>
<td>Older vs younger cohorts (with different health literacy skills)</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks and concurrent talk-aloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson and Eccleston [38]</td>
<td>Pain problem</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12-17 (mean=14.38)</td>
<td>Adolescent users of online content for pain</td>
<td>Online focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombo et al [55]</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18-60</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis patients and their family members</td>
<td>Offline/online focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lederman et al [56]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Online forums</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>Consumers who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McPherson et al [57]</td>
<td>Chronic conditions</td>
<td>Preselected websites</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11-23 (mean=16.7)</td>
<td>Children and young people with chronic conditions</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payton, et al [58]</td>
<td>HIV prevention</td>
<td>The NIH website</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>Black female college students</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briones [59]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>University students</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rennis et al [60]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mean=25.71</td>
<td>Urban community college students</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subramaniam et al [61]</td>
<td>Obesity and other general health issues</td>
<td>The internet, in general, and preselected obesity websites</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10-15 (mean=12.8)</td>
<td>Adolescents from low socioeconomic status and minority family</td>
<td>Participants searching self-selected health topics followed by search log analysis, and interviews of preselected websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunningham and Johnson [62]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Patients.co.uk</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>General public</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks and concurrent talk-aloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diviani et al [63]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Mean=37</td>
<td>Italian-speaking adults with different health literacy levels</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillence et al [64]</td>
<td>“Raw” milk</td>
<td>Pre-selected raw milk websites</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24-85 (mean=48)</td>
<td>Milk consumers</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks, log analysis, and guided group discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alsem et al [65]</td>
<td>Physical disabilities</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26-58</td>
<td>Parents of children with physical disabilities</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articles</td>
<td>Health topics</td>
<td>Source studied</td>
<td>Sampling method</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Age range (years)</td>
<td>Disease experience</td>
<td>Data collection methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champlin et al [66]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Mean=39</td>
<td>People with different health literacy levels</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusack et al [67]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>Students in grades 7-9</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peddie and Kelly-Campbell [68]</td>
<td>Hearing health</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44-84 (median=70)</td>
<td>Hearing-impaired patients</td>
<td>Observation of participants searching predefined search tasks, think aloud, and guided interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scantlebury et al [69]</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>The internet, in general</td>
<td>Purposive</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21-70</td>
<td>People who had searched online for health information</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding evaluation of internet sources, 28 articles did not specify a scope. The remaining nine articles specified or preselected sources for evaluation (eg, pediatric sun protection websites, the National Institutes of Health website, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website, patients.co.uk, and online forums).

### Quality Evaluation Criteria Used by Consumers

Twenty-five criteria were identified (Table 2). The definitions were derived from the codes or drawn directly from the included studies.

Among these criteria, trustworthiness, expertise, and objectivity were reported most often in the articles, followed by transparency, popularity, and understandability. Eight criteria including relevance, familiarity, accessibility, identification, believability, accuracy, readability, and currency were reported in 10-15 articles. The remaining 11 criteria appeared in less than 10 articles.

### Quality Indicators Used by Consumers

Indicators used by consumers to evaluate the quality of online health information were related to three aspects of online information: source, content, and design. Table 3 shows their distribution across the three categories.

About 52% of the indicators were content related, followed by design (25%) and source factors (23%); 69% of the indicators were associated with positive quality judgment, 21% were associated with negative quality judgment, and 10% could lead to both positive and negative judgment.
Table 2. Criteria used by consumers to evaluate the quality of online health information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Articles reporting the criterion, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trustworthiness</td>
<td>Whether a source or information is honest or truthful and can be trusted</td>
<td>31 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expertise</td>
<td>Whether a source or author has a sufficient level of subject-related knowledge</td>
<td>31 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectivity</td>
<td>Whether a source or information presents facts that are not influenced by personal feelings or commercial interests</td>
<td>30 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>Whether important information that influences a user’s ability to make informed choices (eg, motivation of a site or owner contact information) are disclosed</td>
<td>21 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popularity</td>
<td>Whether a source or information appears in multiple venues or is received or accepted by a large number of people (eg, ranked high in search engines or followed or accepted by the crowd in social media)</td>
<td>19 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understandability</td>
<td>Whether a source or information is in appropriate depth, quantity, and specificity and error free</td>
<td>18 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance</td>
<td>Whether information is relevant to the topic of interest or to information seekers’ situation and background</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity</td>
<td>How familiar the source is to an individual</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Whether a source is easy to access and stable</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>Whether a source or information conforms to an individual’s identity, goals, styles, arguments, or objectives [62].</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believability</td>
<td>Whether information is logical and can be believed</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Whether a source or information is consistent with agreed-upon scientific findings</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readability</td>
<td>Whether information is presented in a form that is easy to read (eg, concise and clear layout)</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Whether a source or information is up to date</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigability</td>
<td>Whether a source or information is organized in a way that is easy to navigate</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Whether the appearance of the interface is visually pleasing</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactivity</td>
<td>Whether a source offers sufficient functions to allow users to interact with the source</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensiveness</td>
<td>Whether a source or information covers a wide range of topics or offers different interaction features (eg, shopping, socializing, and researching)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practicality</td>
<td>Whether information can be readily applied by an individual (eg, personal advice and experience)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>Whether necessary or expected aspects of a subject/topic are provided</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness</td>
<td>Whether the amount, depth, or specificity of a source or information are at an appropriate level that can be used by an individual</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced</td>
<td>Whether different perspectives concerning a topic or both pros and cons concerning a treatment are provided</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymity</td>
<td>Whether a source can be used without forcing users to provide personal information</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Whether a source is able to prevent malicious attacks (eg, virus)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learnability</td>
<td>Whether information can satisfy different learning needs (eg, people with different levels of knowledge)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Distribution of quality indicators used by consumers to evaluate the quality of online health.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Positive, n (%)</th>
<th>Negative, n (%)</th>
<th>Positive and negative, n (%)</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>24 (63)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>38 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>62 (73)</td>
<td>17 (20)</td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
<td>85 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>28 (67)</td>
<td>13 (31)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>42 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114 (69)</td>
<td>35 (21)</td>
<td>16 (10)</td>
<td>165 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Source

Source is the entity that creates, hosts, or distributes content. A source can be a website or the owner, creator, or sponsor of the site. Six categories of source-related quality indicators were identified: site owners/sponsors, site types, disclosures, third-party accreditations, recommendations from other systems or users, and website scope. More detailed indicators reported in the included articles, their direction of influence on quality judgment (positive, negative, or both), the corresponding criteria that guide the consumers’ appraisal of the indicators, as well as the value of the criteria (positive, negative, or both) are shown in Table 4. The indicators in the tables are self-explanatory; therefore, we focus on describing the most frequently appearing indicators in the included studies and indicators that can lead to both positive and negative judgments.

The Most Frequently Mentioned Indicators

The most frequently mentioned source-related indicators were site owners/sponsors, with sites run by reputable organizations, educational and academic institutions [18,40,41,46,52,59], and medical experts and health institutions [32,39,44,46,51,54,55,57,59,65] being considered more trustworthy and offering higher levels of expertise. The second most frequently reported indicators were about disclosure. Sites that disclose their motivations were highly valued [40,42,48,64,66,67], whereas a lack of a clear statement of purpose and motivation damaged trust [49]. The third mostly frequently reported indicators were recommendations from other systems or users. High ranks in search engines [13,52,63] and a large number of visitors or followers [61,63] were viewed as indicators of high site popularity, and subsequently, high quality. In addition, sites linked from or recommended by a trusted website [30,43,53] or trusted others (eg, health care providers, families, and friends) [20,41,47,61,67] were considered trustworthy.

Indicators With Both Positive and Negative Influences on Evaluation

Mixed attitudes were found toward some indicators representing site owners/sponsors. First, most participants believed that government websites (eg, National Health Service and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) reflect high levels of expertise and good intentions [39-41,46,53,54,58,59,64,65]; however, some consumers suspected that the information on government websites is biased due to their agendas [41,52], and some, particularly younger generations, did not identify themselves with government sources, considering them “less cool” and not relatable [58]. Second, most people considered sites operated by local health societies to have a high level of expertise; however, some minorities and people from nonmainstream cultures (eg, aboriginal communities) were likely to question the relevance and accuracy of the information from these sites [47]. Third, people usually considered websites owned by commercial companies less objective [42,46,48] and trusted more websites with no commercial interests [18,20,42,55,67]; nevertheless, popular commercial websites such as BabyCenter.com, ParentsPlace.com, and WebMD.com were favored by some people for their expertise and comprehensiveness [42]. Fourth, a few people viewed information from pharmaceutical company websites as “official” [40], whereas others considered their information biased due to the financial interests involved [32,40,46,48].

Consumers had mixed attitudes toward the website types, particularly social media sites. Some consumers favored online discussion groups, chat rooms, and listservs because they offered first-person narratives and practical information and support from peers with whom they could identify (ie, those who have similar conditions) [46,53,57], but some disliked such sites for their lack of objectivity and expertise [13,53,59]. Concerning Wikipedia, some people questioned its objectivity because information can be edited by anyone on the Web [50,58,61], but some consumers were attracted to its encyclopedic nature and comprehensiveness [63].

Consumers also had different opinions regarding sites recommended by others. Some trusted a site recommended by trusted others (eg, health care providers, families, and friends) [20,41,47,61,67]; however, some consumers recognized that recommendations from other individuals may not be relevant to their situation [67].
Table 4. Evaluation of the source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site owners/sponsors (n=30)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site name (n=4) [32,40,63,64]</td>
<td>Believability (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain type (n=5)[40,42,50,57,61]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.com (-)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.org (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.gov (±)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.edu (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owner identity (n=26)</strong> [18,20,32,39-42,44,46,48-55,57-59,64,65,67,68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual sponsor (-)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sites (-)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputable organizations (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational and academic institutions (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical or health institutions/experts (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific publisher (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients’ organization (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-known news sites (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government institutions (±)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Trustworthiness (±), Identification (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local cancer society (±)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Relevance (-), Accuracy (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial sponsor (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No financial gain to the owner (+)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical industry (±)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Objectivity (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site types (n=9)</strong> [13,46,50,53,57-59,61,63]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online peer support and discussion groups (+)</td>
<td>Identification (+), Practicality (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatrooms (+)</td>
<td>Identification (+), Practicality (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forums (-)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-), Expertise (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal blogs/websites (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-), Expertise (--), Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listservs (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (-), Expertise (--), Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikipedia (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (--), Expertise (--), Comprehensiveness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disclosure (n=13)</strong> [30,40-43,48,49,51,55,63,64,66,67]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of the site owner (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of a website (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+), Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture of the site owner (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact information (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation of the site (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit disclaimer and alert (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third party accreditation (n=4)</strong> [30,43,46,63]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality certificates, seals, stamps, or kitemarking (+)</td>
<td>Accuracy (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendations from other systems or users (n=12)</strong> [13,20,30,41,43,47,52,53,61,63,67]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in search engine results (+)</td>
<td>Popularity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of site visitors or followers (+)</td>
<td>Popularity (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criteria

Indicators                                      | Criteria                          |
---                                            | ---                                |
Titles and excerpts in search engine results (+) | Relevance (+)                      |
Linked from a trustworthy site (+)              | Trustworthiness (+)                |
Recommended by other people (±)                 | Trustworthiness (+), Relevance (−) |
Website scope (n=7) [32,42,44,49,53,54,58]      | Comprehensiveness (+)              |
A wide range of topics in a site (+)            | Comprehensiveness (+)              |
Multiple functions in a site (+)                |                                    |


a– indicates a negative evaluation of quality or that a criterion is judged negatively.
b+ indicates a positive evaluation of quality or that a criterion is judged positively.
c± could indicate both positive and negative evaluations or a criterion could be judged both positively and negatively.

Content

Content refers to the information contained in a source as well as the presentation of the information. Eight categories of content-related indicators were identified: substance, writing and language, presentation, references, authorship, audience, date/updating, and advertisements. Table 5 shows these indicators, the corresponding criteria that guide the consumers’ appraisal of the indicators, and their influence on quality judgment.

The Most Frequently Mentioned Indicators

The most frequently reported content indicators were about consensus among sources. Content that appears in multiple sources, be it online sources, sources in other media (eg, newspaper, television, books, and academic journals), or health care professionals, is trusted by consumers. Writing- and language-related factors were the second most frequently reported content indicators. Consumers expect high-quality information to be error free in spelling and grammar, use straightforward language, and have a clear layout. The third most frequently reported indicators were advertisements. Consumers expect quality websites to neither depend on advertisements [63] nor seek to make a profit [62]. Therefore, sites with advertisements were considered less objective [46,49,54,63,64], be it in the form of commercial links [46], advertisement banners [30,32,55], popups [32,40], or other formats.

Indicators With Both Positive and Negative Influences on Evaluation

Consumers had mixed attitudes toward two content types: objective facts and personal experiences. Some consumers value objective facts [43,45,62], whereas some dissatisfy with information that contained solely objective facts, considering it unbalanced [69]. With regard to personal experiences, some consumers favored first-hand experiences, stories, and advice (eg, recommendations for medical gadgets, meal planning and exercising, and advice on completing medical benefit forms) from people with similar conditions for their practicality [40,41,45,46,49,63,64], but some had concerns that personal experiences lack objectivity, especially when it merely is a personal opinion [30,69].

Celebrity endorsement was also an indicator with both positive and negative influences on quality evaluation. Some trusted the endorsed information but others question its objectivity due to the potential financial interest involved [61,67].

The use of medical and technical vocabularies raised contention among consumers as well. For some consumers, high-quality information was easy to understand, that is, it exhibited less use of professional medical vocabularies [30,43,49,64,69] or provided easy-to-understand definitions of medical jargon [46,49], especially for educational and government sites [18,42,52]; however, for others, the use of technical vocabularies demonstrated expertise and was highly valued [51,60,63].

Some consumers doubted information (especially diagnosis and treatment information) authored by other unknown consumers [42,53], but others tended to trust content written by their peers because of similar demographic or health characteristics [32].

For health interventions, some consumers examined the release time and perceived newer interventions to have higher quality than the existing ones because the new intervention would have undergone more testing and research, whereas others were uncertain about the reliability of newer interventions [67].

Design

Design refers to the appearance of a website or an app and the interactions that it affords. Four categories of design-related quality indicators were identified: interface design, interaction design, navigation design, and security settings. Table 6 shows the specific indicators, the corresponding criteria that guide the consumers’ appraisal of the indicators, and their influence on quality judgment.
Table 5. Evaluation of content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substance (n=31)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content types (n=15)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factual information (n=14)</strong></td>
<td>[18,30,41,43,45,46,49,53,62-64,67,69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence based (+)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically proven (+)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics and numbers (+)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete examples (+)</td>
<td>Practicality (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective facts (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+), Balanced (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideological and magical information (–)</td>
<td>Accuracy (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unproven and uncertain scientific information (–)</td>
<td>Accuracy (–), Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal experiences (n=9)</strong></td>
<td>[30,41,45,46,49,63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First hand (+)</td>
<td>Accuracy (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical advice (+)</td>
<td>Practicality (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal experiences (±)</td>
<td>Objectivity (–), Practicality (+), Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal opinion (–)</td>
<td>Objectivity (–), Expertise (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content attributes (n=29)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance (n=6)</strong></td>
<td>[43,46,48,63,64,67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative medicine (+)</td>
<td>Balanced (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicting views (+)</td>
<td>Balanced (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both professional and patient viewpoints (+)</td>
<td>Balanced (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential side effects (+)</td>
<td>Complete (+), Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>[18,46,49,51,62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the right level of complexity and depth (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing in depth overtime (+)</td>
<td>Usefulness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth information (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantity (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>[18,46,62,67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The right amount (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much text (–)</td>
<td>Understandability (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specificity (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>[18,46,47,49,67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various levels of detail for different needs (+)</td>
<td>Usefulness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific and detailed (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall and general information (–)</td>
<td>Usefulness (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consensus among sources (n=20)</strong></td>
<td>[13,18,30,38-43,55-57,59-61,63,65-67,69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaching agreement among media sources (+)</td>
<td>Popularity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified by general practitioners or other health professionals (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowd consensus (+)</td>
<td>Popularity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endorsed by celebrities (±)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific content elements (n=3)</strong></td>
<td>[47,61,67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural ingredients (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of investment on an intervention (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright information (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local support and contact information (+)</td>
<td>Usefulness (+), Relevance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Argument strength (n=6) [30,32,41,48,56,69]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable (+)</td>
<td>Believability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound plausible and scientific (+)</td>
<td>Believability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biased or misleading (−)</td>
<td>Objectivity (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Writing and language (n=19) [18,30,32,42,43,46,48,49,51,52,56-58,60,62-64,67,69]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling and grammar errors (−)</td>
<td>Understandability (−), Expertise (−), Trustworthiness (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long sentences (−)</td>
<td>Readability (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional writing (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concise (+)</td>
<td>Comprehensiveness (+), Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use simple, plain, straightforward, and clear language (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiar sounding and inclusive language (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+), Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensational (−)</td>
<td>Objectivity (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patronizing tone (−)</td>
<td>Identification (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of professional medical terms and technical vocabularies (±)</td>
<td>Understanding (−), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy reading level (−)</td>
<td>Expertise (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation of content (n=12)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization (n=10) [18,32,44,45,49,54,59,62,66,68]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear layout and organization (+)</td>
<td>Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An overview of the information on a site (+)</td>
<td>Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of bolding and shading (+)</td>
<td>Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulleted points (+)</td>
<td>Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headings (+)</td>
<td>Readability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritizing content (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of scientific papers: general approaches and research design (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of scientific papers: presence of variables or factors (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of scientific papers: research purposes (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labeling (n=2) [43,63]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of an informative title (+)</td>
<td>Understandability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly marked personal experience (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>References (n=10) [30,39,43,45,56-58,63,64,69]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Links to original documents (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of references included (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to scientific publications (+)</td>
<td>Objectivity (+), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to a credible person (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to a specific project or institution (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+), Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authorship (n=16) [30,32,40,42,45,60,62-64,66,67]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicitly listing authors and author’s credentials (+)</td>
<td>Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference to previous work or curriculum vitae (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture of the author (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Transparency (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health professionals (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalists (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumers (±)</td>
<td>Practicality (+), Identification (+), Expertise (−), Objectivity (−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic gains for its authors (–)</td>
<td>Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious figures (–)</td>
<td>Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Audience (n=11) [32,45-48,51,53,57,58,61,63]**
- Targeted to geographical location (+)  
  Relevance (+)
- Translated information (+)  
  Understandability (+), Accessibility (+)
- Tailored and personalized information (+)  
  Usefulness (+)
- Targeted to minority women (+)  
  Identification (+)
- Targeted to professions (+)  
  Relevance (+)
- Targeted to age group (+)  
  Relevance (+)
- Seeing a face that looked similar to theirs (+)  
  Identification (+)
- Written for the most educated audience (+)  
  Expertise (+)
- Aimed at younger children (–)  
  Relevance (–), Accuracy (–)

**Date/updating (n=12) [30,39,41,43,44,46,53,59,60,63,67,69]**
- The appearance of publication date (+)  
  Transparency (+)
- Access all the latest research (+)  
  Currency (+), Completeness (+)
- New interventions (±)  
  Currency (+), Accuracy (–)
- Up to date (+)  
  Currency (+)
- Regular updating (+)  
  Transparency (+), Currency (+)

**Advertisements (n=17) [30,32,39,40,42,43,46,49,54,55,59,62-64,66-68]**
- Presence of ads (–)  
  Objectivity (–)
- Pushing to sell something (–)  
  Objectivity (–)
- The appearance of commercial links (–)  
  Objectivity (–)

\(^a\) indicates a positive evaluation of quality or that a criterion is judged positively.
\(^b\)± indicates both positive and negative evaluations or a criterion could be judged both positively and negatively.
\(^c\)– indicates a negative evaluation of quality or that a criterion is judged negatively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interface design (n=16)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall appearance (n=9)</strong></td>
<td>[30,32,39,44,46,49,59,62,64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boring and bland design (–)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial nature/feel (–)</td>
<td>Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern look (+)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (+), Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional (+)</td>
<td>Expertise (+), Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High visual quality (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Aesthetics (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft colors (+)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graphics (n=9)</strong></td>
<td>[18,32,40,44,49,54,64,66,67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too many graphics (–)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of flash (–)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (–), Accessibility (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor graphics (–)</td>
<td>Aesthetics (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate graphics (–)</td>
<td>Relevance (–), Trustworthiness (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The existence of brand logo (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant illustrations (+)</td>
<td>Relevance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Font (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>[18,32,44,49,68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large font size (+)</td>
<td>Accessibility (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font color low contrast (–)</td>
<td>Accessibility (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction design (n=14)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Links (n=4)</strong></td>
<td>[45,48,49,55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link to other websites (+)</td>
<td>Trustworthiness (+), Interactivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenty of links (+)</td>
<td>Interactivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken links (–)</td>
<td>Accessibility (–), Trustworthiness (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy access to further details and sources (+)</td>
<td>Accessibility (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloadable PDF documents for bibliographies and laws (+)</td>
<td>Accessibility (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interactive functions (n=7)</strong></td>
<td>[30,32,44,46,49,61,62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search capabilities (+)</td>
<td>Interactivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places to interact and share with other site visitors (+)</td>
<td>Interactivity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Ask experts” (+)</td>
<td>Interactivity (+), Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-management and assessment tools (–)</td>
<td>Usefulness (+), Accuracy (–), Objectivity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other interactive features (n=9)</strong></td>
<td>[30,32,44,46,48,61,63,68,69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow loading time (–)</td>
<td>Accessibility (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required login (–)</td>
<td>Accessibility (–), Anonymity (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of pop-ups (+)</td>
<td>Accessibility (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia feature (+)</td>
<td>Interactivity (+), Learnability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navigation design (n=9)</strong></td>
<td>[30,32,44,46,49,54,58,68,69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant info on home page (+)</td>
<td>Navigability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear entry point (+)</td>
<td>Navigability (+), Accessibility (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy return to home page (+)</td>
<td>Navigability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation aids (+)</td>
<td>Navigability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation links (+)</td>
<td>Navigability (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Most Frequently Mentioned Indicators

The most frequently reported design indicators were related to interface design, mostly visual factors, including the overall appearance of a site, the graphics it includes, and font size. Interaction design features, including links, interactive functions, and other interactive features (e.g., loading time and login requirement), were the second most frequently mentioned quality indicators. Sites with robust search capabilities (e.g., easy to locate and diverse search entrance), offering useful tools (e.g., self-management tools), and rendering smooth user-system interaction (e.g., providing links to additional relevant sources and not having pop-ups) were perceived as high quality. Navigation-related indicators such as navigation aids and site maps were the third most frequently mentioned quality indicators.

Indicators With Both Positive and Negative Influences on Evaluation

Mixed opinions existed concerning the interactive functions of self-management and assessment tools (e.g., health calculators). Some consumers valued tailored results and advice [46,49], but some questioned the accuracy and objectivity of the information generated [46,62].

Individual Factors Influencing Quality Judgment

In addition to source-, content-, and design-related factors, the evaluation of online health information quality was also affected by individual factors including individuals’ personal situation, prior knowledge or experience of a source, personal knowledge and beliefs, and intuition. Table 7 shows the specific factors, the corresponding criteria that guide the consumers’ appraisal, and their influence on quality judgment.

The Most Frequently Mentioned Factors

Individuals’ prior knowledge and experience of a source were mentioned most frequently as factors that influence quality judgment. Consumers tended to trust sites that they had experience with [49,63], because they may already know the source to be credible [13,18,39,50,53,59,65], have had positive experiences with it [42,54,67], have seen it from advertisements on other media (e.g., television and magazine) [42,58], or are familiar with the organization behind the source [18,42].

The category of personal situation was the second most frequent factor. Information relevant to individuals’ search topics (e.g., hormone replacement therapy) [32,45], needs and goals (e.g., offering easy reading level message for younger people) [40,54,57], specific circumstances (e.g., localization) [40,45,62,64], and experiences and symptoms [18,56,62,64] was considered to be of high quality.

The other two categories of individual factors were mentioned with the same frequency. One category is personal knowledge and beliefs. Consumers highly valued information consistent with their own beliefs and knowledge [18,32,41,56,63,64]. The other category is intuition. Some consumers undertook “subconscious filtering” to filter out potential political and gender biased information [69], and some consumers relied on common sense [39], sensation [63], instinct, or “gut feelings” [55,65,66] to evaluate information.
Table 7. Individual factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individuals’ personal situation (n=9)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant topics (+*)</td>
<td>Relevance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information relevant to one’s needs and search goal (+)</td>
<td>Relevance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information relevant to one’s circumstance and applicable (+)</td>
<td>Relevance (+) Usefulness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information related to one’s experiences and symptoms (+)</td>
<td>Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior knowledge and experience of a source (n=14)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known credible websites (+)</td>
<td>Familiarity (+) Expertise (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive previous experience (+)</td>
<td>Familiarity (+) Trustworthiness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Websites advertised in other media (+)</td>
<td>Familiarity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiar organization (+)</td>
<td>Familiarity (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal knowledge and beliefs (n=7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency with one’s own beliefs and knowledge (+)</td>
<td>Identification (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intuition (n=7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subconscious (+)</td>
<td>Believability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common sense (+)</td>
<td>Believability (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instinct/sensation/gut feeling (+)</td>
<td>Believability (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a+ indicates a positive evaluation of quality or that a criterion is judged positively.

Discussion

In this article, we reviewed 37 empirical studies that reported consumers’ accounts of how they evaluate the quality of online health information. This review extends the existing literature by making two major conceptual contributions. First, it offers a clear conceptual understanding of the dimensions of quality of online health information perceived by consumers by differentiating criteria from indicators. Second, it explicates the relationship between webpage quality indicators (webpage elements) and the quality judgment by differentiating positive and negative influences that indicators have on judgment. In this section, we discuss each contribution and then outline practical implications and limitations of this review.

Dimensions of Online Health Information Quality

In the existing literature, quality was often defined and assessed differently. We guided the article selection for the review using a general conceptualization that defines quality as “fitness for use” [25]. Other authors have offered more specific conceptualizations. For example, Rieh [70] assessed quality as the extent to which users think that the information is useful, good, current, and accurate. Bates et al [71] measured health information quality in terms of its trustworthiness, truthfulness, readability, and completeness. Benotsch et al [32] rated the quality of health websites on five dimensions: accuracy, amount of detail, trustworthiness-credibility, relevance, and usefulness. Eastin [72] rated the credibility of health information on three dimensions: accuracy, believability, and factualness. The lack of consistency in measuring online health information quality suggests that there is a lack of clear conceptual understanding of what information quality means to online health consumers.

By clearly differentiating quality judgment criteria (rules that reflect notions of value and worth) and indicators (properties of information objects to which criteria are applied to form judgments) reported in the included studies, this review identified 25 dimensions (criteria) along which consumers evaluate the quality of online health information (Table 2). Because the included articles differ on aspects such as health issues of concern, participant demographics, and sources examined, this wide range of criteria reported and the uneven distribution of the criteria across the included articles suggest that consumer evaluation of online health information may be influenced by contextual factors such as user characteristics, health conditions, and online sources. In addition to these factors, the current review, consistent with prior reviews [10,11,27], also identified a range of individual factors that influence quality judgment behavior, such as prior experience with a source and personal knowledge and beliefs. Therefore, future studies should attempt to identify the most influential contextual factors (including individual factors) that affect consumers’ application of quality criteria to further enhance the theoretical understanding of this behavior. Empirical studies of consumer online health information evaluation should also consider these contextual factors in research design.

Despite the wide range, however, three criteria (trustworthiness, expertise, and objectivity) were reported in 31 articles, indicating that they are used consistently across user groups, source types, and health conditions and that they constitute core dimensions of online health information quality as perceived by consumers. The fact that trustworthiness and expertise are primary dimensions is consistent with general media source credibility research [73]. It is not surprising that objectivity, that is, whether a source or information presents objective factual or
evidence-based information, is also important for health information. Three additional criteria—transparency (reported in 21 articles), popularity (reported in 19 articles), and understandability (reported in 18 articles)—are also commonly reported and could be viewed as secondary dimensions of online health information quality. These findings imply that consumers’ perceived online health information quality could be reasonably measured by a small set of core dimensions.

Relationship Between Quality Indicators and Quality Judgment

Previous reviews summarized indicators used by consumers to evaluate the quality of online information [10,37]. Sbaffi and Rowley [11] further reported the direction of the effect (ie, positive vs negative) of the (design and content) indicators. However, the situational nature of the relationship between indicators and quality judgment, that is, the fact that their relationship is not one-on-one, but dependent on users’ values and the criteria applied, was not explicitly discussed. For example, government institutions, usually associated with high level of expertise and authority, are perceived by some consumers as biased sources with which they have a hard time relating. The other example is that consumer-generated content (eg, personal blogs and listserves) indicates low objectivity and low level of expertise to some consumers, but to others, it is considered highly practical and relatable. Thus, a unique contribution of this review is that it clearly maps out the direction of the impact (ie, positive or negative) of a number of indicators on quality judgment and the underlying reasons (ie, criteria) for the impact.

Practical Implications

The identification and differentiation of positive and negative indicators provide clear guidance for online health information designers. They can incorporate positive indicators (eg, offering authors’ credentials and presenting information in a clear and organized way) and avoid negative indicators (eg, dead links and flash media format) to offer users better information seeking experiences. The fact that the same indicator (eg, government institutions as the source owner) can lead to different quality judgment for different people suggests that designers should also carefully investigate target users’ values and the corresponding criteria that they use to evaluate health information. This calls for active user research and user involvement in the design process.

The results of the review also have implications for consumer education. The review revealed a wide range of criteria that consumers use to evaluate the quality of online health information. Many of the criteria, such as familiarity, identification, relevance, practicality, and usefulness, are highly subjective and situational, influenced by factors such as information needs, online information search experience, and personal beliefs. In some cases, consumers assign such criteria higher priority than more objective ones such as expertise [57]. The review also revealed that consumers use a diverse set of quality indicators. The implications of some of the indicators are not well understood. For example, some consumers believe that the appearance of copyright information or the word “clinical” indicates high information quality [61,67]. Some consumers view the fact that a website passes the screening of virus/security software as an indicator of high quality [57]. There are also consumers assuming that third-party accreditations are indicators of information accuracy, when, in fact, the guidelines that these accreditations follow do not really check for information accuracy [74,75]. Consumers need education to use more objective criteria to evaluate online health information and understand the implications of a number of quality indicators.

Limitations

This review has several limitations. First, we selected only studies where consumers explicitly described their quality evaluation behavior. These studies tend not to ask consumers to rate criteria or indicators; thus, we could not identify the importance of each indicator or criterion in quality judgment. Future reviews are needed to fill this gap. Second, we did not differentiate and compare results based on observations and results drawn from verbal inquiries as few included studies did. Eysenbach and Kohler [30] reported discrepancies between participants’ verbal accounts of what they do to evaluate health information and what they actually did in performing search tasks (based on observations). Thus, future empirical studies are needed to shed light on this gap. Third, in the coding process, we used criterion and indicator terms from the original papers, where feasible. In cases where we needed to infer criteria from indicators, we followed the mostly commonly recognized categorization by referring to prior empirical research and reviews or inferred the criteria from participants’ quotes. However, due to the different perspectives of the authors of the original papers and the inherent overlap between terms, such as comprehensiveness and completeness, our syntheses are inevitably affected by a certain degree of subjectivity. Fourth, because most studies treated the internet as one source of information without differentiating source types (eg, regular websites and social media), we were not able to identify whether the use of evaluation criteria and indicators differs by source type.

Conclusions

The quality of online health information is a complex concept involving more than two dozen dimensions, as perceived by consumers. Although a set of core dimensions can be identified, the diversity involved in consumers’ use of criteria is too obvious to ignore. Further examination of contextual factors (eg, different source and user characteristics) that influence consumers’ application of quality criteria will bring further clarity to the concept. The review identified 165 indicators, to which criteria are applied to reach a quality judgment. Indicators could be source, content, or design related; they can have a positive or negative impact on quality judgment, contingent on situations and users’ values and beliefs. The identification and differentiation of positive and negative indicators along with their respective criteria can provide clearer guidance for designers of online health websites and educational interventions. Compared to experts’ evaluation, consumers’ evaluation of online health information relies heavily on peripheral cues and is influenced by various contextual factors (eg, personal beliefs and information needs). This finding
suggests that current quality evaluation checklists, which are mostly based on experts’ view of quality, may not effectively serve the needs of consumers. Consumer behavior needs to be considered in the design of interventions that intend to promote quality evaluation in online searches. At the same time, it is worth noting that criteria and indicators used by consumers merit critical evaluation, as some criteria are overly subjective and the implications of some indicators are not well understood. User education is needed to address user misconceptions and the associated suboptimal evaluation behavior.
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Abstract

Background: Low back pain (LBP) affects millions of people worldwide, and misconceptions about effective treatment options for this condition are very common. Websites sponsored by organizations recognized as trustworthy by the public, such as government agencies, hospitals, universities, professional associations, health care organizations and consumer organizations are an important source of health information for many people. However, the content of these websites regarding treatment recommendations for LBP has not been fully evaluated.

Objective: This study aimed to determine the credibility, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of treatment recommendations for LBP in noncommercial, freely accessible websites.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review of websites from government agencies, hospitals, universities, professional associations, health care organizations and consumer organizations. We conducted searches on Google. Treatment recommendations were coded based on the 2016 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines and the 2017 American College of Physicians guideline on LBP. Primary outcomes were credibility of the website (4-item Journal of the American Medical Association benchmark), accuracy (proportion of website treatment recommendations that were appropriate), and comprehensiveness of website treatment recommendations (proportion of guideline treatment recommendations that were appropriately covered by a website).

Results: We included 79 websites from 6 English-speaking countries. In terms of credibility, 31% (25/79) of the websites clearly disclosed that they had been updated after the publication of the NICE guidelines. Only 43.28% (487/1125) website treatment recommendations were judged as accurate. Comprehensiveness of treatment recommendations correctly covered by websites was very low across all types of LBP. For acute LBP, an average of 28% (4/14) guideline recommendations were correctly covered by websites. Websites for radicular LBP were the least comprehensive, correctly covering an average of 16% (2.3/14) recommendations.

Conclusions: Noncommercial freely accessible websites demonstrated low credibility standards, provided mostly inaccurate information, and lacked comprehensiveness across all types of LBP.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13357) doi:10.2196/13357
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Introduction

Background

Low back pain (LBP) is the condition that accounts for the greatest burden of disability worldwide [1]. The global burden of LBP is expected to rise with an ageing population, leading to increased pressure on health systems [2]. The literature on LBP is vast, with a considerable amount of evidence on risk factors [3], prognosis [4], and effectiveness of treatment for LBP [5,6]. However, misconceptions in the general population and among health professionals [7] about LBP are very common [8,9]. A possible solution to reduce the inconsistency between beliefs of the general public and evidence-based information is to disseminate appropriate information through easily accessible means, such as the internet.

The internet has rapidly become an important source of health information [10]. In fact, there is evidence that more people seek health information first on the internet than with health care providers [11]. Recent data indicate that 78% [12] of Australians and 61% of people in the United Kingdom [13] used the internet to obtain health information in the previous 12 months [12,13]. People engaging with internet-based health information do so for several reasons, including making treatment decisions, supplementing information provided by a health professional, and self-managing a health condition [14]. The ready availability of internet-based health information may have both favorable and unfavorable consequences: although it empowers people to actively participate in their health care, the poor quality of information across many health conditions [15-17] calls into question its usefulness.

Government agencies and health care and professional organizations now sponsor websites containing health information for a broad range of health topics, including LBP. Websites hosted by those organizations are usually seen as more credible than other sources of internet-based information for people with LBP [18]. Previous studies examining the content of internet-based information about LBP have limitations, such as investigating limited samples and including websites with commercial purposes [19-21].

Objectives

Our objective was to assess the credibility, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of treatment recommendations for LBP given by websites sponsored by sources typically recognized as trustworthy by the public.

Methods

Eligibility Criteria

We reported this review following guidance provided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines where possible [22]. We identified trustworthy websites to be those from government agencies, nonprofit nongovernmental organizations, hospitals, professional societies, universities, and consumer organizations. We sought websites from 6 major English-speaking countries: Australia, Canada, New Zealand, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Websites had to present content about treatments for either acute, persistent, or radicular LBP. Websites that had links to other forms of content presentation, such as booklets, leaflets, or brochures, were also included. We excluded websites published in languages other than English, websites only containing information about aspects other than treatment of LBP (eg, anatomy of the lumbar spine), and those requiring any type of password or membership. We excluded websites that did not provide at least 1 clear recommendation for either acute, persistent, or radicular LBP.

Search Strategy

We used Google to search for noncommercial freely accessible websites presenting LBP information. We conducted searches on 5 February and updated it on July 20, 2018. We used Google AdWords to identify the most popular terms on Google related to LBP worldwide. To increase search specificity, we conducted searches on each country’s dedicated Google website (Multimedia Appendix 1). Furthermore, 1 researcher (GF) performed the initial screening of websites using the Google Chrome Web browser. Before starting every new search, we cleared the browsing data. We screened the first 50 records from each search by looking at titles, snippets that followed each title, and URLs for each retrieved Web page. All links deemed relevant by the first reviewer were collated to a Microsoft Excel sheet and then screened for eligibility by 1 of the 3 independent reviewers (AT, CM, and GM), with all discrepancies resolved by discussion.

Data Extraction and Coding

A reviewer (GF) extracted data into a spreadsheet and 1 of the 3 other reviewers (AT, GM, and MOK) cross-checked the data. We extracted information on characteristics of the website, such as the host, country, and type of LBP covered. Websites treatment recommendations were coded according to the recommendations from the 2016 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines [5] and the 2017 American College of Physicians [6] guidelines for the management of LBP with or without sciatica. Furthermore, 3 authors (GF, AT, and CM) coded each guideline recommendation as having been (1) endorsed by at least 1 guideline; (2) dismissed by at least 1 guideline; and (3) subject to conflicting positions between the 2 guidelines. The accuracy of treatment recommendations given by websites was judged by concordance with the guideline recommendations and coded as follows:

- Appropriate endorsement: A recommendation given by a website to use a treatment that was endorsed by at least 1 guideline.
- Appropriate dismissal: A recommendation given by a website to avoid a treatment that was dismissed by at least 1 guideline.
- Inappropriate endorsement: A recommendation given by a website to use a treatment that was dismissed by at least 1 guideline.
- Inappropriate dismissal: A recommendation given by a website to avoid a treatment that was endorsed by at least 1 guideline.
• **Endorsed:** A recommendation given by a website to use a treatment that was not mentioned in either guideline.

• **Dismissed:** A recommendation given by a website to avoid a treatment that was not mentioned in either guideline.

• **Unclear:** A recommendation given by a website that was not clearly targeted to a specific LBP condition (e.g., a recommendation to use skeletal muscle relaxants for LBP) or when a recommendation was vague in the description of the treatment (e.g., *spinal injections* rather than *epidural corticosteroid injection* or *facet joint corticosteroid injection*).

**Outcomes**

Our primary outcomes were credibility, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of each website.

**Credibility:** We used the 4-item Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA) benchmark [23] to assess the credibility of each website. The JAMA benchmark addresses 4 elements: (1) currency of information, (2) declaration of authorship, (3) presentation of a list of references, and (4) disclosure of any conflict of interest, funding, or sponsorship. Each item was categorized as yes, no, or not reported. We considered a website to be up-to-date if its date of publication or last update had been subsequent to the publication date of the 2016 NICE guidelines [5]. We considered authorship to be declared when single or multiple authors were listed or when authorship was attributed to a working group or an entity.

**Accuracy:** We defined accuracy as the number and proportion of website recommendations that were judged clear and accurate. Accurate recommendations were those coded as being appropriate endorsements, appropriate dismissals, and treatments dismissed by the website and not listed in either guideline. Inaccurate recommendations were those coded as being inappropriate endorsements, inappropriate dismissals, and treatments endorsed by the website but not listed in either guideline.

**Comprehensiveness:** We defined comprehensiveness as the number and proportion of guideline recommendations that were appropriately covered by a website. The comprehensiveness of a website was given by the ratio between the sum of appropriate endorsements and dismissals and the total number of recommendations in the guidelines for each different type of LBP.

**Data Analysis**

We presented data for acute, persistent, and radicular LBP separately. We used descriptive statistics to summarize credibility, accuracy, and comprehensiveness across the websites. Each item of the JAMA benchmark was presented individually. Data on accuracy were presented as the number and proportion of clear accurate recommendations, the number of clear accurate recommendations to use a treatment, and the number of clear accurate recommendations to avoid a treatment. Data on comprehensiveness were presented as the mean (SD) number of guideline recommendations correctly covered by websites and as the average proportion of website recommendations, as well as the mean (SD) number of guideline recommendations correctly covered by websites to use and to avoid a treatment.

**Results**

**Selected Websites**

We conducted 72 searches on Google, resulting in 3600 records to be screened. We excluded 3434 records by reading the titles on Google and retained 166 websites for eligibility assessment. Among these, 87 were ineligible. We, therefore, included 79 unique websites. As some websites had information for more than 1 type of LBP, a total of 123 Web pages were included in the final analysis (Figure 1).

---

**Figure 1. Study flow diagram.**

![Study flow diagram](https://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13357/)

- Websites identified through internet searching (n=3600)
- Records excluded (n=3434)
- Websites assessed for eligibility (n=166)
- Websites excluded deemed ineligible (n=62)
- Websites considered eligible (n=104)
- Websites without clear description of the type of low back pain (n=25)
- Websites included in the quantitative synthesis (n=79; 123 webpages)
Characteristics of the Included Websites

Table 1 outlines the characteristics of the included websites. 53% (42/79) of the websites were from the United States, 24% (19/79) were from the United Kingdom, 17% (13/79) were from Australia, 4% (3/79) were from Canada, 1% (1/79) were from New Zealand, and 1% (1/79) were from South Africa. 43% (34/79) of websites were owned by hospitals, followed by websites from government agencies, which represented 25% (20/79) of websites. More information about the included websites is shown in Multimedia Appendix 2. Detailed information about the treatments covered by the websites is listed in Multimedia Appendix 3 to Multimedia Appendix 6.

Table 1. Characteristics of websites and credibility data (N=79).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive and credibility variables</th>
<th>Statistics, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>13 (16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>19 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>42 (53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of website</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer organization</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>20 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>34 (43.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nongovernmental organization</td>
<td>8 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional association or society</td>
<td>8 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>7 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of low back pain</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>55 (69.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>29 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radicular</td>
<td>39 (49.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Updated after 2016 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>35 (44.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Declaration of authorship</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>61 (77.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>List of references</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>58 (73.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disclosure of any conflict of interest, funding, or sponsorship</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>74 (93.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Credibility of Websites*

A total of 43 websites (54.4%, 43/79) disclosed their creation date or last update, and only 25 (31%, 25/79) of these websites had been updated after the publication of the NICE guidelines. Only 18 (22%, 18/79) websites provided a declaration of authorship. Only 21 (26%, 21/79) websites presented a list of references. Disclosure of any potential conflict of interest, funding source, or any form of sponsorship was only provided by 5 (6%, 5/79) websites (Table 1). Details on the assessment of credibility are shown in Multimedia Appendix 2.

*The total is greater than 79 as some websites presented information for more than 1 type of low back pain (LBP).*
Table 2. Accuracy of website treatment recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number of recommendations</th>
<th>Number of unclear recommendations, n (%)</th>
<th>Number of accurate recommendations, n (%)</th>
<th>Number of clear accurate recommendations to use a treatment, n (%)</th>
<th>Number of clear accurate recommendations to avoid a treatment, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute LBP</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>98 (21.7)</td>
<td>228 (50.4)</td>
<td>187 (61.7)</td>
<td>41 (80.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent LBP</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>105 (26.1)</td>
<td>154 (38.3)</td>
<td>116 (45.8)</td>
<td>38 (86.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radicular LBP</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>28 (10.3)</td>
<td>105 (38.7)</td>
<td>86 (38.7)</td>
<td>17 (84.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>231 (20.53)</td>
<td>487 (43.28)</td>
<td>389 (50.00)</td>
<td>96 (82.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comprehensiveness of website treatment recommendations. Recommendations that were conflicting between guidelines were not included in the assessment of comprehensiveness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number of recommendations in the guidelines</th>
<th>Guideline recommendations correctly covered by websites, mean (SD; percentage of total number of recommendations in guidelines)</th>
<th>Guideline recommendations to use a treatment correctly covered by websites, mean (SD; percentage of total number of recommendations in guidelines)</th>
<th>Guideline recommendations to avoid a treatment correctly covered by websites, mean (SD; percentage of total number of recommendations in guidelines)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute LBP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.0 (1.5; 28.6)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.3; 24.3)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.6; 4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent LBP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.5 (2.8; 18.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.3; 16.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.1; 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radicular LBP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.3 (1.9; 16.4)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9; 15.7)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3; 0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LBP*: low back pain.

**Accuracy of Website Recommendations**

Data for accuracy are presented in Table 2. In total, websites provided 1125 recommendations, with 487 (43.3%) being accurate, 407 (36.2%) inaccurate, and 231 (20.5%) unclear. Websites provided many more recommendations to use than to avoid a treatment (778 vs 116). Acute LBP had the highest number of recommendations among all the types of LBP. The proportion of accurate recommendations for acute LBP (50.4%) was higher than the proportion of accurate recommendations for persistent LBP (38.3%) and radicular LBP (38.7%). Advice to stay active was the most common treatment recommendation given by websites for acute LBP, having been endorsed by 45 out of 55 (81%) websites. Moreover, 28 out of 55 (50%) websites inappropriately endorsed paracetamol for acute LBP, and 6 out of 29 (20%) websites inappropriately endorsed opioids for persistent LBP (Multimedia Appendices 3-5).

**Comprehensiveness of Website Recommendations**

Details on the comprehensiveness of website recommendations are shown in Table 3. The proportion of guideline recommendations correctly covered by websites was higher for acute LBP (28.6%) compared with persistent LBP (18.0%) and radicular LBP (16.4%). Websites for radicular LBP were the least comprehensive, covering on average 2.3 (1.9) guideline recommendations. Across all types of LBP, the most comprehensive websites correctly covered only about 50% of the guideline recommendations—see Multimedia Appendix 6.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

Treatment recommendations for LBP in websites from trustworthy sources failed to meet our benchmarks for credibility, provided a high proportion of inaccurate or unclear recommendations, and lacked comprehensiveness. In general, websites did not provide adequate resources for people to independently verify the truthfulness of the information provided. The accuracy of treatment recommendations was generally low across all different types of LBP. The lack of comprehensiveness across all websites was even more pronounced when covering guideline recommendations to avoid an ineffective treatment.

**Comparison With Previous Work**

Previous research had surveyed the accuracy of information only for a specific type of LBP (eg, acute LBP) [20], had not distinguished between types of LBP when analyzing recommendations from guidelines [19,21], and examined a limited sample of websites [19-21,24], including commercial websites [19,21]. We presented results for acute, persistent, and radicular LBP separately, given that some treatments known to be effective for 1 type of LBP might be ineffective for another or evidence might be currently lacking or be of questionable quality. That is the case for skeletal muscle relaxants, where evidence supports their use for acute LBP but benefits for persistent and radicular LBP are still uncertain [25].

In contrast to previous studies [19-21,26], we surveyed only websites from sources recognized as trustworthy by patients with LBP [18], such as government agencies, health care organizations, and universities. On the basis of the findings of previous studies showing that commercial websites were mostly
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of poor quality [19,21], our a priori hypothesis was that noncommercial freely accessible websites would have more comprehensive and accurate information compared with commercial websites [19,21]. This was not the case for many guideline-endorsed treatments. For example, although advice to stay active was recommended for acute LBP by 81% (45/55) of the websites, only 41% (12/29) and 46% (18/39) of websites recommended it for radicular and persistent LBP, respectively. Our findings suggest that websites of trusted sources are failing not only at conveying the accurate message on the benefits of guideline-endorsed [5,6] and first-line treatment [27] recommendations but also at dismissing ineffective treatment options such as bed rest for acute LBP, for which evidence on its ineffectiveness has long been known [28].

The large number of recommendations that were inaccurate and unclear found in our review supports findings from previous studies that people cannot obtain appropriate information about LBP on the internet [18]. For example, more than half of all treatment recommendations given by websites in our review were either inaccurate or unclear, which risks misleading the public [29]. In addition, evidence from the general population suggests that seeking health-related information on the internet is associated with increased health care utilization [30-32]. The fact that people often seek health information on the internet for LBP combined with the large amount of inaccurate and unclear information contained in these websites can potentially be driving people to seek unnecessary or ineffective care. On the contrary, credible and accurate internet-based information may contribute to reducing health care utilization. A recent study has attributed a 12% decrease in overall health care utilization, including LBP, to the launching of a website containing guideline-endorsed information maintained by the Dutch College of General Practitioners [33]. If one of the goals of internet-based health information is to reduce unnecessary consultations in primary care [33], these sources of internet-based information about LBP must provide patients the necessary means to make informed decisions about their health care. For this to happen, improving the credibility standards, as well as providing accurate and comprehensive treatment recommendations is necessary. When recommending treatments for LBP, websites must rely extensively on the evidence provided by high-quality clinical practice guidelines such as the NICE guidelines [5] and American College of Physicians [6] guidelines.

Limitations
The strengths of this study include using Google AdWords to develop search terms individuals actually use to find internet-based information about treatments for LBP. Searching the first 50 records of each search can also be considered a strength, as people searching for internet-based information usually do not look past the 10 or 20 first hits [34]. One potential limitation of our study was the use of Google as the sole Web browser to screen for websites. Nevertheless, our choice was based on the fact that Google is the most used search engine worldwide, Google has the best search validity (ie, returns links based on the fact that Google is the most used search engine worldwide, Google has the best search validity (ie, returns links to websites that can be opened), and results from other engines usually highly overlap with those from Google [34]. Another limitation involves a very small number of inconsistencies (3 recommendations out of a total of 56, 5%) between the 2 guidelines. Nevertheless, that likely represents the uncertainty around the evidence for treatments for which there is currently no consensus, such as acupuncture [35]. We dealt with this limitation by choosing a more conservative approach and classified all endorsements and dismissals of treatments with inconsistent recommendations as being inappropriate.

Conclusions
Websites from government agencies, consumer organizations, hospitals, nongovernmental organizations, professional associations, and universities demonstrated low credibility standards, provided mostly inaccurate information, and lacked comprehensiveness across all types of LBP. Our findings highlight the need for these organizations to reformulate their treatment recommendations to reflect current evidence in the management of LBP.
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Abstract

Background: Designing web-based informational materials regarding the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine has become a challenge for designers and decision makers in the health authorities because of the scientific and public controversy regarding the vaccine’s safety and effectiveness and the sexual and moral concerns related to its use.

Objective: The study aimed to investigate how cultural sensitivity (CS) is articulated in the explanatory informational materials on the HPV vaccine that are posted on the websites of the Israeli health authorities. In addition, the study examined the effect of transparency on the expression of CS in the informational materials.

Methods: The study employed a quantitative and qualitative content analysis of the texts of explanatory informational materials published on the Arabic and Hebrew websites of the Israel Ministry of Health and the Clalit health maintenance organization (HMO).

Results: The findings revealed the differences in the dimensions of CS (based on the CS model by Resnicow) between the informational materials targeting the majority Jewish population and those targeting the minority Arab population. Indeed, the research findings point to a paradox. On the one hand, the materials appealing to the conservative Arab population exhibited CS, in that the sexual context of the vaccine was missing. On the other hand, analysis of Resnicow’s deep dimensions showed that disregarding the sexual context does not allow the relevant target audience to reflect on the barriers and concerns. In addition, the way the information was provided exhibited a lack of transparency regarding the CS dimensions (surface and deep).

Conclusions: The public health authorities have 2 main objectives in the context of vaccinations. One is to raise the vaccination rates and the other is to provide full and culturally sensitive information to give the public the tools to make intelligent decisions. The findings of this study indicated that despite the high uptake rate for HPV vaccination in the Arab population, the health authorities did not exercise full transparency and CS in transmitting the association between engaging in sexual relations and the necessity of the vaccination. Thus, the major challenge for the health authorities is to find ways to implement the objective of communicating information about the vaccination in a way that is transparent and culturally sensitive, even if this raises questions and fears among the public deriving from their culture.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13373) doi:10.2196/13373
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Introduction

Health Promotion and Cultural Sensitivity

Individual and cultural differences (attitudes, intentions, beliefs, subjective norms, and the like) moderate the effects of health promotion interventions and influence how individuals make decisions [1].

Segmentation and determination of a specific target audience aim to identify the characteristics, needs, social structures, and perceived costs and benefits of the audience relative to specific health behavior. Identifying all these factors in the target audience can contribute to the development of an effective health communication [2].

Studies show that messages are more thoroughly processed and defined as convincing and effective if their content is adapted to the cognitive, emotional, and motivational characteristics that in most cases are determined by the cultural background of the target audience [3].

Culturally sensitive materials based on the target population’s cultural background can affect the understanding and effectiveness of health communication [4]. Moreover, culturally sensitive materials increase the awareness of recommended behavior, improve decision making, make messages more persuasive, and lead to deeper processing and understanding in the context of health promotion. Sensitive cultural communication reduces gaps in health outcomes by making messages equally meaningful and effective [5].

When the information provided matches cultural values, the content is likely to lead to deeper reflection on the potential benefits and adverse effects, in turn leading to more appropriate and informed choices in the decision-making process [6].

Despite the emphasis on the importance of audience segmentation and theory-driven development of messages (cultural sensitivity [CS] in this study), health organizations do not always take the dimensions of CS into consideration when designing interventions and formulating messages and informational materials [7,8].

Resnicow’s Model of Cultural Sensitivity

Culture is a typical mediator in the decision-making process of individuals with respect to the recommended health behavior [9-11]. CS is defined as the extent to which the ethnic, cultural, and experiential norms, values, behavior patterns, and beliefs of the target population, as well as the historical, environmental, and social factors are expressed in the design, planning, and evaluation of the informational materials and programs for health promotion [12].

Many theories and models have discussed cultural competence and CS to various ethnic minority groups in different intervention programs [7,13-16]. The cultural competence or CS of materials used for health education and publicity is measured by different tools focusing on the use of culturally adapted language, graphics, and illustrations as well as the use of personages from the community [9,17].

Over the years, many studies have shown that CS is not limited to language or illustrations, suggesting that deep dimensions require additional measures. According to Resnicow’s definition [15], CS is conceptualized in terms of 2 main measures: deep dimensions and surface dimensions.

Surface dimensions include the use of people, places, language, music, food, and clothing familiar to the target audience. The measure also includes channel identification (media), level of literacy, relevance of recommended behavior to the target audience, marketing sites (eg, schools and churches) appropriate for transmitting messages and intervention programs, and the use of a suitable communicator [12].

These surface dimensions are intended to make communication more effective by increasing its attractiveness, relevance, and familiarity as well as by increasing source reliability with respect to the target population [1].

Deep dimensions, in contrast, examine the environmental, cultural, social, psychological, and historical factors related to the target audience that influence their health behavior. The measure makes specific reference to the arts, values, shared experiences, moral concerns, cultural barriers, perceptions regarding those who determine behavior, and perceptions regarding recommended behavior [15].

These dimensions are related to the understanding and interpretation of health communication, which depend on the models that explain the health and illness status of the target population [15].

Cervical Cancer and the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine

The human papillomavirus (HPV) is one of the most common sexually transmitted infections worldwide [18,19]; this contrasts with the situation in Israel, where morbidity and mortality rates caused by HPV infections are the lowest in the West [20]. Some studies indicate that ongoing infection with high-risk HPV strains can develop into cervical cancer. HPV can also cause other cancers (cancers of the vagina, vulva, penis, anus, rectum, and oropharynx) and genital warts [21-23]. High-risk HPV strains include HPV 16 and 18, which cause approximately 70% of cervical cancers [22,24].

In addition to the Papanicolaou (Pap) smear screening procedure for cervical cancer that has significantly reduced the morbidity and mortality rates for cervical cancer in many western countries [25], the HPV vaccine is the first vaccine targeted at preventing cervical cancer [26].

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), since 2006, around 100 nations worldwide have approved the HPV vaccine, and as of 2012, approximately 40 countries have included the vaccine in their immunization programs [27]. HPV vaccine programs vary from country to country with respect to the type of vaccine, implementation strategies, compliance rates, and payment policies [28-30].

According to recent data from the Israel Ministry of Health [20], the age-adjusted incidence rates (per 100,000) of invasive cervical cancer in 2012 were 5.08 in Jewish women and 2.97 in Arab women. The age-adjusted incidence rates (per 100,000)
of stage 0 cervical cancer in 2012 were 22.5 in Jewish women and 4.2 in Arab women. The age-adjusted mortality rates (per 100,000) from cervical cancer in 2012 were 1.50 in Jewish women and 0.94 in Arab women. The data show that the rates of HPV infections are even lower.

Starting in 2007, the HPV vaccine was available in Israel in exchange for payment. In December 2012, Israeli health authorities decided to include the HPV vaccine in the medical services basket of medical services provided free of charge. The vaccine included in the basket is for women, boys, and men up to the age of 26 years, who constitute the population at risk for HPV infection [31]. Starting in 2013, the vaccine was included as a part of the routine vaccines given in school to girls in the eighth grade and was later extended to include boys [31,32]. According to the Ministry of Health [20], in the 2016 school vaccination campaign, the rate of HPV vaccine uptake among the Arab population reached 84% (96% in the Bedouin sector) compared with 40% for the Jewish population.

Transparency Regarding the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine

Experts from across the globe recommend additional monitoring of HPV vaccine effectiveness and safety [33]. This recommendation derives from the scientific controversy regarding the effectiveness and safety of this vaccine since its inclusion in vaccination programs worldwide. On the one hand, a large body of studies indicate that the vaccine is effective. For example, various meta-analyses and research studies have attested to the vaccine’s high immunological effectiveness, approaching 93% to 100%, against the papilloma virus and other precancerous cervical lesions [28,34-38]. In addition, recent research emphasizes the effectiveness of the HPV vaccine in reducing preinvasive cervical disease and increasing clinical herd protection in unvaccinated women [39]. Yet, the literature still includes studies pointing to a variety of factors that can affect the vaccine’s effectiveness, such as age, the type of virus strain, and the individual’s immune system [40-44].

On the other hand, other studies point to negative consequences after vaccine uptake. Similar to any other vaccine, the HPV vaccine can cause major pain at the injection site as well as fainting and dizziness [45-47]. However, there have also been reports of more serious side effects [48-59]. With the rising number of these reports, experts around the world have been calling for more studies and improved postmarketing surveillance [56,59].

Balanced health information regarding the HPV vaccine should include 3 main components: (1) completeness—description of the risk of cervical cancer and the vaccine’s advantages and disadvantages; (2) transparency—presentation of all the vaccine-related risks in absolute numbers and not as relative figures; and (3) evidence-based correctness—providing information based on science [60].

Transparency is an essential component in effective health communication and in designing messages and explanatory materials intended to promote various health behaviors [61-64]. Studies that examined transparency in providing information on the HPV vaccine to target populations were conducted mainly in Germany [60,65]. These studies emphasized that the German health agencies and the German media as well provided only partial information regarding the risks of cervical cancer and the papilloma virus and used statistics that were not transparent in describing the vaccine’s effectiveness and safety. The statistics in the marketing materials provided inaccurate numbers regarding the vaccine’s effectiveness, showing absolute effectiveness. Moreover, most of the marketing leaflets did not mention the vaccine’s side effects [60,65]. Most studies examining decision making in the context of the HPV vaccine emphasize the importance of transmitting information that is fully transparent and understandable to enable parents to sign the informed consent forms for the vaccination [66-68]. Some studies indicate that people do not always read the forms to the end or do not understand what they read, so, in essence, they sign the forms without their informed consent [66,69,70]. Moreover, most of the scientific literature indicates that in the case of the HPV vaccine, the parents indicate that their knowledge about the vaccine is limited [71-74]. This makes the informed-consent decision-making process to the HPV vaccine more challenging [71,75-77]. The studies show that people often make decisions about HPV vaccination without having sufficient knowledge as required to make age-appropriate health decisions [74,77-82]. Furthermore, providing complete, comprehensive, and transparent information about HPV vaccination helps parents in making a decision about whether to vaccinate their children [66,67]. Parental decision making regarding the vaccination is dependent upon perceptions of the risks with respect to their children’s sexual activity [72,74,82,83]. Studies indicate that parents who perceived the risk of sexual activity among their children to be high were more willing to give their children the vaccination. In contrast, parents who perceived the risk of sexual activity among their children to be low because of their cultural or religious background were less willing to give their children the vaccine [72,73,75,79].

Human Papillomavirus Vaccine and Sexuality

The HPV vaccine is intended to protect the individual from contracting the papilloma virus, which is transmitted through sexual intercourse [19]. The Food and Drug Administration recommends vaccinating boys and girls against the virus during adolescence, before they become sexually active [84]. Research studies have raised parental concerns and fears regarding the vaccine from different population groups worldwide because of their belief that the vaccine legitimizes sexual activity and promotes sexual abuse [85-87]. Some of these concerns were derived from media coverage that represented giving the vaccine as an act that encourages sexual relations, sexual promiscuity, and sexual abuse [88,89]. Despite these parental concerns, several scientific research studies showed that the HPV vaccine has no impact on the sexual behavior of adolescents [90-93]. Nonetheless, the issue of sexual relations in the context of giving the vaccine increases sensitivity regarding the vaccine [94], particularly among conservative minority population groups such as the Arab minority in Israel [95,96].
Arab Population in Israel and the Context of Sexuality
Constituting around 20% of the general population, the Arab population in Israel is a minority with unique national, religious, linguistic, and cultural attributes. Relations between Arabs and Jews are mainly minority-majority relations. Israel must deal with the internal problems of minority groups for whom issues of justice and equality are central to their relations within the state [97].

The socioeconomic disparities between the Jews and the Arabs are the result of a historical-geographic reality in which most of the Arab population lives in the spatial periphery, thus limiting their access to the country's main labor markets and services. These disparities find expression in unequal allocation of resources for economic development and infrastructure in the local authorities and unequal employment opportunities for Arabs [97].

In addition, the culture of Arab society differs from that of Jewish society. These cultural differences are related to language, religion, nationality, cultural heritage, family structure, values, and primary lifestyles. Traditionalism dominates Arab society, in that the religion is a central component of cultural life (religious self-definition and prayer frequency). Moreover, the Arab population still lives within the framework of a conservative family and community and is characterized by less openness, autonomy, development of personal inclinations, freedom, and the like. This is not the case among the predominantly secular Jewish population, which espouses individualism, competitiveness, achievements, ambitions, career development, materialism, and consumerism [97].

Even though the Arabs in Israel usually live in separate localities marked by lower educational and socioeconomic levels, their exposure to Jewish society is high, which has led to social, cultural, and economic processes of change that have made Arab society more modern. This modernization is characterized by a gradual shift from a collectivist to an individualist orientation, manifested in a rise in the age at first marriage, a drop in the number of children, an increase in the educational level, use of preventive health services, and more years of education among women than among men [98].

Yet, Arab culture is still conservative regarding sexuality and sees sexual relations before marriage as improper and prohibited. Indeed, in most Arab communities, such relations are taboo [99-101]. One of the typical barriers preventing parents from the Arab minority in Western countries from vaccinating their daughters against the papilloma virus is their concern of encouraging unlimited sexual behavior and sexual promiscuity [102-104].

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined the topic of CS in explanatory materials geared at promoting the administration of HPV vaccine. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the following question: How is CS articulated in the explanatory informational materials about the HPV vaccine posted on the websites of Israeli health authorities?

To investigate how CS is articulated in the explanatory informational materials about the HPV vaccine posted on the websites of the Israeli health authorities, we examined 3 specific questions:
1. How are the surface and deep dimensions of CS from Resnicow’s model articulated in the explanatory informational materials posted in both languages (Hebrew and Arabic)?
2. Are the surface and deep dimensions of CS articulated differently in materials intended for the Jewish population than in those intended for the Arab population?
3. Is there a difference between the materials written in Arabic and those written in Hebrew with respect to transparency in transmitting complete information?

Methods

Study Sample
The research method entailed quantitative and qualitative content analysis of the texts of explanatory informational materials published on the Arabic and Hebrew websites of the Israel Ministry of Health and the Clalit HMO.

The research was conducted under the approval of the Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences Ethics Committee for research with human subjects at the University of Haifa (approval no.118/16). The researchers analyzed these materials for 6 months (October 2017-March 2018).

The sample comprised 18 instances of explanatory materials published on the Hebrew and Arabic websites of the Clalit HMO and the Ministry of Health and updated after the vaccine was included in the basket of health services (after 2012). These instances had identical content and headings in Hebrew and in Arabic (9 in each language).

Excluded from the study were materials published on sites that did not have an Arabic website, websites that had not been updated after the vaccine was included in the Israeli basket of health services (2012) and those that had original materials from the WHO that were not translated into Hebrew or Arabic and were omitted because of the Arab population's low level of literacy in English [105].

The rationale behind selecting all the explanatory informational materials for the HPV vaccine after it was included in the medical services basket is as follows. Although the vaccine is free and available in school settings, parents are still aware of the scientific controversy surrounding the vaccine’s efficacy and effectiveness as publicized in the media. Therefore, they still seek information about the vaccine, its efficacy, and its safety in deciding whether to vaccinate their children [33].

The following health authority websites and the HPV vaccine materials were retrieved:
1. Ministry of Health: Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine, Information Sheet Before and After Administering HPV Vaccine, HPV Vaccine for Eighth Grade Girls, HPV Vaccine for Eighth Grade Boys, Vaccine to Protect Against Cervical Cancer Caused by Papilloma Virus, PowerPoint Presentation about HPV Vaccine.

The following keywords were examined: cervical cancer, vaccine against cervical cancer, vaccine against the human papilloma virus, human papilloma virus, condyloma, and genital warts.

It is important to clarify that these sites address most of the Jews and Arabs living in Israel. Most of the Jewish population is secular and, therefore, tends toward more liberal views, except for the conservative ultraorthodox Jews who are in the minority [106]. Similarly, the majority of the Arabs in Israel are Muslim (97% of the Arabs in Israel), who are indeed defined as conservative [107].

Analysis

The explanatory materials were analyzed using 2 parallel methods: quantitative content analysis based on index-coding criteria and qualitative content analysis to interpret and explain the index score.

Cultural Sensitivity Index Coding

Quantitative content analysis is based on coding. According to this method, the analysis begins by identifying relevant concepts based on a theory, model, or previous studies that form the basis of the index-coding scheme. The next step is to determine the index-coding scheme by developing classification rules to assign coding units to specific categories or concepts. The coding guide ensures systematic encoding and allows data recovery. In addition to the coding guide, a coding form is also used to record the details of the codes that apply to the data during the coding process [108].

Building the Cultural Sensitivity Index

On the basis of Resnicow's theoretical definitions of 2 dimensions, deep dimensions and surface dimensions [15], we constructed an index of appropriate categories that served in analyzing the CS of the explanatory materials intended for the Jewish and Arab populations in Israel.

The index was constructed using 2 dimensions: deep and surface dimensions. For each of these dimensions, we designed nominal categorical indicators that can take 1 of 2 values: yes/no (see Textboxes 1 and 2). If the explanatory materials do not provide full and transparent information with respect to a specific indicator—whether for surface dimensions or for deep dimensions—the indicator is scored as no. Conversely, if the explanatory materials provide full and transparent information, the indicator is scored as yes.

Textbox 1. Surface dimension index: Used to analyze the explanatory health informational materials of the human papillomavirus vaccine intended for the Arab and Jewish population in Israel with nominal categorical indicators (yes/no).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface dimension categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the target population mentioned in the title or the content, either directly or indirectly?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials use people who are appropriate for, familiar to, and acceptable to the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials use the mother tongue of the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the materials formulated in a manner appropriate to the literacy level of the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials explain the relevance of cervical cancer to the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials explain the effectiveness of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine against cervical cancer in the target population (safety, immunity, etc)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials explain the implications of the HPV vaccine against cervical cancer in the target population (side effects, complications, etc)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are appropriate media channels used that are familiar to the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the materials distributed in places most suitable for the target population (churches, schools, medical clinics versus websites)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials use a reliable communicator who is appropriate for the target population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the organization that transmitted the information from the same ethnic group (Arab/Jewish population)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Textbox 2. Deep dimension index: Used to analyze the explanatory health informational materials of the human papillomavirus vaccine intended for the Arab and Jewish population in Israel with nominal categorical indicators (yes/no).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deep dimension categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials make reference to the moral concerns of the target population regarding the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine (increased sexual relations, encouraging promiscuity)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials make reference to the cultural concepts regarding cervical cancer and the HPV vaccine (preference for women practitioners for Pap smears or other gynecological exams)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials make reference to social concepts regarding cervical cancer and the HPV vaccine (cervical cancer is a female disease, reasons for giving the vaccine to males)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials refer to environmental concepts (influence of the Jewish/Arab population, socioeconomic status)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the materials make reference to value concepts (religion, fatalism, and sexual relations before marriage)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cultural Sensitivity Index Scores

The specific dimension score (%) was calculated by the ratio between the number of yes answers on each specific measure and the total indicators for each dimension. For example, to calculate the score for the surface dimension, the number of yes answers is divided by 11 (the total number of surface dimension indicators).

To calculate the score for the deep dimension, the number of yes answers is divided by 5 (the total number of deep dimension indicators).

The overall CS index score (%) is calculated by the average sum of the surface dimension and the deep dimension score (CS=1/2 × surface dimension score + deep dimension score).

The index yields 2 scores: a specific index score and a general score. These 2 scores facilitate direct comparison of the explanatory materials in terms of CS scores and diagnosis of level of cultural appropriateness of materials targeting the Arab and Jewish populations. A score greater than 50% is considered an index of culturally sensitive materials, whereas a score less than 50% was considered an index of materials that are not culturally sensitive to the Arab or Jewish populations in Israel.

For the purpose of statistical comparison of CS between the materials written in Hebrew and those written in Arabic, each type of material underwent a separate chi-square test of independence. In addition, the chi-square test of independence was conducted to compare between the CS of the materials written in the 2 languages.

Qualitative Content Analysis

The second analysis method entailed qualitative content analysis [109,110], which focuses on the language characteristics and the content or contextual meaning of the text and provides knowledge and understanding of the phenomenon under study.

Qualitative content analysis relies on deciding what is going to be analyzed, forming the dataset to be collected, defining the unit or theme of analysis, classifying the content according to ideas that can be words or sentences, developing categories and creating subcategories, linking the interpretations with the basic theories of the study, defining categories with examples, drawing inferences on the basis of themes, and presenting the results [109,110].

In presenting the results related to each theme, we supported these ideas with typical secondary quotes that appeared frequently in most of the explanatory materials analyzed by the quantitative coding index.

In this study, qualitative content analysis is intended to explain and reinforce the researchers’ coding and to interpret the absence of indicators in the quantitative CS index.

Moreover, this method is designed to view the subjective interpretation of the content through a systematic classification process of identifying themes and categories that may affect or intensify the issue of CS.

The content analysis was based on comparison with the scientific literature on cervical cancer and the HPV vaccine. In addition, the materials were analyzed with reference to concepts from the field of health and risk communication: CS [12,15] and transparency in communicating texts about health issues [111].

Reliability and Validity

This study is based on a variety of methods used to analyze rhetorical and aesthetic elements and scientific facts. The use of a combination of several research methods increases the reliability and validity of the findings [112-115] The CS index constructed by the researchers was based on Resnicow’s model of CS [12,15], a valid theoretical model. The researchers, among them experts in health communication and CS worked together to investigate the cultural attributes of the Arab Jewish populations in Israel in the context of the HPV vaccine, adapt these attributes to the index, define the dimensions, and formulate the questions. The researchers are able to read and analyze texts written in Hebrew and in Arabic. One of the researchers is a member of the Arab minority group, Arabic is her native language, and she can distinguish cultural uses of the language. The coders performed their coding separately, then compared their coding and found it to be almost identical. The third researcher reviewed all the findings after coding and gave his analysis of CS. Coding that was not equivalent was resolved through discussions between the research team members. A high level of interrater reliability (98%) emerged. An intercoder agreement coefficient exceeding 0.7 suggests an acceptable level of reliability [116].

Furthermore, Scott’s pi indicator was calculated by the reliability calculation for the masses, to reinforce the indicator of intercoder reliability. Scott’s pi value was 0.9685, thus ensuring valid internal coding reliability [117].

In the qualitative analysis of the texts, the researchers reviewed materials posted on the websites of the Ministry of Health and the Clalit HMO. Together, they determined the inclusion criteria and decided which materials would be included in the research. The major categories and themes emerging from the web-based explanatory materials were discussed and agreed upon [109,110].

Results

Cultural Sensitivity Index Implementation

This section describes the CS index implementation scores for the HPV vaccine materials published in Arabic and Hebrew on the websites of the Ministry of Health and the Clalit HMO. Implementation of the index included coding the nominal categorical indicators of each dimensions (surface and deep) with 1 of 2 values: yes/no (Multimedia Appendix 1) and calculating 2 scores: specific scores for the deep and surface dimensions and an overall CS index score (Multimedia Appendix 2).

Surface Dimension–Specific Score

In most (78%) of the Arabic explanatory materials assessed, the surface dimension score was lower than 50%. Surface dimension score was higher than 50% for only 2 out of the 9 materials assessed. Conversely, surface dimension score was
equal to or higher than 50% for all the materials (100%) written in Hebrew, starting from 73% up to 91% in some cases (33%).

The majority of the Arabic and Hebrew materials included some surface dimension indicators, such as mentioning the target audience (Arab population), use of suitable people (photos of Arab physicians recommending and explaining about the vaccine), language appropriate to the target audience (Arabic), compatibility with the literacy level (minimal use of scientific terminology), and presentation of the effectiveness and advantages of the recommended behavior (uptake of HPV vaccine).

Furthermore, most of the Arabic materials did not refer to the indicators of appropriate media channels (Arab-language television and radio stations or local websites targeting the Arab population), appropriate communicators (key Arab health and medical personnel), places suitable for transmitting the message (mosques, churches, and schools), and organizations acceptable to the minority target audience (educational origins and the Child and Family Care centers). In contrast, as mentioned, these indicators appeared in materials written in Hebrew, resulting in a surface dimension score equal to or higher than 70%. Moreover, most of the materials written in both languages, Hebrew and Arabic, did not address all the implications of vaccine uptake or the relevance of the vaccine or cervical cancer to both populations.

A chi-square test of independence of the surface dimensions reveals significant interaction between the explanatory materials written in Arabic and those written in Hebrew with respect to the following items: HPV vaccine, HPV vaccine for eighth-grade girls, vaccine to protect against cervical cancer caused by the papilloma virus, and a PowerPoint presentation about HPV vaccine.

Deep Dimension–Specific Score

All the Arabic explanatory materials assessed scored below 50% on the deep dimension. Most of the materials did not refer to the social or normative values or to the environmental or cultural-ethical indicators.

Most of the materials that scored 20% on the deep dimension included 1 deep dimension indicator: either normative or social indicators. The normative indicator referred to Arab women’s preference for female gynecologists, particularly for Pap smear. The social indicator referred to providing explanations for giving the vaccine to males. These indicators were also referenced in some of the Hebrew informational materials.

Moreover, it is important to note that sexuality is related to the HPV vaccine even though the topics of sexuality and sexual discourse are known to be taboo among the Arab population. The data show that the Arabic explanatory materials made no reference whatsoever to the population’s moral concerns regarding sexual relations and promiscuity or to values and perceptions related to sexual relations before marriage.

In contrast, these indicators were referred to and mentioned in Hebrew explanatory materials, resulting in a deep dimension score exceeding 50% in most (78%) cases. Environmental factors, such as the impact of the sexual behavior of the nation’s majority population on the sexual concepts of the minority population, were absent from the informational materials in both languages.

A chi-square test of independence of the deep dimensions revealed significant interaction between the explanatory materials written in Arabic and those written in Hebrew with respect to the following items: information sheet before and after administering HPV vaccine, HPV vaccine, HPV vaccine for eighth-grade boys, and vaccine to protect against cervical cancer caused by the papilloma virus.

Overall Cultural Sensitivity Index Score

The overall CS index score calculated for all the explanatory materials targeting the Arab minority points to a low level of CS, in contrast to the CS expressed in materials targeting the Jewish population. The low score reflects only a partial and superficial degree of CS and refers only to a minor portion of CS indicators. The overall CS index score based on the surface indicators, among them using Arabic, mentioning the Arab population, using Arab figures, and referring to the population’s low level of literacy, was higher than the score for the deep dimension indicators. The overall CS index score for deep dimension indicators included at most 1 deep indicator, without any reference to normative, social, cultural, traditional, and ethical indicators of the Arab minority population in Israel. Related issues affecting the decision-making process of this population regarding vaccines in general were not addressed, and reference to the issue of sexuality associated with the HPV vaccine was notably absent.

For the overall CS index score, a chi-square test of independence reveals significant interaction between the materials written in Arabic and those written in Hebrew on all the items except for HPV vaccine.

Moreover, a chi-square test of independence between CS and language (Hebrew vs Arabic) for all the explanatory materials revealed significant interaction on all the dimensions: deep dimensions, surface dimensions, and overall CS index score.

Qualitative Content Analysis of the Explanatory Materials on the Topic of the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine

The findings of the content analysis are presented in the form of a comparison between information given in the explanatory materials and information in the scientific literature. The findings reveal 2 main themes: (1) CS and the absence of any reference to the connection between sexuality and the HPV vaccine in the materials written in Arabic targeting the Arab minority, in contrast to those written in the Hebrew and (2) a lack of transparency in transmitting the information in both languages.

Cultural Sensitivity and the Absence of Reference to Connection Between Sexuality and Human Papillomavirus Vaccine in Explanatory Materials Targeting the Minority Population

The informational materials written in Arabic referred to only 2 indicators of deep CS: a normative indicator, referring to...
women’s preference for female gynecologists, particularly for Pap smear, and a social indicator explaining why a female vaccine is administered to males. The following main themes emerged: (1) women prefer female physicians to carry out tests, (2) the HPV vaccine prevents cancer among males, and (3) the HPV vaccine does not legitimize sexuality.

Women’s Preference for Female Physicians to Carry Out Tests

Women in the traditional conservative Arab society prefer not to be exposed to male doctors and would rather be examined by female physicians, especially in cases of infertility and gynecology that entail a variety of invasive tests [118]. The findings indicate that the explanatory informational materials referred to this normative issue by informing women they can ask for a female gynecologist to perform the Pap smear:

If you want to do the Pap smear, go to a female gynecologist in the clinic nearest to your home. [Clalit HMO, Arabic]

The Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Prevents Cancer Among Males

The scientific literature indicates that some males are at high risk for developing various types of cancer because of infection by the papilloma virus, for example, anal cancer and other types of cancer more prevalent among men who have sex with men [119-121]. Men and women who are infected with HIV or other diseases marked by suppressed immune systems are also at increased risk of developing cancer related to the papilloma virus [122]. Yet, the explanatory materials did not specify a particular population of males at risk but rather explained why the vaccine is given to males in the context of protection against cancer:

The papilloma virus is liable to cause diseases (warts and cancerous growths) in men as well. Vaccinating men achieves two objectives: protecting them from diseases and protecting their sexual partners. [Clalit HMO, Arabic]

The vaccine, now included in the basket of health services, is intended for women as well as for men and provides protection against cancer of the penis, anal cancer, vaginal cancer and throat cancer. [Clalit HMO, Arabic]

Moreover, as mentioned above, the results show that the explanatory materials made no reference whatsoever to the connection between sexuality and the HPV vaccine. The Arabic explanatory materials described the vaccine against the papilloma virus as a vaccine that prevents cervical cancer and other types of cancer among males and females without any mention of the fact that the virus is transmitted only through sexual relations:

Why are boys vaccinated? For the same reason that girls are vaccinated: to protect girls and boys from cancerous diseases and from genital warts caused by the virus and to prevent passing the virus from one person to another [Ministry of Health, Hebrew, Arabic]

The Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Does Not Legitimize Sexuality

The transmission of HPV by means of sexual contact aroused controversy regarding the vaccine. Many parents expressed concerns that the vaccine would raise the likelihood of sexual activity or would promote promiscuity [85-87]. The findings show that only the explanatory materials published in Hebrew refer to these concerns regarding sexuality among adolescents in the context of the vaccine. Most of the current materials explain that there is no relationship between the vaccine and adolescent sexual activity and that the vaccine is intended to promote sexual health among adolescents before they become sexually active:

The risk of uncontrolled sexual activity among young girls who receive the HPV vaccine is no greater than among young girls or women who do not receive the vaccine. [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

Research studies show that the vaccine does not encourage earlier commencement of sexual activity. [Ministry of Health, Hebrew]

Cultural Sensitivity and Lack of Transparency Regarding the Controversy Surrounding the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine

The findings show that the explanatory informational materials written in both languages, Hebrew and Arabic, state that the HPV vaccine is totally safe and effective but make no reference to a variety of other factors affecting safety and effectiveness. Moreover, the findings show that the explanatory informational materials attempt to depict cervical cancer as a serious disease that endangers public health, whereas the HPV vaccine is portrayed as the ultimate solution for cervical cancer without any suggested alternatives.

The following main themes emerged: (1) total effectiveness of the HPV vaccine, (2) absolute safety and minor side effects of the HPV vaccine, (3) the HPV vaccine defeats cervical cancer, (4) there is no suitable alternative for the vaccine, (5) cervical cancer is quite prevalent, and (6) the papilloma virus definitely causes cervical cancer.

Total Effectiveness of the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine

Numerous clinical trials have proven the effectiveness of the HPV vaccine (87%-100%) [34,35,123]. Nevertheless, the literature still contains studies pointing to a variety of factors that can affect vaccine effectiveness, such as age, type of virus strain, and the individual’s immune system [40-44]. Analysis of the explanatory materials from the Ministry of Health and Clalit HMO websites indicates that none refers to these factors and all report that the HPV vaccine is “extraordinarily effective”:

The Gardasil vaccine is 100% effective in preventing cervical cancer and in preventing genital warts caused by the papilloma virus. In addition, the vaccine is 99% effective in preventing precancerous lesions caused by four strains of the papilloma virus (6, 11, 16 and 18). [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

Evidence from 20 research studies in nine countries: The HPV vaccine provides 100% protection from
infection by the strains covered by the vaccine. The vaccine reduced the rate of virus infection among girls aged 14-19 by 64%. The vaccine reduced the rate of genital warts among boys aged 14-19 by 31%.

[Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

**Absolute Safety and Minor Side Effects of the Human Papillomavirus Vaccine**

Numerous studies have pointed to a spectrum of side effects of the HPV vaccine, ranging from common mild vaccine effects such as pain at the injection site [46] to more severe effects such as chronic pain syndrome and chronic fatigue [59]; Guillain-Barré syndrome, spinal cord inflammation, and venous blood clots [55]; autoimmune diseases such as ovarian failure [56-58]; and postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome with chronic pain [59].

The web-based explanatory materials noted mild side effects that are not critical or severe and that pass within a short period of time as well as a limited number of more serious side effects that were described as rare. The following quotations describe the vaccine’s mild side effects:

*The vaccine can be accompanied by side effects that pass quickly... redness, pain and swelling at the injection site... fever, general sick feeling, muscle and joint pains, and digestive disturbances.* [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

*Local side effects are redness, pain and swelling at the injection site. General effects include fainting, dizziness, nausea, headaches, fever. Diarrhea and vomiting are also possible.* [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

In the following quotations, more serious side effects are described as rare. For example, *anaphylaxis* is described as follows:

*Infrequently, a rapid and severe allergic reaction known as anaphylaxis is liable to occur. This reaction is characterized by shortness of breath, overall itching or rash, drop in blood pressure, rapid pulse, dizziness, abdominal pain, or vomiting and diarrhea... This reaction is rare and comes on quickly, which is the reason for the 15-minute wait in the clinic after the injection. If necessary, the clinic is equipped with an effective treatment kit for emergency treatment.* [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

Moreover, complex regional pain syndrome and chronic fatigue syndrome are depicted as effects that are unrelated to the HPV vaccine:

*No causal relation has been found between the HPV vaccine and these syndromes. The rate of these syndromes is 150 in every 1,000,000 girls aged 10-19 and was no higher among girls and women who received the vaccine than among those that did not.* [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

**The Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Defeats Cervical Cancer**

There is research evidence for skepticism regarding the long-term preventive effectiveness of the HPV vaccine [124]. Yet despite this, all the explanatory materials portrayed the vaccine as an effective defense that defeats the silent illness and helps prevent it. For example:

*The papilloma vaccine: the effective and safe way to prevent cancer.* [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

*The HPV vaccine protects against cervical cancer and also against genital warts.* [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

**There Is No Suitable Alternative for the Vaccine**

Many research studies pointed to ambiguous and vague reports regarding alternatives for the HPV vaccine and raised concerns regarding the effectiveness of these alternatives in preventing cervical cancer, including Pap smears [94] and condoms [125]. The findings of this study also indicate that most of the explanatory materials portray the HPV vaccine as the “exclusive preventive measure” against cervical cancer caused by the virus, with no reference to any alternatives. For example, the materials raise doubts about the effectiveness of condom use:

*Condoms do not prevent infection with this disease. Sometimes the virus is found in warts on the genitals, so that infection can be caused by direct contact with the skin containing warts or Condyloma.* [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

*Using condoms during sexual relations can help prevent infection with the papilloma virus. But because condoms do not cover the entire genital area and are usually put on after sexual contact has begun, they do not constitute a guarantee against HPV infection.* [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

In addition, despite the need for ongoing Pap smear after receiving the vaccine [25,126,127] and despite the typical effectiveness of the Pap smear in reducing cervical cancer morbidity worldwide [128,129], the explanatory materials played down this information as follows:

*Pap smear reveal precancerous cervical lesions, not to prevent the disease.* [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

**Cervical Cancer Is Quite Prevalent**

The incidence and mortality rates for cervical cancer vary significantly in different countries worldwide, with the highest rates of incidence and mortality specific to third-world countries [130]. The findings show that the explanatory materials describe the morbidity and mortality rates in vague terms and not specific to any particular country or region. Thus, the high incidence rates shown are more relevant to developing nations and not to developed countries such as Israel [130,131] or other Western countries where cervical cancer is practically nonexistent [132]. It is important to emphasize that the prevalence of cervical cancer in Israel is lower than that in other Western countries [133,134], a fact that most of the explanatory materials do not mention. Indeed, the materials describe cervical cancer as a “common threat,” as in the following quotations:

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13373/
Cervical cancer kills 290,000 women worldwide. [Clalit HMO, Arabic]

According to the World Health Organization, around 300 million people worldwide are infected with HPV each year, 490,000 women contract cervical cancer and around 230,000 die from cervical cancer each year. [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

The explanatory materials also provide specific figures for cervical cancer in Israel. Yet, most provide morbidity rates that have not been updated for many years:

Between 1990 and 2004, 150 new cases of cervical cancer were diagnosed in Israel each year. Sixty women in Israel die of the disease each year. [Clalit HMO, Hebrew]

Around 180 women are diagnosed each year in Israel. Every year around 80 women die of cervical cancer. [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

The Papilloma Virus Definitely Causes Cervical Cancer

The scientific literature indicates that infection with the papilloma virus does not indubitably cause cancer of the cervix. Studies have shown that some papilloma virus infections go away on their own within a period of a year or more without any long-term consequences. Chronic HPV infections raise the chances of developing cervical cancer, though only a small portion of these chronic infections ultimately develop into cancer [135-137]. The study’s findings show that most of the explanatory materials of all the health authorities depicted infection with the papilloma virus as the main and primary factor that promotes the immediate and certain development of cervical cancer. For example:

99.7% of all cases of cervical cancer are related to HPV… The papilloma virus is responsible for 90% of cases of anal cancer in men and women, 70% of cases of cancers of the mouth and throat, 70% of vaginal and genital cancers, and 50% of cases of cancer of the penis. [Ministry of Health, Hebrew/Arabic]

Discussion

The research findings related to the web-based explanatory materials that were designed to promote the use of HPV vaccine as well as those that appealed to 2 population groups: Arabs and Jews. The analysis of CS in the explanatory materials is based on the definitions of the surface and deep dimensions proposed by Resnicow et al [12,15].

A comparison between the explanatory informational materials targeting the Jewish population and those targeting the Arab population reveals differences in the expression of CS dimensions. With respect to surface dimension, the results of the study reveal more CS in the explanatory materials targeting the Jewish population. Informational materials written in Hebrew are more culturally sensitive to the target population based on the following indicators: (1) appropriate media channel—the websites of the examined health authorities are considered an appropriate media channel for the Jewish population because the Jewish population has greater access to the internet than the Arab population [138,139], (2) place and organizations suitable for transmitting messages—the Ministry of Health and the Clalit HMO are regarded as suitable because they deliver information in the target audience’s language [140], and (3) reliable suitable communicators—the Jewish population places more trust in the Ministry of Health than the Arab population [141]. In contrast, the findings show that the explanatory materials written in Arabic demonstrated only partial CS to the Arab minority, mainly with respect to the use of persons and language appropriate for this population. These findings are in line with those of other studies that examined CS in materials intended to influence other health behaviors among other minority populations in Western countries [142,143].

In addition, the informational materials written in the different languages treated the literacy level in their target audiences differently. Resnicow contends that culturally adapting materials to a specific population group requires taking into consideration that group’s ability to search for, read, and understand information [12,15]. The level of health literacy is known to be related to many factors, among them education, socioeconomic status, marital status, and others [144,145]. Nevertheless, every population group, even those where the literacy level is not high, can make a decision if the informational materials are transmitted in a transparent and culturally sensitive manner [15].

This study found that the lack of consideration of the literacy level of the Arab population (which is lower than that of the Jewish population [146]) can block the population from obtaining, understanding, and using information, thus having a negative impact on the decision-making process regarding HPV vaccination.

With respect to the deep dimension, a limited portion of the explanatory materials written in Arabic made reference to the deep dimensions of the cultural attributes of the Arab population, such as the preference for female gynecologists in performing Pap smears [118] and the explanations for giving males a vaccine intended to prevent cervical cancer [147,148].

One interesting finding is that all the materials written in Hebrew refer to the sexual context associated with the HPV vaccine, representing high deep CS. Nevertheless, the lack of references in the materials targeting the Arab population to the socially and culturally sensitive topic of the connection between engaging in sexual relations and giving the HPV vaccine is paradoxical. The topic of sexuality is seemingly considered taboo in conservative Arab society [100]. Arab society worldwide [99,101] and in Israel [149] does not consider it legitimate either for men or for women to engage in sexual relations before marriage. In patriarchal societies, it is not acceptable for women to have sexual aspirations or desires before marriage [150,151]. Hence, the designers of the explanatory materials targeting women positioned the vaccine in the context of cancer, without mentioning sexual relations [152,153]. Similarly, the appeal to men in these materials was made in the context of protection against various forms of cancer, without reference to any sexual context. It is reasonable to assume that the designers of the explanatory materials chose to disregard the sexual context to avoid undermining the taboo.
and to adapt the materials to the cultural and religious norms of the target population [154]. Hence, it can be argued that the explanatory materials demonstrated sensitivity to the culture of the target population.

Yet, conversely, based on analysis of the deep dimensions of the explanatory materials, it is possible to claim that this sensitivity is only superficial and that, in practice, no reference is made to the cultural attributes of the target population. According to the deep dimensions as defined in the model developed by Resnicow et al [12,15], such materials should refer to ethical considerations and to the concerns of the population regarding carrying out behavior that is not in keeping with their cultural and normative customs. On the basis of this definition, the explanatory materials designed for the Arab population should have referred to the ethical concerns of this conservative population regarding the vaccine and its implications with respect to encouraging sexual relations and sexual promiscuity before marriage [102,155,156]. As noted above, this was missing from the materials in practice. This may accentuate the lack of transparency in the transmission of full information about the deep dimension indicators.

This unique finding of this study can explain the high rate of HPV vaccination compliance among the Arab minority population, a rate that is more than double the rate among the Jewish population [157]. One reason for this discrepancy may be related to the lack of CS in the informational materials. Lack of information about the relationship between sexuality and vaccination and the absence of references to moral concerns about sexual behavior following vaccination result in an uninformed and unwise decision-making process. Hence, the Arab population remains unaware that the HPV virus is transmitted through sexual relations, a fact that is liable to lower their compliance rate [72,73,75,79].

Moreover, the textual analysis in this study indicates that the texts are not fully transparent regarding the surface dimensions of the CS index in the context of the vaccine’s effectiveness and safety or the relevance of cervical cancer to the target population. Providing transparent health information regarding the HPV vaccine, including a comprehensive description of the scientific controversy surrounding the vaccine’s safety and effectiveness [50,127,158,159] and figures showing the low prevalence of the disease in Israel relative to the rest of the world [131,133], would enable each individual to make an independent and intelligent decision based on informed consent. Furthermore, transparent health information about cervical cancer, the relevance of this illness to the population, and the vaccine’s effectiveness is likely to reduce individuals’ perceived risk of developing cervical cancer and decrease their intentions to obtain the HPV vaccine [160].

This finding may be another reason for the high compliance rate among the Arab population that is related to the lack of transparency regarding the scientific controversy surrounding the vaccine. The fact that the Jewish population is more exposed to the scientific controversy surrounding the vaccine’s side effects through social networking discussions and searching scientific articles [161] can explain the compliance gap between the 2 population groups.

The current literature on risk communication clearly shows that when the media and the health authorities do not provide complete and transparent information in response to the public’s fears and moral concerns, this can have a boomerang effect [33,162], leading the public to doubt the information’s reliability or to suspect that the authorities are hiding information. Moreover, in the age of new media, the public is active and exposed to a great deal of information, underscores the importance of CS, transparency, and providing full information. If the public does not receive what it seeks from the official authorities, it will turn to other sources of information.

Therefore, the criterion of transparency is not only a criterion for high level health communication but also a criterion used in this paper to reveal the lack of full CS in promoting the HPV vaccine among the conservative Arab population. Hence, to achieve full CS, it is important to treat the target audience with respect and to provide them with all the information relevant to the vaccine to enable them to make culturally appropriate decisions [163,164].

Limitations

This study examined web-based explanatory materials published on the websites of official health authorities in Israel. The research did not include flyers, pamphlets, posters, or information brochures that might be distributed in health clinics or other health institutions. Nevertheless, today, the HPV vaccine is not given in clinics or other health institutions because it is part of the routine school vaccine program. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the study included most of the existing informational materials.

As stated, the study included only the informational materials appearing on websites. Considering the 70% internet access rate of the Israeli population in general and the 50% access rate of the Arab population in particular, it is possible that not all of the population was exposed to these materials. However, it is reasonable to assume that if the public needs information about the HPV vaccine or any other health information, it prioritizes the official health authorities’ websites.

Moreover, this study was based mainly on qualitative and quantitative content analysis of explanatory materials targeting different population groups in Israel. The research did not consider how these materials were received and accepted by the public, that is, it did not assess their effectiveness among the public. Future research on audience analysis is recommended to examine how the public receives the explanatory materials. In addition, for the deep dimensions, the number of indicators was quite small (5 indicators). Therefore, drawing statistical conclusions was problematic in the comparison between materials written in Hebrew and those written in Arabic.

The research focused on official health authorities’ informational materials and did not generalize to the materials of other countries. Other studies might be comparative and study the transfer of information between different countries.

Conclusions

The public health authorities have 2 main objectives in the context of vaccinations. One is to raise the vaccination rates
and the other is to provide full and culturally sensitive information to give the public the tools to make intelligent decisions. The findings of this study indicated that despite the high uptake rate for HPV vaccination in the Arab population, the health authorities did not exercise full transparency and CS in transmitting the association between engaging in sexual relations and necessity of the vaccination. Thus, the major challenge for the health authorities is to find ways to implement the objective of communicating information about the vaccination in a way that is transparent and culturally sensitive, even if this raises questions and fears among the public deriving from their culture.

Specifically, explanatory health materials in general and those promoting the HPV vaccine in particular must provide all the relevant information available in the literature today in a manner that is comprehensive, detailed, culturally sensitive, and based on scientific evidence to enable each individual to make an independent and intelligent decision based on informed consent.

The main recommendation of this study is to make CS the first priority in designing explanatory materials targeting minority population groups. Referring to the cultural attributes of ethnic minority groups in such explanatory materials is important for empowering the population and stimulating open and appropriate discourse.

In addition, because the media have the power to influence a person’s health views and behaviors, the research recommends using media strategies that implement transparency in providing complete information.
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HMO: health maintenance organization
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Abstract

Background: The secondary use of health data is central to biomedical research in the era of data science and precision medicine. National and international initiatives, such as the Global Open Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable (GO FAIR) initiative, are supporting this approach in different ways (eg, making the sharing of research data mandatory or improving the legal and ethical frameworks). Preserving patients' privacy is crucial in this context. De-identification and anonymization are the two most common terms used to refer to the technical approaches that protect privacy and facilitate the secondary use of health data. However, it is difficult to find a consensus on the definitions of the concepts or on the reliability of the techniques used to apply them. A comprehensive review is needed to better understand the domain, its capabilities, its challenges, and the ratio of risk between the data subjects’ privacy on one side, and the benefit of scientific advances on the other.

Objective: This work aims at better understanding how the research community comprehends and defines the concepts of de-identification and anonymization. A rich overview should also provide insights into the use and reliability of the methods. Six aspects will be studied: (1) terminology and definitions, (2) backgrounds and places of work of the researchers, (3) reasons for anonymizing or de-identifying health data, (4) limitations of the techniques, (5) legal and ethical aspects, and (6) recommendations of the researchers.

Methods: Based on a scoping review protocol designed a priori, MEDLINE was searched for publications discussing de-identification or anonymization and published between 2007 and 2017. The search was restricted to MEDLINE to focus on the life sciences community. The screening process was performed by two reviewers independently.

Results: After searching 7972 records that matched at least one search term, 135 publications were screened and 60 full-text articles were included. (1) Terminology: Definitions of the terms de-identification and anonymization were provided in less than half of the articles (29/60, 48%). When both terms were used (41/60, 68%), their meanings divided the authors into two equal groups (19/60, 32%, each) with opposed views. The remaining articles (3/60, 5%) were equivocal. (2) Backgrounds and locations: Research groups were based predominantly in North America (31/60, 52%) and in the European Union (22/60, 37%). The authors came from 19 different domains; computer science (91/248, 36.7%), biomedical informatics (47/248, 19.0%), and medicine (38/248, 15.3%) were the most prevalent ones. (3) Purpose: The main reason declared for applying these techniques is to facilitate biomedical research. (4) Limitations: Progress is made on specific techniques but, overall, limitations remain numerous. (5) Legal and ethical aspects: Differences exist between nations in the definitions, approaches, and legal practices. (6) Recommendations: The combination of organizational, legal, ethical, and technical approaches is necessary to protect health data.

Conclusions: Interest is growing for privacy-enhancing techniques in the life sciences community. This interest crosses scientific boundaries, involving primarily computer science, biomedical informatics, and medicine. The variability observed in the use of the terms de-identification and anonymization emphasizes the need for clearer definitions as well as for better education and dissemination of information on the subject. The same observation applies to the methods. Several legislations, such as the American Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the European General Data Protection Regulation.
(GDPR), regulate the domain. Using the definitions they provide could help address the variable use of these two concepts in the research community.

**KEYWORDS**

anonymization; anonymisation; de-identification; deidentification; pseudonymization; privacy; confidentiality; secondary use; data protection; scoping review

**Introduction**

**Background**

In 2003, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) released its final statement on sharing research data. The NIH made the provision of a data-sharing plan mandatory for any funding starting at US $500,000 per year [1]. This statement, among other published work [2-5], accelerated the sharing of research data worldwide in parallel to the growing availability of data and information technologies. In this context, the research community gained an unprecedented capacity to access and analyze large amounts of health data, originating partly from nonresearch sources. The use of medical data for a different purpose than the one it was initially collected for is commonly called “secondary use of medical data” [3]. This particular use of health data is subject to technical and semantic problems as well as legal, ethical, and societal concerns. To comply with the legal and ethical principles, researchers have two main options to access and use medical data for a secondary purpose [6]. One option is to gain patients’ consent specifically for the new purpose of their research. This is generally complicated and costly [7]. Alternatively, they can de-identify the data, since the law permits the disclosure of clinical information if it has been correctly de-identified [8]. Institutional review boards (IRBs) generally waive the need for consent in this situation [9]. The existence of the second option gives de-identification and anonymization a pivotal role in biomedical research. Consequently, the availability of reliable techniques to protect privacy becomes essential for the research community to leverage the secondary use of medical data [10].

Despite all efforts, an important gap still exists between the needs and the access to massive data in science. Large collaborative data-sharing projects are somehow below expectations and the research community is calling for improved open data and open science [11]. Some authors have proposed explanations as to why data sharing is more complicated in practice than in theory [3]. An article has considered the influence of policies and of our capacity to protect the data on our ability to share it [12]. Reviews have been published on the techniques and systems aiming at protecting health data privacy [13,14]; one has collected and studied the known re-identification attacks on health data [15], and another has looked specifically into the security and privacy issues related to electronic health records [16]. Various techniques aim at protecting the medical data subjects’ privacy. Those that do not strictly represent an anonymization or de-identification process are not part of the scope of this review. Cryptography, privacy-preserving record linkage [17], and differential privacy [18] are among these techniques.

Although advanced probabilistic privacy-enhancing methods have been studied and applied for over three decades in other areas [19], their application to medical data is a fairly recent interest for the biomedical research community. A striking example is the late introduction of data anonymization (2016) and other central concepts of health data privacy (eg, personally identifiable information) in the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) thesaurus of the US National Library of Medicine. Over the last few years, a great amount of expert literature was produced on anonymization and de-identification techniques for medical data. However, publications providing the readers with a broad understanding of these techniques, and addressing their application in life sciences and clinical research in a comprehensive way, are lacking. As a consequence, the fundamental concepts remain either unknown to the research community or difficult to comprehend. Adding to the confusion, a well-documented and long-standing ambiguity exists in the vocabulary used by those who contribute to the practice [20,21]. In particular, the terms de-identification and anonymization have been used with different meanings by researchers. De-identification is frequently, but not exclusively, used in the biomedical literature to refer to rule-based techniques. These techniques often apply the rules provided in the Safe Harbor method of the American legislation (ie, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA]). On the other hand, anonymization is commonly, but not exclusively, used in the biomedical literature to refer to statistical or probabilistic techniques. Turning to the legislations to clarify the meaning of these terms can bring further confusion. Although researchers tend to use two different terms—de-identification and anonymization—to refer to one approach or the other, the American law itself regards both approaches (ie, rule-based or probabilistic) as ways to achieve de-identification. The first follows the Safe Harbor method—§164.514(b)(2)—and the second follows the Expert Determination method—§164.514(b)(1). The European legislation (ie, the General Data Protection Regulation [GDPR]), on the other hand, does not use either of the terms.

A growing number of health care data breaches are being reported [22], some resulting directly from a failure to anonymize or de-identify the data properly [23]. In this context, it seems essential to review the literature published on this rapidly evolving domain to inform researchers, doctors, lawmakers, and the public about instruments that are becoming indispensable to researchers. This is true, especially since these instruments have bearing on subjects of paramount importance for the future of medical research, namely, data sharing, data privacy, and public trust in health care research and institutions.
Objectives
The aim of this work is to better understand how the life sciences research community defines, comprehends, and uses the concepts of de-identification and anonymization. Providing a broad perspective on the field, this review should also contextualize these concepts and their application in today’s biomedical research domain. To attain these goals, the reviewers identified six key aspects to study, which are presented in Textbox 1. These aspects are central to this work; they guided the data collection and they structured the Results section.

Textbox 1. Objectives: subjects of focus for this scoping review.
1. Vocabulary, definitions, and understandings of the terms anonymization and de-identification.
2. Authors’ backgrounds and places of work.
3. Reasons for anonymizing or de-identifying health data.
4. Limitations of anonymization and de-identification techniques.
5. Legal and ethical implications of the practice.

Methods
Overview
Scoping reviews represent an increasingly popular type of review [24], which allows for the mapping of concepts in a field of interest. They are intended to study complex and overlapping domains, particularly when they have not been reviewed comprehensively before [25]. To conduct this work, the authors used the guidance proposed by the Joanna Briggs Institute for the conduct of scoping reviews [26].

The first step of the scoping review process was to perform a preliminary, nonsystematic survey of the literature regarding de-identification and anonymization. This survey identified the key concepts, the concerns, the challenges, and the gaps in the domain. This information was used to define the study’s objectives and to design the study protocol.

Article Identification and Selection
Search Strategy
Aiming to focus this work on the life sciences researchers’ community, the articles were sourced selectively from one database: MEDLINE [27]. To maximize the sensitivity and specificity of the search query, several strategies were tested and implemented. The terms “de-identification” and “anonymization”; their lexical variants (eg, “de-identif*,” and “anonymi*”); and their spelling variants (eg, “deidentified” without hyphen and “anonymisation” in British English) were used. Alternative spellings were proven effective in a previous literature review on the same topic [28]. Numerous candidate terms were tested, here are some examples: “privacy protection,” “data protection,” “confidentiality,” “personal data,” “medical data,” “re-identification,” and “breaches.” None of these terms increased the sensitivity of the search compared to the terms “de-identification,” “anonymization,” and their variants. The same conclusion was reached regarding the use of the MeSH-controlled vocabulary. Finally, search-field descriptors—[ti] (Title) and [tiab] (Title/Abstract)—were used, and the terms were combined between themselves using Boolean operators. A full description of the search query is provided in the Results section.

Inclusion Criteria
This work analyses the literature published between November 1, 2007, and November 1, 2017. Only original research articles and review articles available in full text through the University of Geneva’s library network were considered. Additionally, publications had to meet at least one of the following three criteria to be included:
1. The subject of the article is the process of rendering medical data as less identifiable using computer techniques (ie, de-identification or anonymization).
2. The article focuses on sharing medical data; however, protecting the patients’ privacy using computer techniques is also discussed.
3. The article presents legal and ethical aspects of sharing medical data, and the concept of de-identification or anonymization is discussed.

Exclusion Criteria
The literature addressing certain data types was excluded: video recordings, photographic images, radiological images, and geolocation data. This decision was made on the basis of the information found during the preliminary literature survey [28,29] and was confirmed after discussions with experts. Short reports, posters, and editorials were also excluded.

Data Collection
Based on the list of six objectives (see Textbox 1), information categories were defined (see Table 1). Quantitative and qualitative data were collected from the articles. Quantitative information was extracted for certain categories and statistical analysis was performed on this data. Qualitative information was collected for the categories not suited to quantitative analysis. This second approach was nonetheless important, as it allowed us to bring together the views of some experts and to identify consensus or disagreements.
Table 1. Categories of information used to collect quantitative and qualitative data from the reviewed articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of data</th>
<th>Categories of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>• Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Year of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Author(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Authors’ backgrounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Authors’ places of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Presence of the terms “de-identification” and “anonymization”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Definitions of the terms “de-identification” and “anonymization”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Meanings given to the terms “de-identification” and “anonymization”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>• Purposes of de-identification and anonymization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limitations of the privacy-enhancing techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ethical or legal considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Suggestions and recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Data utility and information loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Data sharing in biomedical research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Types of data subjected to anonymization or de-identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public opinion on privacy-enhancing techniques and health data sharing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the backgrounds of the authors, points were attributed to domains (medicine, computer science, law, etc) according to each author’s professional affiliation and academic qualifications. Up to three authors were included per publication (ie, first, second, and last author), based on a previous research study, which showed that the most significant contributions were made by these authors [30]. All publications included in the review were considered. The information about the authors was collected manually from the articles, from the authors’ or organizations’ websites, and from other sources, such as Google Scholar, Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID), ResearchGate, etc.

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

The literature search retrieved 135 articles from the sizeable number of existing records—7972 after the removal of duplicates—containing at least one of the search terms used. The breakdown of the search query shows the number of records at each level (see Figure 1).

The search query identified 135 records in MEDLINE corresponding to the keyword search; the records were then manually screened according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) methodology (see Figure 2). Among them, 103 records were in the considered time frame. Three records were excluded because the full text could not be retrieved. An additional 40 articles were excluded based on the focus of the paper, the data type considered, or the publication type. During this process, five records raised questions about their potential eligibility. A third reviewer was involved to reach consensus.

Figure 1. Architecture and breakdown of the search query with the number of records at each level. [ti]: Title; [tiab]: Title/Abstract.
The process resulted in the inclusion of 60 articles; the list is available in Multimedia Appendix 1. The 60 articles came from 32 different scientific journals. Corrected for the five journals that did not have a registered impact factor in 2017, the average impact factor of the journals included in this review was 2.859, ranging from 9.504 for the highest to 0.304 for the lowest, with a median of 2.766. More than a third of the articles (23/60, 38%) were published after 2015 (see Table 2).

### Table 2. Characteristics of the 60 articles included in the review and of the journals where they were published.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Count (N=60), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year of publication</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>11 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>10 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>11 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>23 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific domains of the journals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomedical informatics</td>
<td>32 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health, methodology, and epidemiology</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioethics and law &amp; health policies</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine: biomedical sciences</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine: clinical</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vocabulary and Definitions

Half of the articles (29/60, 48%) provided a definition of de-identification or anonymization (see Table 3).

The attempts at defining the terms were rare, and the definitions often vague, inconsistent, or even contradictory (see Table 4). Referring to the HIPAA Safe Harbor method of de-identification, one article correctly recommended the removal of 18 types of protected health information (PHI) [13]. Another suggested the removal of 17 types of PHI [31]. Regarding the processing of the types of PHI, one article proposed to “hide” or “remove” them [13], while another suggested to “extract” or “replace” them with pseudonyms [32]. Concerning anonymization, the variability was similar. One article presented the process as the removal of the patients’ names [33]. Another considered it a much more radical alteration of the data, which would be virtually impossible to reverse [28].

Conflicting representations of de-identification and anonymization were uncovered (seeTextbox 2). In some articles, the terms are used interchangeably to refer to the same concept [34-37], while in others they outline strictly different processes [13,19,28,38].

The researchers’ representations of de-identification and anonymization, as similar or different concepts, were counted from the reviewed articles to determine whether or not there was a consensus among the experts. The results are presented in Table 5. The 38 authors who used both terms were evenly split between those who considered the two notions to be identical (19/60, 32%) and those who considered them to be different (19/60, 32%).

The 19 researchers who only used or discussed one concept in the core of their articles mentioned the second one in the keywords or title. From the reviewers’ perspective, this finding reinforces the idea that de-identification and anonymization are synonyms in many people’s minds.

### Table 3. Presence of definitions for the terms de-identification or anonymization in the reviewed articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms with definitions</th>
<th>Count (N=60), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De-identification</td>
<td>26 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymization</td>
<td>12 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>31 (52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Examples of attempts to define the terms de-identification or anonymization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De-identification</td>
<td>“For clinical data to be considered de-identified, the HIPAA ‘Safe Harbor’ technique requires 18 data elements (called PHI: Protected Health Information) to be removed...de-identification only means that explicit identifiers are hidden or removed.” [13] “Under Safe Harbor, data are considered de-identified if 17 listed types of identifiers are removed.” [31] “de-identification where explicit identifiers (e.g., Protected Health Information [PHI] elements) are extracted or replaced with ‘pseudonyms’” [32] “De-identification of medical record data refers to the removal or replacement of personal identifiers so that it would be difficult to reestablish a link between the individual and his or her data. Although a de-identified dataset may contain an encrypted patient identifier with which authorized individuals could relink a patient with his or her dataset, this dataset must not contain data that will allow an unauthorized individual to infer a patient’s identity from the existing data elements.” [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymization</td>
<td>“The anonymization consists in removing the patients’ names from the records: unfortunately, other pieces of information enable to identify the patients.” [33] “anonymization implies that the data cannot be linked to identify the patient” [13] “the process of rendering data into a form which does not identify individuals and where identification is not likely to take place” [10] “Data anonymization is the process of conditioning a dataset such that no sensitive information can be learned about any specific individual.” [19] “Anonymization refers to the irreversible removal of the link between the individual and his or her medical record data to the degree that it would be virtually impossible to reestablish the link.” [28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of new groups from 2014 and the rapid growth of publications on the topic of anonymization and de-identification. As a result, the leading position that American researchers (ie, Canada and the United States) held until 2013 was caught up to by researchers from other countries in 2014. Since 2015, European groups have been publishing an equal or greater number of articles than the Americans on this topic (see Figure 3).

**Purpose of Anonymization and De-Identification**

Most often, the authors mentioned the secondary use of medical data without specification as to the purpose of their research. When specified, their objective was to enable and support biomedical research [7,32,39-41]. Regarding the research domains, *genetics and genomics* [42-49] were the most frequently cited, followed by *personalized health and precision medicine* [48,50-52]. Improvement in the domains of *epidemiology* and *public health surveillance and reporting* were among other anticipated benefits of developing privacy protection techniques [8,53]. The protection of privacy was implicit in most projects but was also explicitly cited as a standalone objective in some publications [50,54]. Complying with regulations and policies was a motivation expressed by certain authors [46,55]. Several other reasons were found, as shown in Textbox 3.

---

**Authors’ Backgrounds and Places of Work**

Applying the scoring system presented in the Methods section, we counted 163 authors for the 60 publications. A total of 248 background points were attributed to 19 different domains (see Table 6).

The first seven fields represent 90% of the researchers’ backgrounds. On average, one researcher was awarded 1.52 research field points. A total of 14 researchers published more than one article (ie, 2-8 articles). Out of 14 prolific authors, 13 (93%) had a background in the three leading domains. Removing the duplicates revealed 121 unique authors. The number of domains and their ranking remained unchanged with and without duplicates, with a slightly smaller gap between the first three domains and the others when duplicates were removed. The background of 7 authors could not be found; this represents a margin of error of 4.3%.

Regarding the place of work, the United States was the largest contributor with 25 articles (25/60, 42%), followed by Germany, the United Kingdom, and Canada combined (23/60, 38%). The predominance of publications from the US-based research groups is noticeable particularly between 2010 and 2012. After this period, their contribution decreases in absolute number and, more importantly, in relation to other groups, due to the arrival of new groups from 2014.

---

**Textbox 2. Discrepancies in understanding and using de-identification and anonymization in relation to each other.**

**Anonymization = de-identification:**
- “Access to de-identified (anonymized) health records would in many cases be sufficient.” [34]
- “Anonymization: Redaction, perturbation, or generalization of those attributes that could be used, alone or in combination, to associate a given record with a specific person. Also called ‘de-identification.’” [35]
- “Recent renewed interest in de-identification (also known as ‘anonymisation’) has led to the development of a series of systems in the United States with very good performance on challenge test sets.” [36]
- “As has been seen, the European regime for privacy does not require the de-identification (anonymization) of personal data used in genomic databases or biobanks.” [37]

**Anonymization ≠ de-identification:**
- “we note that a recent analysis of matching attacks against a large, public, de-identified (although not anonymized) dataset independently came up” [19]
- “Anonymization and de-identification are often used interchangeably, but de-identification only means that explicit identifiers are hidden or removed, while anonymization implies that the data cannot be linked to identify the patient (i.e. de-identified is often far from anonymous).” [13]
- “De-identification of medical record data refers to the removal or replacement of personal identifiers so that it would be difficult to reestablish a link between the individual and his or her data...Anonymization refers to the irreversible removal of the link between the individual and his or her medical record data to the degree that it would be virtually impossible to reestablish the link.” [28]
- “The term ‘anonymisation’ is not identical to ‘de-identification.’ De-identification is the removal of attributes known to increase the risk of identification, and this can be seen as a preliminary step for producing anonymous data. It requires, however, a further assessment as to whether the de-identification process achieves anonymization.” [38]

---

**Table 5. Researchers’ understanding of de-identification and anonymization as similar or different concepts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of the terms in the articles</th>
<th>Count (N=60), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only use or discuss one concept</td>
<td>19 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-identification and anonymization are two different concepts</td>
<td>19 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-identification and anonymization are used interchangeably</td>
<td>19 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous with regard to the meaning of both terms</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table 6. Background points awarded to the authors of the reviewed articles. The authors are separated by authorship position: first, second, and last.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research field</th>
<th>First author (N=92), n (%)</th>
<th>Second author (N=72), n (%)</th>
<th>Last author (N=84), n (%)</th>
<th>Total count (N=248), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer science</td>
<td>36 (14)</td>
<td>26 (10)</td>
<td>29 (12)</td>
<td>91 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomedical informatics</td>
<td>16 (6)</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>16 (6)</td>
<td>47 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine (MD)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>16 (6)</td>
<td>38 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemiology and statistics</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>16 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics and biomathematics</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>16 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>8 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>7 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistics</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>4 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioethics and humanities</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroscience</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral economy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biology and microbiology</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care administration</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecology and evolution</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business (MBA)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMD: Doctor of Medicine.
bMBA: Master of Business Administration.

Figure 3. Representation of the 60 publications according to the date of publication, the number of articles per year, and the authors’ locations. The size of the discs used on the graph represents each country’s contribution in number of articles over the studied period (10 years). The exact count is shown between brackets next to each country’s name.
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Limitations of Anonymization and De-Identification Techniques

Technical and Operational Limitations

Anonymization and de-identification are time-consuming tasks, particularly when textual data is concerned [61]. The necessity for manual intervention is seen as a weakness that leaves room for human error and contributes to lengthening the procedure [62]. The difficulty in generalizing and scaling the de-identification and anonymization procedures, as well as the absence of broadly accepted metrics to judge their results, are recurrent concerns raised in publications [8,13,38,39,60]. The complexity of these procedures depends on the type of information involved. Structured information (eg, tabular data) is generally easier to process than unstructured information (eg, textual data) [29]. Specific types of information (eg, diagnoses of rare diseases [60]) are more identifying than others. Some types are even considered identifying by nature (eg, large genome sequencing) and presumably impossible to render anonymous [38,63]. More generally, balancing the probability of re-identification with the amount of distortion applied to the data is seen as a challenge [7,59]. Unable to overcome the interdependence between data quality and data identifiability, one has to be compromised for the other: “no existing anonymization algorithm provides both perfect privacy protection and perfect analytic utility” [19]. The re-identification risk depends on the availability of additional information. Using data linkage techniques, the presence of individuals in the protected dataset can be revealed and their personal information re-identified [51,64,65]. Because the amount of information available for comparison can only be estimated, the re-identification risk will always remain an estimate [66]. Additionally, this risk will increase over time [13,48]. These inherent weaknesses have led some researchers to express doubts about the reliability of anonymization or de-identification techniques [35,66,67].

Limitations in Accessibility and Governance

The substantial cost and the limited access to trained professionals are seen as hindrances for institutions wanting to share their data [29,33,66]. Disparities in the availability of anonymization and de-identification systems between English-speaking countries and the rest of the world is expressed by certain authors [33,40]. Textual data is primarily concerned by this problem with a critical need for natural language processing (NLP) systems in varied languages [36,68]. Authors report the lack of practical guidelines and training to assist the researchers [31,69]. They also report an absence of a consensus “regarding the effective governance of secondary research uses, beyond adherence to the terms of informed consent” [70]. Finally, several researchers point out the confusion affecting the terminology as a flaw in itself, increasing the risk of re-identification through misconceptions and misunderstandings [38,71].

Legal and Ethical Implications

General

Privacy laws and regulations provide the legal framework for the collection, processing, and sharing of personal data [71]. Differences exist between nations in the definitions, approaches, and legal practices [66]. Commonly, legal experts agree that relying on legislation alone to protect privacy would be an error [71]. Legislations are effective when used in conjunction with ethical principles, commitments in data use agreements (DUAs), and technical safeguards provided by the de-identification and anonymization process [52,72]. Stricter DUAs can be used to mitigate the loss of data quality that would otherwise be required if the technical process alone had to guarantee the privacy [48,73]. Current rules and regulations are seen by some authors as too soft to discourage attempts at re-identifying data, however, the same authors recommend consistency over severity in prosecuting the misuse of health data [71].

Accountability

The legal responsibilities and the ethical obligations are shared by all those involved in the collection or in the use of the data (ie, institutions or individuals) [10]. Research participants generally believe that anyone who uses their information, regardless of when and under which circumstances, share these responsibilities [50].

Institutional Review Boards

Review boards play an important role in the secondary use of health data. Although de-identified or anonymized data, in some cases, are not considered individually identifiable health
information, research projects involving such data generally require IRB submission and approval. In these situations, the IRB assesses the information the subjects received, what they consented to, and whether the proposed research could be conflicting with their interests [31,72]. Eventually, if the IRB approves the project in question, it waives the obligation for informed consent [7,13,31].

Experts’ Recommendations

The highest level of protection can only be provided by multidisciplinary approaches combining organizational, legal, ethical, and technical safeguards [10,59,72-74]. Relying exclusively on one of these aspects would be a mistake [75]. More information and training should be provided to researchers about privacy protection and about the risks associated with data sharing [60,69,74]. Numerous researchers express the necessity to review and update the current legal framework [10,31,56,59,71]. Many authors consider the ambiguity of the vocabulary and the misuse of terms as a problem that urgently requires a cooperative effort from the expert community [19,38,56,74]. When applying anonymization techniques, researchers generally recommend favoring privacy over data quality in the process of de-identification and anonymization [75,76].

Discussion

Principal Findings

The development and the application of privacy-enhancing techniques to health data has come to represent a research domain in its own right. This domain is growing rapidly, as demonstrated by the increasing number of publications and the arrival and geographical spread of new research groups. Researchers come from different disciplines and often have qualifications in several fields themselves. Computer science, biomedical informatics, and medicine are the most prevalent backgrounds overall; the main purpose driving the development and application of privacy-enhancing techniques to medical data is to facilitate biomedical research.

At the beginning of the 2000s, great hopes leaned on our abilities to develop technical safeguards that would unleash the potential of the secondary use of medical data. Almost 20 years later, our knowledge and competences have significantly improved, although every advance has come with new interrogations and challenges. Methods are still difficult to generalize or scale and inevitably alter the data quality, which can notably hinder its use for research. A successful exercise lessens the risk of re-identification while maintaining a sufficient level of data quality for research to be performed. In this aim, legal and contractual safeguards are essential and their use can be tailored (ie, made stricter or more lenient) to each situation to mitigate the technical limitations. The research community emphasizes that the different approaches (ie, organizational, legal, ethical, and technical) are complementary and necessary to provide an acceptable level of protection. What is an acceptable level of protection, however, is not easily defined. It varies both in the views of different experts and in the legislations of the different countries.

This work confirms and further illustrates the existence of a disconcerting confusion in the domain’s vocabulary affecting the understanding of the concepts at multiple levels. The vagueness and lack of consensus among the experts is worrying and requires actions. The life sciences research community is aware of this situation and is calling for clear and standardized definitions and for cross-border regulatory frameworks.

Propositions

Clear Definitions

Appropriate use of the terms de-identification and anonymization should be promoted and incentivized. As a first step in this direction, the authors of this work suggest that future publications on the subject include definitions or state which definitions are referred to. Although not universal, clear definitions are provided in two major legislations on personal data protection (ie, the GDPR and the HIPAA) and should be used where appropriate.

The GDPR defines anonymous information as “an information which does not relate to an identified or identifiable natural person or to personal data rendered anonymous in such a manner that the data subject is not or no longer identifiable” [77]. It is an irreversible state. Accordingly, the term anonymous should not be used to describe the process of rendering data less identifiable, which is the prevailing representation of de-identification and anonymization in the biomedical literature. To refer to the concept of rendering data less identifiable, or to the techniques that are used in this aim, the GDPR defines the term pseudonymization: “the processing of personal data in such a manner that the personal data can no longer be attributed to a specific data subject without the use of additional information, provided that such additional information is kept separately and is subject to technical and organisational measures to ensure that the personal data are not attributed to an identified or identifiable natural person.”

Finally, the term de-identification comes from the American legislation where definitions are provided: HIPAA §164.514(a) and (b). Authors using this term in their publications should refer to these definitions.

Development of Clear Guidelines

In a manner that already exists in most clinical disciplines, international guidelines regarding privacy protection should be developed, agreed upon, and made widely available to the stakeholders in the field of biomedical research. These guidelines should clarify the concepts, the definitions, and the techniques, as well as their results and risks.

Improved Dissemination and Education

A striking result of this work is the lack of information dissemination and education at all levels. It is critical that the research community gains access to the appropriate information, definitions, and guidelines on the subjects of data privacy and data protection. The public and the media should benefit from this improved access and understanding. Building trust is essential for life sciences research to leverage today’s technological capabilities in accessing, sharing, and analyzing...
data. With this aim, information dissemination is key (see Textbox 4).

**Limitations of This Work**

There are several limitations to this work. As for any scoping review based on free-text searches, contributions may have been missed despite having maximized the search sensitivity. Privacy protection of health data is a rapidly evolving domain. Between the end of the scoping review and January 2019, 14 additional publications would have to be assessed for eligibility (ie, 114 vs 100).

The fact that the literature search was limited to MEDLINE introduces a strong but deliberate selection bias toward the domain of life sciences. Within life sciences, genomics, personalized health, and precision medicine may be overrepresented due to their growing popularity in recent years and their characteristic need for large amounts of sensitive data.

### Textbox 4. Recommendations for future work.

- Future publications should include definitions or state which definitions are referred to.
- Existing definitions proposed by major legislations (ie, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA] and the General Data Protection Regulation [GDPR]) should be used where applicable.
- Global and specific guidelines should be developed to define the field of application, the process, the expected results, and the risk of the different technical approaches to privacy protection.
- Information dissemination and education should be improved across the research community for all stakeholders.

**Conclusions**

Health data is increasingly produced and used. This wealth of information should not be left dormant as it represents a real potential to fuel research and improve medicine. Multidisciplinary safeguards (ie, ethical, organizational, legal, and technical) are required to guarantee the privacy of health data subjected to secondary use. Creating an overall trusted environment to leverage scientific research in life sciences is essential. It requires building on safe and strong foundations, to have processes and structures in place to enforce these foundations, and to communicate widely with the public and the media.
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Abstract

Background: The use of social media as a key health information source has increased steadily among people affected by eating disorders (EDs). Research has examined characteristics of individuals engaging in online communities, whereas little is known about discontinuation of engagement and the phenomenon of participants dropping out of these communities.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the characteristics of dropout behaviors among eating disordered individuals on Twitter and to estimate the causal effects of personal emotions and social networks on dropout behaviors.

Methods: Using a snowball sampling method, we collected a set of individuals who self-identified with EDs in their Twitter profile descriptions, as well as their tweets and social networks, leading to 241,243,043 tweets from 208,063 users. Individuals’ emotions are measured from their language use in tweets using an automatic sentiment analysis tool, and network centralities are measured from users’ following networks. Dropout statuses of users are observed in a follow-up period 1.5 years later (from February 11, 2016 to August 17, 2017). Linear and survival regression instrumental variables models are used to estimate the effects of emotions and network centrality on dropout behaviors. The average levels of attributes among an individual’s followees (ie, people who are followed by the individual) are used as instruments for the individual’s attributes.

Results: Eating disordered users have relatively short periods of activity on Twitter with one half of our sample dropping out at 6 months after account creation. Active users show more negative emotions and higher network centralities than dropped-out users. Active users tend to connect to other active users, whereas dropped-out users tend to cluster together. Estimation results suggest that users’ emotions and network centralities have causal effects on their dropout behaviors on Twitter. More specifically, users with positive emotions are more likely to drop out and have shorter lasting periods of activity online than users with negative emotions, whereas central users in a social network have longer lasting participation than peripheral users. Findings on users’ tweeting interests further show that users who attempt to recover from EDs are more likely to drop out than those who promote EDs as a lifestyle choice.

Conclusions: Presence in online communities is strongly determined by the individual’s emotions and social networks, suggesting that studies analyzing and trying to draw condition and population characteristics through online health communities are likely to be biased. Future research needs to examine in more detail the links between individual characteristics and participation patterns if better understanding of the entire population is to be achieved. At the same time, such attrition dynamics need to be acknowledged and controlled when designing online interventions so as to accurately capture their intended populations.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e10942) doi:10.2196/10942
**Introduction**

**Background**

Eating disorders (EDs), such as anorexia and bulimia, are complex mental disorders defined by extreme obsessions with body weight or shape and unusual eating behaviors [1]. These diseases have the highest mortality rate of any mental illness [2], intractable comorbidities, [3] and worldwide prevalence [4], having become a major public health concern. Although a variety of treatment options have emerged over recent years [5], populations affected by EDs are often hard to reach through traditional health care services. This is mainly because of fear of stigma or a feeling of shame; many sufferers conceal their ED symptoms and never seek professional treatment or support [6,7]. To keep struggles with illnesses private, people often seek health-related information and support through online peer-to-peer communities, particularly via social media sites such as Twitter and Facebook. Participation in online communities is common in ED populations [8] and has been suggested as a screening factor for EDs [3]. This provides an opportunity for health care professionals to deliver health support to these hard-to-reach populations through online communities [9-13]. Moreover, as online communities present a relatively anonymous environment for individuals to naturally self-disclose and socialize [14], user-generated data online provide a large amount of records about individuals’ concerns, thoughts, emotions, and social interactions [15-17], which can complement traditional data sources (eg, surveys and interviews) in understanding risk factors of EDs. Hence, growing research has focused on characterizing individuals’ behavioral patterns in online communities [15-19] so as to better understand EDs and promote population-level well-being.

One notable characteristic of online ED communities is their participants have widely different stances on EDs [8,20,21]. Some communities encourage members to discuss their struggles with EDs, share treatment options, and offer support toward recovery from EDs, so called pro-recovery communities [20-22]. There are also many anti-recovery or pro-ED communities in which members often deny an ED to be a disorder and instead promote EDs as a healthy lifestyle choice [8,23]. These pro-ED communities can negatively affect health and quality of life among people with and without EDs, through reinforcing an individual’s identity around EDs [24], promoting thin ideals [25], and disseminating harmful practices for weight loss [8]. Recent studies have shown that individuals’ language use online strongly indicates their pro-ED or pro-recovery stances [15,17,20], as well as emotions of depression, helplessness, and anxiety that reflect their mental disorders [16]. Other studies have also examined interactions between pro-ED and pro-recovery communities on Flickr [21], anorexia-related misinformation [18], sentiments in comments on ED-related videos on YouTube [26], characteristics of removed pro-ED content, [27] and lexical variation of pro-ED tags on Instagram [19,28]. Yet, prior studies have largely focused on examining how people engage in and maintain an online ED community, whereas little is known about how people drop out of such a community. As a dynamic process, people who join and actively engage in a community at earlier stages can have less participation and leave the community at later stages. Understanding the attrition processes of online communities can enhance our knowledge of the dynamics in these communities.

Studying the attrition process of an online community can also have practical implications for disease prevention and health interventions. Given the ease of accessibility of social media for many individuals (eg, via mobile devices), increasing attention has focused on using online communities to deliver health interventions [9-13,29,30]. One of the most popular approaches is to deliver health lessons and behavior-changing instructions via online communities [9-13,29]. Although pilot studies based on small samples have demonstrated the effectiveness of these approaches in reducing body dissatisfaction and disordered eating [12,13], evidence from interventions for a variety of health behaviors (eg, smoking, diet, exercise, and sexual health) suggests that attrition (ie, participant loss) is one of the most common challenges in online interventions [10,29]. This is known as the law of attrition of online interventions [31]. A recent study has shown a high attrition rate in an online intervention for EDs [32], though this intervention is delivered via a purposely designed website rather than a general social media site. Thus, an important goal in conducting successful interventions via online communities is to improve members’ retention, as members who remain longer are more likely to receive these interventions and have more opportunities to promote a target behavior change. To achieve this goal, a critical first step is to understand what factors influence members’ retention in an online community.

Previous studies have shown that people’s decisions of retention or dropout in online communities are associated with a variety of factors [33,34], including personality traits (eg, shyness and the Big Five traits) [35,36], interests [37], recognition in a community [38-41], and support from others [42,43]. However, such an association is not adequate to conclude the presence of a causal relationship between an individual’s attributes and her or his online participation. This is because an association can arise from non-causal relationships. For example, most previous studies focus on the use of self-reported surveys and rely on participants’ reports of their own personality, concerns, and behaviors [35,39,46]. This can introduce considerable retrospective bias and measurement errors, leading to a coincidental association between 2 unrelated variables, particularly in small samples. Even if variables are measured rather than self-reported [43,47], participation in an online community is inherently self-selected (eg, sharing common interests) and members can drop out for many different reasons (eg, effect of an online or offline event). Thus, unobservable factors (ie, confounding variables) may affect both a main predictor and participation outcomes, causing a spurious association. Moreover, in some cases reverse causality can lead to an association. For example, previous studies suggest that...
feelings of social isolation are linked to frequent social media use [35,48] whereas recent studies indicate that social media use is linked to increased feelings of social isolation [49]. Technically speaking, the issues of measurement errors, confounding variables, and reverse causality can cause endogeneity, which refers to an explanatory variable of interest being correlated with the error term in a regression model [44]. In these cases, traditional methods such as ordinary least squares (OLS) give biased and inconsistent estimates of the effect of interest. It is therefore not surprising that mixed results exist in previous studies. For example, a positive association between individuals’ expertise and online participation was found in a study by Tausczik and Pennebaker [46] whereas a negative association was found in another study by Cook et al [38].

Objectives
This study aimed to estimate determinants of dropout in an online ED community, while addressing the endogeneity issues by using an instrumental variable (IV) approach [44]. Specifically, we analyzed tweeting activities for a large set of individuals who self-identified with EDs on Twitter for over 1.5 years and identified the presence of dropout if a user ceased to post tweets in the observation period. We explored determinants of a user’s dropout based on the incentive theory [34,50], which argues that people’s engagement in an activity can be driven by (a) intrinsic motivation which refers to doing something because it is interesting or enjoyable and (b) extrinsic motivation which refers to doing something because it earns an external reward. We focused on intrinsic motivation captured by personal emotions and extrinsic motivation captured by sociometric status in an online peer-to-peer community. Rather than using self-reports [35,39,46], we measured users’ emotions based on their emotional expressions in tweets using sentiment analysis techniques [51] and quantified users’ sociometric statuses by network centrality [52] in the social network of an ED community on Twitter. On the basis of these measured variables, IV estimators both for the decision to drop out and for the time to dropout were implemented to achieve consistent estimates of the effects of personal emotions and network centrality on dropout in an online ED community. To better understand the estimation results, we further examined heterogeneity in tweeting interests (ie, topics discussed in tweets) of users with differing levels of characteristics (eg, emotions) and dropout outcomes. To our knowledge, this study is the first to systematically characterize the determinants of dropout behaviors in online ED communities. A total of 3 research questions were examined: (a) what are the general characteristics of the attrition process in an online ED community? (b) how do intrinsic and extrinsic factors affect the decision of an individual to drop out of the community? and (c) how do these factors affect the duration of time until the occurrence of dropout?

Methods
Data Collection
Our data are collected from Twitter, a microblogging platform that allows millions of users to self-disclose and socialize. As many social media platforms such as Facebook and Instagram have taken moderation actions to counteract pro-ED content and user accounts [28], Twitter has not yet enforced actions to limit such content [53]. This makes Twitter a unique platform to study the attrition process naturally happening in an online ED community and allows us to examine individuals’ behaviors in a nonreactive way. Our study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at the University of Southampton. All data used in our study are public information on Twitter and available through the Twitter application programming interfaces (APIs). No personally identifiable information was used in this study. Our data collection process included 3 phases:

1. First, we collected a set of individuals who self-identified with EDs on Twitter using a snowball sampling approach. Specifically, we tracked the public tweet stream using “eating disorder,” “anorexia,” “bulimia,” and “EDNOS” (ie, eating disorder not otherwise specified) from January 8 to 15, 2016. This resulted in 1169 tweets that mentioned EDs. From the authors of these tweets, we identified 33 users who self-reported both ED-related keywords (eg, “eating disorder,” “anorexia,” and “bulimia”) and personal bio-information (eg, body weight and height) in their profile descriptions (ie, a sequence of user-generated text describing their accounts below profile images). Starting from these seed users, we expanded the user set using snowball sampling through their social networks of followees and followers. At each sampling stage, we filtered out non-English speaking accounts and finally obtained 3380 unique ED users who self-reported ED-related keywords and bio-information in their profile descriptions. Note that our focus in this work is studying individuals who are affected by EDs rather than those who are related to EDs. The inclusion of bio-information in user sampling allowed us to filter out ED-related therapists, institutes, or organizations, as these users often displayed ED-related keywords but did not show bio-information in their Twitter profile descriptions. Details about the data collection of ED users can be found in our previous study [54].

2. Then, we collected all friends (including followees and followers) of each ED user, leading to a large social network consisting of 208,063 users. For each user, we retrieved up to 3200 (the limit returned from Twitter APIs) of their most recent tweets and obtained 241,243,043 tweets in total. The data collection process finished on February 11, 2016.

3. Finally, we opened a follow-up observation period for all users on August 17, 2017, to obtain measurements on users’ activities online. In the second observation, we only collected users’ profile information which includes users’ last posted statuses.

To verify the quality of our collected sample, 2 members of the research team classified a random sample of 1000 users on whether they were likely to be a true ED user based on their posted tweets, images, and friends’ profiles. Users were classified as “disordered” if they frequently and intensively posted their body weights, details of their dietary regimen (eg, calories), struggles with eating (eg, “I want to eat but cannot”), pictures of themselves, and self-reports of being disordered or in recovery in tweets and followed ED-related friends (eg, user profiles with ED-related keywords). The process revealed a
95.2% match between the identified ED individuals in the data collection stage and those classified as ED during inspection. Although it is impossible to diagnose individuals’ disorders based on their online behaviors, this inspection provides a strong indication that the collected users are likely to be affected by EDs rather than those who merely talk about EDs online. See [54] for details of data validation.

**Estimation Framework**

There are 2 different models specified to estimate the effects of emotions and network centrality on dropout. First, we specified a linear probability model on the whole sample to estimate the effects of individuals’ characteristics observed in the first observation period on the probability of dropping out in the second observation period. Finally, we estimated survival models to explore the effects of individuals’ characteristics observed in the first observation on the time to dropout in the second observation (ie, the duration from our first observation to the dropout in our second observation). However, similar to all social media studies, only a limited number of individuals’ characteristics are available for our estimations and these are mostly observed through user-generated data online. This leads to confounding variable bias, as unobservable factors can be correlated with both the main explanatory variables (ie, emotions and network centrality) and dropout outcomes. For example, undergoing hospital treatment can simultaneously affect a person’s emotional state and the use of social media. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that social media use is associated with increased depression [55], social anxiety, [49] and body dissatisfaction [56,57], implying an effect of online participation on individuals’ emotions (ie, reverse causality). Both confounding variables and reverse causality result in biased estimates of the effects of emotions and network centrality on dropout. This problem can be addressed by using a randomized controlled trial in which emotions or network centralities are randomly assigned to users by researchers [58]. Such a trial, however, is not feasible, because of ethical and practical limitations [59].

Here, we utilized an alternative approach for estimating the effects of interest that is based on IV regression, an econometric technique to infer causal relations from observational data [44]. This technique has been applied to a variety of contexts, from identifying the causal effect of education on earning [60], the effect of a health treatment [61], to estimating social contagion effects on both online [59] and offline behaviors [62]. Formally, consider a model $Y = \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u$, where $X_1$ is endogenous, $X_2$ is exogenous, $u$ is a random error term, and $\beta_2$ are effects to be estimated. IV methodology uses an instrument $Z$ (which is [i] not contained in the explanatory equation, [ii] correlated with $X_1$, namely $\text{cov}(Z,X_1)$ is not equal to 0, and [iii] uncorrelated with $u$, ie, $\text{cov}(Z,u)=0$, conditional on the other covariates such as $X_2$) and runs a first stage reduced-form regression $X_1 = B_1 Z + B_2 X_2 + \nu$, where $\nu$ is a random error and $B_2$ s are coefficients. The causal effect of $X_1$ on $Y$ is then given in a second stage regression $Y = \beta_1 X_3 + \beta_2 X_2 + u$, where $X_3$ is the predicted value of $X_1$ from the first stage. See [44] for more details.

**Measures**

A number of variables are needed for estimations. All independent variables and IVs are measured in the first observation period (unless otherwise stated), whereas dependent variables are measured in the second observation period.

**Dropout Outcomes as Dependent Variables**

Following previous studies [42,43], we identified the presence of dropout if a user ceased to post tweets. Specifically, in the linear probability models, we encoded the dropout status of a user as 0 (denoting *nondropout*) if the user had updated posts in our second observation and 1 (denoting *dropout*) otherwise.

In the survival models, each user has a 2-variable outcome: (a) a censoring variable denoting whether the event of dropout occurs and (b) a variable of survival time denoting the duration of time until the occurrence of dropout. We censored the occurrence of a “dropout event” in 2 ways. First, users are said to drop out if they have not posted tweets for more than a fixed threshold interval $\pi$ before our second observation (so called *identical interval censoring*). As people use social media platforms with different activity levels (eg, some users post every several hours whereas other users only post once every couple of days), our second censoring method further accounts for personalized posting activities of individuals (called *personalized interval censoring*). In this method, users are said to drop out if they have not posted tweets for more than a variate threshold interval $\lambda \pi + (1-\lambda) \bar{t}_i$ before our second observation, where $\pi$ is a fixed threshold, $\bar{t}_i$ is the average posting interval of individual $i$ in our first observation period, and $\lambda$ is a tunable parameter to control the effects of individual activities. We tuned the parameters by maximizing the agreement between the estimated dropout states based on users’ activities in our first observation and the observed states in our second observation. See Multimedia Appendix 1 for details. For users who were censored as dropped-out, we set their survival times as the durations from our first observation to their last postings in our second observation. For those who were censored as non-dropped-out, we set their survival times as the whole time period between our 2 observations.

**Emotions and Network Centrality as Main Explanatory Variables**

Individuals’ emotions were measured through their language used in tweets. There is a variety of sentiment analysis algorithms to measure emotional expressions in texts [51,63]. In this study, we used SentiStrength [51] as (a) it has been used to measure the emotional content in online ED communities and has shown good interrater reliability [26] and (b) it is designed for short informal texts with abbreviations and slang and is thus suitable to process tweets [51]. After removing mention marks, hashtags, and URLs, each tweet was assigned a scaled value ranging from −4 to 4 by SentiStrength, where negative and positive scores indicate the strength of negative and positive emotions, respectively, and 0 denotes neutral emotions. We quantified a user’s emotional state by the average score of all tweets posted by the user. All retweets were excluded, as retweets reflect the emotions of their original authors more than those of their retweeters. To obtain robust
results from the language processing algorithms, we only considered users who had more than 10 tweets and posted more than 50 words.

Network centrality measures the importance of a person in a social network; people well-recognized by their peers often have high centralities in a group [52]. To measure a user’s centrality in the ED community, we built a who-follows-whom network among ED users and their friends, where a directed edge runs from node A representing user A to node B representing user B if A follows B on Twitter. Although there are various measures of network centrality, we focused on coreness centrality [64] as it has been shown to outperform other measures such as degree and betweenness centrality [52] in detecting influential nodes in complex networks [65] and cascades of users leaving an online community [66,67]. We measured the sociometric status of a user in the ED community by the in-coreness centrality [68] of a node in the generated network using the package igraph version 0.7.0 [69].

Aggregated Emotions and Network Centrality of Friends as Instrumental Variables

As IVs for a user’s attributes, we used average emotions and network centrality over all followees of the user, namely people who are followed by the user. The choice of these IVs is based on the following considerations. First, we considered the relevance assumption of our instruments, requiring that the characteristics of followees be correlated to the user’s characteristics, namely $\text{cov}(Z,X_1)$ is not equal to 0. We expected the followees’ updates to act as information sources for a user and followees’ behaviors as well as emotions manifested in their tweets can influence the user. Previous work [54] has shown the presence of homophily among ED users on Twitter suggesting that users who share similar emotional and network attributes tend to follow one another. Furthermore, the empirical existence and strength of the relevance property are tested in a first-stage regression and presented along with the structural estimates of the models.

Finally, we examined the exogeneity requirement (ie, $\text{cov}(Z,u)=0$), where followees’ emotions and centrality must not have a direct effect on the dropout decision of the user other than through their effect on the user’s emotions. Although we have taken such assumptions to be reasonable, we identified a pathway through which direct links could arise. Followees’ attributes (eg, emotions) could affect a user’s dropout through their effects on followees’ own dropouts. For example, followees’ emotional states may affect their own dropouts, and a feeling of loneliness because of friends’ leaving may then drive the target user to drop out. To control for this channel, we measured the proportion and durations of followees that remained active in our second observation (regardless of whether the target user dropped out or not). Furthermore, we changed the definition of followees (that are used to create the instruments) to those who are followed by a user but do not follow the user back (called single way followees). In this setting, the reverse causality of a user’s dropout on followees’ attributes was nullified, which strengthened the exogeneity assumption on IVs and controls.

Estimation Covariates

Our estimates control for several covariates that may affect users’ tweeting activities, as listed in Table 1. First, we measured users’ social capital on Twitter (eg, the numbers of social connections and the levels of engagement in sharing content) to capture the fact that people with different levels of popularity may have different tendencies to share content online [70]. Note that, although the numbers of followees and followers can be regarded as the in- and out-degree centralities of a user in the whole social network on Twitter (ie, the “global” social capital), we were interested in the “local” network centrality in the ED-specific communities. Second, as previous studies show an association between social media use and depression [55], we measured historical activity levels of users (ie, active days) to capture effects that previous engagement may relate to both users’ emotions and their future engagement. We also measured users’ activity frequencies (eg, posting frequency) to capture their patterns of Twitter usage. Third, the covariates on observational bias were used to control for effects caused by incomplete observations. For example, a limited number of tweets were retrieved and used to measure emotions for a user. All variables on social capital, activity level, and observational bias were measured from users’ profile information and tweets collected in our first observation. Finally, as discussed above, we included the proportion and average durations of followees that were active in our second observation to capture the channel that followees’ emotions affect a user’s dropout through their effects on followees’ own dropouts.

Model Estimations

Instrumental Variables Estimation in Linear Regression Model

We use standard 2-stage least squares estimators for linear probability models. In the first stage, we ran an auxiliary regression and predicted the endogenous variables (ie, an individual’s emotional state and network centrality) based on IVs and exogenous covariates. In the second stage regression, we substituted the endogenous variables of interest with their predicted values from the first stage. Estimation was conducted through the package of applied econometrics with R [71], and robust standard errors were computed.

Instrumental Variables Estimation in Survival Model

We used a Kaplan-Meier estimator [72] to estimate the survival function from data. Aalen’s additive hazards model [73] was used to estimate the effects of users’ attributes on the time to dropout. Compared with the proportional hazards models in which the ratios of hazard functions (ie, hazard ratios) for different strata were assumed to be constant over time [74], the additive model was more flexible and applied under less restrictive assumptions. To compute an IV estimator in an additive hazards model, we used a control function based approach which was proposed by Tchetgen et al [61]. The timereg package [75] was used for the implementation of the estimation algorithm. Standard errors were obtained through nonparametric bootstrap.
Table 1. Covariates used in estimations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control effect and covariate</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social capital</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Followees</td>
<td>Number of total followees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Posts</td>
<td>Number of total posts, including tweets and retweets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Followers</td>
<td>Number of total followers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activity level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active days</td>
<td>Number of days from account creation to last posting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Followee/day</td>
<td>Average number of followees per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Posts/day</td>
<td>Average number of posts per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Followers/day</td>
<td>Average number of followers per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observational bias</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Tweets in use</td>
<td>Number of tweets in use to measure emotions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Followees in use</td>
<td>Number of followees whose attributes are used as instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternative causal channel</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Active followees</td>
<td>Proportion of followees being active between 2 observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. duration of followees</td>
<td>Average days of followees being active between 2 observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

**Descriptive Statistics**

We obtained 2906 users who posted more than 10 tweets (excluding retweets) and 50 words in our data, where 84.61% (n=2459) of users had no posting activities during our 2 observation periods. Among the 357 users who self-reported gender information in their Twitter profile descriptions, 84.0% of them (300) were female. The mean age was 17.3 years among ED users who self-reported age (n=1015). On the basis of the timestamps of account creation and last posting, we used the Kaplan-Meier estimator to estimate the “lifetime” of a user on Twitter, namely the duration from account creation to the last posting. The estimated median lifetime of these users on Twitter was 6 months. That is, one half of the entire cohort drops out at 6 months after creating an account. Figure 1 visualizes the social network between dropouts and nondropouts among ED users, laid out by the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm [76]. We noted that users with the same dropout states tended to cluster together. Computing Newman’s homophily coefficient $r$ [77] of this network by users’ dropout states, we found $r=0.09$ (z=16.84 and $P<.001$ compared with a null model, see Multimedia Appendix 1), suggesting that users with the same dropout states tended to befriend one another. See Multimedia Appendix 1 for details of data statistics.

**Estimation Results of Linear Probability Models**

Table 2 shows estimated results in the linear models with 2 different IV specifications. In the first specification, we used all followees of a user to create IVs for the user’s attributes. The results are given in columns 2 to 3, in which both OLS and IV estimators show that positive emotions are associated with a higher probability of dropout (beta=.044; $P=.007$ and beta=.29; $P<.001$, respectively), with largely comparable coefficients for covariates. Compared with the OLS estimator, the IV estimator of the effect of emotions on dropout was remarkably stronger. The Wu-Hausman test further showed a significant difference between the OLS and IV estimators ($P<.01$), suggesting the presence of endogeneity. These results indicated that ignoring endogeneity in the OLS estimation leads to an underestimation of the effect of interest. Moreover, the $F$ statistics in the first stage regressions showed that the relevance of IVs exceeds the conventional standard of $F=10$ [78], indicating the validity of our IVs.
Figure 1. The who-follows-whom network among eating disorder users on Twitter. Node colors represent dropout statues, where the red color denotes dropout and the green color denotes nondropout. Node size is proportional to the in-coreness centrality.
Columns 4 to 5 show results of the second IV specification in which only single way followees are used to create IVs. Users who have no single way followees are excluded as instruments for these users’ attributes were not available. Thus, the number of observations decreased as compared with that in the first IV specification. Moreover, as data on a smaller number of friends were used in the second IV specification, the relevance of IV became weaker but still passed the conventional test in the first stage regression. Despite such changes, the 2 specifications produced largely similar results. Computing Wald tests of equality of coefficients between the 2 IV models, we found that the estimated effects of emotions on dropout were statistically the same across different IV specifications (P=.8), potentially suggesting robustness of the results.

Note that network centrality was excluded from the linear models. This is because many users had dropped out long before our first observation (see Figure S2 in Multimedia Appendix 1), and the social networks of such users might largely change from the dates of their dropouts to our first observation. For example, a user might be followed by new followers when these followers were unaware of the dropout of this user. In these cases, network centralities in the future are used to explain dropouts in the past, which can produce misleading results in the linear models. In fact, including network centrality in the above linear models produces statistically insignificant effect of centrality on the dropout decision, confirming our argument that network centrality is irrelevant to the binary decision to drop out or not.

**Estimation Results of Survival Models**

In the survival models, we only considered users who were active past our first observation period, so as to examine the effect of network centralities in our first observation on users’ activities in the second observation period. Table 3 shows mean coefficients of emotions and network centralities in the survival models. All models are estimated controlling for the full list of covariates but are omitted from the tables because of space concerns. The complete results are available from the authors. Following [61], the effects of all covariates are assumed to be time dependent in estimations. Both the standard and IV models on the identical interval censored data show that (a) positive emotions lead to a shorter survival time (P<.05 in the IV model) and (b) a core position in social networks is associated with a longer survival time (P<.05 in both models). Estimations on the personalized interval censored data and using different IV specifications gave similar results. The strong relevance of IVs in the first stage regressions confirms the validity of IVs across different models. A comparison of results between the linear and survival models further shows that these models have consistent estimators for the effect of emotions on dropout, namely positive emotions increase the likelihood to drop out.
### Table 3. Estimated effects of emotions and centrality on survival time using Aalen’s additive hazards models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All followees (n=447)</th>
<th>Single way followees (n=445)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard (95% CI)</td>
<td>IV (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identical interval censoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>−0.018 (−0.037 to 0.0002)</td>
<td>−0.043 (−0.083 to −0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrality</td>
<td>0.001 (0.0008 to 0.0011)</td>
<td>0.001 (0.0007 to 0.0011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personalized interval censoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>−0.016 (−0.034 to 0.0031)</td>
<td>−0.038 (−0.08 to 0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrality</td>
<td>0.001 (0.0008 to 0.0011)</td>
<td>0.001 (0.0008 to 0.0012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*F*-statistic tests the joint significance of the 2 instruments from a first-stage regression of a user’s emotions on followees’ emotions and followees’ centralities (ie, the instruments) plus the rest of the covariates, where \( F=66.11 \) (\( P<.001 \)) when all followees are used to build instruments and \( F=34.99 \) (\( P<.001 \)) when only single way followees are used.

*F*-statistic tests the joint significance of the 2 excluded instruments from a first-stage regression of a user’s centrality on followees’ emotions and followees’ centralities (ie, the instruments) plus the rest of the covariates, where \( F=27.85 \) (\( P<.001 \)) when all followees are used to build instruments and \( F=12.62 \) (\( P<.001 \)) when only single way followees are used.

The numbers of samples that are used in estimations.

The coefficients are obtained from 1000 bootstrap replicates. A coefficient is significant at \( P<.05 \) if 0 is not in 95% CIs.

IVs stands for instrumental variables.

### Underlying Connection Between Emotions and Dropout

To better understand the relationships between emotions and dropout, we examined posting interests among users with different dropout statuses and emotional states based on hashtags used in users’ tweets (see Multimedia Appendix 1 for details). We found nondropouts were interested in advocating a thin ideal (eg, using hashtags “mythinspo” and “skinny4xmas”) and promoting a pro-ED identity (eg, “edlogic” and “beautiful”). In contrast, dropouts engage in discussing their health problems (eg, “selfharmprobz,” “bulimicprobz,” and “anorexicprobz”) and offering emotional support for others (eg, “anasisters” and “stayingstrong”), which implies a tendency of these users to recover from disorders [20-22]. Similarly, we split all ED users into 3 equal-size sets based on their emotional scores and examined hashtags used by each set of users. We found that users with negative emotions often engage in promoting thin ideals (eg, “bonespo” and “mythinspo”), showing largely overlapping interests with the nondropouts. In contrast, users with neutral and positive emotions were more interested in discussing their health problems (eg, “anorexicprobz” and “bulimicprobz”), opposing pro-ED promotions (eg, “reversethinspo”), and encouraging healthier body image and behaviors (eg, “tiffam” and “fitness”), showing similar interests with the dropouts. See Multimedia Appendix 1 for more detailed lists of hashtags.

Measuring the Spearman rank correlation \( \rho \) between pairwise lists of hashtags posted by users with a given state (eg, dropped out or not, and positive or negative), we found a positive correlation between negative users and nondropouts in hashtag usage (\( \rho=0.21 \) (\( P=.03 \)), indicating similar posting interests among these users. A similar pattern occurred between positive users and dropouts. In contrast, users with other pairs of states showed a negative correlation or noncorrelation in hashtag usage, indicating their discrepancies in posting interests. Note that all tags in 2 lists \( D_i \) and \( D_j \) are considered in computing the correlation \( \rho(D_i, D_j) \); tags in each list are ranked by TF-IDF scores [79] and the TF-IDF score of tag \( t \) in list \( D_i \) is 0 if \( D_i \) does not contain \( t \). These results revealed a possible underlying connection between positive emotions and dropout. Compared with users with positive emotions, those with negative emotions had more similar interests to active members (ie, nondropouts) in the ED community. Finding similarities with other members in a community can enhance a sense of belonging to the community and positively increase intention to engage in community activities [33,37]. Therefore, it is not surprising that negative users are less likely to drop out than positive users in our estimations.

### Table 4. Spearman rank correlations between pairwise lists of hashtags posted by users with a given dropout state and by users with a given emotional state, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Negative (n=61)</th>
<th>Neutral (n=108)</th>
<th>Positive (n=110)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nondropout (n=54)</td>
<td>0.36 (( P=.003 ))</td>
<td>−0.21 (( P=.03 ))</td>
<td>−0.66 (( P&lt;.001 ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout (n=227)</td>
<td>−0.33 (( P&lt;.001 ))</td>
<td>−0.04 (( P=.57 ))</td>
<td>0.12 (( P=.07 ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The number of hashtags posted by users with a given state.

*The Spearman correlations \( \rho \) of hashtags posted by users with different dropout and emotional states, where \( \rho \) ranges from −1 to 1 and 0 indicates no correlation. \( P \) values testing for noncorrelation are reported in parentheses.
Discussion

Principal Findings

This study provided the first estimates of the effects of personal emotions and interpersonal social networks on dropout in online ED communities. This study has several strengths. First, we based our analysis on the incentive theory to explore determinants of users’ online behaviors (ie, dropout), allowing us to study users’ behaviors in a more systematic way than most previous studies that often focus on a single type of determinant (eg, individual attributes [35,36] or social attributes [42,43,67]). Second, we used automated sentiment analysis techniques to measure users’ emotions and network analysis methods to quantify users’ sociometric statuses in an online community, leading to higher efficiency than traditional research methods such as surveys [35,37,39,41,48]. Finally, we applied an IV approach to both linear probability and survival models, which enabled us to achieve a more consistent estimate of human behavior in online settings than traditional methods (eg, OLS) used in previous studies [39,41,47]. Overall, we found that positive emotions increased the likelihood of dropout in ED individuals and accelerated the dropout process on Twitter. In contrast, a central position in the social network of ED individuals at an earlier stage was associated with prolonged participation of an individual at a later stage. These findings were verified across a variety of robustness checks.

Despite differences in methodology, our findings aligned with previous studies in psychological and social media research [5,33,35]. Our results suggested that ED users with negative emotions had high levels of participation on Twitter. This aligned with previous survey studies on social media use (eg, Facebook use), where people with social anxiety and shyness (ie, personality traits that are often correlated with multiple negative emotions such as feeling lonely, isolated, and unhappy [80]) were found to spend more time online [35,48,81]. An explanation for this is the online disinhibition effect [82]. Specifically, because of anonymity in online interactions, people with social inhibitions (eg, those who are socially anxious or shy and those with a stigmatized health problem [83]) might be more willing to share personal feelings and reveal themselves in online interactions than offline interactions to meet their social and intimacy needs [48]. Additional analyses on users’ posting interests revealed that users with negative emotions share similar interests with active users. This allowed us to confirm the validity of our results via the social capital theory [39,40], namely sharing common attributes (eg, interests and vision) with other members can enhance a sense of belonging and positive feeling toward a community, which drives people to actively engage in the community.

Consistent with positive associations between network centrality and active participation in other online communities [67,70], we find that central users in the social network of an ED community tend to have a longer-lasting participation in the community. This result is expected for several reasons. First, users who are centrally embedded in a group have a relatively high number of social ties with other members, which can lead these users to feel being socially accepted and approved, as well as a strong sense of belonging to the group. Previous studies have consistently shown that recognition from other members and identification within an online community increase an individual’s commitment to the community [34,39-41]. Finally, information shared by central users is likely to spread to the majority of a community through social ties, and their central positions in the community may promote other members to trust such information [70]. This implies that central users have a greater potential than peripheral users in influencing members’ opinions, emotions, and behaviors in online communities [84]. Thus, compared with peripheral users, feeling influential may provide an additional incentive for central users to continue participating.

In line with previous studies on online ED communities [15,17,21], we found that ED users on Twitter have different stances on EDs, where users with negative emotions often share pro-ED content and those with positive emotions often share prorecovery content. As pro-ED content often contains thin-ideal images and harmful tips for weight loss and control [8,24,25], this result aligned with clinical evidence on ED treatment showing that more emotional distress is associated with a higher risk to learn and develop dysfunctional coping behaviors among ED sufferers [5]. Thus, as suggested by previous studies [85], engaging in pro-ED content may serve as a coping mechanism to deal with emotional pressures and stress of EDs. A possible explanation for the association between engaging in harmful online content and coping with stress is sensation seeking [86], a basic personality trait defined as the seeking of varied, novel, complex and intense sensations and experiences, and the willingness to take risks. Several studies have shown that sensation seeking is prominent in adolescence (ie, the age at which disordered eating often develops [1]) and closely related to pathological internet use, such as use of violent sites [87] and internet dependence [88].

Our study also offered new insights into online ED communities. First, ED users have a high dropout rate (85% in our sample) and a short lifespan between an account creation to lost posting on Twitter (with 6 months of median time to drop out). This aligns with views of online ED communities as hidden, secretive groups [30], but also indicates the dynamic characteristics of these communities. Second, users who discuss their health problems and share prorecovery content (ie, prorecovery users) have lower levels of posting activities (ie, a higher dropout rate) than those who share pro-ED content (ie, pro-ED users) on Twitter. This can be explained as follows. Owing to common interests in EDs, prorecovery and pro-ED groups are likely to be connected in the same social networks, and content shared within a group is hence likely to be visible to the other group. However, exposure to content from the antagonist group can have distinct effects in pro-ED and prorecovery groups. Exposure to pro-ED content is harmful for prorecovery users and can impede their recovery process [3,24], whereas exposure to prorecovery content can instead stimulate harmful behaviors in pro-ED users (eg, actively sharing pro-ED content) [21]. Thus, prorecovery users might tend to leave such an online community to avoid a risk of further deterioration or relapse. Our finding may also explain why pro-ED content is found being more pervasive than prorecovery content across social
media sites [15,17,21], for example, almost 5 times in terms of unique publishers on Tumblr [15]. Finally, ED users tend to connect with others with the same dropout states on Twitter. This implies that whether an individual drops out from online communities depends on whether others in the individual’s social networks drop out. In other words, dropout in online ED communities is not only a function of individual experience or individual choice but also a property of group interactions, such as homophily [89] and social contagion effects [59].

Implications

Our findings are of practical relevance to the promotion of public health over social media. First, the decision to maintain active participation in an online community can be caused by intrinsic and extrinsic characteristics or traits of the participants, such as personal emotions, interests, and social networks. Such self-selection bias can lead to the sample not being representative of the whole population, and hence researchers need to consider both active and dropped-out users for a well-rounded picture of online health communities. This is particularly important for public health officials to make special efforts to reach these dropouts and offer more intensive support when they are trying to recover. Second, high attrition rates are often regarded as negative outcomes in online interventions, particularly in those delivered over a purposely designed website [11,31,32]. However, this may or may not be the case in interventions over general social media sites (eg, Twitter) depending on how targeted populations use these sites. For example, when an intervention is delivered in an online community in which members often shared harmful content, a high attrition rate (ie, members dropping out of the harmful community) may not be a negative outcome. Using automated data-mining techniques to track users’ behaviors (eg, emotions and posting interests), as used in this study, can provide more detailed information about people’s use of online health communities and improve our understanding of attrition in online interventions. Third, interventions that recommend content containing positive emotions to ED users (not limited to ED-related content but more general content containing happiness and inspiration) may reduce their engagement in a harmful online community. This aligns with Fredrickson’s broaden-and-build model which argues that cultivating positive emotions is useful to prevent and treat mental health problems [90]. Finally, intervention strategies could be tailored for different individuals depending on their positions in the social network of an online community. For example, identifying central individuals as change agents might enhance the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of an intervention because of their greater influence potential through larger numbers of social ties [91] and also their longer-lasting effects through longer-term participation in the community.

Limitations

First, we recognized that self-diagnosis information on Twitter might be itself self-censored by users to align with their personality traits and perceptions of their audience on the platform. People may not use tags such as “eating disorder” to self-report their experience of illness and would be excluded by our collection methods. Also, although over 208,000 users and over 241,000,000 tweets are studied in this study, a small sample of rich social media data is used to explore the attrition of ED communities on Twitter. Thus, generalization of our results to all ED-related online communities should be cautious. Second, our measures of dropout are based on posting activity, whereas some people primarily use Twitter to receive outside information but rarely post their own information. We have little activity data on these users and hence less understanding of the characteristics of their dropout. This thus raises important issues that need further research to enhance our understanding of attrition in online health communities, such as consensus and clarity about the definition of dropout. Third, our study focused on the Twitter platform, without validation on other platforms. However, stopping using a platform can be related to the attractiveness of the platform. Hence, future research is also in need to examine many other factors that we did not explore but can affect dropout on social media, such as individual personality, physical health states, perceptions, and purposes of using a particular social media platform. Fourth, user accounts on a social media site are often not unique—an individual may have multiple accounts on the same site. Thus, we cannot be certain whether individuals who stopped using an account will engage in the same or similar online communities through other accounts. In other words, the dropout of a user account may not necessarily imply that an individual abandons a specific identity (eg, pro-ED) shared within a community. Finally, although our methodology allows us to establish a causal link from emotions to dropout behavior, it offers limited insights into the pathways through which this link exactly operates, and future work is needed to explore such issues in detail.

Conclusions

This study presented a systemic characterization of attrition in an ED community on Twitter. Our analysis offered the first attempt toward the estimation of the effects of personal emotions and network centrality on dropout behaviors in individuals affected by ED on Twitter. Our results provided new insights into the trajectories that ED communities develop online which can help public health officials to better understand individual needs in using online ED communities and provided tailored support for individuals with different needs.
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Abstract

Background: The internet and social media have become an important source for health information. In 2017, the State of Kuwait ranked first in mobile subscription penetration in the Arab world; nearly 90% of its population uses the internet. Electronic health (eHealth) literacy is important in populations that have easy and affordable access to internet resources to more effectively manage health conditions as well as improve general population health.

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess eHealth literacy levels across internet users in Kuwait and identify demographic characteristics that influence eHealth literacy. Furthermore, the study aimed to identify the reasons and type of information that people seek online. Finally, this study examined the utilization of various social media channels for accessing online health information. The social media platforms considered were as follows: WhatsApp, Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, Facebook, and Snapchat.

Methods: A cross-sectional anonymous Web-based survey was used to collect data about eHealth literacy and related information. The eHealth literacy scale (eHEALS), originally developed by Norman and Skinner, is measured using 8 Likert-type scales. A linear regression model estimates the effect of demographic variables such as age, gender, and education on eHealth literacy while controlling for participants’ perceived usefulness and importance of the internet. Participants were also surveyed about their frequency in using social media platforms for seeking health information.

Results: Kuwait’s composite eHEALS, based on a sample of 386 participants, was 28.63, which is very similar to eHEALS observed among adult populations in other developed countries. Females in Kuwait demonstrated a higher average eHEALS compared with males. Among the social media platforms, the survey results indicated that YouTube is the most frequently used to seek health information, with Facebook being the least frequently used.

Conclusions: Internet users in Kuwait appear confident in their ability to search for health-related information online compared with other populations, as indicated by aggregate eHEALS scores. Considering this finding, government and health care organizations should shift more efforts from traditional media toward online health information, focusing on the social media outlets that people in Kuwait find more useful for seeking health information.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e11174) doi:10.2196/11174

KEYWORDS
eHEALS; literacy; health information; information-seeking; informatics; Arab; Kuwait
Introduction

Nearly 4.1 billion individuals have access to the internet worldwide [1]. Among its many purposes, the internet has become a popular destination for individuals seeking information about health, diet, and lifestyle [2-4]. Accordingly, there has been an uptick in the propagation of electronic health (eHealth) apps that support health care delivery [5], and the topic has received increased attention from health care institutions and health informatics researchers [6].

Online Health Information as a Health Care Resource for Patients

Internet searches for health-related information online have been increasing exponentially, catalyzed by affordable access to the World Wide Web and the proliferation of mobile phones, including smartphones [7]. However, despite this large supply of health-related information online, many individuals are not able to use this information to make informed health decisions [8]. Seeking health information online requires eHealth literacy, which is defined as the ability to read, use computers, search for information, understand health information, and put it into context [9]. A prerequisite for one’s health management is an adequate level of knowledge about how to manage his or her diseases, conditions, and lifestyle[10-12]. Therefore, eHealth literacy becomes important for patients to be in charge of their health. Norman and Skinner [13] developed the eHealth Literacy Scale (eHEALS) to measure an individual’s skills in finding, evaluating, and applying online health information. This scale has since been widely adopted and tested in numerous contexts [14-23].

Combating Noncommunicable Diseases With Information

Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), such as diabetes and hypertension, have a great toll on health care systems [24,25]. Patients with NCDs must manage their conditions and adapt their lifestyles to gradually reduce the need for constant supervision of a health care professional [26]. Online health information is especially valuable for patients living with NCDs, who must eventually transition to self-management of their condition by seeking health information independently [27]. Providing patient-centered information resources to people with NCDs can save lives, improve quality of life, and ultimately reduce the burden on the health care system [28,29]. Therefore, it is essential that patients are able to independently identify, locate, process, and use the necessary information that can help them manage these diseases [14,30].

Examining Electronic Health Literacy in an Internet-Savvy and Disease-Prone Population

The accessibility and widespread use of the internet and social media in the Middle East region can be a cost-effective mechanism for delivering health information to masses and creating support communities [31]. In this study, we consider the case of the State of Kuwait and the prevalence of eHealth literacy in Kuwait. This context is interesting because some of the population’s characteristics create great potential for eHealth literacy to augment traditional health care delivery channels.

Kuwait is an oil-rich country with a high per capita gross domestic product and an affluent population that ranked first in mobile subscription penetration in the Arab world with nearly 90% of its population having access to the internet [32]. The World Health Organization reports that 72% of all deaths in Kuwait are attributed to NCDs [33]. The population in Kuwait struggles with numerous health issues such as high rates of obesity and other NCDs across most demographics such as diabetes (14.6% of the population), hypertension (15.7% of the population), and osteoarthritis (16%) [34]. Recent research reports that almost 40% of Kuwaiti citizens had prediabetes or diabetes [35].

The combination of internet, affluence, and high rate of NCDs provides a lucrative opportunity to empower patients and reduce health care system costs through the dissemination of online health information. For example, through the use of social media, patients, as well as their caregivers, can share personal information relevant to the disease, ask for help, and seek disease-specific emotional support [36,37].

This study targets the internet users in Kuwait and aims to (1) assess eHealth literacy rates, (2) gauge perceptions about the utility and importance of the internet as a source of health information, (3) determine demographic characteristics (such as age, internet usage, gender, and education) that influence eHealth literacy rates, (4) identify reasons for seeking health information online, and (5) examine the frequency of using social media channels to seek health information.

Methods

Study Design

This cross-sectional study [38] was initiated after obtaining the necessary ethical approvals from the Research Ethics Committee at Kuwait University, and it was conducted in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. A convenience sampling approach was followed to recruit participants from the general population in Kuwait between September and October 2017 based on 3 inclusion criteria: respondents were aged 18 years or older, resided in Kuwait, and agreed to voluntarily participate in this anonymous study.

Survey Instrument

The Web-based, self-administered, voluntary, and anonymous questionnaire consisted of the following 5 parts:

First, it involved collecting demographic information including age, gender, education, and internet use.

Second, respondents were asked about the reasons for seeking online health information and the types of health information sought online. Under each of these categories, participants provided binary, yes or no, answers to choices related to that category.

Third, the 8 items of the eHEALS were included [13]. Respondents rated their level of agreement with each of the 8 items on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. Therefore, the theoretical range of the composite score is between 8 and 40. Furthermore, 2 supplemental
eHEALS questions measuring perceived usefulness and importance of accessing health information online, used in similar studies [39], were also included. These questions were also measured on a scale of 1 to 5.

Fourth, following previous studies, 2 additional questions related to the reasons for and types of information sought online were included [15,40].

Finally, participants rated, on a scale of 1 to 5 (1=Never, 5=Always), their frequency in using social media platforms as a venue for seeking health information. Participants rated the following 6 social media platforms: WhatsApp, Twitter, YouTube, Snapchat, Instagram, and Facebook.

Bilingual faculty members in the Information Science and the Health Informatics disciplines translated the survey instrument from English to Arabic. Initially, the Information Science faculty member translated the survey from English to Arabic and then a Health Informatics faculty member checked this translation. A graduate student back-translated the survey from Arabic to English. The back-translated survey was checked for accuracy and clarity and approved by the researchers. Moreover, 10 individuals, who were colleagues of 1 of the researchers, were contacted to pilot the survey with the researcher face to face. In addition, 5 individuals tested the English version of the survey, and the other 5 individuals tested the translated Arabic version. Feedback from the pilot survey provided valuable insights to clarify concepts, questions, design, and the structure of the final survey instrument.

Data Collection
The survey was published online using LimeSurvey hosted on the researchers’ server. The online survey was made available in both the Arabic and English languages.

A broad combination of strategies similar to those used by other studies was used to identify the convenience sample [41]. In addition to disseminating the survey to the researchers’ contacts and to capitalize on the heavy traffic around universities and shopping centers, open invitations to participate were circulated via printed posters at these locations. The posters were strategically displayed at the front entrance of 8 shopping centers distributed across Kuwait’s 6 governorates. The posters were also displayed on student boards across 11 colleges in Kuwait’s state university. The recruitment posters at universities allowed inviting a mixed group of students, faculty, and staff who were likely to use the internet given the nature of higher education. The recruitment posters at shopping centers allowed to expand the sampling pool to include a diverse set of the general population in Kuwait who regularly visit the cooperative store but may not currently attend a university or have graduated from a university.

The researchers also circulated electronic invitations through various mediums including emails, short message service (SMS) text messages, and social media. Initially, the researchers sent email invitations to their network of family, friends, and colleagues, inviting them to participate and help pass on the invitation to others. SMS text messages were sent via WhatsApp to an expanded network of the researchers’ contacts, especially as some potential participants may not use or check emails. To recruit participants via social media, the researchers mainly used Twitter to announce the study. The researchers approached major Twitter accounts followed by people in Kuwait to help voluntarily tweet or retweet about the study and how to participate.

Data Analysis
The survey data were analyzed using the R software (version 3.5) developed by R Core Team [42]. The eHEALS score was analyzed, and its distribution was examined. Ordinary least squares regression was utilized to assess how demographics and perceptions can influence the eHEALS. Factors of interest were collected via the survey to examine their correlation with eHEALS. Factors included in the analysis were age, gender, education, and internet usage. We controlled for the perceived usefulness and perceived importance of the internet because these 2 measures were significant correlates to the eHEALS.

Results
Respondents’ Characteristics
Participant demographic information is shown in Table 1. In total, 615 people attempted the survey, and 386 fully completed it (completion rate of 62.7%, 386/615). Of the respondents, 63% (243/386) were females, and 37% (143/386) were males. Furthermore, 63% of participants (244/386) accessed the internet for 3 hours or more per day. Compared with the general population in Kuwait, more females participated in the survey than males. In terms of age, our sample was more skewed toward older participants compared with the general population in Kuwait.

Electronic Health Literacy
The eHealth literacy score, which was calculated as the composite of the 8 items, had a mean of 28.63, median of 29, and SD of 5.6. The dispersion of the observations appears normally distributed with a range between 8 and 40.

Instrument Dimensionality
We ran a confirmatory factor analysis for a single factor model where all 8 items load onto 1-factor and a 3-factor model. The 3-factor model is based on recent studies that parse the eHEALS items into subgroups [43,44]. The fit statistics of the 2 models are presented in Table 2. We found that the 3-factor model demonstrated a better fit based on the global fit indices. However, the correlations between the 3 factors were statistically significant, indicating that they are subscales to an overarching unidimensional structure.
Table 1. Respondents' demographics (n=386).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Statistics, n (%)</th>
<th>Kuwait population, %&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>143 (37.0)</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>243 (63.0)</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-19</td>
<td>23&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (5.7)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>113 (29.3)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>121 (31.3)</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>56 (14.5)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>57 (14.8)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>16 (4.1)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school or lower</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>—&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>69 (17.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>43 (11.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>185 (47.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>48 (12.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate degree</td>
<td>33 (8.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>82 (21.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>232 (60.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>26 (6.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>46 (11.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 hour per day</td>
<td>32 (8.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 hours per day</td>
<td>110 (28.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 hours per day</td>
<td>99 (25.6)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+ hours per day</td>
<td>145 (37.6)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Kuwait population statistics are from the Kuwait Central Bureau of Statistics estimate for January 1, 2018.<br><sup>b</sup>Respondents in this group were either 18 or 19 years old.<br><sup>c</sup>Data not available.

Table 2. Confirmatory factor analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodness of fit statistics</th>
<th>1-Factor model</th>
<th>3-Factor model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comparative fit index</td>
<td>.899</td>
<td>.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker-Lewis index</td>
<td>.858</td>
<td>.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root mean square error of approximation</td>
<td>.142</td>
<td>.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized root mean square residual</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In response to the item *How useful do you feel the internet (including social media) is in helping you in making decisions about your health?*, 81% (312/386) of the participants considered the internet useful or very useful. In response to *How important is it for you to be able to access health resources on the internet (including social media)?*, 77% (296/386) of the participants expressed that it was important (or very important) to be able to access health resources on the internet.
The 2 items are correlated with one another with a correlation coefficient of .52. In addition, both perceived usefulness and perceived importance of the internet correlate highly with the eHealth literacy score, at .426 and .431, respectively.

**Factors Related to Electronic Health Literacy**

Demographic characteristics were considered when investigating the study’s third aim of determining any factors that contribute to eHealth literacy rates. The results of the regression model are presented in Table 3. The model was statistically significant, explaining 28% of the variance in eHEALS observations ($F_{11,374}=12.29$, $P<.001$).

**Age** (as measured by year of birth) was not a significant predictor of eHEALS after controlling for other factors. With regard to **gender**, the model predicts that males have a lower eHEALS score than females ($\beta=-.23$, $P<.05$) after controlling for other factors.

In terms of **education**, individuals with a Doctorate degree are expected to have a higher eHEALS score compared with bachelor’s degree holders by an average of 3.5 points, controlling for all other factors. Finally, individuals who used the internet more than 5 hours a day on average are expected to have a higher eHEALS compared with individuals who used the internet an average of 1 to 3 hours daily.

**Types and Reasons for Seeking Health Information Online**

In addition to measuring the eHEALS score, the study aimed to identify the type of health information sought via the internet and social media, as well as the reasons that the participants sought them. The top health information sought online by participants included information about a disease or medical problem and medical treatment or procedure. The least common types were online support group and search for a particular physician or hospital (refer to Table 4).

In terms of reasons for seeking health information online, most participants cited reasons related to having a general curiosity about a topic (ie, to be more informed and just out of interest). On the other hand, the least common reasons for seeking health information online were limited time with health professional and disagree with health professional’s opinion (refer to Table 5).

### Table 3. Regression model predicting electronic health literacy (n=386).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable $^a$</th>
<th>B (SE)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>25.93 (46.97)</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>-1.72 (374)</td>
<td>.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness</td>
<td>2.06 (0.39)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>5.34 (374)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>1.87 (0.36)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>5.18 (374)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Male=1, Female=0)</td>
<td>−1.29 (0.53)</td>
<td>−.23</td>
<td>-2.42 (374)</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>−.02</td>
<td>-0.30 (374)</td>
<td>.766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Education** $^b$

- Primary school
- High school
- Diploma
- Master’s degree
- Doctorate degree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education $^b$</th>
<th>B (SE)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>0.41 (1.78)</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.23 (374)</td>
<td>.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>0.73 (0.75)</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>0.98 (374)</td>
<td>.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>0.40 (0.84)</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.47 (374)</td>
<td>.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>1.24 (0.80)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>1.55 (374)</td>
<td>.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate degree</td>
<td>3.52 (0.97)</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>3.64 (374)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Internet usage** $^c$

- Less than 1 hour
- 3-5 hours
- More than 5 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internet usage $^c$</th>
<th>B (SE)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 hour</td>
<td>0.25 (1.01)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>0.25 (374)</td>
<td>.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 hours</td>
<td>0.87 (0.70)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>1.24 (374)</td>
<td>.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 5 hours</td>
<td>1.42 (0.67)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>2.13 (374)</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

$^a R^2=0.28$. $F=12.29$ on 12 and 374 degrees of freedom ($P<.001$).

$^b$ Education variables are binary variables that compare with bachelor’s degree holders.

$^c$ Internet usage variables are binary variables that compare with the 1- to 3-hour usage group.
Table 4. Types of health information sought online (n=386).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of health information sought online</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A disease or medical problem</td>
<td>284 (73.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical treatment or procedure</td>
<td>237 (61.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet, nutrition, and vitamins</td>
<td>219 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td>202 (56.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports and exercise</td>
<td>196 (50.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A particular physician or hospital</td>
<td>137 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online support groups</td>
<td>35 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFor each item, participants were requested to answer yes or no.
bPercentages represent the proportion of participants (out of the 386) who answered yes.

Table 5. Reasons for seeking health information online (n=386).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for seeking health information online</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To be more informed</td>
<td>224 (58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just out of interest</td>
<td>203 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help manage my own condition</td>
<td>182 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look for alternative or additional treatment options</td>
<td>146 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify information that has been given to me by a health professional</td>
<td>139 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check information discussed during a consultation with a health professional</td>
<td>122 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have information to read</td>
<td>107 (27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient information from a health professional</td>
<td>79 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited time with a health professional</td>
<td>57 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree with a health professional’s opinion</td>
<td>52 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFor each item, participants were requested to answer yes or no.
bPercentages represent the proportion of participants (out of the 386) who answered yes.

Social Media Channels for Health Information

Participants indicated their frequency of using various social media platforms for seeking health information online. Table 6 provides the means and SDs of participant responses, as well as the prevalence of these platforms among the general population in Kuwait. All these platforms demonstrate a relatively high level of market penetration in Kuwait led by WhatsApp and Facebook with 84% and 75% penetration, respectively [45].

Participants generally conveyed that they Never or Rarely use these social media platforms for seeking health information. The exception was YouTube, where about 50% of the participants indicated that they use the platform Always or Sometimes compared with 28% who indicated Never or Rarely. Facebook was the least desirable social media platform for seeking health information, with 71% of the respondents indicating that they never use this platform for seeking health information. This was followed by Snapchat with 45% unfavorable opinions (Never or Rarely). Twitter was also not deemed a reliable source of health information. WhatsApp and Instagram showed a relatively uniform number of responses across the 1 to 5 scale.
Table 6. Use frequency of social media platforms for seeking health information (n=377).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social media platform</th>
<th>Mean (SD)(^a)</th>
<th>Platform penetration in Kuwait, 2015, %(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YouTube</td>
<td>3.34 (1.31)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram</td>
<td>2.96 (1.42)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WhatsApp</td>
<td>2.92 (1.53)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>2.72 (1.53)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat</td>
<td>2.34 (1.49)</td>
<td>_(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>1.60 (1.11)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The mean is based on a 1 to 5 scale (1=Never, 5=Always).

\(^b\)Source: Arab Social Media Report [45]

\(^c\)No data.

Discussion

Principal Findings

To date, a limited number of studies have investigated eHealth literacy in the Middle East [17]. To our knowledge, no previous research has studied eHealth literacy rates in Kuwait, as well as the types of health information people seek and reasons why people seek health information online. The importance of eHealth literacy will continue to grow as more people gain access to the World Wide Web and as patients increasingly expect to be active consumers of health care services [16].

When the eHEALS scale was first developed in 2006, it was initially validated as a unidimensional scale [13]. This scale has since been measured and revalidated across many populations and demographics. More recent studies have shown that the 8 items reflect a 3-factor scale [43,44]. The 3 factor–scale measures awareness (2 items), skill (3 items), and evaluation (3 items). Nonetheless, researchers who have observed the multidimensional scale note a significant correlation among all 3 factors, which is indicative of an overarching unidimensional structure [44].

Despite some evidence that several subscales preside within eHEALS, we follow Hyde’s [43] recommendation to analyze the eHEALS as a unidimensional factor. Therefore, our analysis considers the full eHEALS, which also allows us to compare our results with that of other studies. The reported eHEALS score in this study is similar to the eHEALS scores observed in other developed countries (see Table 7). The majority of the participants indicated that the internet is a valuable source for health-related information.

Furthermore, 2 of the most important factors in predicting a participant’s eHealth literacy were the perceived importance of accessing health information through the internet and the perceived usefulness of the internet in guiding health-related decisions. This finding is consistent with previous studies and commonly associated with eHEALS [47].

The results revealed that females, on average, demonstrate higher eHEALS than males. After controlling for factors such as education, internet usage, and age, gender’s effect on eHEALS was statistically significant in our regression analysis (Table 3). In terms of using health services, previous studies observe that females visited physicians more often than males, consumed more diagnostic services, and had more hospitalizations even after excluding pregnancy-related visits [49,50]. When comparing differences in internet use, research has shown that the main drivers for internet usage among females were interpersonal communication and educational use, whereas entertainment and leisure were main drivers for males [51]. An educational motive combined with higher consumption of health services provides some logic as to why females may demonstrate higher eHealth literacy over males.

Our results indicate limited perceived value from accessing health information through social media, as demonstrated by low reliance on social media platforms (with YouTube being a slight exception). This finding corroborates previous studies, which find that evidence related to the efficacy and effectiveness of social media is currently limited [52]. Although the use of social media may have a promising future, there is a present need to engage and educate consumers about accessing health information via social media [53,54].

Many of the participants in this study indicated that they searched YouTube the most for health information but did not place high value on other social media platforms such as Twitter, Snapchat, and Facebook. In a classroom setting, online videos [are] by far the most common type of social media used…with 80% of faculty reporting some form of class use of online video [55]. This in-classroom finding may be extended to a general context as well, making online videos an effective medium for consuming information. Alternatively, it may be the ease of searchability of YouTube, which is a content-centric platform as compared with the other user-centric platforms.
Facebook appears to have the least credibility as a source of health information even though it is the most widely recognized platform in Kuwait [45] and the most widely used platform for news [56]. Furthermore, an explanation for this may be a general lack of reliability of information that spreads on that platform. In fact, the spreading of fake news and rumors on Facebook has become so widespread that the company has attempted to take direct action [57]. Rumors and fake information that circulate via Twitter have also been criticized and researched in recent years [58,59]. This drives us to hypothesize that it is perhaps the perceived quality of information on a platform that drives preference for health information sources or perhaps it is the type of content; quality online videos generally take more effort to produce than news articles or blog posts and are, therefore, less likely to be authored by rumor peddlers. More research is needed to confirm or refute these observations.

In this study, most participants believed that online health information helped them make decisions about their health. This presents an opportunity for health care organizations, professionals, and government agencies providing health care services to play a more active role in monitoring, evaluating, and curating health information online [60]. It can be useful to establish policies and guidelines that ensure the credibility and quality of information similar to the HealthOnNet or DISCERN certification efforts of online health-related resources [61-63].

### Study Limitations
Several limitations of this study need to be considered. Given the lack of previous research about eHEALS in the region, we started with the survey approach. Although the eHEALS scale

---

**Table 7. Similar studies examining Electronic Health Literacy Scale around the world.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (N)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Electronic Health Literacy Scale</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Britt et al [46] (422)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>31.92&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>College students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudbury-Riley et al [16] (313)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>30.48&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudbury-Riley et al [16] (407)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>29.28&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudbury-Riley et al [16] (276)</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>28.72&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richtering et al [47] (453)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>Moderate-to-high cardiovascular risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giudice et al [48] (868)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>28.20</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>Health-literate group versus general public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al [39] (500)</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>28.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>Young adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubaishat et al [17] (541)</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>28.96&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>Undergraduate nursing students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennant et al [19] (283)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>29.05</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>Baby boomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al [15] (400)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>Patients with chronic health conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suri et al [20] (1,062)</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>23.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>College students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James et al [41] (881)</td>
<td>Florida, United States</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>African American adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al [21]; Study 1 (73)</td>
<td>Texas, United States</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>28.24</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>Low-income adults, under 60 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al [21]; Study 2 (218)</td>
<td>Texas, United States</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>25.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>Low-income adults over 60 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsutake et al [18] (2,115)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>General population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghaddar et al [22] (261)</td>
<td>Texas, United States</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>High school students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Vaart et al [9] Study 1 (189)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>28.20</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>Patients with rheumatic diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Vaart et al [9] Study 2 (88)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>27.60</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>Stratified sample of the Dutch population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsutake et al [23] (2,970)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>Japanese internet users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>This refers to the year the study was conducted and not necessarily the year it was published.

<sup>b</sup>The indicated studies reported eHEALS as an average of the 8 items. To allow for a direct comparison with the other studies and our own (sum of the 8 items), we converted the average scale into a sum scale by multiplying the mean and SD by 8.

<sup>c</sup>Not applicable.
is widely used to assess eHealth literacy, we recognize this as a potential limitation as the scale only captures participants' perceptions and not their actual performance [9]. In the future, more experimental studies are required to measure actual eHealth literacy performance and develop a more reliable self-assessment instrument. In addition, the results may have been influenced by the voluntary bias of the participants who were already interested in using the internet to search for information online. It may also be informative in future efforts to ask how often participants sought the health information for themselves versus for others such as a child or an elderly person.

Given our convenience sampling approach, we are not able to accurately report the survey response rates. However, this approach was useful because it provided us with a sizable sample in a reasonable amount of time. Another limitation of the study is that our sample may not accurately represent the population of Kuwait. Although our sampling approach allows for the collection of a large sample, it introduces the potential for sample selection bias. As shown in Table 1, the sample’s age distribution does not accurately reflect Kuwait’s population. Furthermore, university graduates are overrepresented in the sample. The 20- to 29-year old demographic and those with a university degree are also overrepresented in our sample, likely because 1 of the survey’s distribution channels was university colleges. Given that younger people are generally more tech-savvy and computer-literate, this sample bias may have inflated the eHEALS results. Therefore, we caution readers about the generalizability of the study and call for future research in the region to validate or update our study’s results.

Conclusions

This study reports on eHealth literacy rates among internet users in Kuwait, as well as their perceptions about the utility and importance of the internet as a source of health information. The findings reveal high eHEALS score among the participants, suggesting that many internet users in Kuwait are confident in their ability to search for health-related information online. This high confidence and the high frequency of accessing the internet reported by the participants presents a myriad of opportunities to better engage patients digitally and conveniently. Our exploration of social media platforms as outlets for eHealth may provide guidance about how to best reach the intended audiences and stimulate further research. YouTube appears to be the most effective platform for delivering health information. Health care organizations, professionals, and government agencies providing health care services need to play a more active role in monitoring, evaluating, and curating online health information. There is a need to establish policies and guidelines that ensure the credibility and quality of information.
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Abstract

Background: Clinical information models (CIMs) enabling semantic interoperability are crucial for electronic health record (EHR) data use and reuse. Dual model methodology, which distinguishes the CIMs from the technical domain, could help enable the interoperability of EHRs at the knowledge level. How to help clinicians and domain experts discover CIMs from an open repository online to represent EHR data in a standard manner becomes important.

Objective: This study aimed to develop a retrieval method to identify CIMs online to represent EHR data.

Methods: We proposed a graphical retrieval method and validated its feasibility using an online CIM repository: openEHR Clinical Knowledge Manager (CKM). First, we represented CIMs (archetypes) using an extended Bayesian network. Then, an inference process was run in the network to discover relevant archetypes. In the evaluation, we defined three retrieval tasks (medication, laboratory test, and diagnosis) and compared our method with three typical retrieval methods (BM25F, simple Bayesian network, and CKM), using mean average precision (MAP), average precision (AP), and precision at 10 (P@10) as evaluation metrics.

Results: We downloaded all available archetypes from the CKM. Then, the graphical model was applied to represent the archetypes as a four-level clinical resources network. The network consisted of 5513 nodes, including 3982 data element nodes, 504 concept nodes, 504 duplicated concept nodes, and 523 archetype nodes, as well as 9867 edges. The results showed that our method achieved the best MAP (MAP=0.32), and the AP was almost equal across different retrieval tasks (AP=0.35, 0.31, and 0.30, respectively). In the diagnosis retrieval task, our method could successfully identify the models covering “diagnostic reports,” “problem list,” “patients background,” “clinical decision,” etc, as well as models that other retrieval methods could not find, such as “problems and diagnoses.”

Conclusions: The graphical retrieval method we propose is an effective approach to meet the uncertainty of finding CIMs. Our method can help clinicians and domain experts identify CIMs to represent EHR data in a standard manner, enabling EHR data to be exchangeable and interoperable.

doi:10.2196/13504
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Introduction

Electronic health record (EHR) data can be used and reused for many purposes, including managing an individual patient’s care, medical and health services research, and management of health care facilities. More recently, EHR data has been defined as a part of real-world data [1] and is increasingly seen as a viable source of data for regulatory decisions [2]. However, bias can occur in different steps of the data chain, which might lead to incomparable or invalid analysis results [3].
Semantic interoperability is essential for accurate and advanced health-related computing, shared EHRs, and coordination of clinical care across clinical systems [4,5]. According to ISO/TS 18308 (a standard published by the International Organization for Standardization defining the set of requirements for EHR architecture), it is the ability for data shared by systems to be understood at the level of fully defined domain concepts [6].

To achieve this, a two-level clinical modeling methodology is proposed to separate clinical knowledge from information models [7]. It distinguishes two models: the reference model (RM), which contains the basic and stable properties of health record information, and the clinical information model (CIM), which formally defines clinical concepts (or domain content models) in a standardized and reusable manner, such as blood pressure [8,9]. In this scenario, CIMs in agreement at an organizational, regional, national, or international level will provide a firm basis for establishing semantic interoperability [9].

This two-level modeling approach is used in the ISO/CEN EN13606 (a standard designed to achieve semantic interoperability in EHR communication) [10] and openEHR (described subsequently) [11], as well as Health Level Seven (HL7) version 3 Clinical Document Architecture (HL7's primary standard for representing structured clinical documentation on patients) and Care Provision messages (information structures used to communicate information between providers of care) [12]. For openEHR and ISO/CEN EN13606, CIMs are defined in the form of archetypes, whereas those of HL7 are in the form of HL7 templates. According to the systematic review done by Moreno-Conde et al [13], archetypes are the preferred type of technical artifacts, and openEHR is most frequently mentioned. Therefore, CIMs in our study specifically refer to openEHR archetypes.

OpenEHR is an open-source EHR standard ensuring universal interoperability among all forms of electronic data [14-21]. It is well known for its two-level design paradigm, consisting of an RM, archetypes, and templates. Archetypes are computable clinical content specifications that formalize the patterns and requirements for the representation of health information content [9]. To achieve common, coherent, and clinician-approved archetypes, the openEHR community provides a Web-based controlled authoring environment for a wide range of domain experts, especially clinicians, to participate in the creation of archetypes. All contributions are open access and freely available under a Creative Commons license. Archetypes are general purpose, reusable, and composable; therefore, searching for reusable archetypes from archetype repositories is essential throughout the development process [22,23]. Documents with complete archetype design specifications are the input; lists of existing reusable archetypes, either complete or needing modifications, and new archetypes to be developed from scratch are the output [23]. The crucial problem is how to find the relevant ones from open repositories to help identify reusable archetypes.

The openEHR community provides the Clinical Knowledge Manager (CKM) [24] to be a library of openEHR archetypes. It supports their retrieval based on clinical concepts in different sections of archetypes. When the end user enters a term, the CKM will return the archetype that contains the word in metadata, definition, or ontology section. It could help find reusable archetypes [25]. However, domain experts are mainly concerned about whether the concept name and core data items are covered [17,26,27], and they may be not familiar with openEHR archetypes, especially clinicians. For better results, end users usually need to do a large amount of preparatory work, which may include classifying and rearranging data [27], abstracting clinical concepts from data schemas [17], and identifying archetype-friendly concepts from clinical statements [26]. It is an iterative and time-consuming process.

We aimed to develop a retrieval method to identify archetypes online to represent EHR data and optimize existing retrieval results of the CKM. Archetypes usually have their own hierarchical structures, and semantic relationships occur between different archetypes; therefore, we considered that the graphical representation of this potential knowledge might support the retrieval of CIMs. Previous studies show that graphs could efficiently represent clinical knowledge [28-30], and the Bayesian network, as a probabilistic graphical model, is an effective methodology to meet the uncertainty of information needs. Rotmensch et al [30] used a naive Bayes classifier and a Bayesian network to automatically construct a health knowledge graph from electronic medical records. However, in retrieval tasks, differences between Bayesian network-based information retrieval methods mainly lie in the structure of the network, and this structure depends on dependencies between the variables involved in the problem. The basic Bayesian network consists of two different sets of variables, a set of indexing terms and a set of documents in the collection, and the relationships between them [31]. Related research has been conducted to extend a simple Bayesian network for better results. Some methods focus on the structure of the term subnetwork using a polytree [32,33] or two term layers [34,35] to represent term relationships. Some focus on the structure of the document subnetwork using two document layers [36] to represent document relationships. Compared with the previous studies, we focused on the probabilistic graphical representation of openEHR archetype sets, which depends on relationships between the variables involved in finding relevant archetypes, and how the inference process is carried out, aiming for better retrieval performance.

Methods

Information Need Analysis

To find relevant archetypes from the open repository, we first had to understand which kinds of terms end users tended to enter. As archetype modeling methodology [23] shows, domain experts identify core clinical concepts and related data elements involved in a particular scenario and organize them into mind maps or design tables. These archetype design specifications are the main source of search keywords. We considered that the input of end users was mainly the names of clinical concepts or related data elements.

Ideally, the user enters the clinical concept and the system feeds back the archetype defining the concept, or the user enters data elements related to a concept and the system feeds back the
There are also relationships between archetypes, including specialization and aggregation. An archetype is a specialization of another if it mentions that archetype as its parent and only makes changes to its definition. Aggregation enables any subset of archetypes to be stated as the allowed set for use in a compositional parent archetype. In general, archetypes tend to provide highly reusable models of real-world content with local constraining left to templates, which may result in matching as many archetypes as possible when defining archetype slots. For example, “openEHR-EHR-CLUSTER.device_details.v1” allows the inclusion of 199 archetypes. We thought that such cases might blur the semantic relationship between archetypes. In addition, version control is an integral part of the openEHR architecture. When an archetype updates, the old version could not be found in the archetype library. Therefore, we only added the parent archetype ID as the feature (Figure 1).

Furthermore, there are four main categories of archetypes, including COMPOSITION, SECTION, ENTRY, and CLUSTER, each defined as part of the openEHR RM. A COMPOSITION is a container class, whereas a SECTION is an organizing class, each containing ENTRY objects [16]. The ENTRY class is further specialized into ADMIN_ENTRY, OBSERVATION, EVALUATION, INSTRUCTION, and ACTION subclasses, of which the latter four are kinds of CARE_ENTRY. CLUSTERS are reusable archetypes for use within any ENTRY or other CLUSTER. In addition, the openEHR designs Demographic archetypes for demographic information. Thereby, archetypes could be mainly divided into COMPOSITION, SECTION, ENTRY, CLUSTER, and DEMOGRAPHIC. However, these archetype categories will not obscure the clinical content, and we did not use these as the feature.

**Graphical Retrieval Method Based on an Extended Bayesian Network**

**Archetype Feature Identification and Extraction**

Based on information need analysis, we attempted to use clinical concepts and data elements to represent each archetype. An archetype is expressed in Archetype Definition Language (ADL) and mainly consists of three sections (Figure 1). The header contains a unique identifier for the archetype and includes some descriptive information, such as concept name and keywords; the definition contains the main formal definition of the archetype, including all possible data elements that could be relevant for the clinical concept; and the ontology contains the code that represents the meaning of nodes. We considered that clinical concepts were the topics of archetypes, whereas keywords and data elements explained the meaning of topics from different perspectives. Thus, we extracted archetype ID, concepts, keywords, and data elements based on ADL files parsing as features (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** An example of archetype feature identification and extraction.
**Clinical Resources Network Modeling**

We attempted to use a three-level Bayesian network to represent the dependencies among data elements, concepts, and archetypes (Figure 2). The first is the data element layer. It contains the set of indexing data elements \( T = \{T_i, i=1\ldots M\} \), \( M \) being the number of data elements from a given archetype collection. Each data element node is linked to its corresponding concept node in the clinical concept layer. The second is the clinical concept layer. It contains the set of indexing concepts \( C = \{C_j, j=1\ldots N\} \), \( N \) being the number of concepts. The third layer contains the set of archetypes \( A = \{A_k, k=1\ldots K\} \), \( K \) being the total number of archetypes in the collection. If \( A_k \) is a specialization of another archetype \( A_p \) which defines \( C_j \), there is a link joining any concept node \( C_j \) and any archetype node \( A_k \).

However, data elements are unevenly distributed across different types of archetypes, especially for container classes. When two archetypes have few data elements and terms used are totally different, such as "openEHR-EHR-COMPOSITION.medication_list.v0" and "openEHR-EHR-SECTION.medication_order_list.v0," it is difficult to find correlation between them. Therefore, we tried to include relationships between concepts in the model to extend the similarity between archetypes. Relationships between concepts were measured by estimating conditional probabilities of relevance of every concept given that another concept was considered relevant [36]. Let \( e(C_i) \) be an event representing some type of evidence about the relevance of a concept \( C_i \). In openEHR, the evidence could be “keywords,” “purpose,” “use,” or other semantic information. In this case, we considered that \( e(C_i) \) as the event \( \{KW \subseteq kw \} \), \( KW \) being the keywords used to describe the concept. Given a concept \( C_j \), we calculated the probabilities \( p(c_j \mid e(C_i)) \) \( \forall C_i \in C \) using equation (a) in Figure 3, where the weight was computed by equation (d) in Figure 3 and \( M_k \) was the number of keywords. After decreasing the ordering of \( p(c_j \mid e(C_i)) \), the top \( n \) concepts \( R_n(C_j) \) were the ones that were more related to \( C_j \). Then, we included in the network-explicit dependence relationships between \( C_j \) and each concept \( C_i \in R_n(C_j) \).

To determine the topology of the Bayesian network, we used a concept subnetwork with two layers instead of the original concept layer. We duplicated each concept node \( C_j \) to obtain another concept node \( C_j \), thus forming a new concept layer, and the arcs connecting the two layers went from \( C_i \in R_n(C_j) \) to \( C_j \). Thus, this directed acyclic graph had the set of variables \( V = T \cup C \cup A \). The new topology avoids connections between nodes in the same layer and facilitates the inference process.

The overall modeling procedure is summarized in Figure 4. First, we extracted archetype ID, clinical concept, and data elements from the ADL files (detailed in section “archetype feature identification and extraction”). Second, we learned the dependencies between concepts (detailed previously). Third, we graphically represented the dependencies between the variables.

**Parameters Estimation in the Clinical Resources Network**

In this section, we will discuss how to estimate the probability distributions of each node in the network.

**Data Element Nodes**

A data element node has no parents; therefore, we had to store the probability of relevance \( p(t_i) \) and the probability of being nonrelevant. We used the estimator (Figure 3, equation b), where \( M \) is the number of terms used to index the concept collection.

---

**Figure 2.** Topology of three-level clinical resources network. A: archetype; C: clinical concept; T: data element.
Figure 3. Equations used in our method.

\[
\begin{align*}
(a) & \quad p(c_j | e(C_j)) = \frac{1}{M_k} \sum_{k \in C_j} w_{ij} + \frac{M_k - 1}{M_k} \sum_{i \in C_j \cap C_i} w_{ij} \\
(b) & \quad p(t_i) = \frac{1}{M} \quad \text{and} \quad p(\tilde{t}_i) = 1 - p(t_i) \\
(c) & \quad p(c_j | pa(C_j)) = \sum_{T_i \in pa(C_j), t_i \in pa(C_j)} w_{ij} \\
(d) & \quad w_{ij} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{tf_{ij} \times id_{ij}^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{k \in pa(C_j)} tf_{kj} \times id_{kj}^2}} \\
(e) & \quad p(c'_j | pa(C'_j)) = \frac{1}{s_j} \sum_{C_i \in pa(C'_j), c_i \in pa(C'_j)} p(c_j | e(C_j)) \\
(f) & \quad p(a_k | pa(A_k)) = \sum_{C'_j \in pa(A_k), C'_j \in pa(A_k)} v_{jk} \\
(g) & \quad v_{jk} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha}{n_j}, & R(pa(A_k), A_k) = \text{‘nonspecialized’} \\
\frac{\beta}{n_j}, & R(pa(A_k), A_k) = \text{‘specialized’} 
\end{cases} \\
(h) & \quad p(t_i | Q) = \begin{cases} 
1.0, & T_i \in Q \\
\frac{1}{M}, & T_i \notin Q 
\end{cases} \\
(i) & \quad p(C_j | Q) = \sum_{T_i \in pa(C_j)} w_{ij} p(t_i | Q) \\
(j) & \quad p(C'_j | Q) = \frac{1}{s_j} \sum_{C_i \in pa(C'_j)} p(c_j | e(C_j)) p(c_i | Q) \\
(k) & \quad P(A_k | Q) = \sum_{C'_j \in pa(A_k)} v_{jk} p(C'_j | Q) \\
(l) & \quad BM25F(a_k) = \sum_{t_i \in Q \cap a_k} \frac{x_{a_k, t_i}}{1 + Bf(\frac{t_i}{f} - 1)} w_{t_i} \\
(m) & \quad x_{a_k, t_i} = \sum_{f} \frac{x_{a_k, f, t_i}}{1 + Bf(\frac{t_i}{f} - 1)} w_{f}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4. Clinical resources network modeling pipeline. A: archetype; C: clinical concept; C': duplicated clinical concept; T: data element.

Archetype Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>A3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concept</td>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>medication order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medication order</td>
<td>dosage</td>
<td>0.2331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>therapeutic direction</td>
<td>0.2219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address</td>
<td>0.5257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthcare provider address</td>
<td>0.2656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthcare provider address</td>
<td>0.1922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthcare provider address</td>
<td>0.1088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concept Nodes

For each concept node C_j in the concept subnetwork, we needed to estimate a set of conditional probability distributions p(C_j | pa(C_j)). Pa(C_j) represents the parent nodes set of concept C_j, containing all the data elements belonging to concept C_j, and pa(C_j) is a possible configuration of value associated with the
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parent set \( Pa(C_j) \). We used the estimator (Figure 3, equations c and d) proposed by De Campos et al [33], where \( \alpha \) is a normalizing constant (ensure \( \sum_{C \in Pa(C_j)} \mu_j(C) \leq 1 \)), \( t_{f_j} \) is the term frequency of data element \( T_j \) in concept \( C_j \), and \( idf_j \) is the inverse concept frequency of \( T_j \) in the whole concept collection; 

\[ idf_j = 1 + \log \left( \frac{N}{n_j} \right) \]

Here, \( N \) being the total number of concepts, and \( n_j \) being the total number of concepts containing \( T_j \).

For each concept node \( C_j \), we need to estimate a set of conditional probability distributions \( p(c_j | Pa(C_j)) \). We used the estimator (Figure 3, equation e) proposed by Acid et al [36], where \( S_j = \sum_{C \in Pa(C_j)} p(c_j | e(C_i)) \) and the values \( p(c_j | e(C_i)) \) are obtained when modeling the network.

**Archetype Nodes**

For each archetype node \( A_k \), we needed to estimate a set of conditional probability distributions \( p(a_k | pa(A_k)) \). \( Pa(A_k) \) represents the parent node sets of archetype \( A_k \), containing all the concepts belonging to archetype \( A_k \), and \( p(a_k | Pa(A_k)) \) is a possible configuration of values associated with the parent set \( Pa(A_k) \). \( v_{jk} \) is a constant to represent the weight of a concept for an archetype. The estimator is shown in Figure 3, equations f and g, where \( R(Pa(C_j), A_k) \) represents two different relationships between the concept and archetype, \( n_1 \) is the number of “nonspecialized” archetypes of one concept, and \( n_2 \) is the number of “specialized” archetypes, whereas \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are coefficients for the weight.

**Relevant Archetype Discovering: Inference in the Clinical Resources Network**

To find relevant archetypes is to estimate the probability of relevance \( p(a_k | Q) \) for each archetype, \( Q \) being an end user query.

Given a query \( Q \), the set of terms used to formulate the query will be a new piece of evidence. The retrieval process starts by placing the evidence in the data element subnetwork. Then, the inference process is run in the clinical resources network. This allows us to obtain the probability of relevance of each archetype, given that the terms in the query are relevant, \( p(a_k | Q) \). Finally, the archetypes will be sorted in decreasing order of probability to carry out the evaluation process. The inference process is composed of four stages.

1. Terms in the data element layer are marginally independent; therefore, the probability of relevance \( p(t_j | Q) \) is calculated by equation (h) in Figure 3.
2. Based on the propagation process, the conditional probability of concept \( C_j \) in the concept subnetwork for the query \( Q \) could be calculated by equation (i) in Figure 3.
3. The conditional probability of concept \( C_j \) in the concept subnetwork for the query \( Q \) could be computed using equation (g) in Figure 3.
4. The conditional probability of archetype \( A_k \) for the query \( Q \), \( p(a_k | Q) \) could be carried out using information obtained in the previous step by the equation (k) in Figure 3.

Therefore, the propagation with this topology is to evaluate equations (h), (i), (g), and (k) in Figure 3.

**Experiment Setup**

**Test Queries**

We defined test queries with the following considerations: first, clinical concepts to be retrieved should be essential components of the EHR; second, there should be needs to reuse these clinical contents [37], such as medical events prediction [38], clinical research [39], and disease research [40]; third, queries should allow us to test the performance of retrieval methods in related archetypes identification, including specialized archetypes and compositional parent archetypes. Based on these criteria, we selected medication, laboratory test, and diagnosis as retrieval tasks and formulated three queries (Table 1).

**Data Source**

We downloaded all available archetypes from the CKM [24] for a total of 526 on August 30, 2018. All files were in ADL format. We used the ADL parser [41] to extract features. Among these CIMs, three archetypes did not use English as the description language, so the total number changed to 523.

**Relevance Assessment**

To evaluate retrieval results, we first had to identify relevant archetypes in three retrieval tasks as the gold standard. We manually annotated all 523 archetypes, according to their relevance to each query, to formulate three benchmark datasets. Given a query and an archetype, three annotators were asked to judge if the archetype was relevant. The labeling instructions were as follows: a label was relevant when the archetype could cover the potential clinical concept inferred from the given query; a label was nonrelevant otherwise. We took the majority vote to decide the relevance of an archetype. These three benchmark datasets were used as ground truth for the medication, laboratory test, and diagnosis retrieval tasks.

**Baseline Methods**

To validate the performance of our method, three typical retrieval methods were selected as baselines: CKM, BM25F, and simple Bayesian network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Test queries.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BM25F is an extension of the BM25 ranking function, which is applicable to structured documents consisting of multiple fields. It combines the term frequencies (weighted accordingly to their field importance) and uses the resulting pseudofrequency in the BM25 ranking function. In this study, we supposed that an archetype was decomposed into two fields, concept and data elements, and used the function (Figure 3, equations 1 and m) proposed by Zaragoza et al [42], where \(w_{ti}\) is the RSJ relevance weight for term \(t_i\), \(x_{ak,f}\) is the term frequency of term \(t_i\) in the field type \(f\) of archetype \(a_k\), \(l_{ak,f}\) is the length of that field, \(l_f\) is the average field length for that field type, and \(B_f\) is a field-dependent parameter.

For the Bayesian network, the structure is illustrated in Figure 2. The propagation with this topology is to evaluate equations (h), (i), and (k) in Figure 3.

**Results**

**Overview of Clinical Resources Network**

Table 2 shows the distribution of archetypes across different clinical domains. Clinical domain classification refers to the concept schema proposed by Hruby et al [39].

Table 3 shows the distribution of archetypes, concepts, and data elements across different types of archetypes in the collection.

Table 2. Distribution of archetypes across different clinical domains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical domain and subdomains</th>
<th>Archetypes, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health characteristic</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment diagnosis</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intent</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection/Treatment results</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational/Provider characteristics</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient environment factors</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, there were 31 specialized archetypes, 11 of whose parent archetypes are no longer in the CKM.

Then, we learned the dependencies between concepts. Table 4 shows the top relevant concepts suggested by four different percentages of values of \(p(c_j|e(C_i))\) for “dosage” and “examination of a lung,” respectively.

After that, we constructed four clinical resource networks, \(G_1\), \(G_2\), \(G_3\), and \(G_4\), according to the top 3%, 5%, 8%, and 10% of values, respectively. Each graph consisted of 5513 nodes, which were 3982 data element nodes, 504 concept nodes, 504 duplicated concept nodes, and 523 archetype nodes, with 6366 edges from \(T\) to \(C\) and 543 edges from \(C\) to \(A\). For edges \(C\) to \(C\), \(G_1\) had 1590 arcs, \(G_2\) had 2485 arcs, \(G_3\) had 2958 arcs, and \(G_4\) had 3263 arcs.

**Evaluation of the Performance**

To compare the performance of different graphs in supporting retrieval, we calculated the average precision (AP) values for the 11 standard recall points of each graph for the test queries and then computed the mean average precision (MAP) values. The results (Table 5) showed that the retrieval method based on \(G_3\) achieved the best MAP (MAP=0.32), with an AP of 0.35, 0.31, and 0.3 for each query, respectively.
Table 3. Distribution of archetypes, concepts, and data elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archetype type subtypes</th>
<th>Archetypes, n</th>
<th>Concepts, n</th>
<th>Elements, n</th>
<th>Data elements per concept, mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Top edge suggestions for “dosage” and “examination of lung.”

| Clinical concept                  | Different threshold of $p(c|e(C_i))$a |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                   | Top 3% | Top 5% | Top 8% | Top 10% |
| Dosage                            |        |        |        |         |
| Medication order                  | Dosage | Dosage | Dosage | Dosage |
| Medication order                  |        |        |        |         |
| Medication authorization          |        |        |        |         |
| Medication authorization          |        |        |        |         |
| Examination of lung               |        |        |        |         |
| Examination of a lung             |        |        |        |         |
| Auscultation of lung              |        |        |        |         |
| Pulmonary function test           |        |        |        |         |
| Macroscopic findings-lung cancer  |        |        |        |         |
|                                   |        |        |        |         |

a$c_j$=“dosage” and “examination of lung,” respectively.

Next, we compared the results of our method based on $G_3$ with baseline methods. To comprehensively validate the performance, we selected the MAP, AP, and precision at 10 ($P@10$) as evaluation metrics. Archetypes in the CKM are updated regularly, so it is difficult for us to compare the result on the same collection. We searched relevant archetypes in the CKM for the three queries given on December 12, 2018, and evaluated its performance against the ground truth. The result (Table 6) shows that our method outperforms all the baseline methods, achieving the best AP and $P@10$ across different test queries, as well as the best MAP. For instance, for query 1, our method, CKM, Bayesian network, and BM25F achieved a $P@10$ of 0.50, 0.40, 0.20, and 0.20, respectively. Furthermore, we can observe that the MAP of BM25F (MAP=0.177) and Bayesian network (MAP=0.127) was lower than that of CKM (MAP=0.227), which means that there are limitations in using clinical concepts and data elements to represent each archetype. Our approach takes into account the semantic associations between concepts and effectively compensates for this deficiency.

The same trend is observed when evaluating precision-recall graphs across all test queries. Figure 5 shows the precision-recall curves evaluated against the ground truth. Here, BM25F falls short in performance. For instance, for a recall of 0.3, our method, CKM, Bayesian network, and BM25F achieved a precision of 0.38, 0.30, 0.05, and 0, respectively. Additionally, the 11-point MAP curve of the Bayesian network is similar to that of our approach, but the performance is much worse than ours. Meanwhile, compared with the curve of the CKM, our curve is smoother and has higher precision when the recall is below 0.6. These results may be explained by the fact that dependencies between concepts could help identify relevant archetypes.
Table 5. Average precision performance of graphs with different similarity thresholds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphs with different similarity thresholds</th>
<th>Mean average precision</th>
<th>Average precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G₁ (top 3%)</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₂ (top 5%)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₃ (top 8%)</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₄ (top 10%)</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G₁ (top 3%) Graph with percentages of values of \( p(e_i|c_j) \).

Table 6. Retrieval performance comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>MAP(^b)</th>
<th>P@10(^c)</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>P@10</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>P@10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CKM</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM25F</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian network</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAP: mean average precision.

Figure 5. Precision-recall curves of the four retrieval methods. BM25F: an extension of the BM25 ranking function; BN: Bayesian network; CKM: Clinical Knowledge Manager.
Principal Findings

The dual model methodology used by openEHR distinguished the clinical content domain from the technical domain, which enabled reusable CIMs (archetypes) [9]. We were interested in identifying relevant CIMs online to standardize clinical concept representation within EHRs, so we developed a graphical retrieval method based on an extended Bayesian network and validated its feasibility using an online clinical information knowledge source: OpenEHR CKM. We combined a qualitative representation of the retrieval task, by using a graphical representation of relationships among data elements, concepts, and archetypes, with quantitative representation of the uncertainty of information needs, using a probabilistic approach. Compared with three typical retrieval methods (BM25F, Bayesian network, and CKM) in the medication, laboratory test, and diagnosis retrieval tasks, our method achieved the best MAP (MAP=0.32). In the diagnosis retrieval task, CKM and BM25F could not find the relevant archetype “openEHR-EHR-SECTION.problems_and_diagnoses.v1.” Our method could successfully identify the models covering “diagnostic reports,” “problem list,” “patients background,” “clinical decision,” etc, as well as “problems and diagnoses.”

Although end users were mainly concerned about whether an archetype covered the concept name and core data items, we could not obtain satisfied performances without considering any potential knowledge that might be mined from the collection. Here, BM25F and Bayesian network just used clinical concepts and data elements as main features to represent each archetype and performed worse compared with the other models. In the laboratory test retrieval task, the recall of BM25F was 0.158, whereas ours was 1.0 and CKM was 0.895. In the diagnosis retrieval task, the value of precision at 3 of Bayesian network was 0, whereas ours was 1.0 and CKM was 0.333. A possible reason was that we used exact matching instead of fuzzy matching. The most important reason was that they only encoded the dependence relationships between variables and did not take into account the semantic associations between them. Previous studies showed that using the structure of existing knowledge resources and distributional statistics drawn from text corpora could help estimate semantic similarity and relatedness between medical concepts [43]. In the openEHR framework, archetypes should map to clinical terminologies (such as SNOMED CT). However, most archetypes currently in the CKM lacked this kind of mapping, which could have limited the calculation of semantic relatedness. In this study, we learned relationships between concepts by a probabilistic approach and constructed a concept subnetwork with two layers. The results showed that the performance significantly improved, which explained the effectiveness of using prior knowledge to improve retrieval results.

Accordingly, how to find the top \( n \) concepts relevant with each concept became crucial. We used \( e(C_i) \) as an event representing some type of evidence about the relevance of a concept \( C_i \), and keywords were used as evidence in the experiment. With their help, we could find that the concepts “medication list” and “medication order list” were related, even though their concept name and data elements were totally different. There was also other semantic information that could be used as evidence, such as “purpose” and “use.” How to use them to better support retrieval might need to be further clarified. However, this method could also include in the network some lower relevant concepts, as shown in the column “Top 10%” in Table 4. For better results, we used AP and MAP as evaluation metrics to help select relevant concepts; meanwhile, we noticed that many concepts had the same values of conditional probabilities. This was because of the probabilistic approach we applied, which reminded us that we could not simply select the top \( n \) concepts as the relevant ones. Here, we adopted concepts with top \( n \) percentages of values of conditional probabilities.

When modeling clinical resources network, we took the relationship of specialization between archetypes into consideration. It helped us find “openEHR-EHR-COMPOSITION.report-result.v1,” a specialized archetype of “openEHR-EHR-COMPOSITION.report.v1,” which BM25F could not find. In addition, we could also find relevant compositional parent archetypes successfully, even though we did not use the relationship of aggregation. For example, in the diagnosis retrieval task, our method could find “openEHR-EHR-SECTION.clinical_decision.v0,” which defined an archetype slot to allow “openEHR-EHR-EVALUATION.problem_diagnosis.v1.” It was because the compositional archetype used the clinical concept of the allowed archetype as its data element. When we linked the data element node to its corresponding concept node, we in fact modeled the relationship of aggregation.

The key idea of our approach lay in identifying potentially relevant clinical concepts from the input. In a two-level model methodology, clinicians were usually the end users. In most scenarios, they were not familiar with openEHR archetypes and did not know what archetype-friendly concepts were. This requires the retrieval method to be as insensitive to the input as possible. For example, take the medication retrieval task. If the user inputs “medication item, order start date/time, dosage, dose unit, comment,” using some frequent words in the archetype library, the CKM performed better than ours. The AP value of CKM was 0.82 (P@10=0.7, recall=1) whereas ours was 0.45 (P@10=0.6, recall=1). However, when the user used uncommon words, such as “medicine name” (Table 1), our method, CKM, Bayesian network, and BM25F achieved an AP of 0.35, 0.26, 0.11, and 0.08, respectively. In addition, as Table 6 shows, our AP was almost equal across different retrieval tasks (0.35, 0.31, and 0.50, respectively), whereas the other retrieval methods were not. From the clinical domain, queries 2 and 3 mainly belonged to the topic of detection/treatment results, whereas query 1 belonged to treatment, which indicated that our performance was relatively stable across different clinical domains. All these showed that our method was more robust than the others.

Additionally, better retrieval results could help users to identify reusable archetypes quickly, promote reuse of archetypes, and improve standardization of CIMs, thereby enhancing interoperability of EHRs. Archetype modeling methodology [15,23] showed that clinicians and domain experts should
compare archetype design specifications with retrieved archetypes to decide whether new archetypes need to be developed or whether an existing one could be adapted. Our method could successfully identify relevant archetypes that the CKM could not find, such as “openEHR-EHR-SECTION.problems_and_diagnoses.v1” in the diagnosis retrieval task. If this archetype was the case, domain experts might create a new one as they thought it did not exist in the CKM. Our method achieved the best recall (recall=1) in different retrieval tasks, which could help reuse archetypes and promote the semantic interoperability of EHRs.

Limitations
Our study has important limitations. First, it is a feasibility study based on openEHR archetypes. Whether our method can be applied to other CIMs, such as HL7 templates, and to what extent it needs to be localized still need to be clarified and validated. In fact, the key features used in our method are data elements, clinical concepts, CIMs (archetypes), and their relationships. It indicates that our method has potential feasibility if these features are available for other CIMs. Which results are potentially possible will be discussed in future work.

Second, our method presented in this study lacks the calculation of the semantic relevance of synonyms or homonyms, both for queries and network modeling. However, relevant semantic computing methods [43] can be applied to our retrieval method. With their help, we may be able to identify that “medication item” and “medicine item” referred to the same term, and the results would be improved. In the future, we will validate its feasibility and effectiveness.

Third, we did not validate the impact of our method on interoperability. In fact, the basic problem of semantic interoperability in EHRs must be solved from the perspective of the business domains the concepts originally belong to. Our approach only addresses specific technical issues in the CIM modeling process.

Furthermore, there are other limitations. First, the relevant archetypes in the three retrieval tasks that we manually annotated may be controversial, according to different experts. Second, we compared our performances with the CKM on different archetype collections, which may lead to inaccurate results.

Conclusions
In this paper, we proposed an extended Bayesian network retrieval method for finding relevant CIMs. We graphically represented openEHR archetypes using an extended Bayesian network with two concept layers. The results show that it is an effective approach to meet the uncertainty of retrieval tasks, and the key step in modeling this network is to learn the dependencies between concepts. Our better retrieval results could encourage clinicians and domain experts to reuse existing CIMs to represent EHR data in a standard manner, thereby enhancing the interoperability of EHRs. Furthermore, our study provided how the inference process was carried out. Comparing the results of our method with baseline methods, we had the best performance. To optimize the method, further research should focus on the potential feasibility for other CIMs and the calculation of semantic relevance of synonyms or homonyms.
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Abstract

Background: Obesity is one of the largest drivers of health care spending but nearly half of the population with obesity demonstrate suboptimal readiness for weight loss treatment. Black women are disproportionately likely to have both obesity and limited weight loss readiness. However, they have been shown to be receptive to strategies that prevent weight gain.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the costs and cost-effectiveness of a digital weight gain prevention intervention (Shape) for black women. Shape consisted of adaptive telephone-based coaching by health system personnel, a tailored skills training curriculum, and patient self-monitoring delivered via a fully automated interactive voice response system.

Methods: A cost and cost-effectiveness analysis based on a randomized clinical trial of the Shape intervention was conducted from the payer perspective. Costs included those of delivering the program to 91 intervention participants in the trial and were summarized by program elements: self-monitoring, skills training, coaching, and administration. Effectiveness was measured in quality-adjusted life years (QALYs). The primary outcome was the incremental cost per QALY of Shape relative to usual care.

Results: Shape cost an average of US $758 per participant. The base-case model in which quality of life benefits decay linearly to zero 5 years post intervention cessation, generated an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of US $55,264 per QALY. Probabilistic sensitivity analyses suggest an ICER below US $50,000 per QALY and US $100,000 per QALY in 39% and 98% of simulations, respectively. Results are highly sensitive to durability of benefits, rising to US $165,730 if benefits end 6 months post intervention.

Conclusions: Results suggest that the Shape intervention is cost-effective based on established benchmarks, indicating that it can be a part of a successful strategy to address the nation’s growing obesity epidemic in low-income at-risk communities.

Keywords: cost-effectiveness; cost-benefit analysis; obesity; telemedicine; women’s health; minority health; weight gain prevention; weight gain

Introduction

Excess weight is estimated to account for 9% of total annual health care costs, with roughly half paid by public sector health programs [1]. Nationally, almost 55% of black women have obesity compared with 38% of white women [2]. As a result, black women are at greater risk for obesity-related chronic diseases, including stroke, coronary heart disease, and depression, and attributable costs [3,4]. As a result, interventions that successfully address excess weight in this at-risk group may confer significant health and economic benefits to individuals and society. However, reducing risk factors in this population remains a challenge.
group is a challenge because, relative to other populations, black women express less interest in or readiness for weight loss treatment [5,6]. Moreover, weight loss interventions have shown consistently smaller weight loss among black women relative to their white counterparts [7-10]. Therefore, delivering interventions that seek to prevent weight gain, as opposed to promoting weight loss, might be a more successful treatment strategy [11].

Weight gain prevention strategies align with sociocultural norms among black communities that are tolerant of higher body weights [12-14]. Previous digital weight gain prevention interventions have shown moderate success in reducing weight gain among children and young adults with overweight, but no studies had studied their effectiveness among black women [15-17]. Bennett et al developed The Shape Program to test a tailored digital health solution aimed at helping black women prevent weight gain [18,19]. Results reveal Shape’s effectiveness in preventing weight gain among black women. However, whether Shape is cost-effective remains unknown; that is the focus of this analysis.

A cost-effectiveness analysis is one strategy for understanding whether the benefits of an intervention are worth the costs. Many public sector agencies, such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the United Kingdom and the Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program in Thailand, require cost-effectiveness analyses before considering a subsidy decision for a health intervention [20]. Although the United States does not systematically require cost-effectiveness analyses, they have gained popularity as a tool to compare the value of diverse interventions. Guidelines recommend that cost-effectiveness analyses report benefits in terms of a common metric such as the quality-adjusted life year (QALY), which consolidates diverse health benefits to facilitate comparisons of value among interventions targeting diverse population health gaps [21,22]. In this study, we present the costs and cost-effectiveness of Shape relative to usual care (UC) in terms of cost per QALY gained and compare this value to established benchmarks for cost-effectiveness. Given the risk of steady weight gain in the target population, third-party payers may be interested in knowing whether a successful weight gain prevention program, such as Shape, represents good use of scarce health care resources.

### Methods

**The Shape Program**

The Shape Program (Shape) was designed to prevent weight gain in black female primary care patients whose body mass index (BMI) placed them in either the overweight (25 to 29.9 kg/m²) or class 1 obese (30 to 34.9 kg/m²) categories. Shape sought to promote the modification of obesogenic lifestyle behaviors (diet, physical activity, and leisure time activities). It leveraged key technological innovations to support personnel within a private, nonprofit community health center network [19]. In doing so, the program was able to augment the capacity of existing health systems to reach patients who otherwise would receive little or no weight management counseling. The Shape program included adaptive telephone-based coaching by health system personnel, personalized obesogenic behavior change goals assigned every 2 months, a tailored skills training curriculum, patient self-monitoring delivered via a fully automated interactive voice response system, 12 counseling calls with a registered dietitian, and a 12-month gym membership [19].

Shape’s effectiveness relative to a light touch UC intervention was tested among 194 overweight and class 1 obese black women aged 25 to 44 years in a 2-arm parallel-group randomized controlled trial over 12 months followed by a 6-month follow-up period (ClinicalTrials.gov reference: NCT00938535) [18]. Additional inclusion criteria were having visited a member in the health center in the past 24 months, being a state resident, and being fluent in English. Participants were excluded if they were pregnant, up to 12 months postpartum, had a myocardial infarction or stroke in the past 2 years, or had any history of cognitive, developmental, or psychiatric disorders. In the trial, UC consisted of the Aim for a Healthy Weight brochure and semiannual newsletters on health topics not related to weight. Intent-to-treat analyses included outcome measurements for 91 participants randomized to receive the intervention and 94 UC participants [18]. At 12 and 18 months, Shape participants had lower weight gain than UC participants (mean difference of −1.4 kg and −1.7 kg at 12 and 18 months, respectively).

**Cost Analysis**

To estimate the incremental costs of Shape, we employed an activity-based costing method that links program resource consumption to specific program components [23,24]. This approach allows evaluators to map the resource flow of the program. Electronic budgetary records, staff interviews, and engagement data were utilized to estimate program costs. All costs were inflated to 2018 US dollars using the medical portion of the seasonally adjusted US consumer price index [25].

**Cost-Effectiveness Analysis**

The cost-effectiveness analysis, which consisted of quantifying the incremental costs and QALYs of Shape relative to UC, was conducted from the third-party payer perspective.

**Incremental Cost**

As virtually no costs were incurred in the usual-care arm, the incremental cost is set equal to the cost of program delivery of the Shape intervention (including self-monitoring, skills training, coaching, and administration costs). This excluded program development costs, as these represent sunk costs that would not need to be repeated if the program were more broadly adopted. The average per capita cost of program delivery was assigned to the 91 participants who received the intervention and were included in the intent-to-treat analysis.

**Incremental Effectiveness**

The primary measure of effectiveness in the trial was weight change from baseline to 12 months. We converted the weight change into a health-related quality of life (QoL) change score over this time period. This imputation followed the regression approach described in Finkelstein and Kruger [26] using data from Finkelstein et al [27] and restricting it to a sample of...
women with a BMI between 25 and 35. This age and gender restriction allowed for obtaining estimates in a subsample that best approximates the characteristics of the Shape study population. Using this restricted sample, we estimated the association between QoL change and weight change (in kilograms) while controlling for baseline BMI and age via the following equation:

$$\Delta \text{QoL} = \beta_1 \times \Delta \text{weight} + \beta_2 \times (\Delta \text{weight})^2 + \beta_3 \times \text{baseline BMI} + \beta_4 \times \text{baseline age} + \epsilon$$

Using a process of step-wise regression, iteratively dropping variables found not to be statistically significant at the 5% significance level, we identified the following relationship:

$$\Delta \text{QoL} = -0.0029 \times \Delta \text{weight} + 0.0002 \times \text{baseline age}$$

We used this equation to impute a QoL change for each individual in the Shape trial.

**Cost-Effectiveness Analysis**

As with the primary analysis, the cost-effectiveness analysis was based on the intention-to-treat sample, with missing observations in both trial arms treated as missing at random [18]. The numerator of the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) is the incremental cost to deliver Shape. The denominator is the mean discounted QALYs gained by intervention participants minus mean discounted QALYs gained by the UC group. QALY estimates for each arm were generated by plotting a curve of QoL against time from baseline and taking the area under this curve. All post-trial QALY estimates were discounted at 3.5% per annum.

In the base case, we used estimates of QoL change from baseline to each of 6 months, 12 months, and 18 months, and then assumed QoL benefits decay linearly until the end of the fifth year postcessation of the intervention, at which time we assume no further benefits.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

We assessed the sensitivity of our ICER to changes in key inputs using 1-way sensitivity analyses. We estimated the effect of the following changes on the ICER: (1) halving the cost of the intervention; (2) doubling and halving the costs incurred in each cost category; (3) doubling or halving the incremental effectiveness of the intervention with regard to UC; and (4) varying the duration of residual benefits post cessation from 5 years in the base case to 0.5 and 3 years.

**Probabilistic Sensitivity Analyses**

In addition, we conducted 10,000 simulations of the model to quantify the probability that the intervention is cost-effective for a range of willingness-to-pay thresholds that decision makers might consider. Cost was assumed to follow a gamma distribution, with an SD of 25% of mean costs; effectiveness was assumed to follow a normal distribution, with SDs equal to the SEs of effectiveness estimates.

The methods described in this section and reporting of results throughout the paper are consistent with the Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards [21].

**Results**

**Program Costs**

The total cost of Shape was US $758 per participant in 2018 US dollars (Table 1) for the 1-year intervention. Program costs were allocated to 4 areas, including administration, self-monitoring, skills training, and counseling (Table 1). Administration costs, including personnel, costs of support staff training, and space and other overheads were the greatest consumer of program resources at an average cost of US $387 per participant. Telephone counseling costs were the second highest cost driver, driven largely by registered dietitians’ personnel costs and cell phone plan subscriptions, at an average of US $149 per participant. Interactive self-monitoring included server and interactive voice response system maintenance costs and purchasing of pedometers and scales and cost an average of US $126 per participant. Tailored skills training costs US $95 per participant, primarily driven by the cost of printing training materials and providing kit bags to participants. Training the coaches front-loaded many of the costs in the first 2 years of Shape. Specifically, the average program costs in years 1 and 2 (US $17,401) were 53% higher than the average costs in years 3 to 5 (US $11,380).

The variability in Shape coaching costs in years 1 and 2 was further explored. Shape coaches placed 3968 calls to participants (an average of 44 calls per participant during the yearlong program). The majority of these calls (3316/3968, 83.6%) were attempts to reach participants to deliver coaching content, while 16.4% (652/3968) were considered successful coaching calls in which the curriculum was delivered in full. These successful calls were on average 21.2 min long (SD 10.1 min). The average amount of time that coaches spent on unsuccessful calls per participant was 27.6 min (SD 19.2 min) for the whole program period.
Table 1. Program delivery costs for 91 participants by program area and year (all figures in 2018 US $).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Year 1 (US $)</th>
<th>Year 2 (US $)</th>
<th>Year 3 (US $)</th>
<th>Year 4 (US $)</th>
<th>Year 5 (US $)</th>
<th>Total (US $)</th>
<th>Cost per participanta (US $)</th>
<th>Cost as percentage of totalb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interactive self-monitoring</td>
<td>7123</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>11,481</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailored skills training</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>4585</td>
<td>2161</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8662</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone counseling</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>3417</td>
<td>3301</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>13,588</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>7665</td>
<td>7210</td>
<td>6967</td>
<td>6750</td>
<td>6619</td>
<td>35,212</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18,450</td>
<td>16,352</td>
<td>13,531</td>
<td>11,148</td>
<td>9461</td>
<td>68,942</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aCalculated for a total of 91 intervention participants.

*bTotal does not sum to 100% due to rounding.

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Incremental Cost

As virtually no cost was incurred in the UC arm, the incremental cost of Shape relative to UC was US $758 in the base case.

Effectiveness

As reported in Bennett et al, mean difference in weight change of the intervention and UC arms with regard to baseline

approached statistical significance at 6 months (~1.1 kg [95% CI ~2.3 to 0.04]), and was statistically significant at the 12-month (~1.4 kg [-2.8 to -0.1]) and 18-month (~1.7 kg [-3.3 to -0.1]) assessments [18]. The difference in weight change across arms was transformed to QoL change scores for Shape participants and UC participants at 6 months (+0.009 and +0.006, respectively), 12 months (+0.009 and +0.005, respectively), and 18 months (+0.009 and +0.004, respectively) from baseline. Figure 1 presents the graph of QoL change against time from baseline.

Figure 1. Estimated quality of life (QoL) change score plotted against time from baseline. The difference in area under the Shape curve and the Usual Care curve (ie, dark shaded region) represents the mean gain in quality-adjusted life years per participant in the base case analysis.
**Base-Case Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio**

In the base case of a 1-year intervention followed by 5 years of linear decay of post-trial weight gain prevention benefits, we estimated an ICER of US $55,264 per QALY gained.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

One-way sensitivity analyses showed that halving incremental QALYs of the intervention arm with regard to UC raised the ICER to US $110,529 per QALY, whereas doubling incremental QALYs or halving incremental costs decreased the ICER to US $27,632 per QALY. When each Shape cost category was doubled or halved separately, the ICER ranged from US $41,130 to US $83,447 (administration costs); US $49,792 to US $66,122 (telephone counseling costs); US $51,766 to US $62,176 (tailored skills training costs); and US $50,637 to US $64,434 (interactive self-monitoring costs). With QoL benefits modeled to decay to zero within 3 years of cessation of the intervention, the ICER was US $77,644; with benefits ceasing 6 months after the intervention concluded, the ICER rose to US $165,730. **Figure 2** presents a tornado diagram showing the results of the one-way sensitivity analyses.

**Probabilistic Sensitivity Analyses**

**Figure 3** displays the cost-effectiveness acceptability curve. The figure reveals that 39.3% of simulations suggest that the incremental cost per QALY of Shape relative to UC is less than US $50,000, an oft-cited threshold for cost-effectiveness [28,29]. At a willingness to pay of US $100,000 per QALY (another commonly cited threshold), 98.3% of simulations suggested that Shape is cost-effective [30,31].

**Figure 2.** Results of one-way sensitivity analyses varying key parameters (incremental QALYs, incremental and category-specific costs, and duration of post-cessation benefits). ICER: incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; QALYs: quality-adjusted life years.
Discussion

Principal Findings

This study presents the first evidence that a digital weight management program can be a cost-effective solution for preventing weight gain. In our base case, we estimated the incremental cost-effectiveness of Shape per QALY gained to be US $55,264, slightly higher than the often-quoted threshold of US $50,000 per QALY. The reality is that there is no established threshold for cost-effectiveness of health care interventions in the United States; indeed, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act specifically bars the use of a cost-effectiveness measure as a threshold [32]. Despite this, a threshold of US $50,000 per QALY has been widely used in the United States since 1992 [29]. In probabilistic sensitivity analyses, 39% of our simulations suggested that Shape has an ICER below this threshold. Some researchers even suggest a more appropriate threshold would be US $100,000 per QALY [30,31]. Compared against this threshold, 98% of our simulations suggest that Shape is cost-effective. Although there are no other weight gain prevention programs to compare against, Shape’s ICER also compares favorably to the majority of the lifestyle and pharmacological interventions targeting weight loss [33]. Moreover, although this study did not quantify cost offsets from slower weight progression, Cawley et al suggest that annual savings from even moderate weight loss (or less weight gain relative to control) far exceed the US $758 annual cost of the program [34]. Moreover, there are several reasons to believe Shape’s estimated cost of US $758 is likely to be an upper bound. Costs in years 3 to 5, when the program was recruiting at a much higher rate, were an average of 35% lower than that of the first 2 years (US $11,380 in years 3 to 5 compared with $17,401 in years 1 to 2; Table 1). If one considers only the per participant variable costs of the pedometer, scale, skills training kit bag, and coaching time, with the remaining costs averaged over a very large number of participants, per capita costs could be as low as US $243 (see Multimedia Appendix 1). This suggests that at full scale, Shape may be highly cost-effective. However, it may be that costs would need to be further reduced with no loss in outcomes for Shape to be highly scalable. For example, Weight Watchers OnlinePlus costs only US $160 per year [35]. Shape may need to demonstrate an average cost per participant in this range or better to increase the potential for scalability. This could be accomplished through better use of Shape’s data to customize the intervention at the individual level and to intervene early for those most at risk of dropping out. This should be an area of future research.

Limitations

Although this study has many strengths, we identified 5 key limitations in this study. First, there is a lack of evidence on the persistence of weight gain prevention effects post intervention. The existing literature strongly suggests significant weight loss
maintenance, and presumably QoL gains, up to 5 years after successful weight loss programs [36,37]. However, there are no corresponding data for weight gain prevention. We made the assumption that quality-of-life benefits would last 5 years beyond the intervention period and tested the sensitivity of the ICER to this assumption. Sensitivity analyses suggested that the ICER was highly sensitive to the duration over which benefits persist. Studies with longer-term follow-ups of both weight loss and QoL are required to validate our assumption in the context of weight gain prevention programs. Second, owing to data limitations, we were not able to directly assess QoL in participants in this study. Instead, we used an approach similar to that used in other cost-effectiveness studies [26,27] and assessed the sensitivity of our estimates to uncertainty in the QoL estimates using one-way and probabilistic sensitivity analyses. Analyses suggest that the ICER is moderately sensitive to the relationship between QoL and weight change. Third, estimates of QoL changes are sensitive to the method of elicitation. This is true whether one uses direct elicitation methods, such as standard gamble or time-tradeoff methods, or using patient-reported outcomes measures, such as the data from the SF-12 version 2 instrument used in this study [38]. This fact, combined with our imputation strategy which imputes QoL changes solely from weight change and age, ignoring other potentially important confounders, suggests that there is likely a high degree of error in our QoL estimates, as would be the case in most cost-effectiveness studies. We address this via sensitivity analyses using a wide range of QoL values that we believe capture reasonable lower and upper bounds for these estimates. Fourth, the trial did not measure potential cost offsets from reduced health care utilization that may result from improved participant health outcomes. As a result, cost-effectiveness results presented here may be conservative. Finally, this program was delivered to black women in a low-income rural community health center setting. Although the intervention could be fielded in any setting and to diverse populations, future studies would be needed to see if the results are generalizable. However, long-term studies that follow a cohort of participants over an extended period of time and link their weight loss to changes in health care utilization would be needed to truly confirm the long-term cost-effectiveness of the Shape intervention.

Conclusions

Although long-term studies are needed to confirm this result, this study suggests that Shape is likely to be a cost-effective intervention to prevent weight gain and reduce risks for chronic disease among high-risk black women in low-income rural communities, where obesity rates are also highest. It thus provides an additional strategy that these communities can rely on to effectively and efficiently respond to the nation’s growing obesity epidemic.
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Abstract

Background: Only a few telemedicine applications have made their way into regular care. One reason is the lack of acceptance of telemedicine by potential end users.

Objective: The aim of this systematic review was to identify theoretical predictors that influence the acceptance of telemedicine.

Methods: An electronic search was conducted in PubMed and PsycINFO in June 2018 and supplemented by a hand search. Articles were identified using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. In total, two reviewers independently assessed the title, abstract, and full-text screening and then individually performed a quality assessment of all included studies.

Results: Out of 5917 potentially relevant titles (duplicates excluded), 24 studies were included. The Axis Tool for quality assessment of cross-sectional studies revealed a high risk of bias for all studies except for one study. The most commonly used models were the Technology Acceptance Model (n=11) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (n=9). The main significant predictors of acceptance were perceived usefulness (n=11), social influences (n=6), and attitude (n=6). The results show a superiority of technology acceptance versus original behavioral models.

Conclusions: The main finding of this review is the applicability of technology acceptance models and theories on telemedicine adoption. Characteristics of the technology, such as its usefulness, as well as attributes of the individual, such as his or her need for social support, inform end-user acceptance. Therefore, in the future, requirements of the target group and the group’s social environment should already be taken into account when planning telemedicine applications. The results support the importance of theory-guided user-centered design approaches to telemedicine development.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13117) doi:10.2196/13117
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Introduction

Definition and Delimitation of Telemedicine and Related Terms
Telemedicine, as well as every other digital health technology, comes with the promise of changing care delivery for the better, be it by reaching traditionally underserved regions [1] or populations [2] or by enhancing patient-provider communication to facilitate shared decision making [3].

Digital health care is known under various terms, for example, telemedicine, electronic health (eHealth), telehealth, or, as new digital devices came to be used, mobile health (mHealth). This study focused on telemedicine, as telemedicine involves health care services being delivered by health care providers in a patient-centered manner, from a geographical distance, using ICT (Information and Communication Technology) [4]. The term is hereby clearly delimited from other modes of digital care delivery. eHealth, for example, also encompasses electronic management of patient data, whereas telehealth covers the use of Internet of Things to enable self-management of health and the quantified self [5].

Acceptance and Diffusion of Telemedicine
The possibly high potential of telemedicine can only be fulfilled if telemedicine reaches a high diffusion throughout the health care system. Nevertheless, although being used for over 50 years now [6], telemedicine still does not mostly overcome the pilot project stage [7] and therefore never prevails in regular care [8,9]. Among the most commonly used applications are those enabling digital data storage and exchange or teleconsulting for diagnostic purposes [10,11]. Those, however, are not covered by the definition of telemedicine, as care is not directly delivered to the patient. Therefore, patient acceptance is not a relevant factor for those applications.

Rogers argues that innovations that are compatible with their environment are more easily adopted than those that are not suitable to past experiences of the adoption units [12]. However, telemedicine entails changes in patient-provider communication, patient assessment, and engagement [13,14]. As Riley et al argue, many of the applications deploy so-called cues to action, demanding behavior change by the end user [15].

Therefore, it is necessary to study the factors influencing end-user acceptance of telemedicine, even more so as acceptance is a prerequisite for adoption of an innovation and therefore its diffusion [12]. Nevertheless, acceptance is often reduced to the study of the usability, that is, certain design features of technology [16]. For the implementation of Health Information Systems, Ifinedo finds factors influencing Canadian nurses’ acceptance to go way beyond technical features [17]. Therefore, Hastall et al call for a holistic assessment of acceptance, incorporating not only features of the technology but also characteristics of the end user [18]. When structuring this holistic approach by studying individual, social, environmental, and technological factors of acceptance they recur on behavioral models of acceptance that have been proven to be effective in predicting behavior change in all sorts of health interventions—for example, by Sahay et al [19].

Theoretical Background of Technology Acceptance
The oldest yet still widely used model for health behavior change is the Health Belief Model (HBM) that focuses on the individual assessment of vulnerability, outcomes and costs of behavior change, and external cues toward behavior change [20]. It can be applied, in combination with other theories, to explain user’s acceptance of wearables [21], which suggests transferability to telemedicine.

On the basis of the focus of the HBM on individual perceptions, the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) was formulated, also focusing on the attitude toward the intended outcome behavior but adding measures of subjective norm, that is, the perception of the behavior in question by those whose opinion is valued by the individual [22]. TRA is applicable for predicting attitude toward the use of a teleconsultation system in neurology [23]. Perceived control over one’s own health was added to the theory later on, developing the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) [24]. The TPB is applicable to the use of fitness apps [25]. TRA and TPB constructs were used by Davis to explain acceptance of technology as a precondition for its use. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), along with several additions, defines use as predicted by attitude toward the use, which again is a function of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, both being value judgements of the design features [26]. The TAM was further developed by Venkatesh, adding several components from previous behavioral theories, such as performance and outcome expectancy from the Social Cognitive Theory [27] and subjective norm from TRA and TPB [28]. His final model is called the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). As relevant predictors of acceptance vary between these 2 models, so do the definitions of technology acceptance within the models. Although in the TAM, acceptance is defined as “actual system use” [26], in the UTAUT, it is defined as “use behavior” [28]. Nevertheless, both models aim to study acceptance. Both TAM and UTAUT have been used excessively to explain the use of several digital health applications, such as data sharing systems [29] and assessment tools for cognitive functions [30].

In contrast to the aforementioned theories, where acceptance or use is a dependent variable, Normalization Process Theory postulates that collective action—that is, for the purpose of this paper, technology use—is one of several highly interconnected variables. Among them are group processes and organizing structures [31].

In addition to the predictors derived from the theories and models, other variables may have the potential to determine individual peoples’ willingness to use telemedicine. The nonadoption, abandonment, scale-up, spread, and sustainability (NASSSS) framework lists several possible domains challenging the implementation process of telemedicine. The individual end user, on whom this study focuses, according to the NASSSS framework, interacts with the organizational and societal context and is constrained by his or her medical status, as well as technological features [32]. The authors of the NASSSS framework conclude that there is a lack of theoretical foundation for individual adoption processes. Such, the UTAUT is especially suitable to close this research gap, as it also
encompasses the organizational and technological infrastructure in which the individual acceptance unit lives [28].

There is scarce evidence on which theory or model of technology acceptance or health behavior change is best suited to explain the acceptance and therefore use of telemedicine, as defined above [33,34]. Lai gives a comprehensive review on existing technology acceptance models and theories [35]. Evidence synthesis of theoretical predictors can be found solely for the acceptance of health information systems, such as eHealth records [36], yet it is not found for telemedicine defined as narrow as it is defined by Sood et al [4].

Individual studies that focus on theoretical components as predictors of the acceptance of telemedicine exist. However, there is still no systematic overview of theoretical components that are able to empirically explain the acceptance of telemedicine. This study aimed to fill this void by answering the following research question:

Which theoretical components are empirically associated with end-user acceptance of telemedicine?

Methods

Design

This systematic review was conducted according to the standardized strategy provided by the Cochrane Collaboration [37], and it also follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist [38]. A review protocol was created a priori and published at Prospero (Number CRD42018098658).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, and Study Design (PICOS) criteria were used for deriving the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review [37]. As this review aimed to explain the acceptance of telemedicine, and not the effectiveness, the comparison was omitted. The population studied included patients and health care providers as well as their respective direct social environment. As the term telemedicine is not consistently used, it cannot be clearly delimited from related terms. Therefore, terms such as eHealth and mHealth were also part of the search strategy. Studies examining telemedicine that was used to deliver health care services in a patient-centered manner over a geographical distance were included. If this was not the case, those studies were excluded during the full-text assessment at the latest. Only studies aiming to explain end-user acceptance as a primary outcome using a theoretical underpinning were included. As the explanatory power of theoretical components was to be identified, only quantitative research designs were included. The same is true for studies published in English and German and for studies published in peer-reviewed journals. A further specification of the inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in Table 1.

**Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review according to the Population, Intervention, Outcome, and Study Design scheme.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Patients, social environment (relatives and peers or peer groups), and health care providers</td>
<td>Nonhuman populations, not patients, not health care providers, and veterinarians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Telemedicine-delivered patient-centered health care services with involvement of health care providers</td>
<td>No telemedicine, that is, no patient-centered health care services delivered, no involvement of health care providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Acceptance of health technologies on the basis of theoretical components</td>
<td>No theory-based factors (derived from correlations, causal models, eg, multivariate regression analyses or Structural Equation Modeling or effect strengths calculated from group comparisons), no statements about acceptance, and theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Intervention studies (randomized or nonrandomized controlled trials), observational studies (cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, and case-control studies), and studies published in English or German language</td>
<td>Qualitative studies (in-depth interviews, expert interviews, focus groups, and delphi), reviews, editorials, letters to the editor, studies not published in English or German, or not published in peer-reviewed journals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Literature Search

The search string was a combination of the building blocks of the PICOS. Electronic searches were conducted in PubMed, as it is the most important and conclusive database for medical research, and PsycINFO in June 2018. PsycINFO was chosen as it is a database for psychological research, and it was therefore deemed likely to list studies featuring theoretical foundations for technology acceptance. For PubMed, Medical Subject Headings terms were used, whereas for PsycINFO, the functional equivalent, that is, the Thesaurus, was used. The term telemedicine is used ambiguously and partly synonymously with others [5]. As there are applications that are not explicitly called telemedicine but meet the telemedicine definition [39], different digital health terms, such as eHealth, mHealth, and telehealth, were included in the search string. The database-specific search strings can be found in the appendix (see Multimedia Appendix 1).

A hand search was conducted. In addition to a forward search in Web of Science, major publications in the field of telemedicine were searched, such as *Telemedicine and eHealth* and the *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. In addition, a search took place in the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Xplore database, which appears not to be designed for comprehensive search strings. To cover the research fields of informatics and information systems, a hand search was also conducted in the journals *Management Information Systems Quarterly* and the *American Journal of Information Systems*. References of the included studies were assessed to identify landmark studies, that is, those cited by more than one of the included papers.
Identification and Selection of Studies

Predefined inclusion criteria were applied independently by 2 raters (LH and HL) to screen for potentially relevant titles and abstracts within all studies obtained from the database and the hand search. In a next step, all possibly eligible studies were subsequently screened as full texts, also by 2 independent reviewers (LH and HL). Articles that did not meet the aforementioned inclusion criteria were excluded. Each of the 2 reviewers documented the reasons for exclusion so that a direct comparison was possible and a transparent procedure was ensured. Any disagreement over the suitability of certain studies was discussed among the raters and resolved by consensus.

Data Extraction and Presentation

Study characteristics (bibliographical information, study design, study population, type of telemedicine application, theoretical model or theory, and statistical methods) were extracted independently by the 2 reviewers (LH and HL). *Statistical methods* encompassed the dependent variable, significant predictors (rooted within theory), and measures for internal consistency and reliability as reported by the authors of the included studies, as well as the statistical analysis conducted. Disagreements were discussed, and a consensus between both extractors was reached. The entire extraction table was discussed by all authors (LH, HL, and MS). Only those predictors rooted within acceptance theories or models were extracted, which eliminated those added by the authors of the included studies to increase the variance explained. Both restrictions are in line with the research question.

For all theories discovered, as well as all the significant predictors, first frequencies and then variances explained and effect strengths were presented in tables. Afterward, median variance that was explained by each study, and median effect strengths of the theoretical predictors used within each study were also calculated and presented in tables. The median is a proper measure, as it is “the middle score of a set of ordered observations” [40]. Therefore, all statistical values for variance explained (R²) and each predictor (odds ratios [ORs] and betas) were listed and the middle value was either discernible (in an uneven list of values) or calculated as the arithmetic mean of the 2 middle values (in an even list of values) [40].

Assessment of Methodological Quality

Quality assessment was conducted using the Appraisal Tool for Cross-Sectional Studies (AXIS Tool) for quantitative studies [41]. Quality assessment was conducted by 2 authors (LH and HL) independently. The focus of the assessment procedure was on methodological issues, such as the selection of study participants, the reliability and validity of the outcome measurements, and the consideration of potential confounding factors and bias in the results. On the basis of these criteria, an evaluation was carried out on a 2-step scale from 0=not satisfactorily explained to 1=satisfactorily explained. If items concerning methods and results (as explained above) were all rated 1, the risk of bias within the results of the study was deemed low, otherwise, it was deemed high.

Results

Search Results

The electronic database search resulted in 6188 potentially eligible articles. A hand search resulted in a total of 13 additional studies. After removing 283 duplicates, 5821 articles were excluded by independent screening of titles and abstracts. Of the resulting 97 full texts, 73 were excluded, as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. The main reason for study exclusion was the use of an inadequate intervention, that is, the intervention studied did not fall within the definition of telemedicine (36 times). For studies where the full text was not available, the authors were contacted. Owing to nonresponse, 7 full texts could not be procured until the end of August 2018. Finally, 24 papers met the predefined inclusion criteria and formed the basis for data extraction. A total of 20 of the papers resulted from the application of the search strings in PubMed and PsycINFO, whereas 4 additional ones were uncovered by hand search. A total of 3 of the 4 were found in relevant journals in the field of telemedicine and information systems research and by checking references of the included studies for landmark studies, the third relevant study was included. The PRISMA flow chart in Figure 1 shows the process of the study selection. A list of studies excluded during full-text screening, complete with the reasons for exclusion, can be found in the appendix (see Multimedia Appendix 2). Table 2 shows the most important data extracted for each study. For a list of all extracted data, please see Multimedia Appendix 3.
Figure 1. Flow chart of studies included and excluded from the systematic review.
Table 2. Characteristics and outcomes of all included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); Journal</th>
<th>Theoretical model or theory</th>
<th>Components of the model or theory with significant explanatory power</th>
<th>Effect strength and significance(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health care provider</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asua et al (2012); BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</td>
<td>TAM(^b); DOI(^c); TIB(^d)</td>
<td>PU(^i) (TAM); PEOU(^j) (TAM); Extended TAM: Compatibility (DOI); Facilitators (TIB)</td>
<td><em>Original Technology Acceptance Model</em>: PU: OR(^k) 5.28(^{b(_i)}) (95% CI 3.14 to 10.01); PEOU: OR 1.93(^{j(_i)}) (95% CI 1.11 to 3.37); Final Model: Nagelkerke R(^i)(^2)=0.63; <em>Extended TAM</em>: PU: OR 2.63(^k) (95% CI 1.15 to 6.12); Compatibility: OR 3.06(^j) (95% CI 1.30 to 7.18); Facilitators: OR 4.90(^b) (95% CI 2.38 to 10.09); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^j)(^2)=0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gagnon et al (2012); Telemedicine and e-health</td>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>PU (TAM); Modified model: Facilitators (TIB)</td>
<td><em>Original Technology Acceptance Model</em>: PU: OR 5.28(^b) (95% CI 2.12 to 13.11); Nagelkerke R(^j)(^2)=0.42; <em>Modified model</em>: Facilitators: OR 4.96(^j) (95% CI 1.59 to 15.55); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^j)(^2)=0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennemann et al (2017); Journal of Health Communication</td>
<td>UTAUT(^b)</td>
<td>SI(^i); PE(^m)</td>
<td>SI: beta=3.7(^h) (95% CI 0.25 to 0.61); PE: beta=2.8(^h) (95% CI 0.12 to 0.44); Final model: R(^i)=0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James et al (2016); Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology</td>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>PEOU; SN(^a)</td>
<td>Independent Predictors of Diabetes Educators’ Intentions to Use Apps: PEOU: OR 1.15(^i) (95% CI 1.07 to 1.31); Final model: R(^j)=0.71; Video conferencing;: PEOU: OR 1.21(^j) (95% CI 1.08 to 1.35); SN: OR 1.12(^j) (95% CI 1.07 to 1.37); Final model: R(^j)=0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn et al (2015); Professional Psychology: Research and Practice</td>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Complexity: OR .35(^h) (95% CI 0.23 to 0.55); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^i)=0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orrufo et al (2011); Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare</td>
<td>TAM; TIB; TRA(^g)</td>
<td>PU (TAM); PEOU (TAM); Facilitators (TIB)</td>
<td><em>Original Technology Acceptance Model</em>: PU on intention: OR 8.4(^h) (95% CI 3.4 to 21.0); PEOU on intention: OR 7.4(^j) (95% CI 2.9 to 19.0); Nagelkerke R(^j)=0.71; <em>Modified Technology Acceptance Model</em>: Facilitators on intention: OR 9.9(^j) (95% CI 2.80 to 34.94); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^j)=0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saigi-Rubió et al (2014); Implementation Science</td>
<td>TAM; DOI; TRA; TPB; TR(^p)</td>
<td>Level of ICT use (TR); Optimism (TR)</td>
<td>Technology Readiness Index: Level of ICT Use (Spain): b=2.66(^i)(^1); Level of ICT Use (Columbia): b=1.21(^i); Optimism (Bolivia): b=0.48(^j); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^j)=0.275; Nagelkerke R(^i)=0.161; Nagelkerke R(^j)=0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saigi-Rubió et al (2016); International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</td>
<td>TAM; TPB; TRA</td>
<td>PU (cost reduction, quality of care; TAM); ATT(^h) (confidentiality and security; TAM); SN(^h) (patients, medical staff; TRA)</td>
<td>PU (cost reduction) on BI(^h): beta=1.34(^j); ATT (security and confidentiality) on BI: beta=0.79(^j); SN (patients) on BI: beta=0.58(^j); SN (medical staff) on BI: beta=1.00(^j); Moderations: SN (patients)xPU (quality of care) on BI: beta=3.47(^j); SN (patients)xPU (cost reduction) on BI: beta=4.62(^j); SN (medical staff)xPU (quality of care) on BI: beta=3.66(^j); SN (medical staff)xPU (cost reduction) on BI: beta=4.88(^j); SN (administration)xPU (cost reduction) on BI: beta=.57(^j); Final model: Nagelkerke R(^j)=0.481; CI NS (^i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaulding et al (2005); Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare</td>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>Relative advantage (provider); Relative advantage (patient); Observability; Trialability; Opinion leader present</td>
<td>Relative advantage (provider): r=0.42(^h); Relative advantage (patient): r=0.42(^j); Observability: r=0.57(^j); Trialability: r=0.44(^j); Opinion leader present: r=0.52(^i); CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Houwelingen et al (2015); Journal of Gerontological Nursing</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>PU; EE(^a); SI</td>
<td>PU: beta=.435(^h); EE: beta=.28(^b); SI: beta=.216(^i); Final model: R(^i)=0.54; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author (year); Journal</td>
<td>Theoretical model or theory</td>
<td>Components of the model or theory with significant explanatory power</td>
<td>Effect strength and significance a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanneste et al (2013); BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</td>
<td>TAM; TIB</td>
<td>FC (UTAUT); SE (SCT)</td>
<td>Final model: R²=0.308; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al (2010); Computers, Informatics, Nursing</td>
<td>TAM 2</td>
<td>SN; IM; PEOU; PU</td>
<td>SN: beta=.323; IM: beta=.227; PEOU: beta=.35; PU: beta=.422; R²=0.375; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caji et al (2017); Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing</td>
<td>TAM; TIB</td>
<td>PEOU (TAM); PU (TAM)</td>
<td>Block 5: change in R²=0.095; PEOU: beta=.16 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.24); Block 6: change in R²=0.130; PU: beta=.33 (95% CI 0.24 to 0.41); Final model: R²=0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Veer et al (2015); BMC Health Services Research</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>PE; EE; SE</td>
<td>PE: Block 2: beta=.52; Block 3: beta=.24; Block 4: beta=.24; Final model: beta=.24; EE: Block 3: beta=.42; Block 4: beta=.42; Final model: beta=.35; SE: Final model beta=.01; Final model: R²=0.41; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dockweiler et al (2017); Gesundheitswesen</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>PE; EE</td>
<td>PE (5 significant variables); average effect: OR 11.325 (95% CI 2.666 to 49.015); EE (2 significant variables); average effect: OR 0.121 (95% CI 0.022 to 0.685); Final model: R²=0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dou et al (2017); JMIR Mhealth Uhealth</td>
<td>TAM; TAM 2; Dual-Factor model; HBM</td>
<td>PU (TAM); PHT (HBM); Resistance to change (Dual-Factor Model)</td>
<td>PU on intention to use: beta=.616; PHT on intention to use: beta=.305; resistance to change on intention to use: beta=.149; Final model: R²=.412; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennemann et al (2016); Journal of Medical Internet Research</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>SI; PE; EE</td>
<td>SI: beta=.39 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.54); PE: beta=.31 (95% CI 0.19 to 0.43); EE: beta=.22 (95% CI 0.09 to 0.31); Final model: R²=.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hossain et al (2018); Telemedicine and e-Health</td>
<td>UTAUT; TAM</td>
<td>Social reference (means SI; UTAUT); ATT (TAM); FC (UTAUT)</td>
<td>SR: OR 9.73 (95% CI 4.16 to 22.78); ATT: OR 4.56 (95% CI 2.71 to 7.66); FC: OR 3.92 (95% CI 1.29 to 11.95); Final model: R²=.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huygens et al (2015); Interactive Journal of Medical Research</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>EE; PE; FC; SI; ATT</td>
<td>Service to ask questions by internet via email or a website: EE: OR 5.46 (95% CI 3.27 to 9.13); PE: OR 5.47 (95% CI 3.44 to 8.70); ATT: OR 5.85 (95% CI 3.63 to 9.43); FC: OR 7.91 (95% CI 4.53 to 13.82); SI: OR 4.34 (95% CI 2.46 to 7.68); No levels of significance reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin and Yang (2009); Telemedicine and e-Health</td>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>PU; AT; SN; PEOUxATT; PUxATT; SNxATT</td>
<td>Direct effects: ATT on BI (lambda=.76); SN on BI (lambda=.16); Total effects: ATT on BI (lambda=.76); PU on BI (lambda=.62); SN on BI (lambda=.42); PEOU on BI (lambda=.31); Final Model: R²=.80; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeters et al (2012); Journal of Clinical Nursing</td>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>Relative advantage; Compatibility; Complexity; Observability</td>
<td>Relative advantage: beta=.17; Compatibility: beta=.21; Complexity: beta=.19; Observability: beta=.34; Final model: R²=.61; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rho et al (2015); Cluster Computing</td>
<td>UTAUT</td>
<td>PE; EE; SI; FC on EE; FC on PE</td>
<td>PE: beta=.34; EE: beta=.22; SI: beta=.24; FCxPE on BI: beta=.17; FCxEE on BI: beta=.15; Final model: R²=.44; CI NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al (2017); Informatics for Health and Social Care</td>
<td>TAM; SCT; PMT</td>
<td>PU (TAM); PEOU (TAM)<em>PU; SE (Protection Motivation Theory)<em>PEOU</em>PU</em> AI; RE (Response Efficacy)<em>PEOU</em>PU<em>AI; RE (Protection Motivation Theory)<em>PEOU</em>PU</em> AI</td>
<td>Direct effects: PU: beta=.3; Moderator: PUxSE; beta=.145; PUxRE: beta=.35; Final model: R²=.501; CI NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Effect strength and significance**

**Components of the model or theory with significant explanatory power**

**Social environment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); Journal</th>
<th>Theoretical model or theory</th>
<th>Components of the model or theory</th>
<th>Effect strength and significance a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jen and Hung (2010); Telemedicine and e-Health</td>
<td>TPB; TAM</td>
<td>ATT; PU; PEOU</td>
<td>BI of adopting Mobile Health Services is explained directly by ATT; ATT on BI (beta=.547); Final model: $R^2=0.641$ of the variance in BI; CI NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Italics serve as subheadings for stepwise models.
b TAM: Technology Acceptance Model.
c DOI: Diffusion of Innovations Theory.
d TIB: Theory of Interpersonal Behavior.
e PU: Perceived Usefulness.
f PEOU: Perceived Ease of Use.
g OR: odds ratio.
h $P \leq .001$.
i $P \leq .05$.
j $P \leq .01$.
k UTAUT: Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology.
l SI: Social Influence.
m PE: Performance Expectancy.
n SN: Social Norm.
o TRA: Theory of Reasoned Action.
p TR: Technology Readiness.
q ATT: attitude.
r SN: Social Norm.
s BI: Behavioral Intention.
t NS: not specified.
u EE: Effort Expectancy.
v SCT: Social Cognitive Theory.
w FC: Facilitating Conditions.
x IM: Image.
y HBM: Health Belief Model.
z PHT: perceived health threat.

**Study Characteristics**

The publishing years ranged from 2005 to 2017. Among the included studies, the Netherlands (4 times) and Spain (4 times) stand out. Only 1 study comes from a developing country, that is, Bangladesh. The number of journals from different research fields shows the conclusiveness of the conducted search.

All studies were cross-sectional studies. The number of participants ranged from 84 to 1014 (mean n=266.25 (SD 210.07), median n=228). Accordingly, acceptance was tested for using inferential statistics in all cases. Only 1 study did not apply causal statistics but merely a correlation analysis [42]. Of the remaining 22, 7 conducted complex models of causality, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) or Path Modeling. For the statistical analysis conducted within each study, see Multimedia Appendix 3.

Only 1 study relied completely on an existing, previously tested questionnaire for the applied theory or model [29]. All other studies applied self-developed questionnaires. However, a total of 3 studies did not specify how their questionnaire was tested for validity and reliability [42-44]. Of the remaining 20, 6 [29,45-49]—those using SEM—applied confirmatory factor analysis, whereas the others relied on Cronbach alpha statistics [29,45,47-62].

**Participant Characteristics**

The population is balanced regarding health care providers and patients, as both were studied 11 times. Only 1 study focused on the social environment, namely relatives, as the key population.

Only 8 of the included studies reported a mean age, ranging from 43.53 to 77.8 years. This led to an overall mean age of 53.72 (n=8) years. The overall mean age for patients was 61.93 years, whereas the overall mean age for health care providers was 47.1 years. The remaining 15 studies reported age groups with different cohorts, which makes it impossible to include them into calculations of an overall mean age.

The overall percentage of female participants was higher among the 10 studies focusing on health care providers (1 did not report on gender) than among the 11 studying patients’ acceptance (77.3 vs 41.4).
Telemedicine Applications

A wide variety of telemedicine applications were analyzed within the included studies. First, it should be noted that of the 24 studies, in 8 cases, the authors did not specify the type of telemedicine application they were studying, that is, they used generic terms such as eHealth [50-52] or telemedicine [42,43,45]. A total of 6 studies focused on mobile apps [46-48,53,54,63], whereas 3 were concerned with applications based on internet devices, such as Web-based aftercare [29,44,55]. A total of 2 applications were used for monitoring of disease parameters [56,57]. A total of 4 more applications targeted certain diseases [49,58-60].

It is also noteworthy that even if a certain telemedicine application was focused on, sometimes the application did not (yet) exist. Instead, certain features were shown to the participants, who were then asked to imagine whether they would be willing to use the would-be application [50,60].

Although it was intended beforehand to study acceptance relative to broader categories of telemedicine applications [39], the sometimes quite generic terms used in the included studies do not allow for such a nuanced analysis. For an overview of telemedicine applications studied, see Multimedia Appendix 3.

Medical Conditions

Along with the concrete telemedicine application, a target disease or medical condition for which telemedicine was supposed to be used was also not stated in 8 of the included studies [42-44,50-52,57,61]. A total of 8 studies dealt with the acceptance of telemedicine applications for chronic diseases, such as diabetes [45,58]. Furthermore, in 3 cases, mental health conditions were studied [54,55,60]. Among the remaining medical conditions targeted were skin lesions [59] and heart failure [53]. For an overview of medical conditions studied, see Multimedia Appendix 3.

Relevant Models and Predictors

Frequency of and Variance Explained by Theories and Models

The results are presented as follows: First, frequencies of the acceptance theories and models used within the 24 included studies are reported, along with their median variance explained, as calculated by the authors. Calculations were thought to be justified, as the theories and models studied proved applicable across a wide variety of telemedicine and medical conditions. Afterward, frequencies and median effect strengths of the predictors found to be significant by the included studies are presented. Throughout the Results section, a distinction regarding relevance of the theories and predictors will be made according to health care providers, patients, and their social environment (see Table 2).

As depicted in Table 3, the TAM is used 11 times within the included studies of this review, and therefore most often. The UTAUT, however, is used 9 times. It should be mentioned that the UTAUT was used 7 times, without any additional predictors from other models, whereas the same is true for the TAM only in 4 cases. Instead, it is used with a variety of other models, among them are the TRA [43,59] and the TPB [46]. The so-called TAM 2, an extension of the original TAM, [64] was used 2 times within the included studies.

Contradictory to that, the TAM still has the highest amount of variance explained among all the models included in this review: A median $R^2$ of 0.68 is achieved by the TAM, compared with an $R^2$ of 0.59 for the UTAUT. The TAM was used more often than the UTAUT, whether in combination or not.

The Diffusion of Innovations Theory by Rogers was used to explain acceptance far less (3 times), yet it still reaches a median $R^2$ of 0.57, which is, in part, because of the fact it was once used in combination with the TAM [56].

There was no other theory or model used alone, except for the TAM or the UTAUT; the Theory of Interpersonal Behavior (TIB) being one of the remaining, which was used in combination with others most often—3 times [53,56,59].

The most powerful combination of models is based on the TAM, adding components of the TIB and the TRA, with a variance explained of $R^2=0.78$ [59]. This $R^2$, however, is still lower than the one achieved by Lin and Yang, using only the TAM, which was 0.8 [49]. The only significant predictor added by the TIB, according to Orruño et al, is the presence of facilitators. The TRA does not add significant predictors at all.

The TAM was used to explain acceptance of health care providers 7 times and 3 times for the acceptance of patients. The median proportion of variance explained by the TAM was higher for health care providers ($R^2=0.63$) than for patients ($R^2=0.501$).

The UTAUT was used more often to explain acceptance of patients than of health care providers (5 vs 3 times). For patients, its median explanatory power is also higher ($R^2=0.55$) than for health care providers ($R^2=0.54$). For frequencies of the models and theories, as well as their median variance explained, see Tables 3 and 4. A complete list of combined models and theories can be found in the appendix (Multimedia Appendix 4).
Table 3. Frequency of theories and models used to explain acceptance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model/Theory</th>
<th>Frequency of use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dual factor model</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Belief Model</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Motivation Theory</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Readiness</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Cognitive Theory</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Acceptance Model 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory of Interpersonal Behavior</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory of Planned Behavior</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory of Reasoned Action</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion of Innovations Theory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Acceptance Model</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Median variance explained by each model alone (if theory or model was used alone).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model/Theory and variance explained (per author)</th>
<th>Median variance explained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology Acceptance Model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 (Cajita et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42 (Gagnon et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63 (Asua et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68 (James et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71 (James et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71 (Orruño et al)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80 (Lin and Yang)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.41 (de Veer et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44 (Rho et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.54 (van Houwelingen et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63 (Hennemann et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77 (Dockweiler et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78 (Hennemann et al)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion of Innovations Theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.53 (Kuhn et al)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61 (Peeters et al)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Acceptance Model 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.38 (Zhang et al)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Frequency and Effect Strength of the Significant Predictors**

UTAUT adds, among others, the predictor *social influence* to the basic TAM predictors. As a result, it accounts for the perception of an item of technology by others, whose opinion is valued by the individual. The predictor was uncovered as a significant predictor by 6 of the included studies and comprises the attitudes of colleagues, patients, or the direct social environment, such as families and friends, toward telemedicine [55,58,60]. The predictors *performance expectancy, effort expectancy, and facilitating conditions* (sometime just called *facilitators*), all part of the UTAUT, were used 6 times as well. The predictor mentioned as significant most often (11 times) was *perceived usefulness*, which is not part of the UTAUT but of the original TAM. It reaches both high ORs (when logistic
regression was performed) and high betas (when multiple linear regression was performed). From a patient perspective, usefulness is achieved when, for example, telemedicine use improves quality of life or makes the care process more convenient for the patient [48]. For health care providers, according to the studies included, usefulness is mainly associated with streamlining care processes, such as diagnosis and monitoring of disease parameters [56,59]. The other TAM predictor, perceived ease of use, was discovered to be significant 6 times. It mostly covers the degree of training it would take, both patients and health care professionals, to understand and learn how to use the telemedicine application in question [55,56], and it is sometimes used synonymously with effort expectation [45].

**Attitude** was a significant predictor in 6 cases. However, in some of the proposed SEMs, it was circumvented in favor of direct effects of perceived usefulness [46] and perceived ease of use [49]. Yet, attitude is the predictor with the highest beta, with regard to the studies using multiple linear regression (median beta=.76).

When studying both median ORs and median betas, only the height of the numbers is reasonably interpretable, as calculation of both values differs greatly. Then, *Perceived usefulness* is the most important predictor for acceptance by health care providers, with a median OR of 5.28 and a median beta of .43 (as calculated by the authors).

When studying both median ORs and median betas, only the height of the numbers is reasonably interpretable, as calculation of both values differs greatly. Then, **Perceived usefulness** is the most important predictor for acceptance by health care providers, with a median OR of 5.28 and a median beta of .43 (as calculated by the authors).

**Methodological Quality Assessment**

All but 1 study have a considerable risk for bias, as there is only 1 study in which all AXIS items from the Methods and Results section could be rated 1, which is the study on mHealth use intention of heart failure patients by Cajita et al [53]. It achieves 19 from a total of 20 points.

Taking into account both OR and beta, there are 2 almost equally important predictors for patient acceptance of telemedicine: **performance expectancy**, with a median OR of 8.4 and a median beta of .3, and **social influence**, with a median OR of 7.04 and a median beta of .25. Patients expect telemedicine to help them cope with their health problems and thereby improve their health [45,55].

For relatives, attitude toward an mHealth care service used to connect their elderly family members with health care providers is the most important predictor (beta=.55). Lin and Yang operationalize attitude as the willingness to use a telemedicine application, as it is considered the ideal solution for a given health problem [49]. As this was the only study focusing on the social environment of patients, no further analysis was conducted.

The objective of each study (24 times rated with 1), study design (24), sample size (24), as well as sample frame (22), was well described in most studies. Moreover, questions dealing with the measurement of outcome variables and the determination of statistical significance could always be rated 1.
Only a few studies have taken measures to address and categorize nonresponders. The evaluation of question 11—whether there was sufficient description of the study design and statistical methods applied to derive the results—shows that only about half of the studies have sufficiently described those, which is because of the fact that these studies have not reported any CIs or nonsignificant results. This diminishes the possibility to repeat their results. Moreover, half of the studies do not score high on questions 13 and 14, as their response rate is low, and there is no information provided about nonresponders, raising the question of nonresponse bias. Overall, the 24 included studies reached an AXIS score of 15.67. An overview of the rating for quality assessment according to the AXIS Tool can be found in the appendix (Multimedia Appendix 5).

Discussion

Importance of Acceptance Theories and Models in General

The results of the 24 original studies included in this systematic review support Hastall et al.’s demands for a holistic analysis of technology acceptance in health care by relying on a theoretical background [18].

The UTAUT was used more often to explain acceptance for patients than for health care providers, which is likely because of the fact that the UTAUT includes variables of the construct, social influence. Those are also more important for patients than for providers. For health care providers, the TAM has the highest variance explained, relying also on the predictor perceived usefulness, which reaches both high ORs and betas.

It is noteworthy that, although it is still the most commonly used model, the TAM is combined with further predictors 7 times. Those predictors borrow heavily from the TIB, TPB, and TRA, thereby enabling the original TAM to also incorporate factors of acceptance not only rooted within technology but also rooted within the individual as the end user. The high prevalence of the UTAUT, used 9 times and only 2 times in combination, also adds to the importance of such factors, being a far more holistic model than the TAM. Together, these findings support Karsh’s statement that acceptance is not solely achieved by improving usability [16]. Apart from that, they fulfill, in parts, the demand articulated by Riley et al for development and validation of novel health behavior theories for mobile interventions. Such theories should, according to the authors, include features and attributes of the technology as well as characteristics of the end users [15].

Differences Between Patients and Health Care Professionals

Among the predictors added to the TAM, which are already part of the UTAUT, the most prevalent are those covering the social and organizational environment of the individual, for example, social influence and facilitating conditions. The latter supports results from qualitative observational research on telehealth readiness by older patients done by van Houwelingen et al. The authors conclude the need for easily available sources for technological support in case of problems with technology use [65]. Social influence, when phrased positively, can be understood as social support, and it was uncovered to be an important factor in technology acceptance, for example, for Web-based interventions for pregnant women by Berg et al [66]. Venkatesh et al included social influences into the UTAUT to pay respect to the fact that individuals’ acceptance behavior is being influenced by what they assume others might think about them when using a certain technology [28]. The results presented here show this is also true for telemedicine. However, they contradict those presented by Boessen et al, who state that intrinsic motivation to use a self-management tool trumps the perception of others, which serves as extrinsic motivation [67]. However, Peeters et al show that for people living alone, the positive effects of telemedicine use are much more observable (as defined by Diffusion of Innovations Theory), and so they were also more willing to adopt home telecare than those living with a partner or relative [62]. Although these results seem contradictory to the importance of social influence, they give another meaning to the concept, showing that telemedicine can, when used properly, provide social contact where there is none.

Social influence appears to be more important for patients than for health care providers. For the latter, perceived usefulness is the most important predictor (studying median ORs and betas), which is in line with the results provided by Mothuy-Blanc et al. They show that whether psychotherapists are willing to use telepsychotherapy is predicted only by whether they find it useful [68]. As the provision of the best treatment to the patient is every health care provider’s primary concern, these results are not surprising. This can also explain why the TAM, focusing on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, is much more important for health care providers than for patients.

Performance expectancy is an important predictor for both patients’ and providers’ acceptance. This makes their individual expectations toward the outcome of telemedicine use an important focus for further research, even though it is contradictory to the results of Koivumäki et al. They found no significant influence of performance expectancy on the adoption of digital preventive services [69].

The data presented here show the importance of easy-to-use applications, as perceived ease of use was shown to be a significant predictor 6 times, as well as effort expectancy. Scheibe et al show that design features, such as simple, intuitive menus, large icons and high color contrasts, are especially important for older users [70]. As time is always scarce in health care provision, easy-to-use technology is also important for health care providers. De Angelis et al can even show that health care providers are willing to disseminate health information via Facebook, mainly as the Social Network is easy to use [71].

Practicability of Technology Acceptance Models and Theories

On a more general level, the results presented here show that technology acceptance theories, as well as their basic behavioristic underpinnings, are applicable to the study of acceptance of telemedicine, even though they are quite old (the TAM was formulated in 1989, and the TRA was formulated in 1975). This is true despite the fact that none of those models...
and theories were originally formulated to fit health care technologies.

Moreover, the theories and models analyzed here are applicable to health care providers and patients alike, not to mention special types of diseases or telemedicine applications. There seems to be no special diagnosis that impacts acceptance and its preconditions, although it is noteworthy that there were not any studies focusing on telemedicine for patients with a cognitive impairment applicable for analysis. A pooling of data to calculate medians therefore seemed feasible.

Although an analysis of acceptance regarding different types of telemedicine applications was intended, only a superficial count of applications studied was feasible because of a lack of specification in some studies. However, no matter what kind of application was studied, the theories and models are applicable. If any insights can be gained from the few studies analyzing acceptance of a certain type of application, it is that acceptance is less of an issue when the basic device used is already familiar to the end user from everyday life, such as mobile phones or Web portals [72]. The results provided by Saigi-Rubió et al in their study from 2014 further stress this point, as they find previous use of ICT in their everyday life to be a significant predictor for physicians’ telemedicine use [73].

The applicability of the UTAUT, according to the variance explained, stresses the importance of holistic models of acceptance, incorporating not only characteristics of the individual adopter but also of his or her direct or indirect social environment. Facilitating conditions, being mentioned 6 times as relevant predictors, refer to the technological as well as the organizational infrastructure fostering acceptance. In a qualitative study by Cimperman et al, cost of the technology in use was mentioned as a major concern of older adults [74], which may appeal to funding agencies, such as insurance companies, to provide financial support.

It should be noted in this context that, although standardized and well-tested questionnaires exist for the TAM [26], as well as for the UTAUT [28] and the Diffusion of Innovations Theory [75], only 1 author cited here fully relied on the UTAUT questions suggested by Venkatesh et al in the original publication [29]. The remaining authors either made additions or changed the wording of several items. As none of the above mentioned models—nor the questionnaires used to test them—were originally developed for health care technologies, additions and changes to the questionnaires seem logical.

From a purely scientific standpoint, this research is proof that testing of theories and models in a variety of settings can be done by applying a systematic review of empirical studies, that is, by solely relying on secondary data.

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, papers not published in English or German were excluded, which may constitute a selection bias (language bias). Although a comprehensive search strategy has been used, and an additional hand search was conducted, it is possible that some relevant studies were missed if the specific keywords were used neither by the authors nor by the databases searched. Apart from that, a publication bias toward positive results cannot be precluded.

All included studies were cross-sectional studies. It should be noted that acceptance or the decision to adopt an innovation is a dynamic process, taking place over time [12], especially when health behavior change is going hand in hand with it [76]. Such processes cannot be captured with a cross-sectional study that, by nature, only covers 1 point in time [62].

Another limitation might be that acceptance cannot be fully evaluated regarding different medical conditions, as these were not often indicated in the included studies. The same is true for concrete applications. Acceptance is most likely rated differently when the subject is given the chance to use a real application instead of having to rate acceptance of hypothetical applications or generic terms such as eHealth or telemedicine.

Furthermore, age and gender could be confounders in the interpretation of the results, as they differ in the individual studies. However, because of questionnaires tailored specifically for each research interest in each included study, the theories, models, and predictors are applicable despite such heterogeneity in demographic variables.

In terms of quality assessment, the AXIS tool does not provide a numerical scale for assessing quality of the studies. Thus, a subjective rating, depending on the research interest, is required. Although the authors of the tool state that this subjectivity provides greater flexibility in assessing the quality of a study [41], this can still be a limitation.

Owing to the heterogeneity across the statistical methods within the included studies, a meta-analysis could not be performed. The medians, as well as the SDs calculated here, are an approximation, based on the overall applicability of the theories and models. It shows that the theories and models, as well as the predictors, can be compared.

The review strictly followed the PRISMA. We deployed a concise and literature-based search string, which was critically reviewed by several peers. The methodology applied for this review was checked for validity by the PROSPERO foundation, where a protocol was registered beforehand. A thorough quality assessment with a focus on methodological issues was conducted for each included study.

**Further Research Needs**

As demonstrated in the review, social influence, also called social support, represents an important factor in telemedicine acceptance. The acceptance of telemedicine may benefit from the support of others perceived as important by the unit of adoption. In addition, telemedicine should be perceived as useful by the users or those who recommend them. All in all, models that are explicitly suited for health care technologies, such as telemedicine, need to be developed and empirically tested. The Health Information Technology Acceptance Model proposed by Kim et al in 2012 can serve as suitable model [77]. Even though it is based on existing technology acceptance and health behavioral theories, it was used in none of the studies found in this review.
This review highlights a lack of methodologically adequate studies. Future studies should have a longitudinal design and should consider the dimension of time, to allow for measuring the influence of mid- and long-term use of an innovation [78]. An important issue for further research in theory-based approaches to the measurement of acceptance is that the studies should examine acceptance of real telemedicine applications.

Complex, interdependent interactions within an organizational setting should also be tested for. The Normalization Process Theory can be helpful in this endeavor, as it proposes a nonlinear understanding of acceptance [31]. Theoretical factors promoting the implementation of telemedicine within a whole health care organization are not covered by the primary aim of this review. Yet, information about them can be derived, insofar as they can be subsumed under facilitating conditions. Little information was also found on predictors of telemedicine acceptance for relatives and peers of the primary users. Applying the NASSS framework, Greenhalgh et al find the complex interactions among the 6 levels, especially the organizations and the wider policy system, to be severely hindering telemedicine implementation [79]. The role of a supportive policy system as a predictor for telemedicine acceptance in a health care organization has been shown to be especially important in developing countries by Zailani et al. In addition, the authors stress the importance of the existing health culture as a mediator between individual technology assessment and telemedicine acceptance [80]. A more thorough investigation of the role played by the social environment of the end user, especially relatives, should also be conducted. Finally, the research presented here stops with the acceptance of telemedicine. However, another prerequisite of sustained use is task performance, that is, the ability to successfully use a technology [12]. Little research has been done on task performance in telemedicine use. However, Serrano and Karahanna have shown, on the basis of the task-individual-technology-fit theory, that skills in acquiring knowledge, problem-solving, and presenting solutions influence the successful use of teleconsulting systems [81]. Taking these results as a starting point, a shift from acceptance to performance research is feasible.

Conclusions
The results of this systematic review indicate that acceptance of telemedicine can be examined by using technology acceptance theories and models. On the basis of the included studies, acceptance was most often predicted by perceived usefulness, social influences, and attitude. To examine how adoption processes evolve over time, longitudinal research on existing applications would be advisable in the future. A brief summary of the study results can be found in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Brief summary of the study results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What this study adds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Theories of technology acceptance are superior to common behavioral theories in explaining telemedicine acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not only features of the technology but also individual characteristics of the end user have to be considered when designing user-centered telemedicine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For patients, telemedicine acceptance of their social environment is crucial, as friends and families can support uptake of telemedicine use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For health care providers, usefulness of telemedicine in their clinical practice is of vital importance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Background: Obtaining a diagnosis of neuropsychiatric disorders such as autism requires long waiting times that can exceed a year and can be prohibitively expensive. Crowdsourcing approaches may provide a scalable alternative that can accelerate general access to care and permit underserved populations to obtain an accurate diagnosis.

Objective: We aimed to perform a series of studies to explore whether paid crowd workers on Amazon Mechanical Turk (AMT) and citizen crowd workers on a public website shared on social media can provide accurate online detection of autism, conducted via crowdsourced ratings of short home video clips.

Methods: Three online studies were performed: (1) a paid crowdsourcing task on AMT (N=54) where crowd workers were asked to classify 10 short video clips of children as “Autism” or “Not autism,” (2) a more complex paid crowdsourcing task (N=27) with only those raters who correctly rated ≥8 of the 10 videos during the first study, and (3) a public unpaid study (N=115) identical to the first study.

Results: For Study 1, the mean score of the participants who completed all questions was 7.50/10 (SD 1.46). When only analyzing the workers who scored ≥8/10 (n=27/54), there was a weak negative correlation between the time spent rating the videos and the sensitivity (ρ=−0.44, P=.02). For Study 2, the mean score of the participants rating new videos was 6.76/10 (SD 0.59). The average deviation between the crowdsourced answers and gold standard ratings provided by two expert clinical research coordinators was 0.56, with an SD of 0.51 (maximum possible SD is 3). All paid crowd workers who scored 8/10 in Study 1 either expressed enjoyment in performing the task in Study 2 or provided no negative comments. For Study 3, the mean score of the participants who completed all questions was 6.67/10 (SD 1.61). There were weak correlations between age and score (r=0.22, P=0.14), age.
and sensitivity ($r = -0.19, P = .04$), number of family members with autism and sensitivity ($r = -0.195, P = .04$), and number of family members with autism and precision ($r = -0.203, P = .03$). A two-tailed $t$-test between the scores of the paid workers in Study 1 and the unpaid workers in Study 3 showed a significant difference ($P < .001$).

**Conclusions:** Many paid crowd workers on AMT enjoyed answering screening questions from videos, suggesting higher intrinsic motivation to make quality assessments. Paid crowdsourcing provides promising screening assessments of pediatric autism with an average deviation <20% from professional gold standard raters, which is potentially a clinically informative estimate for parents. Parents of children with autism likely overfit their intuition to their own affected child. This work provides preliminary demographic data on raters who may have higher ability to recognize and measure features of autism across its wide range of phenotypic manifestations.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13668) doi:10.2196/13668
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**Introduction**

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD, or autism) [1] is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder that manifests in children by or before 3 years of age and now impacts 1 in 40 children in the United States [2]. Obtaining a diagnosis of ASD, like that of many other neuropsychiatric conditions, requires long waiting times, often exceeding a year [3,4]. Furthermore, obtaining a professional diagnosis is often prohibitively expensive for much of the global population [5,6]. Crowdsourcing provides a scalable alternative to the current diagnostic evaluations for ASD that include extensive and lengthy clinical evaluation by a trained professional and are inaccessible to families in rural areas as well as those with low incomes [7]. Crowdsourcing, broadly defined, is a type of participative online activity in which an entity proposes the voluntary undertaking of a task to a group of individuals [8]. Crowdsourcing can improve the quality and speed of medical research projects by leveraging the crowd for large-scale problem solving, data processing, surveillance/monitoring, and surveying [9]. Thus, crowdsourcing may enable families who are limited by long waiting times, are members of underserved populations in the United States, or are living in countries where accessibility is hampered by available resources to obtain an accurate diagnosis in a timely manner.

Crowdsourcing is increasingly used in health promotion, research, and care [10]. Ben-Sasson et al showed that parents of children suspected to have autism can fill out standardized questionnaires about their own child online to prescreen for autism; a machine learning classifier trained on the questionnaire responses identified 58% to 88% of children at risk for autism [11]. Tariq et al showed that feature tagging by independent nonexpert raters enables rapid machine learning risk prediction for autism by using home videos of <3 minutes in length [12]. However, such approaches have not yet been performed or tested at scale.

Large-scale crowdsourcing can be achieved through online and virtual workforce platforms. Amazon Mechanical Turk (AMT) is a popular crowdsourcing platform that offers a paradigm for engaging a large number of users for short times and low monetary costs [13]. AMT has been successfully used in health care settings. Kuang et al showed that crowd workers on AMT had significantly higher scores when rating pictographs than in-person participants in a hospital [14]. CrowdMed is a software platform that aims to leverage crowdsourcing to help undiagnosed or misdiagnosed patients by allowing them to submit their cases and interact with case solvers to obtain diagnostic outcomes [15]. Such large-scale crowdsourcing systems and paradigms have not yet been tested for their potential in assisting the screening and diagnostic processes for children at risk for developmental delays.

A limiting factor for the crowdsourcing detection of pediatric conditions is the collection of structured data such as video or audio. Recently, an increasing number of mobile health tools are being developed for children with autism [4,16-25], including at least one that has been clinically validated in a randomized controlled trial [26]. These tools not only provide opportunities for better health care but can also be a significant data resource and specifically increase the potential to collect rich, naturalistic behavioral data via structured mobile videos [27,28]. Nazneen et al developed an effective system used in a home setting to capture videos of children with autism, and professional diagnosticians deemed 96% of the collected videos clinically useful for making an autism diagnosis [29]. Voss et al developed a wearable system on Google Glass providing real-time emotion feedback to the child wearer while simultaneously capturing videos through the front-facing camera of the Glass [19,24-26]. Kalantarian et al developed a mobile charades game that promotes facial contact with the parent by the affected child while capturing highly structured videos through the front-facing camera of iOS and Android devices [27,28]. Videos produced by such systems can be fed into a crowdsourcing pipeline for manual labeling of videos that can be analyzed using artificial intelligence and produce rapid screening and diagnosis for children at risk for developmental delay and other conditions marked by behavioral symptoms. Such applications could be particularly valuable because they have the potential to flow more easily into the health care system, given the widespread adoption of mobile devices globally [30,31], enabling easy and free data collection and transfer between families, crowdsourced workforces, and the health care system.

Here, we present a series of three crowdsourced studies which enabled us to (1) test the ability of the crowd to directly identify
autism and (2) provide behavioral metrics that could be used for machine learning autism classification based on a short video clip of a child interacting with his/her parent. In Study 1, we evaluated whether a randomly selected set of paid crowd workers could accurately label videos of children interacting with family members as either “Autism” or “Not autism.” In Study 2, we evaluated whether high-scoring crowd workers providing intuitive answers about a disorder would perform well on a different set of videos and be motivated to perform a more thorough task on AMT. In Study 3, we tested how unpaid crowd workers perform when rating videos for diagnostics. We hypothesized that the workers would enjoy the rating task, certain demographics of workers would emerge as high-quality raters, paid and unpaid crowd workers would perform equally well on the same set of videos, high-scoring workers on simple rating tasks would continue to perform well on harder rating tasks, and crowd ratings would approximate a set of “gold standard” ratings from professionals.

Methods

Summary of Studies

We performed three studies that were designed incrementally in response to the results from our prior work, which examined feature tagging by independent nonexpert raters for autism risk prediction using home videos [12]. All three studies were approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board. Videos for all studies were sourced from publicly available YouTube videos. We searched for videos of children both with and without autism based on the following criteria for the videos: (1) it shows the child’s hands and face; (2) it includes clear opportunities for direct social engagement; and (3) it involves opportunities for the child to use an object such as a utensil, crayon, or toy. We selected a subset of 20 videos for this study balanced by age, gender, and diagnosis. Table 1 provides video demographic statistics of the sets of videos used in all tasks.

Study 1: Paid Crowdsourcing on Mechanical Turk

Overview

In order to evaluate whether a randomly selected set of paid crowd workers could accurately classify videos as either “Autism” or “Not Autism,” we recruited 54 workers on AMT and recorded their demographic traits.

Participants

Workers were paid US $3.50 each to complete the task, which aligns with the California minimum wage payment rate based on our estimate of the time needed to complete each task. To ensure quality, workers were required to have a task approval rate >98% for all requesters’ human intelligence tasks and a total number of approved human intelligence tasks >500. In order to identify any differences in rating ability based on demographic trends, we asked workers for their age and gender, whether the rater is a parent, the number of children the rater knows with autism, the number of family members with autism, the number of affected friends, and whether the rater himself/herself has autism.

Task

The task consisted of workers viewing and answering questions on 10 videos of a parent interacting with a child. The videos were equally balanced for gender and diagnosis (Table 1). Workers were not required to watch the entire video but were instructed to “scroll through the video once you get the idea, but watch enough to be able to answer the questions.” We allowed the workers to skip to other parts of the videos and replay them. As shown in Figure 1, each video was followed by free-response questions asking the rater to briefly describe the activity of the parent and the child in the video. This was used to verify that the workers adequately engaged with the videos before classifying them as “Autism” or “No Autism.” If the answers were logically inconsistent, we discarded the worker’s answers. After answering all questions, we asked the workers a series of demographic questions to help them describe themselves. These questions included age, gender, geographic location, whether the rater is a parent, the number of children the rater knows with autism, the number of family members with autism, the number of affected friends, and whether the rater himself/herself has autism (Multimedia Appendix 1).

Table 1. Summary of the videos used in all three studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Video length, mean (range)</th>
<th>Child age (years), mean (range)</th>
<th>Female, %</th>
<th>Children with autism, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>3 minutes 2 seconds (49 s to 6 min 39 s)</td>
<td>3.2 (2-5)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 minutes 9 seconds (1 min 7 s to 4 min 40 s)</td>
<td>2.9 (2-5)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. An example question set on the paid crowdsourcing Mechanical Turk Study 1 task. Workers answered the same set of questions for 10 separate videos.

Analysis
We used the Pearson correlation when comparing real numbers to performance metrics and the point biserial correlation when comparing binary variables to performance metrics. In particular, Pearson correlation was used to compare scores, precision, recall, and specificity to time spent, age, number of children known with autism, number of family members with autism, number of friends with autism, number of people known with autism, and number of children known with autism. Point biserial correlation was used to compare scores, precision, recall, specificity, and time spent to whether the rater has autism, whether the rater is a parent, and the gender of the rater. The metrics used were accuracy, precision (true positive/[true positive+false positive]), recall (true positive/[true positive+false negative]), and specificity (true negative/[true negative+false positive]). We analyzed the subset of workers who scored well (≥8/10) in addition to the pool of all workers in order to determine demographic traits specific to high-performing workers.

Study 2: Paid Crowdsourcing With High-Scoring Workers

Overview
In order to evaluate whether high-scoring workers providing intuitive answers about a disorder would perform well on a different set of videos and be motivated to perform a more thorough task on AMT, we conducted a follow-up study with the workers who performed well (scored ≥8/10) in Study 1. The study was divided into two parts: (1) conducting the same task as that in Study 1 but with a different set of 10 videos, and (2) answering a series of 31 multiple-choice questions about specific behaviors of the child for each of the 10 videos from Study 1.

Participants
A total of 27 workers who scored ≥8/10 in Study 1 were successfully recruited to complete an additional set of 11 tasks. We chose to exclude workers who did not perform well in Study 1 because we wanted to filter out workers who did not demonstrate intuitive skill for detecting developmental delays in children. We chose a cutoff of 8/10 because a higher cutoff would not yield a large enough worker pool to recruit from. Workers were recruited by providing a worker bonus of US $0.05, and they were sent a message describing the additional tasks and pay for completion of the tasks.

Tasks
In the first task, the setup was identical to that in Study 1 except that a different set of videos was used (Table 1). The remaining 10 tasks required workers to answer a series of 31 multiple-choice questions that have been previously shown to have high predictive power for detecting autism through video [12]. The 31 multiple-choice questions target 31 different symptoms of ASD and are written to rate the presence of these symptoms in short videos of children. Each question asks the rater to rate an individual symptom of autism, with the answer choices representing increasing levels of severity; each question therefore serves as a rating scale that allows for quantitative comparisons. The full set of 31 questions can be found in a previous study [12]. To understand the worker satisfaction with the tasks, we asked all workers to provide any free-form comments about the rating experience. Figure 2 shows the user interface for these tasks. The same set of 10 videos used in Study 1 was also used in this series of tasks. For each task, the interface consisted of a single video followed by a series of 31 multiple-choice questions, including the original diagnosis question (“Autism” or “Not autism”) asked in Study 1. Because all the recruited workers had already performed well in Study 1, no verification questions about the video were asked.
Figure 2. Two questions on the paid crowdsourcing Amazon Mechanical Turk Study 2 multiple-choice tasks. Workers were asked to answer 31 multiple-choice questions for a single video per task. There were 10 available identical tasks with different videos.

Comparison to Gold Standard Ratings
In order to compare the answers provided by the crowd workers with a “gold standard” rating, we asked two trained clinical research coordinators experienced in working with children with autism and neuropsychiatric disorders to answer all 31 questions for each of the 10 videos that included multiple-choice questions. This rating was used as a baseline to compare the answers from the AMT workers.

Analysis
As in Study 1, Pearson correlation was used to compare scores to time spent, age, number of children known with autism, number of family members with autism, number of friends known with autism, number of people known with autism, and number of children known with autism. Point biserial correlation was used to compare scores to whether the rater has autism, whether the rater is a parent, and the gender of the rater.

Study 3: Public Crowdsourcing Through Citizen Healthcare
Overview
In order to test how unpaid crowd workers perform when rating videos for diagnostics, we developed a public website (videoproject.stanford.edu) for watching the videos and answering questions about the videos. Through pilot testing, we found that unpaid crowd workers are not willing to answer 31 multiple-choice questions for several videos; therefore, we focused on the “Autism or Not” task from Study 1.

Participants
A total of 115 participants were successfully recruited via our public-facing website (videoproject.stanford.edu) that was distributed via social media shares and online community noticeboards (eg, Nextdoor.com).

Task
When the users navigated to the webpage, they were provided with a video and two buttons allowing them to classify the video as “Autism” or “Not autism” (Figure 3A), as in Study 1. In order to minimize participant dropout due to a long list of demographic questions, we interleaved each question throughout the video-rating process (Figure 3B). After rating all videos and providing all demographic information, the user is directed to a results page where his/her score and total rating time are displayed along with the option to play again and share their results on social media (Figure 3C).
Figure 3. (A) The primary interface for the “citizen healthcare” public crowdsourcing study. Citizen healthcare providers watch a short video and then classify the video as “Autism” or “Not Autism.” (B) After rating each video in the “citizen healthcare” public crowdsourcing study, users are asked a single demographic question about themselves. This allows us to collect demographic information without overwhelming the user, which would otherwise lead to lower participant retention rates. (C) At the end of the “citizen healthcare” public crowdsourcing study, users are informed of their score and the time they spent rating. They then have the option to play the game again and share their result on Facebook or Twitter.

Results

Study 1: Paid Crowdsourcing on Mechanical Turk

The mean score of the participants who completed all questions was 7.5/10 (SD 1.46). Table 2 shows the summary demographics of the crowd workers who completed the task. Most of the demographic trends from the Mechanical Turk cohort were not statistically significant. However, when only analyzing the workers who scored well (scored ≥8/10; N=27/54), there was a weak negative correlation between the time spent rating the videos and the sensitivity ($\rho=-0.44, P=.02$). There were similar almost-significant trends for accuracy ($\rho=-0.35, P=.07$) and precision ($\rho=-0.38, P=.05$). There was no trend for sensitivity or specificity. Table 3 shows the average rating of all video raters.

Table 2. Summary demographics of the crowd workers in Study 1 (N=54).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>36.4 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With autism, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a parent, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (37.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of known affected children, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected families, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected friends, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of total known affected people, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.3 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Ratings labeled as “Autism” across all 54 paid crowd workers in Study 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video number</th>
<th>Ratings labeled as “Autism”, %</th>
<th>True rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study 2: Paid Crowdsourcing With High-Scoring Workers

Overview

Table 4 shows the comparison between workers who performed well (≥8/10 videos correctly diagnosed) and poorly (<8/10) in Study 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the two populations except in the mean number of affected children that the worker knew.

Performance on Different Video Sets

The mean score of the crowd workers was 6.76/10 (SD 0.59). Because the study cohort was smaller for Study 2, we did not analyze demographic trends. Instead, we analyzed completion rate, rating trends, and agreement with “gold standard” raters. Table 5 shows the autism classification ratings of all raters in this part of the study. There was significantly more rater agreement than that in Study 1, indicating that crowd workers who perform well on providing diagnoses on one set of videos will also perform well on a different set of videos with similar characteristics.

Worker Satisfaction

None of the workers provided any negative comment about any of the tasks in this study. Several workers had positive comments (Textbox 1).

Worker Motivation

In addition to thanking the researchers for the provided tasks, some workers (4/27) volunteered detailed explanations about the videos and the reasoning behind their ratings. Comments from Video 4 are shown as a representative example in Textbox 2.

Table 4. Comparison of summary demographics of the crowd workers who performed well (≥8/10 videos correctly diagnosed) and poorly (<8/10) in Study 1 (N=27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Performed well (score≥8/10)</th>
<th>Performed poorly (score&lt;8/10)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.7 (6.5)</td>
<td>38.1 (10.8)</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With autism, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a parent, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4)</td>
<td>13 (48.1)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4)</td>
<td>8 (29.6)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of known affected children, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected families, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected friends, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of total known affected people, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Ratings labeled as “Autism” across all 22 paid crowd workers in the task with a different set of 10 videos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video number</th>
<th>Ratings labeled as “Autism”, %</th>
<th>True rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not autism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Textbox 1. Representative examples of positive comments from crowd workers.

"Well organized and enjoyable."
"Thank you as always! I appreciate the opportunity and the behavioral learning experience."
"Fantastic survey. I really hope there are more of these in the future!"
"Thank you so much! So glad to be a part of your studies and hope that this will progress your work in autism."
"Thank you and look forward to more hits."

Textbox 2. Explanations for the ratings for Video 4.

"It was a bit hard to hear the other people besides the child, so not sure if they were talking to her when she shouted 'yes.'"
"I want to say this child has Autism, but would like to see some more sensory information before I truly decided. Hence why some questions were N/A."
"The child seems to be listening to something on earphones. Some part of it seems like she is singing along to it. The limited interaction with parents seems more like distraction by the music than some developmental problem."
"While I think this girl has some sort of developmental issues, (her playing with the straw, hand motions, fixation on the parents phone at the end), I think it's a stretch to call it autism. I'll do the last one of these later today!"

Comparison with Gold Standard Ratings

The ratings between the two gold standard raters were identical for all videos except for one, where the answers differed by one point for a single question. Across all videos, the average deviation between the average crowdsourced answers and the gold standard ratings was 0.56, with an SD of 0.51. Figure 4 shows the distribution of deviations of all questions for all videos. There were 310 data points (31 questions × 10 workers). We followed up this analysis with a qualitative inspection of all video-question pairs where the average deviation exceeded 1.5.

Analyzing the Underlying Cause of Worker Deviation

A qualitative analysis of the video-question pairs where the average deviation exceeded 1.5 answer choices on the rating scale helped us explore the underlying cause of worker deviation from the gold standard rating. There were 22 such pairs (of a possible 31×10=310 pairs). There were 2 questions, in particular, that had high deviation across multiple videos (Table 6). Questions 13 and 16 were vaguer than the other questions, providing a list of numerous example behaviors within the question. These example behaviors were not exhaustive; therefore, it is possible that some workers only looked for the explicitly listed behaviors without generalizing them. On one video in the dataset, in particular, raters performed poorly on several questions. This video involved a 4-year-old girl with ASD singing songs with her father. It is possible that ASD features are more difficult to distinguish from a video when observing singing rather than natural speech.
Figure 4. A histogram of the AMT worker deviation from the gold standard ratings for all questions and all videos. The maximum possible deviation is 3.0. Most video ratings have a deviation below 1.0, which is an acceptable error. However, several worker responses deviated greatly from the gold standard. AMT: Amazon Mechanical Turk.

Table 6. Questions where the average worker answer was >1.5/3.0 answer choices away from the gold standard rating for multiple videos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Number of deviating videos (of 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 Does the child get upset, angry or irritated by particular sounds, tastes, smells, sights or textures?</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Does the child stare at objects for long periods of time or focus on particular sounds, smells or textures, or like to sniff things?</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study 3: Public Crowdsourcing Through Citizen Healthcare

There were 145 unique visits to videoproject.stanford.edu. A total of 126 participants provided at least one rating of the series of 10 videos. Of these 126 participants who started the rating process, 115 completed all videos (91.3% retention). The mean score of the participants who completed all questions was 6.67/10 (SD 1.61). The mean score of the paid participants was 7.50 (SD 1.46) for the same set of videos in Study 1 and 6.76 (SD 0.59) in Study 2 for a different set of videos with the high-scoring workers. A two-tailed t test between the scores of the paid workers in Study 1 and the unpaid workers in Study 3 showed significant differences ($t_{168} = 3.37, P < .001$).

As in Study 1, we analyzed the Pearson correlation when comparing real numbers to scores and the point biserial correlation when comparing binary variables to scores. There were weak correlations between age and score ($r = 0.22, P = .02$), age and sensitivity ($r = -0.19, P = .04$), age and total time spent rating videos ($r = 0.25, P = .004$), whether the rater is an educator and total time spent rating videos ($r = -0.185, P = .045$), number of family members with autism and sensitivity ($r = -0.195, P = .04$), and number of family members with autism and precision ($r = -0.203, P = .03$).

Discussion

Interpretation of Principal Results

We have demonstrated the feasibility of both paid and volunteer “citizen healthcare” crowd workers to provide pediatric diagnostic information on behavioral disorders based on short video clips. We first ran a study (Study 1) with 54 AMT workers and found that there was a weak negative correlation between the time spent rating the videos and the sensitivity ($r = -0.44$, $P = .03$).
We then conducted a follow-up study (Study 2) with the AMT workers who performed well (correctly classified at least 8 of the 10 videos) in Study 1 and received exclusively positive feedback about the tasks; we even received requests for more. This feedback, in conjunction with the high completion rate for the set of tasks, indicates that crowd workers who perform well in simple tasks (eg, from Study 1) are likely to not only participate in but also enjoy completing further tasks if they are encouraged about their prior performance and paid sufficiently.

We also found that across all videos, the average deviation between the average crowdsourced answers and the gold standard ratings was 0.56, with an SD of 0.51. Since the scales are from 0 (not severe) to 3 (severe), this deviation indicates that the crowd tends to rate within acceptable error. Most of the deviations fell within 1.0, although there was a nonnegligible number of video questions with a larger SD.

Finally, we ran the procedures from Study 1 on a public website advertised on social media and found weak correlations between different demographic groups, due, at least in part, to the small sample sizes per category. Larger sample sizes will be required to draw significant conclusions about the inherent accuracy within or across demographic groups. A two-tailed t test between the scores of the paid workers in Study 1 and the unpaid workers in Study 3 showed a significant difference (t168=-3.37, P<.001). This indicates that paid workers will outperform a general unpaid crowd of online citizen workers.

**Limitations**

A limitation of this work includes the lack of the assessment of this crowdsourced “citizen healthcare model” in a real-world clinical setting. We are working on establishing the infrastructure to test this kind of system prospectively (see Future Work). Our current findings using publicly available YouTube videos and “uploader reported” diagnoses for this initial study lend support to the potential for such future research.

It is unclear whether results from AMT can be generalized to all paid crowdsourcing platforms. It is possible that another paid crowdsourcing platform could yield workers with higher or lower performance than those that chose to participate in our AMT studies. There were 27 well-performing workers who moved on to participate in Study 2, but testing Study 2 procedures with participants who scored <8/10 would provide additional insights into the performance of crowdsourced video raters.

We emphasize that the work performed here is a pilot study for crowdsourcing acquisition of pediatric diagnostic information from an untrained population. In future studies, it will be fruitful to explore a larger diagnostic workforce and replicate the processes described here with independent subsets of the crowd.

In terms of the volunteer-based “citizen healthcare” experiment included in this study, some of the results could have been skewed by our recruiting methodologies. We recruited participants largely via conference presentations and recruitment postings on Nextdoor [32] in the San Francisco Bay Area, California, as well as south Austin, Texas. We were ultimately only able to enroll 71 people to complete the study, but a larger-scale crowdsourced study with broader public recruitment strategies might yield emerging demographic trends that did not arise in this study due to the limited sample size.

**Future Work**

Future work should examine the potential of crowd workers to provide ratings about other demographic groups such as adults, individuals with other neuropsychiatric disorders, and populations in other geographic regions. Although performing a study on a public cohort of citizen raters scoring 31 multiple questions was not feasible at scale, we believe that future work should explore motivations, through mediums such as gamification, for crowd workers to participate in diagnostic microtasks for free.

Additionally, we hope to assess the feasibility of this pipeline for standard of care practice, where we use the crowd to analyze videos of children referred to developmental specialists by primary care providers. This will not only allow us to better understand the feasibility of using this system in a clinical setting but will also allow us to better assess the validity of the pipeline by utilizing videos of children who receive professional diagnoses. This will permit us to compare diagnostic outcomes from the crowd to those assigned by licensed professionals. In addition, efforts should be made into expanding the source of gold standard ratings to a larger network of expert clinical raters.

Crowdsourcing of rich video data opens the doors to understanding the forms of autism, including the potential contributions from genetics and environment, in part, due to the ability to develop an online community network and a rich digital phenotype for many subjects in a scalable and affordable fashion. Eventually, crowdsourcing could provide scientists with enough data to find the link between genetics and the behaviors present in videos [33]. This work is a step toward this goal.

Using a crowd of raters to answer questions about short structured videos of a child for mobile machine learning-aided detection and diagnosis may help to ameliorate some of the inefficiencies with the current standards of care for autism diagnosis. For families lacking the financial resources to obtain a formal diagnosis, a crowdsourced paradigm like the one tested here could be a viable alternative when provided with a proper system and feature measurement design.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have shown that paid crowd workers enjoy answering screening questions from videos, suggesting higher intrinsic motivation for making quality assessments. Paid and vetted crowd workers also showed reasonable accuracy with detection of autism as well as other developmental delays in children between 2 and 5 years of age, with an average deviation <20% from professional gold standard raters, whereas parents of children with autism likely overfit their video assessments to their own affected child. These results show promise for the potential use of virtual workers in developmental screening and provide motivation for future research in paid and unpaid crowdsourcing for the diagnosis of autism and other neuropsychiatric conditions.
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Abstract

Background: In China, the utilization of medical resources is tense, and most hospitals are highly congested because of the large population and uneven distribution of medical resources. Online health communities (OHCs) play an important role in alleviating hospital congestions, thereby improving the utilization of medical resources and relieving medical resource shortages. OHCs have positive effects on physician-patient relationships and health outcomes. Moreover, as one of the main ways for patients to seek health-related information in OHCs, physician-patient communication may affect patient compliance in various ways. In consideration of the inevitable development of OHCs, although they have several shortcomings, identifying how physician-patient communication can impact patient compliance is important to improve patients’ health outcomes through OHCs.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the impact of physician-patient communication on patient compliance in OHCs through the mediation of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance, using an empirical study based on the self-determination theory.

Methods: A research model was established, including 1 independent variable (physician-patient communication), 3 mediators (perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance), 1 dependent variable (patient compliance), and 4 control variables (age, gender, living area, and education level). Furthermore, a Web-based survey involving 423 valid responses was conducted in China to collect data, and structural equation modeling and partial least squares were adopted to analyze data and test the hypotheses.

Results: The questionnaire response rate was 79.2% (487/615) and the validity rate was 86.9% (423/487); reliability and validity are acceptable. The communication between physicians and patients in OHCs positively affects patient compliance through the mediation of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance. Moreover, physician-patient communication exhibits similar impacts on the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance. Patients’ decision-making preference shows the weakest impact on patient compliance compared with the other 2 mediators. Ultimately, all 3 mediators play a partially mediating role between physician-patient communication and patient compliance.

Conclusions: We conclude that physician-patient communication in OHCs exhibits a positive impact on patient compliance; thus, patient compliance can be improved by guiding physician-patient communication in OHCs. Furthermore, our findings suggest that physicians can share high-quality health information with patients, discuss benefits, risks, and costs of treatment options with patients, encourage patients to express their attitudes and participate in health-related decision making, and strengthen the emotional connection with patients in OHCs, thereby decreasing patients’ misunderstanding of information and increasing concordance between physicians and patients. OHCs are required to not only strengthen the management of their published health information quality but also understand users’ actual attitudes toward information quality and then try to reduce the gap between the perceived and actual quality of information.
patient portals; communication; patient compliance; consumer health information; decision making; physician-patient relations; personal autonomy

**Introduction**

**Background**

As a type of virtual community, online health communities (OHCs) are developed with the Web 2.0 technology [1,2]. OHCs are platforms for people to communicate with one another regarding health-related topics, thereby promoting the interaction between physicians and patients [3]. OHCs can break through time and space limitations as users can communicate through posts and Web-based messages without meeting each other or chatting in real time [2]. From the perspective of users, OHCs can be divided into 3 categories: (1) for patients to discuss illnesses, share experiences, and exchange information, (2) for physicians to exchange their professional knowledge, and (3) for patients and physicians, specifically, patients can communicate with physicians and seek help from physicians, and physicians can answer patients' questions and publish common health-related knowledge. This paper mainly focuses on the third category and studies the physician-patient communication in OHCs.

In OHCs, patients can conveniently ask for physicians’ help anytime and anywhere in 2 main ways: posts and one-to-one communication. Therefore, patients can diagnose some simple symptoms by themselves on the basis of the information obtained from OHCs, and their privacy can be protected as the communication is not face to face [3-5]. In terms of functions, OHCs not only provide health information but also provide social support to patients [6]. For example, some psychological needs, such as self-esteem and self-efficacy, can be satisfied [7]. Patients with chronic diseases, such as diabetes, hypertension, and obesity, especially mental diseases, such as depression and schizophrenia, are more dependent on OHCs because of emotional communication [8-11]. However, OHCs also have several drawbacks. Physicians may be less likely to understand patients’ illnesses because of the lack of face-to-face communication. Moreover, the quality of information obtained from OHCs cannot be guaranteed as patients are unable to ensure the identities of physicians, given the zero gatekeeping and zero-cost publishing of the internet [12]. In that case, some patients may be hesitant to seek information or communicate with physicians in OHCs or be hesitant to trust physicians or adopt health-related information provided by physicians in communication. Nevertheless, OHCs are still so popular that an increasing number of patients would like to use OHCs to seek health-related information, to connect with other patients and physicians, and to ask for support [6], because of OHCs’ advantages, such as saving queueing time, developing health management, enhancing physician-patient relationships, and improving health service quality. In consideration of the advantages and inevitable development of OHCs, problems of OHCs need to be improved to assist offline treatments and help patients maintain a healthy lifestyle [7]. Communication is an important way for physicians to provide patients services and for patients to seek health information in OHCs [10]; therefore, we intend to further explore physician-patient communication in OHCs for the purpose of improving treatment efficiency.

In China, the utilization of medical resources is tense, and most hospitals are highly congested because of the large population, uneven distribution of medical resources, and low treatment efficiency. Moreover, medical resources cannot meet the daily needs of residents in some regions of China. OHCs can help alleviate hospital congestions, improve the utilization of medical resources, and relieve medical resource shortages to a certain extent [10,13,14]. Specifically, patients are able to diagnose some simple symptoms by themselves and so do not need to go to hospitals frequently and, therefore, their occupancy of medical resources can be decreased. In addition, physicians can publish health-related articles, answer patients’ questions, and provide advice in OHCs when they are not busy diagnosing patients. Moreover, patients from some medically underdeveloped areas can also acquire help from physicians through OHCs. Physician-patient communication in OHCs has important effects on physician-patient relationships, patients’ satisfaction, and health service accessibility. Atanasova et al [7] summarized that physicians participating in OHCs and communicating with patients can improve patients’ satisfaction, enhance patients’ confidence in physician-patient relationship, and increase the possibility of using health services. Wu and Lu [15] identified the impact of the service provided by physicians in OHCs on patients’ satisfaction and treatment efficiency. Yang et al mainly explored how OHCs can improve treatment efficiency from the perspective of patients’ satisfaction. Sarah et al [16] proposed that physicians are required to actively communicate with patients in OHCs to improve patients’ satisfaction. Petrić et al [17] found that social process, such as communication in OHCs, can affect the relationship between patients and physicians. In general, physician-patient communication in OHCs can help improve treatment efficiency, as physicians can better serve patients if hospital congestions can be alleviated and medical resources can be redistributed and reused through OHCs. In addition to the physician-patient relationship and patients’ satisfaction, patient compliance, which has not been widely studied, is another perspective to improve treatment efficiency through OHCs. Previous studies show that patient compliance can impact the effect of medical regimens and treatments, and high patient compliance is conducive to accelerating patient recovery and increasing treatment efficiency [18-20]. Consequently, the inefficient occupancy of medical resources can be reduced and OHCs can reuse and redistribute these resources. Therefore, this paper intends to discuss how OHCs can influence patient compliance so that we can provide a new way to improve treatment efficiency through OHCs. OHCs can influence physician-patient relationships [21], and patient compliance is critical in physician-patient relationships.
and health care. Medical regimens and treatments can be effective if patients can regularly take medicines following prescriptions and keep a healthy lifestyle according to their physicians’ recommendations [22]. Moreover, patients with high compliance tend to be relatively healthier than noncompliant ones [23,24]. Effective communication between physicians and patients can considerably help establish physician-patient relationships and promote effective information exchange, which is beneficial for patients obtaining health information, making suitable decisions, and ultimately producing positive results, such as improving compliance [25]. Therefore, the perceived quality of health information may be a mediator between physician-patient communication and patient compliance. Zolnierek and Dimatteo [26] corroborated that physician-patient communication can influence patient compliance through multiple mechanisms. For instance, effective communication between physicians and patients can bring benefits for support, collaborative relationships, patient-centered interviews, and consequently improving patient compliance. Roberts et al [27] concluded that effective physician-patient communication can lead to high patient compliance. Furthermore, Bultman and Svarstad [28] explored the effect of physician-patient communication on patient compliance through the mediation of satisfaction with treatments. Molfenter and Brown [29] found that patients’ health beliefs play a vitally mediating role between physician-patient communication and patient compliance. Ultimately, Laugesen et al [30] determined the impact of internet health information on patient compliance and proposed that internet health information can improve the communication between physicians and patients and then improve patient compliance. Therefore, there may be several mediators between physician-patient communication in OHCs and patient compliance. In the context of OHCs, we considered the perceived quality of internet health information as one mediator. The internet provides patients new platforms, such as OHCs, to communicate with physicians and promotes them to participate in making health-related decisions [31]; thus, the decision-making preference may also be a mediator between physician-patient communication in OHCs and patient compliance. In the physician-patient relationship, physician-patient concordance is a critical element. High-quality communication can promote the exchanging and understanding of opinions between physicians and patients; therefore, the opinions of patients and physicians may tend to be identical. Laugesen et al [30] identify the significant impact of physician-patient concordance on patient compliance in the context of the internet. Therefore, we take into account the mediation of physician-patient concordance.

This study aimed to identify the impact of physician-patient communication in OHCs on patient compliance from the perspective of psychology, attempting to guide patient compliance through communication in OHCs. As a complex field, behavioral psychology has received attention from researchers in recent years, especially for its application in the study of health [32]. However, patient compliance, which is a dynamic parameter and may be easy to change because of psychological factors, has not been paid sufficient attention [33,34]. In addition, factors such as basic national conditions and national policies have created a unique medical system in China, which is considerably different from foreign medical systems. Therefore, the theoretical and practical results of OHC services in foreign countries cannot be directly applied to Chinese scenarios. Research on OHCs in China is still in its infancy. In this study, on the basis of the self-determination theory, we intended to explore how physician-patient communication in OHCs affects patient compliance through mediations of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance to fill in the gap of research and practice.

**Physician-Patient Communication**

Collaborative medical interactions exhibit considerable relevance to health care outcomes [35]; thus, physician-patient communication plays an important role in the health care system. Thomas et al [36] claim that physician-patient communication remarkably affects patient response to treatments and, consequently, the quality of diagnoses. Therefore, high-quality physician-patient communication brings benefits to improving health care quality and patients’ health outcomes [37,38], and the communication quality has been regarded as one of the critical elements of health literacy. With the development of the internet, a new form of physician-patient communication, internet communication, has emerged. Patients are increasingly willing to communicate with physicians on the Web because of the advantages of physician-patient internet communication [39]. For instance, the internet provides patients a platform to seek health-related information to self-manage and self-monitor their health and diagnose some nonurgent medical problems [40,41]. In that case, patients may not have to wait for a long time for a simple illness, and congestions in hospitals are likely to be alleviated [10,13,14].

OHCs are one of the main channels for patients to communicate with physicians on the Web. On the one hand, patients can engage with physicians without going to hospitals instead of only seeking health-related information from the internet. In addition, patients can also obtain additional information about their physicians if they contact the physicians before going to the hospital, which may reduce the uncertainty and sense of risk [14]. On the other hand, the majority holds the view that the internet helps individuals improve their abilities of communication [42]. Moreover, between patients and physicians, communicating without meeting each other is less likely to cause conflicts, thereby strengthening the physician-patient relationship. Accordingly, patients are likely to be satisfied and trust their physicians [14,43] and may be willing to comply. However, physician-patient communication in OHCs exhibits shortcomings, which may negatively influence patient compliance in turn. For instance, accurately diagnosing patients without face-to-face communication is difficult for physicians, as they cannot observe patients’ breath, sound, or facial expressions [40]. In this situation, patients may regard their physicians as unprofessional and then refuse to comply with treatments [44]. Therefore, this paper aimed to explore how to guide physician-patient communication in OHCs to improve patient compliance.
Patient Compliance

Generally, the effect of treatments depends on 2 aspects: (1) whether the treatment proposed by physicians is the correct remedy and (2) whether patients comply with the treatment [30]. Given that we cannot control the degree of physicians’ professionalism, we pay increasing attention to patient compliance to improve the curative effects. Patient compliance is used to measure how patients follow medical diagnoses and treatment regimens recommended by their physicians [30], including medicine adherence and maintaining a healthy lifestyle [33]. Moreover, patient compliance plays a vital role in physician-patient relationships and health care systems, especially from the perspective of chronic diseases, whose recovery relies on patients’ self-management and self-monitoring [23]. If medical diagnoses and treatment regimens are proper, treatments can be increasingly effective when patients’ daily habits are consistent with physicians’ advice [22]. Therefore, patients with high compliance are likely to be relatively healthier than those with low compliance [23]. Khera et al [45] and Johal et al [46] validated that a healthy lifestyle is good for preventing cardiovascular diseases. With the increasing number of patients with chronic diseases, patient compliance becomes increasingly important.

High compliance is conducive to patients’ health outcomes, whereas low compliance or noncompliance may cause negative consequences related to patients, the economy, and the society. Diseases may be hard to treat if patients refuse to comply with physicians. According to Varleta et al [47], blood pressure may be difficult to control without regularly taking medicines following prescriptions. In that case, medical resources will be wasted, given that they fail to work. Moreover, some types of medicines or therapies may be regarded as invalid, and then their use may be terminated. When this phenomenon occurs in clinical practice, medical productivity may be negatively influenced [48,49]. Furthermore, patient compliance is a dynamic parameter [34]; thus, this study aimed to discuss various methods to improve patient compliance.

Perceived Quality of Internet Health Information

Benefitting from the development of the internet [50], people can gain access to internet-based health-related information that is divided into 2 categories [51-53]: (1) health care information, which is related to diseases, medicines, physicians, hospitals, and therapies and (2) health lifestyle information, which guides not only patients but also all individuals to keep a healthy lifestyle and prevent diseases. Numerous portals have been built by governments, medical institutions, and business corporations to publish various health information on the Web [54], and OHCs are one of the main types of these portals. For specific needs [55], patients enter OHCs to obtain health information in 2 ways: searching for information and communicating with physicians. Physician-patient communication in OHCs is patient-active. If physicians do not respond to patients, it cannot be considered as an entire physician-patient communicating process. Therefore, in this study, patients can be regarded as having obtained health information from physicians as long as they communicate with physicians, regardless of the quality and quantity of information. Compared with the health information from other sources, internet health information can be published on time and be obtained conveniently and quickly because of zero gatekeeping and zero-cost publishing [56]. However, internet health information lacks monitoring, and the quality of internet health information has become a serious problem [57].

Individuals with different health literacy levels exhibit different levels of ability to distinguish the quality of internet health information and perceive different information quality. From the perspective of information users, the perceived quality is different from the actual quality. Sporadically, a person may encounter a piece of high-quality health information related to a specific topic but may consider this information low in quality, in other words, the perceived quality is low. This situation may result from many factors. For instance, the channel that publishes this information may be low in quality and cannot convince users. In addition, the person’s cognition on this topic may be wrong; thus, he or she may consider the information to be low in quality.

Decision-Making Preference

The internet provides patients with opportunities to contact physicians and obtain health information. Thus, some patients’ health literacy can be improved, and they may be willing to play active roles in health-related decision making [58]. A survey conducted by Jr et al [59] verifies that participating in decision-making activities helps patients achieve improved health outcomes. In addition, Beaver et al [60] proved that patients with psychological diseases are less likely to relapse if they participate in decision making. Therefore, an increasing number of patients are encouraged to be involved in decision making in treatments [59]. However, in the meantime, some patients prefer to rely on their physicians and want physicians to be the main or only decision maker [61]. From the viewpoint of some patients, some physicians may dislike making shared decisions with patients, and therefore, patients cannot participate in decision making; otherwise, physicians may think that their patients are questioning their professions.

Actually, physicians are willing to discuss benefits, risks, and costs of treatment options with patients, encourage patients to positively participate in decision making, and make decisions after considering patients’ views [61,62], which is beneficial for the improvement of patient satisfaction, enhancement of physician-patient relationship, and improvement in health care quality [62]. Participating in decision making does not indicate that patients make decisions by themselves regardless of physicians’ professional advice. On the contrary, participation requires sufficient communication between physicians and patients [63]. When patients’ opinions are contrary to physicians’ opinions, physicians need to patiently explain to patients and make efforts to be consistent with patients. Moreover, as the core of patient-centered care [64], shared decision making plays a key role in high-quality physician-patient communication [65]. Even if patients do not intend to make decisions by themselves, they still want to know treatment options from their physicians. Hence, physicians are required to positively understand their patients’ preferences of decision making instead of waiting for patients’ inquiries.
Physician-Patient Concordance

Physician-patient concordance indicates that patients and physicians equally discuss treatment options [70] and then reach an agreement in terms of medical diagnoses and treatment regimens [21,71], which is important in physician-patient interactions [72]. According to previous studies, when the opinions of patients and physicians tend to be identical, patients’ satisfaction and health care outcomes will be high [72,73]. Patients may be able to manage themselves well and not need further consultation, thereby reducing health care costs [72]. However, a gap about medical knowledge exists between patients and physicians because of the professionalism of medicine; thus, patients’ expectations of health outcomes may sometimes be different from those of physicians, and it may be difficult for physicians to propose medical treatments that are consistent with patients’ values, goals, and priorities [74]. Accordingly, patients’ health outcomes and physician-patient relationships may be negatively affected. Therefore, physician-patient concordance must be improved.

A considerable number of researchers have conducted surveys on physician-patient concordance, comprising its pattern of manifestation, advantages, shortcomings, and influencing factors. Shin et al [73] proved that increased efforts by physicians to understand demands of patients with cancer for the achievement of concordance are beneficial in improving patient compliance. In terms of influencing factors, the impact of patients’ sociodemographic characteristics on concordance has been identified, but other factors have not been fully understood. Moreover, Gross et al [72] deduced that physicians maintaining a long-term relationship with patients can help enhance concordance, whereas ignoring patients’ accurate demands may not directly affect concordance in the consultation process but may affect follow-up treatments.

Self-Determination Theory

The self-determination theory was proposed by Deci and Ryan [75], and it is the only theory of human motivation that determines autonomy as a human need and has been applied to the fields of health care, education, and organization [76]. According to the self-determination theory [77], when people perceive high autonomy support from social events, their intrinsic motivation of activities will be enhanced [78]. By contrast, perceiving being controlled or forced in social events is more likely to decrease an individual’s intrinsic motivation of activities [79]. In the context of health care, Ng et al [76] inferred that patients’ health-related behaviors may be positively motivated by their autonomy orientations. Specifically, supporting autonomy for patients can satisfy 3 basic aspects of psychological needs (ie, autonomy, relatedness, and competence) [75,77,80] and then patients will begin their health-related behaviors [76], such as quitting smoking, weight control, and medicine adherence [79]. By contrast, if the satisfaction is low, then self-determined motivation may be reduced, and people may involuntarily behave negatively, such as be noncompliant [80].

Autonomous self-regulation plays a critical role in health care, and Ng et al [76] concluded that the self-determination theory is viable to studying the motivation for health-related behaviors. This paper attempted to explore how physician-patient communication in OHCs can impact patients’ motivation and compliance to treatments from the mediating perspective of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance by employing the self-determination theory.

Model and Hypotheses

This paper intended to explore the impact of physician-patient communication in OHCs on patient compliance by establishing the research model (see Figure 1), including 1 independent variable (physician-patient communication), 3 mediators (perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance), and 1 dependent variable (patient compliance).
Patient compliance is a dynamic parameter, and noncompliance or low compliance may be involuntary [81]. Therefore, this study focused on patients’ autonomy to improve patient compliance. As one of the main contents of physician-patient communication, information delivery is advantageous to obtaining health-related information and knowledge, helping patients understand their health and conditions and improving patient satisfaction with health care services [10]. Lin et al [43] proved that physician-patient communication on the Web, which relies on an internet-based channel, can be more likely to promote patient satisfaction compared with offline communication [39]. In addition, Web-based communication can help improve patients’ trust in their physicians and the frequency of using OHCs [14]. High trust and satisfaction with physicians make patients perceive autonomy support from physicians, make patients willing to obtain health information from physicians, and make patients likely to trust this information [55]. In that case, internet health information obtained from physicians in OHCs through communication can be fully processed; thus, patients’ psychological demands for the information may be satisfied, and they may perceive high-quality health information. Therefore, we suggested the following hypothesis: (H1) Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on patients’ perceived quality of internet health information.

Promoting physician-patient communication means encouraging patients to inform physicians of their health conditions and urging physicians to discuss treatment options with patients.
instead of making decisions themselves. A patient’s preference of participating in making decisions is dynamic [66] and thus may change with the progress of communication. The self-determination theory highlights that people who perceive support of autonomy will likely be motivated to change their behaviors [75,79]. When patients and their physicians positively communicate with each other, patients can feel that physicians provide opportunities for them to participate in decision making. Hence, they will perceive autonomy supported by physicians, and then they may actively participate in making decisions and assisting physicians. By contrast, without autonomy support, patients may be overwhelmed by the feeling of being controlled or coerced, which leads to the weakened intrinsic motivation of activities. Accordingly, we proposed the following hypothesis: (H2) Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on patients’ decision-making preference.

Physicians and patients tend to have differences in their cognitions [70], but this divergence may be reduced if they can communicate with each other. Specifically, communication makes physicians understand patients’ health conditions, and patients can feel increased autonomy support from their physicians. In that case, the self-determination theory proposes that patients’ psychological needs can be satisfied and that they are willing to agree with their physicians. In addition, empathy can be produced between physicians and patients, resulting in high concordance. According to Gross et al [72], patients are more likely to be concordant with their long-term physicians, as the communication may be frequent and positive in this relationship. By contrast, if physicians are unwilling to explain benefits, risks, and costs of treatment options or if patients refuse to describe the details of illness, the quality of communication is bad, which may cause suspicion. Moreover, in such an inefficient treatment environment with low support of autonomy, patients may sometimes question their physicians and be reluctant to cooperate with treatments [75]. Consequently, we suggested the following hypothesis: (H3) Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on physician-patient concordance.

Perceiving internet health information as high in quality may make patients think that their physicians are professional and indeed share health-related information with them, thereby enabling patients to feel being allowed or inspired by physicians to participate in medical decision making, and their perceived autonomy support is increased. Under the guidance of the self-determination theory [75], perceived autonomy support may encourage patients to positively change their medical behaviors, such as complying with treatments. In addition, high-quality information may help patients extend the knowledge and experience related to the importance of self-regulation and self-management [76], which can help improve patient compliance with medical diagnoses and treatment regimens. Laugesen et al [30] identified a weak, indirect effect of internet health information quality on patient compliance through mediations of perceived information asymmetry and physician-patient concordance, whereas Lu et al [33] found an indirect effect of internet health information quality on patient compliance by means of the mediating role of affect-based trust. Therefore, the indirect effect of internet health information on compliance may be associated with the mediators, background, sample, and other possible factors. What we can ensure is that the perceived quality of internet health information indeed has an effect on patient compliance. Therefore, we attempted to examine the direct effect of the perceived quality of internet health information on patient compliance. Hence, we proposed the following hypothesis: (H4) Patients’ perceived quality of internet health information has a positive impact on patient compliance.

From the perspective of patients, a high decision-making preference does not mean that they prefer to make decisions by themselves rather than consider physicians’ advice. Generally, physicians mainly decide the final medical options, and patients’ decision-making preference represents the degrees at which patients participate in making decisions. Highly participating in health-related decision making means patients tend to be more autonomous. According to the self-determination theory, autonomy may encourage patients to behave positively in treatments, such as medicine adherence. Therefore, patients who prefer to participate in decision making are likely to perceive autonomy support from their physicians, which may help enhance their internal sense of health care and develop their ability of self-regulation [76], ultimately improving patient compliance with treatments. Therefore, this situation led us to derive the following hypothesis: (H5) Patients’ decision-making preference has a positive impact on patient compliance.

High concordance between physicians and patients is the ideal consequence of treatments. In this situation, patients will highly agree with their physicians; thus, complying with physicians also means following their own choices. Similar to the discussion of the perceived quality of internet health information, patients assume positive attitudes toward their physicians, and they assume a perception of high supportive autonomy that promotes self-regulation of healthy behaviors [76], such as patient compliance, which may be present, according to the self-determination theory. Moreover, Laugesen et al [30] found a direct impact of physician-patient concordance on patient compliance. Hence, we proposed the following hypothesis: (H6) Physician-patient concordance has a positive impact on patient compliance.

**Methods**

**Instrument Development**

To guarantee reliability and validity, we adopted the previous scales validated by published works to measure variables in the research model (see Figure 1) with a 5-point Likert-type response format that ranged from strongly disagree to strongly agree, as shown in Multimedia Appendix 1. In the context of OHCs, this study adapted a 14-item scale from Makoul et al [82] to measure the communication between physicians and patients. The perceived quality of internet health information, which was once examined by Laugesen et al [30], was measured using a 16-item scale. In addition, physician-patient concordance and patient compliance under the background of internet health information were also discussed in the study by Laugesen et al [30], with 2 different 5-item scales. To address the subject of this study, we adapted these 2 scales to measure...
physician-patient concordance and patient compliance in OHCs. Ultimately, the decision-making preference of patients was measured using a 6-item scale by Aoki et al [83].

The next step involved translating the instrument into Chinese, given that the survey would be conducted in China and our subjects were Chinese individuals who have communicated with physicians in OHCs. Referring to the similar translation process by previous studies [84,85], we first recruited native Chinese speakers with at least a master’s degree and who were good at speaking English and scientific research translation to translate the scales into Chinese, considering the cross-cultural adaptation [86]. Second, we invited individuals with experiences in communicating with physicians in OHCs and who were from different backgrounds of ages, genders, and educational levels to complete the questionnaire, provide recommendations for modifying scales, and then improve comprehensibility, conciseness, appropriateness, and readability. The reverse translation process was the last necessary step, which was important to ensure that our scales were conceptually consistent with the original English version [30,87,88].

Analysis Tool Selection

Structural equation modeling (SEM) is useful in analyzing the causal relationships of research models, including mediators, and accommodating intricate causal networks [30], and it can help incorporate the measurement error and detect effects [89]. This study adopted the partial least squares (PLS)-SEM method to analyze the research model and used SmartPLS version 3.2.8 (SmartPLS GmbH, Bönningstedt, Germany) to analyze the collected data and test hypotheses, drawing lessons from previous studies [30,90].

Data Collection and Respondent Profile

The subjects of this investigation were Chinese individuals who have communicated with physicians in OHCs within the previous month to ensure that they could recall their relevant experiences. With the help of a medical association in China, the formal investigation was conducted in May 2018, and the questionnaires were sent to 615 participants. The participants’ informed consent was secured, and we committed that their privacy would be strictly protected. We used a Web-based platform to create and maintain the questionnaire, and participants also filled this questionnaire through the platform. This platform can help record the completion time of each response; therefore, the response, whose completion time is obviously lower than the average time, is regarded as invalid. In addition, the response that was not completed or that missed at least 1 answer is also invalid. Finally, we received 487 responses, and 423 of them were valid. Therefore, the response and validity rates were 79.2% (487/615) and 86.9% (423/487), respectively. Table 1 shows the demographics of the sample: we found that 59.3% (251/423) participants were aged 20 to 40 years, 53.6% (220/423) of the participants were female, and 51.3% (217/423) of the participants held at least a bachelor’s degree. Thus, more than half of the subjects were young, female, and highly educated, which was consistent with the characteristics of OHCs’ users and met our requirements [2,91,92].

Table 1. Sample demographics (N=423).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>19 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>127 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>124 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>97 (22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>49 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 and above</td>
<td>7 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>203 (48.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>220 (52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living area</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>240 (56.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>183 (43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior middle school</td>
<td>22 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>60 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior college</td>
<td>124 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>159 (37.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
<td>48 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>10 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Data Analysis
To identify the effect of demographic factors on relationships in the research model and to adjust the results, we added age, gender, living area, and education level into the research model as control variables. Although this study used previous validated scales to measure variables, we reevaluated the reliability and validity because of differences in backgrounds and participants. We calculated the Cronbach alpha of each construct using SmartPLS software version 3.2.8, as shown in Table 2, and all values were greater than the cut-off value of .700 [88,93], which indicated a good reliability of scales.

Table 3 provides the composite reliability (CR) and the average variance extracted (AVE) of constructs, and Table 4 shows the correlations between each of the 2 constructs. The convergent validity of scales was acceptable, as all the CR values exceeded the cut-off value of .700 and all the AVE values exceeded the cut-off value of .500. For each construct, the square root of AVE was above each correlation between other construct and itself. Therefore, the discriminant validity was acceptable [94,95].

Table 2. Cronbach alpha of constructs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Cronbach alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient communication</td>
<td>.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making preference</td>
<td>.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient compliance</td>
<td>.787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Composite reliability and average variance extracted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>Average variance extracted</th>
<th>Square root of average variance extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient communication</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td>.527</td>
<td>.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td>.528</td>
<td>.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making preference</td>
<td>.866</td>
<td>.519</td>
<td>.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>.869</td>
<td>.570</td>
<td>.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient compliance</td>
<td>.864</td>
<td>.561</td>
<td>.749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correlations between each of the 2 constructs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>PPCOM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PQIHI&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>DMP&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PPCON&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PC&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>_f</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQIHI</td>
<td>.724</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>.624</td>
<td>.665</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCON</td>
<td>.705</td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>.617</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>.725</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>.595</td>
<td>.686</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>PPCOM: Physician-patient communication.
<sup>b</sup>PQIHI: Perceived quality of internet health information.
<sup>c</sup>DMP: Decision-making preference.
<sup>d</sup>PPCON: Physician-patient concordance.
<sup>e</sup>PC: Patient compliance.
<sup>f</sup>Not applicable.

Hypothesis Testing
According to the results by SmartPLS 3.2.8, we corroborated that age positively affected patient compliance in OHCs. Specifically, older patients were more willing to comply with medical regimens and treatments recommended by physicians compared with younger patients. In terms of gender, females were more likely to perceive high-quality health information in OHCs and exhibited high compliance with physicians compared with males. Furthermore, the educational level positively affected decision-making preference, indicating that patients who were highly educated would be more likely to participate in health-related decision making. This study used Cohen $f^2$ [96] to evaluate the effects of control variables, and Table 5 shows the results of the multivariate coefficient of determination ($R^2$). Ultimately, we contended that control variables had limited or insignificant effects on the research model.
Results of the PLS-SEM can be observed in Figure 2, and Table 6 shows the magnitude and significance of the path coefficients. All 6 hypotheses were supported; specifically, physician-patient communication had positive impacts on perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance. In addition, the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance all positively affected patient compliance. Table 7 presents the effects of constructs in the research model, which indicates that physician-patient communication had a strong impact, with large effect sizes on the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance, and the impact of perceived quality of Internet health information, decision-making preference and physician-patient concordance on patient compliance were all weak with small effect sizes.

Table 5. Multivariate coefficient of determination (R²) results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>With control variables</th>
<th>Without control variables</th>
<th>Δ R²²a</th>
<th>f²b</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making preference</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient compliance</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aΔR²: R² with control variables - R² without control variables
bₐ: Cohen f².

Figure 2. Research model with path coefficients. H1-H6: hypothesis number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Path coefficient</th>
<th>t test</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on patients’ perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>18.693</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on patients’ decision-making preference</td>
<td>.620</td>
<td>16.629</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient communication has a positive impact on physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>.684</td>
<td>19.677</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients’ perceived quality of internet health information has a positive impact on patient compliance</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td>4.569</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients’ decision-making preference has a positive impact on patient compliance</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>3.002</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance has a positive impact on patient compliance</td>
<td>.321</td>
<td>3.951</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Partial least squares effect size analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$\Delta R^2$</th>
<th>$f^2$</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient compliance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making preference</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived quality of internet health information</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-making preference</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-patient concordance</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2$: Multivariate coefficient of determination.  
$\Delta R^2$: $R^2$ with control variables $-$ $R^2$ without control variables.  
$f^2$: Cohen $f^2$.

Table 8. Path coefficients by bootstrapping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Path coefficients (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM$^a$$^b$→PQIHI$^b$</td>
<td>0.703 (0.038)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM→DMP$^c$</td>
<td>0.623 (0.038)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM→PPCON$^d$</td>
<td>0.687 (0.035)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQIHI → PC$^e$</td>
<td>0.215 (0.066)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP→PC</td>
<td>0.094 (0.045)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCON→PC</td>
<td>0.209 (0.082)</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM→PC</td>
<td>0.339 (0.067)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCOM→PC</td>
<td>0.693 (0.035)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$PPCOM: Physician-patient communication.  
$^b$PQIHI: Perceived quality of internet health information.  
$^c$DMP: Decision-making preference.  
$^d$PPCON: Physician-patient concordance.  
$^e$PC: Patient compliance.

To further evaluate the mediating effects in the research model, we conducted an additional analysis using the bootstrapping method (n=5000, 95% CI). As shown in Table 8, the total effect of physician-patient communication on patient compliance was significant, and the direct effects of physician-patient communication on the 3 mediators and of the 3 mediators on patient compliance were all significant. Therefore, we used the Sobel test to assess the mediating role played by the 3 mediators between physician-patient communication and patient compliance. For the perceived quality of internet health information, the value of $Z_{\text{perceived quality of internet health information}}$ was 3.028, which was significantly greater than 1.960, indicating that the mediation of perceived quality of internet health information was significant. In addition, for decision-making preference, the value of $Z_{\text{decision-making preference}}$ was 2.072, which was significantly greater than 1.960, indicating that the mediation of decision-making preference was significant. Furthermore, for physician-patient concordance, the value of $Z_{\text{physician-patient concordance}}$ was 2.528, which was significantly greater than 1.960, indicating that the mediation of physician-patient concordance was significant. We can thus conclude that the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance all played a partially mediating role between physician-patient communication and patient compliance, given...
that the direct effect of physician-patient communication on patient compliance was significant.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This study is the first that explores the impact of physician-patient communication in OHCs on patient compliance, and it makes theoretical contributions and practical implications for future studies on physician-patient communication and for guiding patient compliance through OHCs from the perspective of psychology. First, we constructed a research model to clarify the mechanisms through which physician-patient communication in OHCs impacts patient compliance by employing the self-determination theory. Previous studies have mainly focused on the relationship between offline physician-patient communication and physician-patient relationship and health outcomes, whereas the impact of communication in OHCs on patient compliance remains to be more focused, as OHCs are still in the stage of development, especially in China. Therefore, this study enriches theoretical researches on OHCs and patient compliance and improves the deficiencies of studies on strengthening patient compliance through communication in OHCs in China. In addition, this study used the self-determination theory to promote hypotheses and identify the motivation of patient compliance from the perspective of OHCs, and it enriches the application of the self-determination theory in the field of health-related behavior. The communication between physicians and patients in OHCs indirectly and positively affects patient compliance through the mediation of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance. Therefore, physician-patient communication in OHCs is beneficial for improving patient compliance.

Second, path coefficients from physician-patient communication to the 3 mediators are similar, and physician-patient communication just has a slightly stronger impact on the perceived quality of internet health information compared with decision-making preference and physician-patient concordance. Laugesen et al [30] validated that internet health information quality exerted a weak impact on physician-patient concordance. We speculated that physician-patient communication may have an indirect impact on physician-patient concordance through the mediation of perceived quality of internet health information; thus, the direct impact of physician-patient communication on physician-patient concordance was slightly weaker than that of physician-patient communication on the perceived quality of internet health information. The main purpose of patients using OHCs is to seek health-related information, including physicians, therapies, medicine, and other medical knowledge. As an important form of using OHCs, the communication of patients with physicians significantly affects patients’ perceived quality of internet health information. Moreover, we identified the significant impact of the perceived quality of internet health information on patient compliance, which was supported by Laugesen et al [30], who also claimed that high-quality internet health information can help enhance patient compliance.

Furthermore, physicians are required to share high-quality information with their patients and guarantee patients’ perceived quality of information through communication in OHCs. OHCs must focus on the quality of their published health information. On the one hand, OHCs should strengthen the management of internet health information quality, which involves not only information itself but also users who publish and share information with other users. On the other hand, OHCs can conduct investigations to obtain feedback from their users so that they can understand the gap between the actual and perceived quality of information and then make efforts to improve the perceived information quality.

Third, the path coefficient from a patient’s decision-making preference to patient compliance is the smallest among relationships from the 3 mediators to patient compliance, and the path coefficients from the other 2 mediators to patient compliance are similar, implying that the impact of patient’s decision-making preference on patient compliance is weaker than the impact of the perceived quality of internet health information and physician-patient concordance on patient compliance. This finding is similar to the results of Laugesen et al [30], who confirmed that physician-patient concordance strongly, positively, and directly impacts patient compliance. Moreover, they did not determine the strong impact of internet health information quality on patient compliance, which is different from our finding. We speculated that this is because we discussed the direct impact of the perceived quality of internet health information, whereas Laugesen et al [30] considered the indirect impact. In addition, we narrowed the research background into OHCs. Findings suggest that physician-patient concordance is also a significant perspective, which promotes patient compliance by improving physician-patient communication in addition to patients’ perceived quality of internet health information. Physicians can seek concordance with patients in treatments to improve patient compliance. For instance, physicians can explain benefits, risks, and costs of treatment options [68] to patients, as a gap of medical knowledge may exist between physicians and patients; it may be useful to reduce the difference in cognition and increase concordance. Encouraging patients to tell their health conditions and express their attitudes toward treatments can help physicians learn additional information about patients and propose suitable recommendations, which may achieve an increased sense of identity from patients. In addition, physicians are encouraged to strengthen the emotional connection with patients to provide emotional autonomy support, which can satisfy patients’ psychological needs and improve patient compliance [75].

Finally, compared with the perceived quality of internet health information and physician-patient concordance, decision-making preference shows the weakest effect on the relationship between physician-patient communication and patient compliance, but it can also be a perspective to improve patient compliance. To maintain high compliance, physicians can encourage their patients to participate in decision making in OHCs. Although patients may be unable to assist in making any decision, physicians are required to inform patients of medical options and understand patients’ real ideas related to decision making.

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e12891/
In that case, patients can perceive the support of autonomy in treatments and think that the decision that they follow is partly made by themselves and then be likely to comply with the treatment.

Limitations

Several limitations and prospects in this study must be considered. First, this study used the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance as mediators, and other variables can be discussed in future studies. Second, the development of health care is special in China because of its large population and uneven distribution of medical resources. The effect of OHCs on health care in China may be different from that in other countries. Therefore, the similarities and differences between China and other countries can be explored in further studies. Third, this study only collected data through a cross-sectional survey once; hence, we were unable to dynamically capture the changes of participants' attitudes toward all variables. Finally, we only matched the sample with the characteristics of typical OHCs' users but did not consider the Chinese population. We originally intended to consider Chinese census data but found it difficult because of China's large population. Ultimately, we believe that future studies may be able to address this issue.

Conclusions

This study indicates that physician-patient communication in OHCs positively impacts patient compliance through mediations of the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance. In our research model, physician-patient communication shows similar effects on the perceived quality of internet health information, decision-making preference, and physician-patient concordance, and patient’s decision making has the weakest impact on patient compliance compared with the other 2 mediators. In terms of the mediation, all 3 mediators play a partially mediating impact on the relationship between physician-patient communication and patient compliance. In addition, these findings suggest the following: (1) physicians can share high-quality health information with patients, ask patients’ real opinions about information, and make efforts to decrease patients’ misunderstanding of information; (2) physicians can discuss benefits, risks, and costs of treatment options with patients, encourage patients to express their attitudes and participate in health-related decision making, and strengthen the emotional connection with patients to provide emotional autonomy support in OHCs; and (3) OHCs can not only strengthen the management of their published health information quality but also understand users’ actual attitudes toward information quality and then try to reduce the gap between the perceived and actual quality of information.
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Abstract

Background: Individuals living with long-term physical health conditions frequently experience co-occurring mental health problems. This comorbidity has a significant impact on an individual’s levels of emotional distress, health outcomes, and associated health care utilization. As health care services struggle to meet demand and care increasingly moves to the community, digital tools are being promoted to support patients to self-manage their health. One such technology is the autonomous virtual agent (chatbot, conversational agent), which uses artificial intelligence (AI) to process the user’s written or spoken natural language and then to select or construct the corresponding appropriate responses.

Objective: This study aimed to co-design the content, functionality, and interface modalities of an autonomous virtual agent to support self-management for patients with an exemplar long-term condition (LTC; chronic pulmonary obstructive disease [COPD]) and then to assess the acceptability and system content.

Methods: We conducted 2 co-design workshops and a proof-of-concept implementation of an autonomous virtual agent with natural language processing capabilities. This implementation formed the basis for video-based scenario testing of acceptability with adults with a diagnosis of COPD and health professionals involved in their care.

Results: Adults (n=6) with a diagnosis of COPD and health professionals (n=5) specified 4 priority self-management scenarios for which they would like to receive support: at the time of diagnosis (information provision), during acute exacerbations (crisis support), during periods of low mood (emotional support), and for general self-management (motivation). From the scenario testing, 12 additional adults with COPD felt the system to be both acceptable and engaging, particularly with regard to internet-of-things capabilities. They felt the system would be particularly useful for individuals living alone.

Conclusions: Patients did not explicitly separate mental and physical health needs, although the content they developed for the virtual agent had a clear psychological approach. Supported self-management delivered via an autonomous virtual agent was acceptable to the participants. A co-design process has allowed the research team to identify key design principles, content, and functionality to underpin an autonomous agent for delivering self-management support to older adults living with COPD and potentially other LTCs.
Introduction

Comorbid Mental Health and Physical Long-Term Conditions

In the past decade, it has been acknowledged in research [1], government policy [2] and clinical practice [3] that physical conditions, such as respiratory and cardiovascular disease, and mental health conditions, including anxiety and depression, frequently coexist. It is estimated that 30% of those with 1 or more physical long-term conditions (LTCs) in England will have a comorbid mental health condition [3,4]. This is particularly the case for patients with a diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a patient population that has a higher prevalence of anxiety and depression [5] and which is up to 10 times more likely to experience panic attacks than the general population [6]. These mental health conditions are distressing, have a clinically significant impact on health-related quality of life, and can lead to further health complications and greater consumption of costly health care [1,3,7]. For those living with COPD, management of their condition means maintenance of mental health as much as of physical health.

Unfortunately, the presence of mental health conditions in individuals with a physical LTC can go undetected and untreated. The addition of a physical disease process can compound mental health problems [8-10], and many individuals with LTCs do not have access to mental health care once clinically identified. Despite mental health problems accounting for 23% of the disease burden in the United Kingdom, only 11% of the UK National Health Service (NHS) budget is allocated to mental health services [11]. The high demand for services and a lack of trained health professionals able to offer quality care mean waiting times can be long [12,13]. Accordingly, the issues of management of both physical and mental health for patients with comorbidities is an imperative for research, policy, and clinical practice.

Self-Management

Patient health care is increasingly moving into the community, with greater emphasis placed on supporting patients to take control of their own health (patient activation [14,15]). Self-management of health includes maintaining positive emotional well-being, eating a healthy diet, exercising, optimizing medication, monitoring symptoms, coping with changes in symptoms, and knowing when to seek help [15]. To do this, patients require techniques to draw upon and support to develop the self-confidence and skills required. A review of 228 systematic reviews of self-management interventions indicates that there is little consistent evidence for the appropriate duration, mode of delivery, or specific components of such interventions [16]. Psychological approaches that include techniques such as relaxation training, mindfulness, motivational interviewing, and cognitive restructuring appear to be of benefit in helping patients improve their self-management skills [16]. In addition, health education provision, biofeedback techniques, and physical activity have been found to improve emotional well-being for patients with a range of LTCs [15,16]. Interactive Web-based self-management programs and telehealth initiatives could be particularly useful elements of self-management support for patients, irrespective of the diagnosis [15]. Regardless of the actual techniques and tools used, it is clear that any truly comprehensive and successful self-management intervention for LTCs must address mental health as well as physical health and should see the care of the 2 as forming a holistic care package for the patient [1].

Technology and Health Care

Commonplace digital technology consumer products such as mobile phones, tablets, laptops, and wearable devices have the potential to support and expand the delivery of health services in a more accessible and efficient manner than traditional face-to-face, 9 am to 5 pm, weekday delivery of care. Patients can use these products to access, for example, Web-based health information, self-help materials, electronic therapies, blended care, peer support, and one-to-one consultations [17]. For some patients, the greater convenience, accessibility, and availability of digital services outweigh the advantages of face-to-face contact with health professionals [18]. However, for current digital health technology to be evaluated as engaging, as acceptable by patients, and as clinically effective as traditional face-to-face delivery of care, a blended or guided approach, which includes aspects of face-to-face or telephone support, is recommended rather than the use of digital tools in isolation from human contact [19-21]. It is possible that more sophisticated digital health interventions may address these shortcomings if they can replicate key elements of person-to-person interaction.

Artificial Intelligence and the Provision of Therapy

A promising approach to the development of more sophisticated digital health services lies in the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to build computer systems that are able to deliver therapeutic services with a certain degree of autonomy [22]. In such systems, the role of AI is to process the user’s input data (usually in the form of written or spoken natural language utterances) and then to select or construct the corresponding appropriate responses.

In some respects, the use of AI-based systems in this way represents a natural development: as conventional psychotherapy typically relies on a series of language-based interactions with a therapist, any system that can input and output the symbols that constitute that language—in other words, that has the potential to communicate with the patient—represents, in theory at least, a platform for the delivery of that therapy. Digital computers (and networks of digital computers, such as the Web)
constitute one such platform, and as researchers in AI have devoted much effort over the years to emulating human natural language processing on digital computers, the use of AI to deliver therapy readily suggests itself. (Indeed, one of the earliest and most famous AI systems, ELIZA, dating from the 1960s, emulated, albeit in a somewhat tongue-in-cheek fashion, a talking cure therapist.) Moreover, computer programs and services promise to overcome some of the physical limitations of a human therapist: they can be duplicated rapidly and almost without limit, and they are not fixed to a physical location and never tire of operation, being available (almost) wherever and whenever they are needed.

In recent years, several such autonomous virtual agents have been developed to support the delivery of psychological therapies, incorporating automated responses to text-based chat [23,24]. However, being text based, these therapies lack the naturalness and immediacy of spoken language and can exclude those not skilled in typing, and it can be difficult to convey the subtleties of emotion or attitude. It seems likely that more inclusive and sophisticated therapeutic agents will have to incorporate spoken language interfaces.

**Avachat: A Virtual Agent for Long-Term Condition Self-Management**

The aim of the work presented here was to explore the acceptability of an autonomous agent for supporting people with comorbid physical LTCs and mental health problems. The exemplar LTC chosen in this instance was COPD because of the high reported co-occurrence of common mental health conditions. We hypothesized a virtual agent system, called Avachat (a portmanteau of avatar and chat), but also a serendipitous near-homophone of have a chat), that would offer users acceptable support and guidance based on self-management principles [15]. This system was to be structured around a persona or character, christened Ava, which would act as a focus for the user’s interactions with the system and with which the user would—perhaps—form something akin to a therapeutic relationship. We imagined that Ava would come to personify the support mechanisms, with a visible (onscreen) and audible presence, and that its users would interact with it through natural language, but beyond this, we made few assumptions as to its nature, intending that this should emerge during a co-design process.

**Methods**

**Design**

Working with people with lived experience of COPD and health care professionals and using existing paper-based self-management materials as a starting point, we had the following objectives:

1. To co-design the core functionality of the system by identifying common problems faced when (self-)managing COPD, along with the solutions that were thought most appropriate or useful. These problems were then prioritized according to their impact on everyday life.
2. To elicit prospective users’ operational requirements for the system, including interface features and visual appearance and delivery modalities, and, based on these, the co-design as far as possible of the corresponding features of the system.
3. To develop a prototype implementation that would meet the core functionality and operational requirements as comprehensively as possible given the constraints on resources (time, personnel, and available technology). The prototype was to be developed rapidly to allow its use in a subsequent iteration of the co-design process.
4. To test the acceptability of the design concepts with a wider group of participants.

These methods were adapted from the work of the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care Yorkshire and Humber [25] Translating Knowledge into Action theme. They encourage a creative co-design approach to enable meaningful participation by all key stakeholders: an approach based on the principles of service design [26] and the UK Design Council’s double diamond model of the design process [27]. The approach involves divergent and subsequent convergent thinking phases to, in the first instance, open up the space of challenges before honing the problem definition and then to explore potential ideas before focusing on a workable solution to the problem in hand. If all these processes are done with the involvement of all key stakeholders, the approach becomes coproductive by definition [26]. The explicit use of creative methods in coproduction addresses many of the challenges of coproduction, namely imbalances or deficits of power, language, trust, and time. It fosters an inclusive nonhierarchical environment [28] that allows all participants to be recognized as experts and produces results in the form of visible and tangible outputs owned by those who created them [29].

**Participants**

We aimed to recruit up to 10 individuals in total to 2 co-design workshops, drawn from the sets of patients with lived experience of COPD and of health professionals working with this patient group. In addition, we aimed to recruit a further 10 patients to take part in subsequent video-based scenario testing. In more detail, eligible participants were to be either of the following:

- An individual with a self-reported diagnosis of COPD or chronic lung disease, medically stable, and with a self-reported experience of emotional symptoms in relation to their LTC. A diagnosed mental health condition was not an inclusion criterion for taking part; however, as noted previously, mental health conditions in patients with physical comorbidities are common and often undiagnosed.
- Eligible health professionals were those working with this patient group in some capacity such as a general practitioner (GP; the doctor who will be responsible for treating all common medical conditions and for referring patients for urgent or specialist treatment), mental health professional, specialist nurse, occupational therapist, physiotherapist, or pulmonary rehabilitation specialist.

Individuals with lived experience were identified through the local British Lung Foundation Breathe Easy support group. A researcher (KE) attended the support group sessions and presented the research to members, along with information...
sheets. Interested individuals emailed the study lead (KE) to sign up for the workshops. Our professional contacts from NHS Trusts in and around the Yorkshire region of the United Kingdom were used to obtain the health professional sample: a snowball sampling technique was adopted, with senior managers forwarding an invitation email to relevant staff.

Data Collection

Workshop 1
The first workshop was run to consider initial user requirements for the prototype system. Activities were conducted in small groups with time for feedback and reflection after each activity. This workshop focused on gaining a shared understanding of the lived experience of COPD by:

- Mapping out a day in the life journey together with a participating health professional. Sticker icons were used as prompts to help tell each person’s story and missing sentence prompt cards (“I wish I had known that…”; “It would have been good to have…”; “If only…”; and “It helped to have…” were used to help participants reflect on the positive and negative impacts of their physical and mental health on their day. This process addressed Objective (1), namely the elicitation and prioritization of problems in need of solutions.
- Showing participants examples of existing virtual artificial agents to critique. Mood boards were populated to define the ideal set of features for Ava and Avachat. The look, sound, format, and potential features of Ava and Avachat more generally were explored to address Objective (2), eliciting the operational requirements of the system.

Workshop 2
The aim of this workshop was to design and develop how Avachat, in the guise of Ava, would deliver the core functionality identified in the first workshop. This would address Objective (2) eliciting operational requirements. Activities including the following:

- Adopt and elaborate one of a number of vignettes (Figure 1)—each corresponding to an archetypal person living with COPD—using techniques such as role play to allow participants to step out of their particular contexts and move toward a shared, empathic understanding of different potential users’ needs, experiences, behaviors, and goals.
- The vignettes then informed the development of scripts or sample interactions with Ava in a particular scenario, each related to one of the core functionalities of the system. Each script constituted an essentially linear dialogue, with some limited branching to explore particularly problematic or interesting alternatives. The scripts helped refine the nature of the support that would be expected of the system as well as define aspects such as safeguards (in what circumstances it was advisable and acceptable to deviate from the script to alert a GP or caregiver, for instance), the style of language and speech that should be adopted by Ava.
- Feedback on the vignettes (Figure 2) was used to gather further information for the Ava prototype development.

Video-Based Scenario Testing of Acceptability
Following the workshops, we incorporated some of the more achievable user requirements and content into a semiautonomous prototype Avachat system. On the basis of the scripts developed during Workshop 2, we also developed a short screenplay that introduced Ava and the concept of Avachat and depicted how it might provide support to its user in typical domestic situations. This screenplay was filmed in a living laboratory facility, with a volunteer person with COPD taking the role of the user and simulated interactions with Ava (with an offscreen human operator acting as a Wizard of Oz to provide appropriate responses). This material was edited into a short video (under 8 min), which was then used as the basis for scenario testing with a sample of patients to determine acceptability of the application and content. We explored participants’ views and opinions and asked them to self-complete the System Usability Scale (SUS) questionnaires [30]. The measure has 10 items on usability, complexity, need for support or expert knowledge, integrity, and consistency. Each question is rated on a Likert scale from 1 to 5 (strongly disagree to strongly agree).

Data Analysis
Workshop activities were conducted on paper and were additionally captured in photograph and video format. Data from Workshop 1 on the challenges of daily living were analyzed using content analysis techniques [31] to determine the scenarios around which to develop the core functionality of Avachat. In addition to providing further operational requirements, outputs from Workshop 2 were synthesized using thematic analysis [32] to develop a set of 4 vignettes (case scenarios) that would serve as exemplars for interactions with Ava. We used 2 of these in the screenplay that was filmed and then during the video-based scenario testing. The discussions from the scenario testing were transcribed verbatim and presented as collections of conversation around a priori themes of design, functionality, and content. The completed SUS questionnaires were scored as stipulated by the developers of the instrument. Higher scores are indicative of a more user-friendly system. Although SUS was originally developed to compare alternative systems and their interfaces for performing the same task, its developers argue that, as some sort of absolute rating, a score of 68 represents an average score across all systems.
Figure 1. Persona worksheets. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GP: general practitioner.

Christine
Age: 43
It’s been quite a shock. I didn’t expect to be told I had chronic lung disease at my age. There’s a lot to take in and think about.

Character traits: Living circumstances:

Attitude towards technology:

Attitude towards own health:

Sources of support (friends and/or family):

Hobbies/Interests:

Steve
Age: 51
Getting up in the morning is such an effort. I just don’t see the point. Just thinking the stairs makes me feel worn out and out of puff.

Character traits: Living circumstances:

Attitude towards technology:

Attitude towards own health:

Sources of support (friends and/or family):

Hobbies/Interests:

Pauline
Age: 65

Has had a bit of cold for the past week and has not been sleeping well due to a worrisome cough. She wakes in the night with a severe bout of coughing which is making her sleep feel light. She is feeling anxious and frightened and becoming more short of breath.

Character traits: Living circumstances:

Attitude towards technology:

Attitude towards own health:

Sources of support (friends and/or family):

Hobbies/Interests:

Jim
Age: 72
I can’t let my COPD control my life. I use things when I’m finding it hard to manage and use what I’ve learned from the exercises over the years to keep things under control.

Character traits: Living circumstances:

Attitude towards technology:

Attitude towards own health:

Sources of support (friends and/or family):

Hobbies/Interests:

Jim was diagnosed with COPD 15 years ago. He is an active member of his local Breathe Easy group and volunteers for a local community gardening project.
Results

Workshop 1

Characteristics of the Sample

The workshop was run in July 2017 and lasted 5 hours. Overall, 5 individuals with a diagnosis of COPD and a carer of an individual with COPD agreed to attend (n=6), of whom 5 attended (male n=2 and female n=3), with 1 dropping out because of ill health (male). Participants had a median age of 82.5 years (range 69 to 86 years) and had been diagnosed with COPD for an average of 10.5 years. No medical data on the stage of COPD were available. One participant was currently using oxygen daily. All participants had previously attended pulmonary rehabilitation courses. A total of 6 health professionals attended, all female, working across general practice, secondary care respiratory services, and specialist mental health care.

Activities Data

A Day in the Life

All participants were medically stable. None of the participants disclosed COPD exacerbations or acute mental health problems. Conversation focused on ways in which they prevented low mood and social isolation. From the a day in the life exercise, the group identified positive and negative aspects of their lives. Figure 3 shows the range of activities described by participants. Household chores and social interactions occupied the majority of midday activities for participants. Evenings were quieter, with the group stating that they rarely went out of the home in the evening, often feeling very tired as the day progressed. However, the group reported that at times they lacked motivation (intrinsic or extrinsic) to follow through on planned household chores or social activities. Sleep was often interrupted at times because of their medical condition or associated medication (frequent need to urinate in the night, leg cramps, and breathing difficulties). Episodes of low mood were reported, particularly low mood on waking.

Missing Sentences Task

The missing sentences task highlighted that additional support and information provision in the early stages of the disease would have been beneficial:

It would have been useful to have been assigned to the respiratory nurse earlier on in my treatment, [to provide] general advice on medication/holidays/support.

I think there should be more info for newly diagnosed patients of what services are available.

Members of the group felt that advice and support should be informal and that, ideally, they would have liked one-to-one support:

I think that information given by professionals should be less professional [in style] and more humanised. If only there were individual staff for each patient to be around whenever needed.
In addition to this, the need for support in crisis situations or during acute exacerbations was highlighted:

*I think people should be able to get more instant support, especially when feeling stressed.*

*I needed more support when my husband had a severe exacerbation/panic attack. I called 999 [the UK emergency telephone number]. I need some strategies.*

[Carer]

I wish I had someone around to help me when it [breathlessness] hits you.

Additional data from the missing sentence activity in Workshop 1 were related to the techniques that participants used to maintain or increase their emotional well-being. These resembled the New Economics Foundation’s *Five ways to wellbeing* [33] and were included in the Avachat scripts (Table 1).

Table 1. The *Five ways to wellbeing* and the data to support its application in Avachat. The terms in italics are the 5 recommended actions to foster one’s own well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of well-being</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Give....;</strong> Your time, words, presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I have always been busy, driving the bus for the local care centre, being in the buddy system to support people....sitting in the clinics to encourage people to sign up for pulmonary rehab”; “....choir, breathe easy treasurer, meetings etc. But I am glad I do it. The benefits are wonderful”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Be active....;</strong> Do what you can, enjoy what you do, move your body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I enjoyed the feel-good factor after exercising”; “I think GPs should introduce exercise as a treatment option earlier”; “I feel much more positive, healthy and confident since starting pulmonary rehab and breathe easy”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Keep learning....;</strong> Embrace new experiences, take opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“There is a video now to help people with COPD understand more about the service—this needs sharing”; “I think there should be more info for newly diagnosed patients of what services are available”; “It was good to join the breathe easy choir and enjoy all the songs we sing from the shows etc. Good for the lungs and great for the spirits”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connect....;</strong> Talk, listen, share, feel connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I enjoy hearing the positivity of the people with COPD—and how well they cope with what can be a difficult condition” (health professional); “It’s good to get perspective of other people’s experiences”; “I try and see someone every day, at the shops, playing bridge, at my friend’s for dinner....”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Take notice....;</strong> Notice small things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I think people should carry on doing things. I like listening to the radio and watching the garden, the plants, the grass....I work hard to keep it nice. I’m in no rush”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outputs From Workshop 1

Care Pathway Scenarios
The data generated from the a day in the life task and the missing sentences suggest 4 scenarios in which Avachat could support patients. These are at the time of diagnosis (information provision), during acute exacerbations (crisis support), during periods of low mood (emotional support), and for general self-management (motivation). Ideas for other features of the system included reminders for medication and appointments, and providing access to clinical results.

Avatar User—Specifications
Figure 4 illustrates the data generated from the critiques of existing artificial agents and mood board activities that participants undertook in Workshop 1. It shows the look, sound, format, and potential features of Ava that were considered important to the participants. Participants stated that they would like Ava to be multimodal and accessed via connected consumer devices such as their computer, tablet, mobile phone, or television. They wanted it to be recognizably human in appearance, to be able to personalize Ava to some degree (eg, to alter its gender and accent) and to have options for voice- or text-only communication. Participants spoke about the desire for a conversational discourse but also education provision (the predominantly one-way relay of information).

Script Content for Avachat
Draft scripts were developed for an introduction to Ava and the 4 scenarios. The content for the scripts was derived from clinical information (British Lung Foundation patient literature), behavior change theory (motivational interviewing), emotional well-being advice (New Economics Foundation’s Five ways to wellbeing), and peer-driven content (participants). This content was used as a basis for further elaboration of the scenarios by the participants in Workshop 2.

Workshop 2

Characteristics of the Sample
The workshop was held in October 2017, and 5 patients who attended the first workshop also signed up for this second workshop. Of these, 4 attended (male n=2 and female n=2), with 1 drop out because of ill health (male). The median age of the participants was 73 years (range 66 to 80 years), and the average duration of diagnosis was 11.2 years. No data on comorbidities or stage of COPD were collected. Overall, 4 health professionals attended, all of whom had also attended Workshop 1, and all were female, working across secondary care respiratory services and specialist mental health care.

Activities Data
Scenarios
Scenarios for exploration using vignettes included at time of diagnosis (information provision), during acute exacerbations (crisis support), during periods of low mood (emotional support), and for general self-management (motivation). Figure 5 illustrates the content of a persona focusing on the crisis support scenario.
Output from Workshop 2

Technical Development

We developed a responsive interface that presented Ava to the user via a variety of devices and allowed spoken or written interactions with the system. In addition, there was a second interface intended for a remote human wizard, hidden from the user: this interface would allow an interaction episode to be initiated and subsequently would display the user’s utterances and allow the wizard to choose or type an appropriate response and then transmit it to the user interface, where it would be both spoken aloud and displayed onscreen. (It was not considered necessary at this stage to handle more than 1 simultaneous user: we assumed there would be 1 user and 1 wizard per Avachat system.)

The scripts developed during the workshops were encoded internally as simple state-transition models that were used to present preformulated response alternatives as the user and Avachat proceeded through the script. If the user deviated from the main narrative of the script in a way that had not been envisaged, the wizard could fall back on a default safeguarding script, involving an emergency call to a relative or for an ambulance, but the wizard was otherwise left to his or her own devices. In this manner, the encoded scripts represented much of the Avachat system’s knowledge about the support that should be offered to users and, more implicitly, about appropriate ways of offering this support and interacting in an appropriate manner with the users.

Both user and wizard interfaces were implemented for Web browsers (using JavaScript). In addition to providing portability (the interfaces run on any computer with a modern browser installed), this meant that the underlying data communications between the 2 could be facilitated with the use of the internet and conventional HTTP protocols. Avatar graphics and animations for a range of different characters were licensed from a commercial company (Bot Libre) [34]. An initial implementation in Java provided a bespoke Web server for connecting the interfaces as well as the underlying script-processing mechanisms for the wizard. This effectively allows the wizard system and Web server to be run from a single laptop for maximum physical portability, allowing the system to be demonstrated in a variety of contexts. However, after the first workshop, and for greater convenience, the system was reimplemented in Python to run on a conventional, fixed-internet protocol (IP) Web server hosted at the University of Sheffield, which ensured that the system was always available and required a minimum of technical knowledge and time to run. In addition, in the course of reimplementation, and again for convenience, the speech recognition facilities of the system were changed from being based on the open-source Kaldi toolkit [35] to using those built into Google’s freely available Chrome browser.

Although this development process resulted in a system that was functional, in practice, unless the user conformed quite closely to one of the developed scripts, a significant amount of input was required of the wizard. Moreover, as it was to be expected that this input would often be health related, unless the wizard had experience of the LTC (as either a person living with the condition or as a health care professional), there was every likelihood that incorrect information or unhelpful (or even unsafe) advice might be shared. As a consequence, rather than attempting live interactive sessions with users of the system to explore acceptability, it was instead decided to use a video showing a carefully plotted safe interaction.

Wizard of Oz Video-Based Scenario Testing

As described above, we filmed 2 of the elaborated scenarios with a Wizard of Oz implementation of Avachat: during acute and non-life-threatening emergencies respectively.

Figure 5. Persona crisis support. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 999 and 111 are the UK telephone numbers for life-threatening and non-life-threatening emergencies respectively.
exacerbations (crisis support) and for general self-management (motivation). The film can be viewed in Multimedia Appendix 1. We then screened this video with 8 patients who had a diagnosis of COPD or were a carer of a spouse with COPD: 5 males and 3 females. Overall, 3 of these participants had been part of the co-design process, 5 were novel and new to the concept of Avachat. The median age of the group was 71 years (range 56 to 86 years). The stage of COPD was not reported; however, 1 participant was prescribed oxygen daily. No other comorbidities were reported. All but 1 of the participants used both a smartphone and tablet computer/laptop in their homes. After viewing the film, participants discussed the acceptability of Avachat with regard to design, functionality, and content.

**Design**

All members of the group apart from 1 reported generally positive feedback on the look and feel (and sound) of the Ava character:

> I can’t believe it really. Impressive. (I can’t believe)...that she’s not real. [Participant 1]

> Nice looking girl isn’t she. [Participant 2]

> She says she’s here for you—like she will help, doesn’t talk down to you. [Participant 3]

> I think she’s lovely. I think she would say the right things and be helpful, just based on the way she looks. [Participant 1]

The inferred informal interaction with Ava, as demonstrated in the film, was mentioned, as was her role as a companion as opposed to health professional:

> I like that she says she’s not a health professional, more of a companion or assistant but she has information to help. [Participant 3]

There was some clarity needed on whether Ava was a real doctor or an advisor:

> She’s not medically qualified is she—she just gives advice? [Participant 4]

> But she says that at the start doesn’t she, that she isn’t a human or doctor, that she is giving medical advice but not like a real doctor. [Participant 1]

One participant reported that the technology was not sophisticated enough to appear real to them; however, another member of the group felt this could happen with more traditional technologies:

> I find it off-putting that her mouth doesn’t match her words. [Participant 5]

> Yes but you can get that on the TV even. [Participant 2]

**Functionality**

Participants’ responses supported the workshop findings that end users would like Ava to be multimodal:

> I like her on a tablet. [Participant 3]

> What if you haven’t got one? How about a TV?—a lot of people have a TV, and in the bedroom. [Participant 4]

> I like the laptop because someone else might be using the TV. [Participant 1]

> Can’t you use any? Any at any time—phone, TV, tablet, laptop? [Participant 2]

> If you were housebound and not up to date with technology then TV would be the most useful and easiest to use and in the bedroom. [Participant 5]

> Out and about, it’s good to have somethings that’s mobile. [Participant 2]

> It could be something that you wear—like on your wrist like a Fitbit—instant access. Then she is there whenever you need her. [Participant 5]

> Some aren’t interested even bit one bit in technology. It would have to be TV for them [Participant 8]

> Choice is good—individual. [Participant 6]

In addition, 3 female participants discussed being about to talk to Ava and use both speech and text to communicate:

> How about like the Amazon Alexa—bit like a radio: talk and it talks back. They can do things for you—scary. [Participant 1]

> Once you’ve seen her on an image it would be okay to just have the voice—I would like both. [Participant 8]

> If you could take her places—like to the doctors’ she could record what is said and help you and then you could talk to ava about what was discussed. We’ve seen her talking but you text her—that would suit me more than anything. [Participant 3]

> I can’t text so we need options. [Participant 7]

Internet-of-things applications were touched upon by 3 participants:

> Oh look, she said the air quality in the room is poor and humid, that’s great to know. [Participant 5]

> We could have all sorts attached, oximeter, to check oxygen levels. [Participant 1]

> If Ava could be wired into someone’s home to check you are okay, to listen to the coughing...could you have a pop up on your phone to ask if you are okay “do you want to speak to me?” [Participant 5]

> I like that she can see the temperature, humidity in the room etc...It helps you figure out why you might be coughing. [Participant 6]

Moreover, 2 members of the group liked the idea that Ava could encourage you to call emergency services when appropriate:

> People are scared of ringing the emergency services. [Participant 5]

> Ava could say you need to ring emergency services but sometimes people just need to be told to do that. [Participant 1]

Participants were asked if they had any concerns relating to data, with respect to sharing data or where that data may go to once Avachat had received it. No members of the group voiced
concerns in this area and 3 participants felt that sharing of data was commonplace in today’s society:

We're not bothered, they [the government] know more about us than we do. [Participant 2]

We are thinking it’s all safe. [Participant 6]

It’s all on a database. [Participant 3]

Content
When discussing the scenario of motivational support, the group thought this would be particularly useful for those living alone:

Brilliant that, a good idea. [Participant 4]

Ava encouraged him to go out. If there is no one there to push you, you feel ill and your mood drops you don’t want to know. It can happen quite quickly. [Participant 5]

As you get older you don’t have the social life. You don’t go out in the evenings even. You don’t go to the pub as you can’t drive and drink. [Participant 2]

You always had someone around you in the past and small children can lift your spirits, but if you don’t have that...mine [children] are miles away. If you need someone quickly...the nearest one is 3 hours away, but if you needed them, and they can’t always drop everything. [Participant 3]

If you have a partner, they can encourage you. [Participant 6]

It’s a lonely life if you don’t have anyone. That can be very depressing. It's different if you have a partner, you can talk to them and they can talk to you and help in many ways. [Participant 2]

One member also felt Ava could be useful for people who do not want to burden their partners or who do not feel comfortable doing so:

Your partner doesn’t always understand and you don’t want to burden them with things. [Participant 8]

All participants reflected on the experience of acute COPD exacerbation and were particularly positive about this aspect of support from Avachat:

I was in on my own once, and I had this bad panic do, lucky my sister came, but I couldn’t get to the phone. I was right as rain at first. I had a coughing do and I must have panicked. It calms me down....the thought I could have someone to talk to, that’s good. [Participant 2]

It certainly helps to know that someone is there. [Participant 8]

After the screening, each participant was asked to imagine using the Avachat system in their own contexts, and, based on this, to complete a SUS questionnaire. Overall, 62% (5/8) of the SUS scores were above the score of 68 (with scores of 72.5, 75, 75, 80, and 85), with the other 38% (3/8) participants rating the system as follows: 52.2, 62.5, and 65. This gives the system an overall median rating of 73.75. 50% (4/8) of the participants strongly agreed that the system was easy to use, possibly as the video shows a seamless use of the agent in practice. All but 1 of the participants strongly agreed that they would feel confident using the system. Views on whether they would need the support of a technical person to be able to use the system were split: half of the participants strongly disagreed that they would and half strongly agreed that they would. Again, with the statement “I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with this system,” 38% (3/8) participants strongly disagreed, 38% (3/8) strongly agreed, and 25% (2/8) were neutral.

Discussion
Principal Findings
Our aim was to explore the acceptability of both the form and the content of a computerized virtual agent with natural language capabilities intended to support self-management for people with comorbid LTCs and mental health problems. Through co-design with people living with COPD, we identified and prioritized 4 scenarios in which the system may be applied: at the time of diagnosis (information provision), during acute exacerbations (crisis support), during periods of low mood (emotional support), and for general self-management (motivation). The participants did not separate mental and physical health needs during the co-design process, although the generated content utilized psychological approaches to supporting patients to self-manage. Triangulation of clinically accurate information, behavior change techniques, emotional well-being advice, and peer-driven support appears to be the preferred content by patients. The results suggest that, for this small sample of older adults with comorbidities, it would be acceptable to receive both self-management support and support for acute exacerbations from an AI-based virtual agent.

The user requirements for the system were identified and many have been incorporated into a prototype system called Avachat. Specifically, we determined that the virtual agent must have the ability to understand and respond with both text and speech and must be accessible through a variety of domestic computing devices. The ability to interact with other digital services and domestic devices such as calendars, smart home technology, and medical peripherals is desirable. The system should be personalizable and its personification (Ava) should be emotionally expressive, and the system would be enriched by the ability to detect emotion (distress, fatigue, and irritation) in speech if it is to engage with users in a natural manner.

A blended approach to care has been shown to be most effective and acceptable for patients when managing both physical and emotional health [19]; however, as demand for support outstrips supply, it is crucial to explore what elements of person-to-person care could be provided using digital and AI-based systems. Indeed, a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership on digital technology and mental health, tasked with identifying the top 10 research questions posed by patients and clinicians, asks “Can common elements of therapy that come from person-to-person interactions be maintained with digital technology?” (priority 8) [36]. Our work speaks to this research
question, in providing some evidence that a virtual agent could replicate certain aspects of traditional peer support incorporating behavior change techniques. With this patient group, such support is generally used to help patients better self-manage their conditions and decrease adverse health outcomes such as exacerbations, unnecessary emergency department attendance, and hospital admissions. Of course, patient outcomes are outside the scope of this work (and the capabilities of the current technology fall short of being able to provide such support in reality), so validation of the benefits for patients is work still to be done.

This empathetic ability of an automated system to detect and react appropriately to the nonverbal cues present in a person’s spoken utterances is likely to be crucial for the therapeutic relationship between agent and patient. Although not convenient for all people and in all situations, spoken language is the most immediate communication medium we possess and provides the basis for many conventional psychological or talking therapies. Closed-loop language interfaces with computers, which are able to both process the user’s speech and generate an appropriate spoken response, are becoming more sophisticated and are already found in popular consumer digital devices such as Apple’s Siri and Amazon’s Alexa (and, hence, are more and more a feature that users expect, at least in the English-speaking world; at the time of writing, the provision for other languages depends both on the number of speakers it has and the size of the consumer market they represent). Embodied virtual agents based on AI technology are already used in health-related software tools and apps, including a handful of mental health-focused products [37-42]. These have rarely been tested with samples of older adults (where most comorbidities are evident) [37,38]. A recent scoping review of conversational agents in clinical psychology [38] indicates that the ability of unconstrained natural language input capabilities for health-related purposes is an emerging field of research, although a computer agent that is able to maintain its side of a rich, open-ended dialogue necessary for the delivery of most psychotherapies is still some way off [43]. Even if not yet a practical proposition, we assume that users will soon expect the primary interaction modality of any autonomous therapeutic agent to be spoken natural language.

Participants in this study readily accepted Ava as a human, referring to it as she; they expressed a liking for her in terms of appearance, while the way in which she interacted with them suggests she understands the situation. Taken together, this suggests that the potential exists for the development of a relationship of sorts between human and autonomous agents, a suggestion supported by a recent study investigating the use of text-based AI to deliver psychological therapy [42].

Limitations

Although based on input from those with lived experience of COPD and self-management, our findings were limited by the small sample of white, British, medically stable, regional participants recruited. None of the participants reported a current mental health problem but all referred to experiencing episodes of low mood or worry in the past. Furthermore, their existing engagement with the support groups through which they were recruited and their ability to attend the workshops suggest that they are representative of the type of patients who already self-manage their health. Although this meant that they could help us to identify the best practice with regard to self-management, it does raise doubts about whether the principles identified hold equally for those who cope less well or who are less experienced in self-management. In the next phase of our research, we will use more in-depth methods to engage hard-to-reach individuals, including those who are medically unstable, house bound, or from black and ethnic minority groups, those experiencing mental health conditions, or those for whom English is not their first language.

There was relatively little input from the health practitioners compared with patients during the workshops. This allowed the patient’s voice to dominate; however, it is not clear whether the health professionals agreed with or supported the final scenarios as a clinical priority or the content as best practice. Additional research is required to explore this stakeholder perspective and to place the system appropriately in the clinical pathway/patient journey or redesign that pathway, as appropriate.

This was not a software development project, although the research team did include software engineers, and as a result the amount of effort that could be assigned to development was limited. Our focus was the rapid development of an Avachat system that incorporated the user requirements as they emerged from the workshops; this enabled us to present the system to the participants to further refine their requirements and help elicit their wider opinions about the role of such technologies in their lives. This approach is promoted in the literature [38]. The system did not need to be fully functional (and, in any case, given the current level of technology available to us this would have been impossible); however, we wanted to produce a system that was as functional as possible and, hence, as accurate a reflection as possible of the short-to-medium-term potential of the technology. A purely imaginary requirements-gathering exercise for such a system would be difficult to constrain and would be likely to lead to a wholly impractical and unachievable specification. These considerations influenced many of the design and implementation choices for the system.

A point worthy of reflection is that rarely were the possible negative consequences of the technology discussed during the co-design and evaluation process. We did not raise these issues, preferring to allow the discussions to be participant-led and to specifically raise issues of duty of care, for example. Equally, consideration was given neither to the possibility of incorrect or inappropriate advice being given by the system nor to the wider ethical implications for the nature and standard of care that users would receive. It seems as though it was assumed that the system would be operate perfectly, without error or ambiguity and always in the best interests of the patient, and with no associated reduction in existing care. It is possible that, as consumers, we simply cannot fully understand the complex and dispersed data architectures and the complex distribution of roles, responsibilities, rights, and obligations that underlie newest digital technologies.
Conclusions

Our research focused on co-designing the content and application of a conversational virtual agent to support self-management of physical and mental health comorbidities for patients with a diagnosis of COPD. We identified the underlying design concepts that would make the system useful and acceptable to the target population. Technological developments such as the automated delivery of therapy and health care support pose significant and difficult questions for our societies. Every new application of technology is accompanied by risks, and in this case, those risks touch upon the things we prize most dearly: health, social interaction, nature of work, and fair and appropriate distribution of limited resources. Although these developments can sometimes seem inevitable, the form that they take is not predetermined. Early stage co-design efforts such as those reported here represent a mechanism by which patient groups and their caregivers can have a voice in the process, and at a stage when not all the significant decisions have already been made.

Future research is planned to further develop and evaluate the system for a range of patient samples and care settings, in particular, crisis support. Clinical applications are broad. The benefits of a straightforward, low-cost system could include increased self-efficacy and self-confidence, reduced social isolation, and improved illness knowledge. Clinical gains could include fewer clinical exacerbations and a decrease in conventional health care service utilization, with an attendant reduction in costs.
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Avachat film used for video-based scenario testing.
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Abstract

Background: Social robots that can communicate and interact with people offer exciting opportunities for improved health care access and outcomes. However, evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on health or well-being outcomes has not yet been clearly synthesized across all health domains where social robots have been tested.

Objective: This study aimed to undertake a systematic review examining current evidence from RCTs on the effects of psychosocial interventions by social robots on health or well-being.

Methods: Medline, PsycInfo, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Engineering Village searches across all years in the English language were conducted and supplemented by forward and backward searches. The included papers reported RCTs that assessed changes in health or well-being from interactions with a social robot across at least 2 measurement occasions.

Results: Out of 408 extracted records, 27 trials met the inclusion criteria: 6 in child health or well-being, 9 in children with autism spectrum disorder, and 12 with older adults. No trials on adolescents, young adults, or other problem areas were identified, and no studies had interventions where robots spontaneously modified verbal responses based on speech by participants. Most trials were small (total N=5 to 415; median=34), only 6 (22%) reported any follow-up outcomes (2 to 12 weeks; median=3.5) and a single-blind assessment was reported in 8 (31%). More recent trials tended to have greater methodological quality. All papers reported some positive outcomes from robotic interventions, although most trials had some measures that showed no difference or favored alternate treatments.

Conclusions: Controlled research on social robots is at an early stage, as is the current range of their applications to health care. Research on social robot interventions in clinical and health settings needs to transition from exploratory investigations to include large-scale controlled trials with sophisticated methodology, to increase confidence in their efficacy.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13203) doi:10.2196/13203

KEYWORDS
social robot; healthcare; treatment; therapy; autism spectrum disorder; dementia

Introduction

Background

In recent years, we have seen exciting developments in the application of robotics to medical treatments. Medical robot–assisted surgery in operating theaters enhances patient outcomes of surgical procedures in orthopedics, radiosurgery, and neurology [1-6]. Exoskeleton devices work to enhance strength or improve movement for patients suffering from traumatic brain and spinal cord injury, disability such as stroke and multiple sclerosis, and rehabilitation treatment [7-9]. Surgical and rehabilitative robotics offer distinct advances in their exceptional ability to augment treatment practices to enhance patient outcomes but are restricted to a highly specific field of medical assistance. This leaves other health care services untouched by the potential benefits that robotics may offer for health care professionals and their patients, including psychosocial interventions for health or well-being.
At first thought, robotics for psychosocial interventions may seem counterintuitive. If, as has been argued, the therapeutic relationship is key to positive treatment outcomes [10], how could a robot perform such a task? However, there are precedents for such a role. Strong positive effects have been obtained from digital mental health programs for anxiety and depression (eg, g=0.80 and d=0.49-1.14) [11,12] and small-to-moderate effects for alcohol use [13,14]. Although having a therapist or coach to guide the use of these interventions assists in maintaining engagement and appears to give somewhat better outcomes [15], significant effects can also be obtained by self-guided programs [11,12,16], and their low unit cost means that self-guided programs are easier to take to scale and have superior cost-effectiveness as numbers increase [17]. In some direct comparisons, coached and self-guided programs have even been able to achieve similar treatment outcomes [18]. Although self-guided programs achieve these effects without a therapeutic relationship, we argue that they potentially satisfy other elements of a therapeutic alliance such as perceived safety and consistency with personal goals and avoid many negative effects of face-to-face therapy, such as perceived judgment or stigma. Interestingly, the scores on therapeutic alliance measures from users of self-guided programs can be quite high [19]. It is plausible that a social robot (a robot that can communicate and interact with people) could offer education, model some skills, and deliver a fixed intervention program. As we discuss later in the paper, even more sophisticated therapy may be offered in the future, with emerging developments in robotic technology.

Existing Reviews

Identified systematic reviews are for social robot interventions in highly specialized areas of elderly care [20,21] and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [22,23]. They contain a mixture of experimental methodologies such as single subject, quasi-experimental, cross-sectional without control, and free interaction. Mixed-trial designs have generally been considered acceptable when evaluating the initial prospect of a novel intervention [24,25]. However, robotic interventions do require critical evaluation using a series of high-quality trial designs to demonstrate sufficient evidence to achieve effective health outcomes. Current reviews that contain mixed-experiment designs present a limitation around the conclusive nature of identified experimental studies, especially when appraising the use of robotic interventions in routine clinical practice. A high-impact method of clinical trial design involves a randomized controlled trial (RCT), which reduces the influence of bias and confounds on trial outcomes by scientifically rigorous methods of intervention testing to assess treatment benefits [26]. Several systematic reviews using RCTs in surgical robotic interventions have been published [27,28], but evidence has yet to be synthesized for RCTs for social robots to deliver psychological interventions for health or well-being across all age groups.

Aim

The aim of this systematic review was to undertake a comprehensive examination of existing RCTs on the use of social robots to deliver psychosocial interventions for health or well-being. The review is timely, given the fast pace of developments in robotics, the rapid uptake of social robots that is likely to occur, and the wide-ranging nature of their potential applications to improved health care and self-management support.

Methods

Literature Review and Selection of Trials

This systematic review protocol followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines for its search, screening, and evaluation processes [29]. Database searches were conducted in Medline, PsycInfo, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Engineering Village in November 2018. Health, psychology, engineering, and computer science databases were chosen to maximize the chance of identifying published trials that fulfilled the search criteria. Each search used (Title: Robot*) AND (Abstract: Health* OR Anxi* OR Depress* OR Distress* OR Disorder* OR Auti* OR Dement*) AND (Abstract: Therap* OR Behav* OR Treat* OR Intervention* OR Counsel* OR Psychosocial OR Psychotherap*) AND (Title:Abstract:Key: Random*). Medline and PsycInfo searches used Boolean and phrase search modes and included all the results for source types and years. ScienceDirect and Scopus searches were refined to include all years with no document type exclusions in the result searches. Engineering Village contained the GeoRef, Inspec, and Compendex databases, including all years and no document type exclusions. The identified papers from the databases were supplemented by backward and forward searches (ie, checking titles in reference lists and citations of identified papers for any additional studies).

Inclusion and Exclusion

The eligible trials for the review (1) used a social robot to deliver a psychosocial intervention for health or well-being (ie, one that used verbal communication or other social interaction) and (2) examined the effects of at least 2 conditions in an RCT over at least 2 measurement occasions. A social robot was defined as a humanoid or nonhumanoid robot that could communicate or interact with people using verbal or nonverbal communication or both. These robots could vary from ones with rudimentary abilities (eg, minor motor movements and no communicative speech) to ones with advanced communicative abilities designed to present the illusion of social intelligence. The included trials could use robots operated by staff using Wizard of Oz controls, given that the outcomes of robot-delivered interventions were of greater interest than the ability of robots to deliver interventions autonomously. However, trials using technological agents without embodiment (eg, chatbots or avatars) were excluded, as were ones using robotic devices without communicative abilities, such as prosthetic devices and teleoperated, surgical, and exoskeletal robots.

The papers could have been published in any year. In recognition of the acceptability of conference proceedings as publication outlets for engineering and computer science, the papers could be in a peer-reviewed journal or conference proceedings. Multiple papers on different aspects of a single trial were all used to provide information, but if multiple papers presented...
the same material, the most complete and current report was selected for evaluation and review.

**Data Extraction and Analysis**

Data extraction was conducted in November 2018 by NLR and TVC and reviewed for consistency and accuracy by all 3 authors. All eligible papers were extracted directly from academic databases. The authors were not contacted to provide additional data or unpublished results. Trial extraction involved an initial screen, assessing titles for relevance. Selected papers were further appraised using abstracts, and papers that appeared to meet the criteria were independently reviewed for eligibility and coding in the presented tables by NLR and DJK. In cases of any disagreement in inclusion or coding, the point was checked in the paper and consensus was reached on the final decision. Human-robot interaction factors such as acceptability, likability, and trust of the robot were not reviewed in detail as that lay outside this review. The presented results in the tables include statistically significant ones only: other listed measures were not used as outcomes or did not give significant results.

**Results**

The initial search identified 402 records from 5 databases, plus 6 that were identified through forward and backward searches. Identification of duplicates using title, year, and authors resulted in 202 records being removed, leaving 206 for screening. A total of 151 were excluded based on irrelevant titles (eg, surgical and medical trials, exoskeletons, protocols, and economic analyses) and 23 were excluded based on a detailed examination of the abstract and full text, leaving a total of 27 trials for full evaluation. The details of reasons for exclusion are in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Systematic review flow chart.

---

**Included Trials**

Overall, 6 of the 27 included trials (22%) addressed child health or well-being, 9 (33%) were on children with ASD, and 12 (45%) were on older adults and focused on cognitive or psychological functioning. The most commonly used robots were the NAO humanoid from Softbank Robotics [30], and the PARO harp seal companion robot from PARO Robots [31] (7 trials), although 13 other robots were each used in at least 1 trial. Owing to the wide range of measures and the limited consistency in the presentation of results in different trials, it was not possible to conduct a meta-analysis or to report effect sizes in a standard manner. Accordingly, the systematic review is descriptive.

**Child Interventions for Health or Well-Being**

The 6 trials on children’s health or well-being are summarized in Table 1. All had the individual child as the unit of randomization, but only Beran et al [32] and Jibb et al [33] reported computerized or Web-based randomization. Sample
sizes ranged from 5 to 57 (median=34). Participants were aged from 4 to 14 years (median reported average=9.9 years), and samples were drawn from Canada, the Netherlands, and Iran. Durations of studies ranged from 1 to 18 weeks (median=4.5 weeks), and no trials had a follow-up assessment. Only Jibb et al [33] reported blind observational coding. All used NAO robots, with preprogramming [32,33] or Wizard-of-Oz individualization [34,35]. The number of treatment sessions ranged from 1 to 10 (median=3).

One study [35] demonstrated a significantly greater rise in diabetes knowledge when a social robot administered a diabetes quiz to children with type 1 diabetes compared with a usual care control. A more personal robot elicited greater pleasure and feelings of self-determination from the participants during the final session, but there were no differences between the robot types on diabetic knowledge. In addition, 3 studies obtained reductions in negative emotions when a social robot was used to assist needle insertion or to address emotional responses in oncology patients or children learning a foreign language [32,36,37]. Less pain was reported about needle insertion in the study by Beran et al [32] and less avoidance to the needle insertion in both the studies by Beran et al [32] and Jibb et al [33]. None of the studies assessed sustained changes in distress, quality of life, health-related behavior, or health outcomes. Although this set of studies provided some evidence in favor of robot use in children’s well-being, conclusions were limited by infrequent blind assessment and a lack of follow-up data or information on behavioral or functional impacts. Research in this area is at a very early stage.

**Children Interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder**

Overall, 9 trials of robot interventions for children aged 4 to 12 years with ASD or pervasive developmental disorder were identified (Table 2). In addition, 6 trials randomized individuals to conditions, 2 randomized to condition order, and 1 had cluster randomization. None of the trials reported independent randomization, and only 2 trials [38-41] reported using computer-generated randomization. Moreover, 3 studies [40,42,43] had a single-blind assessment, and all but 1 study [44] reported that the reliability of observations was confirmed against another rater (in that study, a single rater was used, but reliability was established in training).
Table 1. Child interventions delivered via a robot for health or well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, conditions (n)a</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomesb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beran et al [32]</td>
<td>57 Canadian vaccination patients (30 male, 53%), aged 4-9 years (mean 6.9, SD 1.3)</td>
<td>Robot CBT (28): Distraction before, during, and after injection; control (29): standard nurse administration</td>
<td>1 session at vaccination</td>
<td>Faces Pain Scale-Revised (FPS-R); Behavioral Approach—Avoidance Distress Scale (BAADS)</td>
<td>Robot Cognitive-Behavior Therapy versus control during session: &lt;pain (FPS-R) from parent, child*, nurse*, and researcher and** &lt;BAADS Distress***, Avoidance**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanson Henkemans et al [34]</td>
<td>5 Dutch type 1 diabetes patients (3 male, 60%), aged 9-12 years (mean 10.2, SD 1.3)</td>
<td>Game-like quizzes (10 out of 20 of the questions on diabetes each session); personal robot (3): eyes in favorite color, used child’s name, mentioned child’s favorite activity, asked opinion of game, if wanted to keep playing, etc; and neutral robot (2): no personalization</td>
<td>3 sessions (45, 45, and 30 min) at 2-3 week intervals</td>
<td>Type I diabetes knowledge; health-related quality of life; and Mind Youth Questionnaire (MY-Q)</td>
<td>Across conditions, Pre to Session 3:&lt; correct diabetes questions*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alemi and Meghdari [36]</td>
<td>46 Iranian female students aged 12-13 years, with beginners’ level English</td>
<td>Individual randomization to classes; robot-assisted language learning (RALL; 30 in 2 groups); and control: teacher only (1 group of 16)</td>
<td>10 × 1-hour sessions over 5 weeks</td>
<td>Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale (FLCAS); attitude questionnaire</td>
<td>RALL versus control at 5 weeks: &gt;FLCAS (less anxiety)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alemi et al [37]</td>
<td>11 Iranian oncology patients (1 male, 9%) aged 7-12 years (mean 9.5, SD 1.6)</td>
<td>Social robot-assisted therapy (SRAT, 6): robot took roles of doctor, chemo-hero, nurse, cook, ill kid; shared hopes and dreams, said goodbye and control; psychologist only (same content; 5)</td>
<td>8 sessionsc over 1 month</td>
<td>Multidimensional Anxiety Children Scale (MASC); Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI); and Children’s Inventory of Anger (CIA)</td>
<td>SRAT versus control, pre versus 1 month: &gt;falls in anxiety (MASC)**, depression (CDI)<em>, and anger (CIA)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanson Henkemans et al [35]</td>
<td>28 Dutch type 1 diabetes patients (13 male, 46%) aged 7-14 years (mean 11.0, SD=1.7)</td>
<td>Diabetes education quizzes; Personal robot (9): as per Blanson Henkemans et al [34]; neutral robot (8): no personalization; and control (11): no robot or quiz</td>
<td>Robot groups: 3 sessions (50, 40, and 40 min), 6 weeks apart</td>
<td>Diabetes knowledge; quiz rounds decided to play, desire to play in a fourth session, rated pleasure; behavior during interaction; and Basic Need Satisfaction in Relationships Scale</td>
<td>Combined robot groups versus control:&lt;correct diabetes knowledge questions after Session 3:*** personal versus neutral robot: &gt;quiz rounds in Session 3; &gt;number electing to play a fourth session; &gt;on some positive behaviors during some sessions (eg, smiling at the robot in all sessions); &gt;perceived self-determination on BSNR* during Session 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jibb et al [33]</td>
<td>40 Canadian cancer patients (24 male, 60%) aged 4-9 years (mean 6.2, SD 1.5)</td>
<td>Cognitive-behavioral robot (“MEDiPORT”, 19): supportive statements, deep breathing exercises; active distraction Robot (21): Introduction statement, dancing moves while singing</td>
<td>1 session at subcutaneous needle insertion</td>
<td>BAADS; Face Pain—Revised (FPS-R); Children’s Fear Scale; and Acceptability questionnaire (Likert and free text)</td>
<td>Active distraction robot: &lt;avoidance during needle movement toward child**, at needle insertion** and &lt; parent-rated acceptability of time to conduct needle insertion*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

aAll studies used the NAO robot, and all were randomized controlled trials with individual randomization. Numbers are at allocation.
bEffects on measures not reported under results were not statistically significant.
cDuration was not reported.
dDifferences between effects of the 2 conditions were only reported descriptively.
eResults reported on 27 patients (1 neutral robot participant dropped out before session 1).
fPersonal versus neutral robot effect for knowledge not reported.

P<.05.

**P<.01.

*** P≤.001.
Table 2. Child interventions delivered via robot for autism spectrum disorder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, conditions (n)(^a)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomes(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al [45]</td>
<td>24 US children with autism-spectrum disorder (ASD); 21 male, 88% aged 4-12 years (mean 9.4, SD 2.4); Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS); 20 met criteria for autism, and 4 for autism spectrum disorder</td>
<td>Random order within subject: Pleo robot interaction, adult interaction and computer game</td>
<td>1 session: 3 × 6-min interactions, each separated by 6 min of interview and play</td>
<td>Verbalization (number of utterances produced)</td>
<td>Robot segment: &gt; total speech versus adult*, Computer game***; &gt; speech to confederate versus Adult*, computer game***; &gt; speech to Pleo than computer games***; Pleo versus Adult not significant (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huskens et al [46](^c)</td>
<td>6 Dutch males with ASD aged 8-12 years (mean 10.50, SD 1.37); all had Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ) &gt;15 (range 18-32)</td>
<td>Random order within subject: robot; NAO; human trainer; robot and human made statements inviting a question and performed requested actions (eg, dance)</td>
<td>Introduction to robot (2 sessions); baseline—4 robot, 4 human 10-min training sessions; and follow-up 2 weeks after last training</td>
<td>Question-asking (number of self-initiated questions) in 3 × 10-min sessions with human assessor at baseline and follow-up</td>
<td>Both conditions, baseline session 1 versus intervention and follow-up(^d); &gt; correct questions during training, maintained at follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop et al [47]</td>
<td>20 Romanian children (sex not stated) with ASD aged 4-9 years; no significant between-group differences on Children’s Autism Rating Scale (CARS)</td>
<td>Randomization in clusters of 3: story telling; Probo robot-assisted therapy social stories (SS-RAT, 7); computer-presented social stories (SS-PC, 6); and control (7)</td>
<td>SS-RAT and SS-PC: 6 sessions(^e); control: 4 × 10-min observations on different days</td>
<td>Social expression (degree of prompt required for social response)</td>
<td>SS-RAT versus control at post(^e): &gt; social expression*, (SS-PC versus control ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peca, Simut [48]</td>
<td>27 Romanian children(^f) (22 male, 82%), 18 with ASD, 9 with pervasive developmental disorder (PDD), aged 4.5-8 years (mean 6.2, SD 1.0); No significant between-group difference in mean ADOS (Robonova: 15.00; adult: 15.09)</td>
<td>Contingent (imitating child) and non-contingent play, with: Robonova robot (12) and adult (9)</td>
<td>1 session: 2 × 80-second segments(^g) separated by a 5-min pause</td>
<td>Social intention (eye gaze, positive affect, initiations, intention testing, tests per initiation frequency); contingent (mirrored behavior)</td>
<td>Robot versus adult: &gt; eye gaze*** (contingency ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srinivasan et al [38,39,41,49]</td>
<td>36 US children with ASD (32 male, 89%) aged 5-12 years (mean 7.6, SD 2.2) ADOS-2 range 6-10 (means—Robio: 8.5; rhythm: 7.9; and control: 8.4)</td>
<td>Robot (12): NAO and Rovio, whole-body imitation and interpersonal synchrony games; rhythm (12): human, singing and whole-body imitation games; and control (SC, 12): tabletop activities (academic, communication, and fine motor)</td>
<td>32 sessions over 8 weeks (post at 10 weeks)</td>
<td>Joint Attention Test (JTAT); social verbalization; imitation, praxis, interpersonal synchrony; Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOT); Repetitive and maladaptive behaviors; and Affective states</td>
<td>Robot versus control(^h): &gt; attention to human partner, elsewhere <em><strong>; &gt; attention to objects</strong></em>; &gt; spontaneous human attention <em><strong>; &gt; self-directed vocalization</strong></em>; &lt; human social vocalization <em><strong>; &lt; spontaneous human social vocalization</strong></em>; &lt; sensory behaviors in late session <em><strong>; &gt; negative affect</strong>; &gt; interested affect</em>, and &lt; fine motor control at Post*. Robot versus Rhythm, during session(^h): &lt; attention to human partners and elsewhere <em><strong>; &lt; spontaneous human attention <em><strong>; &gt; self-directed vocalization</strong></em>; &lt; spontaneous human social vocalization</strong></em>; and &lt; positive affect in mid &amp; late sessions**. Group x Early, Mid, Late Session: Words in response to questions*** (only Rhythm rose). Robot, pre and post: &gt; body co-ordination* and &gt; imitation**. Robot, early versus late session: &gt; positive affect* and &gt; time in-synchrony*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Design, conditions (n)</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costescu et al [44]</td>
<td>27 Romanian children with ASD (20 male, 74%) aged 6-12 years (mean 8.7, SD 1.8); ADOS-Generic (mean 10.32)</td>
<td>Robot-enhanced therapy (14, RET); My Keepon, distinguishing emotions from 15 social situations; discussion: cognitions, emotions and behavior connections; adaptive strategies for anger, self-control and control (n=15, standard care [SC])</td>
<td>RET: 6 × 2-hour weekly group sessions</td>
<td>Frequencies of correct strategies in a social situation; rational or irrational beliefs; adaptive behaviors; and emotional intensity</td>
<td>RET versus control, post (controlling pre): &gt;rational beliefs** and &lt;(negative) emotion intensity***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yun et al [40]</td>
<td>15 Korean males with ASD aged 4-7 years (mean 5.8, SD 0.9). No significant between-group differences on ADOS subscales or current SCQ (lifetime SCQ higher** and IQ lower* in robot group)</td>
<td>Social skills training (eye contact and reading emotions). Robot (8): iRobiQ (4 weeks), CARO (4 weeks) and human trainer (7)</td>
<td>8 × weekly 30-40 min sessions (post at week 9)</td>
<td>Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS, by blind rater); Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale (Korean version); Social Communication Questionnaire; Social Responsiveness Scale; and Child Behavior Checklist (Korean version, CBCL)</td>
<td>No differences robot, human; both (versus pre): &lt; (better) ADOS Play*; &lt;CBCL Internalizing at post* (Depression and Anxiety*, Withdrawal* subscales); &gt;frequency of eye contact, Session 8*; &gt;recognition accuracy of most difficult facial emotions by Session 4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So et al [42]</td>
<td>13 Hong Kong children (10 males, 77%) with ASD aged 6-12 (mean 9.0, SD 2.4) ADOS scores not reported (nr)</td>
<td>NAO Robot (7); control (6); educational videos; for both, phase I: Recognize 8 gestures; phase 2: Produce 8 gestures</td>
<td>In each 6-week phase: 4 × 30-min sessions over 2 weeks; tests pre, post, and 2 -week follow-up</td>
<td>Phase 1: Recognize gestures; phase 2: Produce gestures; tested on 2 trained gestures, 2 un-trained; 20% of ratings rescored by a blind rater</td>
<td>Phase 1 recognition, robot versus control: pre and post: &gt;scores on trained***, generalized***, human-to-human*** gestures; post follow-up: ns; phase 1 production, robot versus control: pre and post: &gt;scores on trained**, generalized*, human-to-human ns; post follow-up: ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample sizes ranged from 6 to 45 (median=24). However, only 3 recent papers reported some blind coding of observations [40,42,43]. The studies had 1 to 32 sessions (median=4), and study durations ranged from 0 to 14 weeks (median=9 weeks, 2 studies were unclear). In addition, 4 studies [38,41-43,46] reported a follow-up, all were of only 2 weeks. All but the small study by Huskens et al [46] presented the results against a comparison condition: they reported the results within each condition and within each participant.

Participants were aged from 4 to 12 years (median reported mean age=8.7 years). Samples were drawn from the United States (2 studies), Romania (3 studies), Hong Kong (2 studies), and the Netherlands and Korea (1 study each). The nature and roles of the robot were also diverse. Some studies used the robot as an assistive tool to therapist interventions [47], whereas in others, it was the primary method of therapy delivery [40]. All but 3 studies (78%) had a researcher who was operating the robot using Wizard-of-Oz control. The remaining studies used a set program where the researcher could pause the program if needed [42,43] or where limited branching was produced by eye contact or by the researcher pressing a button to record the child’s response [40]. The number of treatment sessions ranged from 1 to 32 (median=4) over a period of 1 to 8 weeks (median=2 weeks, 2 unknown). Despite the small sample sizes in these trials, positive effects were found on several measures; although inspection of Table 2 shows that differential results on many measures were not statistically significant. In relation to changing beliefs, a robot to deliver therapy increased the presence of more rational beliefs [44]. For improving emotional affect, the identified trials resulted in decreased negative emotion intensity [44] and lower scores on depression, anxiety, and withdrawal subscales after treatment [40]. Social behavior improvements were present with increased eye contact [40], gaze frequency in the direction of the interaction partner [48], increased levels of social expression [47], higher total number of produced verbal utterances [45], recognition accuracy of facial emotions [40], and number of correct questions [46], as well as improved gestural recognition [42] and production [43]. Robots could achieve greater effects than a standard care control [44,47], educational videos [42], and a computer game [45].

Effects of the robot versus a human trainer were typically the same [40] or superior on at least 1 measure [48]. The 1 exception was a study by Srinavasan et al [38,39], where average effects of the robot were never superior to the human, and the human condition did better on several social indices. However, that study confounded the actor (human versus robot) and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, conditions (n)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomes&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>So et al [43]</td>
<td>45 Hong Kong (Cantonese-speaking) children (36 males, 80%), aged 4-6 years; 30 with ASD (3 female): intervention (mean 5.8, SD 0.8) waitlist (mean 5.7, SD 0.4); 15 age-matched controls (6 female) (mean 5.5, SD 0.7); and ASD severity</td>
<td>Over 9 weeks: 4 × 30-min training sessions for 14 gestures (2 sessions per week); tests at pre, post, 2-week follow-up (2 test sessions each)</td>
<td>Gestural production in training, novel stories (10 seconds to respond, prompt and another 10 seconds if no response); gestural recognition; psychoeducational—third edition; Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency 2nd Edition (BOT); and Attention Network Task (ANT)</td>
<td>Gestural production (pre, post, follow-up), controlling for language and developmental age, BOT, ANT, gestural recognition&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;: Group × Time***, Group × Training and Novel***, Group × Time × Training and Novel*** control &gt; Intervention*, Wait List* at Pretrained: intervention &gt; waitlist (post***, follow-up***); &gt; control (Post***, Follow-up***); -Novel: Intervention &gt; Wait List (Post***, Follow-up**); = Age-matched controls; Intervention versus Wait List (Pre to Follow-up) with covariates as above: Verbal imitation: Group × Time&lt;sup&gt;<em>&lt;/sup&gt; (only Intervention group increasing&lt;sup&gt;</em>&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Randomized controlled trial with the individual participant as the unit of randomisation unless labeled otherwise.

<sup>b</sup>Effects on measures not reported under results were not statistically significant. Some results that did not involve the robot condition are omitted. Results where the robot did significantly worse than the comparison condition are italicized.

<sup>c</sup>Differences between effects of the 2 conditions were only reported descriptively.

<sup>d</sup>Analyses of changes within conditions are reported separately, as are effects for each individual.

<sup>e</sup>Total period of training and timing of post not reported.

<sup>f</sup>An additional 6 children were excluded because they refused to undertake the tasks.

<sup>g</sup>The paper refers to the session segments as sessions.

<sup>h</sup>Results from these studies were incompletely reported, and some reporting is ambiguous. Effects are across sessions unless otherwise stated.

<sup>i</sup>The attention target analysis appears inappropriate (only the robot group could have attention to the robot, affecting analysis of condition effects).

“Elsewhere” is attention other than to the human partner, robot, or objects.

<sup>j</sup>Analyses were on 12 RET (2 withdrew); 15 control participants.

<sup>k</sup>Recoding for gestural appropriateness rather than strict accuracy was interpreted as supporting these results, but only gave Group effects (using pre and follow-up only).

*P<.05.

**P<.01.

***P<.001.
intervention content (e.g., the human condition used singing and the robot one did not). Furthermore, calculation of the focus of attention was affected by the fact that a focus on the robot was not counted as attention to the social partner, and the superior result on fine motor skills in the control group may be ascribed to a difference in fine versus gross motor tasks in the 2 conditions rather than to use a robot per se. The observation of less interested affect and more negative affect in the robot condition than in controls deserves further attention, although it appears inconsistent with positive effects on negative moods that were seen in the studies by Costescu et al [44] and Yun et al [40]. Overall, the results by Srinavasan et al appear at odds with those from other trials and are subject to methodological limitations.

In summary, the strengths of these trials included their substantiation of interobserver reliability and the fact that a third had some blind assessment, and 4 trials had a follow-up assessment (albeit only 2 weeks later). Social robots for young people with ASD appear to have positive outcomes, although studies with larger samples and longer follow-ups are needed to build confidence in the strength and sustained maintenance of these effects.

Interventions for Older Adults
Overall, 12 trials of robot interventions for older adults were identified (Table 3). Most aimed to improve cognitive and or psychological functioning or neural integrity, although 1 focused on self-management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [50]. Where mean ages of participants were reported, they ranged from 67.4 to 85.3 years (median = 84 years). In addition, 4 studies were from New Zealand, 2 from Australia, 2 from the United States, and 1 study from Korea, Norway, Spain, and Japan each. Moreover, 8 trials were conducted in residential facilities, but 4 used an intervention in the home or day care center. Furthermore, 8 trials randomized individuals (2 of these to a random order of conditions); 4 had cluster randomization (1 to a random order).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, Conditions (n)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomes&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banks et al [51]</td>
<td>40 US residents of long-term care facilities scoring ≥24 on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and ≥30 on University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale (age and gender n)</td>
<td>Interactions with robot (15)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;-AIBO robot dog or living dog (15); control (13)—no intervention</td>
<td>Robot, dog: 8 weekly 30-min sessions</td>
<td>UCLA Loneliness scale; Lexington Attachment to Pets Scale</td>
<td>Robot or dog versus control, pre and post: &gt; fall in loneliness&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt; (robot=dog)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka et al [52]&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34 female Japan residents aged 66-84 years</td>
<td>Kabochan Nodding Communication ROBOT (18): Communicate by talking and nodding and control (16): same robot but no talking or nodding</td>
<td>Robot at home for 8 weeks</td>
<td>MMSE; Cognistat test; Blood and saliva samples; Accelerated plethysmography; Questionnaire: Appetite (visual analogue scale) sleep; depressive symptoms (Geriatric Depression Scale [GDS-15]); Activities of daily living—Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology Index of Competence</td>
<td>Communication Robot: &gt; MMSE score after 8 weeks**; &gt; Verbal memory after 8 weeks**; &gt; Everyday/concrete judgements after 8 weeks**; &gt; Attenuation of fatigue compared with control**; &gt; Enhancement of motivation compared with control**; and &gt; healing compared with control**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al [53]</td>
<td>40 New Zealand retirement home residents (13 men, 33%) aged 55-100 years</td>
<td>Robot (20)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;; PARO—interactions with robot and control (20); alternate activities</td>
<td>Robot: 2 group sessions per week for 3 months</td>
<td>UCLA Loneliness scale; GDS-15; and Quality of Life for Alzheimer’s Disease (QoL-AD)</td>
<td>Robot versus control, pre and post: &gt; fall in loneliness&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyle et al [54]</td>
<td>18 Australian residential aged care residents (sex not stated) aged ≥ 65 (mean 85.3, SD 8.4)</td>
<td>Within-participant crossover design (random order); Robot first (1 group of 9): PARO—discovery, emotional response, discussion about PARO, touching PARO and control first (9): Being read to, looking at pictures, discussion of readings</td>
<td>Each phase: 3 × 45-min 9-member sessions per week over 5 weeks; 3-week washout between phases</td>
<td>Quality of Life for Alzheimer’s Disease Scale; Rating Anxiety in Dementia Scale (RAID—self-reported and Proxy); Apathy Evaluation Scale; Geriatric Depression Scale; Revised Alzage Wandering Scale Nursing Home version; Observed Emotion Rating Scale (OERS); (Assessors independent—unclear if blind)</td>
<td>Robot versus control after intervention (reporting range of Cohen d)&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;; &gt; Quality of Life (0.6 to 1.3); &gt; anxiety on RAID Proxy version (−0.4 to −0.3) but greater on RAID (0.4 to 0.4); OERS (0.5 to 0.7); &gt; OERS sadness (0.4 to 0.6), pleasure (0.7 to 0.7)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadbent et al [55]</td>
<td>29 New Zealand retirement village residents (14 male, 48%) aged 72-94 years (mean 85.2, SD 5.1)</td>
<td>Within-participant crossover design (random order)&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;; iRobiQ or Cafero robot at home versus control—measured blood pressure and pulse oximetry, had music and quotes; iRobiQ: also medication reminders, alert to nurse if not taken or said unwell; and Cafero: cognitive exercises, village map, and calendar reminder</td>
<td>2 × 6-week periods with 18-day washout</td>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale; Health-related Quality of Life; and Medication Adherence Report Scale (Single-blind assessment)</td>
<td>iRobiQ or Cafero versus control pre and post: not significant (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Design, Conditions, Sample Size (n) and Outcome Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, Conditions (n)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al [56]</td>
<td>85 Korean community residents (25 male, 29%) aged &gt; 60 (mean 67.4) with MMSE Korean version &gt; 26 (mean 29)</td>
<td>All: 10 hour dementia prevention education on before baseline; cognitive training: robot (24)(^b); robot and Mero -17 training programs with individual rewards immediately after smart pad answers; winner of day, month; traditional cognitive training (24)(^b); question and answer display; non-random control (37), (no training)</td>
<td>Education: 2 hours per day over a week; cognitive training: 60 × 90-min 8 -member sessions over 12 weeks</td>
<td>MRI cortical thickness, intracerebral volume, structural connectivity; Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog); Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; Delayed Matching to Sample; Pattern Recognition Memory (PRM); Paired Associates Learning; Spatial Working Memory; Stockings of Cambridge (SOC); Reaction Time; Rapid Visual Information Processing (Blind scoring of all assessments)</td>
<td>Cognitive training versus control, pre and post: reduction in cortical thickness*, nodal strength*, global efficiency*, clustering coefficient* &gt; executive function (SOC)** (robot=traditional); robot versus traditional: &lt;cortical thinning in right and left anterior cingulate, areas of right inferior temporal cortices***; &gt; nodal strength, left rectus gyri**; and * improved on ADAS-Cog* and PRM*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valenti Soler et al [57]</td>
<td>Spanish nursing home patients with dementia; phase 1: 110 adults (11 male, 36%), aged 67-98; phase 2: 110 adults (11 male, 36%), aged 67-98 (mean 84.7)</td>
<td>Cluster randomization by living unit; all training—for example, identifying numbers, words, colors; use of everyday objects; sensory stimulation; phase 1: assisted by PARO (33), NAO (30), and control (38); 9-month washout; phase 2: Assisted by PARO (42), dog (36), control (32); Day Care (Nonrandom); phase 1: assisted by NAO (20); 9-month washout; and phase 2: assisted by PARO (17)</td>
<td>30-40-min group or individual sessions × 2 days per week × 3 months</td>
<td>Global Deterioration Scale; Severe Mini Mental State Examination; Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE); Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI); Quality of Life in Late-stage Dementia (QUALID); Apathy Scale for Institutionalized Patients with Dementia Nursing Home (Home version; APadem); Apathy Inventory (single-blind assessments)</td>
<td>Nursing home phase 1: NAO versus control, pre and post: &gt; reduction in NPI apathy/indifference*; &gt; quality of life (QUALID)<em>; &gt; NPI hallucinations</em>, irritability/lability* phase 2 PARO versus control, pre-post: &gt; reduction in NPI hallucinations*, irritability/lability*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jøranson et al [58,59]</td>
<td>60 Norwegian nursing home patients (10 male, 33%), aged 62-95 (mean 84) with dementia or MMSE &lt; 25</td>
<td>Cluster randomization of 10 living units; robot (30)(^b); PARO; control (30)(^b); SC</td>
<td>Robot: 2 × 30-min sessions (56 members) per week for 12 weeks; tested at pre, post, 3-month follow-up</td>
<td>Brief Agitation Rating Scale (BARS, interrater reliability reported); Cornell Scale for Symptoms of Depression in Dementia (Norwegian, CS-DD); Medication; QUALID</td>
<td>Robot versus control, Pre and Follow-up: &lt; agitation (BARS)<em>; &lt; depression (CSDD)</em>; &gt; quality of life (QUALID, severe dementia patients only)<em>; robot versus control, Pre and Post: &lt;medication, severe dementia patients only</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang et al [60]</td>
<td>30 New Zealand dyads: patients with dementia (11 male, 36%), aged 67-98; caregivers (4 male, 13%), aged 30-86</td>
<td>Robot (15)(^b); PARO, at day care and at home and control (15)(^b); SC</td>
<td>Robot, over 6 weeks: 2-3 × 30 -min sessions per week (day care, groups of 3 -6) and ad lib at home; tested at pre, 6, 12 weeks</td>
<td>Behavioral, affective, and social responses during sessions; Blood pressure; salivary cortisol; Addenbrookes Cognitive Examination (NZ version); CSDD; Neuropsychiatric Inventory Brief Questionnaire Form; Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory (Short Form); and Hair cortisol</td>
<td>Robot versus control, Pre, 6 and 12 weeks: &gt; drop in depressive symptoms (CSDD) but increase between 6 to 12 weeks (interaction effect*). Robot versus control, during sessions: &gt;Happy, smiling facial expressions (Agitation, social interactions ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Design, Conditions</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen et al [61]</td>
<td>61 US patients in assisted living memory care units with mild-moderate dementia (14 male, 23%) aged ≥60 (mean 83.4)</td>
<td>Cluster randomization by coin toss: Robot (35): PARO and control (26): SC activities</td>
<td>Both: 3 x 20-min sessions per week (6 members) for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Global Deterioration Scale (interrater reliability reported) RAID; CSDD; Galvanic skin response (GSR); pulse rate; pulse oximetry; and medication doses</td>
<td>Robot versus control, pre and post: &gt; rise in anxiety (RAID)<strong>, depression (CS-DD)</strong>; &gt; rise in GSR***, pulse oximetry***; &gt; fall in pulse rate***; and &gt; fall in doses of pain medication*** and behavior medication***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadbent et al [50]</td>
<td>60 New Zealand patients (aged between 16-90 (mean 69.8, 62% female) with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), recruited at inpatient discharge</td>
<td>Robot (30)m, iRobi at home: weekly clinical assessments; reminders to take medication, inhalers, do rehab exercises; education in videos, pop-up messages; &quot;I am feeling unwell&quot; button (initiating clinical assessment, message to staff); display trends in status, adherence. Linked to SmartInhaler alert to staff if missed medications, exercise 3 times. Phone calls to follow-up alerts, remind to use robot and control (30)m, SC</td>
<td>4-month robot use</td>
<td>Quality of life—Clinical COPD Questionnaire; medication adherence—Medication Adherence Report Scale—and Frequency of rehabilitation exercise</td>
<td>Robot versus control, pre and post (controlling for co-morbidities, past hospitalizations): hospitalizations (primary outcome) ns; &gt; self-reported medication adherence* (electronic inhaler only before covariates); &gt; self-reported rehab exercises***; robot versus control: &lt; direct cost (saving NZ$1152; d=.27), total hospitalization cost (saving NZ$1579; d=.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
randomization—in the case of Broadbent self-reported medication adherence, and rehabilitation exercises, of pain medication and behavior medication [61], increase in memory [52]. Robots also assisted with a fall in pulse rate, doses agitation [58,62,64] and increase other behaviors, such as verbal in areas such as reducing cortical thickness and improving for another sample, this was only present for severe dementia increases were found in quality-of-life measures [54,57], but symptoms [58,59] and loneliness scores [51,53]. Reported smiling facial expressions [60], with a decrease in depressive an increase in neutral and pleasure affect [62,64], and happy, **P ≤ .001.**

The use of a robot had an impact on emotions, such as achieving an increase in neutral and pleasure affect [62,64], and happy, smiling facial expressions [60], with a decrease in depressive symptoms [58,59] and loneliness scores [51,53]. Reported increases were found in quality-of-life measures [54,57], but for another sample, this was only present for severe dementia patients [58,59]. Cognitive functioning could also be improved in areas such as reducing cortical thickness and improving executive functioning [56]. The use of a robot helped to reduce agitation [58,62,64] and increase other behaviors, such as verbal memory [52]. Robots also assisted with a fall in pulse rate, doses of pain medication and behavior medication [61], increase in self-reported medication adherence, and rehabilitation exercises, with substantial cost saving [50].

In addition, 6 studies reported using independent or blind randomization [50,54,56,58,60,62,63]—in the case of Broadbent et al [50], controlling for ethnicity and gender. Robinson et al [53] reported using a random list generator but did not state other details. In some other trials, the randomization was basic (eg, Petersen et al [61] used a coin toss and Valenti Soler et al [57], a die) or potentially problematic (eg, Broadbent et al [55] randomly allocated at least 1 couple in a study with participant randomization). Where block randomization was used, only Jøranson et al [58] appeared to have analyzed for cluster effects. Kim et al [56] had an additional nonrandom control group, and Valenti Soler et al [57] also reported on a nonrandom study. The trial durations ranged from 8 to 24 weeks (median=13 weeks). A total of 3 trials had a follow-up assessment: 2 for 5 to 6 weeks [60,62,63] and 1 for 3 months [58]. In addition, 4 trials had a blind assessment of observations [55-57,62,63], and interrater reliability on at least 1 key measure was reported in 3 trials [59,61-63]. Sample sizes ranged from 18 to 415

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design, Conditions (n)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moyle et al [62] and</td>
<td>415 Australian residential patients with</td>
<td>Cluster randomization</td>
<td>PARO and Plush Toy: 3 x 15-min individual, non-facilitated sessions for 10 weeks (ie, 30 total) and assessed at pre and post (9, 138); SC (9, 137)</td>
<td>Positive behavioral engagement, mood states and agitation (video observation); Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory-Short Form; Rowland Universal Dementia Assessment Scale; Multicultural Cognitive Assessment Scale; Using SenseWear Professional 8.0 activity armband: Day and nighttime motor activity (steps, hours of physical activity) and hours lying down, asleep, and awake</td>
<td>PARO versus Plush Toy, pre and post; &gt; verbal (.011), visual engagement; steps in day, nighttime; hours physical activity; PARO versus SC, pre and post; &gt; neutral and pleasure affect; &lt; agitation; steps in day; PARO and Plush Toy versus SC, pre and post; &gt; neutral affect **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al [63]</td>
<td>dementia (100 male, 24.1%) aged &gt;60 years (mean 84-86 in each condition)</td>
<td>(N facilities, participants); PARO and Plush toy—PARO with robot features disabled (10, 140); SC (9, 137)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random assignment matched for age and MMSE score.

Text says the amount of missing data was large, and no substitution for missing data was made. However, tables give an n of 18. Analyzed by standardized mean difference between scores after each intervention. Results with Cohen d ≥0.3 are displayed (range in brackets).

Number in each order not reported. Individual randomization, but mentions 2 participants who were married and living together.

Excluded 2 robot, 1 traditional participant from MRI analyses (similarity index <0.5).

Some overlap of phase 2 participants from phase 1. Loss to analyses: nursing home phase 2 dog (1); day care phase 1 (2), phase 2 (2).

Lost 2 robot, 4 control participants who died; 1 robot participant withdrew. However, analyses used intention to treat (by imputation, mixed models).

Analyses on 13 PARO, 11 SC participants.

All of the results are described in the text as greater improvements in the robot condition, but mean changes presented in Table 2 on the RAID, CSDD, and GSR show larger positive post minus pre changes in the robot condition. That would indicate greater deterioration. A question mark is used to highlight the issue.

Hospitalizations were reported as intention to treat (omitting 1 who died) and per protocol. Most other results (referred to as intention to treat): were on 25 robot participants (3 withdrew, 2 died), 26 controls (1 withdrew, 1 did not complete follow-up assessments, 2 died). Electronic inhaler results were on 18 robot, 25 control participants.

All allocated participants were in analyses. Losses to assessment postallocation included PARO: 7 deceased, 1 relocated; Plush toy: 14 deceased, 1 palliative care; SC: 5 deceased, 1 palliative care, and 1 relocated.

Secondary analyses examined effects at weeks 1 and 5.

Interpreted as a positive outcome because of association of physical activity with agitation.

*P < .05.

**P < .01.

***P ≤ .001.
Identified trials often involved the PARO robot, which resembles a baby harp seal, and is designed to mimic animal behaviors, but avoids attendant risks of injury or infection. PARO is furry; responds to touch, sound, light, posture, and temperature; and has a diurnal rhythm and some interaction capability \[31,65\]. As a result, it can be reliably used for responsive interactions without Wizard-of-Oz control. However, similar to animals, it cannot verbally communicate, thus limiting the range of social interactions it can undertake. These trials aimed to elicit behavioral, affective, and social responses to improve mood and cognitive functioning.

Table 3 demonstrates that the robotic interventions typically resulted in better cognitive or neural functioning, reduced distress, or better quality of life, although (as may be expected in dementia) positive cognitive or neural outcomes sometimes involved less decline rather than greater improvement \[56\]. Overall, 2 sets of results were particularly notable: a lesser reduction in cortical thickness and in global efficiency in the trial by Kim et al \[56\] and (despite the lack of significant differences in hospitalizations) reduced direct costs from treatment of COPD in the study by Broadbent et al \[50\]. However, consistent with the trials on ASD, many measures did not show differential changes from the robot intervention.

Even more importantly, as shown in the italicized results in Table 3, some trials found that the robot condition had inferior results on some measures. Examples include inconsistent results on emotions in the study by Moyle et al \[54\] and on cognitive tests in the study by Kim et al \[56\], and some negative effects on symptoms including irritability/lability in the study by Valenti Soler et al \[57\]. Importantly, a set of predictive analyses that was undertaken by Jones et al \[63\] within the PARO condition of the recent study by Moyle et al \[62\] and Jones et al \[63\] showed that more positive and visual engagement with PARO was seen in participants with low levels of agitation at baseline. Mild cognitive impairment predicted greater visual engagement with the robot and more pleasure at week 10. These results suggest that positive effects from PARO may predominantly occur in less severely affected participants. A cost-effectiveness study on the recent study by Mervin et al \[66\] found that the PARO robot gave a slightly lower incremental cost-effectiveness over usual care in reducing agitation than did the Plush Toy (the PARO with disabled robotic features). However, neither cost was substantial.

**Ineligible Trials**

Inspection of excluded trials also offers some important insights into the state of current research on robotics in health care. Medical trials retain dominance with 145 excluded papers (83 papers on surgical, rehabilitative, and exoskeletal applications and 62 on rehabilitation and gait training) and that research shows greater maturity than in other health care domains. Other social robot studies placed greater focus on the acceptability of social robots or evaluation of different robot characteristics, demonstrating that potential applications and intervention elements are still being identified \[67\]. Excluded papers also included trial protocols \[68,69\], qualitative studies \[70\], and reviews \[71\].

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In comparison with trials on surgical or other medical applications of robots, trials on improving health, well-being, or psychological interventions using social robots are very few; are limited to the contexts of child health, ASD, and older adults; and, as a group, are relatively unsophisticated. Conversely, the contexts where these robots have been trialed present significant challenges for both treatment and research.

In light of those challenges, it is encouraging that all of the reviewed papers provided some evidence of positive effects from an intervention using a social robot, even though several found that some measures showed no differential effects or favored alternate treatments. Furthermore, some null results may be regarded as a positive finding. For example, the fact that Huskens et al \[46\] and Yun et al \[40\] found no difference between results from a human and a robot trainer may presage greater cost-effectiveness, if a human operator was not required in similar future applications. Some effects that appeared negative could be attributed to methodological issues with the trial, such as the confounding of content and delivery in the study by Srinivasan et al \[39\]. However, some other results were more disquieting, such as increased negative affect and less interested affect than controls in the study by Srinivasan et al \[39\], increases in sadness and (on 1 measure) anxiety in the study by Moyle et al \[54\], and some worsening of symptoms in the study by Valenti Soler et al \[57\]. Whether social robots sometimes trigger distress or other negative emotions in dementia needs further examination, as do the ways any negative effects may be avoided or reduced and whether interventions should primarily target people with less agitation or cognitive impairment. Although some of these results were inconsistent with those from other studies and others may have been because of uncontrolled factors, they require further attention to see if they are replicated and identify factors that are triggering them. For example, some participants with ASD or dementia may find specific social robots or robotic behaviors anxiety provoking or greater effort may be needed to acclimatize them to the novelty of interacting with a robot.

Generalizability of benefits from the robotic intervention outside the context-specific tasks was not tested in most trials. For example, child social skills’ training was not explored beyond the context of the session to investigate its translatable impact on other interactions, such as with adults outside of the research team or other children. Only 6 trials (22%) had any follow-up at all, and among these 6, none had a follow-up assessment beyond 12 weeks (range=2-12 weeks, median=5). Most trials were small (median N=34), with only 2 trials having more than 100 participants. A single-blind assessment of observations was reported in just 8 trials (30%). Overall, more recent trials tended to have superior methodological quality, especially in the older adults’ group of studies. However, all 3 areas had significant thematic limitations.
Limitations
The limitations of the review include its inability to assess average effect sizes because of the wide range of measures and reporting methods in the identified papers. The restriction of trials to the ones reported in English may have missed some trials, and some other databases or search terms may have identified further trials, although the use of forward and backward searches should have reduced the risk of missed trials. Given a publication bias toward significant results, there may be other trials with less positive outcomes than the ones reported. The review is also limited by its focus on published papers and contacting authors may have clarified some methodological features that were unreported or ambiguous. Large-scale RCTs are often subject to extensive testing and recruitment timeframes, and therefore, eligible trials may still be in operation at the time of publication. In addition, trials are restricted by the limited number of social robots available to them, constraining researchers to shape their interventions to fit onto the current capabilities of the robot. This could have severely limited the prospect of large sample sizes because of the low numbers of social robots available. Moreover, researchers may not have been able to create and deploy sophisticated health, well-being, or psychological interventions to the same standard as other digital programs onto the robot because of the current software or hardware constraints.

Opportunities for Further Applications
There were good reasons why the initial studies on social robots have focused on the above participant groups. The NAO robot that has been used in child health is a similar size to a young child and has movements and features that children have generally regarded as acceptable [72], in addition to receiving high acceptability ratings for its application to treatments [73]. Children with ASD tend to have difficulties with human interaction and appropriate expression of emotion, and the robots that have been trialled in that context offer simplified versions of both social interactions and emotional expression that make them particularly suitable for this group [74,75]. The PARO robots used in older age groups are similar in appearance, texture, and behavior to a small, friendly animal and imitate animal-assisted therapy, which is already an established approach for dementia [76], without presenting physical health risks. Thus, social robots in these contexts build on well-established theory and research applications or provide a digital spin to current treatment practice. However, it remains surprising that health-related interventions by social robots do not appear to have been trialled in other problem domains or in adolescents or young adults. Such trials would be timely and important.

Advances in technology equip robots with the capability to conduct health care–related tasks in fields beyond the scope of children, ASD, and elderly care applications. For example, robot capability to respond to information and deliver a structured conversational exchange related to a health care service. These capabilities could translate into health tasks to support patients during their visit, such as verbal discussion of an appropriate homework task, provision of health education during a consultation time, or disclosure of sensitive medical information. Traditional therapeutic elements can also be performed by a robot using these techniques, such as positive verbal affirmations, and providing customized coaching based on interpreting and responding to multiple signal inputs, such automated physiological recordings, self-reported data, or verbal reports on the participant’s progress [77]. Other applications may include encouragement and coaching support for Web-based or digital interventions to increase adherence and impact, as a partial or full substitute for human coaching, which has increased adherence and produced stronger treatment effects in some trials [15]. Robots have already demonstrated an ability to incorporate physical monitoring and service alerts [50], which could in the future reduce response times and hospitalization rates and improve outcomes. Integration of health service robots with personal robots and other digital devices could enhance the transfer of within-session gains to the natural environment, through additional verbal and enactive rehearsal and cueing of behaviors at times in which they are most needed.

Further technological advancements could also progress social robot capabilities beyond limited and constrained tasks. For instance, the use of natural language processing can capture and interpret key elements of human speech [78], and computer vision can recognize faces and activities and detect changes in physiological arousal and emotions [79,80]. Advances in robotic technology are also likely to encompass greater ease of use by nontechnical experts and improved reliability, robustness, and autonomy. Ultimately, these improvements will obviate the need for teleoperation or monitoring by a health professional or trained staff member, which currently occurs in Wizard-of-Oz–style studies, where the role of the robot currently exceeds its technical ability for autonomous operation. Enhancements will also improve the robots’ capacity to deal with uncertain or unpredictable factors in treatment sessions, such as modifying the content of responses and the focus of the session, based on new information from the participant or making a timely response to verbal or nonverbal cues that might indicate disinterest, uneasiness, or annoyance. Such advancements would more accurately emulate the nature of evidence-based face-to-face treatments.

Advances of this kind may be seen by some therapists as a threat. However, we argue that they are more likely to provide exciting opportunities to supplement and augment the impact of standard treatment, reducing time on routine and low-intensity tasks, including information provision, standardized assessment, and treatments that are effective when applied through a highly specified protocol, and increasing the retention and generalization of insights or skills that have been gained within sessions. Practitioners may then focus on more personally satisfying and challenging work, including their relationship with the client; enhancing and maintaining motivation; collaborative goal setting and planning; and addressing severe, complex, or co-occurring problems. However, this proposed outcome remains dependent on continued efforts to develop and test digital health interventions that can be reliably and safely delivered by social robots as their hardware and software progressively increases in sophistication. Although these developments will raise ethical and practical issues and will require careful monitoring of any negative outcomes or potential...
risks, their benefits to clients and to the cost-effectiveness of health services may be substantial.

Conclusions
The evidence for health, well-being, and psychosocial interventions that are delivered by social robots remains at an early stage, with few trials being identified. The methodological quality in many trials was reduced by their small sample sizes, an absence of independent randomization, blind assessment or follow-up, and their somewhat rudimentary statistical analyses. However, the higher quality of some recent trials gives cause for optimism that some current and emerging trials will meet more rigorous methodological standards and will steadily move from a focus on efficacy to examining effectiveness in routine care. Progressive reductions in the cost of social robots and improvements in their accessibility for purchase will also make it easier to conduct trials, as will the further development of standard program routines that enable their intuitive and flexible use.

Overall, the initial evidence from clinical trials is promising but is not universally positive. Importantly, some studies show some increases in negative affect or psychiatric symptoms, suggesting that their use with some patient groups may not be indicated or may need more preparation before an intervention is attempted. As yet, there is no evidence that treatment gains from these interventions can be sustained over a follow-up period of more than 3 months or (apart from some trials in aged care facilities) that these interventions can be taken to scale. Nor, as yet, is there evidence on the effects of psychosocial interventions by robots on health and well-being outside the 3 contexts reviewed in this paper.

Currently, trials have used robots with limited capabilities (eg, the PARO fur seal), programmed a robot such as NAO with limited or no branching, or have used Wizard-of-Oz control. None of these approaches fully capitalizes on the potential that robots may have for improving the cost-effectiveness and reach of clinical services, once further development of their hardware and software provides more advanced and reliable social capability.

Each of these limitations is likely to be addressed over the coming years. The true potential for social robots to improve the impact, reach, and cost-effectiveness of health care will then be much clearer than at present.
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Abstract

Background: Robot-mediated telerehabilitation has the potential to provide patient-tailored cost-effective rehabilitation. However, compliance with therapy can be a problem that undermines the prospective advantages of telerehabilitation technologies. Lack of motivation has been identified as a major factor that hampers compliance. Exploring various motivational interventions, the integration of citizen science activities in robotics-based rehabilitation has been shown to increase patients’ motivation to engage in otherwise tedious exercises by tapping into a vast array of intrinsic motivational drivers. Patient engagement can be further enhanced by the incorporation of social interactions.

Objective: Herein, we explored the possibility of bolstering engagement in physical therapy by leveraging cooperation among users in an environmental citizen science project. Specifically, we studied how the integration of cooperation into citizen science influences user engagement, enjoyment, and motor performance. Furthermore, we investigated how the degree of interdependence among users, such that is imposed through independent or joint termination (JT), affects participation in citizen science-based telerehabilitation.

Methods: We developed a Web-based citizen science platform in which users work in pairs to classify images collected by an aquatic robot in a polluted water canal. The classification was carried out by labeling objects that appear in the images and trashing irrelevant labels. The system was interfaced by a haptic device for fine motor rehabilitation. We recruited 120 healthy volunteers to operate the platform. Of these volunteers, 98 were cooperating in pairs, with 1 user tagging images and the other trashing labels. The other 22 volunteers performed both tasks alone. To vary the degree of interdependence within cooperation, we implemented independent and JTs.

Results: We found that users’ engagement and motor performance are modulated by their assigned task and the degree of interdependence. Motor performance increased when users were subjected to independent termination ($P=0.02$), yet enjoyment decreased when users were subjected to JT ($P=0.005$). A significant interaction between the type of termination and the task was found to influence productivity ($P<0.001$) as well as mean speed, peak speed, and path length of the controller ($P=0.01$, $P=0.006$, and $P<0.001$, respectively).

Conclusions: Depending on the type of termination, cooperation was not always positively associated with engagement, enjoyment, and motor performance. Therefore, enhancing user engagement, satisfaction, and motor performance through cooperative citizen science tasks relies on both the degree of interdependence among users and the perceived nature of the task. Cooperative citizen science may enhance motivation in robotics-based telerehabilitation, if designed attentively.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12708) doi:10.2196/12708

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e12708/
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Introduction

Background
Debilitating neurological diseases such as stroke require intensive, repetitive, and high-frequency physical therapy for maximum recovery of motor function and self-reliance [1-3]. However, costly resources and limited rehabilitation personnel make rehabilitation unavailable to the majority of patients. Furthermore, disability often encumbers mobility, preventing patients from leaving their homes and frequenting the therapists’ office [4]. Therefore, reaching their full recovery potential is greatly contingent upon performing self-directed physical therapy with limited professional feedback.

Robot-Mediated Telerehabilitation
Several rehabilitation robots have been developed for delivery of exercise programs for the upper limb, including the MIT-Manus (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) [5,6], Gentle/S (University of Reading) [7], ArmIn (ETH Zurich) [8], and Mirror Image Movement Enabler (Stanford University) [9]. Ultimately, robotic rehabilitation devices aim to administer and monitor exercise for the arm with reproducible high-intensity and high-dosage sensorimotor therapy while collecting pertinent data for assessment by a medical professional [10,11].

The major hurdles in the widespread adoption of these robotics-based technologies are costs and user-friendliness, whereby these devices often have prohibitive costs to the general public and require some form of technological proficiency that may be beyond the typical background of patients or even therapists [12,13]. To fill these gaps, several studies have explored the feasibility of delivering rehabilitation treatments using low-cost, off-the-shelf gaming systems such as the Microsoft Kinect and PlayStation EyeToy [14-17]. Gaming controllers are intuitive to users, easy to repurpose, and more affordable, thereby offering a promising means for accessible home-based telerehabilitation. Gaming controllers can also measure motor performance objectively, toward remote assessment of patient status and progress by physicians [18].

As an example, the Novint Falcon can detect subtle differences in the kinematics of healthy and affected individuals [19] and evaluate patients’ motor learning as their rehabilitation progresses [20], through measurements of mean speed, peak speed, and path length traversed. These metrics have been previously used and upheld in robotic telerehabilitation of the upper limb to rapidly assess physical effort and movement accuracy and smoothness [21,22]. Other metrics can be used to assess patients’ motor performance, including range of motion [8,23], coordination [24,25], and amount of force exerted [14,26].

Adherence to Rehabilitation Regimen
Although the mechanical framework of telerehabilitation has been successfully implemented in homes, patients often fail to comply with their home-based physical therapy, primarily because of the lack of motivation [27,28]. Acknowledging that sustained engagement is a prerequisite for successful outcomes, a large body of research has studied motivational interventions through game designs toward overcoming noncompliance in telerehabilitation [29-33]. For example, in an experimental study, Colombo et al [31] simulated a video game experience by displaying performance scores to improve motivation and adherence to the physical regimen. Similarly, in the study by Nijenhuis [29], a motivational messaging system was introduced to encourage future engagement in training sessions. Other studies have considered the use of serious games that do not aim primarily at entertainment for enhancing the physical rehabilitation experience [34-37]. For instance, Jonsdottir et al [38] have demonstrated the feasibility and efficacy of Rehab@Home, a therapeutic framework that simulates daily life activities in a virtual environment using Kinect. This gaming system was shown to increase gross motor function and improve patients’ experience and perception of health in patients with multiple sclerosis.

Overall, these studies have demonstrated that gamification increases engagement in rehabilitation exercises [37,39]. Yet, the full capacity of supplemental motivational interventions remains largely untapped as designers rarely emphasize the users’ intellect and interest to maintain prolonged engagement. Particularly in the context of rehabilitation, the age group of the majority of patients may not be conducive to the use of typical computer games that target young gamers [40,41]. Aiming to address the differential motivations of the elderly, Flores et al [27] pinpointed the gaming design criteria catering to the needs of both young and elderly users, which include (1) consideration of decreased sensorimotor abilities, (2) cognitively challenging elements, and (3) some degree of socialization.

Citizen Science and Telerehabilitation
Following this line of work, we have previously demonstrated the potential utility of citizen science in increasing engagement and enjoyment in rehabilitation through the systematic interaction of environmental citizen science and robotics-based low-cost telerehabilitation technologies [19,42,43]. Citizen science projects address a wide range of scientific fields of inquiry. For example, on Stardust@Home, users can review images of an aerogel that was sent to outer space and flag traces of interstellar dust trapped in it [44]. In a different project, Foldit, volunteers fold virtual proteins and produce novel models of protein structures [45]. Citizen science could be a passive undertaking whereby citizen scientists lend computational power while their computers are idle [46,47]. The activities are not restricted to desktop computers and may also take place outdoors, where volunteers report of animal sightings or record air and water quality using their mobile phones [46,47].

In general, in citizen science projects, members of the public execute scientific tasks in authentic research projects, led by professional scientists and otherwise [46,47]. The contribution of volunteers typically involves data collection or data analysis and does not require specific expertise [47,48]. The motivation ascribed to citizen science projects is majorly intrinsic as
participation is intellectually stimulating and promotes learning [48,49]. Moreover, the completion of individual tasks requires a small time commitment, allowing users to contribute at their own pace. Therefore, citizen science inherently satisfies the criteria identified by Flores et al [27], with the exception of social interaction, which must be separately addressed through new design interventions.

**Social Interactions as a Motivational Driver**

Personal and social support, whether provided by practitioners, family, or friends, has been found to increase patients’ motivation toward performing exercise at home and to improve their mental well-being [50,51]. In the context of telehabilitation, socially assistive robots, affording social interaction with patients while relaying treatments, were created [52]. The mere interaction with inanimate socially assistive robots was demonstrated to increase patients’ engagement in therapy and alleviate their feelings of stress and depression [52-54]. Building on this evidence, interhuman social interactions were introduced between the patient and their practitioner [52,55,56] and subsequently extended to include interactions with relatives and friends, and even strangers [30,52,57-59]. In all cases, patients expressed a strong preference to perform exercise with another person rather than alone and with a human partner rather than a virtual one [30,57,60]. Moreover, social interactions were demonstrated to improve motor performance [61]. Yet, the context in which social interactions were studied is largely limited to games.

Whether social interactions could benefit or hamper the success of citizen science–based rehabilitation treatments remains elusive. It is known that social presence alone should enhance user engagement and prolong participation in Web-based platforms through social comparison [62-65]. However, working in a team may also lead to the opposite outcome, whereby users could reduce their participation in an activity because of diffusion of responsibility, a sociopsychological phenomenon observed when an individual is less likely to assume responsibility of action in the presence of other individuals [66]. Diffusion of responsibility is moderated by several factors, including anonymity [67], group size [68], and division of labor [69], which can all be found in citizen science [70]. As a result, it is difficult to predict whether including social elements in citizen science–based rehabilitation could produce the sought motivational factor advocated in the study by Flores et al [27], with the exception of criteria identified by Flores et al [27], with the exception of social interaction, which must be separately addressed through new design interventions.

**Objectives**

In this study, we sought to fill this gap in knowledge by examining the influence of computer-mediated cooperation on the engagement and motor performance of users involved in a rehabilitation exercise that integrated environmental citizen science and robotics-based technologies. We hypothesized that introducing cooperative tasks into citizen science would motivate users to extend their contribution by increasing the amount of scientific data they collect or analyze (productivity) and the time they spend performing the scientific task (perseverance). This hypothesis rests on previous evidence that both of these measures are positively associated with motivation in goal-related activities [71]. In addition to increasing engagement, we expected that the integration of cooperation would improve users’ motor performance, reflected by their exertion of higher levels of physical effort. Finally, we hypothesized that the level of improvement in engagement and motor performance would be modulated by varying the degree of independence between paired users, whereby strengthening the interdependence between them would mitigate diffusion of responsibility.

To test our hypotheses, we created a novel, dedicated interface for Brooklyn Atlantis—a local citizen science project for environmental monitoring of the highly polluted Gowanus canal, located in Brooklyn, New York [72]. Our system enabled users to analyze pictures of the canal taken by an aquatic robot using a low-cost haptic controller, whose potential use in rehabilitation treatments on patients has been previously demonstrated by our group and other researchers [19]. Using the system, a pair of volunteers was presented with a list of descriptive keywords that may describe the objects in images. The volunteers sorted a list of labels together where one user allocates labels that describe objects in the image while the other discards irrelevant labels. Here, we report results for the effect of using the platform and the collaborative procedure on healthy people.

**Methods**

**Hardware and Software**

All activities were performed using the Novint Falcon game controller, a low-cost haptic controller capable for use in 3 dimensions (Figure 1). The Novint Falcon offers translational hand movement with 3 degrees of freedom: left-right (x-axis), up-down (y-axis), and push-pull (z-axis). This device was demonstrated to provide effective fine-motor hand rehabilitation [73]. The system, developed using Unity 3D (Unity Technologies), displayed a 360° image of the Gowanus Canal on a computer screen (Figure 2). To explore the image, users pressed the middle button on the controller continuously and moved the controller in the general direction they wanted to rotate the view. A reproduction of the Novint Falcon interface was continuously shown on the screen, as a reference for the function of each button (Figure 2).

Movement was implemented in spherical coordinates, whereby motion of the controller along the x-axis (Figure 1) translated into azimuthal rotation (turning right or left in Figure 2) and motion of the controller along the y-axis (Figure 1) translated into elevational rotation (turning up or down in Figure 2). As the motion along the push-pull axis did not convey a meaningful function (zoom was not offered), a highly resistive force was applied in this direction to prevent motion. In addition, visual feedback was added to the system, such that the image of the Gowanus Canal would fade in response to motion along the z-axis, either pushing or pulling. The deviation from the z-axis was further conveyed through a black circle and radiating cone, portraying where the user is facing and how far off the axis they are located (Figure 2).

As part of the citizen science image classification project, 2 tasks were implemented in the system. The first task consisted
of tagging objects observed in the images using labels from a list, located on the right of the 360° image (green panel in Figure 2). The second task entailed eliminating labels from the list that were not in the image by allocating them to the trash bin, located on the right of the list of labels (yellow panel in Figure 2). When 2 users performed the tasks together, each user had independent control over exploration of the 360° image. Social cues were conveyed through the system as cooperating users could view their partner’s actions in real time. That is, the user assigned with the tagging task could see a list of the eliminated labels forming below the trash bin (Figure 2). Similarly, the user assigned with the trashing task could see the labels assigned to the image (Figure 2). The presence of a peer was further made evident by highlighting a label in red, indicating that it was selected by the peer. An illustration of the setup is depicted in Figure 3.

Users were able to select labels by pressing the right or left button on the controller, depending on their dexterity. Once a button was pressed, the label was tethered to the cursor and effectively dragged by it. To deselect a label, or to release it at a desired location, users pressed the controller button again. Once assigned, labels were replaced by others from a predetermined sequence of 49 labels, all of which were previously contributed by citizen scientists in Brooklyn Atlantis in our previous research [19,74-77]. To maintain fluidity of the image classification process and avoid oversaturation of images with tags, an image was replaced by another image after 5 tags were assigned. A tag counter was displayed on the screen to inform the user of the number of tags assigned to the current image (Figure 2).

Users were able to terminate the activity by pressing the red Quit button on the screen (Figure 2). To simulate the different levels of interdependence in the cooperation between individuals, 2 types of termination were considered in the experiments: independent termination (IT), whereby users could continue contributing to the project even after their peer had quit, and joint termination (JT) whereby termination by 1 user ceased the session for the other user too.

**Figure 1.** The Novint Falcon with the designated axes of motion.
Figure 2. A screenshot of the user interface. On the left of the screenshot is a 360° image of the Gowanus canal. The user’s cursor is placing the label “Crane” onto the image, while a tag containing the word “Buoy” has already been placed. A reproduction of the Novint Falcon controller with a description of the function of each button is located on the upper right corner of the image. In the green panel, a counter of the number of labels that are yet to be assigned to the current image is displayed at the top. Below the counter, there is a list of 10 labels. The label “Crane” is highlighted in red as it is currently selected by the user. Below the list of labels is a visual feedback that represents deviation from the z-axis. A Quit button is situated at the bottom of the green panel. In the yellow panel, there is a garbage bin for eliminating labels that do not describe objects in the current image. The labels below it, “Robot” and “Person”, have been eliminated by the user.

Figure 3. Schematic of two cooperating users classifying images remotely from two different computers in separate rooms.

Experimental Procedure
This study was carried out in compliance with the institutional review board (IRB) at New York University (IRB FY2016-184). Overall, 120 members of the university community, with a mean age of 27.36 (SD 8.28) years, were recruited and subjected to one of 3 conditions (Table 1): IT (50 volunteers), JT (48 volunteers), and control (22 volunteers). Although control subjects performed both tagging and trashing, volunteers in IT and JT cooperatively carried out the activity such that half of the volunteers (25 and 24 volunteers in IT and JT, respectively) performed only tagging and the other half (25 and 24 volunteers in IT and JT, respectively) performed only trashing. In the control condition, the volunteer was able to withdraw from the activity at any time.
Recruitment and experimental procedures were standardized through scripts and a PowerPoint presentation. We recruited volunteers in public spaces on campus. During recruitment, we verbally introduced potential participants on campus to the notion of citizen science following a script. Once recruited, paired volunteers were brought into 2 separate private rooms to simulate Web-based cooperation as envisioned in future application within robotics-based telerehabilitation. They did not know who their peer was.

All participants were subjected to the same experimental protocol. Before beginning the experiment, participants were given an overview of the Gowanus Canal and Brooklyn Atlantis using a PowerPoint presentation. Through the presentation, within cooperative conditions, IT and JT, participants were notified that they will be working together with a peer and were instructed to complete their assigned task only. They were informed that they may withdraw at any point they would like and whether their withdrawal will terminate their peer’s participation (JT) or not (IT). Upon signing a consent form, the participants underwent a tutorial teaching them how to use the Novint Falcon and the system. After the tutorial, they were connected with their peer and began carrying out their tasks. Users who were subjected to the control condition carried out both tasks, tagging and trashing. Users who were subjected to cooperative conditions were randomly assigned to one of the 2 tasks. They performed the exercise until they pressed the quit button. After quitting, the participants rated their experience on a 7-point Likert scale in response to the statements “I enjoyed this activity” and “This activity was fun.” Once the volunteers submitted their answers, the experiment was concluded.

### Data Collection and Analysis

#### Data Acquisition

For each user, 3 datasets were created. The first dataset documented information on tag allocation, including tag content, time of allocation, and allocating user identity number. The second dataset recorded users’ scores of enjoyment. The third dataset consisted of the Novint Falcon controller position in 3D space, recorded at a sampling rate of 60 positions per second. The collected data were used to quantify user engagement, enjoyment, and motor performance.

#### Data Processing

User engagement was evaluated through their productivity and persistence [71]. Productivity was measured as the number of labels processed by the user. Persistence was measured as the time spent performing the activity [71]. Users’ enjoyment was evaluated from surveys. Intrarater reliability was validated using the Cronbach alpha [78]. Enjoyment was scored by averaging the ratings on the multiple questions for each user, linearly scaling between 0 (Likert scale 1) and 1 (Likert scale 7), and normalizing using an arcsine transformation by considering the proportional nature of the variable [79].

The trajectory of the controller was examined from the recording of consecutive points in space over time. A total of 3 motion metrics were evaluated from the trajectory, namely, the controller’s mean speed, peak speed, and path length. For each trial, the instantaneous speed was estimated using a backward Euler scheme on the sampled positions from the haptic device. The mean speed was computed by averaging instantaneous values over the whole trajectory and the peak speed as the maximum value among the 90th percentile from the trajectory [80]. The path length was measured as the sum of distances between pairs of consecutive data points.

#### Statistical Analysis

The influence of cooperation on engagement, enjoyment, and motor performance was investigated by fitting each variable into a generalized linear-mixed effects model [81], specifying condition (3 levels: control, IT, and JT) as an independent variable and both pair identity and task assignment (tagging and trashing) as random effects (R lme4 package version 1.1-15 [82]). To improve the normality of the model residual, we specified a Gaussian family with a log link for persistence and enjoyment, a Poisson family with a log link for productivity, and a gamma family with a log link for motor performance. The significance of the influence of conditions was tested using a likelihood ratio test, comparing the model against a null model in the absence of the condition as the independent variable. When significant effect was found, post hoc analysis was performed using the Dunnett test (R multcomp package version 1.4-8 [83]).

Next, we evaluated the influence of the modality through which cooperation was implemented on engagement, enjoyment, and motor performance. Specifically, we fitted each variable into a generalized linear mixed-effects model, specifying condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition and task assignment</th>
<th>Cooperation</th>
<th>Number of volunteers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagging and trashing</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent termination</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagging</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trashing</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint termination</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagging</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trashing</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of volunteers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><strong>Table 1.</strong> A summary of the experimental conditions tested.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2 levels: IT and JT), task assignment (2 levels: tagging and trash), and the interaction between them as independent variables, and pair identity as a random effect. The same error family as the previous model was used for the corresponding variable. To test the significance of the interaction term, the full model was tested against a null model without the interaction using a likelihood ratio test. In case a significant interaction was found, the difference between tasks was further examined within each condition, by specifying task as an independent variable and pair identity as a random effect. In case the interaction was not significant, we removed the interaction from the full model, and the effects of condition and task were tested using a likelihood ratio test, individually, comparing against a null model.

Although not part of our original hypotheses, we also tested the influence of social presence on individual speed performance. In each pair of cooperating peers in IT, the trajectory of the more persistence was partitioned into 2 parts, before and after their peer had quit. Users’ mean and peak speeds were fitted into separate generalized linear mixed-effects models, specifying the time partition (2 levels: before and after) as an independent variable and user identity as a random effect. A gamma family with log link was specified to normalize the model residual. Users’ speeds before peer withdrawal were compared with their speeds following peer withdrawal using a likelihood ratio test, comparing the model against a null model in the absence of the time partition as the independent variable.

For all statistical tests, we set the level of significance at alpha=.05.

Results

Influence of Cooperation on Engagement

On average, users processed (tagged or trashed) a mean of 46.35 (SD 3.16) labels, spending 16.37 (SD 0.63) min. Neither productivity nor persistence were found to differ among conditions ($\chi^2_{1}=0.1; P=.92$ and $\chi^2_{1}=0.1; P=.79$, respectively; Figure 4). However, the level of enjoyment was found to vary among conditions ($\chi^2_{1}=10.5; P=.005$; Figure 4), with JT users rating the activity significantly lower than control users ($z=3.25; P=.002$). By contrast, IT users did not rate the activity significantly different from control users ($z=1.94; P=.08$).

Figure 4. Engagement of users in the activity. A) number of labels processed by participants in each condition. B) rate of enjoyment for each condition. The vertical lines represent standard errors. $*$: statistically different means among conditions. $S$: statistically different means among tasks.

Influence of Cooperation on Motor Performance

With regard to motor performance, the mean speed did not differ among conditions ($\chi^2_{1}=2.6; P=.26$). Contrarily, we determined a significant variation of peak speed among conditions ($\chi^2_{1}=7.7; P=.02$; Figure 5). Although post hoc comparisons failed to identify a significant difference between JT and control conditions ($z=0.11; P=.99$), we registered a significant difference between IT users and control users ($z=2.44; P=.02$). The path length was not significantly different among conditions ($\chi^2_{1}=4.1; P=.12$).

Influence of Modality on Engagement

Testing for the influence of cooperation modality on productivity, we found a significant interaction between condition and task ($\chi^2_{1}=43.1; P<.001$). When investigating the effect of task assignment in each condition, we found a significant difference in productivity between the tasks in both conditions, IT ($\chi^2_{1}=265.7; P<.001$) and JT ($\chi^2_{1}=25.5; P<.001$). We failed to identify a significant interaction between condition and task with regard to persistence ($\chi^2_{1}=3.3; P=.06$). An interaction between condition and task was not found in enjoyment as well ($\chi^2_{1}=1.1; P=.29$). Enjoyment was significantly different between the tasks ($\chi^2_{1}=7.7; P=.005$), whereas condition failed to reach significance ($\chi^2_{1}=2.8; P=.09$).

Influence of Modality on Motor Performance

With regard to the performance metrics, a significant interaction between condition and task was found to influence path length ($\chi^2_{1}=6.3; P=.01$), mean speed ($\chi^2_{1}=7.4; P=.006$), and peak speed ($\chi^2_{1}=25.8; P<.001$; Figure 5). For path length, we found a significant difference between task assignment in IT condition
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(χ²₁=11.3; P<.001), whereas we did not find one in JT condition (χ²₁<0.1; P=.90). For mean speed, a significant difference between tasks was found in IT condition (χ²₁=6.2; P=.01) but not in JT condition (χ²₁=2.4; P=.12). Finally, for peak speed, a significant difference was found between tasks in both IT and JT conditions (χ²₁=16.1; P<.001 and χ²₁=11.0; P<.001, respectively).

In IT, volunteers significantly reduced their mean and peak speeds following their peer’s quitting (z=2.97; P=.002 and z=3.30; P<.001, respectively; Figure 6).

**Figure 5.** Motor metrics. A) mean speed in each condition, B) peak speed for each condition, C) path length traversed by the controller in each conditions. The vertical lines represent standard errors. * represents statistically different means among conditions. $ represents statistically different means among tasks.

**Figure 6.** Differences in mean and peak speeds of the more persistent users in condition IT, before and after their peer has withdrawn. The vertical lines represent standard errors. * represents statistically different means among conditions.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

Citizen science is an effective means for improving rehabilitation treatments. Patients undergoing physical rehabilitation have shown a strong preference toward exercise embedded with citizen science and were more likely to repeat it at the cost of their time commitment [19]. Although social interactions hold potential to further increase patients’ engagement in rehabilitation [30,57], the modality in which they are framed could widely shape the outcomes of the treatment [84]. In this study, we attempted to elucidate the influence of computer-mediated cooperation on motor performance during a citizen science activity, mediated by a low-cost haptic device.
We designed a series of experiments simulating an authentic telerehabilitation setting, where participants remotely cooperated in the analysis of environmental images using low-cost haptic devices. From survey instruments and direct measurements of motor activities, we sought to quantify the potential effect of cooperation in telerehabilitation. Our results indicate that 2 elements, interdependence and task assignment, can influence the effects of cooperation on engagement and motor performance, thereby offering a potential means for improving rehabilitation treatments. However, the way in which these 2 variables interact to influence the response of the subjects may challenge one’s intuition.

In partial contrast with our hypotheses, we did not find that cooperative division of labor, such that each user is assigned to a different task toward a shared goal [85], is always conducive to higher engagement. Although we did not register a difference in the level of engagement between control users and users who cooperated via IT, we found an expected reduction in enjoyment for users who cooperated via JT. In our experimental design, JT was implemented to promote interdependence between users, which we had initially identified as a key factor to mitigate diffusion of responsibility and coerce users to persist for a longer period of time [86,87]. However, it is likely that JT was accompanied by other confounding factors, contributing to a reduction in enjoyment.

It is tenable that we inadvertently introduced random termination in the trials where users faced uncertainty with regard to the timing of termination, as the other player could terminate the task at any time. This uncertainty about the horizon of the relationship with the other player was shown in previous research to impact the degree of cooperativeness of players negatively [88,89], where the more termination becomes likely, the less cooperation has been observed [90]. In game theory, random termination has been shown to discount the payoff of players’ actions [88,89] such that players would try to avoid losses and become less cooperative. Such weakening of cooperation also results in lower levels of enjoyment and satisfaction [91]. Similar dynamics likely emerged in the proposed citizen science–based telerehabilitation activity, thereby calling for future research to explore alternative strategies that could promote interdependence between users. For instance, we could attempt at a priori identifying a predetermined length for the trials, by matching patients undergoing a similar rehabilitation therapy.

Our findings also provided insight into the role of social interactions on motor performance. Measuring relevant kinematic variables is central to the notion of telerehabilitation, whereby supplying care providers with clinical information will enable them to track patients’ status and adjust their rehabilitation program remotely and efficiently [18]. Ideally, care providers could also infer abnormal, compensatory movement from the data and instruct patients to correct it [18]. Mean speed, peak speed, and path length traversed [19,92] have been used in robotic telerehabilitation of the upper limb as indicators of motion quality [14,21,22]. In addition to the evaluation of motor performance, the physical effort exerted by an individual can also be linked to their motivation to perform the exercise task. Investing greater effort to complete a challenging task often leads to self-determined behavior, resulting in a sense of competence [93] and an increase in intrinsic motivation [94].

Although we expected that users would improve their motor performance because of cooperation, we found a modest reduction in motor performance similar to the discussed reduction in enjoyment. More specifically, motor performance of users cooperating via JT was similar to control with regard for all the selected metrics, whereas IT resulted in higher values of the peak speed relative to control. It is possible that random termination could explain the observed difference, where a user would not invest the same effort when faced with the potential that his/her work could be vanished because of exogenous termination of the activity by the peer. Future research should seek to explore alternative modalities to favor cooperation, without challenging enjoyment and effort that are key to the success of rehabilitation. The notion of setting intervals for the exercises could be a viable approach, whereby it could mitigate the harmful effects of random termination, while leveraging the beneficial role of cooperation. In fact, analyzing time variations of motor performance of users who cooperated via IT, we discovered that the speed of the more persistent users in IT significantly reduced following their peer’s withdrawal. This confirms the intuition that social interaction should prompt individuals to exert more effort in their task, thereby calling for future studies to engineer social interactions toward improving recovery and patients’ self-perception of physical capacity [95,96].

In addition to the type of termination, we found that task assignment can modulate user engagement. We found that cooperating users in IT condition assigned with tagging were more engaged than their peers who were assigned with trashing. The difference in engagement may be attributed to the perceived nature of the task a user has been assigned to. In fact, engagement is positively associated with the identifiability of the share an individual contributes to the groupwork [87,97], that is, individuals whose contribution is more valued and recognized by group peers are more likely to be motivated to perform their task. Conversely, individuals whose contribution is less important and recognized by others in the group are less motivated to perform their task. Therefore, the dissimilarity between tagging and trashing tasks could lead to unequal levels of engagement, with tagging users being more engaged than trashing users. This observation calls for further studies in which targeted design interventions will be explored to investigate differences between cooperation and collaboration, where individuals are assigned to the same task. It is tenable that cooperating individuals should perform better when assigned with a common group task rather than interdependent tasks [84]. Working in a collaborative setting where they fulfill identical functions jointly in support of a shared goal [85] could bolster team cohesion and lead to even higher performance and satisfaction among users [98].

Evidence shows that group cohesiveness can be improved with increasingly overt sharing of information, leading to greater engagement in group tasks [99]. Recently, we showed that the mere presentation of social footprints, digital cues that suggest the presence of other Web users can be used to increase the
amount and duration of physical exercise during citizen science activities [64]. In a different study, using a virtual peer operating in open- and closed-loop paradigms, we demonstrated that bidirectional flow of social information can substantially increase user contribution to a citizen science project [75]. Seemingly, as more social presence is conveyed between Web users, an increasingly trusting climate is created, conducive to cooperation [100]. In future studies, one could explore how sharing of personal information such as age, location, and interests can impact trust and engagement in Web-based citizen science telerehabilitation [101].

Citizen science contributes to a sense of community in cooperative telerehabilitation through the introduction of scientific content. Unlike gaming-based motivational interventions, this study capitalizes on human intellect as an intrinsic motivator. Previously, we had shown that users prefer to perform an exercise associated with scientific content [19,92]. Beyond the intellectual stimulus, citizen science adds virtue and a sense of contribution to the activity, which is not found in the majority of serious games. Web-based social platforms where individuals share personal values often create communities with which contributors can identify [48]. In telerehabilitation in particular, patients can benefit from such an environment that could alleviate the isolation many of them experience [102,103].

**Limitations**

Although our work brings forward evidence in favor of the use of cooperative citizen science in rehabilitation, it comes with a number of limitations. First, the difference in engagement that we observed among conditions was moderate. It is possible that because citizen science is inherently engaging [92], additional motivational interventions such as social interactions offer only a weak enhancement to engagement, limited by a ceiling effect. To surpass such a ceiling effect, it would be beneficial to explore the role of cooperation in citizen science–based rehabilitation in a longitudinal study, where persistence is measured by the frequency patients choose to engage in exercise and productivity is measured as aggregated contribution. Second, we studied motor performance using the Novint Falcon, a haptic device which is no longer being produced. However, the fine-motor tasks imparted by the Novint Falcon can be achieved using other haptic devices. For instance, surgical delta robots such as the Force Dimension and Phantom offer movement with 6 degrees of freedom and can apply a comparable amount of force feedback [104-106].

Third, in this study, we recruited healthy subjects from New York University campuses. Our findings may be narrowly generalizable as the sample consists of healthy individuals from the Brooklyn area with access to high education. Although we drew our sample from different programs of the university, the volunteers may have distinctly different interests and motivations from the typical patient undergoing rehabilitation. For example, the participants in this study may have greater interest in science or in restoration of the Gowanus Canal than the average person. Offering a wider range of citizen science projects to choose from based on personal interests might further improve enjoyment, engagement, and motor performance. Future research with patients from diverse backgrounds in a clinical setting will elucidate effects of this work on clinical outcomes.

**Conclusions**

We offer evidence for the utility of cooperation in improving engagement in citizen science–based telerehabilitation. Citizen science can offer intellectual stimulus and a community for patients to engage with and relate to. It attends the needs of more patients, including those who are less interested in traditional gaming [27,37], thereby extending the benefits of adherence to home-based physical therapy to a larger population. The value of this study can be expanded to other domains that rely on user participation and engagement, including Web-based consumer platforms [107], social networks [108], crowdsourcing efforts [109], and general game design [91]. Ultimately, we anticipate our approach will be translated into low-cost technology for telerehabilitation and help patients reach their full potential recovery.
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Abstract

Background: It is of great importance for researchers to publish research results in high-quality journals. However, it is often challenging to choose the most suitable publication venue, given the exponential growth of journals and conferences. Although recommender systems have achieved success in promoting movies, music, and products, very few studies have explored recommendation of publication venues, especially for biomedical research. No recommender system exists that can specifically recommend journals in PubMed, the largest collection of biomedical literature.

Objective: We aimed to propose a publication recommender system, named Pubmender, to suggest suitable PubMed journals based on a paper’s abstract.

Methods: In Pubmender, pretrained word2vec was first used to construct the start-up feature space. Subsequently, a deep convolutional neural network was constructed to achieve a high-level representation of abstracts, and a fully connected softmax model was adopted to recommend the best journals.

Results: We collected 880,165 papers from 1130 journals in PubMed Central and extracted abstracts from these papers as an empirical dataset. We compared different recommendation models such as Cavnar-Trenkle on the Microsoft Academic Search (MAS) engine, a collaborative filtering–based recommender system for the digital library of the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) and CiteSeer. We found the accuracy of our system for the top 10 recommendations to be 87.0%, 22.9%, and 196.0% higher than that of MAS, ACM, and CiteSeer, respectively. In addition, we compared our system with Journal Finder and Journal Suggester, which are tools of Elsevier and Springer, respectively, that help authors find suitable journals in their series. The results revealed that the accuracy of our system was 329% higher than that of Journal Finder and 406% higher than that of Journal Suggester for the top 10 recommendations. Our web service is freely available at https://www.keaml.cn:8081/.

Conclusions: Our deep learning–based recommender system can suggest an appropriate journal list to help biomedical scientists and clinicians choose suitable venues for their papers.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e12957) doi:10.2196/12957
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recommender system; deep learning; convolutional neural network; biomedical literature; PubMed

Introduction

Background
With the fast-growing research activities, more biomedical papers are being published in thousands of journals worldwide. For example, PubMed Central (PMC) has 5.2 million papers and 7409 journals covering biomedical and life sciences [1]. Although these publications play a major role in disseminating research outcome, the growth of journal publications imposes a challenge for selections of appropriate publication venues. It is vital that authors submit to the right journal that meets the journal scope and provides sound reviews. It is equally important that they reach their intended audience and obtain a large number of citations [2]. However, researchers are unfamiliar with all the journals related to their work for choosing the most suitable one for submitting a paper. Moreover, different publication scopes of journals and research interests of reviewers and editors may affect the decision of a submitted manuscript. If the submitted paper cannot meet the interests of a publication venue and its editors and reviewers, it may lead to rejection, delay, or less readership. An appropriate recommender system can help solve this problem.

Recommender systems have been proven to serve as an effective method for decision making in many areas such as music, movies, and information media choices [3-6]. The well-known techniques of recommender systems are content-based recommendation [7,8], collaborative filtering recommendation [4,9], and hybrid recommendation [6,10]. Content-based recommender systems recommend an item to a user based on a description of the item. Collaborative filtering methods and hybrid methods may outperform the content-based recommendations by applying user data, if available. However, after the user privacy issue of Facebook in 2018 and the introduction of European Union General Data Protection Regulation, user data are no longer easy to obtain. Moreover, in many domains, especially in material recommendation, there are no user data available for collaborative filtering methods at the beginning [11], which is regarded as a cold-start problem. Content-based recommendations do not need any user information and are more suitable for solving these problems [12].

Based on the content-based recommendation strategy, several attempts have been made to create recommender systems for medical applications and scientific literature. Using geotagged mobile search logs, Agarwal et al [13] adopted a Random Forest model to predict medical visits. Using topic, writing style, author information, citation information, abstract, and title as information items, latent Dirichlet allocation [14] and k-nearest neighbor [15] were used to classify the scientific literature for recommendation [2,12,16,17]. Luong et al [18] used the coauthors’ network as advanced information to recommend a publication venue. Beel et al [19] conducted a literature survey on recommender systems, exploring their methods, evaluation measurements, and datasets. For most of these recommender systems, the high-dimensional and sparse matrix computation is a critical problem [20].

Because of the mismatches caused by ambiguity in text comparisons, the content-based recommendation approach may cause a high error rate [21]. Recently, due to the ability of discovering intricate structures and deep semantics in high-dimensional data, deep learning methods have succeeded in many areas and recently been proposed to build recommender systems for both collaborative filtering and content-based approaches. Hinton et al [22] proposed restricted Boltzmann machines for modeling tabular or count data as a collaborative filtering model on the Netflix data set. McAuley et al [23] proposed an image-based recommendation, which adopted a deep learning model to extract image features. Van den Oord et al [24] applied a deep convolutional neural network (CNN) to predict latent factors from music audios for music recommendation. Wang et al [11] proposed a collaborative deep learning model to jointly perform deep representation learning for the content information and collaborative filtering of a rating matrix. However, to the best of our knowledge, these deep learning techniques have not been used in any biomedical literature recommender system.

Most current venue recommendation studies focus on computer science and technology, but not on the biomedical field. Biomedical sciences are highly interdisciplinary and often link to engineering, medicine, biology, physics, psychology, etc, thereby serving more journals and more diverse topics than any other field. Hence, the development of a recommender system is more essential and challenging for the biomedical sciences than any other discipline. Furthermore, previous recommender systems were based on shallow machine learning methods and social networks. They were generally keyword-based methods and did not take semantics into account. In addition, the few existing systems only focus on journals under a certain organization, such as Elsevier, IEEE, and Springer, instead of PubMed.

Aim
In contrast to our previous study on computer science publication recommendations using conventional machine learning approaches [12], we proposed a deep learning–based recommender system for biomedical publication venues, named Pubmender. Due to the copious vocabulary of biomedical literature, the traditional vector space model can lead to high-dimensional and sparse problems. To address this issue, dimensionality reduction methods are needed before learning the pattern. Moreover, initializing text matrix by pretrained word embedding is more beneficial for training neural networks than random initialized embedding [25]. Accordingly, we applied a word2vec model for our study instead of using the conventional vector space model employed in our previous publication venue recommender system. In addition, deep learning models are able to learn multiple-level abstract representations of data with syntactic and semantic information, since more abstract concepts can be constructed with multiple
processing layers [26]. We applied the deep learning approach to provide recommendations of journals for biomedical researchers. Unlike shallow learning, the state-of-the-art embedding method and deep CNN in Pubmender were trained from 837,882 papers in 1130 biomedical journals. This method can help researchers find a variety of choices, without being limited to their own knowledge of journals.

**Methods**

**Pubmender System**

Figure 1 shows the architecture and workflow of our Pubmender system. It consists of user interface, data preprocessing, abstract representation, classification, and ranking phase.

The user interface obtains the input data (an abstract submitted by a user) and presents the recommendation results to the user. The data acquirement is followed by data preprocessing and information extraction. At the start of our deep learning model, the abstract representation phase converts an abstract to a vector. The original abstract vector is a concatenation of pretrained word vectors. Subsequently, deep CNN is applied to train the model to achieve high-level abstract representation. A three-layer fully connected network with a softmax operation is applied to classify papers based on the obtained abstract vectors. The recommendation list of the top N journals obtained from the ranking phase is presented to the user.

**Data Preprocessing Methods**

The data were downloaded from the File Transfer Protocol service of PubMed Central (PMC) [27], containing 1,534,649 papers. Based on the journal list of PMC, we selected normal journals deposited under full participation or the US National Institutes of Health portfolio mode, excluding records labeled “Predecessor,” “No New Content,” and “Now Select.” Papers from Jan 2007 to Apr 2017 were selected. Papers with no abstracts or with fewer than 200 characters in abstracts were deleted. Journals containing fewer than 100 papers were also removed. Finally, 880,165 papers in the XML format from 1130 journals were used in our study.

Each PMC file is a semistructured XML document and contains various tags, such as <title>, <abstract>, and <issn>. We extracted the content in <abstract>, <ISSN>, and <pub-date> fields from the raw XML files. Then, pissn and eissn in the ISSN field were replaced by “LocatorPlus ID,” which is the unique identification for a journal in the US National Library of Medicine catalog. After extraction, each abstract was stored in a corresponding file. Natural Language Toolkit was adopted to operate word segmentation [28].

**Abstract Representation**

In Pubmender, the recommendation task is formulated into a multilabel classification problem, where the text representation and classification methods are critical. For abstracts, we originally embedded abstracts with pretrained word vectors. Thereafter, the original embeddings were fed into CNN to achieve more abstract representation as explained below.

**Figure 1.** Architecture of our Pubmender system. CNN: convolutional neural network; ISSN: International Standard Serial Number.
Let \( \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^k \) be the \( k \)-dimensional word vector corresponding to the \( i \)-th word in the abstract \( A \). An original representation of \( A \) is represented as a matrix \( \mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_m]^T \), which is the concatenation of the words’ vectors. Due to the different sizes of abstracts, we set \( m \) as the maximum count of words in an abstract. A padding operation with zeros was adopted for input with fewer than \( m \) words in an abstract and a tail truncation operation for more than \( m \) words. The vectors of words adopt pretrained vectors using word embedding and are induced from the PubMed abstracts and PubMed Central full text. The word2vec tool [29] was adopted for word embedding using the skip-gram model with a window size of \( h \), hierarchical softmax training, and a frequent word subsampling threshold \( \delta \) to create \( k \)-dimensional vectors. Word vectors are initialized by zeros if they are not in the pretrained vocabulary. Finally, the representation of an abstract is matrix \( \mathbf{V} \) with a dimensionality of \( m \times k \). It was used as the input to feed to the next step. To achieve more abstract and semantic features, we adopted CNN to extract semantic information.

Figure 2 shows the structure of our deep CNN model. There are three convolutional and max-pooling layers in CNN, one fully connected layer, one hidden layer, and one softmax layer for classification. For an abstract, \( A(w_1,w_2, ..., w_m) \) with \( w_i \) represents the \( i \)-th word and \( \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^k \) is the \( k \)-dimensional word vector corresponding to word \( w_i \). The abstract is represented as \( \mathbf{v}_{1:m} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \; \mathbf{v}_2 \; ... \; \mathbf{v}_m] \) (1), where \( \mathbf{v}_{i:i+h-1} \) refers to the vector of concatenation of the words \( w_i, w_{i+1}, ..., w_{i+j} \). The first convolutional layer performs as a one-dimensional convolution operation on sliding windows of \( h_1 \) words to produce a phrase feature. For example, a feature \( c_{ji} \) is generated from a window of words \( \mathbf{v}_{i:i+h-1} \) by \( c_{ji} = g(f_1(\mathbf{v}_{i:i+h-1} + \mathbf{b}_1)) \) (2). Here, \( \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) is a bias term and \( g \) is a nonlinear function such as rectified linear unit (ReLU). \( f \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times h_1} \) is the \( j \)-th convolutional kernel, whose shape is \( k \times h_1 \), where \( k \) is the dimension of word vectors and \( h_1 \) is the window size. This kernel is applied to each possible window of words in the abstract \( \{\mathbf{v}_{1:h_1}, \mathbf{v}_{2:h_1+1}, ..., \mathbf{v}_{m-h_1+1:m}\} \) to produce a feature map \( \mathbf{C}_j = [c_{j1}, c_{j2}, ..., c_{j,m-h_1+1}] \) (3) with \( \mathbf{C}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times h_1+1} \).

If there are \( r_1 \) convolutional kernels, then \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) is the result of the first convolution operation on \( \mathbf{V} \). The pooling operation is then carried out on \( \mathbf{C}_1 \). Its function is to progressively reduce the spatial size of the representation to extract the key features and reduce the number of dimensions in the network. The pooling layer operates independently on every depth slice of the input and resizes it spatially, using the max-pooling operation [30] in every two-unit window for each \( \mathbf{C}_j \), described below, is the result of the max-pooling operation: \( \mathbf{C}_j = \mathbf{P}^{(i)}(\mathbf{C}_j) \) (4), where \( j \) is the \( j \)-th filter of the convolutional operation (5).

The second and third convolutional and pooling layers work the same as Equations (2) and (5). Following the three convolutional and pooling operations is the fully connected layer. Here, the input is represented with a more abstract feature \( \mathbf{C}_j \), where \( r_3 \) is the number of third-layer convolutional filters. The three convolutional and pooling operations indicate a phrase-level feature, a sentence-level feature, and an abstract-level feature. The algorithm of abstract embedding is listed in Textbox 1.
Textbox 1. Abstract embedding algorithm.

**Input:**
- Embedding the abstract $A$ to matrix $V=\{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}^T$
- $r_t$ is the number of convolutional filters of layer $t$, where $t=1, 2, 3$
- $h_t$ is the convolutional window size of layer $t$, where $t=1, 2, 3$

**Output:**

**Procedure:**
1. 
2. for $t=1, 2, 3$
3. for $j=1, 2,\ldots r_t$
4. for $i=1, 2,\ldots m-h_t+1$
5. 
6. End for
7. for $i=1, 2,\ldots (m-h_t+1)/2$
8. 
9. End for
10. 
11. End for
12. 
13. End for

**Softmax Classification**

A fully connected softmax layer is the last layer of Pubmender. Given the training sample $A$, where $T$ is the number of possible labels, $z$ is the class score for the sample, and the estimated probabilities $S_j\in [0,1)$ for each label $j\in \{1, 2,\ldots T\}$ the softmax formula is:

We trained the entire model by minimizing the cross-entropy error defined as $-\sum Y \log S_j$, where $Y$ is the true classification output. This is a one-hot encoding of size $T$, where all elements except one are 0, and one element is 1. This element marks the correct class for the data classified. We employed the optimizer Adam to learn the model parameters, which is a variant of stochastic gradient descent [31].

**Results**

**Datasets**

After data preprocessing, there were 880,165 preprocessed papers from PMC in 1130 open-access journals from Jan 2007 to Apr 2017. The “LocatorPlus ID” assigned to each journal by PMC is regarded as the classification label of a paper. We generated four data sets based on these papers. The first data set included all papers from 2007 to 2016, which was used to choose the feature representation method and train the prediction models. Papers in 2017 formed the second data set, which was used as the test set to verify Pubmender’s performance. The last two datasets chose papers from publications in Elsevier and Springer from 2017, which were used to compare our Pubmender with Journal Finder and Suggester. The statistics of the first dataset are described in Table 1.
Table 1. Details of the first dataset (Jan 2007 to Dec 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Number of journals&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Number of papers&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100≤x&lt;400</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>157,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400&lt;x≤2000</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>259,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000&lt;x≤10,000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>195,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>225,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>837,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum class size</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>153,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum class size</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average class size</td>
<td>N/A&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>This represents the total number of journals in this range.
<sup>b</sup>This represents the total number of papers published in all journals in this range.
<sup>c</sup>x represents the number of papers published in one journal.
<sup>d</sup>N/A: not applicable.

**Parameters and Measurements**

For CNN, three convolutional and three pooling operations were adopted. The pretrained word vectors generated by word2vec, available from Evex [29], were used. The window size $h$ was 5 and threshold $\theta$ was 0.001. The dimension of a pretrained vector was 200, that is, $k=200$. The length of abstract had a fixed size $m$, which is the maximum number of the words that most abstracts contain. Table 2 shows the word statistic details of the papers. With the statistics, only 43,328 of 837,882 papers (5%) contained abstracts with more than 350 words. Therefore, we chose $m=350$. A zero-padding operation was applied for abstracts with fewer than 350 words, together with a tail-truncation operation for abstracts containing more than 350 words.

The convolutional operation parameters are listed in Table 3. The activation function adopted the ReLU function. In the pooling layer, the size of max-pooling filters was two, applied with a stride of two down samples. The parameters of the following layers (pooling layers) had the same parameter settings. Normalization and dropout strategies were used in the fully connected layer. Rate of dropout was 0.2 and $L_2$ normalization was adopted.

**Evaluation of Recommendation Results**

**Toy Experiment**

We designed a toy experiment to validate the deep learning method. In the first dataset, 421,168 papers were chosen from 60 journals with more than 2000 papers. The training set and test set contained 37,951 (90%) and 4,217 (10%) papers, respectively. We selected bi-directional long short-term memory (Bi-LSTM) and fastText [32] as comparison models for Pumnender. Bi-LSTM represents the recurrent neural network model with the max-pooling operation from a previous study [33]. Pretrained word vectors, generated by word2vec from a previous study [29], were used as the input original word vectors for fastText and Pumnender.

To evaluate the performance of our system, top-$N$ accuracy was adopted as a measurement, which is defined as the probability that the expected label is in the top $N$ predicted classes. For top-$N$, if the journal containing the abstract is among the top $N$ ranked journals, the classification is correct. The symbol $\text{acc}@N$ represents the accuracy of top-$N$, $N=1$, $N=3$, and $N=5$. The comparison of accuracy is listed in Table 4, which shows that both deep learning approaches outperformed fastText in all the three measurements. The accuracy of Bi-LSTM is nearly the same as that of Pumnender. However, the running time of Pumnender was 2660 abstracts per second, which is 78% faster than Bi-LSTM (1495 abstracts/second), and Bi-LSTM needs more memory.
Table 2. Word statistics of abstracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Number of abstracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 ≤ x &lt; 50</td>
<td>25,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ≤ x &lt; 100</td>
<td>76,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ≤ x &lt; 150</td>
<td>139,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 ≤ x &lt; 200</td>
<td>227,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 ≤ x &lt; 250</td>
<td>191,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 ≤ x &lt; 300</td>
<td>87,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 ≤ x &lt; 350</td>
<td>46,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x &gt; 350</td>
<td>43,328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x denotes the number of words in the abstract.

Table 3. Hyperparameters of convolutional operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convolutional layer</th>
<th>Convolution kernel count</th>
<th>Window size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Accuracy of Bi-LSTM, fastText, and Pubmender. Italicized values indicate the best results. acc@N represents the accuracy for top-N selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>acc@1</th>
<th>acc@3</th>
<th>acc@5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fastText</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-LSTM (max-pooling)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubmender</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bi-LSTM: bi-directional long short-term memory.

First Dataset Result

For the first dataset, there were 837,882 papers in 1130 journals from 2007 to 2016. The training, validation, and test sets contained 670,306 (80%), 83,788 (10%), and 83,788 (10%) randomly selected papers, respectively. The results of and comparisons with previous work are provided in Table 5.

The other two systems were from three widely used digital libraries: Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) [16], CiteSeer [16], and Microsoft Academic Search (MAS) [2]. The results from Table 5 show that Pubmender achieved the best performance. The proposed system can achieve 0.50 on acc@1 and 0.86 on acc@10. Our system improved performance by 225% over CiteSeer and MAS in terms of acc@5, and by 196% over CiteSeer in terms of acc@10. The system described by Yang and Davidson [16] used topic and writing-style information, and the system described by Medvet et al [2] used the abstracts and titles. However, our Pubmender obtained the best accuracy by using abstracts only.

To present the ability of handling imbalanced data of Pubmender, we divided the test set into four classes (tiny, small, medium, and large) according to the paper counts of different journals. From Table 6, for the tiny set, Pubmender achieved 0.27 accuracy on the acc@1 and 0.54 on acc@5, which are greater than the accuracy on acc@5 and acc@10 (in Table 5) from MAS and CiteSeer, respectively. The accuracy of the top-10 (acc@10) of a large set reached 0.98. In the paper by Medvet et al [2], 58,466 papers were partitioned almost uniformly into 300 conferences from the MAS. In CiteSeer [16], 35,020 selected papers were published across 739 venues, each of which had at least 20 papers. The average number of papers for each venue was 47. Therefore, the CiteSeer dataset is almost balanced. In contrast, the imbalance of our data, as shown in Table 1, was very critical. The sizes of classes in our dataset ranged from 100 to 153,608 papers; for example, the number of papers in “PLOS One” was 153,608, which is 270 times the average number of papers in all journals. Compared with balanced data, the classification of critically imbalanced data was a complex problem to tackle. For this problem, our model achieved satisfactory results.
Table 5. Accuracy of the classification by Pubmender and other systems. Italicized values indicate the best results. acc@N represents the accuracy for top-N selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Paper count</th>
<th>Journal count</th>
<th>acc@1</th>
<th>acc@3</th>
<th>acc@5</th>
<th>acc@10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pubmender</td>
<td>83,788</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS\textsuperscript{a} [2]</td>
<td>58,466</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACM\textsuperscript{c} [16]</td>
<td>172,890</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CiteSeer [16]</td>
<td>35,020</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}MAS: Microsoft Academic Search.
\textsuperscript{b}Experimental evaluation is not available.
\textsuperscript{c}ACM: Association for Computing Machinery.

Moreover, excluding accuracy, we choose precision, recall, and the F1-score as measurements. For an individual class $C_i$, the assessment is defined by $tp_i$ (true positives), $fp_i$ (false positives), $fn_i$ (false negatives), and $tn_i$ (true negatives). Accuracy, precision, and recall are calculated from the counts for $C_i$. Quality of the overall classification is evaluated in two ways: macro-averaging and micro-averaging. The macro-average is the average of the same measures calculated for all classes. With the sum of counts to obtain cumulative $tp$, $fp$, $tn$, and $fn$, micro-average metrics are calculated \cite{34}. The following equations show how the desired results are individually achieved:

$$
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{tp}{tp + fp + tn + fn}
$$

$$
\text{Precision} = \frac{tp}{tp + fp}
$$

$$
\text{Recall} = \frac{tp}{tp + fn}
$$

$$
\text{F1-score} = \frac{2 \cdot \text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
$$

We listed macro-average and micro-average metrics in Table 7. Macro-averaging treats all classes equally, while micro-averaging favors bigger classes. From Table 7, it can be seen that when the number of recommended journals increases, the probability of capturing the real journal also increases. Therefore, the recall is increased step by step from top-1 to top-10. With the growth in the number of recommended journals, the number of falsely selected journals is also growing, which results in a decrease in precision. The F1-score favors a balanced view.

Table 6. Pubmender accuracy at top N(@N) of imbalance class data. acc@N represents the accuracy for top-N selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper count range</th>
<th>acc@1</th>
<th>acc@3</th>
<th>acc@5</th>
<th>acc@10</th>
<th>Paper count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiny</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>16,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>26,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>19,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>22,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>84,763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison with Journal Finder and Journal Suggester

Journal Finder is provided by Elsevier for recommending Elsevier journals \cite{35}. There are 45 Elsevier journals in our dataset. Five of them with more papers were selected. The paper counts were 582 for Medicine, 193 for Data in Brief, 124 for NeuroImage: Clinical, 117 for Redox Biology, and 87 for Preventive Medicine Reports.

Elsevier’s Journal Finder requires input of the title and abstract of submitted paper, and fields of research. The titles and abstracts were extracted from XML files and then fed into Journal Finder. We chose fields of research in “Engineering,” “GeoSciences,” “Life and Health Science,” and “Chemistry.” The results are listed in Table 8 and show that our system is much better than Journal Finder.

New Data Verification

To show the performance on new data, 42,283 papers from January 2017 to April 2017 were extracted to make further predictions. This comprised 1321 journals, some of which did not appear in the first dataset. These unseen journals increased the difficulty of prediction. The accuracy of Pubmender on the top-1, 3, 5, and 10 was 0.39, 0.61, 0.68, and 0.76, respectively. The accuracies on acc@5 and acc@10 were 183% and 162% higher than those of CiteSeer, respectively. From these results, we conclude that our proposed recommender system achieves a satisfactory result, even for new data that may not belong to the same data distribution.

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e12957/
Table 7. Macro-average and Micro-average metrics for recommendation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Macro-average</th>
<th>Micro-average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>Recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-10</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Comparison between Pubmender and Journal Finder. Italicized values indicate the best results. acc@N represents the accuracy for top-N selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>acc@1</th>
<th>acc@3</th>
<th>acc@5</th>
<th>acc@10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pubmender</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Finder</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement (%)</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Comparison between Pubmender and Journal Suggester. Italicized values indicate the best results. acc@N represents the accuracy for top-N selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>acc@1</th>
<th>acc@3</th>
<th>acc@5</th>
<th>acc@10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pubmender</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Suggester</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement (%)</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal Suggester [36], recommends journals published by Springer. Journal Suggester also requires input of the title and abstract, and field of research. We chose “Biomedicine” as the field of research. There are 14 journals from Springer in our dataset, and seven of them were chosen for comparison based on a significant number of papers in each journal — Cell Death & Disease, Malaria Journal, Nanoscale Research Letters, Nature Communications, Parasites & Vectors, Scientific Reports, and Trials. Each journal chose the top 100 papers according to the size of the XML files. The results are listed in Table 9. Again, our system was much better than Journal Suggester.

Discussion

In this study, Pubmender was proposed to recommend a biomedical publishing venue to user. CNN was used to obtain the abstract representation. Our results show the performance of the system.

Principal Results

For biomedical publications, our Pubmender system is the first recommender system with word embedding and deep learning models. It achieves 87.0%, 22.9%, and 196.0% higher accuracy than recommender systems on MAS, ACM, and CiteSeer, respectively. In addition, the experiment results also revealed that the accuracy of our system was superior to that of Journal Finder and Journal Suggester. Our web service is freely available online [37].

Comparison with Prior Work

Because no paper has been published about biomedical venue recommendations, we cannot perform any exact comparison with previous work. However, some publishers provide tools to help authors choose suitable journals. We chose two tools provided by Elsevier and Springer for comparison. The first one is Journal Finder provided by Elsevier for recommending journals of Elsevier. Pubmender achieved a much higher accuracy than Journal Finder on four metrics. For example, on acc@1, the accuracy of our system reached 0.62 and Journal Finder was given an accuracy rating of 0.05, with 1140% improvement; on acc@10, the accuracy of our system reached 0.84, which is 546% higher than that of Journal Finder. Pubmender also significantly outperformed another tool, Journal Suggester.

Conclusions

In this study, we proposed a biomedical publishing venue recommender system—Pubmender. In this system, an abstract is first represented by a vector using the composition of pretrained word vectors. Subsequently, a deep CNN architecture is designed to represent and classify the submitted abstract. The original vectors are converted into more abstract feature vectors containing semantic information using deep CNNs, which overcome the sparse high-dimensional problem. The experimental results showed that our proposed system achieves more successful performance than that of MAS, ACM, CiteSeer, Journal Finder, and Journal Suggester. Even for journals containing a small number of abstracts, the performance of Pubmender was satisfactory, because Pubmender’s high-level...
representation method catches more semantic and structural information from the abstract.
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Abstract

Background: A blockchain is a list of records that uses cryptography to make stored data immutable; their use has recently been proposed for electronic medical record (EMR) systems. This paper details a systematic review of trade-offs in blockchain technologies that are relevant to EMRs. Trade-offs are defined as “a compromise between two desirable but incompatible features.”

Objective: This review’s primary research question was: “What are the trade-offs involved in different blockchain designs that are relevant to the creation of blockchain-based electronic medical records systems?”

Methods: Seven databases were systematically searched for relevant articles using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Papers published from January 1, 2017 to June 15, 2018 were selected. Quality assessments of papers were performed using the Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies—of Interventions (ROBINS-I) tool and the Critical Assessment Skills Programme (CASP) tool. Database searches identified 2885 articles, of which 15 were ultimately included for analysis.

Results: A total of 17 trade-offs were identified impacting the design, development, and implementation of blockchain systems; these trade-offs are organized into themes, including business, application, data, and technology architecture.

Conclusions: The key findings concluded the following: (1) multiple trade-offs can be managed adaptively to improve EMR utility; (2) multiple trade-offs involve improving the security of blockchain systems at the cost of other features, meaning EMR efficacy highly depends on data protection standards; and (3) multiple trade-offs result in improved blockchain scalability. Consideration of these trade-offs will be important to the specific environment in which electronic medical records are being developed. This review also uses its findings to suggest useful design choices for a hypothetical National Health Service blockchain.
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**Introduction**

**Background**

Blockchains contain *blocks* of data ordered chronologically. Each block is linked to previous blocks via cryptography. If previous blocks are edited, these cryptographic linkers will no longer match, making blockchains resistant to tampering. Blockchains originated in 1991 [1], but the first major adoption was 2008’s Bitcoin [2]. For Bitcoin’s creator, the use case for blockchains is to create data ledgers that can be trusted without centralized systems: updates to its blockchain have to be agreed on by its users through consensus processes. Bitcoin’s use of blockchain works as described in the following sections.

**Block Creation**

Transactions between individuals are recorded. These data are *encrypted* via hash functions [3], which convert data into alphanumeric strings known as hashes (see Figure 1). The data inputted cannot be decrypted from these hashes. Each transaction is hashed and a summary hash, known as a *Merkle root*, is generated for each block of data [4] (see Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** A: hash functions convert data into fixed-length strings (hashes). B: similar but unidentical data have very different hashes. C: identical data have identical hashes, allowing for verification. D: all lengths of data produce fixed-length hashes.

**Figure 2.** The hash of the previous block, the Merkle root, and the Nonce are combined into a single hash. This is included in the next block header, linking the two blocks.

**Adding a New Block to the Blockchain**

To add new blocks, a majority of users must want the same new block (ie, consensus). However, malicious users could create multiple accounts to gain control. To avoid this, Bitcoin uses proof of work (PoW); this requires the users’ processing power for consensus, which will not increase for a user owning multiple accounts. PoW works when the network randomly generates a *target hash*. To add a block to the chain, users must find a number that, when added to the Merkle root and hashed, equals the target hash. This is known as *mining*.

Mining is computationally difficult but finding the right number (ie, a nonce) is rewarded with bitcoins. If miners use their combined computational power to mine the same block and share the rewards after finding the nonce, they are more likely to make money than if mining alone. This encourages consensus. A new block is formed on the chain when a correct nonce is found. The hashes of the previous block are combined into the Merkle root of the new block, cryptographically linking the two blocks (see Figure 1).

**Blockchain Security**

Were someone to try to maliciously edit a transaction, they would have to mine a new nonce. This is because a different transaction value needs a different nonce to get the target hash. Furthermore, edits would need to be made to subsequent blocks to ensure chain coherence. For malicious users to find nonces faster than the rate at which nonces are found on the *true* chain
is not feasible without obtaining majority control of a network: this is known as a 51% attack [5].

**The Use of Blockchain as an Electronic Medical Record**

As described in the 2019 Topol Review [6] and the 2019 National Health Service (NHS) Long-Term Plan [7], there is a drive to adopt electronic medical records (EMRs) throughout the NHS. The reports posit that such systems are needed for personalized long-term care and for obtaining the large-scale datasets necessary for predictive health modelling. Current strategic objectives are to operate multiple EMRs that are interoperable through standards laid out in the Local Health and Care Record Exemplars [8]. Blockchains have been proposed as an EMR platform and could be considered by the NHS as they may have some advantages over classical EMRs: distributed ledgers require no manual reconciliation of data between different providers [9]. This is a common issue with current EMRs, as patients can encounter many health care providers. Secondly, the ledger includes an audit trail of all changes. This helps to ensure EMR integrity and prevent data falsification [9]. Distributed systems would also allow interoperability to shift from a provider-driven model to a patient-driven model [10], in which patients are empowered to add to and exchange their own health data. An example of a blockchain EMR is MedRec [11], which is built on the Ethereum blockchain. Ethereum supports smart contracts, which are codes that self-execute when certain criteria are met [12]. MedRec only stores metadata on the blockchain and uses smart contracts to let certified users access full EMR data stored off-chain. This improves scalability, but a trade-off is that EMR data may be harder to audit.

**Trade-Offs in Blockchain Design**

While the principle of cryptographically linked blocks underpins a blockchain, many design choices can be made [13]. However, these choices may result in trade-offs [14]: trade-offs are defined as “a compromise between two desirable but incompatible features.” An example of where a trade-off has been made in the design of a blockchain-based EMR is in the creation of version 2.0 of MedRec, where the amount of information stored on the MedRec blockchain was reduced to improve scalability. Understanding the potential trade-offs in blockchain design is important, as it better informs the development of blockchain-based EMRs. Historic failings in the adoption of UK EMRs [15] indicate that new EMR technology should be investigated thoroughly before adoption. Therefore, how design trade-offs affect the suitability of a blockchain for EMR use should be explored. This review aims to improve the understanding of NHS clinicians and policy makers interested in adopting a blockchain EMR and to inform blockchain developers of design trade-offs relevant to EMRs.

**Methods**

**Formulation of Research Question**

This review’s research question was derived from Meinert et al’s review protocol [16]. In comparison to Meinert et al’s protocol, this review’s research question has three modifications: (1) to prevent the scope of the review from becoming too broad, the research question only considers blockchain design and does not consider blockchain implementation strategies and frameworks; (2) the review’s research question specifically focuses on trade-offs in blockchain design, as a research deficit in this area has been identified [14]; and (3) the review’s research question does not limit research domains to only privacy, efficiency, interoperability, and scalability.

**Research Question**

What are the trade-offs involved in different blockchain designs that are relevant to the creation of blockchain-based electronic medical records systems? This research question is based on the following definitions, which are sorted into Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome (PICO) criteria (see Table 1).

**Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria**

The selection process of this review is demonstrated using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram (see Figure 3). PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, and Scopus databases were searched. Papers published from January 1, 2017 to June 15, 2018 were selected. Search string creation (see Multimedia Appendix 1) was aided by the Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre Library. Inclusion criteria for database searches were based on PICO criteria (see Table 1). “Blockchain” (Population) was used in all search strings. This was combined with the term “trade-off” (Outcome) and variants thereof. “Blockchain” was then combined with “design” (Intervention) and variants thereof. “Blockchain” was also combined with “Electronic medical record” (Comparison) and variants thereof. Variants were found, in part, with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms. Screening criteria based on key phrases were used to remove papers (see Table 2). Abstracts of remaining papers were screened to determine suitability. The PICO criteria formed the paper inclusion criteria. If abstracts contained PICO criteria or did not contain sufficient information, articles were read in full. A total of 90 articles were read in full to determine suitability. Following peer review from Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Access, a search of Springer Link and IEEE databases was requested to find more nonmedical perspectives on blockchain design. Papers were searched that were published between January 1, 2017 and December 5, 2018. In this second search, 158 articles were read in full to determine suitability. Due to time limits, only papers written before the initial June 15, 2018 deadline were included in the qualitative synthesis. A total of 2885 papers were identified. After screening and duplicate removal, 248 articles remained, all of which were assessed for eligibility by analyzing their full text. A total of 233 articles were removed: the reasons for removal are outlined in Figure 3.
Table 1. PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome) criteria, terms, and definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PICO criterion</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Blockchain</td>
<td>A growing list of records, called blocks, which are linked using cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>A decision about object function with a specific purpose in mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Electronic medical record</td>
<td>A systematized digital collection and storage of patient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Trade-off</td>
<td>A compromise between two desirable but incompatible features</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram of the search strategy.
Table 2. Screening criteria used to remove papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion number</th>
<th>Screening criteria</th>
<th>Justification</th>
<th>Removed in pre-peer-review search</th>
<th>Removed in post-peer-review search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Papers not containing abstracts</td>
<td>The papers cannot be analyzed at the abstract-screening stage and were therefore excluded.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Papers from 2013-2016</td>
<td>As an emerging technology, ideas about blockchain’s possibilities and limitations are often changing. Older papers were not included, as they may contain information that does not reflect the current state of blockchain technologies. Papers before 2017 were chosen to be excluded, as 2017 was a year characterized by a significant change in blockchain valuation and regulation [26].</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Full conference proceedings</td>
<td>The relevant full papers in the conference proceedings should have been identified as individual papers in database searches and included in the 1808 papers.</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Duplicate titles</td>
<td>These articles contain repeated information.</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Does not contain “block” in abstract</td>
<td>Articles that do not mention “block” in their abstract were deemed unlikely to be focused discussions of blockchain technologies and were therefore not included.</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Does not contain “design” in abstract</td>
<td>As a PICOa term, a focus on blockchain design was essential to the papers. No alternative MeSHb terms for “design” were identified, so an absence of “design” in abstracts was used to filter out papers.</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Title contains “Bitcoin” and not “block”</td>
<td>Papers that mentioned “Bitcoin” in their title and not “blockchain” were deemed to be too focused on the cryptocurrency to provide useful information concerning principles relevant to blockchain design.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Title contains “IoT” or “Internet of things”</td>
<td>Many papers contained a reference to IoTc devices. The abstracts of these papers contained little-to-no relevant information about blockchains. This exclusion criterion was thus used to remove papers.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Title contains “finance”</td>
<td>It was a concern that papers relating to financial elements of blockchains would be too focused on the economic aspects of cryptocurrency. As the intent of this research is to examine the technical, not economical, aspects of blockchains, these papers were removed.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPICO: Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome.
bMeSH: Medical Subject Headings.
cIoT: internet of things.

Assessment of Methodological Quality

The Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies—of Interventions (ROBINS-I) framework was used to assess bias in the 15 remaining papers [17] (see Multimedia Appendix 2). For eight papers lacking experimental data, ROBINS-I was deemed unsuitable, and a qualitative medical data assessment tool developed by the Critical Assessment Skills Programme (CASP) was used instead [18] (see Multimedia Appendix 3). ROBINS-I identified a low risk of bias in six papers [19-24] and a serious risk of bias in one paper [25]: this paper was removed. Thus, trade-offs in 14 papers are discussed.

Data Synthesis

Only narrative data synthesis was appropriate due to study heterogeneity. To structure this, an enterprise architecture framework (ie, a set of principles used to guide enterprise design, planning, and implementation) was used. The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) [27] was chosen, as the framework is in use by software industry leaders [28]. TOGAF divides enterprise architecture into four domains: Technology (ie, the hardware underpinning programs), Data (ie, choices made in data formatting), Application (ie, software applications and procedures), and Business (ie, decisions about the business structure that relate to enterprise architecture development).

Results

Technology Architecture Trade-Offs

Current Implementation Versus Future Proofing

A critical technology architecture trade-off was current implementation versus future proofing [29]. The advent of quantum computing may compromise current blockchain cryptography. Quantum-resistant cryptography is being developed—lattice problems are being considered for this role...
[30]—but research is still ongoing. This is a trade-off for hospital systems to consider: to invest in blockchain systems designed for today’s computers or to wait until quantum-resistant cryptography is developed. Such a choice will depend on a health care provider’s ability to update its system. If the provider is large and decentralized, it may struggle to simultaneously switch over to a new blockchain; initially investing in a postquantum blockchain may be more sensible, even if it delays implementation.

**Stale Block Rate Versus Network Delay**

Another trade-off is stale block rate versus network delay [23]. At any time, a miner may successfully find a nonce and broadcast it across the network so that miners can begin working on the next block. Delays in the network result in miners wasting computational power by continuing to mine a block that has already been solved, which is known as a stale block. Simulations in a study by Chen et al [23] show that the stale block rate correlates with network delay. Reducing delay involves increasing network infrastructure provision. Thus, decisions about the network infrastructure provided for EMRs will impact blockchain performance. An alternative detailed below is to increase the block window, but this also has trade-offs.

**Data Architecture Trade-Offs**

**Block Window: Computation Versus Communication**

One data architecture trade-off is block window: computation versus communication [31]. Block window is a measure of how many blocks are created per minute. Smaller windows allow for faster transaction confirmation but more network bandwidth is required, as a larger number of blocks is broadcast through the network. While larger windows will reduce the stale block rate, this would increase transaction confirmation times, which is undesirable in EMR medical emergency scenarios.

**Data On-Chain Versus Off-Chain**

Another data architecture trade-off is data on-chain versus off-chain [32]. Smaller data packets on-chain result in smaller storage costs but less data are available on-chain to audit. With a blockchain EMR, storing all patient data on-chain is likely infeasible: the International Data Corporation estimates that medical data will total 2314 exabytes by 2020 [33]. Duplication of data at such scales would be costly for health care providers. Systems that store patient data on-chain also require very strict security. Storing only metadata (ie, access permissions and edit history) is likely to be more suitable. If patient data were to be placed on-chain, unprocessed files should be avoided; raw genomic data, for instance, can be in excess of 1 TB per genome [34].

**Block Size Versus Throughput**

Block size versus throughput [20] is another data architecture trade-off. A blockchain network’s maximum transaction throughput is calculated as maximum block size (ie, how many transactions can be stored in a block) divided by block window. Thus, a large block size is desired. If the maximum block size is too small, there is also a risk that many transactions will not be processed, as blocks will not have enough space to store all the transaction requests being made on the network. However, making the maximum block size too large will inadvertently reduce network throughput, as it will take longer to transmit blocks across a network for mining and validation. Both ends of this trade-off can delay access to EMRs in emergency situations. To maximize the throughput in a network, simulations should be run with different maximum block sizes, as per the study by Xin et al [20], to identify an optimum size. An adaptive block size may also be considered: at times of the day where there are high volumes of transactions, block size can be increased to prevent rejection of transaction requests. Outside of this period, block size can be reduced to increase network throughput. An adaptive system like this is relevant to medicine: not all types of professionals are active 24/7, which may result in times of the day where transaction requests become less frequent.

**Read and Write Performance Versus Scalability**

An additional data architecture trade-off is read and write performance versus scalability [19,22]. Blockchains have different options for holding edit data: data can be held in random access memory (RAM) or nonvolatile memory. RAM is generally faster to access than nonvolatile memory but has less space. Often on blockchains, recent edits are stored in RAM while remaining data are stored on a hard disk. Reducing the number of recent edits stored in RAM reduces read and write performance, delaying updates to the blockchain. However, it allows more data to be stored on nonvolatile memory, making systems more scalable. Given the likely growth in the size of health care data [33], ensuring scalability of blockchain EMRs should be a priority.

**Method of Information Storage: Scalability Versus Immutability**

One final data architecture trade-off is method of information storage: scalability versus immutability [35]. There are different ways to store data on blockchains. Three possibilities to do this for EMRs are as follows: (1) Mirroring: information is converted into hashes, and the hashes are stored on-chain; (2) Digital records: unhashed human-readable information is stored directly on-chain; and (3) Tokenization: information is treated as a token with value, and tokens are exchanged like currency. Mirroring EMRs (eg, those in use by the Estonian government [36]) are space efficient (ie, full EMRs are converted into single hash values) and can show when records stored off-chain have been tampered with, as hashes will no longer match. However, mirroring does not protect the original records through decentralized storage. Digital records guarantee immutability but are less space-efficient than mirroring. Tokenization also permits immutability, but it assumes that data is principally transactional in nature. This may not be true of many situations relevant to EMRs.

**Application Architecture Trade-Offs**

**Expressibility in Blockchain Language**

One application architecture trade-off is expressibility in blockchain language [19,22]. Bitcoin’s scripting language has low functionality, making it difficult to exploit. Ethereum instead uses a Turing-complete scripting language (ie, it can
compute anything that is computable given enough resources). While this increases versatility, flawed code can be submitted on Ethereum. An example was Ethereum’s Decentralized Autonomous Organization (DAO): designed to operate as an investment fund, an exploit was discovered that allowed hackers to steal US $50,000,000 from the DAO [37]. A blockchain EMR should consider using a low-functionality language to avoid security being compromised by faulty code. However, the language should ideally be expressive enough to permit new types of hospital data to be integrated and to allow for smart contracts to be established.

**Errors on the Blockchain: Filtering and Expiration**

Another application architecture trade-off includes errors on the blockchain: filtering and expiration [38]. Instead of limiting script functionality to stop bugs, automated auditing could be used to identify bugs. However, automated auditing cannot guarantee that bugs will not end up on the chain and could increase network latency. A fail-safe mechanism is to give scripts expiration dates (ie, they will stop working after a certain amount of time). However, this could create confusion in EMR systems, with access to medical data being lost at critical moments.

**Confirmation Blocks: Confidence Versus Speed**

An additional application architecture trade-off includes confirmation blocks: confidence versus speed [21]. Honest and malicious miners may at the same time be working on different blocks to add to the blockchain. The probability of malicious miners creating a long branch consisting of multiple blocks is typically low. For this reason, blockchains often include confirmation blocks as a safety feature: a fixed number of confirmed blocks must proceed with a block in the chain before its contained transactions are performed. This causes latency between when a block is mined and when a transaction is performed. If more confirmation blocks are required, it reduces the chance of malicious transactions being processed but increases transaction latency. In a medical emergency, this delay could be critical. This trade-off can be adaptively managed; certain requests could require fewer confirmation blocks, allowing for emergency access to essential information.

**Zero-Knowledge Proof: Security Versus Scalability**

Zero-knowledge proof: security versus scalability [39] is another application architecture trade-off. Zerocoin is a blockchain that uses zero-knowledge proof (ZKP). ZKP hides a transaction’s origin, destination, and content, but still allows transfers to be immutable. For EMRs, ZKP permits enhanced privacy; patients would be able to confirm EMR details without revealing that their EMR was queried on the blockchain. This potentially allows for sensitive exchanges to occur on public blockchains. Whileauditability is a concern for ZKP, Naganuma et al [39] describe a mechanism that permits designated auditors to audit information from ZKP transactions while preventing others from obtaining it. ZKP’s disadvantage is its computational intensity: ZKP requires multiple rounds of communication between the sender and the receiver, with the certainty of truth increasing with every round of communication. This increases network delay and reduces scalability.

**Blockchain Filtering: Safety Versus Flexibility**

Another application architecture trade-off is blockchain filtering: safety versus flexibility [24]. Misconduct occurs on blockchains: Bitcoin contains links to pornography on its immutable ledger [40] and INTERPOL notes that chain updates may be used to inject malware [41]. Avoiding misconduct is essential on a blockchain EMR. One solution is to set a size limit on content added to the chain, as malware and pornography typically require large data packets. However, this could severely limit EMR functionality. An alternative is a filter system, but this could be circumvented and false positives may also occur, preventing the submission of medical data. While using human auditors can ensure accuracy, it could create a human bottleneck and could be used to attack the blockchain. To prevent misconduct, a combination of measures is likely to be the best solution.

**Consensus: Byzantine Fault Tolerance Versus Non-Byzantine Fault Tolerance**

Consensus: Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) versus non-BFT [42] is another application architecture trade-off. A network of actors making a consensus decision is considered Byzantine Fault Tolerant if it can achieve consensus when some dishonest actors are present. Two of the properties that define BFT are Agreement (ie, all honest actors choose the same block) and Termination (ie, all honest actors eventually choose a block). The internet is an asynchronous network (ie, there is no guarantee that a message is delivered in a known time period). In asynchronous networks, BFT is mathematically proven to be impossible [43]: agreement and termination cannot be simultaneously guaranteed. Consensus protocols that require agreement between all honest actors may never terminate. In networks with only a few nodes, weak synchrony can be assumed and algorithms such as Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) [44] allow consensus in these systems. However, PBFT fails in large networks as it requires heavy internode communication. Typical blockchain consensus algorithms (eg, PoW and proof of stake) instead use randomization systems (eg, mining a random nonce) to probabilistically approximate BFT. Such systems guarantee that transactions are processed, but transactions submitted by dishonest actors can be validated. On a blockchain EMR, this could result in data being obtained by malicious actors.

**Consensus: Agreement Versus Termination**

An additional application architecture trade-off is consensus: agreement versus termination [42]. For systems using probabilistic consensus, a trade-off can be made between agreement and termination: applications can have a time-out period, after which they consider a transaction to be valid even if full blockchain agreement has not yet been reached. Extending the time-out period delays transaction validation but results in fewer invalid transactions being processed.

**Transparency Versus Privacy**

A final application architecture trade-off is transparency versus privacy [45]. Bhaskaran et al make the case that blockchains involve trade-offs between preserving privacy and promoting transparency. While privacy is paramount in EMRs, there are
situations where transparency should be considered. An example of this trade-off would be in verification: if a patient verifies their patient status on the blockchain with a provider, such verification could be shared with other health care providers to avoid a patient repeating the process. This transparency can improve efficiency, but information linking the patient to the original provider sacrifices privacy. While Bhaskaran et al propose a solution to this specific problem that makes use of smart contracts [45], there are more general considerations to be made about when transparency that benefits providers may create potential privacy issues for patients.

**Business Architecture Trade-Offs**

**Control Versus Points of Failure**

One business architecture trade-off is control versus points of failure [29]. Blockchain consensus can be determined by different actors. Options include the following: public (ie, consensus is determined by all network nodes), private (ie, a single organization manages blockchain updates), and consortium (ie, blockchain updates are determined by preselected validator nodes). In these systems, there is a trade-off between control and points of failure; in a private blockchain, it is easy to change settings, but a single point of failure means that if the organization is compromised, so is the blockchain. At the other extreme, a public blockchain has consensus verified by the entire network, but changing network properties requires all users to migrate to a new system. Consortium systems are an intermediate: these may be the most practical for EMRs, as there are multiple trusted authorities and businesses that could act as these preselected validator nodes (eg, hospital groups and health insurance companies).

**Fraction of Consortium: Time Versus Trust**

Another business architecture trade-off is fraction of consortium: time versus trust [29]. Alhadhrami et al suggest a hypothetical model where a fraction of validator nodes in a consortium blockchain must sign a transaction for it to be accepted. For an EMR consortium blockchain, choices should be made about the number of nodes that are needed to sign a transaction for it to be validated. More nodes verifying a transaction increases trustworthiness but results in longer verification times. In emergency medical situations, this delay could be critical. If the organizations involved in the consortium are seen to have high security, the fraction of consortium required can be kept low. Furthermore, the fraction of consortium required can be adaptively managed based on how time-critical the patient data are and the nature of the data being requested.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

At the time of writing, this was the first manuscript identified in literature searches that systematically reviews trade-offs in blockchain design that are relevant to EMRs. While evidence was chosen based on relevance to EMRs, the trade-offs discovered are relevant to blockchain design in other disciplines and industries. Trade-offs were demonstrated with mathematical proofs, real-world examples, and simulations of blockchain networks. Some trade-offs were not directly evidenced, but these trade-offs were logical and comparable to real-world examples. While the list of trade-offs discussed is likely to be nonexhaustive due to study limitations, the trade-offs discovered can improve understanding of blockchain systems for medical professionals, while also providing useful design information for organizations looking to develop blockchain EMRs.

**Limitations**

Limitations have been divided into three types, as discussed below.

**Unresolvable Issues**

Firstly, non-English studies were excluded from the review. Secondly, as very few papers discuss the same trade-offs, there is little interpaper support for findings. Thirdly, while most papers contained logical proofs, not all papers contained simulation data. Thus, not all mathematical relationships in trade-offs are known.

**Issues With Tools**

Firstly, a lack of accepted MeSH terms for “design” and “trade-off” meant that alternative keyword terms were chosen nonsystematically. This may have caused exclusion of relevant literature. This is particularly pertinent given that not having “design” in the abstract was used to remove 1076 papers from the literature search. Subsequent reviews should aim to filter through these 1076 papers to see if other pertinent terms can be used to filter papers more effectively. Secondly, while the TOGAF was practical for exploring blockchains, it was not designed for this task and was designed in a preblockchain era. A more suitable framework specifically designed for blockchain analysis may be in existence but was not found for this study. Finally, for most papers, ROBINS-I was an inappropriate tool, as no experimental data was present. Papers instead made claims using logical and mathematical proofs. Furthermore, ROBINS-I was a tool developed for assessing bias in trials involving biological organisms subject to variation. ROBINS-I test questions on topics such as time-varying confounding and participant adherence were not appropriate for assessing bias in computer simulations. Questions relating to deviations from intended interventions were entirely irrelevant, due to the instantaneous nature of simulations. The CASP qualitative tool was used in instances where ROBINS-I was completely unsuited, but the tool is significantly less comprehensive than ROBINS-I.

**Methodological Issues**

Firstly, the choice to research trade-offs in blockchain design rather than potential solutions to trade-offs may have resulted in some irrelevant trade-offs being discussed in the study. Secondly, four papers that may have contained relevant information were excluded due to the level of technicality being too complex. This may have led to relevant trade-offs being excluded. Thirdly, only papers written after December 2016 were included in order to remove papers with outdated information. However, this cutoff year was relatively arbitrary and may have eliminated potentially useful pre-2017 studies.

Fourthly, in paper filtering, exclusion criteria 7, 8, and 9 (see Table 2) were relatively arbitrary. They did not remove significant numbers of papers from the search and may have
removed useful papers that could have been added to the final review. This is particularly true of criterion 9: papers with financial information may have discussed trade-offs at the business level of enterprise architecture in blockchain design. Finally, due to time constraints, following peer review, only articles in the second database search published before the initial cutoff date (ie, June 15, 2018) were selected to be added to the qualitative synthesis. Identified articles published after the cutoff date should be addressed in a subsequent systematic review, along with an analysis of their potential biases.

Conclusions

There were three key findings. Firstly, multiple trade-offs can be adaptively managed. These trade-offs include block size, the number of confirmation blocks required for a transaction, and the fraction of consortium required to process transactions in consortium blockchains. On a blockchain, multiple rule sets for exchanging data can be used. This will allow for adaptive management and maximization of the utility of blockchain EMRs.

Secondly, multiple trade-offs involve improving blockchain systems at the cost of security. Given this, data protection standards will be an important factor in determining the effectiveness of a blockchain EMR system. Given these trade-offs, research should be conducted on the potential effects of current data protection laws on blockchain EMRs. For instance, it may be necessary for further legislative development to allow for the effective development of NHS blockchain EMRs.

Finally, scalability can be encountered at one end of a trade-off. Blockchain scalability can be improved by moving data off-chain, using hash mirroring instead of digital records, and not using ZKP, among other methods. More infrastructure investment would be required to improve scalability otherwise. Given this, it is important that providers wishing to implement blockchain EMR systems understand the current and future scale of their institution. This will allow for the appropriate scalability trade-offs to be made.

A Model National Health Service Blockchain

This report would make the following specific recommendations for a hypothetical NHS blockchain:

1. Blockchains should not interfere with the Local Health and Care Record programs. Instead, blockchains can be used to manage long character (LCHR) metadata and access permissions for off-chain LCHR EMRs.
2. Given that there are multiple trusted institutions that form part of the NHS structure, a consortium blockchain managed by multiple NHS institutions should be considered. Such a system could potentially make use of PBFT.
3. The blockchain should have an adaptive block size, potentially implemented by machine learning.
4. A blockchain should be built with a scripting language that is specific to NHS needs, one that is difficult to exploit and minimizes the risk of insertion of potentially hazardous data like malware onto the blockchain. Expiration dates on scripts should also be mandated to prevent exploits being permanent.
5. A small number of confirmation blocks should be required for read-only access to patient basic emergency medical data (eg, allergies). More confirmation blocks should be required for full access and edit permissions.

Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies should aim to quantify the mathematical relationships between all identified trade-offs in blockchain design. If this is done comprehensively, this will allow for the exact parameters of a blockchain to be purposely selected when designing a blockchain EMR for a specific provider. Identified trade-offs should also ideally be assessed with data from real-world blockchain EMRs. This will allow the impacts of the trade-offs discussed in this paper to be assessed. In addition, the impact of data protection legislation on blockchain systems should be investigated. The security requirements of health care providers may mean that large trade-offs must be made. The impacts of such trade-offs must be fully understood before a health care provider adopts a blockchain EMR. Given study limitations, further literature searches should be performed to find more examples of blockchain design trade-offs. Discovering more trade-offs that can be adaptively managed and discovering how the scalability of blockchains can be increased through trade-offs will help maximize the utility of blockchain-based EMRs.
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Abstract

Background: Safety-net systems serve patients with limited health literacy and limited English proficiency (LEP) who face communication barriers. However, little is known about how diverse safety-net patients feel about increasing clinician electronic health record (EHR) use.

Objective: The aim of this study was to better understand how safety-net patients, including those with LEP, view clinician EHR use.

Methods: We conducted focus groups in English, Spanish, and Cantonese (N=37) to elicit patient perspectives on how clinicians use EHRs during clinic visits. Using a grounded theory approach, we coded transcripts to identify key themes.

Results: Across multiple language groups, participants accepted multitasking and silent clinician EHR use if focused on their care. However, participants desired more screen share and eye contact, especially when demonstrating physical concerns. All participants, including LEP participants, wanted clinicians to include them in EHR use.

Conclusions: Linguistically diverse patients accept the value of EHR use during outpatient visits but desire more eye contact, verbal warnings before EHR use, and screen-sharing. Safety-net health systems should support clinicians in completing EHR-related tasks during the visit using patient-centered strategies for all patients.
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Introduction

Electronic health record (EHR) system use during outpatient visits affects patient-provider communication, clinician workload, and clinician well-being [1-4]. Enabled by federal incentives, American safety-net clinics, publicly funded facilities providing care for socioeconomically disadvantaged populations, have experienced tremendous growth in EHR implementation. EHRs affect the care experience of safety-net patients,
exacerbating communication barriers related to limited health literacy or limited English proficiency (LEP) [5]. However, delaying EHR use until after an office visit may increase stress in a workforce at risk for burnout or medical errors (from inaccurate charting or forgetting to place orders) [6-9].

Clinicians engage with EHRs by multitasking while talking with patients or using them silently, potentially diverting their attention from patients [10]. Little is known about how linguistically diverse safety-net patients feel about clinician EHR use during clinic visits. Prior work has suggested that LEP populations may have different perspectives, experiencing higher amounts of EHR use but perceiving greater benefits to that use [11]. This short study aims to further explore how safety-net patients, including those with LEP, feel about clinician EHR use.

Methods

Setting and Recruitment

We conducted focus groups with ethnically and linguistically diverse patients to elicit perspectives on clinician EHR use during outpatient visits. Through posted flyers and in-person recruitment at 7 primary and specialty care clinic waiting rooms (family medicine, adult primary care, obstetrics or gynecology, diabetes, anticoagulation, cardiology, and gastroenterology or hepatology), we identified eligible patients: (1) English, Cantonese, or Spanish-speaking, (2) adults, and (3) receiving primary or specialty care from an urban safety-net hospital. This safety-net system cares for a population that is 16% African-American, 23% Asian, and 37% Latinx and insured predominantly by Medicaid (58%) or Medicare (22%) [12]. A prior study found nearly 50% of patients have inadequate health literacy [13]. There are 24/7 interpretation services via phone interpreters with video or in-person interpreters available during business hours. This system utilizes an Office of National Coordinator (ONC) certified EHR [14]. We collected sociodemographic characteristics by telephone, including use of validated questions to assess English proficiency and health literacy [15,16]. We provided participants US $35 for participation.

Data Sources and Collection

The focus group guide (Multimedia Appendix 1) was created by the study team using an iterative process based on analysis of prior studies. We used consensus to ensure questions would be accurately translated before inclusion in the final focus group guide, which was translated into Chinese and Spanish by fluent, bilingual team members. It included questions on perceptions about overall communication, clinician communication, EHR use during visits, multitasking and silent EHR use, and preferences for EHR use. We used videos of reenacted examples [10] to demonstrate silent versus multitasking EHR use to help participants distinguish between these types of use. In Cantonese, there is no single word to convey the idea of multi-tasking so we fell demonstration of these concepts through videos was necessary.

We conducted 6 (3 English, 2 Cantonese, 1 Spanish) in-person 90-min focus groups in patients’ preferred languages from November 2017 to January 2018. A total of 2 Spanish focus groups were planned, but 1 focus group became a one-on-one interview when all other participants did not attend the focus group. The Spanish and English focus groups were conducted by a bilingual research team member; the Cantonese focus groups were conducted by a different bilingual team member. We acquired verbal and written consent from participants before participation. The focus groups were audio-recorded then transcribed into English for analysis. The research team contained Spanish and Cantonese speakers who could consult the audio files if participants’ meaning was unclear in English transcripts.

Data Analysis

Using a grounded theory approach [17,18], we (EK, GM, NR) independently coded the same 3 transcripts (1 from each language), then through consensus developed a codebook (Multimedia Appendix 2) that encompassed themes represented in these transcripts. One team member (GM) then applied this codebook to all transcripts using ATLAS.ti 7.0 (ATLAS.ti Scientific Software Development GmbH); no additional themes were identified during this process, suggesting primary thematic saturation [19]. We triangulated results with primary care clinicians through interactive presentations and determined findings most likely to impact clinical practice. This study was approved by the University of California San Francisco Institutional Review Board.

Results

Participant Characteristics

There were 37 participants (Table 1). Of the 37, 11 were Cantonese-speaking and 5 Spanish-speaking. Mean age was 54, and 57% (21/37) were women. Nearly half (17/37, 46%) reported limited health literacy, 41% (15/37) reported infrequent personal computer use, and 41% (15/37) reported poor or fair health. Cantonese-speaking participants were more likely to report limited health literacy and feel their primary care providers (PCPs) do not know them well.
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants in focus groups (N=37).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>English (n=21)</th>
<th>Cantonese (n=11)</th>
<th>Spanish (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>54 (10.4)</td>
<td>55 (10.3)</td>
<td>57 (6.2)</td>
<td>46 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (57)</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>7 (64)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>10 (27)</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African-American</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>11 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited English proficiency(^a), n (%)</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>4 (80)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited health literacy(^c), n (%)</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>4 (80)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native language, limited health literacy(^d), n (%)</td>
<td>—(^e)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7 (64)</td>
<td>1 (20)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor or fair health, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>3 (60)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care provider knows me well, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>3 (60)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses computer never or less than monthly, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (41)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>7 (64)</td>
<td>2 (40)(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Participants who reported speaking English less than “well.”
\(^b\)One participant declined to answer this question.
\(^c\)Somewhat, a little bit, or not at all confident “filling out medical forms by yourself.”
\(^d\)Somewhat, a little bit, or not at all confident “filling out medical forms by yourself” if in native language (Spanish or Chinese).
\(^e\)Reported only for participants with limited English proficiency.

**Perspectives on Electronic Health Record Use**

Table 2 contains themes and representative quotes. Themes were consistent across language groups and classified into 2 categories: perspectives on EHR use and strategies for patient-centered EHR use.

Participants generally accepted EHR use, recognizing that its use assisted with care. This acceptance was conditional on the assumption that EHR use was focused on their care. Participants felt similarly about multitasking and silent EHR use, reporting that each type of use was appropriate during different parts of the visit.

**Suggestions for Patient-Centered Electronic Health Record Use**

Despite the general acceptance of silent, multitasking, and frequent EHR use, participants had suggestions for how clinicians could exhibit more patient-centered EHR use. Participants uniformly desired more eye contact during EHR use. In particular, some felt computer use is inappropriate when patients are attempting to show physical concerns:

*If I have a sore throat, don’t just put it in the computer—look!* [English-speaking participant]

All participants reported a desire for clinicians to communicate what they were doing in the computer. In particular, they asked clinicians to provide a warning (ie, signaling) before transitioning to silent EHR use.

As part of this desire for transparency about EHR use, participants—including LEP participants—desired screen sharing:

*When the doctor is typing...can I look at it at the same time?* [Cantonese-speaking participant 1]

*Like show a big TV screen.* [Cantonese-speaking participant 2]

*Yes. When the doctor is typing, then I can see it.* [Cantonese-speaking participant 1]
Table 2. Key themes and example quotes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Example quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perspectives on EHR use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-focused electronic health record use is acceptable</td>
<td>Spanish-speaking participant: “I think technology is important not just for keeping patient records but also for finding information. If a patient wants to know about a medication he/she’s been prescribed, it’s all right there”; English-speaking participant: “It hasn’t been an issue when she’s—she’s doing it [using the computer]. And, I’m still the only person in the room. So, I’m still getting 100% of our attention.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silent and multitasking EHR use is expected and generally accepted</td>
<td>Cantonese-speaking participant: “When the doctor is ordering medications, he/she can stop talking to the patient, and concentrate on ordering the medication. When doctor is supposed to do one thing, then he/she should do that one thing”; English-speaking participant: “I think that doctors have always had to multi-task. Throughout history, there’s always a thousand instruments they’re having to deal with, they had to deal with many different cases...they’re always multi-tasking. Computer is just a tool.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies for patient-centered EHR use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More eye contact is desired</td>
<td>Cantonese-speaking participant: “If he (doctor) only looks at the medical record, not face the patient and only looks at the computer, then there is a distance between the doctor and patient”; English-speaking participant: “Look at me when you’re talking to me instead of looking at the screen and typing.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit computer use while patients show a physical concern to clinicians</td>
<td>Cantonese-speaking participant: “For some illness, you have to look at it to see it...For example, nails problem. You have to look at it to see it. If you only look at the computer...then you won’t know it”; English-speaking participant: “if a doctor was on the computer asking me ‘hey how’s your pain from 1 to 10’...I would want for her to…look closely at me...at my leg how I can move it, stuff like that...I wouldn’t want my doctor being on the computer while that doctor was examining, giving me a physical...”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate the purpose of computer use</td>
<td>English-speaking participant: “Just communicate. Communicate, communicate, communicate...I got to take a minute and type this. I want to make sure it’s right.” And then read it back or whatever; Cantonese-speaking participant: “Before the doctor orders medications, he/she should let you know: ‘This is what is your situation, I am going to prescribe this medication for you.’...So are you worried? You’re not worried.” Cantonese-speaking participant: “When he’s on the computer he should explain what he’s talking about.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share the screen</td>
<td>Spanish-speaking participant: “…seeing the lab results. It’s fantastic...I can look, too, and ask ‘What about my anemia? What does that red line mean?’ So, then she explains to me and tells me what we need to do...”; English-speaking participant: “I think the most important thing is just knowing that the patient would like to be a part of what’s going on, on the computer.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$EHR: electronic health record.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In this short report, we found consensus among linguistically diverse safety-net patients on several themes regarding clinician EHR use. Previously, when this setting employed an EHR that did not meet ONC-certification requirements [14], we found that non-English-speaking patients reported more computer use but less concern that PCPs listened less carefully because of computer use; moreover, Asian patients had higher odds of reporting that computers helped PCPs remember patient concerns [11]. The findings of this study, conducted 3 to 4 years after implementation of a more comprehensive, certified EHR, suggest that safety-net patients across multiple languages experience frequent clinician EHR use but recognize its value to their care even if clinicians multitask or use EHRs silently during visits.

Despite acceptance of EHR use, participants provided suggestions for clinicians to improve the patient experience during EHR use. Safety-net patients—including limited health literate and LEP patients whom clinicians may not expect to read the EHR—wanted clinicians to be transparent about EHR use and even engage them in the process of EHR use. In triangulating our findings with clinicians, we found clinicians felt reassured that patients accepted exam room EHR use and surprised that LEP patients wanted to be included in what clinicians were doing on the computer.

**Recommendations for Patient-Centered Electronic Health Record Use**

These findings in safety-net patients augment existing recommendations for patient-centered EHR use [20-23]:

1. You can use EHRs during visits. Consider asking patients how they feel about EHR use, as participants in this study accepted EHR use if focused on their care, consistent with prior literature [4, 24]. This may ease clinician concerns about in-room charting and reduce the burden of after-hours charting [3].

2. Tell and show patients, including LEP patients, what you are doing, and offer a warning before transitioning to silent EHR use. As patients frequently initiate conversations during silence, you should signal to patients if you need to focus temporarily to complete an EHR task safely [10, 25-27].

3. Connect with patients by maximizing eye contact and limiting silent EHR use [22, 28-30]. Cease computer use when discussing emotional concerns, as previously recommended [31, 32], but also when patients are showing physical concerns on their body.

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13131/
Study Limitations and Strengths

This study is limited by a small sample size within each language from a single setting, lack of information about patient-clinician language concordance, inability to report a response rate, and use of a single individual to code transcripts after developing themes through consensus. Strengths of this study are a diverse, safety-net population and recruitment from both outpatient primary care and specialty clinics.

Practice Implications

As educators develop communications curricula for patient-centered EHR use, these findings and other perspectives from diverse patients should inform the content [23,33,34].

Future efforts should investigate multilevel interventions to increase adoption of patient-centered EHR use strategies, including computer (EHR user interface or content), patient (activation or empowerment), environment (redesign or reposition equipment in rooms), and policy level (incentives) interventions.

Conclusions

Linguistically diverse safety-net patients accept the prevalence and utility of EHR use during outpatient visits, if focused on their care. However, there continues to be room for improvement for clinicians to adopt patient-centered strategies, including eye contact, signaling EHR use, and screen-sharing with safety-net patients.
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Abstract

Background: Blockchain is emerging as an innovative technology for secure data management in many areas, including medical practice. A distributed blockchain network is tolerant against network fault, and the registered data are resistant to tampering and revision. The technology has a high affinity with digital medicine like mobile health (mHealth) and provides reliability to the medical data without labor-intensive third-party contributions. On the other hand, the reliability of the medical data is not insured before registration to the blockchain network. Furthermore, there are issues with regard to how the clients' mobile devices should be dealt with and authenticated in the blockchain network in order to avoid impersonation.

Objective: The aim of the study was to design and validate an mHealth system that enables the compatibility of the security and scalability of the medical data using blockchain technology.

Methods: We designed an mHealth system that sends medical data to the blockchain network via relay servers. The architecture provides scalability and convenience of operation of the system. In order to ensure the reliability of the data from clients' mobile devices, hash values with chain structure (client hashchain) were calculated in the clients' devices and the results were registered on the blockchain network.

Results: The system was applied and deployed in mHealth for insomnia treatment. Clinical trials for mHealth were conducted with insomnia patients. Medical data of the recruited patients were successfully registered with the blockchain network via relay servers along with the hashchain calculated on the clients' mobile devices. The correctness of the data was validated by identifying illegal data, which were made by simulating fraudulent access.

Conclusions: Our proposed mHealth system, blockchain combined with client hashchain, ensures compatibility of security and scalability in the data management of mHealth medical practice.

Trial Registration: UMIN Clinical Trials Registry UMIN000032951; https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr_e/ctr_view.cgi?recptno=R000037564 (Archived by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/78HP5iFIw)

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13385) doi:10.2196/13385
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Introduction

Digital medicine, including the use of mHealth apps and internet of things (IoT) devices, has become popular in the everyday practice of medicine [1]. It has the potential to promote improved patient health outcomes, support care coordination, and improve communication with lower costs. While digital medicine has the potential for better practices to patients, we need to consider the security issues. Data tampering and impersonation are important security risks for digital medicine and clinical trials. Decision making in medical practice should be based on precise patient information. Data reliability is
compromised if data tampering and impersonation are used to attack the system. External cyberattacks, including ransomware attacks, which result in compromised medical records, are huge threats against the health care sector [2,3]. Data breaches can lead to privacy violations, embarrassment, and social stigma, as well as to fraud and medical identity theft.

In addition to cybersecurity, data governance and authenticity are also important issues in the health care sector, especially in data management in clinical trials [4,5]. Recently, Web-based clinical trials have been conducted to streamline and improve the convenience of clinical trial participation [6]. Since the results of the clinical trials are the basis of the approval of medicine or medical devices by regulatory agencies, the reliability and transparency of the data obtained by the clinical trial must be maintained [7]. However, there are reports that 17% of clinical drug trials were fabricated [8-10]. The ability to easily trace data back to the original source is indispensable.

Blockchain technology has recently garnered attention as a means for transferring data between participating parties based on a “distributed ledger” model that affords a fully transparent and immutable record of data transactions [11]. A blockchain consists of a continuously growing list of transactional records organized into blocks that are replicated on the nodes of a peer-to-peer network. Valid transactions stored in a blockchain are digitally signed and timestamped by their sender, providing cryptographically irrefutable evidence of both provenance and existence of a record at a given point in time. The technology provides a verifiable and tamper-proof history of the data in the blockchain network. Bitcoin is the first implementation of blockchain as a digital asset in widespread use [12]. It eliminates the need for trusted third parties in financial transactions by providing a secure and verifiable history for every transaction in the system.

Beyond digital currency, researchers have started to focus on using blockchain technology for building cryptographic proof in many areas including medical sectors [13]. Blockchain has already been proposed for use in various health care settings, with potential applications in health supply chain management [14-16], insurance claims processing [17-19], medical record management [20,21], and data management in clinical trials [22-26]. Drug counterfeiting is a global problem with significant risks to consumers and the general public. Blockchain has the potential for tracking and tracing drug products and reagents, and counterfeit detection through information verification of supply chain participants. Blockchain technology can also be applied to managing insurance claim policies by the insurance providers and the patients. It can provide authorized access of data to researchers to analyze diseases. Blockchain-based models for electronic medical records have been proposed to enhance ownership of their medical data and data sharing between platforms [17,27,28]. Since the blockchain can be used to establish a permanent record agreed on by all participating parties, it has the potential to mitigate some of the threats to data validity, so that some researchers have proposed to support or even replace the traditional data infrastructure used in clinical trials with blockchain systems [22,23,29,30]. Our previous study also demonstrated an mHealth system for insomnia using a mobile phone app together with a blockchain storage platform and evaluated resistance against tampering of the data collected with mobile phones [24].

Although medical data registered in a blockchain network have proved tamper-resistant, the vulnerability of the medical data lies before registration to the blockchain network. Impersonation of client devices or fabrication of data outside of the blockchain network can impair the reliability of the medical data. In addition, if the blockchain network is open to the Internet, the network is vulnerable to attack and to the theft of medical records. Client devices, such as mobile phones used by patients, should not be dealt with as nodes of the blockchain network in order to preserve the confidentiality of the personal medical data and to reduce the operational cost for the management of the private key. The trade-off must be recognized and overcome by technological improvements.

This study aims to describe and validate an mHealth system using a client management architecture along with a blockchain network. To overcome the remaining problems described above, we designed the whole system with relay servers, which connect client devices to the blockchain network. In order to ensure reliability and to prevent impersonation or fabrication, a hashchain was calculated in client devices and sent to the blockchain network along with the medical data. We further verified the proposed system in the actual clinical trial of mHealth for insomnia patients and evaluated the resistance to various fraud attacks.

**Methods**

**Clinical Trial and mHealth Records**

The proposed system was applied and deployed into the mHealth app, which treats chronic insomnia based on cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT) [31]. After a favorable formal review by the Japanese Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency, research on the mHealth app was conducted by the digital therapeutics company, SUSMED, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Informed consent was obtained from the patients for publication of this study. The study has received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee and registered to clinical trial registry (UMIN000032951). All the methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

The mHealth records collected from patients were divided into subjective and objective data. The subjective data, which include clinical indicators, sleep status, and the review of daytime activities, were collected through a self-administered questionnaire. The objective data, which include the results of the Psychomotor Vigilance Test [32], were evaluated by measuring the touch response using the function of mobile phones. For clinical indicators, Athens Insomnia Scale [33], Epworth Sleepiness Scale [34], and Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology [35] were collected. For sleep status, time to go to bed, time to fall asleep, time to wake up, and time to get out of bed were recorded. Along with the medical information, timestamps of the app operation were collected. These clinical indicators were collected using a mobile phone app. All data were stored in the JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) format in the database.
mHealth Data Transfer Via Relay Servers to Blockchain Network

The collected data from the patients’ devices were sent to the blockchain network via relay servers. We used three relay servers, and the app randomly selected two relay servers to send the data after the authentication of the client device. By deploying the relay proxy and setting the blockchain software development kits (SDKs) to write-only mode, the relay server sent the received data to the blockchain network. The authentication of the relay server was conducted with a single common authentication server. By configuring the Internet Protocol (IP) address restriction to the listed relay servers, the blockchain network, which contains the medical data, was protected against external attack. The blockchain network was made up of three organizations that contain two validating peers. Each account for nodes of the blockchain network and the relay servers were managed by independent departments in SUSMED, Inc. The strictness of the governance of the data management can be adjusted by individually managing the accounts of different stakeholders, such as pharmaceutical companies, contract research organizations, and regulatory agencies.

mHealth Data Registration in the Blockchain Network

We used Hyperledger Fabric v1.0 to operate the blockchain network because Hyperledger is an open-source blockchain platform and has become widely used [36,37].

The blockchain network was administered by a collection of organizations. Each organization had multiple nodes. In this study, the network had three organizations and each organization had two nodes. As the state database, CouchDB was used to store the JSON document [38].

The nodes executed an installed chaincode and returned hash values generated from the execution result. The secure hash algorithm SHA-256 was used to compute the hash values encoded into the blocks of the blockchain [39]. To execute the transaction, each node followed the consensus algorithm, which was called endorsement policy [11], although the previous version of Hyperledger Fabric used Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance as a consensus algorithm [40,41].

The endorsement policy was set in units of organizations, and the flexible set was available according to the needs of the app. Each organization issued one signature. The node that validated the transactions in each organization was called the endorser. In this study, the validation of each transaction required more than two signatures from three organizations.

Under the endorsement policy, transactions were validated and accepted in the following processes:

1. **Proposal:** The transaction was sent from the client app to the endorsers in each organization.
2. **Endorse:** Each endorser verified that (1) the transaction proposal was well formed, (2) it had not been already submitted in the past, (3) the signature was valid, and (4) the client was properly authorized to perform the proposed operation, which was described in the chaincode. If the transaction was validated, chaincode was executed and the result with the signature was returned to the client.
3. **Submit:** The client verified that the number of signatures from the organizations satisfied the endorsement policy. If it was satisfied, the transaction was sent to the ordering service, which ordered the series of transactions in chronological order and created the block of the transactions.
4. **Broadcast:** The block was delivered to all nodes.
5. **Commit:** If each block was validated to fulfill the endorsement policy and was to be well formed, the block was appended to the chain in each node.

All data, including the client hashchain, were registered in the blockchain network via relay servers to secure the tamper-resistance of the data. In contrast, the secure string for the calculation of the hash value was preserved in the client device. At the end of the study, the secure string was sent to the blockchain network to verify the hashchain. The client hash values were calculated on the mobile phone based on medical data, the secure string, and the previous hash value using the SHA-256 algorithm [39].

Test Scenarios

There are issues regarding cybersecurity (ie, concerning external actors) and governance/authenticity (eg, internal actors like researchers) in medical data management. The tamper-resistance of the data registered in the blockchain network against external attack has been proven in previous studies. The reliability of the data against internal actors in the blockchain network can also be guaranteed by managing the accounts for each node by different stakeholders, such as pharmaceutical companies, contract research organizations, and regulatory agencies. Here, we evaluated how the data manipulation before registration to the blockchain network can be detected and distinguished by simulating the following malicious access. The artificial data were created for each scenario and tested if the fraudulent access were detected and the original data can be distinguished from the illegal data. Since the results of the manipulation of the data are deterministic due to collision-resistant hash functions of SHA-256 [42], we verified the result of a single manipulation in each scenario. Since the accounts for nodes of the blockchain network and the relay servers were managed by independent departments, both internal and external actors can be simulated by hacking each server.

1. **Attack on the relay server:** To simulate an artificial attack on the relay server (ie, outside actors) or misconduct by the owner of the relay server (ie, inside actors) during the clinical trial, one of the relay servers was hacked. The data sent from a client device can be modified before registration to the blockchain network by the malicious access. In this case, the secure string for the calculation of a hash value in the client device was not stolen. Hence, the client hash value was calculated with the previous hash value, modified medical data, and the incorrect string.
2. **Attack on the authentication server:** To simulate an artificial attack on the authentication server (ie, outside actors) or misconduct by the owner of the authentication server (ie, inside actors) during the clinical trial, the authentication key of an existing account on the authentication server was stolen and the data of the hacked account was uploaded by...
multiple devices. In this case, the secure string for the calculation of a hash value in the client device was not stolen. Hence, the client hash value was calculated with the previous hash value, modified medical data, and the incorrect string.

3. Attack on the client device: The secure string preserved in the client device was stolen by an attacker using a mobile malware root exploit. The authentication information to the relay servers was also stolen by the malicious infection. Hence, the client hash value was calculated by different devices with the previous hash value, modified medical data, and the correct secure string.

### Results

#### Design of the Client for Blockchain Network in mHealth

In our previous study, the mHealth data obtained by a mobile phone were uploaded to the blockchain network. We then evaluated the robustness of the network and the tamper-resistance of the data in the blockchain network [24]. To advance further into the practical usage of blockchain in mHealth, it is necessary to design how the client devices, such as mobile phones, send medical data to the blockchain network. Client devices can send data to the blockchain network directly, but with this architecture, the mHealth app that patients installed to their mobile phone needs to have SDKs for blockchain. The blockchain network will also receive access from unspecified clients due to a lack of IP address restriction. In that situation, there are risks that unspecified clients can store medical information in the blockchain network using SDKs to read the data. The system is resistant against data tampering, but the operational costs increase since they must manage private keys for each client device (Figure 1). In order to overcome these obstacles, the usage of relay servers is one possible option. With the architecture using relay servers, the blockchain network can restrict the access by IP address selection and it is not necessary for the mHealth app to include SDKs for blockchain. With this architecture, blockchain networks were protected against unspecified access from the internet and the functions of SDKs in the relay server can be predetermined as write-only, resulting in the protection of the medical data stored in the blockchain network. On the other hand, there are risks that the hacking of the relay server will result in impersonation (Figure 1). To balance these trade-offs, we propose the following architecture of the client for the blockchain network in mHealth. The client devices, such as mobile phones, send their data to multiple relay servers and the data are compared between relay servers and verified. After verification, the relay server, which has permission to access by IP address restriction, sends the data to the blockchain network using write-only functions of SDKs. With this system, medical data stored in the blockchain network are protected against access from the internet and are resistant against the risks of server hacking (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** mHealth system architecture, which sends the medical data to the blockchain network. Data sent to (a) the blockchain network without relay servers; client devices are dealt with as nodes of the blockchain network so that mHealth app needs to contain software development kits for blockchain; (b) the blockchain via a single relay server; public keys for each relay server should be managed; (c) the blockchain via multiple relay servers; public keys for each relay server should be managed. Data reliability can be verified by comparing the data to be registered (red line).
Authentication Between Clients and Relay Servers

For the usage of relay servers described above, it is indispensable to carefully design the authentication of client devices to send data to relay servers. It is possible that a single common server gives an authentication to client devices, but with this architecture, the system is vulnerable to server hacking. Malicious access to the single authentication server can result in impersonation (Figure 2). The alternative is to set an authentication server for each relay server. Although the risks of impersonation by server hacking can be reduced, the operational costs for authentication increase. In addition, it is not possible to verify the reliability of the original data if multiple authentication servers were maliciously accessed (Figure 2). In order to solve these problems, we implemented a single common authentication server with another method, using a hash value calculated on the client devices. As an initial setting, the client device generates and preserves a secure string. The client device calculates a hash value based on the medical data and the secure string, as well as the previous hash value using the SHA-256 hash algorithm. Thus, the hash value comprises the chain structure. The hash value was also registered in the blockchain network along with the medical data in order to guarantee tamper-resistance of the value, although the secure string was preserved in the client device. It is possible to verify the reliability of data using the secure string preserved in the client devices and to retrospectively reject impersonation after finishing the clinical trials. Even when a relay server was hacked by malicious access, we can verify the correct data based on the client hashchain and the secure string preserved on the client device. The client hash value constitutes the chain structure and proves the origin of the sequential data.

Application of the Proposed System to mHealth and Data Management in a Clinical Trial

In order to validate the system proposed above, we have implemented the architecture into the mHealth app. The app was designed to treat insomnia patients based on CBTi and collects medical data using mobile phones. The app generates a secure string at login and stores it on the client device. The app also calculates a hash value based on the medical data, the previous hash value, and the secure string so that the hash values make up the chain structure. The medical data collected with the app, as well as the hash value, were sent to the blockchain network via relay servers. We used three relay servers and the app selected two relay servers at random to send the data to the blockchain network. The blockchain network comprised three organizations, which contain two validating peers.

With these systems, we conducted the clinical trial on the mHealth app for insomnia patients. Informed consent was obtained from the patients and the app account was provided by the medical doctor. mHealth data were collected with the mobile app and sent to the blockchain network via relay servers along with the client hash value (Figure 3). In the client devices, client hash values were calculated based on mHealth data, the previous client hash value, and the secure string stored on the client device. The client hash value constitutes the chain structure and proves the origin of the sequential data.

Both mHealth data and the client hash value were sent to the blockchain network to be registered in the ledger. The ledger is made up of the blockchain, sequenced records in blocks, and a state database. Each node of the blockchain maintains a copy of the ledger. If the transaction was validated under the endorsement policy, the chaincode was executed and the block of the transaction was appended in each node. The mHealth data and the client hash value were stored in CouchDB and the blockchain. The block includes a hash of the block’s transactions, as well as a hash of the prior block. In this way, it is not possible to tamper with the ledger data without breaking the hash links.

Although the block size is limited in blockchain, it is enough for our medical data since the clinical indicators are stored as JSON data. In addition, the transaction throughput in the Hyperledger Fabric platform that we used is 2250 transactions per second [27]. In contrast, in a permissionless network or public network, such as Bitcoin and Ethereum, it takes 600 seconds and 10 seconds respectively to write a transaction on the ledger [28]. Since the number of transactions in our mHealth system occur several times per day for every patient, the transaction performance of the blockchain will not be a bottleneck.
Figure 2. Authentication of client devices and relay servers. Client devices authenticated by (a) a single common authentication server; the system is vulnerable against server hacking; (b) multiple authentication servers for each relay server; (c) a single common authentication server. In addition to authentication, client devices calculate a hash value based on data, secure string, and previous hash value, so the hash value consists of the chain structure (client hashchain).
To investigate the resistance of our proposed system, we first simulated an artificial attack on the relay server (i.e., outside actors) or misconduct by the owner of the relay server (i.e., inside actors) and evaluated if the malicious access was detected and if the original data are distinguishable from the illegal data. As described above, the client device sends the data to the blockchain network via multiple relay servers. We used three relay servers and the app selected two relay servers at random to send the data to the blockchain network. If one of the relay servers is hacked, the attacker can modify the data sent from the client device prior to blockchain submission and steal the authorization token. In this case, the secure string used in the calculation of the client hashchain was not stolen by the attacker.

As shown in Figure 4, an attacker hacked relay server #2 and modified some medical data as well as the client hash value. In this case, the client hash value was calculated with the previous hash value, modified medical data, and the incorrect string. The access fraud can be detected by the mismatch between the data sent from other relay servers. It is also possible to distinguish and reject illegal data from original data automatically using the client hashchain. At the end of the clinical trial, the device sent the secure string to the blockchain to make it possible to validate the uploaded data retrospectively. Since all the medical data and the client hash values were stored in the blockchain network, it is not possible to rewrite the hash value based on

---

**Validation of the Resistance Against Attack on the Relay Server**

To investigate the resistance of our proposed system, we first simulated an artificial attack on the relay server (i.e., outside actors) or misconduct by the owner of the relay server (i.e., inside actors) and evaluated if the malicious access was detected and if the original data are distinguishable from the illegal data. As described above, the client device sends the data to the blockchain network via multiple relay servers. We used three relay servers and the app selected two relay servers at random to send the data to the blockchain network. If one of the relay servers is hacked, the attacker can modify the data sent from the client device prior to blockchain submission and steal the authorization token. In this case, the secure string used in the calculation of the client hashchain was not stolen by the attacker.

As shown in Figure 4, an attacker hacked relay server #2 and modified some medical data as well as the client hash value. In this case, the client hash value was calculated with the previous hash value, modified medical data, and the incorrect string. The access fraud can be detected by the mismatch between the data sent from other relay servers. It is also possible to distinguish and reject illegal data from original data automatically using the client hashchain. At the end of the clinical trial, the device sent the secure string to the blockchain to make it possible to validate the uploaded data retrospectively. Since all the medical data and the client hash values were stored in the blockchain network, it is not possible to rewrite the hash value based on

---

**Figure 3.** User data along with client hashchain registered to blockchain network.
the secure string, which was sent via the relay servers. Legal data can be guaranteed by combining the client hashchain, which rejects impersonation, with the blockchain, which provides tamper-proof history.

### Validation of the Resistance Against Attack on the Authentication Server

We next simulated an artificial attack on the authentication server (i.e., outside actors) or misconduct by the owner of the authentication server (i.e., inside actors) during the clinical trial and evaluated whether the malicious access was detected if the original data are distinguishable from the illegal data. The authentication server possesses the authentication keys of every account. If the authentication key was stolen, the attacker can send illegal data from different devices. In this case, the secure string used in the calculation of the client hashchain was not stolen by the attacker.

**Figure 4.** Relay server hacked and data modified by access fraud (client hash value in red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>user ID</th>
<th>Log ID</th>
<th>relay server</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>ClientHash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:false,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}</td>
<td>401442c3815aeeb6fa87ff5 8b1210102cdfd7d7d7d935 4a8edbcd808ac9f2ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:false,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}</td>
<td>401442c3815aeeb6fa87ff5 8b1210102cdfd7d7d7d935 4a8edbcd808ac9f2ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>{&quot;answer&quot;:4,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:4,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}</td>
<td>f1622c6142870eb461dfc 09fc32785a35055684108 aee1916fc26ab7b0a9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>{&quot;answer&quot;:8,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:8,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}</td>
<td>7d135f9fd3d99777d14e25 0ed8f6e900540071b2d1db fd989a4e3bd85edf54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:647 75,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:true,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}</td>
<td>ee5109a8ff2182dec4d50d 4be29cb8c539934007e28 e9614d206860d707d6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:647 75,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:true,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}</td>
<td>ee5109a8ff2182dec4d50d 4be29cb8c539934007e28 e9614d206860d707d6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>{&quot;key&quot;:&quot;RMW9psVt7IDnHrNrlxol&quot;}</td>
<td>93de642534446ae3e9bb045 bb146226498258d4f5c289 8ea7b9f8926498802e0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>{&quot;key&quot;:&quot;RMW9psVt7IDnHrNrlxol&quot;}</td>
<td>93de642534446ae3e9bb045 bb146226498258d4f5c289 8ea7b9f8926498802e0b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Figure 5, using the stolen authentication key, multiple data with the same log ID were generated and sent to the blockchain network via relay servers by the attacker. Fraud detection can be achieved by identifying branched data. Furthermore, it is also possible to distinguish and reject illegal data from original data automatically using the client hashchain.

At the end of the clinical trial, the device sent the secure string to the blockchain to enable the validation of the uploaded data retrospectively. Since all medical data and the client hash values were stored in the blockchain network, it is not possible to rewrite the hash value based on the secure string that was sent via relay servers.

### Figure 5. Authentication server hacked and data generated from multiple devices using the authentication key (authentication key was stolen and the attacker created illegal data from different devices in red).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>user ID</th>
<th>Log ID</th>
<th>relay server</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>ClientHash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:false,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}]</td>
<td>4011442e3815aceb6a87f5f4b120102c2dd7d793544a8edbd808ae9f2ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:false,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}]</td>
<td>4011442e3815aceb6a87f5f4b120102c2dd7d793544a8edbd808ae9f2ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;answer&quot;:4,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:4,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}]</td>
<td>f1626e6142870eb461dfc0f632785d350556841108aee19166b2e670a9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;answer&quot;:4,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:4,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}]</td>
<td>f1626e6142870eb461dfc0f632785d350556841108aee19166b2e670a9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[{&quot;answer&quot;:8,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:8,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}]</td>
<td>7d1359f6d399777d14e250cd8864e9005400171b2d1dbf098a44c3c85ed11f54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;answer&quot;:8,&quot;date&quot;:1532434656,&quot;totalScore&quot;:8,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724}]</td>
<td>7d1359f6d399777d14e250cd8864e9005400171b2d1dbf098a44c3c85ed11f54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:true,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}]</td>
<td>ee1509a8ff21826dec4d50d4be29eb8e8399340007e28e614d20686d707d60d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[{&quot;mistakeCount&quot;:0,&quot;msec&quot;:0,&quot;day_activity_check_items&quot;:64775,&quot;reviewedMorning&quot;:true,&quot;lapseCount&quot;:0,&quot;baseDay&quot;:20180724,&quot;stimulation_restrict_items&quot;:0,&quot;countOfTapAboutAnxiety&quot;:0,&quot;timestamp&quot;:1532370600,&quot;reviewedEvening&quot;:true,&quot;noSleep&quot;:false}]</td>
<td>ee1509a8ff21826dec4d50d4be29eb8e8399340007e28e614d20686d707d60d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[{&quot;key&quot;:&quot;RMW9psV7IDnHrNrlxol&quot;}]</td>
<td>93de64253446eac3e9b0b045bb1462264g982584dfec5c2898e7b9893264f8020eb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[{&quot;key&quot;:&quot;RMW9psV7IDnHrNrlxol&quot;}]</td>
<td>93de64253446eac3e9b0b045bb1462264g982584dfec5c2898e7b9893264f8020eb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.jmir.org/2019/5/e13385/
Validation of the Resistance Against Attack on the Client Device

To further investigate the resistance of our proposed system, we next simulated an artificial attack on the client device and evaluated if the malicious access was detected and if the original data are distinguishable from the illegal data. One of the most dangerous attacks is the malware root exploit, which enables the attacker to obtain the victim’s private key. In this case, the authentication key as well as the secure string were stolen by the attacker, resulting in a more serious situation.

As shown in Figure 6, using the stolen authentication key, multiple data with the same log ID were generated and sent to the blockchain network. Fraud detection can be achieved by identifying the branched data. However, it is not possible to distinguish illegal data from original data automatically using the client hashchain because the attacker has stolen the secure string to calculate the client hash value. In this case, however, it is possible to judge which are the original data by checking the data in the patient’s device offline, based on the fraud detection.

**Figure 6.** Client device was hacked by malware root exploit and data generated from multiple devices using the authentication key (authentication key as well as the secure string for the client hash value were stolen by root exploit and the attacker created illegal data from different device in red).
Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we have developed a secure and scalable mHealth system using relay servers and blockchain combined with a client hashchain. Although blockchain technology provides tamper-resistance to medical data [24], the security was limited to the registered data and it cannot distinguish between original data and impersonated data. In addition, scalability will be compromised if the client devices were dealt with as a node of blockchain network. With our proposed system, we have shown that these problems can be resolved.

Bitcoin was the first implementation of blockchain as a digital asset in widespread use. Although bitcoin can be used as a platform for preventing data tampering, it is not appropriate since it is an open network and massive computing power is necessary for proof of work (PoW) to obtain consensus [43]. Private blockchain networks, such as Hyperledger Fabric, are more appropriate for the management of medical data since the node of stakeholders can be controlled. In addition, it is possible to process more transactions in a private blockchain without PoW. Using a private blockchain network, we used relay servers to send the data from authorized clients. With this architecture, it is not necessary to incorporate SDKs on client devices. To make the system robust against hacking of the relay server, the app sent data to the blockchain network via multiple relay servers. Even when one of the relay servers was hacked, we could detect access fraud and distinguish the original data from modified data. In our study, we used three relay servers and two were randomly selected to send the data. The robustness of the system against server attack can be increased if we use more relay servers, for instance, if three out of five relay servers are randomly selected to send the data.

To further clarify the origin of the data, we combined the client hashchain with the private blockchain. Hash values combined with the blockchain have been used as the metadata in a previous study for the management of rights for digital contents [44]. In contrast, we used hash values calculated on the client device to protect against impersonation and verify the origin of the data by chaining them. Hash values with chain structure (client hashchain) enable the identification of the original data sent from a specific client, which stores the secure string. In addition, in combination with the blockchain, the system also ensured tamper-resistance and the reliability of the hash values to prevent impersonation. We have shown that fraudulent data by compromised relay servers can be detected and distinguished from original data using the client hashchain. Even when the secure string used in calculating the client hash value was stolen by the attacker with root exploit [45], it is possible to detect the malformation in the branching of the chaincode. Based on the detection of the malformation, the researchers can ask the patients and check which are the original data. Therefore, the system is highly resistant against impersonation and tampering.

In this study, we designed the architecture for mHealth and verified the performance in a clinical study. Although mHealth is suitable for collecting medical data, such as patient reported outcomes [46], by changing the client device from patients’ mobile phone to computers in medical institutions, the system can also be applied to clinical trials that use electronic data capture [47]. In addition, we could deploy smart contract, which is called chaincode in Hyperledger Fabric, to each node of the blockchain network to execute transactions. Since the smart contract may have the function to transform medical data into the determined format, it is possible to automatically complete the case report form of each patient if the app has access to additional medical data by deploying the smart contract for the clinical trial [25,48].

The system enables the verification of the accuracy of the medical data without confirmation by the third party, such as a contracted research organization, so that it is possible to reduce the cost of clinical trials as well as the possibility of human error. Thus, our system based on the blockchain technology combined with a client hashchain may enhance the development of drugs and medical devices.

Limitations

Further studies are needed to verify the scalability of the system for conducting multiple clinical trials simultaneously. In Hyperledger Fabric v1.0, it is possible to partition the network and define a communication channel using an ordering service, which enables multiple clinical trials to be conducted in the same system [49]. Although the transaction throughput in the Hyperledger Fabric platform that we used is much higher than public blockchain, one drawback of the private network described here is preventing 51% of attacks in networks that are composed of a limited number of nodes without public validation.

Although our system is resistant against impersonation and tampering, hacking of the client device is a great threat. Root exploit is a type of malware attackers use to modify the Android operating system kernel such that attackers are able to gain super-user privileges. When attackers gain root of the operating system kernel, they also gain access to full administrator privileges. Through this, attackers are able to install other malware types, such as botnets, worms, or Trojans into the system. Further studies like root exploit detection [45] may be beneficial for the improved security of the system.

Conclusion

In this study, we designed a secure and scalable mHealth system using blockchain. A client hashchain was combined with the blockchain network to protect against impersonation, enabling the usage of relay servers and reducing the complexity of authentication of client devices for mHealth. The system was validated in the clinical trial, and the resistance against various fraud attacks was evaluated.
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Abstract

Background: Research in embodied artificial intelligence (AI) has increasing clinical relevance for therapeutic applications in mental health services. With innovations ranging from ‘virtual psychotherapists’ to social robots in dementia care and autism disorder, to robots for sexual disorders, artificially intelligent virtual and robotic agents are increasingly taking on high-level therapeutic interventions that used to be offered exclusively by highly trained, skilled health professionals. In order to enable responsible clinical implementation, ethical and social implications of the increasing use of embodied AI in mental health need to be identified and addressed.

Objective: This paper assesses the ethical and social implications of translating embodied AI applications into mental health care across the fields of Psychiatry, Psychology and Psychotherapy. Building on this analysis, it develops a set of preliminary recommendations on how to address ethical and social challenges in current and future applications of embodied AI.

Methods: Based on a thematic literature search and established principles of medical ethics, an analysis of the ethical and social aspects of currently embodied AI applications was conducted across the fields of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Psychotherapy. To enable a comprehensive evaluation, the analysis was structured around the following three steps: assessment of potential benefits; analysis of overarching ethical issues and concerns; discussion of specific ethical and social issues of the interventions.

Results: From an ethical perspective, important benefits of embodied AI applications in mental health include new modes of treatment, opportunities to engage hard-to-reach populations, better patient response, and freeing up time for physicians. Overarching ethical issues and concerns include: harm prevention and various questions of data ethics; a lack of guidance on development of AI applications, their clinical integration and training of health professionals; ‘gaps’ in ethical and regulatory frameworks; the potential for misuse including using the technologies to replace established services, thereby potentially exacerbating existing health inequalities. Specific challenges identified and discussed in the application of embodied AI include: matters of risk-assessment, referrals, and supervision; the need to respect and protect patient autonomy; the role of non-human therapy; transparency in the use of algorithms; and specific concerns regarding long-term effects of these applications on understandings of illness and the human condition.

Conclusions: We argue that embodied AI is a promising approach across the field of mental health; however, further research is needed to address the broader ethical and societal concerns of these technologies to negotiate best research and medical practices in innovative mental health care. We conclude by indicating areas of future research and developing recommendations for high-priority areas in need of concrete ethical guidance.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13216) doi:10.2196/13216
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Introduction

Research in embodied artificial intelligence (AI) has increasing clinical relevance for therapeutic applications in mental health services, that is, in psychiatry, psychology, and psychotherapy. Innovations range from ‘virtual psychotherapists’ [1] to social robots in dementia care and autism disorder [2] and robots for sexual disorders [3]. Increasingly, artificially intelligent virtual and robotic agents are not only available for relatively low-level elements of mental health support, such as comfort or social interaction, but also perform high-level therapeutic interventions that used to be offered exclusively by highly trained, skilled health professionals such as psychotherapists [4]. Importantly, such ‘virtual’ or ‘robotic therapists’ include an artificially intelligent algorithm that responds independently of any expert human guidance to the client or patient through a virtually embodied presence, such as a face icon, or a physically embodied presence, such as a robotic interface. As such, these emerging applications are distinct from the many varieties of Web-based therapy, which usually involve either a human therapist, albeit remotely (telemedicine), or the patient herself, working independently with manuals, questionnaires, or other self-help materials [5].

Embodied AI applications in mental health care carry hopes of improving quality of care and controlling expenditure [6]. In addition, they also hold the promise of reaching underserved populations in need of mental health services and improving life opportunities for vulnerable groups. However, there is a persistent gap between current, rapid developments in AI mental health and the successful adoption of these tools into clinical environments by health professionals and patients. In addition, it has been demonstrated that the interventions are often designed without any explicit ethical considerations [7]. Furthermore, although studies often examine the effectiveness or ethical use of a single application, rarely do they consider the implications for the integration of AI across the field of mental health more broadly. In this paper, we argue that virtually and physically embodied artificially intelligent agents and applications have great potential in mental health care. However, their societal and ethical implications require further probing to identify pertinent concerns surrounding trust, privacy, and autonomy, as well as to anticipate concerns that may arise in the future. Identifying the broader ethical and societal implications of embodied AI is crucial for negotiating best research and medical practices in innovative mental health care. We conclude by indicating areas of future research and identifying points in need of ethical caution.

Overview: Existing Embodied Intelligent Applications

Although AI-enabled virtual and robot therapy has long been used across a number of medical fields [8-10], the integration of AI through the use of embodied agents is still at an early stage in mental health care; it is arguably the most recent addition to psychotherapeutic practice, supporting a host of emotional, cognitive, and social processes [11]. In what follows, we have sketched a range of applications with the aim of characterizing some of the embodied artificially intelligent innovations across the field of mental health. To maintain focus amid a broad and growing field, we have chosen to exclude from our analysis applications that are not intended to interact with patients, or that have no virtual presence or robotic interface; this includes AI-supported scanning and diagnostic tools. We have also excluded applications that may have a virtual or robotic interface but do not employ AI, such as telemedicine therapy (for further scholarship on this topic, please see [12-19]).

Virtually Embodied Artificially Intelligent Agents

AI-supported virtually embodied psychotherapeutic devices are currently developing at a rapid speed. For example, therapeutic apps such as Tess and other “chatbots” such as Sara, Wysa, and Woebot, which work over short message service text messaging, WhatsApp, or internet platforms, are being explored for addressing depression and anxiety. These applications come with interactive screen presences. Woebot and other programs engage with the patient like a virtual psychotherapist, with the aim of helping patients to recognize their emotions and thought patterns and to develop skills such as resilience or techniques for reducing anxiety. For example, using natural language processing, Tess is programed to flag expressions that indicate emotional distress. Often cited as a digital tool to reach underserved populations across the world that lack mental health services, the bots can explain to users the clinical terms for what they are experiencing—such as cognitive distortions—or provide concrete advice for recognizing and dealing with difficult situations [20]. Initial studies found that depression symptoms decreased with the use of Woebot more than groups who relied on electronic book resources [21], and another study found that Tess helped to reduce depression and anxiety among users [20].

A similar approach involves the use of avatars, such as the Avatar Project, for addressing persistent auditory hallucinations for patients with psychosis [22]. These usually involve computer-generated images of faces on computer screens or tablets that interact with a patient via intelligent algorithms. Avatars are also being explored in treatment of schizophrenia, for example, to improve medication adherence [23]. Similar to the Avatar Project, virtual reality–assisted therapy for schizophrenia often encourages patients to engage with the voices they hear through the use of an AI avatar. Initial studies found that the therapy could help in developing therapeutic targets [24] and also in particularly difficult cases of schizophrenia [25]. Another study found improvements in auditory virtual hallucinations, symptoms of depression, and overall quality of life following therapy sessions for treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients [26]. ‘Avatar coaches’ have also been employed as part of an immersive virtual reality situation for treating the fear of heights [27] or as ‘virtual patients’ to provide medical students with lifelike interviewing practice [28]. Finally, avatars are also being implemented in risk prevention education, such as the Kognito program, which
uses an avatar to help college students and faculty identify others at risk for suicide [29].

Artificially Intelligent Robot Therapy

In addition to these virtually embodied therapeutic applications, clinicians and scientists are exploring the translation of innovations at the intersection of AI and robotics into the clinic. For example, intelligent animal-like robots such as Paro, a fuzzy harp seal, are increasingly being used to help patients with dementia. Paro, along with the large furry eBear, is part of a class of ‘companion bots,’ engaging individuals as at-home health care assistants, responding to speech and movement with dynamic ‘dialog’, or seeking to help elderly, isolated, or depressed patients through companionship and interaction. Several studies have examined the role of such robots in reducing stress, loneliness, and agitation and in improving mood and social connections [30,31]. Thus far, the outcomes are promising [32,33].

AI robots also provide opportunities for different forms of engagement with children suffering from autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) [34]. Children with autism have been found to react positively to robots, even in cases where they have trouble interacting with others [35]. The Kaspar robot has demonstrated potential for integration in current education and therapy interventions [36] and is being investigated for the potential to improve social skills among children [37]. Similarly, RoboTherapy is an example of socially assistive robotics designed to help children with ASDs to develop social skills, and the robot Nao is designed to improve facial recognition and appropriate gaze response. The aim of such robotic interaction is to learn appropriate social skills (eg, imitation, taking turns, staying engaged, and empathy), with the hope that children can then apply the skills learned with the robot peer to their relationships with human peers. Initial studies are promising: individuals with ASDs performed better with their robot partners than human therapists, responded with social behaviors toward robots, and improved spontaneous language during therapy sessions [38]. However, the devices are still being developed and are not yet in wider therapeutic use.

AI-enabled robots are also being explored across a variety of other mental health areas including mood and anxiety disorders, children with disruptive behavior, and patients who may not have a specific diagnosis but who would benefit from assistance with mental health concerns [39]. Perhaps, most controversially, artificially intelligent robots have entered the field of human sexuality. Companies are now offering adult sex robots such as Roxxxy, which can speak, learn their human partners’ preferences, register touch, and provide a form of intimate companionship. Although the range of medical applications that sex robots can reportedly address remains debated, these include meeting the sexual needs of disabled and elderly individuals or as part of therapy for concerns such as erectile dysfunction, premature ejaculation, and anxiety surrounding sex [40]. Furthermore, some researchers have asked if sex robots could help to reduce sex crimes such as rape and assault or be used for treatment of paraphilia, such as pedophilia [3,41].

Methods

Based on a thematic literature analysis and established principles of medical ethics, an analysis of the ethical and social aspects of currently embodied AI applications was conducted across the fields of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Psychotherapy. To enable a comprehensive evaluation, the analysis was structured around the following three steps: assessment of potential benefits; analysis of overarching ethical issues and concerns; discussion of specific ethical and social issues of the interventions.

Results

Ethical and Social Implications and Concerns

The devices and applications described above have yet to be integrated into widespread clinical use. However, in view of the speed of research and development trajectories of these applications, it is reasonable to expect that therapeutic chatbots, avatars, socially assistive devices, and sex robots will soon translate into broader clinical applications in earnest. In some cases, initial ethical assessments are already available [42,43]; however, most of these studies focus on a single application. In general, for most of the applications we are discussing, large-scale rigorous research studies have not yet been conducted or are still in pilot stages [44-47]. Even in Web-based non-AI applications, evidence of patient acceptance and treatment outcomes in routine care is still limited and mixed [48,49]; there has so far been very little research on patient acceptance and contingent treatment outcomes of embodied AI applications in mental health fields. As with any medical innovation, the effects, impacts, and clinical utility of the applications can only be fully assessed once evidence has improved [50,51].

To enable responsible and responsive innovation and clinical translation into the field of mental health, further and more in-depth analysis of the ethical and social implications of embodied AI is necessary to flag areas of concern. Early identification of ethical issues can help researchers, designers, and developers consider these concerns in the design and construction of the next generation of AI agents and robots for mental health. In the following sections, we have provided an analysis of benefits, challenges, and risks of embodied AI in mental health from an ethical perspective. Beginning with a discussion of potential benefits, we have then turned to risks and challenges, followed by immediate concerns in clinical application and long-term effects.

Anticipated Benefits

All of the aforementioned intelligent applications promise significant benefits for the field of mental health, satisfying many aspects of the ethical principle of beneficence [52]. From a clinical point of view, the use of embodied AI applications holds the potential to open new avenues for intervention in places where there are still significant unmet health needs. AI interventions might be particularly well placed for detecting mental health concerns early on, for reaching high-risk groups such as veterans, or for those who are concerned about the social
stigma associated with psychotherapy [53]. In some cases, patients may respond positively and productively to the fact that the counterpart is not a human therapist [54-56]. In one study, subjects overwhelmingly preferred the virtual agent over the human counterpart when being discharged from the hospital because they could self-direct the pace of information—something that is especially important for low-literacy patients [57]. Thus, in mental health services, nonhuman virtual or robotic applications might be preferable for some patients, reducing embarrassment when asking for specific information or services or feelings of shame when admitting noncompliance with a treatment plan. Embodied AI in mental health could also help to empower particular patient groups (such as those who are less familiar with the medical system), thereby helping to improve trust and openness between patients and the medical system. Another important advantage of AI applications is that many of them are low-threshold and self-administered, such that people who do not have an acute condition can elect services without going through the time-consuming process of being screened and admitted into the health care system.

Arguably, the greatest benefit of AI applications is structural, namely the potential to reach populations that are difficult to treat via traditional routes of provision. The provision of some mental health services, for example, through low-threshold, convenient therapeutic interventions via chatbots or avatars may be particularly beneficial for populations living in resource-poor settings. For those living in remote or rural locations or in settings where on-site mental health services are scarce, intelligent applications can increase geographical access and provide some minimal mental health care services where they are otherwise absent. The same may also be true for individuals living in higher income countries who do not have insurance or whose insurance does not cover therapy. Furthermore, it is likely that there are individuals who, for various reasons, do not respond to more traditional clinical services and might prefer low-threshold interventions that can be conducted in the privacy of their homes or on the go. For all of these patients, AI applications could complement existing services or constitute an entry point for pursuing more standard clinical interventions in the future.

In sum, embodied AI interventions may offer entirely new modes of treatment that are potentially more successful than traditional modalities either because they address hard-to-reach populations or because patients respond better to them. Whether, and for which conditions this is the case, requires further investigation. However, given that broadly speaking, conditions such as ASD and sexual dysfunction are increasing in incidence and patient populations with these and many other mental health conditions continue to have unmet health needs [58-62], increased exploration of embodied AI in these fields is promising.

Finally, there are also clear benefits of having a virtual or robotic therapist that is always accessible, has endless amounts of time and patience, never forgets what a patient has said, and does not judge [63,64], thus potentially offering a service that is highly reliable and particularly well-suited to certain patient populations. If integrated into a scaled provision of services, AI-enabled applications could provide support for mild cases of depression and other nonacute conditions [65], therefore helping health professionals to devote more time to the most severe cases. In view of overall increasing burden of illness in mental health and against a background of limited resources, these are important benefits to consider. However, it is likely that embodied AI may not be warmly received by all mental health care professionals, and some may even have serious misgivings about its use because of ethical or clinical concerns. Thus far, there has been no substantial review of the reception of AI across or within specific mental health fields, marking an area in need of further research.

**Overarching Ethical Concerns**

**Harm Prevention and Data Ethics Issues**

To satisfy the well-established ethical principle of nonmaleficence, more robust research is needed on embodied AI applications in mental health to prevent harm both within therapeutic encounters and in cases where robots could malfunction or operate in unpredictable ways. For instance, in interviewing respondents working with AI robotic technologies, Cresswell et al discuss an example of a woman who was stuck in an elevator with a robot and another who was run over by a robot [6]. Chatbots and avatars could also stop working or malfunction. Hence, it needs to be discussed if embodied AI devices—potentially including virtual agents and freely available mental health applications—should require the same kind of rigorous risk assessment and regulatory oversight that other medical devices are subject to before they are approved for clinical use.

Similar to other devices employed in medical settings, the use of any AI applications in mental health care requires careful consideration surrounding data security of devices that communicate personal health information, the ways that the data generated is used, and the potential for hacking and nonauthorized monitoring [66,67]. Clear standards are needed on issues surrounding confidentiality, information privacy, and secure management of data collected by intelligent virtual agents and assistive robots as well as their use for monitoring habits, movement, and other interactions [68,69]. Concerns around privacy may be amplified as the amount of data collected continues to expand; for example, we anticipate that applications that integrate video data would need to have specific privacy protections in place for the communication of sensitive information, or information pertaining to individuals other than the consenting patient.

**Lack of Guidance on Development, Clinical Integration, and Training**

With embodied AI being one of the newest and most rapidly changing areas of psychological and psychiatric research and treatment, existing legal and ethical frameworks are often not closely attuned to these changes. Rather than providing regulatory guidance, there is the risk that the ‘gaps’ between application and ethical frameworks would only be addressed once harm had already occurred [6]. Again, this is the case with many forms of emerging medical technologies; however, in view of the rapid pace of translation of embodied AI into
practice in settings where traditional health technology assessment and medical oversight systems are not fully applicable—for example, through freely available therapy chatbots—this is an important concern. Although anticipating the ethical and legal questions that will emerge alongside future developments is difficult, active reflection on the ‘regulatory fit’ for embodied mental health AI is necessary. Initiatives for establishing guidelines are emerging, including the online collaboratively generated document “Moral Responsibility for Computing Artifacts: The Rules,” or the recent “An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations” [70]. However, thus far, no guidance exists that is specific to the field of mental health services; pointing to the need for the development of further recommendations to better guide advances in this area.

In addition to a lack of guidance on the development of these interventions for design, use, and regulatory questions, so far, there are also no frameworks available on how medical professionals can effectively engage with and train for increased use of embodied AI in the clinic; that is, although there is an increasing body of both academic and popular literature on how embodied AI can be integrated into clinical practice in mental health, there remains a lack of high-level guidance from professional bodies on the best use of AI in mental health services [15,71-73]. There are also no recommendations available on how to train and prepare young doctors for a mental health field in which such tools will increasingly be used by patients. Thus, further ethical guidelines are needed that are specific to assisting mental health professionals who will be supervising patients who have, or possibly will, engage with AI services.

**Potential for Misuse to Reduce Service Provision**

An ethically informed integration of AI should also consider questions of a just provision of mental health care [52]. There is the worry that the incorporation of embodied AI in mental health could be a justification for replacing established services, resulting in fewer available health resources or principally AI-driven services, thereby potentially exacerbating existing health inequalities. Many proponents insist that although informed by evidence-based psychotherapeutic approaches, chatbots, for instance, are not intended to replace therapists entirely. In some cases, forms of ‘blended’ care involving both in-person and virtual forms of therapy are being explored [74], which might also be appropriate for intelligent applications. Blended care models potentially offer the opportunity to draw on the strengths of both AI applications and in-person clinical supervision. However, whether or not it is appropriate to implement AI applications in mental health care depends in part on the availability of other resources in that area. As noted, in cases with limited mental health services, AI applications could provide a needed resource that is decidedly better than no services at all. However, at this point, AI mental health services are not a substitute or a stand-in for the kind of robust, multiterritorial mental health care available in high-resource health care systems. Appropriately considering the status quo of mental health resources in each context is thus highly relevant from an ethical perspective [75]. Otherwise, AI tools in mental health could be used as an excuse for reducing the provision of high-quality, multilayered care by trained mental health professionals in low-resource settings.

**Discussion**

**Specific Challenges in Application**

**Risk-Assessment, Referrals, and Supervision**

Considering the application of embodied AI tools in mental health practice, a host of specific challenges need to be kept in mind: mental health professionals have an ethical responsibility to inform other service providers as well as third parties or authorities if a patient indicates that they are a threat to themselves or to another individual. How this would work in artificially intelligent interventions, particularly when there is no supervision of the interaction between the AI agent and the patient by a qualified health professional, remains to be determined. It is unclear when, and how, assistive robots that patients have in their homes, or freely available virtual agents and chatbots, would effectively connect at-risk individuals with appropriate services, including hospitalization and other protections. This scenario is particularly relevant in the aforementioned situation of using AI mental health applications to extend access to rural, hard to reach, or uninsured populations. In these cases, some provision of service is arguably better than nothing. However, what should be done if, for example, a therapy bot detects through speech patterns that an individual is at higher risk for self-harm, yet appropriate referral services are not available in the area?

AI applications engaged in therapeutic relationships with clients will likely also need to be bound by similar ethical guidelines as those that bind mental health professionals. However, so far, how an AI duty of care or a code of practice on reporting harm should be operationalized is entirely unclear. An obvious suggestion would be to always mandate supervision through a qualified mental health clinician—when a human therapist evaluates a patient’s expression of self-harm, she also considers contextual information in her interpretation of the level of risk. Whether, and to what degree, robotic therapists are able to do this remains unclear. However, many AI applications are available outside established mental health settings; in addition, the capacity of computerized methods to identify and predict psychiatric illness are increasing [12,13], as are their skills of therapeutic interaction and communication. Thus, the question of whether supervision of embodied AI in health should always be provided, and how such a requirement could be successfully implemented, remains a subject for further debate.

**Respecting and Protecting Patient Autonomy**

Another concern for the application of embodied AI in mental health practice centers on enabling and respecting patient autonomy [52]. These are novel technologies that require assessment to guarantee that patients fully understand how the application or avatar works in order to ensure that a patient does not misunderstand or mistake the intelligent system for a human-driven application. For instance, it would be problematic if a patient were to assume that ‘at the other end’ of the chatbot there is a doctor communicating or reviewing her messages. Furthermore, obtaining consent for applications used outside
of medical systems raises thorny concerns. For instance, an elderly person or a person with intellectual disabilities may not be able to understand what a robot is or what a robot does when it is installed at home to monitor the patient’s activities, risking privacy infringement [42], manipulation, and even coercion if the conditions for informed consent are not satisfied. Such questions make consenting to surveillance, interaction, and data collection with the robot challenging matters. Distinctions could be drawn between interventions that are seen as helping and monitoring, as opposed to those that run the risk of manipulation and coercion; however, these lines are often blurred both in theory and in practice [76]. How AI applications should evaluate if a patient has fully understood the information provided when giving consent, and how to proceed in cases where it is not possible for individuals to provide consent, such as children, patients with dementia, those with intellectual disabilities, or those in acute phases of schizophrenia, needs to be addressed.

Another area of particular concern in relation to matters of promoting autonomy in the use of AI in mental health care is the engagement of vulnerable populations. People have been shown to be more compliant when a robot asks them to do something as compared with a person [9]. Although this could lead to better results when helping patients with autism or those needing to make difficult behavioral changes, the concern exists that people could be manipulated or coerced into doing things that they should not or that they have not fully thought through, either because of the novelty of the device or because of a lack of companions with whom to discuss alternatives. Some studies have made a distinction between a “suspension of disbelief” when anthropomorphizing a robot caregiver and deception per se [42,77], but this is a line that requires further investigation in practice.

Nonhuman Therapy?

In general, the question remains as to whether there are aspects of the therapeutic encounter that cannot be achieved through AI. Some therapeutic benefits may be difficult to anticipate, or highly specific to a particular individual’s relationship to his or her therapist. One study found that embodied conversational agents had difficulty evaluating a user’s emotional state in a real-time dialog and that the absence of a human therapist in Web-based mental health interventions for treating depression and anxiety had a negative effect on user adherence to the programs [78]. In the treatment of insomnia, some patients indicated that they missed having a human therapist [79]. Relatedly, because robots and artificially intelligent systems blur previously assumed boundaries between reality and fiction, this could have complex effects on patients. Similar to therapeutic relationships, there is the risk of transference of emotions, thoughts, and feelings to the robot. In particular, given that many of the target populations are vulnerable because of their illness, age, or living situation in a health care facility, there is the additional concern that patients would be vulnerable in their engagements with the robot because of their desire for company or to feel cared for [80]. Unlike with a therapist, however, there is no person on the other side of this transference. Whether robot therapists will ever be able to deal adequately with such transference remains to be seen. Further concerns are likely to emerge in practice; thus, embodied AI therapeutic aids need to also be evaluated carefully for unanticipated differences with standard therapy modalities.

Ethical Issues in Algorithms

It is necessary to note that AI mental health interventions work with algorithms, and algorithms come with ethical issues. It has been well-established that existing human biases can be built into algorithms, reinforcing existing forms of social inequality [81]. This raises the concern that AI-enabled mental health devices could also contain biases that have the potential to exclude or harm in unintended ways, such as data-driven sexist or racist bias or bias produced by competing goals or endpoints of devices [82,83]. Following other calls for transparency [84], the algorithms used in artificially intelligent applications for mental health purposes could be similarly open to scrutiny. This may require investing additional time in explaining to patients (and their families) what an algorithm is and how it works in relation to the therapy provided [85]. However, how to best do this, in particular with patients with compromised mental capacities, requires further consideration.

Concerns Regarding Long-Term Effects

Apart from these more immediate concerns, the implementation of embodied AI into mental health services also raises a number of broader questions regarding long-term impacts on patients, the mental health community, and society more widely. For instance, it has been noted that long-term use of AI interventions could lead to some patients or patient groups becoming overly attached to these applications. A study by Cresswell et al noted that robots that aim to alleviate loneliness or provide emotional comfort carry the risk that the patients they work with could become dependent on them [6]. More broadly, others have raised questions about ways that robots could contribute to changing social values surrounding care or situations in which caregiving is increasingly ‘outsourced’ to robotic aids. The impact of intelligent robots on relationships, both human-robot and human-human relationships, is an area that requires further probing, as do potential effects on identity, agency, and self-consciousness in individual patients. Specifically, research into the effectiveness of these applications needs to cover not only if the social skills of children with ASD are improved by working with robots but also their ability to apply these skills to relationships with other humans. Similarly, if a sex robot is provided therapeutically to an individual with paraphilia, the effects of this on the targeted behaviors with other humans also needs to be evaluated. The risk exists that if robotic interventions are not translatable to improving human interaction, that they merely remain a way of improving human relations with machines, or worse, an outlet that further limits human-to-human relationships. Similarly, engagement with embodied intelligent devices could also have important effects on the individual, such as on personal sense of identity or agency.

The integration of AI devices into our everyday lives and medical care is undoubtedly changing social expectations and practices of communication. There are essential differences between communicating with an AI device and communicating with another human. Anecdotal findings suggest that some users often speak to assistive devices such as Siri or Alexa in a curter or ruder manner than they would to a human [86]. Importantly,
perceptions of the devices can vary by users; children often understand these devices differently than adults, sometimes attributing human characteristics to the device or believing that the device has a real individual inside [87]. Extrapolating from this example, it is clear that the ways that individuals interact with the AI applications in their lives can have implications for communication and social interaction. How this will evolve as more patients have the opportunity to interact with AI applications as part of their mental health care requires further empirical investigation to catch problematic trends early and correct for future development.

A related concern of objectification exists for some areas of AI applications, such as sex robots. The use of ‘sexbots’ has already been notably controversial, with scholars objecting that sexual dysfunction depends on a range of physical, psychological, and sociocultural factors that are profoundly relational and reciprocal. Rather than addressing issues of isolation associated with sexual dysfunction, robots might aggravate it or contribute to reductionist understandings of sexual violence [88]. It has been cautioned that the use of sex robots—also available in childlike models or programmed with personalities such as “Frigid Farrah” to resist sexual advances—could instead increase the occurrence of sex crimes, normalize the production of social inequalities surrounding the male gaze [89], and contribute to unwanted sexual encounters. Furthermore, the creation of humanoid robots for use in sexual dysfunction raises concerns that it could reinforce or even legitimize the objectification of humans, in particular women and children [3,88]. As the use of AI in many therapeutic applications has not yet been validated in randomized controlled trials (RCTs), there is the risk that particular applications might make problems such as sexual violence worse. More broadly, embodied AI applications necessarily involve a relatively narrow understanding of illness. For instance, sex robots may help with some medical concerns but do not address other determinants of illness that would have to be taken into account from a bio-psycho-social understanding of mental health illness. Widespread AI use could thus exacerbate trends of reductionism in mental health.

Ideas around embodied AI are culturally and historically shaped. Whether providing motivational interviews in therapy [64], acting as embodied conversational agents for mental disorders [47], or working with populations with intellectual disabilities [90], discussion of embodied AI often turns to worries surrounding the limits of human control over technology. Conjuring images of the Terminator or other depictions of the nonhuman in science fiction or cinema, such tools can carry with them negative or scary associations that bring the issue of trust in medical practice into new light [6]. However, exposure to robotic devices, or living in places with positive or caring associations with robots, can influence the adoption of AI devices in different settings [91]. Initiatives that integrate embodied AI into health care practices need to be duly attuned to existing cultural understandings of the role of technology in social lives, and work to ensure that trust between patient and provider, or patient and the health care system, is not eroded.

Finally, AI agents for mental health raise fundamental questions about what it is to be human [6]. One of the principal contributions of science and technology studies scholarship has been to show how humans do not simply act upon objects but rather our relationships with objects also alters, transforms, and imposes limits upon human activity [92]. Interaction with embodied AI agents, just like interaction with other individuals or a therapist, alters behaviors and understandings of the world. Although social relationships are characterized by reciprocity, relationships with intelligent devices are neither mutual nor symmetric. In particular, some have raised the concern that interacting more with artificial agents may lead some individuals to engage less with other people around them or to develop forms of intimacy with intelligent robots [93], raising concerns specific to the use of robots with children or those with intellectual disabilities. As mentioned, people develop attachments to objects and have been shown to also develop attachments to simpler robotic systems such as AIBO. Thus, it is likely that as more intelligent and autonomous devices are developed, human relationships with them will become even more complicated [94].

**Conclusions**

In light of the demonstrated benefits and potential, such as expanding the reach of services to underserved populations or enhancing existing services provided by mental health professionals, embodied AI has emerged as an exciting and promising approach across the field of mental health. At present, the quality of research on embodied AI in psychiatry, psychology, and psychotherapy is varied, and there is a marked need for more robust studies including RCTs on the benefits and potential harms of current and future applications.

This is still an emerging field, and any analysis of ethical implications can only be preliminary at this point. However, a few conclusions and recommendations are warranted, based on the considerations presented in this paper:

1. It is necessary to develop clear guidance on whether (and which) embodied AI applications should be subject to standard health technology assessment and require regulatory approval. This should include a set of broader provisions for the use of AI services outside the supervision of a health care professional.

2. Professional associations in mental health should develop guidelines on the best use of AI in mental health services as well as recommendations on how to train and prepare young doctors for wide-spread use of embodied AI in mental health, including blended care models.

3. AI tools in mental health should be treated as an additional resource in mental health services. They should not be used as an excuse for reducing the provision of high-quality care by trained mental health professionals, and their effect on the availability and use of existing mental health care services will need to be assessed.

4. To satisfy duties of care and reporting of harm, ideally embodied AI should remain under the supervision of trained mental health professionals. Any applications offered outside of mental health care settings, such as apps and bots, should be required to demonstrate reliable pathways of risk-assessment and referral to appropriate services.

5. Embodied AI should be used transparently. Guidance on how to implement applications in a way that respects patient...
autonomy needs to be developed, for example, regarding
when and how consent is required and how to best deal
with matters of vulnerability, manipulation, coercion, and
privacy.
6. AI algorithms in mental health need to be scrutinized, for
example, for bias. Ideally, health professionals should be
trained in communicating to their patients the role of the
algorithms used in different applications they might be
using or consider using, and such algorithms should be open
for public debate and shaping.

7. Increased use of embodied AI should be accompanied by
research that investigates both direct and indirect effects
on the therapeutic relationship, other human-human
relationships, and effects on individual self-consciousness,
agency, and identity. Long-term effects, ranging from health
reductionism to increased objectification and impacts on
our understandings of what it means to be human, need to
be monitored.
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Abstract

Background: The World Health Organization states that 35% of women experience domestic violence at least once during their lifetimes. However, approximately 80% of health professionals have never received any training on management of this major public health concern.

Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of health professions digital education on domestic violence compared to that of traditional ways or no intervention.

Methods: Seven electronic databases were searched for randomized controlled trials from January 1990 to August 2017. The Cochrane Handbook guideline was followed, and studies reporting the use of digital education interventions to educate health professionals on domestic violence management were included.

Results: Six studies with 631 participants met our inclusion criteria. Meta-analysis of 5 studies showed that as compared to control conditions, digital education may improve knowledge (510 participants and 5 studies; standardized mean difference [SMD] 0.67, 95% CI 0.38-0.95; I²=59%; low certainty evidence), attitudes (339 participants and 3 studies; SMD 0.67, 95% CI 0.25-1.09; I²=68%; low certainty evidence), and self-efficacy (174 participants and 3 studies; SMD 0.47, 95% CI 0.16-0.77; I²=0%; moderate certainty evidence).

Conclusions: Evidence of the effectiveness of digital education on health professionals’ understanding of domestic violence is promising. However, the certainty of the evidence is predominantly low and merits further research. Given the opportunity of scaled transformative digital education, both further research and implementation within an evaluative context should be prioritized.

(J Med Internet Res 2019;21(5):e13868) doi:10.2196/13868
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**Introduction**

Domestic violence (also referred to as family violence) is a complex public health problem [1] that places a notable burden on the health care system [2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) defined domestic violence, an aggressive and oppressive form of interpersonal violence, as a situation where an individual uses control tactics to emotionally, physically, sexually, or economically abuse a family member or past/current romantic partner [3]. Forms of control behavior can include but are not limited to psychological, physical, sexual, financial, and emotional abuse [4].

The WHO commissioned a multinational study on domestic violence with data collected from 10 countries, which showed that 13%-61% of women between the ages of 15-49 years had experienced physical abuse from their intimate partners at least once in their lifetime [3]. However, due to various reasons including shame, embarrassment, social stigma, and fear of and dependency on the abuser, survivors are often unwilling to reveal their difficulties to others [5,6]. Domestic violence can have both short- and long-term effects on the mental and physical well-being of the survivors. Injuries and physical ailments resulting from prolonged exposure to domestic violence include chronic neurological disorders, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory, intestinal and digestive conditions, reproductive disorders, physical injuries, and even death [7-10]. The less visible, but equally detrimental, impact of domestic violence includes psychological and emotional sufferings, anxiety, fear, depression, and posttraumatic stress [11]. Survivors often require treatment and care from a spectrum of health professionals, ranging from family physicians to physical therapists or clinical psychologists [5].

By facilitating early detection and treatment through a well-structured system of education and support, health professionals could play an important role in promoting greater awareness on domestic violence, identifying survivors of violence, and enabling survivor protection [12]. As health professionals are usually the first line of contact for survivors, they would need to undergo special training to identify, support, and treat domestic violence patients. This would be of particular importance to conservative societies where domestic violence is known to be severely underreported [13,14]. Moreover, the immense shortage of health professionals worldwide, especially in developing countries [15], compounded by the lack of training of health professionals, poses immense challenges in tackling the global domestic violence crisis [13,16].

Occasional training programs on domestic violence consisting of seminars and workshops often claim poor retention rates, as they are mostly time-consuming [17], require health professionals to travel to training locations, and are taught by academics who themselves may have had little exposure to people who have experienced domestic violence [18,19]. With the increasing use of information communication technologies in health professions’ education, leveraging on digital education to provide domestic violence management education could help address the various challenges of training and manpower shortage while improving the cost-effectiveness of educational programs [20-22].

The term digital education refers to a range of teaching and learning strategies that utilize digital media and devices for training and as interaction tools [23]. Digital education can be further subclassified into various types according to delivery methods (online or offline), content, learning objectives, pedagogical approaches, and delivery settings [24]. The use of the internet to deliver content is referred to as the online mode of digital education, while the use of software or PowerPoint without the need for the internet to deliver content is referred to as the offline mode of digital education. With its scalability, flexibility, cost-effectiveness, and ability to overcome geographical and temporal constraints, digital education has the potential to provide more independent, customized, and accessible domestic violence training. Studies comparing digital education to traditional methods in various specialties including medical education and engineering have found digital education to be more efficient and effective in building knowledge [25,26].

Although a previous study reviewed domestic violence education among health professionals [19], digital education has been gaining popularity in health professions’ curricula and hence its use in domestic violence education should be studied further. To the best of our knowledge, there are no systematic reviews evaluating the effectiveness of digital health interventions specifically for domestic violence training among health professionals. Hence, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of health professions’ digital education on domestic violence compared to that of traditional ways or no intervention.

**Methods**

**Search Strategy**

We followed the Cochrane Handbook guidelines for this review. A more detailed description of the methodology is provided in the paper by Car et al [27]. This review is part of a global evidence-synthesis initiative for digital health professions’ education [28-39]. The search for the relevant trials was conducted across 7 databases: MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Elsevier), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (Wiley), PsychINFO (Ovid), Educational Resource Information Centre (Ovid), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (EBSCO), and Web of Science Core Collection (Thomson Reuters). The detailed search strategy for MEDLINE is presented in the Multimedia Appendix 1. A manual search was conducted to identify any relevant articles from the reference lists of all included articles. A search was also conducted in the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform Search Portal and the metaRegister of Controlled Trials to identify unpublished trials, meeting abstracts, and doctoral theses from Jan 1990 to August 2017.

**Eligibility Criteria**

The inclusion criteria are presented inTextbox 1. We adopted a broad definition of domestic violence, encompassing all subcategories of domestic violence, to capture a wide range of studies on the topic.
**Textbox 1. Inclusion criteria for studies.**

### Design
- Randomized controlled trials
- Cluster randomized controlled trials

### Participants
- Preregistration undergraduates enrolled in health-related courses (including allied health, nursing, and rehabilitation specialization).
  - Preregistration undergraduate education or basic vocational training is defined as any type of study leading to a qualification that (1) is recognized by the relevant government or professional bodies of the country where the study was conducted and (2) entitles the qualification holder to apply for entry-level positions in the health care workforce or have direct contact with patients
- Postregistration health professionals undertaking Continued Medical Education and Continued Professional Development.
  - Postregistration is defined as any type of qualification that is recognized by the relevant government bodies and enables the holder to gain entry into or continue to work in the health care workforce in a more independent or senior role, excluding traditional/complementary medicine practitioners
  - Continued Medical Education is defined as “educational activities which serve to maintain, develop, or increase the knowledge, skills, and professional performance and relationships that a health professional uses to provide services for patients, the public, or the profession” [41]
  - Continued Professional Development is defined as “a range of learning activities through which health and care professionals maintain and develop throughout their career to ensure that they retain their capacity to practice safely, effectively and legally within their evolving scope of practice” [42]

### Interventions/exposure
- Studies that use digital education interventions to train pre- and postregistration health professionals in domestic violence management
- Training is delivered via digital education alone (fully) or partially (ie, blended learning)

### Comparator(s)/control
- Studies comparing digital education interventions with traditional methods of learning domestic violence management
- Studies comparing digital education interventions with control groups that do not receive any training on domestic violence management
- Studies comparing one type of digital education intervention to another

### Outcomes
- Primary outcomes (assessed using validated or nonvalidated measurement tools):
  - Learners’ knowledge postintervention
  - Learners’ skills postintervention
  - Learners’ attitudes
  - Learners’ improvement of self-efficacy defined as improved efficiency toward domestic violence management
- Secondary outcomes (assessed using validated or nonvalidated measurement tools):
  - Learners’ satisfaction postintervention
  - Patient-related outcomes
  - Cost and cost-effectiveness of the intervention
  - Any adverse or unintended effects of digital education interventions

### Timeline
- Publications from January 1990 through August 2017

We included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-randomized trials reporting the use of digital education interventions (including blended learning, which is a combination of conventional learning and digital education) to educate health professionals on domestic violence management. RCTs with and without control groups that received traditional interventions delivered by either health professionals or university personnel were included. Studies targeting both practicing health professionals and students were included in this review. No language restrictions were imposed. All digital
education interventions were included. Cross-over studies were excluded due to the high likelihood of carry-over effects [40]. Non-RCTs and studies not focusing on computer-based interventions and interventions delivered to individuals other than health professionals were also excluded.

**Study Selection**

The search results from all the databases were combined in a single Endnote X8 library (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA), and all duplicate records were removed. Search filters were used to remove articles not related to digital education for health professionals. Two reviewers (UD and NN) then independently screened the titles, abstracts, and full-text articles to identify studies potentially meeting the inclusion criteria. Disagreements were resolved through discussion between the reviewers. Primary outcomes included knowledge, skills, attitudes, self-efficacy, and satisfaction with the education measured using any validated and nonvalidated instruments. Secondary outcome measures included patient outcomes (eg, feedback from domestic violence survivors seeking treatment), change in health professionals’ behavior (ie, health professionals’ confidence in and ease of identifying and treating domestic violence survivors), and economic impact of the intervention.

**Data Extraction**

All the relevant data including study characteristics, type of digital education intervention, participant demographics, data for outcome measures, and other publication details were extracted independently by UD and NN using a structured data extraction form. We contacted one study author (Short LM) [43] for missing information.

**Risk of Bias Assessment and the Overall Quality of Evidence**

UD and NN independently assessed the risk of bias using the Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool [44]. When it was unclear if a trial was of low or high risk, the field was coded as unclear risk of bias. The following domains were evaluated: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other bias. The following GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) criteria for evaluating the overall quality of evidence were used: limitations of studies (risk of bias), inconsistency (heterogeneity), indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias [45].

**Data Synthesis**

Postintervention mean and SDs were used. The baseline mean value was used to calculate the final posttest mean and SD in studies that presented change scores rather than the final mean. When the studies compared more than two groups, the results from the comparison of the least active control group and the most active intervention group were presented.

**Statistical Analysis**

We pooled the data using the random-effect model and calculated standardized mean differences (SMDs) with 95% CIs. Statistical heterogeneity across studies was assessed using the Cochran Q test and I² statistics (negligible: 0%-40%, moderate: 30%-60%, or substantial: 50%-90% heterogeneity) [44]. All statistical analyses were conducted using RevMan software (version 5.3; The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark).

**Results**

The searches generated a total of 30,073 references. Following abstract and title screening, 144 articles were found to be relevant to domestic violence and selected for full-text screening. Of those, six met our eligibility criteria (Figure 1).
Study Characteristics

All the 6 included RCTs were published between 2000 and 2014 in high-income countries: 5 were from the United States [43,46-49] and 1 was from the Netherlands [50]. All the studies included were parallel RCTs. Three of the studies were conducted in a university setting, and the remaining three were conducted in community and hospital settings. Two of the studies [49,50] focused on child abuse; one, on intimate partner violence [43], and three, on domestic violence, in general [46-48]. In addition, three studies targeted dental professionals [46,48,49], two targeted physicians [43,47], and one targeted nurses [50].

A total of 631 participants were included in the six studies, of which 420 participants (66%) were dentists and dental students. Three studies used offline and three studies used online modes of delivering digital education intervention. The following primary outcomes were reported: knowledge [43,46-49], attitudes [43,46-48], self-efficacy [43,47,50], and skills [50]. Surveys, questionnaires, and checklist were used to measure these outcomes, of which only two instruments [43,47] were validated. The duration of the intervention varied between 15 minutes and 3 weeks. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the main characteristics of the included studies. The study by Shapiro [49], including second-year dental students, on recognizing child abuse was the only study comparing a digital education intervention with traditional lecture-based learning, whereas all the other studies compared digital education to no intervention.
Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year), country, setting</th>
<th>Characteristics of participants (preregistration/postregistration/mixed) and field of study (number of participants)</th>
<th>Intervention (duration)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danley et al (2004), USA, university [46]</td>
<td>Mixed (dental students and dentists); dentistry (N=174)</td>
<td>Offline interactive multimedia tutorial on DV designed to educate dentists to identify and respond to DV. Control group had no intervention. Assessment via questionnaires (15-25 min)</td>
<td>No intervention</td>
<td>Intervention demonstrated significantly improved attitudes and knowledge compared to the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris et al (2002), USA, medical association [47]</td>
<td>Postregistration (physicians); primary care, emergency medicine, and orthopedics (N=121)</td>
<td>Online DV program designed to improve the confidence of practicing physicians in managing DV patients. Assessment via questionnaires (2 weeks to complete the program)</td>
<td>No intervention</td>
<td>Online education program on DV can improve physician confidence (measured by self-efficacy), attitudes, and self-reported knowledge in managing DV patients. In addition, 17.8% mean change in the self-efficacy domain score for the intervention group versus -0.6% change for the control group (P&lt;.001) was observed. Self-reported user satisfaction with the program was high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsieh et al (2006), USA, university and clinics [48]</td>
<td>Postregistration (dentists); dentistry (N=174)</td>
<td>Offline interactive multimedia tutorial on DV designed to educate dentists to identify and respond to DV. Assessment via questionnaires (15 min)</td>
<td>No intervention</td>
<td>The posttest comparison of the two groups was statistically significant (P=.01) in favor of the online training group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro et al (2014), USA, university [49]</td>
<td>Preregistration (dental students); dentistry (N=72)</td>
<td>Online interactive training module to educate dental students on child abuse, assessed via questionnaires (3 weeks for reviewing the online module)</td>
<td>Traditional lecture-based session</td>
<td>In LG(^b), 91.6% agreed or strongly agreed that the traditional lecture was a good way to learn the material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short et al (2006), USA, community practice [43]</td>
<td>Postregistration (community physicians); family medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics, and gynecology (N=52)</td>
<td>Online CME(^c) program to educate HCPs(^d) on IPV(^e) program in a community practice setting assessed via self-administered, paper-based survey tool (minimum 4 hours)</td>
<td>No intervention</td>
<td>Online CME(^c) survey program for physician readiness to manage intimate partner violence was successful in improving physicians’ IPV knowledge, attitudes, and self-efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smeekens et al (2011), The Netherlands, medical center [50]</td>
<td>Postregistration (nurses); emergency medicine (N=38)</td>
<td>Offline program designed to educate nurses to recognize child abuse in a simulated case, assessed via performance in simulated cases (minimum of 2 hours during a 2-week period)</td>
<td>No intervention</td>
<td>Nurses in the intervention group performed significantly better during the simulation than the control group and reported higher self-efficacy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)DV: domestic violence.
\(^b\)LG: lecture group
\(^c\)CME: Continued Medical Education.
\(^d\)HCP: health care professional.
\(^e\)IPV: intimate partner violence.

Continued Medical Education is defined as “educational activities which serve to maintain, develop, or increase the knowledge, skills, and professional performance and relationships that a health professional uses to provide services for patients, the public, or the profession” [48].
Table 2. Outcomes of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and outcome measures</th>
<th>Intervention group score, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Control group score, mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Danley et al [46]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>3.0 (0.76)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>4.6 (1.15)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harris et al [47]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>3.3 (1.96)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>—[^a]</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>3.7 (1.20)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hsieh et al [48]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>3.1 (2.29)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>5.5 (0.19)</td>
<td>4.8 (1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shapiro et al [49]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>80.5 (1.24)</td>
<td>76.1 (1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short et al [43]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>28.4 (5.68)</td>
<td>25.8 (5.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>4.7 (1.00)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>4.6 (1.15)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smeekens et al [50]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>71 (18)</td>
<td>89 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>447 (98)</td>
<td>502 (96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^a]: Not available.

**Effects of Interventions**

Meta-analysis of five studies [43,46-49] considered to be sufficiently homogeneous found that digital education (offline and online) may increase knowledge of domestic violence in dentists, physicians, and allied health professionals (510 participants; SMD 0.67, 95% CI 0.25-1.09; I²=68%). There was a substantial level of heterogeneity among the studies (χ²=6.3; P=.04; I²=68%).

Meta-analysis of three studies [43,47,50] found that compared to no intervention, digital education (offline and online) may increase postintervention self-efficacy toward domestic violence management in physicians and nurses (174 participants; SMD 0.47, 95% CI 0.16-0.77; I²=0%). The was no evidence of heterogeneity (χ²=0.7; P=.71; I²=0%).

One study [50] comparing change of score in skills found that digital education (offline program) may improve domestic violence skills in nurses (25 participants; SMD 0.94, 95% CI 0.11-1.77) compared to no intervention.
Summary Risk of Bias

Of the six studies, four [43,46,48,50] were found to have an overall low risk of bias and the remaining two [47,49] had a high or an unclear risk of bias.

The random sequence generation method was reported in four [43,46,48,50] of the six studies. Blinding and protection against selective reporting was achieved through the nature of the intervention and the reporting of all the results in all the studies. Attrition and other biases were of low risk for five [43,46,48-50] of the six studies. One study had a high risk of attrition bias resulting from a high drop-out rate (42%). However, details of allocation concealment were not reported in any of the studies, and blinding of outcome assessment was attempted in only one study [50]. Similarly, the method for random sequence generation was not clearly stated in two studies [47,49]. At the individual-study level, of 56 domains, 14 (25%) were reported as unclear and one (2%) was reported as high risk (Figure 3). The summary of findings table shows the evidence to be of low to moderate quality as analyzed per the GRADE criteria (Table 3).
Figure 3. Risk of bias summary.

Table 3. Summary of findings table. Patient or population: health care professionals; Setting: university; Intervention: digital education; Comparison: traditional or no intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of participants (number of RCTs)</th>
<th>Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>The mean outcome score in the control groups was 21.79</td>
<td>510 (5)</td>
<td>Low&lt;sup&gt;d,e,f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The mean knowledge score in the intervention groups was 0.67 SD higher (0.38-0.95 higher)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>The mean outcome score in the control groups was 4.10</td>
<td>339 (3)</td>
<td>Low&lt;sup&gt;d,e,f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The results of one study (121 participants) were not pooled due to incomplete data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The mean attitude score in the intervention groups was 0.67 SD higher (0.25-1.09 higher)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>The mean outcome score in the control groups was 151.43</td>
<td>174 (3)</td>
<td>Moderate&lt;sup&gt;e,g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The mean self-efficacy score in the intervention groups was 0.47 SD higher (0.16-0.77 higher)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>The mean outcome score in the control groups was 71</td>
<td>25 (1)</td>
<td>Low&lt;sup&gt;d,e,f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The mean skill score in the intervention groups was 0.94 SD higher (0.11-1.77 higher)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

<sup>b</sup>RCT: randomized controlled trial.

<sup>c</sup>GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

<sup>d</sup>The heterogeneity was high with large variations in effects and the lack of overlap among CIs.

<sup>e</sup>Rated down by one level for study limitations. The risk of bias was unclear for allocation concealment in all studies.

<sup>f</sup>Low: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited. The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

<sup>g</sup>Moderate: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate. The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we systematically reviewed and pooled data on the use of digital education for domestic violence management. We draw attention to the gap in digital education on domestic violence and the potential benefits of this educational strategy. Our findings provide preliminary evidence to show that using digital education to address socially sensitive issues such as domestic violence may improve certain educational outcomes in health professionals receiving the training. Although competencies, trustable professional activities, knowledge, skills, and attitudes do not automatically translate into change of practice, they are indispensable for improving patient outcomes.

All the studies included in this review were published after the year 2000, which is the period when digital education started...
becoming more prominent in health care professions’ education [51] and more laws were implemented to tackle domestic violence [5,52,53].

Interestingly, we found that in all the studies, the intervention groups had improved knowledge, skills, attitudes, and self-efficacy, even though the studies employed different methodologies, sample sizes, sampling periods, settings, and types of domestic violence education. Additionally, the changes in primary outcomes were observed within short time periods of up to 2 weeks after the intervention, with only one study [43] measuring retention at the 12-month follow-up. Although the variability suggests that digital education has the versatility to reach a wide range of health professionals in different populations and settings, it underscores the potential of homogeneous short-term digital interventions in improving the quality of care that these professionals provide.

Risk of bias was mostly unclear for blinding of outcome assessment and allocation concealment, but it was mainly low for sequence generation. While the nature of the interventions does not allow blinding of participants, we believe it would not have had any effect on bias risk. We minimized biases by having two reviewers independently assess the articles for inclusion, complete data extraction, risk of bias, and use of the GRADE criteria. The overall quality of the evidence was low or moderate due to the risk of bias and inconsistency across the studies (Table 3).

This review has some important strengths including a strict adherence to the gold-standard Cochrane methods and use of validated, comprehensive, and reproducible searches across seven databases. Our review adds to previous research on domestic violence education for health professionals, as it focuses on the use of digital education, which is a growing area of research. Some weaknesses have to be kept in mind when interpreting the results of this systematic review. For instance, although our searches were comprehensive, we cannot be certain that all relevant trials were included.

However, the evidence evaluated has some limitations. First, only a few studies were published in this area, and they were all from high-income countries, making generalizability challenging. Although digital education may potentially serve as an effective and impactful solution to educating health professionals in domestic violence management, applicability, scalability, and implementation in low- and middle-income countries have to be studied further [54]. Second, we acknowledge that in certain countries such as the United Kingdom, social workers are the first “line of response” to domestic violence. Third, only two studies [47,49] measured and reported learners’ satisfaction as one of the primary outcomes. This further highlights the need for uniform and validated outcomes and methods of measuring them to make conclusive judgements. Moreover, only two studies [43,47] used validated measurement instruments to measure outcomes, thereby making it challenging to compare the use of digital education between settings. Subsequently, the lack of data on retention rate, costs, or patient outcomes prevents policy makers from making informed decisions or assessing the transferability of digital education to other settings. Finally, none of the RCT’s reported secondary outcomes such as patient outcomes, health professionals’ behavior change, and economic impact. Hence, we are unable to assess how these outcomes changed with digital education.

Future studies should be designed to evaluate the effect of digital education on these outcomes. We further recommend that future studies consider including other professionals such as social carers, psychologists, counsellors, or teachers. Findings of this review suggest that digital education could contribute to developing the competencies that health professionals need to respond to complex psychosocial problems such as domestic violence. Therefore, future studies should focus on recording more practical outcomes of the trainings such as change in detection and referral rates [55]. This will help ensure a better understanding of the actual value of integrating digital education modules into pre- and postregistration as well as the continuing professional development curricula. In addition, although domestic violence is more accepted and prevalent in low- and middle-income countries, the education gap is wide and digital education is still at the developing stage in these countries [14,56]. Data should be collected beyond geographical regions with inclusion of the cost analysis to obtain a better understanding of the impact and feasibility of integrating electronic learning modules on domestic violence management into the medical curriculum in low- and middle-income countries. We further recommend that future studies be designed with larger, appropriately powered RCTs, in both developed and low- and middle-income countries alike in order to ensure better representation. Researchers could use methods such as the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials for Social and Psychological Interventions 2018 (CONSORT-SPI 2018) checklist as guidance to design and report future studies on digital education for domestic violence in order to ensure that the data collected are of high quality and representative [57].

We believe that while digital education could help increase identification of and support to patients experiencing domestic violence, research with study designs incorporating blended learning might hold the highest potential. Such designs would combine the best of digital education, such as smartphones, apps, emails, text messages, and virtual patients, with the best of traditional classroom practices such as personalized contact or feedback, meetings, and discussions.

In conclusion, we found some promising, predominantly low-quality evidence for the effectiveness of digital education on domestic violence. We also highlighted the need for further research evaluating and validating culturally tailored digital education interventions geared toward more holistic management of domestic violence.
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