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Abstract

Background: Smoking among cancer survivors increases the risk of late effects and second cancers. This article reports on Partnership for Health-2 (PFH-2)—an effort to develop an effective and scalable version of Partnership for Health (PFH), which was a previously tested peer-delivered telephone counseling program that doubled smoking cessation rates among childhood cancer survivors who smoke.

Objective: This paper presents results from a randomized controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of PFH-2 in targeted and tailored Web-based versus print formats. The overall goal was to determine whether the intervention outcomes in these self-guided scalable formats approximate what was found in a more intensive telephone counseling program.

Methods: This study was a randomized controlled trial with a 15-month follow-up that included 374 smokers who were survivors of childhood or young adult cancers, recruited from five survivorship clinics. Participants were randomly assigned to a Web-based or print format of the PFH intervention; all had access to free pharmacotherapy. The website was designed to provide new content at each log-on, and a peer counselor moderated a forum/chat feature. The primary outcome was smoking status at 15 months post randomization.

Results: In total, 58.3% (77/132) of Web participants logged on at least once (mean visits 3.25). Using multiple imputation methods for missing data, there were similar rates of cessation in the two arms (print: 20/128, 15.6%; Web: 33/201, 6.4%), and no differences in quit attempts or readiness to quit. The quit rates were equivalent to those found in our previous telephone counseling intervention. There were high rates of satisfaction with both of the PFH-2 interventions.

Conclusions: The print and Web formats yielded equivalent levels of success to those found with our telephone-delivered intervention and are comparable to other Internet treatment studies. This study provides important options for survivorship programs that may not have resources for interpersonal forms of cessation counseling. Efforts to increase patient use of the interventions may result in higher cessation rates.
**Introduction**

Remarkable improvements in the treatment and long-term survival of childhood and young adult cancer survivors have resulted in prevention of adverse late effects and second primary cancers being a key part of survivorship care [1-5]. Smoking rates among this population are substantial [6-8]. In the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study, the largest US cohort of childhood cancer survivors, 28% of survivors reported having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and 17% reported current cigarette smoking [6]. In the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study, a population-based cohort of 17,981 survivors, 20.0% were current regular smokers and 29.8% were regular smokers but no longer are [8].

This team’s previous work has demonstrated the efficacy of Partnership for Health (PFH), a survivor-focused peer-delivered telephone counseling intervention for smoking cessation. PFH led to a doubling in quit rates compared with usual care and the intervention effect was sustained over 2-5 years of follow-up [9,10]. The connection that the peer-delivered intervention provided between survivors was an important way to engage participants in the intervention. However, it is challenging to scale interventions that include ongoing counseling.

A recent evaluation of existing infrastructure for delivering smoking cessation services in the context of survivorship programs revealed relatively few resources; only 3% of programs assessed smoking status at every visit, as recommended by Public Health Service (PHS) guidelines, and only 25% offered cessation services [11]. Further, cancer survivors are quite geographically dispersed and thus, effective interventions are needed that can be scaled easily and delivered remotely regardless of survivors’ location. The present study focused on the adaptation of the PFH peer-delivered intervention for a Web- and print-based format as a way to increase the intervention’s dissemination potential and sustainability. We selected Web- and print-based interventions because of the relatively high penetration of Internet access in the target age group [12] and because these formats could be integrated easily into standard practice at survivorship programs across the country, compared with telephone-based interventions.

This paper presents results from a randomized control trial evaluating the effectiveness of Partnership for Health-2 (PFH-2) in targeted and tailored Web-based versus print formats. The overall goal was to determine the outcomes for these self-guided scalable formats and whether they approximate what was found in a more intensive telephone counseling program. Our hypothesis was that the Web format would yield higher quit rates than print and would be similar in effectiveness to that found in the original PFH counseling intervention.

**Methods**

**Setting**

PFH-2 was conducted in collaboration with five cancer centers in the United States and Canada, with Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval at all sites. The study was also advertised on childhood and young adult survivorship websites. Eligibility included: diagnosed with cancer before age 35, currently between ages 18-55, completed cancer treatment for ≥2 years, mentally able to provide informed consent, reachable by telephone, able to speak English, and a current smoker (defined as smoking within the previous 30 days). Participants were informed that the study was examining different ways to deliver health information, including information about tobacco use, to survivors. They were not required to be interested in smoking cessation in order to participate. Baseline data collection began on December 2005 and follow-up data collection ended in October 2009.

A preliminary screen for eligibility was performed at each site via medical record review or brief telephone screening. After consent for sharing contact information was obtained, contact information was forwarded to the survey team to verify eligibility, obtain informed consent for study participation, and administer the baseline telephone survey.

**PFH-2 Study Design**

PFH-2 was a stratified randomized controlled trial with cancer center as strata. The goal was to test two scalable intervention formats for smoking cessation among childhood and young adult survivors, developed from an evidence-based intervention, and to determine whether the Web intervention, with the advantages that an interactive website has to offer, would outperform tailored and targeted print materials. Participants were randomized to one of two intervention conditions within strata, in a 5:3 randomization scheme: (1) PFH-2 Print Materials Intervention, or (2) PFH-2 Web Intervention. The random allocation sequence was generated by the study biostatistician. Randomization was done by the survey team and supervised by the biostatistician, following completion of the baseline survey. Study design is provided in detail elsewhere [13].

Intervention goals for both conditions included: (1) assess and enhance motivation to change, (2) address ambivalence about behavior change, (3) provide social support, (4) assess and build self-efficacy, (5) increase awareness of risks, (6) identify and address barriers to change, and (7) address nicotine dependence. Both conditions included: (1) a letter encouraging smoking cessation from the site oncologist, developed based on the principles of the National Cancer Institute’s “5 A’s” smoking counseling guidelines, (2) free pharmacotherapy for participants and spouses/significant others who want to quit, and (3) tailored...
and targeted self-help content (Web or print) addressing participant-specific barriers to change and other survivor-related topics of interest. The intervention period was 6 months and a follow-up survey was completed by telephone at 15 months after randomization.

**PFH-2 Print Materials Intervention**

The Print Materials arm received tailored and targeted self-help materials that were developed for the peer counselor condition in PFH-1. The materials were organized into a series of manuals, based on readiness to change [14], that addressed participant-specific barriers to change and other survivor-related topics of interest (eg, addressing depression, handling stress, managing weight). The manuals were designed to be as interactive as possible, with worksheets and opportunities for personalizing the content included throughout. Testimonials and stories of other survivors’ experiences were used to provide the survivor-to-survivor connection. Both PFH-2 conditions included other key features of the original PFH peer-delivered intervention, including a letter encouraging smoking cessation from the site oncologist and free pharmacotherapy (nicotine patch or Zyban) for themselves and any smoking partner/spouse who wished to quit. Those who were interested in pharmacotherapy contacted study staff and provided permission to contact their health care provider for approval using a fax-back form. We also sent the provider a copy of the pamphlet “Helping Smokers Quit: A guide for primary care clinicians” (US Department of Health and Human Service/Agency for Health Care Policy and Research) and a basic fact sheet about adult survivors of cancer. The pharmacotherapy was also sent to the provider and distributed to the patient by his or her office.

A baseline feedback report (BFR) was generated for each individual and sent between 5 and 10 business days following completion of the baseline survey. The BFR reflected information that patients gave on the baseline survey about their readiness to quit, perceived risk, nicotine dependence, and, based on these factors, which intervention manual to start with, drawing on approaches we have used previously [11,15]. The BFR also gave basic facts about cancer treatment and illustrated how cancer, cancer treatment, and smoking affects many of the same organs (see Figure 1). The Print Materials condition was designed to reflect as many of the realities as possible of how the intervention might be utilized when scaled to existing cancer survivorship clinics.

**PFH-2 Web Intervention**

Within 5-10 business days following completion of the baseline survey, those assigned to the Web intervention were sent log-on information. The Web intervention consisted of seven discrete tailored sessions designed to parallel the counseling sessions of the original PFH study and mirror the basic content of the PFH-2 print materials. The content was dynamically tailored, matching the participants’ stage of readiness. Upon first log-on, participants saw their BFR, described above, on their home page. The home page also highlighted active components of the intervention that participants had not yet navigated. Patients saw refreshed content on the home page as they progressed from session to session. A peer counselor moderated the website’s discussion forum and served as a resource for questions.

Participants who never logged in or stopped using the site received additional email prompts that highlighted content that survivors might find particularly important or engaging, along with biweekly emailed newsletters. Those who had not accessed the website within 11 weeks were sent a final letter along with the print materials to increase the likelihood of some exposure to the intervention content and to approximate likely approaches in a clinic setting. Participants had access to the website for 6

---

*Figure 1. Illustration of the interaction of smoking and cancer treatment/late effects.*
months regardless of their log-in status. For quality assurance purposes, several “test participants” were created and followed throughout the implementation period to identify glitches or issues with the Web system. These “participants” were not included in the tracking data and did not impact on intervention delivery in any way.

**Measures**

**Sociodemographics and Medical History**

Age, gender, race, ethnicity, marital status, education, height, weight, employment status, type of cancer, and cancer treatment were assessed.

**Internet Access and Utilization**

Internet access and utilization were measured with questions about whether participants owned a computer, whether they had access to the Internet at home and/or work, and how frequently they used a computer, email, and the Internet [16].

**Smoking Behavior**

“Smoking status” was assessed by self-reported assessment of smoking, even a puff, in the past 30 days. The bogus pipeline procedure was used to increase the accuracy of self-report, following standard implementation methods [17,18]. Specifically, participants were informed that they may be asked to provide a saliva sample to confirm their smoking status. This procedure has been found to improve the quality of self-reported smoking behavior. “Nicotine dependence” was assessed based on number of minutes after waking that participants smoked their first cigarette [19] (<30 minutes, nicotine dependent; ≥30 minutes, not nicotine dependent). “Quit attempts” were assessed by the number of quits in the previous 12 months with at least 24 hours abstinence. “Use of pharmacotherapy” was assessed with two questions about whether participants had ever used Zyban or nicotine replacement therapy to quit smoking.

**Motivational Variables**

“The Stages of Change Scale” assessed motivation to quit smoking [14], according to four categories: (1) precontemplation: not seriously thinking about quitting in the next 6 months; (2) contemplation: seriously thinking about quitting in the next 6 months; (3) preparation: intending to quit in the next month and have tried to quit in the past year; and (4) action: not currently smoking and quit within the past 6 months. “Self-efficacy” was assessed related to participants’ level of confidence that they could quit smoking in the next 1 and 6 months [7].

**Household and Workplace Smoking Policy**

Participants were asked about rules regarding smoking at home and work (smoking unrestricted inside the building/house, limited to certain rooms, or forbidden inside the building/house).

**Psychological Variables**

“Cancer-related distress” was assessed with the Intrusive Thoughts subscale of the Impact of Events Scale (IES), which measures frequency with which thoughts regarding cancer recurrence intrude into consciousness [20]. “Perceived control” was assessed with a 3-item scale that measured the degree to which participants felt they could control physical side effects, future health, and chance of a cancer recurrence [21]. “Perceived vulnerability” was assessed with a question about the likelihood of experiencing serious health problems in the future [11,22]. “Depression” was measured with the 2-item Prime MD scale [23].

**Contact With the Health Care System**

Participants were asked if they had a regular health care provider and if they had been seen by their primary care physician or their oncologist in the past year.

**Intervention Use**

Use of the Web-based intervention was assessed using Web analytics. Use of the print intervention was assessed on the follow-up survey, with questions about the percentage of materials read and frequency of use.

**Data Analysis**

Original sample size calculations were adjusted due to the discovery during implementation that the participating survivorship programs’ estimates of smokers were an over-estimate. Thus, we used a 5:3 allocation scheme to ensure an adequate sample size to evaluate the use of the Web-based intervention. With this approach, we had 71% power to detect a difference of 9% in quit rate between the Web and print groups. Baseline comparisons of patient characteristics between intervention arms were assessed. Depression level was the only variable of significance in this comparison and was, in addition to site, controlled for in all future analyses. All outcome analyses were conducted using multiple imputation methods based on the assumption of arbitrary missing patterns and thus used Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. This assumes multivariate normality to impute missing values (11.7%, 27/230 of the Web group and 12.5%, 18/144 of the print group were missing at follow-up). All analyses followed procedures described in the SAS OnlineDoc Version 8 for multiple imputation [24]. Logistic regression models were created to assess the impact of a priori determined predictor variables on the primary outcome—smoking status at follow-up. Variables that were significant in these models were considered potential variables to be entered into a multivariable logistic model predicting smoking status at follow-up. Any a priori determined variable (such as study site and depression level) were included in the final model selection regardless of bivariate significance. A parsimonious model that made clinical sense was developed by a process of forward and backward stepwise regression based on the individual variable significance in the model and the effect its removal had on other variable coefficients in the model. This was followed by assessing potential interaction effects, effect modifiers, and confounders. For the secondary outcome variables—quit attempts and readiness to quit smoking—similar model development occurred using polytomous logistic regression models with categorical outcome. All analyses were conducted in SAS Version 9.3.
Results

Participant Characteristics
We assessed eligibility among 4399 survivors; 4025 people were excluded (35.88%, 1444/4025 were not reached; the majority of the remainder were ineligible due to smoking status); 46.7% (374/801) of eligible survivors were enrolled in the study. In total, 88.0% (329/374) of enrollees completed the 15-month follow-up (see Figure 2). In Figure 2, “lost to follow-up” were subjects for which no contact information was available at the 15-month time period whereas “missing” were those subjects who did not respond to the survey after multiple contact attempts.

Mean age at enrollment was 32 years (SD 7.94) and at cancer diagnosis was 12 years (SD 8.06). The sample was evenly split by gender (51.3%, 192/374 male) and was predominantly white (86.4%, 323/374). In total, 36.1% (135/374) had a high school education or less and 29.7% (111/374) had at least a college degree. About half (176/374) were married or living with a partner and the majority (79.4%, 297/374) were employed in the last year. There were no differences between the two arms at baseline on any demographic or cancer-related variables (see Table 1).

A total of 59.9% (224/374) of the sample smoked less than one pack of cigarettes per day (range <1 to 60; mean 10/day). About half of the sample was nicotine dependent. The majority (63.1%, 236/374) were in preparation to quit smoking and 55.9% (209/374) screened positive for possible depression. There were no differences in any baseline demographic variables among completers and drop-outs except employment status; drop-outs were more likely to be employed.

Primary Outcomes—Smoking Cessation
There were no significant differences between the two interventions arms in terms of smoking status at follow-up. At the final assessment, 16.5% of Web participants (22/132) and 15.5% of print participants (20/127) reported being abstinent for the previous 30 days (see Table 2).

Several factors were associated with smoking abstinence in multivariate analyses, including gender (P≤.04, males less likely to have quit at follow-up) and cancer treatment factors (higher cessation rates were associated with a cancer diagnosis of leukemia [P=.03] or non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma [P=.01], not having received radiation [P=.01], and having received surgery [P=.01]). Higher levels of perceived vulnerability for serious illness in the future was marginally associated with lower rates of cessation (P=.08 for more likely vulnerable) and less restrictive rules about smoking in the home were associated with significantly lower rates of cessation (P=.05).

An exploratory comparison of quit rates in the original PFH peer-delivered telephone intervention with the current Web and print condition suggests that the PFH-2 interventions attained equivalent levels of cessation. The original telephone-delivered intervention resulted in quit rates of 15% at 12-month follow-up. Although this was not a randomized comparison, these results do suggest that both Web- and print-based intervention methods developed specifically for cancer survivors do have a similar level of intervention impact to that found with a more intensive peer-counseling telephone intervention.

Secondary Outcomes—Quit Attempts and Readiness to Change
There were no significant differences between the two arms in terms of quit attempts (see Table 3). On average, 35.1% (91/259) of participants made no quit attempts, 37.8% (98/259) made limited (1-3) attempts, and 27.0% (70/259) made extensive (4+) quit attempts over the 15-month follow-up period.

Demographic factors associated with quit attempts in multivariable analyses included male gender (P=.001 and P=.06 for limited and extensive quit attempts, respectively), having less education (P=.01 for limited quit attempts), using pharmacotherapy (P<.001), being a more frequent computer user (P=.03-.06), perceiving a moderate (P=.03) or high level of vulnerability (P=.07) to serious future illness (for limited quit attempts), and not being nicotine dependent (P=.002 for extensive attempts).

There were also no significant differences between the two arms in terms of impact on readiness to quit smoking. Only two variables, using pharmacotherapy and nicotine dependence, were associated with readiness to quit in multivariable analyses: using pharmacotherapy was associated with being in the action (OR 5.33, 95% CI 1.20-23.64) (P=.03) and preparation stage (OR 6.05, 95% CI 1.81-20.17) (P=.01) (vs precontemplator). Those who were not nicotine dependent were more likely to be in later stages at the follow-up (OR 4.27, 95% CI 1.21-12.95) (P≤.01) and preparation versus precontemplation (OR 2.03, 95% CI 1.02-4.02) (P=.006).
Table 1. Baseline variables by treatment condition (n=329).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Treatment condition</th>
<th>P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Print (n=128)</td>
<td>Web (n=201)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ high school or GED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or training after college</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (LS means)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed, past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/living with partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married and not living with partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkins disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS malignancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived risk for serious health problems in the future</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Psychological variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spent at least part of the day worried about getting cancer again in past week</th>
<th>Treatment condition</th>
<th>P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Print (n=128)</td>
<td>Web (n=201)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of Events scale, Intrusive Thoughts subscale - categorized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened positive for possible depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived personal control (LS means)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment condition</td>
<td>Print (n=128)</td>
<td>Web (n=201)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very likely/certain to happen</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent/very good</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair/poor</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage of change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precontemplation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemplation</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer use at baseline</strong></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than daily, but monthly or more</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than monthly or never</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Controlling for site.
Figure 2. Recruitment and retention rates (Consolidated Standard of Reporting Trials Statement - CONSORT).
Table 2. Multivariable models predicting smoking at 15-month follow-up (n=374).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment condition</th>
<th>Abstainer vs smoker at follow-up (adjusted for site)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print</td>
<td>REF&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>1.07 (0.50-2.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>0.49 (0.25-0.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>1.37 (0.46-4.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened positive for possible depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>0.62 (0.29-1.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>2.64 (1.07-6.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkins Disease</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00 (0.31-3.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS malignancy</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>0.65 (0.12-3.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>6.35 (1.48-27.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone cancer</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>1.13 (0.27-4.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received radiation for CA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>0.39 (0.19-0.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received surgery for CA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>3.39 (1.29-8.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived vulnerability for serious illness in future</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No chance/very unlikely/unlikely</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate chance</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>0.88 (0.37-2.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>0.40 (0.14-1.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very likely/certain to happen</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0.45 (0.16-1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules about smoking in home at follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no rules</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>0.38 (0.14-0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People can only smoke in certain rooms</td>
<td></td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>0.35 (0.08-1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People cannot smoke inside</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>REF: reference point.
Table 3. Multivariable models predicting quit attempts among smokers at 15-month follow-up (n=374).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4 or more times vs none (adjusted for site)</th>
<th>1-3 times vs none (adjusted for site)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>1.05 (0.51-2.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print</td>
<td>REF(^a)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>2.06 (0.98-4.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>0.38 (0.12-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened positive for possible depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>0.67 (0.32-1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not complete HS / GED</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>1.34 (0.16-11.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed HS / GGED</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>0.53 (0.19-1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or training after HS</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>1.13 (0.45-2.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use medication to help quit smoking at follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>9.45 (2.90-30.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>3.33 (1.12-9.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; than daily, but monthly or more</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>4.01 (1.12-14.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; than monthly/never</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience any serious illness in future</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No chance/very unlikely/unlikely</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate chance</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>1.93 (0.64-5.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>1.67 (0.55-5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very likely/certain to happen</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>0.69 (0.22-2.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke within 30 minutes of waking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>3.70 (1.66-8.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)REF: reference point.

**Process Outcomes**

**Intervention Use**

Of the Web participants, 58.3% (77/132) logged on at least once (mean visits 3.25). Among visitors to the site, 13% (10/77) logged on once, 20% (15/77) twice, 13% (10/77) three times, and 54% (42/77) logged on four or more times (range 6-98 times). Those who reported using the website more frequently (3+ times) had higher quit attempts and lower smoking rates at follow-up, compared with less frequent users (see **Table 4**). We
explored abstinence as an outcome related to different levels of use between groups; however, due to the distribution of quitters across intervention groups and use patterns, attempts to model the relationships were unstable.

Among the print condition participants, 58.3% (74/127) reported reading most or all of the print materials. About half reported using the materials on multiple occasions. Those who used more of the print materials (most/all) had higher quit attempts and lower smoking rates at follow-up, compared with those who used the materials less.

In total, 13.9% (36/259) of participants requested pharmacotherapy, with no differences between arms. Among those who requested this assistance, it was provided in 87% (31/36) of the cases; the primary reason for not providing it was that the participant did not have a regular physician who could confirm that there were no contraindications.

**Satisfaction With Intervention**

Of the Web participants who logged in, 87.9% (116/132) reported being satisfied or very satisfied with the site. Further, 75.8% (100/132) reported that the site provided new information about smoking, 83.3% (110/132) felt it provided new information about survivorship, and the majority felt that it was updated often enough; 81.1% (107/132) would recommend the site to other survivors.

In the print condition, 92.1% (117/127) reported being satisfied or very satisfied with the materials. Further, 93.7% (119/127) reported that the materials provided new information about smoking and 89.0% (113/127) felt they provided new information about survivorship; 88.2% (112/127) would recommend the materials to other survivors.

**Access to the Web Intervention**

In total, 79.9% (299/374) of the sample owned a computer and had access to the Internet at home and/or work; the majority (77.0%, 288/374) used the Internet at least once per week. Those who did not have regular Internet access had less education (P=.001), were more likely to be unemployed (P=.001), divorced (P=.001), and to be heavier smokers (P=.003). We offered MSN TV to those who did not have regular Internet; roughly two-thirds declined it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Print</th>
<th>Web</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Use</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Use</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Quit attempts and smoking rate at follow-up among high/low intervention users.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This paper assesses the efficacy of a print versus Web version of the Partnership for Health intervention. Both interventions were developed to address scalability of smoking cessation interventions among childhood and young adult cancer survivors. PFH-2 was designed to translate core components of PFH [9] to more scalable formats, and to determine whether equivalent levels of cessation could be achieved via a website tailored to cancer survivorship. Although we did indeed find that the PFH-2 intervention achieved similar levels of cessation to the peer counseling intervention, contrary to our hypothesis, there were no differences in cessation rates in the print versus Web arms and no differences in quit rates or changes in readiness to quit. Both interventions were viewed as substantive and appealing and were relatively comparable in terms of intervention “dose” based on participant report of use. These findings suggest that either the print or Web-format intervention could be recommended for survivors who smoke, as these cessation interventions yield equivalent levels of success to those found in our previous telephone-delivered intervention. The outcomes are also comparable to other Internet treatment studies [25] and PHS clinical guidelines for cost-effective interventions.

A Web-based approach was selected because of the presumed computer affinity of this younger population, as well as the potential for dissemination. We did offer access to the Internet via MSN TV to the 20% that did not have Internet access. Surprisingly, the majority of these participants declined the offer. This may reflect an active choice among these individuals not to engage with this technology and suggests that, at least in the population of childhood and young adult cancer survivors, efforts to increase access may not be helpful. Among those who received the print materials, engagement was good, which may be preferable in some settings and to some participants.

A key issue facing any disseminated, patient-guided intervention is level of patient engagement. Low levels of intervention use is a common problem in both Web and print interventions [26-30] and rapid and consistent declines in intensity of use occur over time [31,32]. A dose-response between website use and abstinence outcomes has been documented [33-35]. Consistent with this literature, in PFH-2 use of the website was associated with cessation-related efforts. Chiu and Eysenbach [36] call for development of a research agenda targeting how to improve use of Web-based interventions, which is critical if they are to be helpful in taking more labor-intensive interventions to scale. The increasing penetration of social media and technology may present opportunities for increasing engagement, as noted by Graham et al [33,37]. Active participation in online communities is associated with higher rates of cessation, and integrating smokers into an online social network can increase support and may also increase utilization of cessation tools and nicotine replacement therapy [38]. Text messages and Twitter could be utilized as efficient ways for peer counselors to engage survivors. The increased access to video cameras on laptops and smartphones also provides opportunities to evaluate Skype and videoconferencing as
engagement strategies, although these strategies may also present issues when taken to scale. In the present study, we targeted all smokers—not just those who were interested in quitting smoking. The intervention was designed and presented with a focus on general survivorship issues, in addition to smoking, and was organized by stage of readiness to change. That said, those with less interest in cessation would be expected to have lower levels of engagement with cessation content, although integrating smoking-related information into other forms of interventions or materials for survivors may be a way to increase their reconsideration of smoking.

Use of pharmacotherapy was quite low, particularly given the high level of readiness to quit and the fact that it was available at no cost. This is somewhat puzzling, but may be a function of survivors’ heavy use of medications as part of cancer treatment and possibly survivorship, which may make them more reticent to use pharmacologic treatments for non-cancer-related issues. In 2014, CMS (Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services) programs will cover all FDA-approved medications and cessation counseling [39]. There are very tangible and important health benefits associated with population-level access to evidence-based cessation treatments in the general population [40-42], and the benefits for survivors may be even greater, given the synergistic effects between smoking and their increased risk of late effects. Our findings suggest that while access is important, alone it may not be sufficient in this high-risk population to achieve large-scale increases in pharmacotherapy use. This is an area that warrants further research attention.

This study highlights the need to develop an effective infrastructure for delivery of smoking cessation services to childhood and young adult cancer survivors. The infrastructure for identifying smokers within long-term survivorship care programs is largely missing [11] and a more systematic approach to patient tracking and follow-up is needed. Survivorship programs should be strongly encouraged to follow the PHS guidelines for delivery of smoking cessation services in clinical care settings [43,44]. Given the current movement toward electronic health records [45], it is likely that there will be greater opportunity for developing this infrastructure within survivorship programs. The American Society of Preventive Oncology recently called for a paradigm change related to cancer prevention after cancer, highlighting a critical need to reduce preventable risk factors [46]. Tobacco use is not systematically assessed in US cancer programs—less than half of comprehensive cancer centers have a strategy in place for effective identification of tobacco use [47] and only 28% use any tobacco-related quality improvement measures. As a result, a large proportion of smokers with cancer do not receive formal assistance with quit attempts. An important question would be whether survivorship-focused interventions are needed or if survivors could be integrated into widely available Internet-based cessation programs, such as QuitNet or BecomeAnEx. Our previous qualitative work suggests that the survivorship identity may be quite important in terms of being willing to engage in smoking cessation [48]. However, additional experimental work to determine the added value of tailoring to survivorship would be extremely valuable.

Cancer survivors are a unique population that should be uniquely aware of risks for cancer. However, not all childhood and young adult survivors are well informed about their cancer, its treatment, and the associated late effects, in large part because of the young age at diagnosis, disease, and treatment complexity, and the fact the knowledge about late effects has been emerging over time [49,50]. The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study has demonstrated that emotional distress is related to health behaviors, including smoking in long-term survivors, and subgroups that are at risk for becoming smokers (eg, lower income survivors, those with multiple medical morbidities) have higher distress levels [51,52]. A study of adolescent and young adult survivors did not find statistically significant differences between survivors and controls in terms of psychological distress or health-related quality of life, but survivors had less positive health beliefs [53]. Of note, these survivors’ beliefs reflected their perceived bad luck and uncertainty about their health, including concerns about future medical problems (ie, health perceptions) and beliefs (ie, cognitive competence) that they have cognitive challenges that could impact their function (eg, memory, attention, intellect). These types of beliefs could impact on positive coping, such as smoking cessation.

The lack of an effective implementation infrastructure posed a challenge in the design of PFH-2, as it does in many implementation research studies. We considered comparing the PFH-1 peer counseling condition with the website. However, if we had concluded that the peer counseling was superior, we would not have moved the field forward in terms of having an evidenced-based intervention that could be sustained and scaled in real-world conditions. Given that we did not have a mechanism to deploy peer counselors that would be sustainable following completion of the study, we felt it was best to learn from the peer counselor model and adapt to a sustainable format. Thus, PFH-2 was designed with the real-world constraints of survivorship care in mind and compared best survivorship-focused print materials with the website, which provided some interactivity but was more sustainable and scalable than peer counseling. This approach is consistent with several of the broad principles outlined by Kottke et al [54], which are necessary in order for health research to have a greater impact on patient and population health outcomes, including: (1) the needs of patients and populations determine the research agenda, (2) the research agenda addresses contextual and implementation issues, including the development of delivery and accountability systems, and (3) the research agenda determines the research methods rather than the methods determine the research agenda. Kottke and colleagues also note that the goal should be to optimize practice through research, which was the approach we took in designing PFH-2. This approach also addresses significant concerns raised within implementation science about having an increased emphasis on external validity and moving away from artificial comparisons that have little bearing on real-world care delivery [55-58]. In the interests of maximizing fidelity and ensuring a minimum intervention dose delivered, we did provide print materials to participants in the Web condition who did not access the website after 3 months. Although this means that the Web condition was not “pure”, as some participants had access to both the Web and print interventions, we felt that ethically it was important...
to ensure that patients received the intervention content in some form, when we knew that participants had not received it via the Web.

Limitations
Study limitations should be noted. The response rate was impacted by stringent IRB requirements regarding patient contact and release of contact information to the coordinating center, which may impact on generalizability of findings. Cessation outcomes were self-reported, which is typical in population-level and Web-based studies such as this, but still a limitation [59]; the bogus pipeline procedure, a well-accepted strategy for increasing the accuracy of self-report, was used [17,18]. It is possible that self-report at the point of evaluation of study eligibility introduced a sampling bias. However, participants were not aware of the eligibility requirements at the time of recruitment, which minimized the likelihood of bias. Further, smokers who did not accurately report their smoking status would likely report this same inaccuracy in the context of their health care, and thus would avoid exposure to this type of intervention. Therefore, any reporting bias would not likely effect the outcome evaluation.

There are several important strengths to note. A population-based approach was used in conducting this study, identifying all potential smokers within several different survivorship programs in the United States and Canada, which contributes to the external validity of the findings. Data were conservatively analyzed using multiple imputation methods for missing data. This study builds on the previous effective PFH intervention and was designed to determine how best to deliver that intervention in a more scalable format. The study design emphasized external validity and maximizing generalizability of study findings.

Conclusions
Smoking cessation among childhood and young adult cancer survivors is critical. Effective evidence-based programs should be integrated into primary and survivorship care delivery on an on-going and routine basis. This study demonstrated that it was possible to achieve equivalent cessation rates with tailored and targeted Web- and print-based materials designed specifically for survivors and that these cessation rates were equivalent to those found with a more labor-intensive telephone-based intervention. These findings suggest that survivorship programs have flexibility in the format in which cessation services are delivered without sacrificing effectiveness. Patients who reported more frequent use of the materials had better cessation rates, suggesting that developing methods for increasing patient engagement in print and Web-based interventions might optimize outcomes. Future research should examine such approaches to increase engagement and should also evaluate whether survivorship-focused interventions are critical or if survivors experience equal benefits from robust Web-based interventions available to the general public.
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Abstract

Background: Lack of physical activity leads to detrimental changes in body composition and metabolism, functional decline, and increased risk of disease in old age. The potential of Web-assisted interventions for increasing physical activity and improving metabolism in older individuals holds great promise but to our knowledge it has not been studied.

Objective: The goal of our study was to assess whether a Web-based intervention increases physical activity and improves metabolic health in inactive older adults.

Methods: We conducted a 3-month randomized, waitlist-controlled trial in a volunteer sample of 235 inactive adults aged 60-70 years without diabetes. The intervention group received the Internet program Philips DirectLife, which was directed at increasing physical activity using monitoring and feedback by accelerometer and digital coaching. The primary outcome was relative increase in physical activity measured objectively using ankle- and wrist-worn accelerometers. Secondary outcomes of metabolic health included anthropometric measures and parameters of glucose metabolism.

Results: In total, 226 participants (97%) completed the study. At the ankle, activity counts increased by 46% (standard error [SE] 7%) in the intervention group, compared to 12% (SE 3%) in the control group ($P_{\text{difference}}<.001$). Measured at the wrist, activity counts increased by 11% (SE 3%) in the intervention group and 5% (SE 2%) in the control group ($P_{\text{difference}}=.11$). After processing of the data, this corresponded to a daily increase of 11 minutes in moderate-to-vigorous activity in the intervention group versus 0 minutes in the control group ($P_{\text{difference}}=.001$). Weight decreased significantly more in the intervention group compared to controls (−1.5 kg vs −0.8 kg respectively, $P=.046$), as did waist circumference (−2.3 cm vs −1.3 cm respectively, $P=.036$) and fat mass (−0.6% vs 0.07% respectively, $P=.025$). Furthermore, insulin and HbA1c levels were significantly more reduced in the intervention group compared to controls (both $P<.05$).

Conclusions: This was the first study to show that in inactive older adults, a 3-month Web-based physical activity intervention was effective in increasing objectively measured daily physical activity and improving metabolic health. Such Web-based interventions provide novel opportunities for large scale prevention of metabolic deregulation in our rapidly aging population.
Introduction

Lack of physical activity is perhaps one of the greatest risk factors for contemporary societal health problems. Insufficient physical activity contributes to obesity and has been associated with increased risks of cardiovascular disease [1,2], diabetes mellitus [3], cognitive decline [4,5], and premature mortality [6,7]. For the older age groups, this is of particular relevance as physical activity decreases with age, while the prevalence of metabolic disease and its complications increase as a function of age. Intervention studies directed at increasing physical activity have been shown to be effective and may improve metabolism [8], including the older populations [9,10]. However, most of these interventions have used face-to-face communication, making them costly and time-consuming, thus hampering the potential of implementation as preventive programs at a larger scale.

Modern technologies, such as Internet and email, provide interactive ways to administer digital coaching and feedback on physical activity and have potential for wide-scaled implementation. A recent meta-analysis on Web-based physical activity intervention studies showed promising results in increasing daily physical activity [11]. Many of these, however, used either small study populations, included study populations under the age of 60, or did not measure physical activity objectively. Moreover, it is unclear what the effect is of such Web-based physical activity interventions on outcomes of metabolic health in old age [12,13].

Since 2005, Internet use has doubled in 65-75 year olds in the Netherlands [14]; 70% of this age group is familiar with using the Internet and therefore provides a target for Web-based interventions. In this randomized controlled trial, we examined whether a 3-month Web-assisted intervention directed at increasing daily physical activity was effective in 60-70 year old inactive individuals. The intervention comprised an Internet program aimed at increasing physical activity, which focused on effective components of health behavior change including self-monitoring by accelerometer and goal setting with the help of digital coaching. Furthermore, we studied the effect of this intervention on metabolic health including anthropometric measures and markers of glucose and lipid metabolism.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

The study recruited participants aged 60-70 years from the region of Leiden, Netherlands. The recruitment strategy included advertisements in local newspapers and press notification, directing participants motivated to increase physical activity to the study website where they completed an online questionnaire that assessed the following inclusion and exclusion criteria: (1) age between 60 and 70 years, (2) no history of diabetes or use of glucose lowering medication, (3) absence of disability impeding increase in physical activity, and (4) possession and use of personal computer with Internet connection. If all of the above criteria were met, potential participants filled out an email address where they subsequently received a questionnaire asking for current physical activity and personal data such as full name and address. The presence of an inactive lifestyle was then assessed by a self-reported physical activity questionnaire: general practice physical activity questionnaire, GPPAQ [15]. This yielded four categories of physical activity: inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, and active. We defined inactive as having less than 3 hours of exercise and cycling combined weekly, corresponding to the inactive, moderately inactive, or moderately active category. Participants in the active category of GPPAQ did not meet inclusion criteria for our definition of an inactive lifestyle.

Subjects who met all inclusion criteria received detailed study information in print. If willing to participate, participants received an online questionnaire on education, smoking status, medical history, and medication use, and visited the study center at baseline and after 3 months (13 weeks). At the baseline visit, subjects were randomly assigned to the intervention group or a waitlist control group (in which the participants received the intervention program after 3 months when the study ended) by the study physician or research nurse. Randomization was performed by a computerized program for intervention versus waitlist control in a ratio of 1:1, with a block size of 12. Stratification was performed by gender. Concealment of treatment allocation was ensured by randomizing at the end of the first study visit, after all baseline measurements and instructions at the study center were completed. Blinding of this intervention was not possible and therefore not applied. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study was approved by the medical ethical committee of Leiden University Medical Center, Netherlands. An independent physician was available for questions regarding study information.

Sample Size Calculation

The sample size of the study was based on the assumption of a mean 10% higher increase (SD 25%) in daily physical activity counts as measured using accelerometers on the ankle and wrist in the intervention group compared to the control group during a 3-month period. For this effect size with a power of 0.80 at alpha 0.05 (two-sided), we calculated a sample size of 198 participants for the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis. Based on an estimated drop-out rate of 15%, we aimed to include 232 participants and stopped after successful inclusion of 235 participants.
Intervention
Subjects in the intervention group received a commercially available Web-based physical activity program (DirectLife, Philips, Consumer Lifestyle, Amsterdam) directed at increasing daily physical activity. The DirectLife program is based on the stages of change and I-change health behavior change models [16,17] and takes into account the individual’s current activity level. It then provides a personal goal. Briefly, DirectLife consists of three elements: (1) an accelerometer-based activity monitor, (2) a personal website, and (3) a personal e-coach, who provides regular updates of the individual’s physical activity status by email and gives advice to increase physical activities (Multimedia Appendix 1). By means of these elements, the program aims to increase awareness about one’s own physical activity behavior, to give feedback on recent actual physical activity, and to provide support to make sustainable changes in physical activity behavior. The activity monitor of DirectLife is based on the Tracmor tri-axial accelerometer and has been validated against doubly labeled water for the estimation of total daily life energy expenditure [18]. The DirectLife monitor is the consumer version of the Tracmor. Intervention group participants received the program, including the accelerometer, directly after randomization at the first study visit. They then received a link by email for registration and access to the Web program. Participants of the program were instructed to continuously wear the activity monitor throughout the day to measure daily physical activity (Multimedia Appendix 2). Data were uploaded through an Internet connection to the database of the commercial provider. After an initial 8-day “assessment period” starting 1 week after the study visit, in which the current level of daily activity was measured, a target was set to increase the level of daily activity during a 12-week Web-based interactive coaching program. Participants were given a target for daily activity, which increased weekly, and data from the accelerometer were used for regular feedback (Multimedia Appendix 3). Coaching included general recommendations on physical activities, and coaches were available for further questions and advice by email correspondence. All participants were in contact with one of the digital coaches available for the DirectLife program during the entire study period. The control group was placed on a 3-month waiting list, after which they received access to the intervention program at the end of the study. No specific instructions regarding daily physical activity were given.

Measurements
Baseline Questionnaire
Enrollment and follow-up took place from November 2011 to August 2012. In preparation for the first visit to the study center, all participants completed a Web-delivered questionnaire on education, smoking status, and medical history, including medication use. Education was categorized as low (primary education and lower vocational education), intermediate (secondary education and intermediate vocational education), or high (high vocational education and university).

Primary Outcome
At baseline and 3-month follow-up, daily physical activity was measured during 7 days following the visit at the study center, using an ankle- and wrist-worn tri-axial accelerometer (GENEActiv, Kimbolton, Cambs, United Kingdom). Wear was started on a random weekday, and GENEActiv monitors were returned after 7 days by standard mail. We chose to assess the primary outcome using accelerometers other than the one included in the intervention program in order to avoid interpretation of the intervention as an outcome. Both GENEActiv monitors were worn 24 hours per day on the right side. The GENEActiv wrist accelerometer provides a simple summary statistic of total activity counts has been validated for measuring daily physical activity against doubly labeled water [19]. We chose to additionally assess total activity counts using an ankle accelerometer as we hypothesized that this location would be more sensitive to walking and cycling behavior [20,21], the latter being a very frequent activity in our target population in the Netherlands. Primary outcome was the individual’s relative change in activity counts after the intervention compared to baseline, measured at wrist and ankle. As a derivative outcome, we calculated from the wrist accelerometer the minutes per day spent in moderate or vigorous activity, which has been validated against indirect calorimetry [22]. Measurement frequency was set at 85.7 Hz, and raw acceleration values in “g” were recorded continuously on each axis over 7 consecutive days. Prior to processing, data were plotted for visual identification of non-wear and device faults. Non-wear was determined visually using thresholds of movement in combination with self-reported non-wear from participants. Short periods of non-wear (eg, bathing) were accepted, and data for these periods were not imputed. Accelerometer data from participants contributing to GENEActiv data for 5 days or more within the 7-day period were included in the analysis. Data from each axis were processed by a high pass RC filter (fc=0.27 HZ) before computation of the resultant acceleration for each recorded time point: $R=(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{0.5}$. The average of each 24-hour integral of these values over the first 5 days was used as the average daily activity count for the assessment period. As a measure of physical activity, we used total activity counts recorded at both the ankle and the wrist accelerometer independently. Data collected from the right wrist of each participant over 5 days of continuous movement monitoring were processed to yield activity counts for successive 1-minute epochs and classified according to the appropriate MET cut-off points according to the method of Esliger [22], to establish the average number of minutes (epochs) spent daily in moderate and vigorous physical activity. Outcome assessment was done by an independent researcher who was blind to study arm allocation (MC).

Secondary Outcomes
Body height was measured without shoes using a stadiometer. Body weight was assessed at both visits without shoes using a measurement scale. Waist circumference was obtained by an independent researcher who was blind to study arm allocation (MC).
spine and the lower rib. Hip circumference was measured halfway between the trochanter major and the iliac crest.

Lean body mass and body fat percentage were assessed by bio-electrical impedance (BIA) analysis using a commercial portable device with hand-to-foot single frequency measurement (Biostat 1500, Euromedix, Leuven, Belgium). Blood pressure was measured twice at each visit using a hand-held sphygmomanometer after 5 minutes of lying down. The mean of the two consecutive measurements was used. Heart rate was measured at the wrist after at least 5 minutes of lying down. Grip strength was measured to the nearest kilogram three times using a Jamar hand dynamometer (Sammons Preston, Inc, Bolingbrook, IL, United States) with the dominant hand. The highest value was used for analysis. Framingham risk scores were calculated using NIH criteria [23].

Biochemical Assessments

Fasting blood samples were drawn from each participant at both visits in the morning. Samples were transferred to the lab within 2 hours, aliquotted, and frozen at −80°C. All serum measurements were performed in one batch after completion of the entire study with fully automated equipment. Fasting glucose, cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were determined using the Modular P2 analyzer (Roche, Almere, Netherlands), and fasting serum insulin using immunoassay by Immulite 2500 (DPC, Los Angeles, CA, United States). Glycated hemoglobin was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (Primus Ultra2, Trinity Biotech Company, Kansas City, MO, United States). C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was determined using a high-sensitive immunoassay (COBAS integra, Roche, IN, United States). Low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula in participants without hypertriglyceridemia [24].

Statistical Analyses

Differences between baseline and follow-up within groups were tested using a paired sample Student t test of the means. For skewed variables, ln (natural logarithm) transformation was used. The effect of the intervention on physical activity was assessed by an unpaired 2-sided t test, comparing the relative change in daily physical activity counts between the intervention group and control group. For relative change in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, a nonparametric test was used due to skewness of data. The effect of the intervention on secondary outcomes was assessed using an unpaired 2-sided t test, comparing the change in the secondary outcome between the intervention group and control group. Primary analyses were performed by ITT principle. Our study did have one follow-up measurement only, and loss to follow-up was very low. We therefore did not use imputation to replace our data, and participants from whom data were lost were not in the ITT analysis. For per-protocol analysis, we included in the intervention group only those participants who finished the 12-week plan of the intervention program, as assessed by uploaded accelerometer data of the participant in week 12 of the DirectLife intervention program. All analyses were performed with SPSS version 20.0. Statistical significance was accepted at P<.05.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Figure 1 shows the inclusion flow chart of participants. A total of 631 participants completed the questionnaire on the study website. Of those, 235 participants (37%) met inclusion criteria and were randomized: 119 in the intervention arm, 116 in the control arm. Nine participants did not complete the study: 5 and 4 participants in the intervention and control group, respectively. Final analyses of outcomes therefore included 114 participants in the intervention group and 112 control participants.

Baseline characteristics of randomized participants are shown in Table 1. The study groups were similar for all parameters. The majority of participants were male and middle or highly educated. A substantial number of the study participants used antihypertensive medication: 46% and 38 % of participants in intervention and control group, respectively. On average, participants were overweight with a mean BMI of 28.9 kg/m² (SD 4.7) and 29.1 kg/m² (SD 4.7) in the intervention and control group, respectively. There was no significant difference in baseline activity level between groups.
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants (data are presented as medians with interquartile range [IQR] when skewed; alcohol use was calculated only in those who reported drinking alcohol [n=102 for intervention and n=101 for control]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention (n=119)</th>
<th>Control (n=116)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>47 (39.5)</td>
<td>49 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs (mean, SD)</td>
<td>64.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>64.9 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degree of self-reported activity, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately active</td>
<td>41 (34.5)</td>
<td>48 (41.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately inactive</td>
<td>36 (30.3)</td>
<td>34 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>42 (35.3)</td>
<td>34 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of education, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>7 (5.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>45 (37.8)</td>
<td>46 (39.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>66 (55.5)</td>
<td>67 (57.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>7 (5.9)</td>
<td>8 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, units/wk (mean, SD)</td>
<td>12.0 (8.0)</td>
<td>10.2 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical history and medication use, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14 (11.8)</td>
<td>16 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmia</td>
<td>10 (8.4)</td>
<td>14 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung emphysema</td>
<td>3 (2.5)</td>
<td>5 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>6 (5.0)</td>
<td>3(2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancies</td>
<td>17 (14.3)</td>
<td>17 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>8 (6.7)</td>
<td>8 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive use</td>
<td>55 (46.2)</td>
<td>44 (37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin use</td>
<td>31 (26.1)</td>
<td>24 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulant use</td>
<td>25 (21.0)</td>
<td>20 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotropic use</td>
<td>11 (9.2)</td>
<td>16 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle monitor, counts/day (mean, SD)</td>
<td>3.68x10^5 (1.72x10^5)</td>
<td>3.78x10^5 (1.84x10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist monitor, counts/day (mean, SD)</td>
<td>3.51x10^5 (1.10x10^5)</td>
<td>3.39x10^5 (1.16x10^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, min/day, median (IQR)</td>
<td>16.8 (7.8-26.4)</td>
<td>14.4 (8.2-32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical parameters, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173.6 (9.9)</td>
<td>172.1 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>87.4 (15.8)</td>
<td>86.3 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMF&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>28.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>29.1 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>102.3 (13.1)</td>
<td>101.4 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>109.1 (9.1)</td>
<td>108.7 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>0.93 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat percentage (%)</td>
<td>36.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>36.4 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>146 (18)</td>
<td>145 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>86 (9)</td>
<td>86 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>72 (10)</td>
<td>71 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip strength (kg)</td>
<td>37.5 (10.2)</td>
<td>37.9 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Intervention (n=119)</td>
<td>Control (n=116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham 10-year CVD(^d) risk %</td>
<td>11.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>11.3 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemistry, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting venous glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (mU/L) (median, IQR)</td>
<td>11.5 (8.1–16.9)</td>
<td>10.8 (7.0–15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA(^e) index (median, IQR)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.0–4.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.7–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL(^f) cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (median, IQR), (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–2.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL(^g) cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total/HDL cholesterol ratio</td>
<td>4.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (median, IQR), (mg/L)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8–3.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Coronary heart disease: myocardial infarction/angina pectoris.
\(^b\) MVPA=moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.
\(^c\) BMI=body mass index.
\(^d\) CVD=cardiovascular disease.
\(^e\) HOMA=homeostatic model assessment.
\(^f\) HDL=high density lipoprotein.
\(^g\) LDL=low density lipoprotein.

Figure 1. Consort flowchart of participants.
**Adherence to the Intervention Program**

All 114 participants who completed the study in the intervention arm received the intervention program during the visit to the study site at baseline. Of these, 109 participants (95.6%) started the intervention program after completing the first assessment week of the intervention program. In total, 104 participants (91.2%) completed the 12-week intervention program.

**Primary Outcome: Physical Activity**

Accelerometer data were available for 107 intervention and 109 control participants for ankle monitors, and 108 and 105 intervention and control participants for wrist monitors, respectively. After 13 weeks, daily physical activity as measured by the ankle accelerometer increased by 46% (SE 7%, \(P < .001\)) in the intervention group, compared to 12% (SE 3%, \(P < .001\)) in the control group (\(P_{\text{difference}} < .001\)). Daily physical activity measured by the wrist accelerometer increased by 11% (SE 3%, \(P < .001\)) in the intervention group, and by 5% (SE 2%, \(P = .027\)) in the control group (\(P_{\text{difference}} = .11\)). In the intervention group, there was a mean increase of 11.1 minutes per day (SE 2.1) spent in moderate-to-vigorous activity, compared to a mean decrease of 0.1 minutes (SE 1.5) in the control group (\(P_{\text{difference}} = .001\)) (Figure 2). In the per-protocol analysis, taking into account only those 91% (n=104) of participants who completed the intervention phase of the DirectLife program, results did not change.

**Secondary Outcomes: Parameters of Metabolic Health**

Changes in parameters of metabolic health within and between groups are shown in Table 2 and Figure 3 (data represent mean changes with SE; \(P\) value for difference between intervention and control group using an unpaired 2-sided t-test). A significant effect of the intervention on weight loss was seen with a mean change of −1.49 kg (SE 0.26) in the intervention group compared to −0.82 kg (SE 0.21) in the control group (\(P_{\text{difference}} = .046\)). Likewise, waist circumference decreased more in the intervention vs control group: −2.33 SE 0.36 cm vs −1.29 SE 0.34 cm, \(P_{\text{difference}} = .036\). Fat percentage also decreased more in the intervention vs control group: −0.64 SE 0.23 vs 0.07 SE 0.22, \(P_{\text{difference}} = .025\). Glucose metabolism improved significantly: HbA1c decreased more in the intervention group (−0.05%, SE 0.01) compared to the control group (−0.01%, SE 0.01, \(P_{\text{difference}} = .049\)). Similarly, fasting ln insulin levels (−0.16, SE 0.04 vs −0.04 SE 0.04, \(P_{\text{difference}} = .037\)) improved significantly in the intervention group compared to controls, as did the HOMA-index (−0.20 SE 0.05 vs −0.06 SE 0.04, \(P_{\text{difference}} = .035\)). Total cholesterol, LDL, and triglycerides levels improved in the intervention group, but these differences were not significant between groups. A decrease of more than 2 mmHg in systolic blood pressure was observed in both groups (\(P_{\text{difference}} = .83\)) and a decrease in resting heart rate of over 5 beats per minute in the intervention group and almost 4 beats per minute in the control group (\(P_{\text{difference}} = .15\)). No significant change in grip strength was seen in either of the groups. In the per-protocol analysis, taking into account only those 91% of participants who completed the intervention phase, differences did not materially change.
Table 2. Changes in parameters of metabolic health in study participants at follow-up (data represent mean differences with standard error [SE]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameters</th>
<th>Intervention (n=114)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n=112)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean difference (SE)</td>
<td>P value for change&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean difference (SE)</td>
<td>P value for change&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P value between groups&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>−1.49 (0.26)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.82 (0.21)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−0.50 (0.09)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.29 (0.07)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>−2.33 (0.36)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−1.29 (0.34)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference, cm</td>
<td>−1.75 (0.33)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−1.12 (0.28)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>−0.008 (0.004)</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>−0.001 (0.003)</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat percentage, %</td>
<td>−0.64 (0.23)</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>0.07 (0.22)</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass, kg</td>
<td>−0.37 (0.19)</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>−0.57 (0.20)</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>−2.73 (1.35)</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>−2.30 (1.39)</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.78)</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>0.10 (0.82)</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>−5.49 (0.94)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−3.68 (0.85)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip Strength (kg)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.38)</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>0.00 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham 10-year risk %</td>
<td>−0.54 (0.33)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.31)</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose venous (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.20 (0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.13 (0.04)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln fasting insulin (mU/L)</td>
<td>−0.16 (0.04)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.01)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln HOMA&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;-index</td>
<td>−0.20 (0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.06 (0.04)</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.25 (0.06)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.18 (0.05)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.008 (0.02)</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.02)</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.10 (0.03)</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>−0.06 (0.02)</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.17 (0.04)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.04)</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chol/HDL ratio</td>
<td>−0.20 (0.07)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.05)</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln C-reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.08)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>−0.11 (0.09)</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>P values within group.

<sup>b</sup>P value between groups.

<sup>c</sup>BMI=body mass index.

<sup>d</sup>HOMA=homeostatic model assessment.

<sup>e</sup>HDL=high-density lipoprotein.

<sup>f</sup>LDL=low-density lipoprotein (Friedewald).
Figure 3. Changes in a selection of metabolic parameters.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we describe the effect of a Web-assisted physical activity intervention. We found a significant increase in daily physical activity in the intervention group compared to the control group. Furthermore, we showed that the intervention resulted in a significant improvement in body composition and parameters of glucose metabolism compared to the control group.

To our knowledge, our study is one of the first to show that a Web-based intervention can increase daily physical activity in older people from the general population. Attempts have been made to incorporate the Internet as an additional tool (e.g., to generate computer-tailored advice) or intervention strategy (e.g., forum, e-buddy) to promote physical activity in the elderly [25,26]. A recently published study showed the effects of a Web-based intervention in seniors, albeit with use of self-reported outcomes [27]. Furthermore, a Web intervention has been used in an elderly study population of 50 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [28]. The intervention was primarily directed at COPD self-management, used self-reported exercise as secondary outcome, and compared two different interventions instead of intervention vs a control group, making it difficult to compare their results with our study. Thus far, our study is the first to objectively assess the effects of a Web-based intervention on increasing physical activity in an older population. It is striking that—among an older and inactive population—a Web-based intervention was so effective.

The baseline metabolic condition of our participants suggested that we included a study population with indication of the presence of metabolic syndrome: a large proportion of our study sample was overweight or obese, had high waist circumference, and/or used antihypertensive medication. This population, we believe, is particularly relevant for interventions aimed at prevention of age-related cardiovascular and metabolic diseases.

The increase in daily physical activity differed between accelerometer measurement locations; a more pronounced increase was found when using the ankle monitor. At the wrist, the increase in daily physical activity was smaller and not significantly different between groups when looking at total activity counts, but was statistically significant when assessing the increase in validated number of minutes spent in moderate-to-vigorous activity. The larger difference seen between groups in the ankle monitor compared to the wrist monitor is in line with our hypothesis that the ankle location is more sensitive to detect differences in daily physical activity such as cycling behavior. The Dutch population has the highest bicycle use worldwide, and it is likely that especially cycling and walking were stimulated in the inactive study population. In the control group, we also found a small but significant increase in daily physical activity as measured by wrist and ankle accelerometers, but not when assessing the number of minutes spent in moderate-to-vigorous activity. We expected an increase in physical activity in the control group as well, due to an increased awareness of physical activity because of the repeated trial-related measurements [29]. This finding illustrates the need for performing studies in a controlled manner and strengthens our finding that, despite this observation in the controls, the intervention group increased daily physical activity significantly more. Furthermore, there is a need for better understanding the measurements of physical activity patterns from the accelerometer to further underpin the behavior changes associated with such interventions.
Few data exist on the effect of Web interventions directed at physical activity on metabolic health in the general population. Three previous smaller Web-based intervention trials studied outcomes related to metabolic health in populations without chronic disease. Bosak et al studied the effect of a 6-week Web intervention directed at increasing physical activity in 22 participants (mean age 50 years) with metabolic syndrome but found no significant improvements in physical activity, fitness, or lipid levels [30]. After a 16-week Web-based physical activity intervention in inactive adults (mean age 41 years), Vroege et al [12] found some improvement in triglycerides levels in the intervention group (n=14) only, but not in any other markers of body composition, lipid, or glucose metabolism, and differences were not compared to the control group (n=18). Hurling et al studied the effects of a 9-week Web-based intervention on anthropometric outcomes and blood pressure in 77 subjects (healthy adults with a mean age of 40 years) [13] and found a significant decrease in body fat between groups, but no effects regarding systolic or diastolic blood pressure. In our study, we also found no significant effect on systolic blood pressure and markers of glucose metabolism. Part of the explanation of why our results differ from the previous studies is that we studied a large sample of older participants with overweight and an inactive lifestyle, likely resulting in a higher burden of metabolic derangement. This has resulted in a higher statistical power to detect differences in health compared to the smaller studies. Alternatively, our intervention may have been especially effective since it was able to deliver personalized feedback on physical activity levels, thereby stimulating behavior change. Finally, we may have selected participants that were more motivated than the participants of the other studies, possibly as the result of chance or our selection process.

Limitations and Strengths
In our study, we used a waitlist control group. In general, a potential bias in this design is the existence of attention bias, meaning that results could be achieved due to the extra attention given to the intervention group compared to the control group, instead of intervention aspects such as goal setting and self-monitoring. However, the attention given to the intervention group in our study comprised emailing and contact with the coach, which was an essential part of the intervention program under study. Therefore, we believe that the intervention was effective in increasing physical activity and improving metabolic health.

The present study shows the large potential of using Web-assisted interventions for increasing physical activity and increasing metabolic health in a very relevant and aging population. We were able to include over 200 highly motivated participants and improve their metabolic profile within 3 months. However, it is unclear whether compliance can be sustained and whether long-term positive effects can be expected. The very few studies that reported on longer term follow-up and showed a significant increase in PA also after a shorter follow-up period, suggested that physical activity may increase further after 12 compared to 6 months [31]. This study, however, was performed in a primary care setting and used non-Web-based digital intervention methods such as face-to-face counseling. Evidence for long-term effectiveness of Web interventions is therefore required.

Our study population consisted of highly educated and motivated participants, which may hamper the generalizability of our results. Future study should assess the effects of Web-based interventions in elderly in a primary care setting using a population that better represents the general population. A drawback of the present study was that we did not record any dietary behaviors. It is possible that changes in diet account for a proportion of the observed beneficial effects on metabolic health. On one hand, it would be interesting to study which dietary changes are associated with increasing metabolic health, and insight in such behavior could increase the potential to increase effectiveness of such the intervention by specific coaching on this subject. On the other hand, a potential implicit role for dietary factors in the observed effect in the present study does not mitigate the relevance of these results. Another drawback is the fact that we did not have data on longer term follow-up. The main strength of our study was the use of objectively measured daily physical activity. The majority of studies directed at increasing physical activity used self-reported physical activity measures, which could have resulted in an overestimation of the effect size. More recent studies used pedometers, which are unable to assess all types of physical activity and to give direct feedback to the wearer. With the use of tri-axial accelerometers, outcome assessment was blinded for participants as well as for study physicians and nurses. Another strength of the study was the use of a home-based intervention. This minimized the need for face-to-face contact and subsequently may have explained the low drop-out rate and high adherence to the intervention [6].

Conclusions
Our results show that using a Web-based intervention in older inactive people at risk for metabolic disease increases daily physical activity and improves metabolic health after 3 months. High retention rates in the intervention group were found, indicating that this Web-based intervention was feasible for use in an older population. Our findings show the large potential of Web-based interventions for large scale prevention of metabolic deregulation in a rapidly aging population.
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Abstract

Background: Seasonal variations in smoking and quitting behaviors have been documented, with many smokers seeking cessation assistance around the start of the New Year. What remains unknown is whether smokers who are recruited to cessation treatment trials during the New Year are as motivated to quit, or as likely to enroll in a research trial, adhere to a research protocol, and benefit from a cessation intervention compared to those who are recruited during other times of the year.

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine whether smokers recruited during the New Year period differ on measures of motivation and desire to quit, recruitment and retention rates, website utilization rates, and short-term cessation outcomes compared to smokers recruited at other times.

Methods: Participants were current smokers who had registered on a free Web-based cessation program (BecomeAnEX.org) and were invited to participate in a clinical trial. The New Year period was defined according to a clear peak and drop in the proportion of visitors who registered on the site, spanning a 15-day period from December 26, 2012 to January 9, 2013. Two other 15-day recruitment periods during summer (July 18, 2012 to August 1, 2012) and fall (November 7, 2012 to November 21, 2012) were selected for comparison. Data were examined from 3 sources: (1) a Web-based clinical trials management system that automated the recruitment and enrollment process, (2) self-report assessments at baseline and 3 months postrandomization, and (3) online tracking software that recorded website utilization during the first 3 months of the trial.

Results: Visitors to BecomeAnEX during the New Year period were more likely to register on the site than smokers who visited during summer or fall (conversion rates: 7.4%, 4.6%, 4.9%, respectively; P<.001), but there were no differences in rates of study acceptance, consent, randomization, 3-month follow-up survey completion, or cessation between the 3 periods. New Year participants were older, more educated, more likely to be employed full time, and more likely to have a relationship partner compared with participants recruited at other times during the year, but did not differ on measures of motivation and desire to quit.

Conclusions: Smokers visiting a Web-based cessation program during the New Year period were more likely to register for treatment and differ on several demographic variables, but showed similar patterns of treatment engagement, retention, follow-up, and short-term cessation outcomes compared to participants who visited the site during other periods of the year. These results
allay scientific concerns about recruiting participants during this time frame and are reassuring for researchers conducting Web-based cessation trials.

**Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT01544153; http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01544153 (Archived by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/6KjhmAS9u).


**KEYWORDS** seasonal variation; smoking cessation; Internet; research subject recruitment

**Introduction**

Seasonal variations across a number of smoking and quitting behaviors have been documented. Most smokers express a desire to quit [1] and many make a quit attempt around the start of the New Year [2-6]. Reports have shown that sales of cigarettes are at their lowest during January and February [7,8] and sales of nicotine replacement therapies are at their highest January through March [9]. Seasonal variations in motivational stage of change among callers to a state quitline have also been documented [10] with callers in December and January being more likely to have recently quit than callers during other months. Internet search queries also provide evidence of the seasonal variations in smoking cessation, with clear peaks observed in the use of “quit smoking” as a search term at the beginning of each calendar year. Figure 1 shows the relative use of the search term “quit smoking” in Google search engine queries over 6 years in the United States as reported by Google Trends [11], the public database of Google queries.

A greater number of smokers quitting around the New Year may mean a greater pool of potential research participants for smoking cessation trials. However, the effects of seasonality on research recruitment and retention have not been documented. Specifically, it is unknown whether smokers who are invited to cessation treatment trials during this period of time are as likely to enroll, to adhere to a research protocol, and to benefit from a cessation intervention. Smokers who elect to quit around the New Year may differ from those who quit during other times of the year on factors such as motivation, desire, confidence, or other factors that relate to trial participation, engagement, and cessation outcomes.

These are important questions to address from both a pragmatic and a scientific standpoint. From a pragmatic standpoint, conducting trial recruitment during the New Year holiday may have staffing and cost considerations for all aspects of a trial. Research staff may be needed to field study inquiries, conduct eligibility screening, administer assessments, and manage study communications; intervention staff may be required to orient new participants to the trial and begin intervention delivery. Given the potential for higher recruitment volume during this period, staffing increases may be required. From a scientific standpoint, if participants enrolled during this time are less likely to adhere to research protocol (ie, lower rates of intervention adherence, lower retention at follow-up) because of a more transient commitment to quitting, this could have important implications for treatment trials. Lower retention rates (ie, higher loss to follow-up) would result in a higher proportion of participants counted as smokers in intention-to-treat analyses, which may artificially deflate overall abstinence rates and perceived effectiveness of an intervention. Lower rates of intervention adherence among participants recruited during the New Year could influence metrics of intervention feasibility and receptivity as well as cessation outcomes.

We sought to examine these questions about the impact of seasonality on smoking cessation treatment trials in the context of an ongoing randomized trial of a Web-based cessation intervention. We extracted a subset of participants recruited during a 15-day window that spanned the 2013 New Year and compared them to participants recruited during 2 other 15-day periods in 2012 on recruitment and retention rates, baseline characteristics, website utilization, and cessation outcomes. Our a priori hypotheses were that New Year participants would differ on baseline measures of motivation and desire to quit consistent with a more transient commitment to quitting, and would have lower recruitment and retention rates, lower website utilization rates, and poorer cessation outcomes compared to participants enrolled during other periods.
Methods

Study Overview

The full study protocol has been published elsewhere [12]. Briefly, this is an ongoing Web-based randomized trial to compare the efficacy of an interactive, evidence-based, smoking cessation website alone and in conjunction with (1) a theory-driven, empirically informed social network intervention designed to integrate participants into an online community, and (2) access to a free supply of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) products. The study uses a 2×2 factorial design to compare the following treatment conditions: (1) website, (2) website+social network intervention, (3) website+NRT, and (4) website+social network intervention+NRT. A total of 4000 participants will be randomized by the end of the study. Follow-up assessments are administered at 3- and 9-months postrandomization; 30-day point prevalence abstinence is the primary outcome of the parent trial. Study eligibility criteria are current smoking, age 18 years or older, and US residence. Exclusion criteria are contraindications to NRT (pregnant or breastfeeding, recent cardiac problems, current NRT use). Randomization is stratified by gender and baseline motivation.

Recruitment

The study is conducted within BecomeAnEX.org, a free, publicly available, evidence-based intervention developed in accordance with the 2008 US Department of Health and Human Service’s Clinical Practice Guidelines [13]. The site was developed by Legacy, a nonprofit organization that develops smoking prevention and cessation programs, in collaboration with the Mayo Clinic Nicotine Dependence Center [14]. A national multichannel media campaign that included television, radio, and outdoor and online advertising was launched in 2008 to promote the website [15]. The present implementation of this campaign relies on various forms of online advertising, including social media, search engine marketing, and large targeted ad networks for display advertising. Search engine advertising targets keywords related to BecomeAnEX (eg, quit smoking, stop smoking) and display advertising targets males and females aged between 25 and 54 years.

Participants are recruited to the trial immediately following registration on BecomeAnEX. The entire recruitment and enrollment process is automated using a Web-based clinical trials management system. Individuals that indicate current smoking (every day/some days) during registration are invited to the study. Interested individuals complete online eligibility screening; eligible individuals provide online informed consent and contact information, including an email address that is used to send a link to the online baseline assessment. Participants are randomized to treatment upon completion of the baseline survey. No incentive is provided for enrollment in the study. Recruitment volume is capped at a maximum of 10 new participants per day to ensure a manageable workload for intervention and research staff throughout the study period. Once 10 individuals are randomized, no new registered users are invited for the remainder of the 24-hour period. Recruitment began in March 2012. As of October 30, 2013, 3602 participants have been randomized.

We defined the New Year period based on a clear peak and drop in the number of individuals that registered on BecomeAnEX between December 1, 2012 and January 31, 2013. The average conversion rate of unique visitors to registrants each day from December 1 through December 25 was approximately 4.7%. This proportion increased almost 2-fold on December 26, 2012 to 8.2% and stayed elevated through January 9, 2013, at an average daily conversion rate of 7.4%. Thus, we selected this 15-day period as our New Year period. For comparison, we selected 2 other 15-day periods during the year based on several criteria: (1) similar marketing and promotion approach, (2) variations in season (ie, summer, fall), (3) same span of days of the week (Wednesday to Wednesday), and (4) roughly similar number of participants randomized during the designated time period. Based on these factors, 2 separate 15-day periods were
selected for comparison: 1 during the summer (July 18, 2012 to August 1, 2012) and 1 during the fall (November 7, 2012 to November 21, 2012). We deliberately selected the fall period to include another popular quitting holiday, the American Cancer Society’s Great American Smokeout, which falls on the third Thursday of November (November 15, 2012). Inclusion of this time frame enabled us to compare participants enrolling during the New Year to participants potentially enrolling in response to another seasonal trigger for cessation.

**Interventions**
Participants in all 4 treatment groups had full access to the BecomeAnEX website which provides assistance setting a quit date, assessment of motivation and nicotine dependence, problem-solving/skills training to enhance self-efficacy for quitting, assistance in selecting and using US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved pharmacotherapies, and social support through a large online community [14,15]. Participants randomized to receive the social network intervention received proactive communications from established members of the BecomeAnEX community (integrators). Within 24 hours after a new participant joined the study, the integrators posted a public message on the new member’s profile page to welcome them to the site, encourage them to fill out their profile, or comment on some aspect of an existing profile. Participants randomized to receive NRT products from the study were mailed a free 4-week supply of the NRT product of their choice (patch, gum, or lozenge) within 3 days of randomization. The NRT is provided as an over-the-counter product (ie, with no additional support or guidance provided) to parallel the experience participants would have if they purchased NRT on their own.

**Data Collection**
Data are obtained through 3 sources: (1) a Web-based clinical trials management system that automated the recruitment and enrollment process, (2) self-report assessments at baseline, 3-, and 9-months postrandomization, and (3) online tracking software that records utilization of BecomeAnEX. Our analyses of smoking outcomes focus on the 3-month follow-up because this is typically when treatment utilization and intervention effects are the strongest. Telephone follow-up by professional telephone interviewers blinded to treatment condition for online nonresponders is used to maximize follow-up rates. Participants are reimbursed via Amazon or PayPal for survey completion (US $20 for Web survey, US $15 for phone survey). Individual level tracking metrics of BecomeAnEX utilization are recorded using Adobe/Omniture SiteCatalyst [16] software.

**Measures**

**Overview**
The following measures from the parent trial were examined for these analyses.

**Sociodemographic Variables**
Participants reported age, gender, race, ethnicity, marital status, employment, education, and type of Internet connection.

**Smoking Variables**
At baseline, participants completed the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) [17] and also reported their confidence and desire to quit smoking (1=not at all, 5=very much), the current number of cigarettes smoked per day, the number of quit attempts in the previous year, and motivation to quit [18].

**Psychosocial Variables**
The appraisal and belonging subscales of the 12-item Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL) [19] were used to measure perceived availability of social resources at baseline. The appraisal subscale measures the perceived availability of someone to talk to about one’s problems; the belonging subscale assesses the perceived availability of people with whom to engage in activities. Perceptions of cessation-related social support are measured at baseline and follow-up with a 6-item version of the Partner Interaction Questionnaire [20,21] that assesses receipt of positive behaviors (supportive of cessation) and negative behaviors (harmful to cessation) from an individual who has followed the participant’s efforts to quit smoking.

**Treatment Adherence**
Website utilization during the first 3 months of the study was extracted from the BecomeAnEX database and included the following metrics: number of log-ins, minutes spent using the site during each visit/session, number of pages viewed during each visit/session, and the number of blog posts read and made. Website utilization was recorded using Adobe/Omniture SiteCatalyst. Each page view by a participant was recorded into a relational database, and page views were grouped into sessions. The duration of a session was defined as the time elapsed between the first page view and the last page view in a given session. If a user did not view a new page for more than 30 minutes, the system marked them as inactive and their next return visit created a new session. At each follow-up, participants reported use of NRT and prescription cessation medications (eg, Chantix, bupropion).

**Three-Month Outcome Measures**
Smoking outcomes examined in these analyses included self-reported point prevalence abstinence (30 day and 7 day) measured at 3 months. We also examined the number of quit attempts reported at 3 months.

**Statistical Analyses**
The effects of recruitment phase (New Year, summer, fall) on recruitment metrics, baseline characteristics, treatment utilization, and outcome measures were evaluated via chi-square tests for proportions or 1-way ANOVA, depending on whether the metrics were proportions or continuous variables. Significant omnibus tests were followed by unadjusted pairwise comparisons. Analyses were conducted on the full sample of participants recruited in each phase (ie, collapsed across treatment groups).
Results

Recruitment and Retention by Recruitment Phase

Table 1 shows the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) metrics of each recruitment phase. We examined advertising expenditures during the 2 weeks before each recruitment phase in addition to the recruitment phase itself. For the New Year, summer, and fall phases, expenditures totaled US $57,508, US $48,632, and US $38,374, respectively. The conversion rate of unique visitors to new registered users during the New Year period (7.4%) was significantly higher than both summer (4.6%) and fall (4.9%) periods; summer and fall conversion rates did not differ. Among new registered users during the New Year period, 868 were invited to participate in the study. Of these, 44.1% (383/868) accepted the invitation and completed eligibility screening; 67.1% (257/383) were eligible, 93.0% (239/257) consented, and 52.9% of those eligible (136/257) completed the baseline assessment and were randomized to treatment. Among the New Year participants, 58.8% (80/136) completed the 3-month follow-up survey. With the exception of conversion rate, there were no significant differences between recruitment phases noted for any of the CONSORT metrics.

Baseline Characteristics by Recruitment Phase

New Year participants differed from participants recruited during other time periods on age, education, employment, and marital status (see Table 2). New Year participants were older (mean 43.2, SD 12.3 vs mean 39.1, SD 13.3; P = .01) and more likely to be employed full time (58.9%, 79/136 vs 40.6%, 43/106; P = .01) compared to summer participants. New Year participants were more likely to have attended college than both summer and fall participants (New Year: 80.9%, 110/136; summer: 66.0%, 70/106; fall: 68.7%, 68/99; P = .02). Both New Year and summer participants were more likely to have a spouse/partner compared to fall participants (New Year: 63.2%, 86/136; summer: 65.1%, 69/106; fall: 47.5%, 47/99; P = .02). Summer and fall participants differed on Internet access (P = .004), but were not different from New Year enrollees.

Treatment Utilization Metrics by Recruitment Phase

Among the various utilization metrics we examined (Table 3), only the number of total Web pages viewed differed significantly between the 3 groups of participants: page views was higher among New Year participants (median 57, IQR 20-57) than both summer (median 29, IQR 13-59; P = .002) and fall enrollees (median 36, IQR 19-69; P = .004). Summer and fall participants did not differ on page views.

Smoking Outcomes by Time of Enrollment

There were no significant differences in any of the smoking outcomes we examined by recruitment phase. Using intention-to-treat analyses, 30-day point prevalence abstinence was 11.8% (16/136), 15.1% (16/106), and 17.2% (17/99), and 7-day point prevalence abstinence was 16.2% (22/136), 18.9% (20/106), and 22.2% (22/99) for New Year, summer, and fall participants, respectively. Using responder-only analysis, 30-day point prevalence abstinence was 20% (16/79), 29% (20/68), and 32% (22/53) for New Year, summer, and fall participants, respectively. There was no difference in the number of quit attempts reported by the 3 groups at the 3-month follow-up (Table 4).

Table 1. Recruitment and retention metrics by recruitment phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment and retention metrics</th>
<th>New Year</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total advertising expenditure (US $)</td>
<td>57,508</td>
<td>48,632</td>
<td>38,374</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique visitors, n</td>
<td>32,853</td>
<td>30,605</td>
<td>22,017</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New registered users, n</td>
<td>2424</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion rate, %</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited to study, n</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepted invitation, n (% of invited to study)</td>
<td>383 (44.1)</td>
<td>325 (41.0)</td>
<td>270 (45.5)</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible, n (% of accepted invitation)</td>
<td>257 (67.1)</td>
<td>218 (67.1)</td>
<td>186 (68.9)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consented, n (% of eligible)</td>
<td>239 (93.0)</td>
<td>205 (94.0)</td>
<td>176 (94.6)</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized, n (% of eligible)</td>
<td>136 (52.9)</td>
<td>106 (48.6)</td>
<td>99 (53.2)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed 3-month follow-up, n (% randomized)</td>
<td>80 (58.8)</td>
<td>69 (65.1)</td>
<td>54 (54.5)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Baseline characteristics by recruitment phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>New Year n=136</th>
<th>Summer n=106</th>
<th>Fall n=99</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>43.2 (12.3)</td>
<td>39.1 (13.3)</td>
<td>40.6 (13.1)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female), n (%)</td>
<td>97 (71.3)</td>
<td>76 (71.7)</td>
<td>68 (68.7)</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>22 (16.2)</td>
<td>14 (13.2)</td>
<td>16 (16.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>114 (83.8)</td>
<td>92 (86.8)</td>
<td>83 (83.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Hispanic), n (%)</td>
<td>8 (5.9)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
<td>3 (3.0)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>26 (19.1)</td>
<td>36 (34.0)</td>
<td>31 (31.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or more</td>
<td>110 (80.9)</td>
<td>70 (66.0)</td>
<td>68 (68.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time</td>
<td>79 (58.9)</td>
<td>43 (40.6)</td>
<td>52 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not full time</td>
<td>57 (41.9)</td>
<td>63 (59.4)</td>
<td>47 (47.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>86 (63.2)</td>
<td>69 (65.1)</td>
<td>47 (47.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No partner</td>
<td>50 (36.8)</td>
<td>37 (34.9)</td>
<td>52 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes per day, mean (SD)</td>
<td>17.3 (8.1)</td>
<td>16.4 (7.6)</td>
<td>16.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to quit, a n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 30 days</td>
<td>116 (85.9)</td>
<td>87 (82.1)</td>
<td>86 (87.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 6 months</td>
<td>19 (14.1)</td>
<td>19 (17.9)</td>
<td>13 (13.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to quit, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in quitting, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit attempts past year, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.6)</td>
<td>2.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTND,b mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>5.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychosocial variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner Interaction Questionnaire, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive subscale</td>
<td>9.1 (2.9)</td>
<td>9.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>9.3 (3.0)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative subscale</td>
<td>6.8 (4.2)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISEL, c mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal subscale</td>
<td>8.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>8.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belonging subscale</td>
<td>7.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>7.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet access, d n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed/broadband</td>
<td>108 (80.0)</td>
<td>92 (88.5)</td>
<td>72 (72.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile device</td>
<td>27 (20.0)</td>
<td>12 (11.5)</td>
<td>27 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Motivation to quit excluded 1 participant who reported no plans to quit smoking in New Year group.
bFTND: Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence.
cISEL: Interpersonal Support Evaluation List.
dInternet access: n=3 cases dropped that reported using a dial-up connection (summer: n=2; New Year: n=1; fall: n=0).
Table 3. Treatment utilization metrics by recruitment phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment utilization metrics</th>
<th>New Year (n=136)</th>
<th>Summer (n=106)</th>
<th>Fall (n=99)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log-ins, median (IQR)(^a)</td>
<td>2 (1-4)</td>
<td>2 (1-4)</td>
<td>2 (1-5)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return visits, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>50 (36.8)</td>
<td>48 (45.3)</td>
<td>42 (42.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 (20.6)</td>
<td>18 (17.0)</td>
<td>17 (17.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>58 (42.6)</td>
<td>40 (37.7)</td>
<td>40 (40.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time on site, median (IQR)</td>
<td>41 (20.5-86)</td>
<td>29 (13-59)</td>
<td>40 (16.5-64)</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page views, median (IQR)</td>
<td>57 (20-57)</td>
<td>29 (13-59)</td>
<td>36 (19-69)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blogs read, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>95 (69.9)</td>
<td>72 (67.9)</td>
<td>71 (71.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 (6.6)</td>
<td>12 (11.3)</td>
<td>9 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>32 (23.5)</td>
<td>22 (20.8)</td>
<td>19 (19.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blog posts, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>117 (86.0)</td>
<td>95 (89.6)</td>
<td>89 (89.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>4 (3.8)</td>
<td>4 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>16 (11.8)</td>
<td>7 (6.6)</td>
<td>6 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any NRT use (yes), n (%)</td>
<td>48 (60.0)</td>
<td>37 (53.6)</td>
<td>36 (66.7)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)12 missing values (New Year: n=7; summer: n=3; fall: n=2).

Table 4. Smoking outcomes by recruitment phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking outcomes</th>
<th>New Year</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-day point prevalence abstinence, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention-to-treat</td>
<td>16 (11.8)</td>
<td>16 (15.1)</td>
<td>17 (17.2)</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder only</td>
<td>16 (20.0)</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>17 (31.5)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-day point prevalence abstinence, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention-to-treat</td>
<td>22 (16.2)</td>
<td>20 (18.9)</td>
<td>22 (22.2)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder only</td>
<td>22 (27.5)</td>
<td>20 (29.0)</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit attempts, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>4.3 (8.6)</td>
<td>3.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

The results of this study indicate that smokers visiting a Web-based cessation program during the New Year period are more likely to register for treatment and differ on several demographic variables, but show similar patterns of treatment engagement, retention, and short-term cessation outcomes compared with participants who visit the site during other periods of the year. Our hypotheses that New Year participants would differ on measures of motivation and desire to quit were not supported, and there were no differences on any of the smoking variables we examined. In addition, our hypotheses about lower retention rates, website utilization rates, and cessation outcomes were also not supported. Follow-up rates were comparable across all 3 periods, and smokers recruited during the New Year period quit at the same rate as smokers recruited at other times during the year. These results mitigate scientific concerns about recruiting participants during this time frame and are reassuring for researchers conducting Web-based cessation trials.

Our findings that New Year participants were older, more educated, more likely to be employed full time, and more likely to have a relationship partner may suggest that smokers with greater resources are more affected by the seasonal trends of quitting around the New Year. Alternatively, these differences may be a function of differential message exposure: older employed individuals may have been more likely to be impacted by the BecomeAnEX online advertising campaign, or reminded of cessation through workplace wellness programs or other promotional activities. Although not significant, smokers recruited during the New Year period also had a higher level of nicotine dependence and a higher number of previous quit attempts at baseline, also suggesting that seasonal trends may serve as a cue to action for more dependent and motivated...
smokers. We do not have an explanation for the finding that New Year participants viewed more website pages than participants in other recruitment phases did, especially because no other metric of engagement or utilization was significantly different.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine demographic, utilization, and outcome patterns of smokers recruited to a Web-based intervention during the New Year period, although there is interest in seasonal patterns of behavior. Delnevo and colleagues [10] noted seasonal patterns in motivation for quitting among callers to a quitline and discussed the implications for planning, promoting, and evaluating telephone quitlines. Using Internet search query data, Ayers et al [22] documented seasonality in searches for mental health information, with increases in information seeking that corresponded to patterns of seasonal affective disorder. The lack of previous publications in this area may be because dramatic increases in recruitment are to some degree unique to the online environment and Web-based studies that are capable of enrolling a large number of trial participants in a relatively short period of time.

Our findings add to the small but growing literature on recruitment methods for Web-based tobacco interventions [23-31]. Most studies to date have focused on comparisons between online recruitment methods (eg, online banner advertising) and more traditional recruitment methods (eg, newspaper ads, targeted mailings) [24,25,32], evaluation of different Internet-based methods [28,29], or the use of offline methods (eg, physician referral) to drive tobacco users to Web-based interventions [30,31]. The primary endpoints of interest in most studies are baseline participant characteristics and recruitment yield and/or efficiency. Heffner et al [25] evaluated the impact of Web-based and traditional recruitment methods on 3-month data retention and 30-day point prevalence smoking abstinence at the 3-month outcome assessment and found no differences by recruitment method. Our findings regarding the impact of seasonality are consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated some differences in the types of participants recruited to Web-based tobacco interventions, but no differences in their participation in or outcomes from such trials.

Limitations
Several limitations to this study should be noted. We examined variations in participant characteristics for a single cessation website as part of an ongoing randomized trial. This site exists in a larger ecosystem of promotion, advertising, and branding as part of the national BecomeAnEX campaign, which has been in existence since 2008. Our results are likely related to the specific strategy employed by BecomeAnEX, which is largely online advertising in its present implementation. Other advertising and promotional strategies of different Web-based interventions could yield different results. In addition, our automated titration of recruitment volume should be noted when considering the pragmatic implications of our results. Although the number of visitors to BecomeAnEX was higher during the New Year period and a higher proportion of them registered to become members, the number of participants recruited to our clinical trial during different periods throughout the year has remained relatively constant because of the daily cap we have on enrollment. This cap is designed to maintain a consistent volume of participants for our research and intervention staff to manage. If this cap were not in place, we may have seen a higher number of participants invited to the study and differences in the proportion of participants accepting or declining the study invitation. This may have important pragmatic considerations for other Web-based trials that have human involvement, but we believe this is unlikely to have affected the other metrics we examined (ie, follow-up rates, cessation outcomes). The daily cap on recruitment may also have affected statistical power. The response rate to the 3-month follow-up is lower than desired despite numerous online and offline strategies to reach participants, but is comparable to or higher than other Internet studies [33].

Conclusions
Internet interventions for health behavior change are characterized by their ability to recruit broadly and provide treatment at scale. Secular or temporal variations, such as the New Year holiday, and the associated media attention to smoking cessation and resolution making can result in large-scale swings in the number of individuals arriving at Web-based cessation interventions. For interventions that can effectively capture and enroll those individuals, seasonal variations could dramatically increase recruitment efficiency for clinical trials.
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Abstract

Background: Health information technology has become common in the care of patients with chronic diseases; however, there are few such applications employed in kidney disease.

Objective: The aim of the study was to evaluate the use of a website providing disease-specific safety information by patients with predialysis chronic kidney disease.

Methods: As part of the Safe Kidney Care (SKC) study, an educational website was designed to provide information on safety concerns in chronic kidney disease. Phase I study participants were provided a medical alert accessory with a unique ID number, the Safe Kidney Care website, and an in-person tutorial on the use of the Internet and accessing the SKC website at baseline. Participants were asked to visit the website and enter their unique ID as frequently as they desired over the next 365 days or until their annual follow-up visit, whichever occurred first. Participants’ visits and dwell times on specific safety modules were tracked using embedded webpage PHP scripts linked to a MySQL database, enabling the collection of website usage statistics.

Results: Of 108 Phase I participants, 28.7\% (31/108) visited the website from 1-6 times during the observation period (median follow-up 365 days). Median access time was 7 minutes per visit (range <1-46) and 13 minutes per person (range <1-123). The three most frequently visited pages were “Renal function calculator”, “Pills to avoid”, and “Foods to avoid”. High school education and frequent Internet use were significantly associated with website entry (\(P=.02\) and \(P=.03\), respectively).

Conclusions: Preliminary results show general interest in a Web-based platform designed to improve patient safety in chronic kidney disease.
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chronic kidney disease; health information technology; patient safety
Introduction

Health information technology is expected to play a growing role in medical care delivery over the coming years [1]. The Internet has become a major source of educational health materials for both patients and providers and mobile health applications are being developed for a wide variety of diseases and health conditions [2-7]. For health IT applications to have a beneficial impact on disease outcomes, they must be designed to match the predominant technologic proficiency (e-literacy) of the target population. While the use of computers, the Internet, and mobile devices continues to rise in the United States [8,9], many chronic disease populations are not the target of commercial IT developers. The population with chronic kidney disease is one such population, as it includes a high preponderance of individuals who are older, of lower socioeconomic status, and with lower health literacy [10,11]. Therefore, it is unknown whether health IT tools intended for chronic kidney disease can be effective.

The Safe Kidney Care (SKC) study was a prospective cohort designed to gauge adverse safety events in chronic kidney disease. In Phase I of this study, we set out to evaluate the acceptance and initial use of a health IT system including a device designed to increase recognition of chronic kidney disease (medical alert accessory) and linked to a website. The medical alert bracelet was devised for the purpose of alerting patients and providers of a patient’s diagnosis of chronic kidney disease and directing these individuals to a website informing patients, family members, and providers about the unique patient safety concerns associated with chronic kidney disease management. We tracked the incidence of study participants’ initial entry into the website over a one-year period and their prioritization of chronic kidney disease patient safety concerns.

Methods

Study Population

The SKC cohort study (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT 01407367) was approved by the University of Maryland School of Medicine Institutional Review Board and Veterans Affairs Maryland Health Care System Baltimore Research and Development committee. The primary objective of the SKC cohort study was to examine the relationship between chronic kidney disease recognition and patient safety. The objective of Phase I of the SKC cohort was to evaluate a medical alert accessory (American Medical ID, Houston, TX) noting the participant’s diagnosis of chronic kidney disease and directing patients and providers to the Safe Kidney Care website offering information on common patient safety concerns pertinent to chronic kidney disease. To be eligible for the SKC cohort, participants needed two measures of renal function with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² at least 90 days apart and no more than 18 months prior to enrollment. Participants were excluded for Phase I if they were expected to reach end-stage renal disease or die within one year from enrollment or if they had skin sensitivity to silver or stainless steel. Primary sources for recruitment and enrollment were the University of Maryland Medical System and Baltimore Veterans Affairs Medical Center Early Renal Insufficiency disease management clinics.

Safe Kidney Care (SKC) Cohort Study Procedures

Upon enrollment into the SKC cohort, participants were seen at baseline and then annually in-center with a 6-month interim telephone follow-up visit. At the baseline visit, Phase I participants were provided with a fitted medical alert accessory of their choosing (bracelet or necklace) engraved with the message: “Decreased kidney function”. For safe care, please visit the Safe Kidney Care website and a unique study number. This unique ID number did not contain any personally identifiable information. After baseline data collection and provision of the medical alert accessory, the study coordinator provided participants with a demonstration and short tutorial on accessing the Internet and SKC website, where to enter their unique ID number, and a tour of the website and its features. At completion of the tutorial, participants were encouraged to use the website, along with family members and providers. They were instructed to enter their unique ID number when accessing the website’s patient portal so that their usage could be tracked, although this was not required for entrance into the website (Figure 1).

Safe Kidney Care Website Development

Prior to the commencement of enrollment into the SKC cohort, an extensive literature review was conducted to identify chronic kidney disease-pertinent patient safety concerns. Approximately 800 academic and community nephrologists were then invited to participate in a survey to prioritize identified candidate patient safety concerns and to suggest additional potential patient safety events not previously considered. The feedback by participating respondents (n=142) was then used as the basis for development of the SKC website, which covers the most commonly identified chronic kidney disease-specific patient safety concerns along with other general nephrology educational topics. The resulting modules were reviewed by an expert panel convened for the SKC project with additional modifications made based on their input. The website contains both a patient and family member portal, which provides module descriptions in lay terms, and a health care provider portal, which uses common medical terminology.

The resultant SKC website was designed using guidelines for color and content layout incorporated from the 508 Compliance and Disabilities Act and heuristic design [12,13]. Emphasis was placed on ease of readability, with text written to target a 6th grade reading level in the patient portal. The primary placement of the “Safety Concerns” was in a circular distribution to avoid the implication of prioritization of topics. Images were designed to be static because of the anticipated limited access to Adobe Flash (or other multimedia platform). The website was designed to limit the need to scroll; however, on pages with a requirement for scrolling, the most important content was placed above the fold so as to ensure primary focus on the safety content. The capability to increase text size on every page was installed and displayed and meaningful, relatable icons were chosen for easy recognition of content topics (eg, picture of a stethoscope to represent “What to Tell My Doctor”). A quick-launch side panel, entitled “Learn More”, included links to pages on the SKC
The website which provide users with basic information about the function of the kidneys, what is meant by kidney disease, definition of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and a glossary of commonly used medication terms (eg, “blood pressure”, “dialysis”, and “nephrologist”). The website markup and content were constructed using HTML with embedded functions programmed using PHP programming language (Version 5.3.5). The design was conceived with the goal of optimal use on all Internet portals. Usability testing was conducted on a small representative sample and previously reported [14]. Modifications to the website were instituted based on feedback from usability participants.

**Figure 1.** Medical alert accessory and log-in page of Safe Kidney Care website patient portal.

---

**Safe Kidney Care Website Surveillance**

To evaluate the receipt of information designed for the targeted audience, we tracked activities on the SKC website of each bracelet ID assigned to study group participants, including page viewing frequency, browsing time for each page, and feedback. Due to flexibility and security concerns, our implemented computer routine was believed to surpass other available online tracking and analysis services (eg, Google Analytics), as our own implementation and hosting permitted precise tracking defined for the study purposes with data stored securely on our local server.

The website was programmed to track both registered and anonymous users through PHP scripts embedded in each webpage. The PHP scripts were linked with a database built on the MySQL server, to collect and store predefined website usage statistics. For each distinct participant log-in or anonymous guest visit, a unique session number was assigned to label all actions during the visit until the session expired or the visitor started a new session. Medical alert accessory unique ID, session number, log-in time, and IP address were recorded into a table for each independent visit. During a session, each click of an internal or external link was captured and a unique record was generated, including session number, page/link entry time, and page/link ID. With this information, it was possible to recreate the visiting pathway for each visit, to count total pages read in each session, and to calculate other pertinent statistics such as frequency of each page visited in each session, or number of visits from a registered user. It is generally difficult to determine the time when a visitor leaves a page because the visitor may leave the computer for an interruption and return after some time; hence, it was not possible to ascertain precisely how long a visitor focused on the content of the webpages. Nevertheless, the dwelling time for each page was estimated, under the assumption that a visitor would not spend an extended time on a single page but would continue to browse different pages. At the same time, a minimum length of time must also be spent on each page to conduct effective reading. Therefore, an effective dwelling length on a page was counted if the time difference between two distinct webpage entry times (within the same session) was longer than 5 seconds and less than 10 minutes.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome in this analysis was the first entry into the SKC website with input of a unique ID number by SKC study participants at any time following completion of their baseline SKC visit until their first annual follow-up visit or 365 days of observation, whichever occurred first. Access of the health care provider portal was not analyzed for the purpose of this study. The number of days from baseline SKC visit was recorded, as was the length of dwell time on the website and on selected modules. Modules were ranked by frequency of selection if selected at least once during the participant’s initial visit on the website.

**Covariates**

Additional factors measured at baseline SKC visit and included in the analysis were demographic characteristics, socioeconomic status, education, health literacy and numeracy [15], and ease and frequency of use of the Internet. Baseline serum creatinine was measured and used to estimate the GFR with the abbreviated Modified Diet in Renal Disease equation [16].
Statistical Methods
For descriptive analyses, continuous variables were presented with mean and standard error (SE) and Student’s t test for comparison across groups. Binomial and categorical variables were expressed as N (%) with comparisons made with the chi-square test. Stepwise logistic regression was used to examine factors that predict incident registration into the SKC website. All factors were used in a forward stepwise inclusion procedure with retention of only those factors with significance of \( P<.05 \).

Results
Enrollment commenced for Phase I of the SKC cohort on April 15, 2011 and the last of 108 participants completed the baseline visit on January 23, 2012. A medical alert bracelet was selected by 55 (50.9%, 55/108) of participants with 53 (49.1%, 53/108) choosing the medical alert necklace. The median observation time was 365 days (range 305-365). Table 1 shows the demographic and baseline characteristics of all participants. Mean age of SKC participants was 64 years (SD 11) with a mean estimated GFR of 42 ml/min/1.73m\(^2\) (SD 14). Of note, 10 enrolled participants were estimated to have eGFRs >60 ml/min/1.73m\(^2\) at their baseline visit, despite two screening eGFRs that fit enrollment criteria of eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73m\(^2\). The majority of participants were black, unmarried, with a household income of ≤ US$50,000 annually (72.2%, 78/108; 55.6%, 60/108; and 68.5%, 74/108, respectively). While 86.1% of participants (93/108) reported availability of computer access, 45.4% (49/108) estimated their Internet usage to be less frequent than weekly or daily.

Figure 2 shows the results of the SKC website usage at the end of observation for all participants. To date, 28.7% (31/108) of participants visited the website since study enrollment a median of 1 time (range 1-6). Median SKC website access time per visit was 7 minutes (range <1-46). Median cumulative SKC website access time per person was 13 minutes (range <1-123) with a cumulative access time by all 31 participants of 669 minutes. The three most frequently visited pages were “Renal function calculator”, “Pills to avoid”, and “Foods to avoid” (Table 2).

The adjusted proportion of individuals with SKC website log-in by baseline characteristic is shown in Table 3. After stepwise logistic analysis, pertinent and significant factors predictive of incident use of the SKC website were high school diploma (OR 3.22, 95% CI 1.18-8.81) and frequent use of the Internet (OR 3.31, 95% CI 1.13-9.72), \( P=.02 \) and \( P=.02 \), respectively.

Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of Safe Kidney Care website log-in.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>10 (9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-64</td>
<td>46 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>52 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>78 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-black</td>
<td>30 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤$50,000</td>
<td>74 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$50,000</td>
<td>34 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤High school diploma</td>
<td>51 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;High school diploma</td>
<td>57 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently married</td>
<td>60 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently married</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed full-time</td>
<td>96 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full-time</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73m^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 (≥60)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3a (45-59)</td>
<td>33 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3b (30-44)</td>
<td>47 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4 or 5 (&lt;30)</td>
<td>18 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health literacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal/inadequate (TOFHLA&lt;67)</td>
<td>32 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate (TOFHLA≥67)</td>
<td>76 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer access available</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never</td>
<td>49 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>59 (55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Five participants declined to answer income status.

*b* Ten participants had baseline estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR) ≥60 mL/min/1.73m^2 despite qualifying screening eGFRs of <60 mL/min/1.73m^2.

*c* Seven participants were unable to complete the abbreviated Test of Functional Health Literacy Assessment (TOFHLA) due to conditions such as blindness.
Table 2. Most frequently visited SKC webpages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Webpage title</th>
<th>Total visits</th>
<th>Cumulative time (minutes)</th>
<th>Average time per visit (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney Function (GFR) calculator</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pills to avoid</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foods to avoid</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What to keep in mind about the “good” kidney drugs</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When you need a special type of x-ray or other radiologic test…</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is glomerular filtration rate?</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When to worry about your fluid intake</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is urine protein?</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently asked questions</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take our survey</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is chronic kidney disease (CKD) or weak kidneys?</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you have diabetes…</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About the Safe Kidney Care website</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What to tell my doctor, nurse, or pharmacist</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline characteristic</td>
<td>Unadjusted proportion</td>
<td>Adjusted proportion (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Reference (ref)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-64</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47 (13-84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47 (13-84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>43 (20-69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-black</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31 (11-61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤$50,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$50,000</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40 (18-68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤High school diploma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;High school diploma</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28 (11-55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently married</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently married</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20 (8-44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed full-time</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full-time</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28 (8-65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73m^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 (≥60)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3a (45-59)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31 (7-72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3b (30-44)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27 (6-68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4 or 5 (&lt;30)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9 (1-48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health literacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal/inadequate (TOFHLA&lt;67)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate (TOFHLA≥67)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13 (4-36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer access available</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9 (1-52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36 (12-68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3.** Predictors of Safe Kidney Care website log-in.

*Note:* Data are from a cohort of 135 patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 2-5 who were asked to log-in to a patient education website.

**a**Five participants declined to answer income status.

**b**Ten participants had baseline estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR) ≥60 mL/min/1.73m$^2$ despite qualifying screening eGFRs of <60 mL/min/1.73m$^2$.

**c**Seven participants were unable to complete the abbreviated Test of Functional Health Literacy Assessment (TOFHLA) due to conditions such as blindness.
Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we have described the longitudinal access and usage of a Web-based reference on the unique concerns of patient safety in chronic kidney disease based on the provision of a medical alert accessory with a unique identifier and prompt for website use. The study evaluates the alignment of a commonly used medical alert device, designed to enhance awareness of chronic kidney disease, with a health IT portal offering disease-pertinent information to the target population. The results offer an assessment on the likelihood that individuals with chronic kidney disease and their family members will utilize this alert system intended to increase disease awareness and improve patient safety. The findings suggest a substantial proportion of the SKC Phase I sample, designed to be representative of the chronic kidney disease population, were capable and motivated to access the website to view the developed patient safety modules. The results reveal the population’s prioritization of safety concerns specific to chronic kidney disease. Moreover, the report on dwell time provides indication of the importance users placed on the content.

Several reports show that patients with chronic disease who are empowered with IT tools for monitoring, training, and self-management have improved outcomes [2-7], yet few prior investigations evaluate the usage of these applications in general or those specifically designed for the chronic kidney disease population. While online educational materials designed to promote patient education and community awareness of kidney disease are available via high-quality programs such as the National Kidney Disease Education Program [17] and the National Kidney Foundation [18], the use of these materials by the target population remains unknown. Our study is unique in its demonstration of the feasibility of acceptance and utilization of a hybrid system combining a longstanding physical device (a variant of which has been most commonly utilized in kidney disease to preserve potential vascular access sites) [19] with its value enhanced by a usability-tested health IT portal [14] providing extensive information relevant to the chronic kidney disease population.

Introduction of online tools does not guarantee their usage by the target population and the results from this study support prior examinations that estimate use of online tools to be highly variable. Our findings align with studies in other chronic diseases, which reveal inconsistency in website use, but self-reported or measured use has been noted to range from approximately 10-40% of those queried [20-22]. Adults in the United States living with chronic disease are significantly less likely than healthy adults to have access to the Internet (62% vs 81%), but once online, those with chronic disease are more likely to use social media and online tools to share information and obtain support from their peers [23]. Individuals with chronic disease still report an overwhelming preference to receive health-related education and advice from a professional source such as a health care provider [24], which emphasizes the role of the Internet as an accessory to, rather than a replacement for, quality provider-patient interactions. The results presented here suggest information needs in the areas most frequently visited on the website, even for face-to-face encounters.

Limitations

The study presented has limitations related to the descriptive nature of the results, which may limit generalizability. While approximately 30% of participants visited the SKC website, 70% did not, which is an important finding. These individuals who did not access the website were not specifically asked to comment on reasons for their lack of participation (eg, lack of interest rather than access), which may not be captured in the demographic evaluation of computer and Internet access, but likely is highly relevant to future work using health IT applications in chronic kidney disease. The use of nephrologists only in identifying specific areas of safety concerns in chronic kidney disease was limited in that it did not include advanced practitioners or primary care providers, who play important roles in caring for individuals with chronic kidney disease and undoubtedly would have added value to the content. Further, we did not incorporate patients in the initial design of the website; however, usability testing was performed with such a population using an iterative process used to finalize the SKC website [14]. Finally, the relatively short follow-up of participants does not allow us to yet comment on the impact of the conjoined alert accessory and website resource on patient safety outcomes in chronic kidney disease.

Conclusions

Patient safety is a significant problem in kidney disease and online education tools such as the SKC website may serve as platform to educate individuals with chronic kidney disease about potential hazards related to their condition. Further examination is needed to assess individuals’ long-term utilization and dissemination of such materials and the impact of such resources in preventing safety events in chronic kidney disease.
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Abstract

Background: Patients with knee and/or hip osteoarthritis (OA) are less physically active than the general population, while the benefits of physical activity (PA) have been well documented. Based on the behavioral graded activity treatment, we developed a Web-based intervention to improve PA levels in patients with knee and/or hip OA, entitled “Join2move”. The Join2move intervention is a self-paced 9-week PA program in which the patient’s favorite recreational activity is gradually increased in a time-contingent way.

Objective: The aim of the study was to investigate whether a fully automated Web-based PA intervention in patients with knee and/or hip OA would result in improved levels of PA, physical function, and self-perceived effect compared with a waiting list control group.

Methods: The study design was a two-armed randomized controlled trial which was not blinded. Volunteers were recruited via articles in newspapers and health-related websites. Eligibility criteria for participants were: (1) aged 50-75 years, (2) self-reported knee and/or hip OA, (3) self-reported inactivity (30 minutes of moderate PA, 5 times or less per week), (4) no face-to-face consultation with a health care provider other than general practitioners, for OA in the last 6 months, (5) ability to access the Internet weekly, and (6) no contra-indications to exercise without supervision. Baseline, 3-month, and 12-month follow-up data were collected through online questionnaires. Primary outcomes were PA, physical function, and self-perceived effect. In a subgroup of participants, PA was measured objectively using accelerometers. Secondary outcomes were pain, fatigue, anxiety, depression, symptoms, quality of life, self-efficacy, pain coping, and locus of control.

Results: Of the 581 interested respondents, 199 eligible participants were randomly assigned to the intervention (n=100) or waiting list control group (n=99). Response rates of questionnaires were 84.4% (168/199) after 3 months and 75.4% (150/199) after 12 months. In this study, 94.0% (94/100) of participants actually started the program, and 46.0% (46/100) reached the adherence threshold of 6 out of 9 modules completed. At 3 months, participants in the intervention group reported a significantly improved physical function status (difference=6.5 points, 95% CI 1.8-11.2) and a positive self-perceived effect (OR 10.7, 95% CI 4.3-26.4) compared with the control group. No effect was found for self-reported PA. After 12 months, the intervention group showed higher levels of subjective (difference=21.2 points, 95% CI 3.6-38.9) and objective PA (difference=24 minutes, 95% CI 0.5-46.8) compared with the control group. After 12 months, no effect was found for physical function (difference=5 points, 95% CI 1.0 to 11.0) and self-perceived effect (OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.6-2.4). For several secondary endpoints, the intervention group demonstrated improvements in favor of the intervention group.
Conclusions: Join2move resulted in changes in the desired direction for several primary and secondary outcomes. Given the benefits and its self-help format, Join2move could be a component in the effort to enhance PA in sedentary patients with knee and/or hip OA.


Methods

Study Design

This study was a two-armed, 12-month, randomized controlled trial (RCT) with continuous recruitment and data collection. Allocation ratio was 1:1 and enrollment started on January 3, 2011, and ended November 5, 2011. The trial is reported according to the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist [24]. Ethics approval was obtained from the medical ethics committee of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam.

Participants

Patients with self-reported knee and/or hip OA were recruited through advertisements in Dutch newspapers and online on health-related websites. The advertisements briefly explained the purpose of the project and the beneficial health effects of PA. Interested individuals were referred to an open access study website and invited to complete an online eligibility questionnaire. Participants’ email addresses were used to contact them for online follow-up questionnaires, and home addresses were used for sending an information letter, informed consent form, and accelerometer. The eligibility criteria for participants were: (1) aged 50-75 years, (2) self-reported OA in knee and/or hip, (3) self-reported inactivity (<30 minutes of moderate PA three or five times or less per week), (4) no face-to-face
consultation for OA with a health care provider, other than GP, in the last 6 months, (5) ability to access the Internet weekly, and (6) no contra-indications to exercise without supervision. Self-reported OA was determined by asking participants if they had a painful knee or hip joint and if a doctor or other health care provider had ever told them this was a result of OA. Contra-indication was determined by the PA-readiness questionnaire (PARQ) [25]. The PARQ questionnaire is designed to identify persons for whom increased PA may be contra-indicated. If patients filled out “no” to all questions, it was considered safe for the patients to engage Join2move. If participants answered “yes” to any of the seven PARQ questions, they were advised to see their GP before participation. Written medical clearance from a GP was not required.

Procedure

Interested patients who met the inclusion criteria were sent an invitation letter with informed consent. Once informed consent was obtained, participants were invited to fill out an online baseline questionnaire. When baseline assessments were completed, participants were randomly assigned to the intervention (n=100) or control group (n=99). For concealment, a researcher (CV), not involved in data collection, distributed sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes with allocation details. Each sealed envelope was opened after the participant had given their written consent to participate in the study. After randomization, all participants were informed through email of their group assignment. Participants in the intervention group received a username and password to log in. Due to the nature of the study (waiting list controlled), neither the study staff nor the participants were blinded to group allocation. To assess the effectiveness of the Join2move intervention, we conducted two post measurements at 3 months and 12 months. At these follow-up times, all participants received online questionnaires. In addition to the online questionnaires, a random subgroup from both groups (n=83) received and returned an accelerometer by post. The decision for sending accelerometers to a subgroup of participants was made based on time and cost savings. An email and telephone reminder was used when participants failed to complete their online questionnaire within 2 weeks. Apart from sending accelerometers and telephone reminders, the study used an automated design. There was no face-to-face contact with study subjects.

Development of the Intervention

Over the course of 1 year, a team of experts from the Netherlands institute for health services research (NIVEL) developed the program. During the development phase, an iterative design methodology [26] was used to test, analyze, and refine the Join2move intervention. We conducted a focus group (n=5), in home observations (n=4), a pilot study (n=20), and interviews (n=16). Furthermore, two usability methods (heuristic evaluation and a thinking aloud approach) were applied to determine the usability of the Web-based program. End-users (ie, patients with knee and/or hip OA) were involved continuously throughout the development process. The final version was used for the RCT study. No content changes were made during the trial period. Further details about the development are described elsewhere [27]. Participants involved in the focus group, pilot, and usability studies did not participate in the RCT study.

The Intervention

The Join2move intervention is based on a previously developed and evaluated BGA program for patients with knee and/or hip OA [23]. The BGA program incorporates a baseline test, goal setting, time-contingent PA objectives (ie, on fixed time points), and text messages to promote PA. An essential feature of the BGA program is the positive reinforcement of gradual PA, despite the presence of pain. The gradual increase in activities changes the perception that PA is related to pain and reinforces confidence to improve PA performance [28]. The Join2move intervention is a fully automated Web-based intervention that contains automatic functions (web-based text messaging and automatic emails) without human support. Screenshots illustrating different stages of the Join2move intervention are presented in Multimedia Appendix 1. Participants are initially presented with a homepage (see Figure 1). The password-secured PA program is available 24/7 from the homepage and is provided without charge. In keeping with the BGA treatment, the Join2move intervention is a self-paced 9-week PA program in which a patient’s favorite recreational activity is gradually increased in a time-contingent way. In the first week of the program, users select a central activity such as cycling, walking, or gardening; perform a 3-day self-test; and determine a short-term goal for the next 8 weeks. Based on test performances and a short-term goal, 8 tailored weekly modules are automatically generated. Every week, new modules are posted on the password-secured website. Modules remain on the website for 1 week. After 7 days, users are presented with an evaluation form about pain and performance. Pain is assessed with a 10-point Numerical Rating Scale (0 is no pain, 10 is worst possible pain). Performance was measured by three items, namely: (1)“I completed the module as instructed”, (2) “I did more than the instructed module”, or (3) “I did less than the instructed module” due to “(a) time constraints, (b) weather conditions, (c) pain in my knee and/or hip, and (d) other physical complaints”. Subsequently, tailored to the answers from the evaluation form, automated text-based messages were generated. Furthermore, if users indicated that a module was missed due to time constraints or weather conditions, they had the option to repeat the current module or to continue with the next module. If users indicated that a module was missed due to pain in knee/hip or other physical complaints, they had the ability to repeat the module (a maximum of three times), adapt the intensity of the module, or proceed with the next module. In addition to the weekly modules, information about OA, lifestyle, and videos are provided. Since personal messages are updated on a weekly basis, users are encouraged to log in once a week. Automatic emails are generated if participants do not log on to the website for two weeks. At the end of the program, the website presents a motivational message to perform regular PA in the future.
Waiting List
In this study, we used a waiting list control group. The control group (as well as the intervention group) received a letter with information about the study, PA, and OA. During the follow-up period, participants from the control group had no contact with participants from the intervention group and no access to the Join2move intervention. After the follow-up period, patients in the waiting list group received access to the Join2move intervention.

Measures
Three online questionnaires (0, 3, and 12 months) were used for data collection and a subgroup of participants received an accelerometer to measure PA. Questionnaires were created by online survey experts from the NIVEL institute and tested among a pilot study of 20 participants prior to the RCT study [27]. All participants received an email with a URL link to an online questionnaire. We offered no incentives to complete questionnaires.

Demographic and Clinical Outcomes
Gender, education (low: primary and lower vocational education; middle: secondary and middle vocational education; high: higher vocational and university education), body height (centimeters), age (years), body weight (kilograms), location of OA complaints (knee, hip, or both), duration of OA complaints (years and months), and presence of comorbid conditions were obtained. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight in kilograms, divided by the height in meters squared.

Program Usage
Program usage was measured by the number of weekly modules completed. A module consisted of a text-based assignment and accompanying evaluation form, which was presented on the website for 7 consecutive days. Once a participant read the weekly assignments and filled out the evaluation form, the module was defined as completed and the user was automatically presented with a new module. In total, there were nine weekly modules that could have been opened by the participant. This was automatically registered. Adequate program use was defined if users completed at least 6 out of 9 modules. Intervention supplements (ie, videos and general information on the homepage) were not included in the adherence measure.

Primary Outcome Measures
Physical Activity
Self-reported PA was measured by the validated PA Scale for the Elderly (PASE) [29]. The PASE questionnaire is designed to assess PA patterns in older adults. The instrument consists of questions on household, leisure time, and work-related activities. The activities (assigned according to the level of intensity: light, moderate, and strenuous) are recorded as never, seldom (1-2 days/week), sometimes (3-4 days/week), or often (5-7 days/week). The amount of time spent in each activity is multiplied by its intensity. In addition to the PASE
questionnaire, assessment of PA was supported through ActiGraph GT3X tri-axial accelerometers [30]. A random subsample of participants from the intervention and control groups were invited to wear this accelerometer. In total, 83 accelerometers were distributed by post to 41 controls and 42 participants in the intervention group. Participants were instructed to wear the monitor on a belt around their waist for 5 consecutive days [31], except during sleeping, showering, or swimming. In addition, participants were requested to fill out a short activity diary. This diary contained questions about wearing time, unusual activities, and reasons for device removal. When accelerometers and diaries were returned by post, data were downloaded, processed, and subsequently analyzed. Participants with at least 10 hours of PA data for at least 4 valid days were included for further analysis. In order to determine the actual PA thresholds, the widely accepted thresholds by Freedson et al [32] were used: 0-99 counts for sedentary activities, 100-1951 for light PA, 1952-5724 moderate PA, 5725-9498 for vigorous PA, and 9499-max for very vigorous activities. The total time spent in light, moderate, and (very) vigorous PA was summed and subsequently divided by the number of days worn to compute the daily average time spent in total activity. For analysis, data were recorded at 1-minute intervals. Sequences of at least 60 minutes of zero counts were defined as non-wearing time. Although the accelerometer was tri-axial, only the vertical axis was used for analysis. This was decided since preprogrammed thresholds of the tri-axial model have yet to be determined [33].

Physical Function

Physical function was determined by a subscale of the Knee OA Outcome Score (KOOS) [34,35] and the Hip Injury OA Outcome Score (HOOS) [36,37]. The KOOS and HOOS are self-administered questionnaires to assess patients’ opinions about their knee and/or hip-related problems according to five indicators on a 5-point Likert scale: (1) pain, (2) symptoms, (3) physical function, (4) sport and recreation function, and (5) quality of life.

Self-Perceived Effect

At 3 months and 12 months, self-perceived effect was assessed by a single question that asked participants about the degree of change since their previous assessment. We used a 7-point Likert scale ranging from “much worse” to “much better”, with “about the same” located in the middle. The outcomes of self-perceived effect were dichotomized into “improved” (much better, better, and slightly better) and “not improved” (about the same, slightly worse, worse, much worse).

Secondary Outcomes

Pain and fatigue were assessed with a 10-point Numerical Rating Scale (0 is no pain/not tired and 10 is worst possible pain/very tired). OA-related symptoms, quality of life, and sport and recreation were measured with a subscale of the HOOS and KOOS. Anxiety and depression were evaluated by the 14-item Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [38]. Self-efficacy for pain and other symptoms was evaluated by using the Arthritis Self-Efficacy Scale [39,40]. Active and passive pain coping were determined by the Pain Coping Inventory questionnaire [41]. Locus of control (people’s belief that health is or is not determined by their behavior) was examined with the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control Scale [42].

Sample Size

Sample size calculations were performed. Since no previous research has provided adequate statistical information on PA, power calculations were based on physical function and self-perceived effect. We needed 200 patients with knee and/or hip OA in total to detect a small to medium effect (0.2-0.5) in the outcome measure physical functioning and self-perceived effect (25% difference). Conventional levels of statistical power (0.8) and level of statistical significance ($P$=0.05) were used.

Statistical Analysis

Findings were analyzed using an intention-to-treat analysis. Additionally, to the primary analysis, per-protocol analysis was employed using only adherent patients in the intervention group (at least 6 out of 9 modules completed) and the entire control group. A nonresponse analysis was carried out in order to examine differences among participants who completed the questionnaires and participants who did not. Furthermore, we compared primary baseline variables between the response and the nonresponse group in order to investigate selective attrition. A Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) approach controlling for baseline values, age, OA location, and gender was used to analyze effects of the intervention on primary and secondary outcomes. An independent correlation structure was used to account for the within-subject correlations. Also, t-tests and chi-square tests were used to compare baseline characteristics in the intervention and control group to perform nonresponse analysis and to determine selective attrition. Between-group effect sizes (ES) were calculated according to Cohen’s d. Traditionally, ES of ≥0.8 are interpreted as “large” effects, effect sizes of 0.5 as “moderate”, and effect sizes of ≥0.2 as “small” effects [43]. The effect size for self-perceived effect was given by odds ratios (OR). Since GEE analyses are tolerant to data missing, no imputation techniques were used [44].

Results

Participant Characteristics and Study Participation

Figure 2 depicts the flow of participants throughout the trial. In total, 581 persons were screened, 278 (47.8%, 278/581) were eligible, and 200 (71.9%, 200/581) consented to participate. Finally, a total of 99 participants were assigned to the control group, and 100 participants were allocated to the experimental group. With regard to the questionnaires, the overall response rate was 84.4% (168/199) after 3 months and 75.4% (150/199) after 12 months. With respect to the subgroup of participants who wore an accelerometer (n=83), the overall response rate was 72% (60/83) and 66% (55/83) after 12 months. Reasons for not participating in the follow-up surveys were health/medical issues (37%, 17/46), lack of motivation (15%, 7/46), personal/family reasons (13%, 6/46), other (13%, 6/46), and unknown reasons (22%, 10/46).

Table 1 presents participants’ characteristics and primary outcome measures at baseline. Participants were predominantly...
female (64.8%, 129/199), had knee OA (63.8%, 127/199), and no comorbidity (62.8%, 125/199). Mean age was 62 years (SD 5.7) and mean BMI was 27.6 (SD 4.5). Of the participants, 45.7% (91/199) had a high level of education and 9.0% (18/199) had OA symptoms for less than 1 year. Demographic baseline values were not statistically different between the two groups. Those who did not complete follow-up questionnaires were more likely to have at least one comorbidity ($P=0.01$) than those who did. With respect to other baseline characteristics, no differences were found (data not shown). The subgroup of participants (n=83) who wore an accelerometer did not differ from the other participants (n=116) on baseline characteristics (data not shown).

**Table 1.** Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Intervention, n=100</th>
<th>Control, n=99</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40 (40.0)</td>
<td>30 (30.3)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>60 (60.0)</td>
<td>69 (69.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>67 (67.0)</td>
<td>60 (60.6)</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>21 (21.0)</td>
<td>20 (20.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>12 (12.0)</td>
<td>19 (19.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location OA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1 year</td>
<td>12 (12.0)</td>
<td>6 (6.1)</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1-3 years</td>
<td>28 (28.0)</td>
<td>27 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3-7 years</td>
<td>27 (27.0)</td>
<td>27 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7 years</td>
<td>33 (33.0)</td>
<td>39 (39.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low education</td>
<td>13 (13.0)</td>
<td>15 (15.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle education</td>
<td>36 (36.0)</td>
<td>43 (43.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High education</td>
<td>51 (51.0)</td>
<td>40 (40.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>65 (65.0)</td>
<td>60 (60.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>19 (19.0)</td>
<td>16 (16.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more</td>
<td>16 (16.0)</td>
<td>23 (23.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Usage**

Of the 100 participants who received a password and username to enroll, 94.0% (94/100) made a start with the first module and 6.0% (6/100) never logged in to their personal website. **Figure 3** depicts an overview of the module completion rate. The first module was completed by 80.0% (80/100) of subjects. This ratio declined to 55.0% (55/100) during the second module. This percentage of completed modules remained steady up to the end of the program. Of the 94 participants who started the program, the average completion was 5.6 (SD 2.9) out of nine modules. Participants selected walking (46.0%, 46/100), cycling (32.0%, 32/100), Nordic walking (4.0%, 4/100), gardening (4.0%, 4/100), and other activities (8.0%, 8/100) as central activity; 6.0% (6/100) of the potential users never selected a central activity because they never logged in to their website. Since personal messages were updated on a weekly basis, patients had the opportunity to complete a module within 7 days. When a module was missed, users still had the ability to complete the next module. Finally, 19.0% (19/100) of the participants fulfilled all modules of the program, and 46.0% (46/100) reached the threshold of adherence (executed at least 6 out of 9 modules). The presence of comorbidity seemed a predictor for nonusage. Of the patients with an additional disease, 71% (25/35) were nonadherent to the Join2move intervention. This percentage was substantially lower among those without a comorbidity, namely 45% (29/65). Adverse events, such as extreme pain and injuries, were not reported during the intervention period.
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Figure 2. Flow of participants throughout the trial.
Primary Outcome Measures

Table 2 presents results of the primary outcome measures at 3 and 12 months. At 3 months, participants in the intervention group reported a significantly improved physical function status ($P=.006$, $d=0.20$) and a positive self-perceived effect ($P<.001$; OR 10.7, 95% CI 4.3-26.4). No effect was found for PA measured with the PASE questionnaire ($P=.84$, $d=-0.01$) and accelerometer ($P=.83$, $d=0.02$). After 12 months, the intervention group showed higher levels of subjective and objective PA ($P=.02$, $d=0.18$ and $P=.045$, $d=0.19$) compared with the control group. At 12 months, no effect was found for physical function ($P=.10$, $d=0.17$) and self-perceived effect ($P=.50$; OR 1.2, 95% CI 0.6-2.4). The accelerometer group ($n=83$) did not differ from the group who did not wear an accelerometer ($n=118$) with respect to short and long-term PASE scores (data not shown).

Secondary Outcome Measures

Table 3 presents results of the secondary outcome measures at 3 months and 12 months. At 3 months, we observed statistically significant differences between the intervention and control group with respect to pain ($P=.002$; $d=-0.2$), tiredness ($P=.04$, $d=-0.16$), and improvements in self-efficacy for pain ($P=.008$, $d=0.17$) in favor of the intervention group. Other secondary endpoints were not significantly different between the two groups. At 12 months, subjects in the intervention group reported less tiredness ($P=.008$; $d=-0.22$), better passive pain coping scores ($P=.008$, $d=-0.18$), and reduced anxiety levels ($P=.007$; $d=-0.21$) compared to those in the control group. Other secondary outcomes were not significantly different between the conditions at 12 months.

Per-Protocol Analyses

The per-protocol analysis—a comparison of the adherent patients in the intervention group (ie, participants who completed 6 out of 9 week modules) and the entire control group—yielded positive self-perceived effects in favor of the intervention group (data not presented). Higher levels of participation had no influence on other primary and secondary outcomes (data not presented).
Table 2. Primary outcome measures: improvements and differences between groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Intervention, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Control, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Difference, I-Cb (95% CI)</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PA, PASE (0-400)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>163 (130-196)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>160 (123-197)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>162 (136-187)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>163 (137-190)</td>
<td>−1.6 (−16.6 to 13.5)</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>174 (150-198)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>153 (125-181)</td>
<td>21.2 (3.6-38.9)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PA (accelerometer min/day)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>369 (299-439)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>395 (322-468)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>361 (312-411)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>358 (310-407)</td>
<td>3 (–26 to 32)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>361 (317-406)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>338 (291-384)</td>
<td>24 (0.5-46.8)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical functioning (0-100)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>58.8 (51.5-66.0)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>55.2 (47.9-62.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>67.8 (59.2-76.4)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>61.3 (52.7-69.9)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.8-11.2)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67.9 (59.1-76.7)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>62.9 (54.1-71.7)</td>
<td>5.0 (–1.0 to 11.0)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-perceived effect (improved-not improved)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months, n (%) improved</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>44 (44)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7 (7.1)</td>
<td>10.7c (4.3-26.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months, n (%) improved</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>27 (27.3)</td>
<td>1.2c (0.6-2.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFor PA, physical functioning, and self-perceived effect, a higher score indicates an improvement. Results are based on GEE analyses and adjusted for corresponding baseline variables, age, OA location, and gender.

bI-C: difference between intervention and control group.

codds ratio
Table 3. Secondary outcome measures: improvements and differences between groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>n Intervention, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>n Control, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Difference, I-Cb(95% CI)</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary intensity (accelerometer min/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>39 571 (498-645)</td>
<td>40 555 (479-630)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>27 508 (454-563)</td>
<td>30 540 (477-603)</td>
<td>−32 (−67.7 to 3.7)</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>24 514 (448-580)</td>
<td>28 531 (467-595)</td>
<td>−17 (−54.7 to 20.7)</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (0-10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 5.4 (4.2-6.5)</td>
<td>98 4.9 (3.7-6.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 3.5 (2.5-4.6)</td>
<td>81 4.5 (3.4-5.7)</td>
<td>−1 (−1.6 to −0.38)</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>76 3.5 (2.4-4.5)</td>
<td>71 3.8 (2.7-4.9)</td>
<td>−0.36 (−1.1 to 0.38)</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness (0-10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 5.6 (4.3-6.9)</td>
<td>99 5.5 (4.3-6.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 3.2 (2.4-4.4)</td>
<td>81 4.1 (2.9-5.3)</td>
<td>−0.84 (−1.6 to −0.06)</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>76 3 (1.9-4.2)</td>
<td>71 4.1 (3-5.2)</td>
<td>−1.15 (−1.9 to −0.28)</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms (0-100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 68.2 (60.2-76.2)</td>
<td>99 70.9 (62.7-79.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 67.4 (59.1-75.8)</td>
<td>80 64.3 (55.3-73.2)</td>
<td>3.1 (−1.3 to 7.6)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>76 65.7 (57.4-74.0)</td>
<td>71 62.8 (53.4-72.1)</td>
<td>3 (−2.1 to 8.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life (0-100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 38 (30.6-45.5)</td>
<td>98 40.9 (33.6-48.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 49.4 (41.7-57.0)</td>
<td>80 47.3 (39.4-55.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (−1.7 to 5.9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75 48.7 (40.8-56.6)</td>
<td>71 47.5 (39.3-55.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (−4.4 to 6.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport/recreation (0-100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>88 27.6 (14.7-40.4)</td>
<td>78 27.6 (13.4-41.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>58 42.6 (29.6-55.6)</td>
<td>55 42.6 (29-56.2)</td>
<td>0 (−8.0 to 8.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>53 42.4 (28.1-56.8)</td>
<td>47 39.6 (25.6-53.5)</td>
<td>2.9 (−6.3 to 12.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy pain (1-5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 4.1 (3.6-4.6)</td>
<td>97 3.8 (3.6-4.2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 4 (3.6-4.4)</td>
<td>79 3.7 (3.3-4.1)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.1-0.5)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75 4 (3.6-4.4)</td>
<td>72 3.9 (3.5-4.3)</td>
<td>0.12 (−01 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy other symptoms (1-5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 3.6 (3.1-4.1)</td>
<td>96 3.8 (3.4-4.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85 4 (3-7-4.4)</td>
<td>79 3.8 (3.7-4.4)</td>
<td>0.21 (0-0.4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75 4.1 (3.7-4.4)</td>
<td>72 3.8 (3.5-4.2)</td>
<td>0.23 (0-0.5)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active pain coping (0-4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 2.2 (2.0-2.4)</td>
<td>96 2.2 (2-2.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>83 2 (1.9-2.2)</td>
<td>77 2 (1.8-2.2)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>73 2 (1.8-2.2)</td>
<td>70 2 (1.8-2.2)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive pain coping (0-4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100 1.8 (1.7-2.0)</td>
<td>96 1.8 (1.6-1.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>83 1.7 (1.6-1.8)</td>
<td>77 1.7 (1.6-1.9)</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.1 to 0.04)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>73 1.7 (1.5-1.8)</td>
<td>70 1.8 (1.7-1.9)</td>
<td>−0.12 (−0.2 to −0.03)</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Discussion

**Principal Findings**

To date, unfortunately, a vast majority of patients with knee and/or hip OA remain sedentary and receive no help in the promotion of PA. Since a physically active lifestyle has been positively associated with physical function and pain [45], effective and accessible PA programs are needed. Findings from other Web-based PA interventions have been mixed [22,46,47]. With respect to the PASE questionnaire, this randomized controlled trial demonstrated that the Join2move intervention has the potential to improve PA behavior. Effect sizes for PA ranged between 0-0.19 and are congruent with findings from a meta-analysis that found an overall mean effect of 0.14 [22]. At 3 months and 12 months, PA scores in the intervention group increased with 1% (1 point) and 6% (11 points) compared to baseline. Objectively obtained PA yielded different patterns. The intervention group remained stable while the control group. Selective dropout, which may have enhanced the effects in the control group, was not found. A definitive explanation for the nonsignificant short-term differences remains unclear.

Several factors may have contributed to the success of the Join2move intervention. First, the program is the first Web-based PA intervention that focuses specifically on knee and hip OA. The intervention addresses how to perform PA and the potential to improve PA behavior. Effect sizes for physical function and self-perceived effect. At 3 months and 12 months, physical function in the intervention group improved 15% (9 points) compared to baseline. According to a study by Roos et al [34], these values achieved the threshold of clinically meaningful improvement. Apart from the observed improvements in the primary outcome measures, we found beneficial effects for other physical (pain and fatigue) and psychological factors (self-efficacy, pain coping, and anxiety) in favor of the intervention group.

Since long-term follow-up studies demonstrated that effects of (Web-based) interventions are not sustained in the long term [21,22,50], we expected short-term rather than long-term PA effects. Surprisingly, we found only long-term effects in total PA. These results were confirmed by both self-reported and accelerometer data. Absence of short-term effects can be partly explained by improved self-reported PA outcomes in the control group. The potential presence of the so-called Hawthorne effect may have contributed to an overestimation of PA scores in the control group. Selective dropout, which may have enhanced the effects in the control group, was not found. A definitive explanation for the nonsignificant short-term differences remains unclear.

### Table: Principal Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Intervention, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Control, mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Difference, I-C(^b) (95% CI)</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal locus of control (6-36)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.1 (25.1-29.2)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>27.5 (25.2-29.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>23.9 (21.9-25.8)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>23.4 (21.3-25.6)</td>
<td>0.45 (−0.6 to 1.5)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>23.6 (21.7-25.6)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24 (21.7-26.2)</td>
<td>−0.3 (−1.5 to 0.9)</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Powerful others locus of control (6-36)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>17.4 (14.6-20.0)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>18.8 (15.8-21.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16.5 (15.0-18.0)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>16.1 (14.3-18.0)</td>
<td>0.37 (−0.8 to 1.5)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15.2 (13.6-16.9)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16 (14.1-17.9)</td>
<td>−0.74 (−2.0 to 0.6)</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anxiety (0-21)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 (2.5-5.6)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4.2 (2.6-5.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.5 (2.5-4.5)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4.2 (3.1-5.2)</td>
<td>−0.64 (−1.3 to 0)</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.1 (2.0-4.3)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.1 (2.9-5.2)</td>
<td>−0.9 (−1.6 to −0.2)</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depression (0-21)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 (2.5-5.6)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4.2 (2.6-5.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.6 (1.5-3.7)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.2 (2.1-4.3)</td>
<td>−0.61 (−1.3 to 0.1)</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.4 (1.3-3.6)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3 (1.9-4.2)</td>
<td>−0.6 (−1.3 to 0.1)</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)For symptoms, quality of life, sport and recreation, self-efficacy, active pain coping, and locus of control, a higher score indicates an improvement. For sedentary behavior, tiredness, pain, passive pain coping, anxiety and depression a lower score indicates an improvement. Results are based on GEE analyses and adjusted for corresponding baseline variables, age, OA location, and gender.

\(^b\)I-C: difference between intervention and control group.
outcomes. Second, the Join2move intervention seeks to align with the day-to-day activities of people. Users perform common activities (eg, walking, cycling) that are easy to integrate in their daily routine. Third, over the course of 1 year, we systematically developed and evaluated the Join2move intervention. End-users considered the intervention as user-friendly and helpful [27], which is a prerequisite for effective Web-based interventions.

Nonusage attrition has been acknowledged as a common concern in the field of Web-based education [51]. In particular, interventions, such as Join2move, that use automatic functions with minimal human involvement suffer from substantial rates of nonusage. In this study, 94.0% of the participants actually started the program, 46.0% reached the adherence threshold of 6 out of 9 modules, and 19.0% finished all 9 weekly modules. When considered in light of other studies, these adherence rates can be interpreted as reasonable. Previous studies by Wanner et al [52] and Connor et al [53] showed that respectively 47% and 25% of the intervention subjects never logged in to their Web-based program. Similarly, in a Web-based intervention by Hansen and colleagues [54], only 7% of the participants used the program more than once. A possible explanation for the relatively high adherence rates could be that our program incorporated automatic email reminders and website refreshments. We believe, like others [55-58], that more advanced feedback systems and regular reminders will lead to even better rates of adherence. Therefore, future research should concentrate on which strategies can improve website usage. A second factor, which may have influenced our usage rates, is the recruitment strategy used in this study. Participants were self-selected volunteers who responded to advertisements. Since self-selected participants tend to be highly educated, healthy, and already motivated to change their PA behavior, it is presumed that they have better usage rates compared to those who do not elect to participate. For example, Hansen et al [54] attributed their poor usage rates to the non-self-selected sample. This suggests that Web-based interventions, especially those without supervision, could be most suitable for those who are already willing to change their PA levels. Details about the usage and nonusage of the Join2move are described in another publication [59].

With respect to dropout attrition, 9% (4/46) adherent and 48% (26/54) nonadherent subjects did not return at least one of the follow-up surveys. This is in line with the study by Eysenbach [51], indicating that dropout and nonusage attrition are linked to each other. Since dropout rates and demographics of dropouts were similar between conditions, it is not expected that this influenced the results of the study.

As there is no cure for OA, self-management is considered a key element in the nonpharmacological treatment of knee and/or hip OA [60,61]. Self-management aims to motivate OA patients to undertake changes necessary to improve physical and psychological well-being. Although the importance is generally acknowledged, provision of self-management is underutilized. Given the clinically relevant benefits and the self-help format, Join2move could be a key component in the effort to enhance self-management in sedentary patients with knee and/or hip OA. Considering the unique potential to reach large populations through Join2move, even the small effects observed in this study could have clinical public health consequences [19]. Besides the focus on outside-care populations, patients in a care setting may also benefit from Join2move. Therefore, future work should integrate and investigate Join2move in a health care environment.

**Strengths and Limitations**

First, the most important strengths are the design (ie, RCT) and the long-term character of the study. Second, we used both objective and subjective measures to assess PA. This study also has certain limitations that are important to acknowledge. First, participants were included on the basis of self-reported OA. Unfortunately, due to practical constraints, diagnosis was not confirmed through clinical tests or x-ray reports. In a previous pilot study [27], we verified self-reported OA through clinical tests. According to the American College of Rheumatology criteria [62,63], 80% had clinical knee or hip OA and 20% of the participants had no OA. These rates are in line with another validation study [64], reporting over 80% agreement between self-reported and clinically confirmed diagnoses. Although these rates are acceptable, it is presumed that we included false positive OA patients in our trial. Second, results could be biased by dropout of participants (15.6%, 31/199 at 3 months and 24.6%, 49/199 at 12 months). However, the nonresponse analysis showed similar baseline characteristics for responders, and nonresponders and dropouts were equally distributed between the intervention group and the control group. Third, with respect to the outcome variable PA, the study involved two different measures (questionnaires and accelerometers) on two occasions (3 months and 12 months). We acknowledge that this may have increased the possibility of Type I errors. Fourth, the representativeness was limited by the self-selected sample used in this study. Responders were predominantly healthy and highly educated patients. This widely recognized phenomenon is called “The inverse information law” [65]; Web-based interventions fail to reach those for whom PA behavior changes are most necessary [21,22,66-69]. In order to eliminate this issue, future studies should focus on how these specific groups could be involved in the field of Web-based education.

**Conclusions**

Health care providers, such as GPs and physical therapists, may play a pivotal role in the referral of patients to Web-based interventions. Furthermore, it will be important to translate Web-based interventions, such as Join2move, to other self-help formats (eg, videos, brochures, and self-help books).
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Abstract

Background: Persistently poor glycemic control in adult type 1 diabetes patients is a common, complex, and serious problem initiating significant damage to the cardiovascular, renal, neural, and visual systems. Currently, there is a plethora of low-cost and free diabetes self-management smartphone applications available in online stores.

Objective: The aim of this study was to examine the effectiveness of a freely available smartphone application combined with text-message feedback from a certified diabetes educator to improve glycemic control and other diabetes-related outcomes in adult patients with type 1 diabetes in a two-group randomized controlled trial.

Methods: Patients were recruited through an online type 1 diabetes support group and letters mailed to adults with type 1 diabetes throughout Australia. In a 6-month intervention, followed by a three-month follow-up, patients (n=72) were randomized to usual care (control group) or usual care and the use of a smartphone application (Glucose Buddy) with weekly text-message feedback from a Certified Diabetes Educator (intervention group). All outcome measures were collected at baseline and every three months over the study period. Patients’ glycosylated hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) were measured with a blood test and diabetes-related self-efficacy, self-care activities, and quality of life were measured with online questionnaires.

Results: The mean age of patients was 35.20 years (SD 10.43) (28 male, 44 female), 39% (28/72) were male, and patients had been diagnosed with type 1 diabetes for a mean of 18.94 years (SD 9.66). Of the initial 72 patients, 53 completed the study (25 intervention, 28 control group). The intervention group significantly improved glycemic control (HbA1c) from baseline (mean 9.08%, SD 1.18) to 9-month follow-up (mean 7.80%, SD 0.75), compared to the control group (baseline: mean 8.47%, SD 0.86, follow-up: mean 8.58%, SD 1.16). No significant change over time was found in either group in relation to self-efficacy, self-care activities, and quality of life.

Conclusions: In adjunct to usual care, the use of a diabetes-related smartphone application combined with weekly text-message support from a health care professional can significantly improve glycemic control in adults with type 1 diabetes.
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Introduction

Persistently poor glycemic control in adult type 1 diabetes patients is a common, complex, and serious problem initiating significant damage to the cardiovascular, renal, neural, and visual systems [1]. In many patients, glycosylated hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) are unsatisfactory, with levels consistently above 8.0% [2]. In the pursuit of improving metabolic control, the importance of self-monitoring blood glucose is widely appreciated and recommended as a routine part of management in patients with type 1 diabetes [3]. There are a number of barriers to glycemic control in type 1 diabetes, including the fear of hypoglycemia and the demands of day-to-day management, in particular the need for frequent self-monitoring of blood glucose and regular adjustments in insulin dosing [4]. Additionally another difficulty is a patient’s logbook, either paper-based or electronic, that a clinician is presented with at a consultation. Clinicians often face a lack of information on which to base their advice regarding their patient’s self-care [5,6]. Utilizing mobile phone technology may help to overcome these difficulties.

The worldwide prevalence of mobile phones makes them a powerful platform for providing individualized health care delivered at the patient’s convenience. Several reviews have documented the effectiveness, potential, and challenges in using mobile phones to improve health outcomes in diabetes [7-14]. Growing evidence suggests that utilizing mobile phones may improve diabetes self-management and clinical outcomes; however, this evidence is much stronger for type 2 populations than type 1 populations [9].

In recent years, mobile phones have improved dramatically in both design and function, from simple call and text devices to the more sophisticated mini-personal computers known as smartphones. Smartphone owners are now more prevalent within the overall population than owners of traditional mobile phones [15]. Smartphones allow individual users to install, configure, and run specialized applications on their phone. Increasing numbers of people are using these applications to self-manage chronic diseases [13]. For example, Chomutare and colleagues [16] identified that in 2011 there were more than 260 diabetes-related iPhone applications available for download from the Apple online store.

A small number of prototypes of type 1 diabetes smartphone applications have been developed and tested in clinical settings [2,17-21]. However, with the plethora of low-cost and free self-management diabetes applications currently available in online markets (Apple iPhone, Google Android, BlackBerry, and Nokia Symbian), it is pertinent to examine their effectiveness when integrated in secondary care [16]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the effectiveness of a freely available smartphone application combined with text-message feedback to improve glycemic control and other diabetes-related outcomes in adult patients with type 1 diabetes in a two-group randomized controlled trial.

Methods

Design

The study, utilizing a two-arm (usual care and intervention) randomized controlled trial with measures at baseline, 3, 6, and 9 months (Figure 1), was conducted with the assistance of a Certified Diabetes Educator (CDE). Participants were recruited nationally by means of an invitation letter sent to type 1 diabetes patients registered with Diabetes Australia in New South Wales (n=3809) and Queensland (n=3207), as well as an advertisement in a type 1 diabetes national newsletter (Yada Yada newsletter) emailed to more than 5000 recipients and promotion in an online community forum (Reality Check Forum). Study inclusion criteria were: (1) aged 18-65 years, (2) diagnosed with type 1 diabetes >6 months, (3) HbA1c >7.5%, (4) treated with multiple daily injections or insulin pump, and (5) own a smartphone (iPhone). Patients were excluded if they were pregnant or already using a smartphone application to self-manage their diabetes. This study was approved by Central Queensland University Human Research Ethics Board.

After confirming eligibility (via phone call) and obtaining written informed consent (via email) from the patient and their primary diabetes health care practitioner (general practitioner or endocrinologist), the study coordinator randomized patients using a freely available online randomization program. A permuted block randomization design method was used during the 3-month rolling recruitment to ensure roughly equal numbers of patients were allocated to each comparison group [22]. There was no face-to-face contact between the patients and research team at any point in the study, which allowed participants to live anywhere in Australia.

Intervention

Patients in both groups were asked to continue with their usual care, which included a visit to their primary diabetes health care practitioner every 3 months. Additionally, patients allocated to the intervention arm were given instructions to download the smartphone application named “Glucose Buddy”. Glucose Buddy is a freely available diabetes self-management iPhone application that allows users to manually enter blood glucose levels, insulin dosages, other medications, diet (food item in grams), and physical activities (minutes) [16,23]. Users can also view their data on a customizable graph and export this information via email (Figures 2 and 3). Glucose Buddy was developed by SkyHealth LLC and was first made available on iTunes (Apple online store) in October 2008. Glucose Buddy has been reported to be the most downloaded diabetes management software on iOS, with downloads in excess of 100,000. There was no minimum amount of logging required and intervention patients were able to utilize the accompanying Glucose Buddy website to log diabetes parameters at their discretion.

The information logged in the Glucose Buddy application was reviewed by a CDE via a Web interface on a weekly basis. All patients in the intervention arm were sent a minimum of 1 personalized text-message communication per week for the first
6 months of the study. At the 6-month timeframe, all text-message communication ceased.

Figure 1. Participant flow. Note: Reason for subject "lost to follow-up" could not be determined as patients could not be re-contacted. CDE: Certified Diabetes Educator.
Measures

All measures were collected at baseline and every 3 months over the 9-month study period for both groups (making 4 time points in total). The primary outcome measure was change in glycemic control assessed by HbA₁c, which was collected by a pathology lab at the request of the patients’ general practitioner or endocrinologist as per usual care (every 3 months) and then forwarded to the research team. The secondary outcome measures, being diabetes-related self-efficacy, self-care activities, and quality of life, were collected via a Web-based survey. Details to access this survey were emailed to patients.

Diabetes-related self-efficacy was measured using the short form of the Diabetes Empowerment questionnaire (DES-SF). The DES-SF questionnaire measures eight conceptual dimensions relevant to the management of diabetes: (1) assessing the need for change, (2) developing a plan, (3) overcoming barriers, (4) asking for support, (5) supporting oneself, (6) coping with emotion, (7) motivating oneself, and (8) making diabetes care choices appropriate for one’s priorities and circumstances. Patients respond to all items on a 5-point
Likert scale, with 1-strongly disagree to 5-strongly agree. Higher scores on DES-SF reflect a better self-efficacy. Research has shown that DES-SF is a valid and reliable measure of overall diabetes-related psychosocial self-efficacy [24,25].

The Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA) measure was used for assessing diabetes self-care behaviors. The SDSCA contains 6 scales: (1) general dietary behavior, (2) specific dietary behavior, (3) glucose monitoring, (4) exercise, (5) foot care, and (6) smoking. Only the first four listed scales were used in this study. Higher scores on the SDSCA reflect a greater number of days per week that self-care activities are carried out (range 0-7). Psychometric analyses support the construct validity and internal consistency reliability of SDSCA in an adult diabetes population [26].

The valid and reliable Diabetes Quality of Life (DQOL) scale [27] was used to assess the three aspects of quality of life directly related to diabetes: diabetes satisfaction, impact, and worry. All the subscales were included. Participants respond to all items on a 5-point Likert scale. A score of 1 indicates “always affected”, “always worried”, or “never satisfied”. A score of 5 represents “no impact”, “no worries”, or “always satisfied”. Higher scores on DQOL scales reflect better quality of life.

Intervention participants’ engagement with the intervention was also measured in terms of text-message communications with the CDE and the utilization of the Glucose Buddy application. Specifically, the number of text messages sent to patients and the number of text-message responses were collected as well as the number of logs (blood glucose, insulin, physical activity, and diet) entered by patients in the Glucose Buddy application.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic characteristics of participants and baseline data for all measures were compared between both study groups to detect differences at baseline using a series of independent sample t-tests and chi-square tests. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to evaluate whether participant characteristics (age [years], duration of diabetes [months/years], gender, insulin pump use [Y/N], and baseline HbA1c) were related to dropout (completed vs didn’t complete all assessments) during the study. This statistical method is common when evaluating the characteristics that may be related to attrition examined as a dichotomous outcome. Primary (HbA1c) and secondary outcomes (diabetes-related self-efficacy, quality of life, and self-care) measures were analyzed using linear mixed effects models for repeated measures. Linear mixed model analysis allows for inclusion of cases with missing data, without replacement of missing values, and therefore includes all randomized patients. The linear mixed model analysis used group and the covariates of age (years), gender, and diabetes duration (years) as fixed effects. The “Type III Wald test” was used to test overall statistical significance of the effects. Linear regression analysis was conducted to analyze whether engagement in the study by the intervention group was predictive of change in HbA1c; it allows to assess whether patients who engaged more in the intervention, in terms of text-message communications and logging parameters in the Glucose Buddy application, had a greater change in HbA1c. Statistical significance was defined as P<.05 for all analysis and conducted using SPSS (Version 18.0).

The sample size was calculated on the expected difference in mean (1.5%) in the primary outcome variable (HbA1c), and the logistically maximum available sample size was 36 patients per group based on part-time work status of the CDE. We allowed for a dropout of 11% (4 per group), consistent with dropout rates reported in recent reviews of similar studies [9,10], and variation in baseline (HbA1c=1.80) similar to previous studies [28]. Based on these parameters and using an alpha of .05 and 90% power, the estimated sample size was 68 in total and subsequently increased to 72 in line with the maximum caseload of the CDE [29].

**Results**

**Participant Characteristics**

In total, 197 adults with type 1 diabetes registered their interest online or via phone call to the research team and were assessed for eligibility (Figure 1), with 125 excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria. Seventy-two individuals were randomized to the two groups. Linear mixed model analysis allows for inclusion of cases with missing data, without replacement or imputation of missing values. Therefore, this analysis approach includes all available data of randomized patients at each time point as indicated in Figure 1. Table 1 provides an outline of the participant’s characteristics. Mean age of patients was 35.20 years (SD 10.43), 39% (28/72) were male, and patients had been diagnosed with type 1 diabetes for a mean of 18.94 years (SD 9.66). In total, 38% (27/72) of patients were using an insulin pump, with no significant difference between groups, P=.81. The intervention group had a significantly higher (P=.02) baseline HbA1c (mean 9.08, SD 1.18) than the control group (mean 8.47, SD 0.86) and reported a healthier diet (mean 3.56, SD 1.70 healthy days per week for the intervention group versus mean 2.60, SD 1.98 days for the control group, P=.03). There were significantly (P=.02) more females (75%, 27/36) in the control group. No other baseline differences were observed between groups. Dropout was 26% (11 males, 8 females, 19/72) with logistic regression analysis revealing no significant difference in age, gender, diabetes duration, insulin pump use, and baseline HbA1c among those that completed the study and those that were lost to follow up.
Table 1. Participant characteristics at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall, n=72</th>
<th>Control, n=36</th>
<th>Intervention, n=36</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F), n</td>
<td>28/44</td>
<td>9/27</td>
<td>19/17</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>35.20 (10.43)</td>
<td>34.42 (10.26)</td>
<td>35.97 (10.67)</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.94 (9.66)</td>
<td>18.19 (9.77)</td>
<td>19.69 (9.64)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin pump use, n</td>
<td>27/72</td>
<td>13/36</td>
<td>14/36</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total group</td>
<td>8.78 (1.07)</td>
<td>8.47 (0.86)</td>
<td>9.08 (1.18)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.79 (1.31)</td>
<td>8.17 (0.65)</td>
<td>9.10 (1.45)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8.77 (0.90)</td>
<td>8.57 (0.91)</td>
<td>9.07 (0.84)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intervention Effects**

As outlined in Table 2, the linear mixed model revealed that there was a significant interaction effect between groups for HbA1c (F1,246=20.07, P<.001). The intervention group had a significant decrease in HbA1c (mean −1.10, SD 0.74, P<.001) over the 9-month study, compared to the control group which had a non-significant increase (mean 0.07, SD 0.99). There was a statistically significant change in the diabetes self-care measure of specific diet over time, but there was no difference between the two groups. No significant differences were observed for all other outcomes.

**Engagement by Intervention Patients**

Intervention patients' engagement with the Glucose Buddy application, in terms of the number of logs and text messages communicated between patients and the CDE, is outlined in Table 3. Over the 6-month intervention period, the CDE sent 1714 text messages in total, which equates to approximately 2 text messages per patient per week. Patients sent in total 559 text messages to the CDE over the 6-month period. The first month of the study was used for the CDE and the intervention group patients to establish a relationship—they never met in person. Thus, the text messages sent to patients (mean 9.75, SD 1.96) in the first month and those received by the CDE (mean 6.47, SD 3.92) are higher than the average of the other five months. Using the Glucose Buddy application, patients logged 24,720 diabetes parameters in total: 54.00% (13,349/24,720) of the logs related to blood glucose levels, 33.00% (8158/24,720) to insulin, 12.00% (2966/24,720) to diet, and 1.00% (247/24,720) to exercise. Linear regression analysis revealed no significant relationship between level of engagement and change in HbA1c in the intervention, as measured by text messages sent to the patients, text messages received by the CDE, and the number of logs entered in the Glucose Buddy application.

**Text Message Themes**

The content of the text messages sent to and received from patients fell into four broad thematic categories covering feedback on logs, diabetes questions, educational tips, and positive reinforcement. Examples of text messages for each category are outlined in Table 4.

**Costs Incurred**

The Glucose Buddy application was freely available. A text-messaging software program was used to text message patients. Total cost over the study was $290.93 AUD; this equates to $8.08 per patient (n=36). The CDE spent on average 3 hours per week reviewing patients’ logs and text messaging patients in the intervention group. This equated to 5 minutes per patient (n=36) per week (72 hours in total over 6-month period). A CDE hourly rate is approximately $28.85; thus, the total cost over the study was $2077.20 AUD.
Table 2. Results of linear mixed model analysis for diabetes glycemic control, self-efficacy, self-care, and quality of life measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overalla</th>
<th>Controlb</th>
<th>Interventionc</th>
<th>Overall F statistic, (df=1,246)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HbA1c (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>8.78 (1.07)</td>
<td>8.47 (0.86)</td>
<td>9.08 (1.18)d</td>
<td>28.79e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>8.27 (0.86)</td>
<td>8.23 (0.89)</td>
<td>8.32 (0.84)</td>
<td>28.79e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>8.22 (0.91)</td>
<td>8.43 (1.00)</td>
<td>7.97 (0.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>8.21 (1.05)</td>
<td>8.58 (1.16)</td>
<td>7.80 (0.75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDSCA f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.81 (2.06)</td>
<td>4.19 (1.88)</td>
<td>3.42 (2.19)</td>
<td>5.30d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>4.10 (2.00)</td>
<td>3.97 (2.13)</td>
<td>4.23 (1.86)</td>
<td>5.30d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>4.36 (1.86)</td>
<td>4.16 (1.98)</td>
<td>4.59 (1.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>4.37 (1.83)</td>
<td>4.14 (1.85)</td>
<td>4.62 (1.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific Diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.08 (1.89)</td>
<td>2.60 (1.98)</td>
<td>3.56 (1.70)d</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>3.10 (1.63)</td>
<td>3.00 (1.76)</td>
<td>3.22 (1.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>3.68 (1.63)</td>
<td>3.56 (1.66)</td>
<td>3.80 (1.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>3.93 (1.61)</td>
<td>4.05 (1.43)</td>
<td>3.80 (1.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.74 (2.09)</td>
<td>2.92 (2.12)</td>
<td>2.57 (2.08)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>2.45 (2.12)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.31)</td>
<td>2.36 (1.91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>2.83 (1.95)</td>
<td>3.06 (1.97)</td>
<td>2.55 (1.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>2.96 (1.79)</td>
<td>2.82 (1.75)</td>
<td>3.12 (1.86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucose Testing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>5.46 (2.04)</td>
<td>5.51 (2.08)</td>
<td>5.40 (2.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>5.88 (1.64)</td>
<td>5.75 (1.65)</td>
<td>6.02 (1.64)</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>6.10 (1.56)</td>
<td>6.02 (1.67)</td>
<td>6.20 (1.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>5.92 (1.62)</td>
<td>5.61 (1.95)</td>
<td>6.28 (1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DES-SF g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.62 (0.77)</td>
<td>3.62 (0.65)</td>
<td>3.62 (0.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>3.78 (0.64)</td>
<td>3.70 (0.65)</td>
<td>3.88 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>3.73 (0.77)</td>
<td>3.64 (0.81)</td>
<td>3.82 (0.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>3.61 (0.72)</td>
<td>3.62 (0.74)</td>
<td>3.60 (0.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DQOL h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Satisfaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.14 (0.61)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.55)</td>
<td>3.20 (0.66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month</td>
<td>3.22 (0.61)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.54)</td>
<td>3.35 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month</td>
<td>3.32 (0.60)</td>
<td>3.23 (0.62)</td>
<td>3.43 (0.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-month</td>
<td>3.35 (0.66)</td>
<td>3.29 (0.65)</td>
<td>3.42 (0.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.70 (0.53)</td>
<td>3.66 (0.54)</td>
<td>3.75 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall\(^b\) | Control\(^b\) | Intervention\(^c\) | Overall F statistic, (df=1,246)
---|---|---|---
Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | Time | Group | Time x Group
---|---|---|---|---|---
3-month | 3.81 (0.57) | 3.75 (0.56) | 3.89 (0.58) | 0.58 | 0.71 | 0.07
6-month | 3.83 (0.61) | 3.74 (0.61) | 3.94 (0.62) | | | 
9-month | 3.85 (0.53) | 3.77 (0.55) | 3.93 (0.52) | | | 

**Worry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3-month</th>
<th>6-month</th>
<th>9-month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.98 (0.66)</td>
<td>4.10 (0.70)</td>
<td>4.16 (0.70)</td>
<td>4.15 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>3.90 (0.78)</td>
<td>4.01 (0.77)</td>
<td>3.99 (0.82)</td>
<td>3.99 (0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall F statistic, (df=1,246)</td>
<td>4.06 (0.52)</td>
<td>4.19 (0.61)</td>
<td>4.35 (0.46)</td>
<td>4.34 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Number of participants included in both groups at each time point is: baseline n=72, 3 month n=68, 6 month n=60, 9 month n=53.

\(^b\)Number of participants included in the Intervention group at each time point is: baseline n=36, 3 month n=32, 6 month n=28, 9 month n=25.

\(^c\)Number of participants included in the Control group at each time point is: baseline n=36, 3 month n=36, 6 month n=32, 9 month n=28.

\(^d\)P<.05

\(^e\)P<.001

\(^f\)SDSCA: Summary of Diabetes Self Care Activities

\(^g\)DES-SF: Diabetes Empowerment Scale

\(^h\)DQOL: Diabetes Quality of Life

**Table 3.** Engagement with intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of text messages sent to patients, mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.75 (1.96)</td>
<td>6.67 (1.47)</td>
<td>7.58 (2.12)</td>
<td>7.11 (1.91)</td>
<td>8.56 (2.26)</td>
<td>7.94 (2.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of text messages sent to patients</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of text messages sent to patients</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of text messages received by CDE(^a), mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.47 (3.92)</td>
<td>2.36 (2.82)</td>
<td>2.03 (2.52)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.30)</td>
<td>2.22 (2.84)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of text messages received by CDE</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of text messages received by CDE</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose Buddy Logs, mean (SD)</td>
<td>187.53 (137.41)</td>
<td>137.22 (143.39)</td>
<td>92.03 (109.66)</td>
<td>96.02 (129.06)</td>
<td>89.03 (107.14)</td>
<td>84.83 (153.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose Buddy Logs, total N</td>
<td>6751</td>
<td>4940</td>
<td>3313</td>
<td>3457</td>
<td>3205</td>
<td>3054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)CDE: Certified Diabetes Educator
It is unclear what mediated the change in HbA1c between Glucose Buddy application usage, text message intervention (a decrease of 1.1% in the intervention group) despite the baseline differences observed between groups. A meta-analysis of mobile intervention studies on diabetes type 1 patients [9]; this demonstrates the success of the current glycemic control demonstrated only a 0.3% improvement for a greater potential to improve their glycemic control. However, a meta-analysis of mobile intervention studies on diabetes at baseline; however, the study may be attributed to offering both a smartphone application and a website to log parameters. Mulvaney and colleagues [10] identified in their systematic review that diabetes studies which included a mobile phone and Internet component showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (0.7% vs 0.4%) when compared to studies with only a mobile phone component. Unfortunately, we do not know (due to software constraints) the extent to which each component was used by patients during our study. Alternatively, it may be that the user engagement/health status relationship in IT-based interventions is more complex than measured in the current study. The estimated sample size in the current study was based on logistical constraints and the ability to detect a change in the primary outcome; as such, the study may have been underpowered for the mediation analysis between platform usage and change in the primary outcome.

The current study did not find an improvement in self-efficacy in the intervention group. Previous Web and mobile phone diabetes studies have found positive changes in self-efficacy of this magnitude in type 1 diabetes patients have been found previously in a mobile phone study [2] but are rare [17,19,30,31]. All patients in our study had poorly controlled diabetes at baseline; however, the intervention group had a significantly higher HbA1c at baseline (mean 9.08%, SD 1.18 vs mean 8.47%, SD 0.86) and thus had a high risk of developing hypoglycemia. A recent study [10] identified in their systematic review that diabetes studies which included a mobile phone and Internet component showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (0.7% vs 0.4%) when compared to studies with only a mobile phone component. Unfortunately, we do not know (due to software constraints) the extent to which each component was used by patients during our study. Alternatively, it may be that the user engagement/health status relationship in IT-based interventions is more complex than measured in the current study. The estimated sample size in the current study was based on logistical constraints and the ability to detect a change in the primary outcome; as such, the study may have been underpowered for the mediation analysis between platform usage and change in the primary outcome.

The current study did not find an improvement in self-efficacy in the intervention group. Previous Web and mobile phone diabetes studies have found positive changes in self-efficacy

**Table 4. Text messages.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feedback on logs (blood glucose, insulin, diet)</td>
<td>Hi, &lt;patient name&gt;? Another pretty good week - just a bit concerned about some odd higher levels in the morning - looks like some of these are forgotten doses - would that be right? Otherwise all are getting better and no real hypoglycemia. Be aware you may need to tweak basal if those highs are not related to forgotten doses. Your thoughts? [Sent from Certified Diabetes Educator]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hi Veronica - Yes I have been having my breakfast later after I arrive to work, I get distracted and then can’t remember if I have had my insulin or not. I think I will just have a piece of fruit and insulin before I leave for work to prevent the high. I think the basal is ok but I will check over next couple of days, thanks for your help. [Response from Patient]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hi Veronica, I have never heard of a basal check but I did this, as you said and you can see on my download I was 12 - 2 hours after tea (before bed) and went down to 6 when I woke up. So I now understand, as you say I need to adjust my CHO ratio with the evening meals so my after tea BSL is lower: Will adjust and let you have a look at levels next week. [Response from Patient]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I didn’t realize that alcohol made my BSL lower. That explains a lot - I have had some bad hypoglycemia in the morning after a big night out. I usually give myself extra insulin for each beer I drink. Should I give any fast acting insulin for alcohol at all or just eat extra CHO serves? [Sent from Certified Diabetes Educator]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes questions</td>
<td>Hi, &lt;patient name&gt;? I’ve been thinking about your CHO ratio. Have you ever done a basal check - which is simply to just have basal insulin overnight &amp; on waking up and having no CHO &amp; omit fast acting insulin for breakfast or lunch &amp; just check how your levels go? If basal is right you should stay pretty constant. It’s a good place to start - if basal is right we can start on CHO ratio with novo? [Sent from Certified Diabetes Educator]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hi Veronica, I have never heard of a basal check but I did this, as you said and you can see on my download I was 12 - 2 hours after tea (before bed) and went down to 6 when I woke up. So I now understand, as you say I need to adjust my CHO ratio with the evening meals so my after tea BSL is lower: Will adjust and let you have a look at levels next week. [Response from Patient]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational tips</td>
<td>Hi, &lt;patient name&gt;? Great logging this week - just a few Xmas tips - don’t forget to give extra fasting acting insulin for extra treats - remember extra alcohol will make you low so eat carbis if you drink! Today I will be standing by to answer Xmas queries so please feel free to send them through. Merry Xmas! [Sent from Certified Diabetes Educator]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thanks, after I was first diagnosed, I kept a log but then I didn't bother to keep track of anything because I wasn't ready to do the work to prevent the high. I think the basal is ok but I will check over next couple of days, thanks for your help. [Response from Patient]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive reinforcement</td>
<td>Hi, &lt;patient name&gt;? Great logging this week – WOW I’m thrilled with your HbA1c &amp; your positive comment about it being the best in 6 years. It is a testament to you because you are keeping such good track on your own adjustments and control. Looks like another great week again! [Sent from Certified Diabetes Educator]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thanks, after I was first diagnosed, I kept a log but then I didn't bother to keep track of anything because I wasn't ready to do the work to prevent the high. I think the basal is ok but I will check over next couple of days, thanks for your help. [Response from Patient]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In adjunct with usual care, use of the Glucose Buddy application combined with weekly text-message feedback from a CDE led to a significant decrease in HbA1c in comparison to a control group receiving only usual care. While regression to the mean cannot be ruled out, these results suggest that the intervention was effective. Improvements in HbA1c of this magnitude in type 1 diabetes patients have been found previously in a mobile phone study [2] but are rare [17,19,30,31]. All patients in our study had poorly controlled diabetes at baseline; however, the intervention group had a significantly higher HbA1c at baseline (mean 9.08%, SD 1.18 vs mean 8.47%, SD 0.86) and thus had a greater potential to improve their glycemic control. However, a meta-analysis of mobile intervention studies on diabetes showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (0.7% vs 0.4%) when compared to studies with only a mobile phone component. Unfortunately, we do not know (due to software constraints) the extent to which each component was used by patients during our study. Alternatively, it may be that the user engagement/health status relationship in IT-based interventions is more complex than measured in the current study. The estimated sample size in the current study was based on logistical constraints and the ability to detect a change in the primary outcome; as such, the study may have been underpowered for the mediation analysis between platform usage and change in the primary outcome.

The current study did not find an improvement in self-efficacy in the intervention group. Previous Web and mobile phone diabetes studies have found positive changes in self-efficacy used the Glucose Buddy application and text messaged the CDE most frequently did not have a greater change in HbA1c than those who used them less. This is supported in previous research which has found no association between engagement (increased contact between patient and clinician) and clinical improvement [32-36]. Perhaps the improvement in glycemic control in our study may be attributed to offering both a smartphone application and a website to log parameters. Mulvaney and colleagues [10] identified in their systematic review that diabetes studies which included a mobile phone and Internet component showed a greater reduction in HbA1c (0.7% vs 0.4%) when compared to studies with only a mobile phone component. Unfortunately, we do not know (due to software constraints) the extent to which each component was used by patients during our study. Alternatively, it may be that the user engagement/health status relationship in IT-based interventions is more complex than measured in the current study. The estimated sample size in the current study was based on logistical constraints and the ability to detect a change in the primary outcome; as such, the study may have been underpowered for the mediation analysis between platform usage and change in the primary outcome.
sessions provided to intervention patients on the use of the smartphone application or website. Previous diabetes education or training on how to use the Glucose Buddy requires further examination.

This potential effect from CDE and as such becoming less reliant on the need to maintain behavioral interventions across varied intervention delivery modalities, especially the Internet. Issues in patient engagement and frequency of contacts have also been noted in mobile research. This may be attributed to actual decreases in self-management activities or may be due to individuals becoming more self-aware of their daily behaviors/health status due to self-monitoring and education from CDE and as such becoming less reliant on the need to self-monitor via intervention platforms. This potential effect requires further examination.

Intervention patients in our study were not provided with any education or training on how to use the Glucose Buddy smartphone application or website. Previous diabetes telemedicine studies have documented education and training sessions provided to intervention patients on the use of the technology under investigation. These training sessions ranged from 15 minutes, 1 hour, 6 hours, and even 1 day. Given the high usage of the application in combination with providing no training on how to use it, it is likely that the design and usability of the application were not barriers to usage. Research has shown that to be competitive and encourage uptake and long-term adoption, smartphone applications should be intuitive and user-friendly.

There is much enthusiasm from researchers concerning real-time feedback using mobile technology to assist patients with diabetes self-management. It has been espoused that the future role of smartphones in diabetes care relates to providing patients with sophisticated applications that automatically upload blood glucose levels from glucometers and provides systematic advice concerning insulin dosage—perhaps sending this information wirelessly to an insulin pump. Indeed, smartphone applications hold great potential for taking diabetes self-management to a new level. We do not dispute that automation of the process may decrease the burden on patients compared to manual entry. However, we would argue that there is still value in manual entry of glucose levels, insulin, diet, and physical activity, despite being onerous. Problem solving is a core component of diabetes self-management and, if all facets of measurement are automated, this may actually result in less awareness and thus less reflection by the patient on their condition; this might paradoxically lead to poorer self-management and negative clinical outcomes in the long term, with the added risk that a failure of the automated technology (e.g., empty battery) might lead to panic and wrong decisions taken by patients. Additionally, the development of decision-support systems, although designed using medical information and clinical guidelines, is focused on reducing the practitioner element in the feedback process. As outlined in a recent review on mobile intervention design in diabetes, those studies that had the greatest impact on HbA1c made use of clinician involvement and feedback. The importance of the human element should not be discounted.

Limitations

There are limitations to our study that should be noted. First, this study was a randomized controlled trial with a small sample conducted over a short duration. Due to the dropout of patients, the study may not have been powered sufficiently to detect differences between groups for the secondary outcome measures. Second, there were differences in glycemic control and gender between groups at baseline. Third, although patients in the control group were instructed not to use any mobile applications to self-manage their diabetes during the study period, it is possible they did.

Conclusions

Despite these limitations, we did find that integrating a smartphone application into secondary care was effective in improving glycemic control in patients with type 1 diabetes.
Our findings can be applied to adults with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes that own a smartphone, though larger studies over a longer duration need to be conducted to validate our findings.
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Abstract

Background:  Daily self-monitoring of diet and physical activity behaviors is a strong predictor of weight loss success. Text messaging holds promise as a viable self-monitoring modality, particularly among racial/ethnic minority populations.  

Objective:  This pilot study evaluated the feasibility of a text messaging intervention for weight loss among predominantly black women.

Methods:  Fifty obese women were randomized to either a 6-month intervention using a fully automated system that included daily text messages for self-monitoring tailored behavioral goals (eg, 10,000 steps per day, no sugary drinks) along with brief feedback and tips (n=26) or to an education control arm (n=24).  Weight was objectively measured at baseline and at 6 months.  Adherence was defined as the proportion of text messages received in response to self-monitoring prompts.

Results:  The average daily text messaging adherence rate was 49\% (SD 27.9) with 85\% (22/26) texting self-monitored behavioral goals 2 or more days per week.  Approximately 70\% (16/23) strongly agreed that daily texting was easy and helpful and 76\% (16/21) felt the frequency of texting was appropriate.  At 6 months, the intervention arm lost a mean of 1.27 kg (SD 6.51), and the control arm gained a mean of 1.14 kg (SD 2.53; mean difference –2.41 kg, 95\% CI –5.22 to 0.39; \(P=0.09\)).  There was a trend toward greater text messaging adherence being associated with greater percent weight loss (\(r=–.36; P=0.08\)), but this did not reach statistical significance.  There was no significant association between goal attainment and text messaging adherence and no significant predictors of adherence.

Conclusions:  Given the increasing penetration of mobile devices, text messaging may be a useful self-monitoring tool for weight control, particularly among populations most in need of intervention.
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Introduction

Regular self-monitoring of diet and physical activity behaviors is one of the strongest predictors of weight loss success [1,2]. Self-monitoring likely improves weight loss outcomes by activating a host of self-regulatory mechanisms. Regular self-monitoring might enhance self-efficacy, improve accountability, and facilitate awareness of how behaviors affect weight [3]. Weight loss interventions have traditionally used paper-based self-monitoring methods. However, long-term adherence to this approach is poor [1,4], possibly because paper-based methods are time- and labor-intensive, require extensive numeracy and literacy skills, and can be perceived as burdensome [5]. What is concerning is that poor adherence adversely affects weight loss outcomes [1]. In contrast, electronic health (eHealth) self-monitoring approaches include features (eg, prompts, real-time data collection, data-driven feedback, asynchronous communication) that may decrease participant burden and increase adherence [6]. Indeed, evidence does show that electronic self-monitoring via either Web or mobile devices produces greater adherence over traditional paper-and-pencil methods [4,7,8] and improves attitudes toward self-monitoring behaviors [9].

Text messaging shows promise as an alternative eHealth self-monitoring approach [10] and offers several advantages compared to other eHealth modalities (eg, Web, interactive voice response). Data can be entered quickly on nearly all mobile phone platforms, making it portable, proximal to actual behaviors, and more accessible for providing tailored feedback [11]. Additionally, text messaging has been conventionally limited to 160 characters (approximately 15-20 words) per message, limiting the detail and cognitive load that is required. Thus, text messaging may be a viable and sustainable self-monitoring modality.

Text messaging has become ubiquitous [12], particularly among racial/ethnic minority groups [13]. Recent studies show that racial/ethnic minorities are more likely than white individuals to own mobile phones [13]. The high familiarity with and penetration of mobile technologies makes text messaging an ideal intervention platform among these populations. This is notable because we have few interventions that produce clinically meaningful weight loss outcomes among racial/ethnic minority populations [14-16], those with the highest rates of obesity. Black women, in particular, have alarmingly high rates of obesity as compared with other gender and racial/ethnic groups: 59% of black women are obese versus 36% in the general US population [17]. However, little is known about the use of text messaging for self-monitoring weight control behaviors in this population. The purpose of this pilot study was to evaluate the feasibility of daily text messaging for self-monitoring behavioral goals for weight loss among predominantly obese black women. Our secondary aim was to evaluate the effects of the intervention on weight change relative to an education control arm.

Methods

Participants

We recruited women aged 25-50 years, with a body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 25 kg/m². Other inclusion criteria were willingness to (1) come to all study assessments over 6 months, (2) use a personal cell phone to send and receive up to 5 texts per day for 6 months without compensation for the text messages, and (3) be randomized into either treatment arm. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy or planned pregnancy within the next 6 months and a history of myocardial infarction or stroke within the past 2 years.

Recruitment and Randomization

We partnered with a nonprofit church-based community wellness organization located in Raleigh, NC to recruit participants. The wellness organization provided the location for enrollment events and aided in recruitment by advertising the study in common spaces and during community meetings and church services. Additional recruitment was conducted in the surrounding community via flyers posted in neighborhood businesses and outreach to adults in the area who had expressed interest in weight loss research trials. Recruitment took place between June and September 2010.

Interested participants visited a study website to complete initial eligibility screening that assessed self-reported age, gender, height, weight, and race (American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, black or African American, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, white, or other). Eligible participants were then invited to an in-person enrollment event. Ineligible participants were directed to a website where they could access publicly available weight loss information. At the enrollment events, study staff obtained informed consent and collected baseline anthropometric and survey measures. Participants were then randomized to the intervention arm or the education control arm using a computer-generated algorithm. Because of the pilot nature of this study, participants were re-evaluated at 6 months, with no additional study visits. Participants received a US $35 gift card to a local store as an incentive for participation. The Duke University Institutional Review Board approved this study.

Intervention Arm

Overview

The intervention (Shape Plan) included daily tracking of tailored behavior change goals through text messaging and personalized daily and weekly feedback via text messaging and email, respectively. Participants also received information sheets about behavioral goals, a pedometer, 2 face-to-face group sessions, and a skills training DVD.

Behavior Change Goals

Behavior change goals were determined using the interactive obesity treatment approach (iOTA) [18-20], a theory-based approach whereby participants are assigned an individualized set of routine lifestyle behavior change goals and directed to change them to create an energy deficit sufficient to produce weight change. Lifestyle behavior change goals are assigned based on an algorithm that considers participants’ need and...
At baseline, the iOTA algorithm ranked behavior change goals for intervention participants. Participants were instructed to self-monitor the 2 top goals daily for 12 weeks. All intervention participants also received a walking goal of at least 7000 steps every day. The physical activity goal increased based on participants’ performance, up to 10,000 steps per day. The survey was re-administered at 3 months and updated goals were assigned using the same algorithm.

**Textbox 1.** List of iOTA behavioral goals.

- Walk 7000/8000/10,000 steps every day
- No sugary drinks
- Eat 5 or more fruits and vegetables every day
- No chips, cookies, or candy
- Switch to low-fat dairy
- No fast food
- Eat breakfast every day
- Watch no more than 2 hours of TV every day
- No fried food
- No snacks or dessert after dinner
- No more than 1 alcoholic drink per day
- Eat red meat no more than once a week

**Information Sessions**

At the baseline enrollment event, Shape Plan participants received a group-based orientation to the intervention led by community health educators experienced in delivering information on weight control. The orientation included a review of the iOTA goals, calorie balance, a demonstration of the text messaging self-monitoring and feedback, and an action planning session. Goal setting and text messaging monitoring began the following day. At 3 months, in an effort to reduce the number of face-to-face meetings, participants received a set of videos with skills training information on topics such as healthy eating patterns, eating cues, recognizing hunger, problem solving to meet goals, goal setting, exercise tips, and safety and action planning for the upcoming Shape Plan goals. At 6 months, participants received another hour-long group face-to-face session that focused on problem solving, assessment of overall progress, and tips for maintaining behavior changes.

**Self-Monitoring and Feedback Through Text Messaging**

The text messaging protocol included 1 daily morning text message at 8:00 am, which asked participants to report performance on their goals from the previous day (eg, “How many steps did you walk yesterday?”; Figure 1). Although immediate self-monitoring was encouraged, participants could respond any time until 7:59 am the next day. Any responses received within the 24-hour window after the outbound text message was sent were counted as a successful self-monitoring response. A score was assigned to each goal based on self-monitoring data received from participants. For example, if a participant was working on the no sugary drinks goal, a score of 10 was given if the participant entered 0 drinks that day, a score of 5 if the participant reported 2 drinks, and a score of 1 if ≥5 drinks was entered. Conversely, if the goal was to eat 5 or more fruits and vegetables, a score of 10 was given if the participant entered ≥5 fruits and vegetables for that day, and a score of 1 if a participant reported eating 0 fruits and vegetables that day. A summary score was then calculated for all 3 goals together, with higher scores indicative of high overall goal attainment (range 1-10). A feedback message was sent via an automated system based on the summary score, along with relative feedback based on the previous day of self-monitoring (eg, “You’re doing better than yesterday—great job!” or “You did worse than last time. Let’s turn this slip around”) and specific tips on how to change low-scoring goals (eg, “Try flavored seltzer water instead of regular soda” or “Try sliced bell peppers as a snack”). Messaging content was based on previous studies conducted using the iOTA approach in this population [19-22]. Rigorous testing of the logic was completed before the start of the intervention, and continuous quality checks were performed to ensure fidelity to protocol.

Additionally, Shape Plan participants received a weekly automated email on Sundays with a summary of their progress. Participants with at least 3 days of self-monitoring data received a weekly email with personalized feedback that included a summary of goal attainment and a graph of progress over the previous week. For participants with low adherence (3 or fewer texts in 1 week), the email did not include a summary, but rather acted as a prompt to improve adherence (eg, “We only received 2 text messages from you this week. In order for you to be most...
successful losing weight in Shape Plan, you should track your numbers and send us a text every day”

Education Control Arm
To control for contact and isolate the behavior change goals, self-monitoring via text messaging and feedback, participants randomized to the education control arm received (1) 2 in-person group education sessions, one at baseline and another at 6 months; (2) a set of videos at 3 months that covered topics such as healthy eating patterns, eating cues, recognizing hunger, exercise recommendations, and how to read a nutrition facts food label; (3) pedometers; and (4) a “prescription” to walk 10,000 steps per day. Control arm participants received no text messaging during the study period, but had the option to receive a 3-month version of the text messaging intervention after the study was complete.

Measures

Demographic Characteristics
At baseline, a variety of sociodemographic variables were collected through an online survey to characterize the sample, including age, race/ethnicity, household income, education, marital status, and employment.

Self-Monitoring Adherence
A study database collected and stored text messaging self-monitoring data. Adherence was defined as the proportion of self-monitoring texts received of the number expected over the 6-month period (N=167). We examined total adherence and adherence by study week.

Anthropometrics
At baseline and 6 months, trained staff measured participant heights to the nearest 0.1 cm using a calibrated stadiometer (Seca 214). Weights were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using an electronic scale (Seca Model 876) [23].

Program Satisfaction
At 6 months, intervention participants completed a 23-item online questionnaire to assess intervention satisfaction. Using a 4-point Likert scale with response options ranging from strongly agree (=4) to strongly disagree (=1), participants rated whether they found daily self-monitoring through text messaging to be easy, helpful overall, helpful for increasing daily steps, and important. Similarly, participants reported whether daily text messages were the appropriate frequency and whether they were satisfied with the feedback received via text messaging.

Statistical Analyses
We used chi-square tests and t tests to examine differences in baseline characteristics between study arms. Similar tests were used to describe the average rate of text messaging adherence and the proportion of participants who achieved various thresholds of self-monitoring adherence. We used intent-to-treat analyses, with baseline weight carried forward for missing data. The t tests were used to examine absolute weight change, percent weight loss, and BMI change between study arms, and ANOVA was conducted to examine goal attainment and weight change across tertiles of self-monitoring adherence. Pearson correlation tests were conducted to examine the overall association between text messaging adherence and goal attainment and weight loss. Analyses were conducted using SPSS ver 19 for Mac (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). All tests were 2-tailed and an alpha level <.05 was used to assess statistical significance.

Figure 1. Screenshot of self-monitoring via text message with feedback.
**Results**

**Enrollment and Retention**

Figure 2 outlines the study enrollment and retention flow. A total of 149 individuals were deemed eligible from the online screener. The total number screened via the study website is not known. Of those initially eligible, 2 were ineligible because of BMI and 97 did not attend the enrollment event. In all, 50 participants were randomized to either the Shape Plan intervention (n=26) or the control (n=24) arm. At 6 months, 90% (45/50) of participants attended the 6-month follow-up assessment visit. There were no significant differences in attrition between study arms.

**Figure 2.** Flowchart of participant enrollment and retention in the study.

**Baseline Characteristics**

Mean age of participants was 38.3 years (SD 8.2). Participants were obese (BMI mean 35.8 kg/m$^2$, SD 6.1), and had an average weight of 99.1 kg (SD 20.0) (Table 1). Participants were predominantly black (82%, 41/50), employed (82%, 41/50), and college educated (64%, 32/50). Approximately one-third of the sample (32%, 16/50) had an annual income less than US $40,000 and 50% (25/50) were married or living with a partner. There were no significant differences between arms with regard to baseline sociodemographic characteristics.

**Text Messaging Adherence**

Figure 3 shows the text messaging self-monitoring completion rates over time by study week. Among all randomized intervention participants (n=26), the daily text messaging adherence rate was 49% (SD 28, IQR 27%-78%). Most participants (58%, 15/26) texted at least 3 days each week and 85% (22/26) texted at least 2 days per week, on average. There were no significant predictors of adherence. During the study, 8 participants demonstrated nonusage attrition [24], requesting to cease intervention participation before the end of the trial for a host of reasons (eg, cost of text messaging, change in phone service, not interested in continued participation). Excluding these 8 participants, the adherence rate was 54% (SD 25), and we similarly found no significant predictors of adherence.

The mean daily goal attainment score over the 6-month intervention period was 6.3 (SD 2.8, IQR 4.0-8.2), indicating moderate-high adherence to behavioral goals. Similarly, the mean number of steps reported was 4994 (SD 2741, IQR 3016-6489). There was no significant correlation between average goal attainment score and text messaging adherence ($r=.24$; $P=.25$) or mean number of steps reported and text messaging adherence ($r=.33$; $P=.11$). However, when examining tertiles of adherence, there was a trend toward greater adherence being associated with greater step counts, although this did not reach statistical significance (tertile 1: mean 3958, SD 2698; tertile 2: mean 4174, SD 1902; tertile 3: mean 6481, SD 2895; $P=.09$).
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants by study arm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (N=50)</th>
<th>Control (n=24)</th>
<th>Shape Plan (n=26)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or living with a partner</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
<td>9 (38)</td>
<td>16 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated or never married</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
<td>15 (62)</td>
<td>10 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>41 (82)</td>
<td>19 (79)</td>
<td>22 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 year college degree or higher</td>
<td>32 (64)</td>
<td>15 (62)</td>
<td>17 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than a 4 year college degree</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>9 (38)</td>
<td>9 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic black</td>
<td>41 (82)</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>23 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic other</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household income (US $), n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40,000</td>
<td>16 (32)</td>
<td>9 (38)</td>
<td>7 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,000-69,999</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>7 (29)</td>
<td>11 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70,000</td>
<td>16 (32)</td>
<td>8 (33)</td>
<td>8 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>38.3 (8.2)</td>
<td>39.0 (9.0)</td>
<td>37.6 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>99.1 (20.0)</td>
<td>96.0 (23.1)</td>
<td>102.0 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>35.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>34.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>36.9 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Adherence to daily self-monitoring via text message by study week (n=26).

Intervention Satisfaction

At 6 months, most participants strongly agreed that texting was easy (70%, 16/23) and helpful (68%, 15/22), and 76% (16/21) either somewhat or strongly agreed that the text messages helped them increase the number of daily steps walked. Almost three-quarters of participants (71%, 15/21) reported that it took less than 3 minutes to reply to texts and most (79%, 15/19) responded to prompts for self-monitoring via text message in the morning. More than half (57%, 12/21) felt that receiving daily texts was very important and approximately three-quarters of participants (76%, 16/21) felt the frequency of texting was appropriate. Most (82%, 18/22) were satisfied with the feedback...
content they received via text messaging and 62% (13/21) felt the feedback was very important.

**Weight Change**

Table 2 highlights changes in weight and BMI between study arms. At 6 months, using intent-to-treat analyses (N=50), participants randomized to the control arm gained a mean of 1.14 kg (SD 2.53), whereas intervention participants lost a mean of 1.27 kg (SD 6.51). The mean difference was –2.41 kg (95% CI –5.22 to 0.39; P=0.09). This equates to a percent weight loss of 0.97% (SD 5.35) in the intervention arm, which was marginally higher than a gain of 1.32% (SD 2.77) in the control arm (mean difference –2.29, 95% CI –4.74 to 0.16; P=0.06). There were no significant differences between arms with regard to changes in BMI. Similar results were found when we restricted the sample to study completers only (n=45). There were no significant correlations between adherence to text messaging and change in weight or BMI. However, there was a trend toward greater text messaging adherence being associated with greater percent weight loss (r = –0.36; P=0.08), but this did not reach statistical significance. Similarly, there were no differences in weight outcomes by tertiles of text messaging adherence (data not shown).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight change variables</th>
<th>Control, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Shape Plan, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in weight (kg)</td>
<td>1.14 (2.53)</td>
<td>–1.27 (6.51)</td>
<td>–2.41 (–5.22, 0.39)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent weight loss (%)</td>
<td>1.32 (2.77)</td>
<td>–0.97 (5.35)</td>
<td>–2.29 (–4.70, 0.12)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.90)</td>
<td>–0.47 (2.42)</td>
<td>–0.89 (–1.93, 0.15)</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In this pilot study, we found that daily text messaging for behavioral self-monitoring is both feasible and positively perceived. Approximately half of participants were fully adherent to daily self-monitoring through text messages during the 6-month study and 84% stayed active in the intervention throughout the study period. In contrast to previous studies that included paper-based self-monitoring modes [5], most participants felt that text messaging was helpful, easy, and important for achieving their behavior change goals. Text messaging has major advantages relative to other approaches. It has high familiarity and a greater potential for broad reach, particularly among racial/ethnic minority populations. Despite the intervention having only a marginal effect on weight change, these results indicate that text messaging may be a viable way to collect self-monitoring data and deliver intervention feedback and skills training.

The eHealth and mobile health (mHealth) approaches to self-monitoring offer numerous advantages over traditional approaches. Self-monitoring via text messaging is cheaper, easier to program, and more proximal to behavior changes as compared to paper-based and Web-based self-monitoring. Both eHealth and mHealth self-monitoring strategies seem not to exhibit the same steep decline typically seen in self-monitoring adherence [1,6,11] particularly when feedback is provided in response to self-monitoring [4,25]. The current pilot study provided immediate feedback in response to text messaging self-monitoring and found that most participants reported high satisfaction with this feedback. Providing feedback via text messaging may offer particular benefits over other modalities. However, feedback can only be sent when participants provide self-monitoring data; thus, finding ways to enhance adherence remains important.

What participants are self-monitoring may be as important to adherence as how they are self-monitoring. Typical self-monitoring behaviors include detailed reports of food intake, including portion size, and calorie and fat content. Although effective, self-monitoring of this type exhibits poor adherence [4,6]. Our pilot study, in contrast, included simple and discrete self-monitoring of behavior change goals associated with weight loss (eg, no sugary drinks, no fried food). Self-monitoring of specific behaviors rather than detailed dietary records may be less burdensome and more fitting for delivery via text messaging. Indeed, we found high satisfaction with our approach, adherence rates comparable to Web-based self-monitoring approaches [7,26], and a trend toward a positive association between adherence and weight loss. Whether text messaging for self-monitoring is more effective for weight change compared to other eHealth or paper-based approaches is not clear. Trials examining the comparative efficacy of these different modes are necessary.

To date, our weight loss outcomes are similar to those of other text messaging trials [27-29]. Most of these trials used text messaging to deliver skills training information and feedback via outbound messages. We are aware of only 1 trial that used text messaging to monitor behaviors and provide feedback. In their Text4Diet trial, Shapiro et al [29] tested an intervention that asked participants to self-monitor daily pedometer steps and weekly body weight. The intervention sent up to 4 text messages per day for 12 months that included personalized tips for eating behaviors, reminders, educational facts, motivational messages, knowledge-based questions, and feedback in response to self-monitoring data. After 12 months, the intervention arm lost 1.65 kg, but there were no significant differences between treatment arms.

Our pilot study used text messaging to collect self-monitoring data on diet and physical activity behaviors, but we did not gather data on body weight or include any type of coaching support. This may have affected the weight losses achieved because body weight self-monitoring has been shown to be...
effective in the absence of self-monitoring of other behaviors [30]. Haapala and colleagues [28] emphasized calorie counting and used body weight to adjust caloric goals, which may have resulted in larger weight loss outcomes. By contrast, the Shape Plan study focused on engagement in behaviors known to produce a caloric deficit (eg, switching from high-fat dairy to low-fat dairy) rather than calorie counting to achieve weight loss. Long-term, we hypothesize that our approach may have greater potential for sustainability because calorie counting is cognitively complex and may not be suitable for populations with lower levels of education. However, this may come with some cost to the magnitude of weight loss.

Black women have the highest prevalence of obesity compared to any other group [17] and achieving clinically meaningful weight loss among this group has been particularly challenging [16]. Across numerous studies, black women achieved smaller weight losses compared to other groups and most did not lose more than 4 kg [15]. These results indicate a need for new obesity treatment approaches for black women that are relevant, effective, and sustainable. In the current study, we found that there was a trend toward a small weight loss among intervention participants and a small weight gain among control participants. Although our study focused on weight loss, this low-intensity approach may be helpful for staving off premenopausal weight gain that is common among black women and higher than other racial/ethnic groups [31,32]. Given the high satisfaction with text messaging for self-monitoring and comparable adherence ranges, future research might include this modality along with brief coaching calls in an effort to enhance weight loss outcomes.

Additionally, mobile phone use is high among blacks, and black individuals are more likely than white individuals to use mobile phones to look for health or medical information [33]. Previous studies testing text messaging as a self-monitoring tool for weight loss included predominantly white samples with greater sociodemographic advantage. One exception is a recent trial that was short in duration, but found a positive association between text messaging for self-monitoring and walking among an older, black, and predominantly female sample [34]. These findings are consistent with our study and further confirm that this modality is feasible for behavior change, with the potential for broad reach.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This study has several strengths. Most of our sample (82%) were black women, which is a group typically underrepresented in weight control research. Although a strength of our study, the findings may not generalize to other populations and settings. The goal of this study was to test the feasibility of text messaging for self-monitoring through a low-intensity weight loss intervention among an understudied population. We isolated the impact of text messaging self-monitoring along with feedback with a control arm that received comparable group information sessions and the use of a pedometer. This study also has some limitations worth mentioning. A few participants (n=8) experienced barriers to participation, such as cost of text messaging and disconnected cell phone service, or they were no longer interested in participating. Although providing phones and/or text messaging plans may have enhanced our adherence rates, the use of personal cell phones provides insight into the “real world” feasibility of text messaging for weight control and improves the generalizability of the intervention.

Although comparable to other eHealth weight control interventions, higher adherence rates are needed to produce greater weight losses. This pilot study was low intensity and did not include any contact with study staff outside the assessment visits. Our main goal was to assess the feasibility of using text messaging for self-monitoring behavioral goals among a predominantly racial/ethnic minority population. To enhance adherence, future studies using this approach might also include daily tracking of weight and provide feedback on weight loss progress via text message. More frequent skills training through monthly videos may also boost adherence rates. Including elements of accountability and support (eg, monthly coaching calls with a lifestyle counselor) can also be an effective way to enhance adherence, but including these components will increase intensity and make it more difficult to ascertain the independent effects of the text messaging. Given that this was a pilot study, the small sample size limited our power to assess whether this intervention led to significantly greater weight loss as compared to an education control arm. Future studies should examine the efficacy of this approach with a larger sample size, longer duration, and multiple measures throughout the study period.

**Conclusions**

Text messaging holds promise as a self-monitoring modality for weight control, particularly among groups most at risk for obesity-related morbidities. Given that the majority of evidence indicates that greater adherence leads to better outcomes, our study suggests that mHealth-based approaches to self-monitoring may enhance engagement and reduce the burdens commonly associated with other modes. Our intervention was relatively low intensity and has greater potential for dissemination compared to higher intensity interventions. As technology penetration increases in the target population, the use of this modality will become increasingly relevant and helpful as an intervention tool for weight control.
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Abstract

Background: There are large amounts of unstructured, free-text information about quality of health care available on the Internet in blogs, social networks, and on physician rating websites that are not captured in a systematic way. New analytical techniques, such as sentiment analysis, may allow us to understand and use this information more effectively to improve the quality of health care.

Objective: We attempted to use machine learning to understand patients’ unstructured comments about their care. We used sentiment analysis techniques to categorize online free-text comments by patients as either positive or negative descriptions of their health care. We tried to automatically predict whether a patient would recommend a hospital, whether the hospital was clean, and whether they were treated with dignity from their free-text description, compared to the patient’s own quantitative rating of their care.

Methods: We applied machine learning techniques to all 6412 online comments about hospitals on the English National Health Service website in 2010 using Weka data-mining software. We also compared the results obtained from sentiment analysis with the paper-based national inpatient survey results at the hospital level using Spearman rank correlation for all 161 acute adult hospital trusts in England.

Results: There was 81%, 84%, and 89% agreement between quantitative ratings of care and those derived from free-text comments using sentiment analysis for cleanliness, being treated with dignity, and overall recommendation of hospital respectively (kappa scores: .40-.74, P<.001 for all). We observed mild to moderate associations between our machine learning predictions and responses to the large patient survey for the three categories examined (Spearman rho 0.37-0.51, P<.001 for all).

Conclusions: The prediction accuracy that we have achieved using this machine learning process suggests that we are able to predict, from free-text, a reasonably accurate assessment of patients’ opinion about different performance aspects of a hospital and that these machine learning predictions are associated with results of more conventional surveys.
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Introduction

Understanding patients’ experience of health care is central to the process of providing care and is a fundamental pillar of health care quality [1,2]. Traditional measures of patient experience include surveys, and more recently, structured patient reported outcome measures. Such approaches ask specific and limited questions, are conducted infrequently, and are often
expensive to administer. Today’s patients have begun to report their health care experience on the Internet in blogs, social networks, wikis, and on health care rating websites [3,4]. However, as this is largely unstructured, nonstandardized free-text information, it is not captured in a systematic way. This represents a missed opportunity for understanding patients’ experience in an increasingly “connected” world. Survey data in the United States suggest that 85% of adults use the Internet [5], 25% have read someone else’s experience about health on a website or blog, and 11% have consulted online reviews of hospitals or other medical facilities [6].

Outside health care, natural language processing of large datasets, including sentiment analysis and opinion mining, has been critical to understanding consumer attributes and behaviors, for example, in election forecasting [7,8]. Sentiment analysis enables the content of natural language—the words we write and speak—to be examined for positive and negative opinions and emotion [9]. If applicable to health care, these analytical methods could permit interpretation of textual information about patient experience on a huge scale. This information, because of its prose nature, has avoided the analytical spotlight of conventional quantitative analysis. Alemi and colleagues have proposed the use of sentiment analysis of comments as real-time patient surveys [10]. They have shown that patient comments about specific doctors could be attributed with reasonable accuracy to positive and negative sentiment. They further suggest that capture of sentiment analysis should be compared to traditional methods of assessing patient experience.

The Information Strategy for the National Health Service (NHS) in England states that sentiment analysis of data could be a novel source of information [11] that would be valuable for patients in facilitating choice of hospitals. We tested this assertion and furthered the work undertaken by Alemi and colleagues, by analyzing a large number of free-text comments on the main NHS website (NHS Choices). This website allows patients to describe their treatment experiences at all hospitals in England (and all other NHS-provided services). It is well used, with around a million hits a day. Over a 2-year period, each hospital had a mean average of 69 reviews [12]. These reviews include both free-text descriptions of general experience and Likert-scale ratings of particular aspects of care. This presents the opportunity for a natural experiment to assess the accuracy of sentiment analysis techniques (applied to the free-text comments) against the patients’ own quantitative ratings. The NHS also measures patient experience via a national survey of hospital inpatients. If sentiment analysis techniques are to be considered as useful tools for assessing care quality, it is important to see whether there is an association with traditional measures of patient experience. We therefore compare our sentiment analysis findings to the national patient survey, at the hospital level.

**Methods**

**Machine Learning From Patient Comments**

We applied data processing techniques to all the online free-text comments about hospitals on the NHS Choices website in 2010. Our purpose was to test whether we could automatically predict patients’ views on a number of topics from their free-text responses. A machine learning classification approach was chosen in which an algorithm “learns” to classify comments into categories from a given set of examples, using open-source Weka data mining software. This software has been extensively used in previous research and provides accurate classification results, including in health care [13-15]. To test the accuracy of the prediction, we compared our results to quantitative ratings provided by the same individual patients on a Likert scale. Free-text comments were examined in response to the questions: “What I liked”, “What could have been improved”, and “Any other comments”. A prediction was then made about whether the patient would recommend the hospital or not, whether the hospital was clean or dirty, and whether they were treated with dignity and respect. The algorithm was trained using all comments and ratings about hospitals left on the NHS Choices website from 2008, 2009, and 2011 (13,802 in total) as a learning set. Data from 2010 were used to test the predicting accuracy of the process (6412 comments) because comparable patient experience survey data were available for that year. The validation set represents 31.7% of the total sample available. We performed a single round of cross validation. All comments left on the NHS Choices website were provided directly by the Department of Health in England but have subsequently been made publicly available [16].

**Technical Aspects of the Machine Learning Approach**

The machine learning approach had two components: (1) pre-processing, in which data from patient comments are split into manageable units to build a representation of the data [17], and (2) classification, in which an algorithm decides which category each comment falls into. A consistent set of methodologies was applied in our machine learning process, including a “bag-of-words” approach, “prior polarity”, and “information gain”.

In the “bag-of-words” approach, the total body of words analyzed (known as the corpora) is represented as a simplified, unordered collection of words [18]. For this analysis, unigrams (single elements or words) and bigrams (two adjacent elements in a string of tokens, in this case, a 2-word phrase) were used as the basic units of analysis. We extracted 5695 n-grams in total. Higher n-grams (longer phrases) could have been used, but the constraints were computer power and processing time. We also included our own classification of certain words in the machine learning approach, known as “prior polarity”. The 1000 most common single words, and the 1000 most common 2-word phrases were extracted from the complete set of comments in the corpora. Two researchers independently rated the sentiment of each as positive, negative, either, or neutral separately for each of the three domains under consideration: (1) overall recommendation, (2) cleanliness, and (3) dignity. Where disagreements occurred, the sentiment was discussed and resolved between the 2 researchers. Kappa statistics for overall ratings were .76 for 1 word and .71 for 2-word phrases. For rating of dignity, they were .71 for 1 word and .70 for 2 words. For rating of cleanliness, they were .52 for 1 word and .48 for 2 words. For all of these calculations, P<.001.
A technique called “information gain” was used to reduce the size of the bag-of-words by identifying those words with the lowest certainty of belonging to a given class, and then removing them—this is an approach to feature selection [19]. This improved the computation time and also demonstrates the words with highest predictive accuracy.

A number of different technical approaches can be taken to classification in machine learning. We applied four different methods, to see which gave the quickest and most accurate results: (1) naïve Bayes multinomials (NBM) [20], (2) decision trees [21], (3) bagging [22], and (4) support vector machines [23]. Decision trees and bagging were carried out with REPTree in the Weka package. Support vector machines used an RBF Kernel. The accuracy of the prediction was compared with the patient’s own quantitative rating by calculating, for each method, the accuracy (the percentage of correctly predicted observations from the total number of observations), the $F$ measure (the harmonic mean of precision and recall), the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC), and the time taken to complete the task were calculated. To reduce computing processing time of the classification, we limited the words in the learning process to the top 10,000 words by frequency. All text was converted to lower case, and we removed all punctuation. Typographical errors and misspellings were not corrected.

Testing Prediction Accuracy
To obtain a score to predict sentiment analysis against, patient ratings left on the NHS Choices website on a Likert scale were converted into simple categories, either positive or negative about cleanliness and dignity, to simplify the prediction task. The website presents patients with five options to rate the cleanliness of a hospital: “exceptionally clean”, “very clean”, “clean”, “not very clean”, “dirty”, and “does not apply”. In this analysis, the first three options were grouped into a “clean” class and the “not very clean” and “dirty” into a “dirty” class. The website also asks patients to rate whether they were treated with dignity and respect by the hospital staff, with the options being “all of the time”, “most of the time”, “some of the time”, “rarely”, and “not at all”. Again, the first three options were grouped, in this case into a “more dignity” class and the “rarely” and “not at all” into a “less dignity” class. Finally, the NHS Choices website asks all patients whether they would recommend the hospital or not.

Comparing Sentiment Analysis With the National Inpatient Survey
Having calculated the accuracy of our prediction algorithm, the results of the sentiment analysis were then compared with the national inpatient survey results for 2010. This is an annual national survey of randomly selected patients admitted to NHS hospitals in England, similar to the HCAHPS survey in the United States. The 2010 survey covered all 161 acute hospitals with adult services in England, involving 60,000 respondents nationally (response rate 50%). Patients were contacted via post between September 2010 and January 2011 if they had received overnight care in hospital in 2010 [24]. This survey includes both general and specific questions. In this study, we used only areas similar to the specific themes predicted from the NHS Choices data. The questions used were “In your opinion, how clean was the hospital room or ward that you were in?” (marked on a 4-point scale of “very” to “not at all”); “Overall, did you feel you were treated with respect and dignity while you were in the hospital?” (marked on a 3-point scale of “very” to “not at all”); and “Overall, how would you rate the care you received?” (marked on a 5-point scale from excellent to poor). The sentiment analysis ranking was compared with the patient survey ranking for each question at the hospital level, applying Spearman rank correlation, using Stata SE11 statistical software. We compared all 161 adult acute trusts in England.

Results
There was agreement between the patients’ own quantitative rating of whether they would recommend their hospital and our prediction from sentiment analysis between 80.8% and 88.6% of the time (expressed as accuracy; Table 1), depending on the classification method used. Similarly, sentiment analysis agreed with whether the patient was treated with dignity and respect between 83.7% and 84.5% of the time, and agreed with whether the hospital was clean or not between 81.2% and 89.2% of the time. Table 2 shows the 10 words or 2-word phrases with the highest predictive accuracy.

NBM, bagging, and decision tree approaches to classification all produced similar measures of association, but the NBM algorithm, a first-order probabilistic model that uses word frequency information, performed the calculation faster (less than 0.2 seconds compared to hundreds of seconds for the other analyzed approaches). Of note, all algorithms tended to be worse at predicting cleanliness and SVM in particular. This may represent the limited language around cleanliness compared to the other opinions examined, or the more skewed results, with higher number of negative ratings.

On this basis, we choose to use NBM results for further comparison of our results with patient survey data. The relationship between the predictions of the NBM approach and the real ratings was reflected as Kappa statistics for interrater reliability of between .40 and .74 ($P<.001$ for all). We found significant, weak to moderate associations between machine learning predictions using NBM and quantitative responses from the national inpatient survey for the three categories examined: cleanliness, dignity, and overall recommendation (Spearman correlation coefficients between 0.37 and 0.51, $P<.001$ for all) (see Table 3). Rank correlations for overall recommendations of hospitals are displayed in Figure 1.
Table 1. Accuracy of different approaches to machine learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Overall rating</th>
<th>Cleanliness</th>
<th>Dignity and respect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Naive Bayes multinomial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ measure</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision trees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ measure</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bagging</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ measure</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td>4871</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support vector machine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ measure</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The 10 one or two word phrases with the highest predictive accuracy for each topic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Cleanliness</th>
<th>Dignity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>told</td>
<td>dirty</td>
<td>rude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thank you</td>
<td>floor</td>
<td>told</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rude</td>
<td>the floor</td>
<td>thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>thank you</td>
<td>friendly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the staff</td>
<td>filthy</td>
<td>excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hours</td>
<td>bed</td>
<td>rude and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asked</td>
<td>patients</td>
<td>asked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>was told</td>
<td>friendly</td>
<td>the staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>friendly</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>staff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of patient survey responses and machine learning prediction of comments at hospital trust level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient survey question</th>
<th>Machine learning prediction</th>
<th>Spearman correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In your opinion, how clean was the hospital room or ward that you were in?</td>
<td>Machine learning prediction of comments about standard of cleanliness</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>$P &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, did you feel you were treated with respect and dignity while you were in the hospital?</td>
<td>Machine learning prediction of comments about whether the patient was treated with dignity and respect</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$P &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, how would you rate the care you received?</td>
<td>Machine learning prediction of comments about whether the patient would recommend</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>$P &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Principal Findings

Our results reinforce earlier findings that sentiment analysis of patients’ online comments is possible with a reasonable degree of accuracy [10] and that it is possible to identify salient aspects of reviews [25]. We have also shown that unstructured comments in free text, if processed appropriately, are associated with patient experience results from paper-based surveys conducted annually across all hospitals in England. This is in keeping with previous work that has demonstrated that there is a significant association between structured online ratings of care left on health care review websites and conventional surveys of satisfaction [12]. These results suggest a potential mechanism to make use of the large amounts of text on the Internet in which people describe their care, and that further exploration of the information contained within the free-text comments may be an important avenue for understanding patient experience, providing an additional source of information to complement traditional survey methods.

Strengths and Limitations

Sentiment analysis via a machine learning approach is only as good as the learning set that is used to inform it. By taking advantage of a complete national rating system over several years, we have been able to use many more ratings in this learning set than in other studies. Indeed, our learning set was more than 10 times larger than earlier work [9]. Moreover, in applications of sentiment analysis to health care data, researchers have had to train the system themselves by reviewing comments and ascribing characteristics to them, to allow the algorithm to learn. We used a large dataset that permitted us to directly compare free-text comments and quantitative ratings posted by the same patients, thus eliminating potential biases of reviewer assignment of comments. Similarly, the consistency of questions across the NHS inpatient survey and the questions asked on the NHS Choices website about health services allows for a direct comparison of patient comments and surveys that we believe has not previously been reported.

Online comments left without solicitation on a website are likely to have a natural selection bias towards examples of both good and bad care. It is likely that these online reviews are contributed more by those in particular demographic groups including younger and more affluent people [26]. Further, there are aspects

Figure 1. Comparison of the proportion recommending a hospital using sentiment analysis and traditional paper-based survey measures.
of patients’ comments that are very hard for sentiment analysis to process. Irony, sarcasm, and humor, frequently adopted by English speakers when talking about their care, cannot be easily detected using this process. The use of prior polarity improved the results and mitigated some colloquial phrasing, but there were difficulties understanding those that depend on context. For example, phrases that cropped up repeatedly, such as “stank of urine” or “like an angel”, could be easily characterized as negative or positive. The meaning of other frequently used phrases, however, was hard to establish without an understanding of their context. The best example of this was the phrase a “cup of tea”. It was referred to in many different comments in these data, but without knowing the context, is it impossible to allocate it a direct sentiment. “They didn’t even offer me a cup of tea” is very different to “The nurse even made me a cup of tea”. Our current algorithm could not yet make use of references to cups of tea or similar phrases that would be clear and obvious looked at by eye on a case-by-case basis. Future attempts to improve a natural language processing ability for patient experience would have to develop the capacity to accurately interpret this level of context-specific and idiomatic content. We appreciate that in this early exploratory work, we are not using the most state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms seen in other industries [27], or approaches to classification selection [28], but hope that further work might be able to adopt this.

Further Research Questions

Further research is needed to improve the performance of sentiment analysis tools, extending the process to other forms of free-text information on the Internet and exploring the relationships between views expressed by patients online and clinical health care quality. For example, several technical components might be added to improve the process, including the consideration of higher number n-grams (longer phrases) and refining contextual polarity (understanding what a word or phrase means given its context in a sentence). It would also be useful to compare the relatively simple techniques used in this analysis against other platforms and tools used for the sentiment analysis and opinion mining process, for example WordNet Affect [29] and SentiWordNet [30,31].

Policy Implications

Large amounts of data about the use of services are collected in digital form. An important strand of this is consumer opinion and experience. Today, many people express their views and share their experience of goods and services via the Internet and social media. Such data, converted to information, are essential in improving services, facilitating consumer choice and, in some sectors, exploring public accountability and value in the use of taxpayers’ money.

By its nature, the information is highly personalized, idiosyncratic, and idiomatic. However, if it is to be useful, it must be analyzed in ways that are not solely reliant on someone reading individual contributions (although this is valuable to consumers) nor on pre-structured responses necessary to allow aggregation. A solution to the challenge of “big data” is to find automated methods for analyzing unstructured narrative commentary, which is a potentially rich source of learning. In this respect, health care is no different to many other industries although it has perhaps been slower than other sectors to recognize the importance of it.

As our confidence in techniques of data mining and sentiment analysis grow, information of this sort could be routinely collected, processed, and interpreted by health care providers and regulators to monitor performance. Moreover, information could be taken from a number of different text sources online, such as blogs and social media. If this information could be harvested from these locations and then processed into timely and relevant data, it could be a valuable tool for quality improvement. We have previously suggested that as the usage of rating websites, social networks, and microblogs increases [3,4], this free-text information represents a growing and largely untapped source of data that could be considered a “cloud of patient experience” [32]. Others, including Alemi, have discussed similar ideas, with Cambria and colleagues describing a notion of “crowd validation” of a health service [10,33]. This has the potential to provide up-to-date information about patient experience at lower cost than the traditional survey route. It might also allow the views of younger, more tech savvy groups—who are often poor responders to paper-based surveys—to be sampled. Eventually, there might even be the potential to develop a close to real-time early warning system for poor clinical care, if large enough amounts of data can be collected and prediction accuracy can be reliably reproduced. However, caution should be taken before placing too much faith in a qualitative approach, as the qualitative analysis of information of this sort is known to provide useful insights [34]. Qualitative and quantitative approaches should be seen as complementary.

Conclusions

This work demonstrates that sentiment analysis of patients’ comments about their experience of health care is possible and that this novel approach is associated with patient experience measured by traditional methods such as surveys. This work adds to a growing body of literature opening up a new understanding of the patients’ point of view of care from their postings online—on social networks, blogs, and rating websites. Although at an early and experimental stage, it presents future possibilities to understand health care system performance in close to real time. Bates and colleagues have described the confluence of patient-centered care and social media as a “perfect storm” that is likely to be of major value to the public and to health care organizations [35]. These early findings hint at how that might occur.
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Abstract

Background: Public health agencies are actively using social media, including Twitter. In the public health and nonprofit sectors, Twitter has been limited to one-way communication. Two-way, interactive communication on Twitter has the potential to enhance organizational relationships with followers and help organizations achieve their goals by increasing communication and dialogue between the organization and its followers. Research shows that nonprofit organizations use Twitter for three main functions: information sharing, community building, and action.

Objective: It is not known whether state health departments are using Twitter primarily for one-way information sharing or if they are trying to engage followers to build relationships and promote action. The purpose of this research was to discover what the primary function of Twitter use is among state health departments in the United States and whether this is similar to or different from nonprofit organizations.

Methods: A complete list of “tweets” made by each state health department account was obtained using the Twitter application programming interface. We randomly sampled 10% of each state health department’s tweets. Four research assistants hand-coded the tweets’ primary focus (organization centric or personal health information centric) and then the subcategories of information dissemination, engagement, or action. Research assistants coded each tweet for interactivity, sophistication, and redirects to another website. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.

Results: There were 4221 tweets from 39 state health departments. There was no statistically significant difference in the number of tweets made by a state health department and the state population density (P=.25). The majority of tweets focused on personal health topics (69.37%, 2928/4221) while one-third were tweets about the organization (29.14% , 1230/4221). The main function of organization-based tweets was engagement through conversations to build community (65.77%, 809/1236). These engagement-related tweets were primarily recognition of other organizations’ events (43.6%, 353/809) and giving thanks and recognition (21.4%, 173/809). Nearly all of the personal health information-centric tweets involved general public health information (92.10%, 1399/1519) and 79.03% (3336/4221) of tweets directed followers to another link for more information.

Conclusions: This is the first study to assess the purpose of public health tweets among state health departments. State health departments are using Twitter as a one-way communication tool, with tweets focused primarily on personal health. A state health department Twitter account may not be the primary health information source for individuals. Therefore, state health departments should reconsider their focus on personal health tweets and envision how they can use Twitter to develop relationships with community agencies and partners. In order to realize the potential of Twitter to establish relationships and develop connections, more two-way communication and interaction are essential.

KEYWORDS
social media; public health; engagement

Introduction

Individual and organizational use of social media is rapidly increasing. Researchers estimate that by 2017, the number of individuals and corporations who have social networking accounts will reach more than 4.8 billion [1]. In particular, Twitter is becoming ever more popular. A total of 18% of Internet users have a Twitter account [2]. Among Fortune 500 companies, 77% have Twitter accounts [3] and among Fortune’s 200 largest charities, all use at least one form of social media, with 96% using Twitter [4]. Public health agencies are actively using social media, including Twitter [5-8]. Twitter has been used to disseminate information about diabetes [9], breast cancer [10], to communicate during a disaster [11], and to understand health-related trends and issues such as influenza [12], tobacco [13], prescription drug misuse [14], and suicide [15].

Fundamentally, organizations use social media sites to build relationships [16-20]. Twitter research shows that an organization’s relationship with customers is influenced by the organization’s interactivity or level of communication and contact [21]. An organization that includes social media as part of its communication strategy has the potential to increase the level of communication dialog with its customers. For example, social media can facilitate customers talking with each other, as well as customers talking with the organization [22]. When dialog and communication occur, relationships are built and these relationships are often tied to key organizational outcomes [23]. The Twitter homepage specifically states that Twitter allows businesses to connect in real-time to their customers to share product information, gather market research data, and develop relationships with both customers and partners [24]. Organizations that have relationships with customers can use Twitter to enhance brands, increase visibility, support customers, network, communicate internally, generate leads, and support other online presences [25].

Though use of Twitter has the potential to increase communication and dialog among organizations and its followers, in the nonprofit and public health sectors it has been limited to one-way communication [7,26], meaning a message is sent from one person or organization to a receiver with no expectation of a response. However, the value of social media is its ability to create two-way, interactive communication between two or more people. This two-way communication can enhance organizational relationships with followers and help organizations achieve their goals. On Twitter, interactive communication is achieved, in part, by the use of the @ symbol (which directs a message to a specific Twitter user), the user responding to public reply messages, the user asking for feedback in a “tweet”, and the use of personal pronouns (eg, us, we, you) [27].

In a study of nonprofit organizations, Lovejoy and Saxton [28] identified three main functions for organizational Twitter use, namely: information sharing, community building, and action. Information sharing meant that the organization used Twitter to disseminate information including facts about the organization and its activities. Community building was a function served by tweets that aimed to build a community or network among followers through dialog and interactivity. Finally, the function of action was indicated by tweets that asked followers to do something for the organization. Lovejoy and Saxton found that nonprofit organizations primarily used Twitter as a one-way communication tool to convey information to their followers (58.6%); one-quarter of tweets were found to be community building and only 16% were action based. The authors concluded their study by calling for more research about how governments use social media.

This study expands the work of Lovejoy and Saxton by exploring the purposes for Twitter use among public health agencies, specifically state health departments. As reported earlier, though public health agencies use social media including Twitter, it is not known for what purpose they use Twitter and more particularly, if their purposes for using Twitter are similar or different from nonprofit organizations. More specifically, it is not known whether state health departments are using Twitter primarily for one-way information sharing or if they are trying to engage followers to build relationships. Therefore, the purpose of this research was to answer the following two research questions: (1) What is the main function of state health department tweets—information, engagement, or action? and (2) Is the content of state health department tweets more often about the organization or about personal health?

Methods

This study involved state health departments in the United States. A list of all state health departments was obtained from the Association of State and Territorial Health Officers (ASHTO) website [29] and from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website [30].

State health departments represent all 50 US states [31], with 55% of state health departments classified as freestanding or independent (ie, the agency provides public health/health services only). The other 45% are categorized as super or umbrella agencies and provide additional services related to Medicaid and other public assistance, mental health, substance abuse, environmental protection, aging, child and family services, and so forth [31].

State health departments are granted legislative authority through codes and statutes to promote and protect public health and safety. These responsibilities are usually addressed by planning and implementing health promotion programs, enacting and enforcing laws and regulations, and providing access to primary care health services. State health departments also provide technical assistance to local health departments and nongovernmental agencies [31].

The existence of each state health department Twitter account was verified by three means: (1) visiting the state health department home page to ascertain the presence of a Twitter account home page; (2) verifying the presence of a Twitter account by visiting the state health department home page to ascertain the presence of a Twitter account; and (3) checking for the presence of a Twitter account by visiting the state health department home page to ascertain the presence of a Twitter account.
icon, (2) searching on Twitter for the name of the state health department, and (3) performing a Google search for the state health department Twitter account. A complete list of tweets made by each state health department account was obtained using the Twitter application programming interface (API) during July 2012. Because the Twitter API limits the maximum number of tweets that can be retrieved to the most recent 3200 per account, this limit was considered a complete tweet list for any state health department account that exceeded 3200 tweets. Because the intent of this study was to analyze state health departments collectively, not individually, we randomly sampled 10% of each state health department’s tweets.

We created a coding instrument based on Lovejoy and Saxton’s original classifications [28]. Their coding classifications related exclusively to organization-focused tweets [28]. We expanded the classification by adding a second category that included a personal health information focus. This decision was made based on two factors. First, an initial analysis of the tweets showed there were several public health information-only tweets, and second, results from a related study showed personal health information tweets were common among public health agencies [8]. We recognize that at a basic level all tweets are focused on the organization and fulfilling its mission. However, for the purpose of this study, we identified organization-centric tweets as those with the purpose of building and strengthening the organization. In contrast, personal health information-centric tweets were focused on one-way information dissemination about health information.

Four research assistants hand-coded all tweets. Research assistants compared coding results and resolved any discrepancies. Discrepancies were resolved by discussing the issue and coming to a consensus. Discrepancies most often occurred because of a simple error related to data entry or a misinterpretation of the tweet.

The first step was to code each tweet according to its primary focus, whether it was an organization-centric tweet or a personal health information-centric tweet. Next, research assistants coded each organization-centric tweet to determine whether the primary function was information dissemination, engagement, or action. Information dissemination was defined as one-way sharing of information about the organization and its activities [28], and included events or services, news, facts, reports, or job announcements. Engagement tweets were posts that focused on building relationships and networks with followers [28]. These tweets included giving thanks and recognition for doing something for the organization; acknowledging another organization’s events; responding to public reply messages; asking for a response or feedback; and asking for a follow, to become a fan, or to spread the word by retweeting the message. Action-based tweets represented those that encouraged the follower to do something for the organization [28], such as inviting followers to attend events or meetings, complete a survey, donate goods or money, volunteer time, or to participate in lobbying or advocacy.

Research assistants then coded each personal health information-centric tweet as one of two subcategories: information or action. Information-based tweets were one of three types: general public health information (eg, flooding can introduce impurities to both public and private drinking water sources), risk communication (eg, disease outbreaks or natural or man-made disasters), or public health reports (eg, Injury Prevention Policy Report Shows Arkansas Making Progress, More Work Needed). Action-based tweets encouraged individuals to participate in preventive health screenings (eg, Ask your health care provider for a group B strep test when you are 35-37 weeks pregnant), modifying one’s lifestyle (eg, Portion control is a must, so keep a serving cup in your purse or briefcase for healthy meals throughout the work day), or encouraged individuals to learn more and increase their knowledge (eg, Does your child walk to school? Learn how you can help ensure safe walking routes in your community). Each classification category was mutually exclusive.

In addition, research assistants coded each tweet for the degree to which it was considered interactive, its level of sophistication, and if it redirected the follower to another site for more information. Interactivity was determined by the presence of (1) an @ reply symbol, signifying that the state health department was responding to a post made by another Twitter follower, (2) an @username, indicating that the state health department was directing its post to a specific user, and (3) the use of personal pronouns. Tweet sophistication was noted by whether or not it (1) was a truncated tweet, meaning that the state health department had posted the information on one platform (eg, Facebook) and it was automatically posted to the Twitter account as well, (2) was a retweet, and (3) included hashtags. Truncated tweets and retweets denote that the state health department was not developing content specifically for Twitter but was sharing other Twitter users’ content. Hashtags, which are used to categorize tweets so users can easily follow topics posted to Twitter, are also reflective of a more advanced Twitter user. Finally, tweets that redirected followers to another source for more information signified that the state health department was using Twitter as a one-way communication tool to spread the word and link people to more information. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20 [32].

Results

The final sample included 4221 tweets from 39 state health departments with a Twitter account. State health departments had a mean of 2033.2 (SD 1974.9) followers and were following a mean of 414.6 (SD 725.3) other Twitter users (Table 1).

Harris and colleagues found that local health departments that serve larger populations tweet more often than those serving smaller populations [9]. Therefore, we tested to see if there was a similar difference in the frequency of state health department tweeting based on a state’s population density, which was defined as population per square mile of land area as identified in the 2010 census [33]. States were divided into three strata: low (less than 100 persons/square mile), medium (100-200 persons/square mile), and high (more than 200 persons per square mile). There were 16 states with low population density, 10 with medium, and 13 with high. The final number of tweets by population density included 1656 low (39.23%, 1656/4221), 860 medium (20.37%, 860/4221), and 1705 high (40.39%,
1705/4221). There was no statistically significant difference in the number of tweets made by a state health department and the state population density (P=.25). Therefore, we did no further analysis by population density.

Three-quarters (76.14%, 3214/4221) of tweets were original, meaning the state health department created and posted the content on Twitter. Only 4.45% (192/4221) of tweets were posted from another platform such as Facebook and 79.03% (3336/4221) of tweets directed followers to another link for more information. Roughly one-third (36.88%, 1557/4221) of tweets used personal pronouns. Hashtags were included 31.15% (1315/4221) of the time, while the @ symbol was used infrequently (6.99%, 295/4221).

The primary results of the study are presented in Table 2. The majority of tweets focused on personal health topics, while one-third were tweets about the organization. The main function of state health department organization-based tweets was engagement through conversations to build community. These engagement-related tweets were primarily recognition of other organization’s events and giving thanks and recognition. Rarely was the state health department using Twitter to ask for feedback or suggestions, respond to public reply messages, ask for a response to a tweet, ask for a relationship such as becoming a follower, or to disseminate information by retweeting a post.

Just over one-quarter of organization-based tweets focused on sharing one-way information about the organization. These organization information-based tweets centered on events or services, job announcements, and facts. Only 6.67% (82/1230) of organization-based tweets related to asking followers to take action for the organization.

Personal health information-centric tweets were split nearly in half between information and action. Nearly all of the information-related tweets involved general public health information. Action-based tweets predominately encouraged followers to take action to learn more, followed by encouragement to take action to modify their lifestyle.
Table 1. Characteristics of state health department Twitter accounts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total tweets</th>
<th>Following</th>
<th>Followers</th>
<th>Date created</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>1422</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>6/24/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2597</td>
<td>1/31/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>4753</td>
<td>10/24/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>2/5/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>6612</td>
<td>4/21/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>7/7/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>4295</td>
<td>4361</td>
<td>4/27/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>2953</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>6/15/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>5/11/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>6/27/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>6/16/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5/18/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4535</td>
<td>4/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>9/1/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1643</td>
<td>4/28/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>8/10/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>6/5/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>9546</td>
<td>3/11/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>1091</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>7/16/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>3830</td>
<td>3/18/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2719</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>9/23/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>1819</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>8/14/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>2/14/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7/30/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>3/17/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>11/16/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1/3/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3128</td>
<td>4/25/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>10/29/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>2657</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>10/23/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>4/28/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>9/8/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweet category</td>
<td>Tweet subcategory</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization-centric</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement to build community</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of other organization’s</td>
<td>353/809 (43.63%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving thanks and recognition</td>
<td>173/809 (21.38%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask for feedback or suggestions</td>
<td>28/809 (3.46%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to public reply messages</td>
<td>67/809 (8.28%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask for response to a tweet</td>
<td>32/809 (3.96%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask for a relationship</td>
<td>29/809 (3.58%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retweet a post</td>
<td>27/809 (3.34%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>100/809 (12.36%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information about the organization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events or services</td>
<td>141/338 (41.72%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job announcements</td>
<td>77/338 (22.78%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts</td>
<td>50/338 (14.79%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>26/338 (7.69%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports</td>
<td>1/338 (0.30%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>44/338 (13.02%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend events</td>
<td>29/82 (35.37%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend meetings to provide input</td>
<td>6/82 (7.32%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete a survey</td>
<td>5/82 (6.10%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donate goods or money</td>
<td>2/82 (2.44%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer time</td>
<td>13/82 (15.85%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participate in lobbying and/or advocacy</td>
<td>20/82 (24.39%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7/82 (8.54%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal health information-centric</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health information</td>
<td>1399/1519 (92.10%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk communication</td>
<td>63/1519 (4.15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports</td>
<td>27/1519 (1.78%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>30/1519 (1.97%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learn more</td>
<td>640/1409 (45.42%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modify lifestyle</td>
<td>523/1409 (37.12%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive health screenings</td>
<td>124/1409 (8.80%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>122/1409 (8.66%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>51/4221 (1.21%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>12/4221 (0.28%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Principal Findings
This study examined state health departments’ Twitter posts to determine the main function of related tweets. Results show that the majority of tweets were about personal health and a limited number were about the state health department as an organization. These results are similar to those found in a study about local health departments’ use of Twitter [8]. State health departments and other public health agencies may be unique, unlike other nonprofit organizations [28], when it comes to the main function for their social media use. State health departments do not appear to be using Twitter to build their organization and develop relationships with followers, but rather to disseminate health information.

Personal health information-centric tweets contained general public health information. This is similar to what was found among local health departments [8]. These results are comparable to a study in Australia that found government tweets were dominated by public health advice and nonspecific health conditions [34]. The predominant use of Twitter to share personal health information raises two primary questions: (1) Who are the state health departments’ Twitter followers—individuals or other organizations? and (2) If followers are individuals, do they consider the state health department to be a primary source of health information? Although people do go online seeking health information [35], this health-seeking behavior is different from being a Twitter follower of a state health department, meaning one has opted in to receive regular updates. In a study among US adults about the perceived credibility of specific health information, physicians were rated as the most credible, followed by the Internet [36]. As far as credibility of online health information, one study found that perceived credibility was generally higher when the source was a specific website [37]. Therefore, general health information on a state health department Twitter account may not be perceived as highly credible.

In using Twitter, state health departments must understand the composition of their current followers and identify who it is they are trying to reach. If the state health departments’ aim is to build a community of health-related organizations, their messages and strategy will be different than if they are aiming to attract individuals in their corresponding communities. Specifically, individual Twitter users tend to be younger, of Black or Hispanic background, have a college education, and have incomes over US$75,000 a year [2]. These may or may not be the individuals with whom the state health department is trying to cultivate relationships. Rather, state health departments may be more interested in using Twitter to develop relationships with community-based organizations and other agencies. Fostering online relationships with these agencies may result in offline collaborations. However, developing these online relationships will require concerted effort between Twitter users, as they do not appear to evolve naturally. For instance, in studying Twitter connections between state health departments, Harris and colleagues found that state health departments on Twitter tend to follow other state health departments in their region who are also on Twitter. However, the follow is not reciprocal, meaning they are not following each other back [38]. Reciprocal following builds the users network. It also allows followers to receive tweets from the other user, which are then more likely to be retweeted [39]. Additionally, social network analysis states that reciprocity indicates stronger ties among people [40]. Also, among individuals, the number of Twitter followers is linked to increased social capital [41]. There may also be a similar increase in strength of connections and social capital among connected state health departments and their followers.

The rate of tweeting information about the organization is substantially less than what was found by Lovejoy and Saxton [28] and among local health departments [8]. State health departments may be less concerned with promoting themselves as an agency and more focused on fulfilling one of public health’s ten essential services: inform, educate, and empower [42]. However, state health departments may want to reconsider how they use Twitter and create ways to convey information about the organization in order to increase the community’s awareness of the state health department, its purpose, priorities, and contribution to the state.

State health departments should continue to post engagement-related tweets that focus on recognizing other organizations’ events or giving thanks and recognition. The rate of engagement-related tweets among state health departments was more than that found by Lovejoy and Saxton for nonprofit organizations [28] and among local health departments [8]. This indicates that state health departments are making an effort to reach out to other community organizations, which is a positive step toward building relationships with current or potential partners. Both information sharing and engagement with other organizations and partners can be particularly beneficial for a state health department when engaging in advocacy-related efforts, which have long been a core public health strategy and an essential public health service [42]. In fact, use of social media has been identified as critical to influencing advocacy and social movements [43-45].

Interestingly, although state health departments were posting engagement-related tweets to foster and build relationships, very few state health departments were asking followers to take action to benefit the organization. Researchers have proposed an engagement hierarchy between organizations and followers that progresses from low to medium to high with high engagement characterized by followers becoming involved with the organization as either partners in fulfilling the organization’s goals or as direct recipients of the organization’s programs and services [46]. The hierarchy posits that high engagement is the culminating and defining purpose of social media use in public health settings. This suggests that state health departments may want to consider using Twitter to recruit followers and foster relationships that will benefit organizational causes and programs.

The majority of the tweets were original, meaning the state health departments are investing effort in creating unique content. These results are similar to local health departments’ use of Twitter [8]. This implies that the state health departments...
have identified specific content they want to convey to their followers and are not re-posting random tweets. Original content may also be more likely to draw the interest and attention of followers as it suggests that the organization is tailoring its posts to the interests and needs of its followers.

Twitter is being used as a one-way communication tool. Though state health departments are trying to engage in conversation, most of these tweets were about recognition of other agencies’ events and giving thanks. Rarely did state health departments attempt to engage followers in dialog by asking for a response, a retweet, and so forth. Furthermore, three-quarters of tweets included a link for where to go for more information. These results are similar to other research that showed a preponderance of hyperlinks included with tweets [26,47]. The emphasis on one-way communication is further evidenced by the lack of inclusion of the @ symbol in tweets, which would direct a message to a specific Twitter follower.

Limitations
The results should be interpreted with the following limitations in mind. First, we were able to sample only public tweets. It is possible that state health departments are responding to individuals through direct messages, which are private, but there is no way to assess that without having access to individual accounts. Second, we observed only one side of the potential dialogue between state health departments and their followers. That is, we were able to study posts that the state health department made, but were not able to analyze Twitter posts that were directed to the state health department from other Twitter users. Third, this study is about a specific social media application, Twitter, and it could be that some state health departments behave very differently on other applications. For example, on social networking sites such as Facebook, there may be more two-way communication or the purposes for posts may be different from Twitter. Last, although there were four research assistants coding the data, there is still the possibility of coder subjectivity in interpreting the main purpose of the tweet.

Conclusions
State health departments are using Twitter as a one-way communication tool, with tweets focused primarily on personal health. When tweeting about the organization, state health departments are trying to engage audiences through posts that focus on recognition of community and organizational events. There is potential for state health departments to use Twitter to develop relationships with other community agencies. To do so, state health departments should reconsider their focus on personal health tweets. To realize the potential of Twitter to establish relationships and develop connections with followers, more two-way communication and interaction are essential.
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Abstract

Background: Social media applications are promising adjuncts to online weight management interventions through facilitating education, engagement, and peer support. However, the precise impact of social media on weight management is unclear.

Objective: The objective of this study was to systematically describe the use and impact of social media in online weight management interventions.

Methods: PubMed, PsycINFO, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Scopus were searched for English-language studies published through March 25, 2013. Additional studies were identified by searching bibliographies of electronically retrieved articles. Randomized controlled trials of online weight management interventions that included a social media component for individuals of all ages were selected. Studies were evaluated using 2 systematic scales to assess risk of bias and study quality.

Results: Of 517 citations identified, 20 studies met eligibility criteria. All study participants were adults. Because the included studies varied greatly in study design and reported outcomes, meta-analysis of interventions was not attempted. Although message boards and chat rooms were the most common social media component included, their effect on weight outcomes was not reported in most studies. Only one study measured the isolated effect of social media. It found greater engagement of participants, but no difference in weight-related outcomes. In all, 65% of studies were of high quality; 15% of studies were at low risk of bias.

Conclusions: Despite the widespread use of social media, few studies have quantified the effect of social media in online weight management interventions; thus, its impact is still unknown. Although social media may play a role in retaining and engaging participants, studies that are designed to measure its effect are needed to understand whether and how social media may meaningfully improve weight management.
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Introduction

Obesity is a major US public health problem that is associated with lower quality of life, stigma, medical complications, and higher health care costs [1-6]. Despite a decade of public awareness and attention, the prevalence of obesity continues to rise in some groups, a trend that reflects the complex nature of this disease and the diverse medical, social, and behavioral domains that underlie its management [7].

Over one-half of adults in the United States use social media platforms, such as Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, and LinkedIn [8]. The social support and feelings of interconnectedness individuals experience with social media help explain the prolific growth of these platforms in everyday life [9,10]. These domains are also relevant to the success of online weight-management interventions. Social media may represent a promising resource in combating obesity at a population level. Several properties of social media make it ideal for such purposes: (1) social media facilitates asynchronous communication and provides 24/7 access to participants; (2) it...
overcomes barriers such as transportation and distance, allowing those with mobility, speech, or hearing problems to interact in online interventions; and (3) given the relative anonymity to discuss sensitive topics, social media is ideally suited for stigmatizing conditions such as obesity. However, despite these qualities, the precise implementation, effect, and benefit of social media in online weight-management interventions remains unknown.

For these reasons, we conducted a systematic review of the literature to understand whether and how online weight-management interventions have used social media to improve weight-related outcomes, such as weight loss, diet, and physical activity.

**Methods**

**Data Sources and Search Terms**

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) recommendations in conducting this systematic review [11]. With the assistance of a research librarian with experience in social media, we searched PubMed, PsycINFO, and EMBASE for articles written in English that reported outcomes associated with the use of social media in online interventions for weight management. Because the Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) term “social media” was not created until 2012, we developed a search strategy that included the following keywords to identify social media: social media, social technology, social network, online community, wiki, YouTube, Facebook, Myspace, Flickr, Twitter, and Delicious. MeSH terms and keywords to represent weight management included obesity, overweight, weight gain, weight loss, body mass index, diet, and physical activity. The full search criteria for PubMed is presented in Multimedia Appendix 1. Additional studies were identified through hand searches of electronically retrieved articles, review articles, and from a cited reference search (Web of Science and Scopus). No limits or filters were placed on search criteria; electronic searches were last updated on March 25, 2013.

**Study Selection and Definitions**

Studies were included if they were (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs); (2) published in peer-reviewed literature; (3), reported weight-related outcomes, such as body mass index (BMI) or weight, dietary intake, or physical activity; and (4) included a social media component. As defined by Kaplan et al [12], we defined social media as Web-based applications that allow individuals to interact in a virtual community by exchanging user-generated information (eg, online discussion board, online bulletin board, chat room, online community). Weight-related outcomes included measures such as BMI, body weight, percent body fat, and waist and hip circumference. We defined devices that measured the intensity of physical activity as locomotion accelerometers, whereas pedometers were defined as devices that specifically measured step count [13].

**Data Extraction**

Two authors (TC and SW) independently abstracted variables by using a standardized template. Abstracted data included study variables (recruitment criteria, setting), participant variables (mean age, gender, mean BMI), intervention variables (brief description of weight-management intervention, intervention duration, type of social media used), outcome variables (eg, BMI, waist circumference, physical activity level, dietary intake), and quality variables (eg, data on randomization, control group, isolation of social media component). When encountered, discrepancies were resolved by consensus during a series of face-to-face and email discussions between 2 investigators (TC and SW).

**Risk of Study Bias**

The risk for bias in each RCT was assessed using the Jadad scale, which incorporates study domains including randomization, blinding, and description of withdrawals and dropouts [14]. Studies that received 4 or greater out of 5 possible points on the Jadad scale were considered as being at low risk of bias whereas scores of 2 and 3 or 0 and 1 were considered to be at moderate or high-risk of bias, respectively.

In addition, because our main interest was the effect of social media on online weight interventions, study quality was also rated using methodology developed by Norman et al [15]. Based on 9 methodological characteristics, this approach specifically evaluates the impact of technology (eg, social media) on specified outcomes of interest, thus allowing for a more precise approach to measuring these types of interventions. The Norman score also includes assessment of randomization, inclusion of a control group, pre-post test design, retention, baseline group equivalence, missing data, sample size calculations, and the validity of outcome measures. Each study was given 1 point for each criterion present with a maximum score of 9. Studies that scored 7 to 9 were considered high quality, studies that scored 5 to 7 were considered of moderate quality, and scores of <5 were considered poor quality.

**Data Synthesis**

Because the included studies varied greatly on study design, participants, measures, outcomes, and social media components, meta-analysis of interventions was not attempted or performed.

**Results**

**Overview**

In total, 517 studies were identified by our electronic searches. Following application of eligibility criteria, 20 studies [16-35] met our inclusion criteria for analysis (Figure 1). All 20 included studies involving adult populations and were published between 2001 and 2013. Studies were conducted in various parts of the world, including the United States (n=14), Australia (n=3), Canada (n=2), and the United Kingdom (n=1). Of the included studies, one study focused only on diet [16], 5 studies only on physical activity [17-21], 12 studies on both diet and physical activity [22-33], and 2 studies on weight maintenance after weight loss [34,35] (Table 1). Please see Multimedia Appendix 2 for a table of detailed study characteristics.
Figure 1. Study flow.

517 Potentially relevant articles identified through literature search
420 in PubMed, PsychINFO, EMBASE
80 in Bibliographic search
17 in Cited reference search (Scopus, Web of Science)

473 Excluded based on review of titles and abstracts
- No social media component
- No weight-related outcomes
- Not RCT

44 Full-text articles reviewed

24 Excluded
13 No social media component
2 No weight-related outcomes
9 Not RCT

20 Articles included in analysis
Table 1. Summary study characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Type of social media</th>
<th>Primary outcomes</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Risk of bias (Jadad scale)</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>RR (%)</td>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>% Female</td>
<td>Mean BMI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verheijden et al (2004) [16]</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>Bulletin board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurling et al (2007) [17]</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>Chat room–style message board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferney et al (2009) [18]</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Bulletin board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liebreich et al (2009) [19]</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>Message board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson et al (2010) [20]</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>Online community with message board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavallo et al (2012) [21]</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diet and physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tate et al (2001) [25]</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>Bulletin board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tate et al (2003) [26]</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>Message boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Type of social media</td>
<td>Primary outcomes</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Risk of bias (Jadad scale)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Womble et al (2004) [32]</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Online meetings, online bulletin board</td>
<td>Weight change</td>
<td>Manual group lost significantly more weight than the eDiets.com intervention</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tate et al (2006) [27]</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Bulletin board</td>
<td>Weight loss, dietary intake, and physical activity</td>
<td>Weight losses were significantly greater in the human email-counseling group than computer-automated feedback or no counseling groups</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold et al (2007) [28]</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Discussion board, online chats/meetings</td>
<td>Change in body weight</td>
<td>VTrim group lost significantly more weight than the eDiets.com group at 6 months and maintained a greater loss at 12 months</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webber et al (2008) [22]</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Separate message board for each website group, and online chat</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Minimal group lost more than the enhanced group</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al (2009) [29]</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Bulletin board</td>
<td>Weight, waist circumference, BMI</td>
<td>Greater weight loss for the Internet group</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternfield et al (2009) [30]</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>Discussion board, in categories</td>
<td>Self-reported change in dietary intake and physical activity</td>
<td>Intervention group had increased physical activity, and increased consumption of fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey-Berino et al (2010) [31]</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>Chat rooms and a bulletin board</td>
<td>Body weight and BMI</td>
<td>Weight loss for InPerson was significantly greater than the Internet and Hybrid conditions</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner-McGrievy et al (2011) [23]</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>No statistically significant differences in outcomes</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briidal et al (2012) [33]</td>
<td>8112</td>
<td>Social networking platform: friend networks, blogs, discussion forums, and news feeds</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>No statistically significant differences in outcomes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diet Interventions

Only one study (n=146) [16] focused solely on a dietary intervention for weight management. This study tested whether Web-based nutrition counseling and a social support tool that included a bulletin board could improve weight outcomes. Low uptake of the Web-based intervention (24 of 73 participants) with limited posting on the bulletin board was reported. Messages on the bulletin board mostly contained requests for factual information directed to the research team with minimal participant interaction. The study found no significant differences between the intervention group and the usual care arm for any outcome [16].

Physical Activity Interventions

Five studies featured interventions targeting physical activity (n=690) [17-21]. These studies tested websites with a variety of other components. One study used accelerometers plus a website, one study used a pedometer plus a website, 2 interventions included only a website, and 1 used a website plus Facebook. Excluding the study that used Facebook, the social media component for all other studies in this category were message boards within the intervention website.

Only one study specifically isolated and measured the effect of the social media component, by including it in only 1 arm (online community) of the study [20]. Although this study found no difference in physical activity among the groups, the percentage of participants that completed the study and length of engagement was greater for those randomized to the social media component (ie, the online community).

Among the remaining 4 studies, 2 reported the usage of the social media component [17,18]. Within the 2 studies that reported social media use, Hurling et al [17] found that the chat room-style message board was the most frequently used component. In contrast, Ferney et al [18] reported that only 1 message was posted on their bulletin board and hypothesized that this was because of the small number of participants enrolled in the study (n=52). Although the study by Liebreich et al [19] did not report data on message board use, the authors theorized that the message board encouraged interactivity and, thus, adherence. With respect to weight outcomes, Cavallo et al [21] used Facebook as an adjunct for social support between participants and found no increased self-reported social support or physical activity. However, the remaining 3 studies showed higher levels of physical activity or greater maintenance of physical activity in participants in the intervention arms [17-19].

Diet and Physical Activity Interventions

Twelve studies featured interventions that included both diet and physical activity components (n=10,205) [22-33]. In addition to the typical online intervention and counseling, Tate et al [27] also included structured meals and meal replacements.

Most interventions in this category featured bulletin/message boards, chat rooms, or both as their social media component. Tate et al [27] created an online “ebuddy” tool that matched participants with others with similar characteristics across the country to gain support. In contrast, Turner-McGrievy et al [23] and Napolitano et al [24] used available mainstream social media, such as Twitter and Facebook, for education and to provide support to participants. Despite the use of social media in these 12 studies, no study uniquely isolated the effect of these platforms on participants; rather, the featured bulletin boards and chat rooms were embedded within a larger intervention.

Data regarding the frequency of use of the social media component were rarely reported, although when it was, use was low. For example, Tate et al [25] found that only 28% of participants ever posted a note to a bulletin board (range 1-7 postings per person) over 6 months. Examining the popularity of postings, Napolitano et al [24] found that less than one-quarter of the participants “liked” the study-related posts on Facebook.
Although the correlation between social media use and weight loss was generally positive, it was only reported in a few studies and could be because of greater adherence to the interventions overall. In the studies by Gold et al [28] and Webber et al [22], weight loss was correlated with bulletin board use in both arms. Likewise, Turner-McGrievy et al [23] found that the number of weight loss podcasts downloaded over 6 months was significantly correlated with weight loss in both arms of the study.

Although the influence of social media on weight-related measures was not specifically tested in any of these studies, findings were heterogeneous. For instance, 2 studies reported positive outcomes (greater weight loss, increased physical activity, increased consumption of fruits and vegetables, and marginally decreased sugar intake) in those randomized to interventions containing social media [29,30]. Conversely, 2 studies reported less weight loss in the study arm that included the social media component [31,32]. Other studies either had social media components in multiple arms of the study (n=7 [22-28] or showed no difference in weight outcomes (n=1) [33].

**Weight Maintenance Interventions**

Two studies (n=416) featured interventions focused on weight maintenance after weight loss. The social media components of the online weight maintenance interventions included both online bulletin boards and chat rooms. Overall, inclusion of social media did not result in differences in weight outcomes. In the study by Harvey-Berino et al [34], the arm with social media demonstrated no difference in perceived support compared to in-person therapy and it also had the highest rates of attrition. Interestingly, 100% of the participants within the social media arm in one study contributed to the bulletin board of the website, demonstrating high engagement with the social media component [35].

**Risk of Study Bias**

The median Jadad score overall was 2 out of 5 points (median 2, range 1-5) representing moderate risk for bias in the included studies (Table 1). Because many studies were unable to blind participants’ and/or study coordinators’ participation in social media, all but 2 studies had 2 of 5 points deducted for not describing a double-blinding process.

Using the scale developed by Norman et al [15], the median study score was 7 out of 9 (range 6-8, median 7) representing overall high study quality (Table 1). Only one study isolated social media in the design of their intervention, and 9 studies (45%) did not report a rationale for sample size. The median retention rate was 88.4% (range 5%-100%). Please see Multimedia Appendices 3 and 4 for detailed risk of bias and quality scoring data for each study.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In our systematic review of RCTs evaluating online weight-management interventions, we found that few studies implemented social media in a manner in which its impact could be measured and assessed. Therefore, the effect of social media is difficult to ascertain in the available literature. Our findings are consistent with previous systematic reviews on Internet-based behavioral interventions and electronic peer-to-peer support group interventions, which have found that the effect of the technology being studied was not isolated; thus, their effectiveness is not known [36-40]. Nevertheless, we found that contemporary studies continue to include online support-based behavioral interventions for weight management despite little evidence of their effectiveness.

However, some salient points emerged from the only study in our review that isolated its social media component from a broader intervention [20]. This study found no differences in physical activity outcomes between participants who had access to social media versus those who did not. Among those in the social media arms, greater use of the social media component was associated with improved weight-related outcomes. Therefore, for some people, social media components may be effective in promoting behavior change. Whether it would be effective just for those who are inclined to use it, or whether it would work broadly if one could encourage a wider group of participants to use it, is unknown. However, it appears that social media may fill a gap for some participants. Specifically, this study found that those with less baseline social supports (ie, family, friends) were more likely to use the social media component and that greater use of the social media component among this group was associated with lower dropout rates. This finding is consistent with other studies that suggested that use of social networking sites helped to satisfy the need for social support and connectedness [9,10].

We also observed that social media was incorporated into online interventions largely through the use of discussion boards and chat rooms. Mainstream social media platforms (eg, Facebook, Twitter) were used in only 15% of studies and mainly in more recent publications (2011-2013). This may indicate a move from program-specific, investigator-developed interventions to those that capitalize on media that participants already frequent. Furthermore, the extent of actual social media use in these studies was inconsistently reported and when reported, use was mostly low.

Why has social media not had as much uptake in weight-based interventions compared to other areas of life? One reason for this disparity may be the artificial nature of the types of social media (discussion boards and chat rooms) used on websites developed for weight management. The majority of current mainstream social media use relies on sophisticated, user-friendly, vibrant platforms that incorporate a rich, pleasing, graphical environment allowing for instantaneous transfer of information to a large community of users. Conversely, the components designed for weight-management studies may not have the same usability, access, or appeal. Furthermore, although the majority of Americans associate social media with positive terms such as good, great, fun, interesting, and convenient, the use of social media for weight management may diminish these positive feelings by associating its use with a health-specific and sensitive condition: weight management [41].

Studies often reported that social media components were included to encourage support from other participants and to...
build community, although no study reported increased levels of social support after use of the social media components. A possible explanation relates to how social media has evolved over the years. Social media began as virtual communities and computer-mediated communication, which was based on the assumption that people participating would be using these platforms to connect with new people who shared similar interests or life experiences [42]. Current social networking sites can be distinguished from these early virtual communities by the fact that they are primary used for the conversion and maintenance of existing relationships into online ones [41,43]. Therefore, social support through social media platforms currently being employed by online interventions may simply be hampered as a result of this stranger phenomenon, a hypothesis supported by the fact that 57% of Americans explicitly report that they do not use social media to make new acquaintances [41]. One plausible strategy to overcome this weakness may be to supplement online interventions with face-to-face interventions. Incorporating this traditional way to cultivate relationships with the use of online social media is more in-line with how social media is used today.

Limitations
Our systematic review has some limitations. First, outcomes varied within the included studies so that studies could not be analyzed together or compared with one another. Second, most studies did not isolate the unique impact of social media on weight outcomes; thus, the role of social media in these interventions remains unknown. Third, risk of bias and study quality varied considerably within the included studies. Fourth, social media applications and platforms are evolving rapidly and it is possible, despite a rigorous search strategy, that studies of certain mobile devices with social media capabilities will be missed by our review. Finally, we limited our inclusion to RCTs only; other study designs may have been used to examine the use of this relatively novel technology in weight management.

Conclusions
Despite these limitations, our systematic review provides a comprehensive review of how social media is being used in online weight-management interventions to date. We found that social media is being incorporated in online weight-management interventions largely through message boards and chat rooms with unclear benefits. Although social media may play a role in retaining and engaging participants in online weight loss interventions, studies that are designed to measure the effect of social media are needed to understand whether and how social media may meaningfully improve weight management.
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Abstract

Background: Postpartum depression (PPD)—the most common complication of childbirth—is a significant and prevalent public health problem that severely disrupts family interactions and can result in serious lasting consequences to the health of women and the healthy development of infants. These consequences increase in severity when left untreated; most women with PPD do not obtain help due to a range of logistical and attitudinal barriers.

Objective: This pilot study was designed to test the feasibility, acceptability, and potential efficacy of an innovative and interactive guided Web-based intervention for postpartum depression, MomMoodBooster (MMB).

Methods: A sample of 53 women who satisfied eligibility criteria (<9 months postpartum, ≥18 years of age, home Internet access and use of personal email, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Survey score of 12-20 or Patient Health Questionnaire score from 10-19) were invited to use the MMB program. Assessments occurred at screening/pretest, posttest (3 months following enrollment), and at 6 months follow-up.

Results: All six sessions of the program were completed by 87% (46/53) of participants. Participants were engaged with the program: visit days (mean 15.2, SD 8.7), number of visits (mean 20.1, SD 12.2), total duration of visits in hours (mean 5.1, SD 1.3), and number of sessions viewed out of six (mean 5.6, SD 1.3) all support high usage. Posttest data were collected from 89% of participants (47/53) and 6-month follow-up data were collected from 87% of participants (46/53). At pretest, 55% (29/53) of participants met PHQ-9 criteria for minor or major depression. At posttest, 90% (26/29) no longer met criteria.

Conclusions: These findings support the expanded use and additional testing of the MMB program, including its implementation in a range of clinical and public health settings.

Introduction

Postpartum depression has been defined to include any major or subsyndromal depression present at any time during the first year after delivery [1], and it is the most common complication of childbirth [2]. In terms of prevalence (10-20% of women) and severity, PPD lies between “baby blues” (less severe and quite common affecting 80% of women) and postpartum psychosis (more severe and less common affecting 0.1-0.2% of women) [1]. Left untreated, PPD has serious consequences [3]: for the mother, diminished well-being, feelings of failure, difficulties interacting with her infant, her family (partner’s mental health, relationship problems); and for her infant, compromised cognitive and psychosocial development [4-8] and increased risk of mental health difficulties even in adolescence [9].

PPD is related to a range of biopsychosocial and cultural factors [10]. Previous episodes especially during pregnancy [11] or family history of mental health problems, low social and emotional support, drug and alcohol abuse, past or present abuse [12], and major life stressors are all major risk factors for PPD [13]. Less salient risk factors include marital relationship difficulties, low income, unemployment, and obstetric factors and complications [14]. Issues encountered during the reproductive year also increase risk for PPD, premature birth, and subsequent hospitalization of the infant (including care in a neonatal intensive care unit) [15-17]. Culturally and linguistically diverse women, especially refugees, asylum seekers, and immigrants have increased risk [18].

There are also significant economic and social costs (eg, loss of productivity for mother and father, health care costs, personal and broader social and economic costs) to the community. For example, Dagher et al [19] reported that PPD was related to increased health care services use, which translated into higher costs to providers. Research from the United Kingdom indicates that costs associated with PPD are higher in high-risk women [20]. An Australian analysis estimated the cost of PPD and anxiety in mothers delivering in 2012 to be $500 million by the time the children reach 2 years of age [21].

Given the limited empirical evidence supporting use of antidepressant medication with PPD [1,22], there is a significant need to develop effective psychosocial treatment approaches. Recent meta-analyses of psychosocial interventions for PPD concluded that they have a moderate beneficial effect [23,24]. Treatment modalities have included counseling [25], interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) [26,27], and cognitive behavior therapy (CBT). A wealth of research supports the effectiveness of CBT interventions for depression in general [28,29], and perinatal depression specifically. About two-thirds of depressed individuals receiving CBT remit with treatment [30] and also have a reduced risk of relapse [29]. These benefits appear to accrue particularly in individuals with mild to moderate depression [31]. Milgrom and her colleagues created and conducted a series of successful trials [32,33] using a face-to-face individual PPD treatment program based on an adaptation of the Coping with Depression course by Lewinsohn [33,34] that also included elements of IPT (provided content on interpersonal relationships, an opportunity for partners to become involved and provide input, and a focus on infants).

Although recent trials [35,36] have demonstrated that, within a collaborative care model for depression, women suffering from PPD can be screened within a stepped-care treatment protocol during visits to their health care provider [36,37], the data indicate that, overall, fewer than 50% of postpartum women receive help for their depression [38-40]. Many of the available PPD treatment approaches are office-based, which reduces their practicality for new mothers. In addition, patient-level barriers to the uptake of treatment include travel requirements, childcare, stigma, feelings of failure, poor understanding of depression or what help is available, and safety concerns about using prescription medications [41-44]. Provider-level barriers that discourage physicians and medical/clinical staff from becoming more fully involved in PPD screening and treatment include their lack of knowledge and skills due to insufficient training regarding depression and mental health, their fear of liability, the dearth of mental health treatment resources and flexible referral systems, and inadequate reimbursement [45-50].

Web-based PPD treatment may reduce both patient- and provider-level barriers to treatment uptake and thus extend the reach of helpful treatments to underserved mothers suffering from depression. For example, Web-based treatments can reduce feelings of stigma because participation is relatively anonymous and can be completed in women’s homes (thus avoiding travel) at times of their choosing without requiring childcare arrangements. Providers can recommend that women use an evidence-based Web-based PPD treatment program thus alleviating their concerns about training deficits and/or time required to provide treatment.

An increasing number of Web-based depression interventions have emerged [31,51-61]. The efficacy of these interventions has been demonstrated relative to control conditions in populations with elevated symptoms and, increasingly, in clinically diagnosed groups [62-66]. Face-to-face CBT has also been compared with Web-based CBT treatment. For example, Spek et al [60] found that both in-person and Web-based CBT interventions were superior to a waitlist control, that no significant differences were found between intervention modality, and that reductions in depressive symptoms were maintained at least 1 year after initiation of Web-delivered CBT. Similar results have emerged in other published comparisons [67,68]. Reviews of the available evidence [57,58,64,69] indicate that purely self-guided Web-based interventions benefit depressed individuals, but that effect sizes were enhanced when online programs were facilitated by a live coach [70]. Trained coaches have been shown to enhance the therapeutic alliance of Internet programs by providing low-intensity support [71] and increasing adherence to online mental health treatments [70,72].

Based on our review to date, there has been only one published report of the results of a Web-based depression intervention for postpartum women. In the randomized controlled trial (RCT) by O’Mahen et al based in England, 910 women with PPD symptoms (>12 on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Survey or EPDS [73]) were randomly assigned to either (1) a
full-featured 11-sesssion Web-based behavioral activation intervention (N=462) contextualized for PPD (NetMums) that also included access to features of the popular NetMums website/online community, special chat access to parent-supporters and specialist health visitors, or (2) a treatment as usual condition (N=448) [74,75]. Although there was notable attrition at the 15-week follow-up (61% attrition in the intervention and 64% in the control), results showed significant benefits to the intervention versus the control condition. Among completers at follow-up, there was clinically significant improvement among 61% of women in intervention versus 41% in the control.

The present study reports on the Web-based MomMoodBooster (MMB) program based on Milgrom’s adaptation of the Coping With Depression Course (CWDC) [76] for postpartum depression [32,33,77] as well as an adaptation of the CWDC for Web-based delivery [78]. MMB was developed and pilot-tested by a multinational team from Oregon Research Institute (ORI), Parent-Infant Research Institute (PIRI) in Melbourne, Australia, and the Iowa Depression and Clinical Research Center (IDCRC). The Australian version of the program was localized for spelling (eg, it was rebranded to MumMoodBooster), word choices, and selected videos. We described the formative research foundation for this MMB in a previous paper [79]. This report describes the outcome results of a feasibility trial of the MMB program.

**Methods**

**Participants and Procedures**

Participants (N=53) were recruited from two different research sites (n=27 from our US site in Iowa and n=26 from our Australia site in greater Melbourne). Prospective participants were identified via birth records, nurse/health professional referrals, online advertisements, and news stories to local university and hospital settings.

Upon receipt of a referral or direct contact from a prospective participant, each woman was contacted by a member of the research team to explain the study and obtain informed consent for participation. During the initial contact, a preliminary check of eligibility criteria was conducted. Preliminary screening criteria included ≤9 months postpartum, ≥18 years of age, home Internet access and use of personal email, and an EPDS score [73] from 12-20 or a Personal Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) score [80] from 10-19. These ranges were chosen to identify women with mild to moderately severe depression. Women satisfying initial eligibility criteria were then mailed a Participant Information and Consent Form for their signature.

Women meeting initial screening criteria then completed a phone-administered Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID) [81,82] and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) [83-86] to evaluate the following exclusion criteria: current diagnosis of substance abuse, bipolar disorder or psychotic depression, and/or current treatment for depressive symptoms including antidepressant medication or psychotherapy. A participant’s endorsement of suicidal statements on assessments or to project staff triggered a suicide risk management protocol designed to determine the presence of current plans for self-harm, resulting in an offer of assistance and exclusion from participation in the study. Women who satisfied all inclusion and exclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study and were asked to complete the pretest assessment by visiting the secure research website. Women who did not meet eligibility criteria were offered treatment through the Infant Clinic (Australian site) and/or referral to other services as appropriate (US site). The research protocol and related informed consent procedures were reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Austin Health in Australia and the Institutional Review Boards of both ORI and the University of Iowa.

Following enrollment, participants worked through the MMB program and received weekly phone calls from a personal coach (psychologist or graduate research assistant at the Australian site or a research assistant at the US site) who encouraged participants to use the program, to practice the recommended strategies, and to report their mood levels on a PHQ-9 assessment. Every effort was made to use the same personal coach for each participant on each call. The program automatically sent email reminders to encourage participants to log into the program.

**Measures**

**Overview**

As described in Figure 1, assessments occurred at screening/pretest (corresponding to enrollment), a posttest (3 months following pretest), and follow-up (6 months following pretest). At posttest and follow-up, participants were asked to complete questionnaires both by visiting the secure website and completing another assessment by phone. By using the same phone assessor, we hoped to obtain a more sensitive measure of change. Expert phone assessors from our US research site provided assessors in our Australia site with systematic training (videoconferencing and reliability training using audio test cases) in the use of the SCID and HRSD. All phone-based assessments were recorded and reviewed.
Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders

Trained diagnostic interviewers conducted phone-based SCID interviews [81,82]. In order to minimize respondent burden, we used SCID Modules A-F, but we did not include the Somatoform, Eating Disorders, and Adjustment Disorder Modules.

Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression

Interviewers also administered the HRSD [27,83-86] by phone. Scoring is based on the sum of 24 items. The maximum overall score for the HRSD-24 is 69. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.76.

Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale

During screening, participants were asked to complete the EPDS, a brief, simple self-rated, 10-item measure developed to screen for symptoms of postpartum depression [38,87]. Responses are rated from 0 to 3 and summed to yield the score with a maximum overall score of 30.

Participant Characteristics

We measured maternal age, delivery date and gestation, parity, education, history of previous treatment for depression, and household income.

Patient Health Questionnaire

Participants were asked to complete six separate PHQ-9 assessments from pretest, posttest, and follow-up. Personal coaches administered the PHQ-9 during phone calls that corresponded to Sessions 3 and 5 of the MMB program. These serial PHQ-9 assessments were used for program evaluation, to provide participants with a useful assessment of their status, and as an important safety check of participant status [80,88-90]. PHQ-9 scores showing a 5-point or greater escalation from pretest triggered a safety protocol, as did endorsement of the PHQ-9 suicidality item. The maximum overall score for the PHQ-9 is 27. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.76. To evaluate the clinical significance of the intervention effects, we calculated the minimal clinically important difference (MCID [91]) based on Lowe et al [89], which represents a reduction in the PHQ-9 score of 5 points or greater. Thus, pretest-posttest changes on the PHQ-9 of ≥5 points represented a clinically important difference. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.76.

Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire

Participants were asked to indicate how frequently over the previous week they had negative thoughts using the 30-item Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire (ATQ) [92,93] (eg, “My life is a mess”). Value options range from 0 to 4 (0=Not at all to 4=All of the time) with a maximum score of 120. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.92.

Behavioral Activation for Depression Scale

We used the 25-item Behavioral Activation for Depression Scale (BADS) to measure changes in activation, avoidance/rumination, work/school impairment, and social impairment (eg, “I stayed in bed for too long even though I had things to do”) [94]. Value options range from 0 to 6 with a maximum score of 150. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.83.

Dyadic Adjustment Scale

We assessed women’s relationships with their partners using the Dyadic Adjustment Scale-7 (DAS-7) [95], an abbreviated version of the Dyadic Adjustment Scale [96]. The general satisfaction score was calculated as the sum of all scores (maximum score=36). For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.85.

Parenting Sense of Competence

We included the Parenting Sense of Competence (PSOC) efficacy scale [97] that asks the participant to describe her extent of agreement with 7 items designed to assess whether she is knowledgeable and competent in being a mother [98] (eg, “I honestly believe I have all the skills necessary to be a good mother to my baby”). Value options ranged from 1 to 6.

Figure 1. Measures by assessment point.
(1=Strongly Disagree to 6=Strongly Agree) with a maximum score of 42. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.90.

**Behavioral Self-Efficacy**

Based on the work of Bandura [99] and Maciejewski et al [100], we used 8 items to assess participant self-efficacy or confidence in being able to work with the program to reduce feelings of depression at pretest and posttest. The question asked was, “During the past week, including today, how confident are you in your ability to… (1) increase your daily pleasant activities?; (2) control your negative thinking?; (3) increase your positive thinking?; (4) get support when you need it?; (5) keep track of your mood?; (6) reduce tension using relaxation?; (7) set realistic goals for yourself?; and (8) manage your mood?” Value options ranged from 1 to 5 (1=Not At All Confident to 5=Very Confident). Self-efficacy score was computed as the mean across 8 items. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.88.

**Website Metrics**

We used industry-standard website analytic tools and planned database flags recommended by Peterson [101] to track visit patterns including the date/time for each webpage viewed, which enabled us to unobtrusively measure visit frequency and duration. We also considered ways that participants were able to initiate interactions with the program (see Table 1) that shared similar characteristics, as in initiate interaction only (eg, play a video or tutorial), enter personal data into an activity (eg, typied reasons into a list, completed a drag and drop activity, and personalized features of the program (eg, set goals for daily pleasant activities, updated tracking of mood and activities, uploaded personal pictures).

**Personal Coach Call Metrics**

Personal coaches also tracked the number and duration of calls with participants. After each call, personal coaches provided an impression of their working alliance with the participant (response options: 1=minimal, 2=partial, 3=good, 4=excellent) and the level of distraction during the call (response option: 1=none/limited, 2=some, 3=a lot).

**Program Helpfulness (Self-Report by Phone at Posttest)**

We used open-ended items to ask participants to identify aspects of the program that were most helpful and least helpful. We also asked participants if they would recommend the program to other depressed postpartum women.

**Program Usability (Self-Report Online at Posttest)**

We obtained a quantitative measure of usability by asking participants to complete our adapted version of the System Usability Scale (SUS) [102,103], a 10-item scale that asked the participant to rate the degree to which she agreed (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) with positive and negative descriptions of a Web-based program (eg, “I think that I would like to use this website frequently”) [79]. The maximum score (indicating maximum usability) is 100. For the current study, Cronbach alpha=.80.

### Table 1. Participant engagement activities in MomMoodBooster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List activities</td>
<td>Encouraged creation of personal lists to gain insight into their situation.</td>
<td>Lists of my pleasant activities, list of supporters, my reasons for wanting to feel better, my contributing factors, my high-tension situations, my warning signs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand-collapse activities</td>
<td>Enabled exploration of additional detail on topics of interest.</td>
<td>FAQs, Myths &amp; Facts, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag &amp; drop activity (see Figure 3)</td>
<td>Provided an interactive experience to more clearly distinguish between topics.</td>
<td>Activity focusing on the difference between extreme thoughts and everyday concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal setting activity (see Figure 4)</td>
<td>Interactive series of steps to encourage selection of goals.</td>
<td>Activity designed to help the participant to choose (1) the number of pleasant activities to accomplish each day, and (2) which strategies to work on once the program had concluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice change activities</td>
<td>Homework tasks that were to be accomplished by each participant in their normal routine, the results of which could be shared with the personal coach.</td>
<td>Noticing and identifying a downward spiral, what started it and what happened; practice relaxation, making the most from pleasant activities by anticipating and savoring activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online behavior tracking</td>
<td>Online tools used to capture participant data over time designed to encourage self-monitoring, to illuminate patterns, and to show progress.</td>
<td>Daily tracking of mood ratings and pleasant activities accomplished. These tracked data were also charted online.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testimonial videos</td>
<td>Streaming videos of coping models who overcome barriers in order to make changes recommended in the program.</td>
<td>Other women’s experiences; asking for help, not worrying, doing more fun activities, mood patterns, or managing stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animated tutorials (see Figure 2)</td>
<td>Animations used to provide an explanation for underlying models for change.</td>
<td>Tutorials showed downward mood spirals and how they can be interrupted at critical choice points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personalizing pictures</td>
<td>Enabled participants to personalize the appearance of the program, to make it feel like “their own” website.</td>
<td>Women could add 10 pictures of their choice to personalize the webpages of the MMB program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Animated tutorial engagement activity.
Figure 3. Drag & Drop engagement activity.
MMB Intervention

Web-Based Content

We developed the MMB program using an iterative formative research process that included focus groups and usability testing [79]. A detailed description of this process and a schematic depiction of the MMB program is available in our earlier publication [79]. The MMB program also includes three complementary websites: (1) a personal coach portal to enable coaches to review the progress each participant has made going through the program, (2) a simplified Partner Support website designed to provide participant partners with information about PPD and an overview of MMB, and (3) an administrative website that enabled research staff to monitor completion of the assessments and other elements of the research project. The MMB program was designed to be fully scalable and to run on PC and Mac computers using various current browsers without plugins or applications. Java scripting, HTML+CSS, and Dynamic HTML were used to deliver interactive content.

The program consisted of the following six sequential sessions with each successive session becoming available weekly. Sessions were as follows: (1) Getting Started, (2) Managing Mood, (3) Increasing Pleasant Activities, (4) Managing Negative Thoughts, (5) Increasing Positive Thoughts, and (6) Planning for the Future. Each session opened with an autoplay host video that introduces the session goals. Webpages delivered content using text, programmed interactions, animations, and videos to present program content. Tunnel architecture [104] was used to guide participants through the six sessions. While each successive program session could be accessed weekly, the schedule was flexible in that participants could take an additional week to complete any session. Coach calls corresponded to each program week. Thus, it was possible for the MMB program to be completed in 6-12 weeks with 6-12 coach calls.

The program includes a number of features designed to encourage participant engagement and behavior change (see Table 1 and Figures 2-4). For example, each day the program encouraged participants to enter ratings of their mood and to note the number of pleasant activities they engaged in. They were also able to type in personal lists, view videos and animations, and access a library of relevant articles on communication skills, getting support, managing stress, managing time, solving problems, sleep and caring for baby, baby’s needs, and relationship with partner.

Because social isolation and stigma are common in this population, MMB includes a private peer-based Web forum in which mothers can post messages as well as read and interact with the messages of other program participants. Finally, the
program could be used by participants to send an email invitation to their partner encouraging them to visit a separate MMB informational website that described postpartum depression, the MMB program, and the important role of partners play [105,106].

As research shows, receiving email reminders can help to encourage greater adherence with Web-based interventions [31]. MMB participants were sent automated email reminders to encourage their engagement with the Web-based program as well as to prompt them to complete the online assessments. Participants were able to access the online program for 6+ months following enrollment.

**Personal Coach Calls**

The entire program was facilitated by a series of phone calls with a personal coach. All coaches were graduate research assistants or research psychologists who had received training in the content of the MMB program, their roles as coaches versus therapists/counselors, and in their data collection responsibilities. Coach training started with a guided tour of the MMB program and its coach portal that summarized participant use of the features of each session. This was followed by a videoconference that included review of the coach manual that contained detailed scripts for each call, the coach data collection responsibilities, and a discussion of the role of the coach and the rationale for making calls (ie, to provide a human voice behind the automated program, to help each participant problem solve possible barriers to using the program, and to encourage program use). All coach calls were audiorecorded, and a subset was selected to monitor fidelity of implementation as well as reliability of phone-administered assessments.

**Statistical Analysis**

Changes in PHQ-9 scores across time were evaluated using an unconditional growth model nesting repeated measures within individuals. This multilevel model includes time as the only predictor, coded as the number of weeks since the pretest assessment, and allows the number and spacing of measurement occasions to vary across persons [107]. A self-efficacy score was computed as the mean across 8 items. Pretest to 3-month posttest and pretest to 6-month follow-up comparisons on the ATQ, BADS, DAS-7, PSOC, and self-efficacy outcomes. Our multiple imputation procedure was fully conditional and used the iterative Markov chain Monte Carlo method to generate 20 complete datasets using all outcomes across time as predictors of missing values. The imputation model for each variable was a linear regression and included a constant term and main effects of predictor variables. Paired samples $t$ tests were conducted for each measure across each of the 20 imputed datasets and reported pooled estimates in the results. To supplement tests of statistical significance, we computed partial point-biserial $r$ as a measure of effect size in accordance with Rosenthal [109]. Partial point-biserial $r$ was defined as $\sqrt{r^2 / (r^2 + df)}$; small effect size=0.14, medium effect size=0.36, and large effect size=0.51 [110].

**Results**

**Participant Characteristics and Study Attrition**

Of the women who started the study, two were withdrawn because of concerns regarding self-harm and one woman withdrew of her own volition because she reported that she was feeling better and no longer wanted to be in the study. The resulting sample of 53 study participants had a mean age of 31.9 years (SD 5.1), a mean of 39.1 weeks (SD 2.4) gestation when their baby was born, and a mean number of 2.0 (SD 1.1) children. Mean baby age at the pretest was 5.5 months (SD 2.9). Participants were relatively well educated (14/53, 26% reported annual family income of at least $60,000. Based on the SCID at screening, 49% (26/53) met criteria for DSM-IV major depressive disorder. Pretest characteristics of participants are presented in Table 2.

Of the remaining participants, 87% (46/53) completed all six sessions in the program. Posttest data were collected from 89% (47/53) on all key measures with the exception of the HRSD (45/53, 85%). Follow-up data at 6 months were collected from 87% of women (46/53). The extent to which attrition threatened the external validity of the study was evaluated using contingency table analyses and $t$ tests. Overall attrition was 13% (7/53) from pretest to 6-month follow-up. Attrition was not associated with demographic characteristics or pretest values on the outcome measures. Given the minimal rates of missing data and the low likelihood of bias due to attrition, maximum likelihood estimation and multiple imputation procedures were appropriate for modeling potential intervention effects. Note that imputation was used to handle both types of missing data (ie, fully missing and “present” but with partial data).
Table 2. Selected participant characteristics at pretest (N=53).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baby’s gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pregnancy was a multiple birth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High school</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED/certificate level</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates degree/advanced diploma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor degree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master/graduate degree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral/postgraduate degree</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual family income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to $20,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,001-$40,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,001-$60,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,001-$80,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Primary Depression Outcomes**

**Patient Health Questionnaire Scores**

As shown in Table 3, PHQ-9 scores decreased from pretest (mean 12.6, SD 4.1) to posttest (mean 5.0, SD 4.4) and the 6-month follow-up (mean 4.2, SD 3.9). Changes from pretest were statistically significant ($P<.001$) with large effects at posttest (partial $r=.77$) and 6-month follow-up (partial $r=.82$). In terms of clinical significance, at pretest, 55% (29/53) participants met PHQ-9 criteria for minor or major depression. At posttest, 90% (26/29) no longer met these PHQ-9 criteria. Results also indicated that 77% (36/47) of the participants experienced a minimal clinically important difference (ie, ≥5 point decrease) in their PHQ-9 depression scores from pretest to posttest.

*Figure 5* depicts the observed and model-implied trajectory of PHQ-9 scores from pretest through the 6-month follow-up. A visual inspection of the data and a likelihood ratio (LR) test suggested that including a linear and quadratic growth parameter resulted in significantly better fit compared to a linear-only model (LR statistic with 2 degrees of freedom=36.79, $P<.001$). The statistical model that included linear and quadratic growth (-2 log-likelihood=1429.06, Akaike information criterion=1437.06, Bayesian information criterion=1451.25) implied an average pretest PHQ-9 score of 11.49 (SE 0.48), which decreased over time indicating a significant improvement in participant depression. Specifically, the model revealed a significant initial linear decrease (estimate=−0.79, SE 0.07, $P<.001$, partial $r=.61$) that significantly decelerated over time (estimate=0.02, SE 0.002, $P<.001$, partial $r=.57$). We also tested
for differential trajectories in PHQ-9 scores between US and Australian participants by adding the main effect of region and the time by region interactions to the unconditional growth model described earlier. None of these parameters were statistically significant ($P > .50$), suggesting similar PHQ-9 trajectories between US and Australian participants.

**HRSD Scores**

As noted in Table 3, HRSD scores also decreased from pretest (mean 16.9, SD 6.9) to posttest (mean 7.0, SD 5.6) and the 6-month follow-up (mean 6.6, SD 6.8). Changes from pretest were statistically significant ($P < .001$) with large effects at posttest (partial $r = .75$) and 6-month follow-up (partial $r = .71$).

### Table 3. Outcome results (mean is pooled mean; SD is average standard deviation across 20 imputed datasets).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest (3 mos.)</th>
<th>Pretest compared to posttest</th>
<th>Follow-up (6 mos.)</th>
<th>Pretest compared to follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>$t$ ($df=52$)</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>Partial $r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9$^{a,c}$</td>
<td>12.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>5.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSD$^{a,d}$</td>
<td>16.9 (6.9)</td>
<td>7.0 (5.6)</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATQ$^{b,e}$</td>
<td>23.7 (12.0)</td>
<td>11.2 (10.7)</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADS$^{b,f}$</td>
<td>78.4 (18.4)</td>
<td>103.9 (19.3)</td>
<td>-8.73</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSOC$^{b,g}$</td>
<td>2.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>-5.63</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy$^{b}$</td>
<td>1.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>-4.32</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS$^{b,h}$</td>
<td>22.0 (6.8)</td>
<td>22.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>.689</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Lower score is better.

$^b$Higher score is better.

$^c$PHQ-9—Patient Health Questionnaire.

$^d$HRSD—Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression.

$^e$ATQ—Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire.

$^f$BADS—Behavioral Activation for Depression Scale.

$^g$PSOC—Parenting Sense of Competence Scale.

$^h$DAS—Dyadic Adjustment Scale.

**Figure 5.** Longitudinal trajectories of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 scores from pretest through 6-month follow-up.
Secondary Outcomes and Putative Mechanisms of Change

Table 3 also provides descriptive statistics and the pretest to posttest and pretest to 6-month follow-up comparisons on the ATQ, BADS, DAS-7, PSOC, and self-efficacy measures. Statistically significant and large effects from pretest to posttest were obtained for the ATQ (partial \( r = .66 \)), BADS (partial \( r = .77 \)), PSOC (partial \( r = .62 \)), and self-efficacy (partial \( r = .51 \)). From pretest to 6-month follow-up, statistically significant and large effects were obtained on the ATQ (partial \( r = .57 \)), BADS (partial \( r = .70 \)), PSOC (partial \( r = .60 \)), and self-efficacy (partial \( r = .68 \)). Measures of the DAS from pretest to posttest and follow-up did not show significant change.

Website Engagement and Program Usability

Unobtrusive program use data indicated that mothers were engaged with the program: visit days (mean 15.2, SD 8.7); number of visits (mean 20.1, SD 12.2); total duration of visits in hours (mean 5.1, SD 1.3); and number of sessions viewed out of six (mean 5.6, SD 1.3). A total of 96% (51/53) of participants kept track of their daily mood ratings at least once (mean 38.1 days tracked, SD 25.6) and 92% (49/53) tracked the pleasant activities they wanted to accomplish each day (mean 29.2 days tracked, SD 23.7). The website forum provided the opportunity to post and view content; 38% (19/53) of mothers (mean 1.5, SD 2.9) posted forum content, and 74% (39/53) (mean 30.2, SD 26.8) viewed content. In addition, the MMB partner support website was accessed by 34% (18/53) of the participants’ partners. Results on the System Usability Scale [111,112] administered at posttest provide a quantitative measure of program ease of use. The mean System Usability Scale score was 84.4 (SD 11.6, range 52.5-100), which translates to a usability grade of “A” for the MMB program.

We explored associations between engagement and trajectories of PHQ-9 scores within a series of conditional growth models. These models included program engagement indicators as a composite measure as well as separately. Overall, the statistical models implied that program engagement was associated with additional decreases in PHQ-9 from pretest through follow-up. Specifically, the composite measure of program engagement was significantly related to the PHQ-9 linear slope parameter (estimate=-0.24, \( P = .021 \), partial \( r = .37 \)). The linear slope parameter was also significantly related to the number of visit days to the program (estimate=-0.02, \( P = .043 \), partial \( r = .31 \)) and selected engagement activities (see Table 1): the proportion of list activities completed (estimate=-0.02, \( P = .006 \), partial \( r = .43 \)) and the proportion of activities engaged in that involved entering personal data (estimate=-0.01, \( P = .008 \), partial \( r = .44 \)). Interestingly, the overall duration of program use was not significantly associated with the trajectories of the PHQ-9 scores.

Personal Coach Calls

A total of 98% (52/53) of women agreed to receive personal coach calls. Coaches made a mean of 5.65 calls to each assigned participant (N=52; SD 1.58, Min=1; Max=9). Mean total contact duration per participant summed over all calls was 96.99 minutes (N=52; SD 49.21; Min=6.10; Max=212.07). Personal coaches reported, on average, that they had a good working alliance with participants (mean 3.07, SD 0.44) and reported low levels of distraction during the calls (mean 1.26, SD 0.35).

Participant Satisfaction

At posttest, participants reported being quite satisfied with MMB features (mean 3.3, SD 0.4 on a 4-point scale: Not at all satisfied to Very satisfied), and they rated personal coach calls as being helpful (mean 3.4, SD 0.9 on 4-point scale: Not at all helpful to Very helpful). Responses to open-ended questions about satisfaction are noted in Table 4.

Use of Other Programs

At posttest we also asked participants “Since you enrolled in the MomMoodBooster program 3 months ago, which of the following products or programs have you used to manage your mood?”. A total of 30 out of 48 participants reported as follows: 12 (25.0%) read self-help books, 7 (14.6%) took medication for depression, 6 (12.5%) participated in an individual treatment program, 3 (6.3%) used hypnosis or acupuncture, 1 (2.1%) participated in a group treatment program, 1 (2.1%) participated in another Internet treatment program, and 30 (62.5%) participants indicated they had not participated in any other programs/products. Use of other programs for mood management was not significantly associated with the trajectories of the PHQ-9 scores.
Table 4. Participant comments on program satisfaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1: In what ways did you find the Mum/Mom-MoodBooster program most helpful?</td>
<td>Support by phone, private time to do it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forced me to think about myself, focus on positive thinking helpful overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valuable reassurance especially as can’t get out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Found it helpful in that feel more equipped to manage mood and emotions - online format is great as it allows easy access no matter what time of day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Info was fine seemed very slow—sense of obligation was helpful—threat of phone coach calling forced to think about improving mood; to do list kind of person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phone calls to help keep you on track and tracking mood and activities so you can identify patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Like how tasks were broken down into steps—strategies felt like they were achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gave permission to not have focus 100% be on the baby—to do something for self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2: In what ways did you find the personal coach calls to be helpful?</td>
<td>Really good at normalizing situations—also the flexibility of the coach (if baby cries, etc) was reassuring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reaffirmed things in the course, someone to talk to, to make sure you’re on track—not isolated—good that someone was going to call—something to look forward to—someone was going to ask you how you’re doing with program—motivated to do program—sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Help me remember to log in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Personal” feeling rather than website but content nothing new/warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Felt someone was caring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calls tie the whole program together act as a “check-in” for how feeling, review the materials from session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Makes you accountable—keep going with session—would be easy to leave it for next week if no coach calls—helpful to talk through the information and clarify certain points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

Pilot study results described in this report—when combined with results of our formative research [79]—provide comprehensive evidence supporting MomMoodBooster, an innovative Web-based intervention for postpartum depression. Pilot study participants, a clinical sample of 53 women recruited from the United States and Australia, were very engaged with the MomMoodBooster program: 87% completed the 6-month follow-up assessment, they viewed an average of 5.6 out of the 6 sessions, spent an average of more than 5 hours using the program, and spent an average of more than 95 minutes on personal coach calls. Their average number of 20.1 program visits compares quite favorably to results reported for many other Web-based depression interventions [113]. Participants also reported positive ratings regarding program usability, which was mirrored in their favorable ratings and comments regarding the program, including coach calls.

It is important to note that the relationship between participant engagement in the program and depression outcomes warrants further analysis as our measure of program use duration was not significantly associated with improvement in depression as measured by trajectories of the PHQ-9 scores. Elsewhere [114] we have recommended that there may not be simple dose:response relationships between engagement and outcome and that composite measures incorporating several dimensions of program usage need to be explored in this regard.

Over the course of the program, participants showed significant improvements on clinician-rated HRSD and self-reported PHQ-9 assessments, and they sustained those improvements over the 6-month follow-up period. Fully 77% reported experiencing clinically important improvement in their PHQ-9 scores. Putative mechanisms of change showed corresponding improvements.

Our 13% participant attrition is slightly higher than what has been reported for telephone-delivered therapies [113], and notably lower than the 25% to 50% reported in face-to-face psychotherapy and the sizable attrition rates reported in self-help Internet interventions [115]. Importantly, attrition was much lower than the nearly 60% attrition rate reported in a published paper on a Web-based intervention with women with PPD [74] and a third of that reported by Milgrom in her group-based CBT intervention for postpartum depression [33], the treatment approach embodied in the MMB program.

We believe that the highly encouraging results for participants using the MMB program were associated with three factors: (1) our adaptation and contextualization to PPD of Lewinsohn’s Coping with Depression Course, as embodied in Milgrom’s work, (2) MMB’s online engagement activities that encouraged participants to be actively involved, to spend time, and to follow treatment recommendations, and (3) personal coach calls that provided a key element of supportive accountability, which encouraged engagement and follow-through.

There are several study limitations that should also be noted. For example, we used a quasi-experimental design without a
Next Steps

We agree with the conclusion expressed by Lewis et al [116]: “Given the time, cost, and childcare constraints of traditional interventions for postpartum depression, evaluations of new and innovative interventions are needed.” Based upon the promising results of our pilot study, we believe that the Web-based MomMoodBooster program represents just such an innovative treatment option. Next steps worthy of consideration include additional research. For example, controlled research is needed to evaluate MMB compared to alternative approaches when implemented within extant treatment programs based in real-world settings, such as in telephone-administered treatment programs [117-119], depression care management programs [120], nurse home visitations to pregnant and postpartum women [121], and in depression treatment provided in physician offices [35,36]. MMB would seem to be particularly appropriate within a stepped-care model as it could offer a low-cost, high-reach option as a preliminary treatment step [36,37] and/or in conjunction with other, more intensive “high-touch” treatments. Additional research might also examine the role of the personal coach. For example, rather than using research staff as coaches, it would be useful to test the use of endogenous providers as coaches. And since the cost and feasibility of providing 6 scheduled personal coaching calls may limit implementation opportunities, additional research might consider ways to provide fewer coach calls or provide a stepped-care approach that would tailor calls to the expressed interests of the recipient.

Finally, MMB could be expanded to include content on antenatal depression and/or content to enhance mother:infant interactions, two under-recognized and often untreated problems [45, 46] that have profound effects on maternal and infant well-being and health. In addition to being a risk factor for PPD, antenatal depression is related to more frequent pre-eclampsia [124], preterm birth [125], low birth-weight [126], and adverse obstetric outcomes [127]. It also diminishes capacity for maternal self-care as it can be accompanied by inadequate nutrition, drug and alcohol abuse, and poor prenatal clinic attendance, all of which can further compromise the health of mother and baby [128,129]. Because research shows that treating postpartum depression does not improve poor mother:infant interactions, which results in risk to maternal and infant well-being and health [1,130,131], then additional program content might be included in MMB in order to address this important area.
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Abstract

Background: Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) has demonstrated efficacy and effectiveness for treating mood and anxiety disorders. Dissemination of CBT via videoconference may help improve access to treatment.

Objective: The present study aimed to compare the effectiveness of CBT administered via videoconference to in-person therapy for a mixed diagnostic cohort.

Methods: A total of 26 primarily Caucasian clients (mean age 30 years, SD 11) who had a primary Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition text revision (DSM-IV-TR) diagnosis of a mood or anxiety disorder were randomly assigned to receive 12 sessions of CBT either in-person or via videoconference. Treatment involved individualized CBT formulations specific to the presenting diagnosis; all sessions were provided by the same therapist. Participants were recruited through a university clinic. Symptoms of depression, anxiety, stress, and quality of life were assessed using questionnaires before, after, and 6 weeks following treatment. Secondary outcomes at posttreatment included working alliance and client satisfaction.

Results: Retention was similar across treatment conditions; there was one more client in the videoconferencing condition at posttreatment and at follow-up. Statistical analysis using multilevel mixed effects linear regression indicated a significant reduction in client symptoms across time for symptoms of depression ($P<.001, d=1.41$), anxiety ($P<.001, d=1.14$), stress ($P<.001, d=1.81$), and quality of life ($P<.001, d=1.17$). There were no significant differences between treatment conditions regarding symptoms of depression ($P=.165, d=0.37$), anxiety ($P=.41, d=0.22$), stress ($P=.15, d=0.38$), or quality of life ($P=.62, d=.03$). There were no significant differences in client rating of the working alliance ($P=.53$, one-tailed, $d=−0.26$), therapist ratings of the working alliance ($P=.60$, one-tailed, $d=0.23$), or client ratings of satisfaction ($P=.77$, one-tailed, $d=−0.12$). Fisher’s Exact $P$ was not significant regarding differences in reliable change from pre- to posttreatment or from pretreatment to follow-up for symptoms of depression ($P=.41$, $P=.26$), anxiety ($P=.60$, $P=.99$), or quality of life ($P=.65$, $P=.99$) but was significant for symptoms of stress in favor of the videoconferencing condition ($P=.03$, $P=.035$). Difference between conditions regarding clinically significant change was also not observed from pre- to posttreatment or from pretreatment to follow-up for symptoms of depression ($P=.67$, $P=.30$), anxiety ($P=.99$, $P=.99$), stress ($P=.19$, $P=.13$), or quality of life ($P=.99$, $P=.62$).

Conclusions: The findings of this controlled trial indicate that CBT was effective in significantly reducing symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress and increasing quality of life in both in-person and videoconferencing conditions, with no significant differences being observed between the two.
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Introduction

Approximately 20-25% of people are likely to suffer from a mood disorder during their lifetime [1]. They are a leading cause of disability for people aged 15-44, and the direct medical costs associated with unipolar depression have been estimated to be AUD $27,237 per person per year [2]. Anxiety disorders are also common, with approximately 29% of people likely to meet the diagnostic criteria during their lifespan [1]. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is an empirically validated psychological treatment that can be used to effectively treat mood and anxiety disorders. It is a time-limited and structured therapy that aims to help clients identify and reality-test unhelpful cognitions and correct maladaptive behavior [3,4]. Meta-analysis research has indicated that CBT is effective in the treatment of disorders such as depression [5], obsessive-compulsive disorder [6], generalized anxiety disorder [7], social phobia [8], panic disorder [9], and posttraumatic stress disorder [10]. Strong evidence also exists indicating that CBT can be effectively used to treat client groups presenting with a mixed array of mood and anxiety disorders [11].

At present, there is limited access to empirically validated treatments [12], particularly in remote locations. One way of increasing access is to provide services via remote media, such as telepsychology, which is the provision of psychological services via videoconference [13-15]. There is a substantial amount of evidence supporting the use of CBT to treat mood and anxiety disorders in-person; thus, it is important to determine if these findings extend to CBT administered via videoconference. Providing mental health services via videoconference for rural and remote populations has been reported to be cost effective [16-18], have high satisfaction [19,20], be used to provide referrals to in-patient clinics [21], and offer diagnosis results comparable outcomes to in-person [22,23]. Interaction via videoconference has also been shown to reduce depression and loneliness in elderly nursing home residents [24].

Some evidence exists indicating that manualized CBT provided via telepsychology for restrictive diagnostic profiles can be effective [25-28]. Bouchard et al [25] conducted a controlled trial comparing a manualized CBT treatment for panic and agoraphobia via videoconference (n=11) to in-person (n=10). The results indicated that 81% (9/11) of participants in the videoconferencing condition were panic-free at posttreatment and 91% (10/11) at 6-month follow-up. The differences between conditions with regards to client symptoms and quality of the working alliance were not significant. Mitchell et al [27] conducted a randomized controlled trial of CBT for the treatment of bulimia nervosa and compared the clinical outcomes obtained in-person to via videoconference. Six doctoral-level students administered therapy, and 128 participants were involved over a 4-year time period. Again, no significant differences were observed between the in-person and videoconferencing condition with regards to reduction in symptomology, therapeutic alliance, and treatment retention. Morland et al [28] conducted a study involving 125 participants with posttraumatic stress disorder randomly assigned to either in-person or videoconference-based group therapy for anger management. Similarly, the findings indicated that the clinical outcomes obtained via videoconference were not inferior to what were obtained in-person.

Some studies have been conducted that involve a mixed diagnostic client cohort. Day and Schneider [29] conducted a randomized controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of CBT when administered either in-person, via 2-way audio or via videoconference for a cohort of clients with a variety of presenting issues. All treatment sessions were conducted at the same location. The study involved 16 clinicians and 80 clients, and the most frequent presenting concerns pertained to body image, family relationships, self-esteem, and work/school issues. The intervention was effective in all conditions, and the results did not indicate any significant difference between treatment modalities. Despite these encouraging findings, the intervention included only five treatment sessions, psychiatric conditions were not diagnosed, reliable change and clinical significance were not analyzed, and a multivariate analysis was used to address a series of univariate research questions. Griffiths, Blignault, and Yellowlees [30] reported the details of a study involving 15 clients presenting with depression and/or anxiety who were treated with 6-8 sessions of CBT by their case managers. The pre-post treatment data indicated that the clients’ mental health was significantly better after treatment, but there was no in-person control condition. In a more recent study involving an in-person randomized comparison condition, Dustan and Tooth [31] investigated clinical outcomes for 6 clients (3 in each condition) that presented with either an anxiety or mixed anxiety-depression disorder. Two trainee psychologists provided 6-8 sessions of CBT, and symptoms were measured pre-, post, and 1-month follow-up. All 3 clients in the videoconferencing condition showed significantly reduced symptomology at follow-up, but due to the small sample size, an analysis comparing in-person to videoconference-based outcomes could not be made. Given these limitations, further videoconference-based research is needed to extend in-person research pertaining to mood and anxiety disorders [11].

Research has indicated that when CBT is successful in reducing the symptoms of a psychological disorder, clients typically experience a concurrent increase in quality of life [32]. Changes in quality of life as a result of treatment administered via digital media have been addressed in some contexts [33], but research specifically pertaining to videoconferencing and quality of life is limited [34]. Hence further research is recommended to address this gap in the literature.

The present study aimed to investigate whether CBT administered via videoconference produces comparable clinical outcomes to treatment provided in-person. The treatment will be focused on addressing anxiety and mood disorders including participants that present with comorbid diagnosis. This study used a randomized, active control design, structured clinical
assessments, with symptoms measures administered at pretreatment, posttreatment, and at 6 weeks following treatment.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The Curtin University ethics committee approved this study and all participants provided signed consent. Participants were recruited via the Curtin University Psychology Clinic and were either self-referred or referred from community health agencies via telephone, letter, fax, or email. Participant recruitment began in January 2010 and ended in April 2011. The recruitment ended because no more time was available for this activity during the course of the degree. We recruited 29 participants but 3 did not meet the inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria consisted of a primary diagnosis of a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition text revision (DSM-IV-TR) [35] Axis-I disorder, aged 18-65 years old, and living in Perth, Western Australia. Exclusion criteria included a DSM-IV-TR [35] diagnosis of anorexia, psychosis (past or present), or a personality disorder as the primary diagnosis, as well as any self-harm or suicidal behaviors currently receiving psychotherapy and/or involvement in legal proceedings. Figure 1 displays the participant flow over the course of the study. All assessments, treatment, and data collection were conducted at the university clinic.

The sample included 26 participants, aged 18-59 years (mean 30 years, SD 11 years). The videoconferencing condition included 6 males and 8 females; the in-person condition included 5 males and 7 females. An in-person clinical interview along with the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM (SCID) [36,37] was used to screen the participants. The Interference of Severity Scale adapted from the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule [38] was used to determine which disorder should be the primary focus of treatment. The average number of presenting disorders in both conditions was 3, and there were only 2 clients who presented with only 1 diagnosis. The number of clients in each condition who met the criteria for various Axis-I and Axis-II disorders is displayed in Tables 1 and 2. No data were collected regarding clients’ prior experience with computers and videoconferencing technology. Six participants reported that they were on antidepressants before starting the study. A record of medication usage by participants was not taken, but they were asked not to change their medication dosage during the course of the study.

![Figure 1. The number of participants and their flow throughout the study.](http://www.jmir.org/2013/11/e258/)}
Table 1. The number of clients that met the criteria for Axis-I disorders at pretreatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbid Axis-I disorder</th>
<th>Primary Axis-I disorder</th>
<th>IP(^a)</th>
<th>VC(^b)</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>VC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axis-I mood disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysthymic disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclothmic disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis-I anxiety disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic with agoraphobia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic without agoraphobia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocondriasis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Axis-I disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating disorder NOS (binge eating)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis dependence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysparenuia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulse control disorder (not otherwise specified)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention-deficit hyperactivity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine-induced psychotic disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-induced mood disorder with manic features</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)IP=In-person.  
\(^b\)VC=Videoconference.

Table 2. The number of clients that met the criteria for Axis-II disorders at pretreatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axis-II disorders</th>
<th>In-person</th>
<th>Videoconference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizotypal personality disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline personality disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissistic personality disorder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant personality disorder</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment Protocols

For each client, an individualized CBT formulation was devised. Manualized CBT interventions were used as a guide to planning and implementing treatment for specific disorders such as panic/agoraphobia [39], depression/dysthymia [3], hypochondriasis [40], generalized anxiety [41], and obsessive-compulsive disorder [42]. Other conditions were treated with standard CBT techniques such as psychoeducation, symptom monitoring, cognitive restructuring, and exposure exercises relevant to their presenting symptoms. The interventions were focused on addressing symptoms of the primary Axis-I diagnosis. Axis-II conditions were noted in the assessment sessions but were not targeted during the course of treatment.

Self-Report Measures

The Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) [43] was used to measure global clinical symptoms. The Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction scale (QLES) [44] was used to measure changes in quality of life as a result of treatment. Given that a mixed diagnostic cohort was used, disorder specific measures for the primary presenting condition were also administered. These included the Beck Depression Inventory-II.
Obssesive-Compulsive Inventory [46], Health Anxiety Questionnaire [47], Penn State Worry Questionnaire [48], and the Anxiety Sensitivity Index [49]. The DASS, QLES, and disorder-specific measures were administered before treatment, after treatment, and 6 weeks after treatment had ended. The Working Alliance Inventory Short Form [50] was used to measure the strength of the working alliance from both the clients’ and therapists’ perspectives. Client satisfaction was measured using the shortened Client Satisfaction Questionnaire [51]. The Telehealth Satisfaction Questionnaire [52] was used to measure client satisfaction specifically with the technology. Both the Working Alliance Inventory Short Form and the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire were administered at posttreatment to all clients; the Telehealth Satisfaction Questionnaire was administered at posttreatment only to clients allocated to the videoconferencing condition. All questionnaires were completed by hand.

Apparatus
Three Mac computers were used during the course of this study. All three computers were connected via ethernet (100 Mbps/sec) within the same building. The program used to conduct the videoconferencing was iChat, versions 4.0.9 to 5.0.3. When the study was conducted, the interactions via iChat were encrypted, but this feature was removed in Mac OS X 10.7. Therefore iChat may no longer be suitable for future telepsychology research.

Procedure
The initial assessment consisted of a clinical interview, the SCID, and administration of the DASS and QLES. The therapist (primary author) who conducted the screening, diagnosis, and treatment was a provisionally registered (trainee) clinical psychologist doctoral student. Following the initial assessment, clients were randomly allocated to receive treatment either in-person or via videoconference. Simple random allocation was used to determine assignment to condition. This was achieved by generating a randomized list of binary numbers [53]. The generated list was calculated on the basis of 200 potential participants, two groups, and one repeat of randomization. Clients in the in-person condition began “treatment as usual”. Clients in the videoconferencing condition were instructed to walk into the treatment room at the clinic during their allotted time and sit in front of the computer. After the initial diagnosis session, clients allocated to the videoconferencing condition had no other in-person contact with the treating therapist. Materials, such as new homework diaries, were placed in the treatment room before the client arrived. Participants in the videoconferencing condition shared the content of their homework diaries orally; therefore, they did not need to hand in material to the therapist. All of the client sessions were recorded so that the fidelity and credibility of the therapists’ diagnosis and treatments could be monitored. The clinical research supervisor (the second author, a registered clinical psychologist) provided weekly supervision for a minimum of 1 hour to the practicing psychologist (primary author) throughout the duration of data collection. During supervision, videotaped sessions of all clients in the study were observed and checked for adherence to CBT protocols and that the majority of the session time adhered to the protocol. Clients who took part in the study were offered 12 weekly 1-hour sessions and an additional follow-up session 6 weeks after the 12th session. A fee for clinical services was not charged, and no reimbursement for their time was provided. In-session exposure activities were limited to tasks that could be accomplished within the treatment room. Sessions times were arranged directly with the therapist; therefore, there was no need for participants in either condition to interact with support staff such as a receptionist. Participants were aware that the therapist was located within the same building. The CONSORT-EHEALTH guidelines for improving and standardizing the reporting of Web-based and mobile health interventions were used as a guide throughout this research [54].

Results
Attrition
Of the clients eligible to take part in the study, 81% (21/26) completed the full course of treatment, and 65% (18/26) completed the follow-up data. Figure 1 shows the participant flow over the course of the study. In the videoconferencing condition, 2 of the participants completed only 10 sessions: the first, because they moved overseas, and the second stated that they had done all the change they felt capable of doing at that time. In the videoconferencing condition, 2 of the participants were no longer eligible for inclusion in the study at follow-up: the first, because they required ongoing treatment after the posttreatment data had been collected and the second decided to begin medication in the final week of treatment. In the in-person condition, 1 participant dropped out after nine sessions and no reason was given. Also in the in-person condition, 1 participant was no longer eligible to be included in the study at follow-up because they commenced additional ongoing psychotherapy treatment after the initial 12-weeks of treatment in the study. The analyses were conducted twice: once with only the participants that completed all 12 sessions and once with all the participants that completed eight or more sessions.
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The results of the two analyses provided the same findings; therefore, participants who completed eight or more sessions were included in the final analyses.

**Comparison of Participant Characteristics at Pretreatment**

There was a small nonsignificant difference between the mean ages of participants in the in-person condition (mean 29.67, SD 9.31) and the videoconferencing condition (mean 31.93, SD 13.33) ($t_{24}=-0.49$, $P=.63$, two-tailed, 95% CI -1.73 to 7.21, $d=-0.19$). A Pearson chi-square test of contingencies indicated that gender was equally distributed across conditions $\chi^2_{1}=0.004$ (N=26), $P=.95$, and the association between gender and condition was very small with phi=.01. The difference between conditions at pretest was not significant on the DASS depression subscale ($t_{23}=0.05$, $P=.96$, two-tailed, 95% CI -8.28 to 8.56, $d=0.02$), the anxiety subscale ($t_{23}=0.37$, $P=.71$, two-tailed, 95% CI -5.98 to 8.57, $d=0.15$), the stress subscale ($t_{23}=0.19$, $P=.85$, two-tailed, 95% CI -8.36 to 6.98, $d=-0.08$), or on the QLES ($t_{24}=0.28$, $P=.78$, two-tailed, 95% CI -0.47 to 0.62, $d=0.10$).

**Primary Analyses**

In order to reduce the chances of a Type-1 error, the Bonferroni correction was applied throughout the four analyses making the alpha level .0125. The descriptive statistics for the in-person and videoconferencing conditions are reported in Table 3. The interaction between time and condition was not significant for all three DASS subscales (Depression $F_{2,58}=1.77$, $P=.18$; Anxiety $F_{2,58}=0.36$, $P=.7$; and Stress $F_{2,58}=4.19$, $P=.02$), and the QLES ($F_{2,62}=0.82$, $P=.45$); therefore, the main effects can be interpreted without qualification. There was a significant main effect for time on all three DASS subscales (Depression $F_{2,58}=14.47$, $P<.001$; Anxiety $F_{2,58}=9.34$, $P<.001$; and Stress $F_{2,58}=23.70$, $P<.001$) and the QLES ($F_{2,62}=10.64$, $P<.001$). The effect sizes were large throughout ($d=1.41$, 1.14, 1.81, and 1.17 respectively). In contrast, there was no significant main effect for condition on any of the DASS subscales (Depression $F_{1,58}=1.98$, $P=.16$; Anxiety $F_{1,58}=0.69$, $P=.41$ and Stress $F_{1,58}=2.11$, $P=.15$) or the QLES ($F_{1,62}=0.25$, $P=.62$). The effect sizes ranged from small to medium ($d=0.37$, 0.22, 0.38, and 0.13 respectively). Figures 2-5 depict the upper and lower bound of the 95% confidence intervals around the mean scores at pre, post, and 6 weeks following treatment in both conditions for the three DASS subscales and the QLES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale measure</th>
<th>In-person condition</th>
<th>Videoconferencing condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DASS depression subscale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.36 10.27 4 32 14 18.14 10.15 6 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.2 9.59 6 34 13 8.46 7.62 0 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.43 7.46 2 22 9 5.11 5.93 0 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DASS anxiety subscale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.73 8.4 6 32 14 13.43 8.96 0 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.09 8.02 0 26 13 8.62 7.63 2 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.75 6.63 0 18 9 6.22 6.28 0 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DASS stress subscale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.45 8.72 10 36 14 24.14 9.56 8 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.55 12.3 0 42 13 13.23 8.81 2 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.75 9.65 0 34 9 8.89 5.58 0 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.38 0.76 2.06 4 3.88 3.31 0.59 2.29 4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.58 0.72 2.24 4.71 13 3.81 0.62 2.76 4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.85 0.76 2.65 5.88 9 4.15 0.51 3.41 4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility of therapy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34.14 3.73 25 38 13 34.69 4.52 23 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working alliance: Client</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.14 0.45 5.5 7 12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 6.33 0.89 3.75 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working alliance: Therapist</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.89 0.41 4.92 6.417 13 5.74 0.83 3.58 6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client satisfaction</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>93.21 6.37 78.13 100 13 94.23 10.04 65 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The DASS data for one of the participants in the in-person condition was removed because it was invalid at pretreatment.

<sup>b</sup>The Working Alliance-Client data for one of the participants in the videoconferencing condition was removed because it was invalid.
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Figure 2. The change in symptoms of depression across time and condition.

Figure 3. The change in symptoms of anxiety across time and condition.
Secondary Analyses

The secondary analyses were administered only at posttest. There were no significant differences between conditions in client ratings of the Working Alliance Inventory Short Form ($t_{21}=-0.63$, $P=.53$, one-tailed, $d=-0.26$), or in therapist ratings ($t_{22}=0.53$, $P=.60$, one-tailed, $d=0.23$), and client ratings of the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire ($t_{23}=-0.29$, $P=.77$, one-tailed, $d=-0.12$). Clients in the videoconference-based condition who...
completed 10 or more sessions were included in the Telehealth Satisfaction Questionnaire analysis (n=13). Scores ranged from 61.4 to 100 (maximum score is 100), with a mean of 90.44 (SD 11.11).

**Reliable Change and Clinical Significance**

Reliable change and clinical significance calculations [58] were conducted on the DASS subscales, the QLES, and disorder specific measures. Normative data were used to calculate the cutoff criteria [3,43-47,59-64]. Tables 4-6 display the proportion of clients in each condition that met the respective criteria for the three DASS subscales and the QLES respectively.

Fisher’s Exact test [65] was used to compare the proportion of participants meeting the criteria for reliable change and clinical significance in each condition. With regards to reliable change, Fisher’s Exact was not significant from pre- to posttreatment or from pretreatment to follow-up for the depression ($P=.41$, $P=.26$) and anxiety ($P=.60$, $P=.99$) DASS subscales, and the QLES ($P=.65$, $P=.99$). Fisher’s Exact $P$, however, was significant for the stress DASS subscale from both pre- to posttreatment ($P=.03$) and from pretreatment to follow-up ($P=.03$) in favor of the videoconferencing condition. With regards to clinically significant change, the difference between conditions was not significant from pre- to posttreatment or from pretreatment to follow-up for the depression ($P=.67$, $P=.30$), anxiety ($P=.99$, $P=.99$), and stress ($P=.19$, $P=.13$) DASS subscales or the QLES ($P=.99$, $P=.62$). Table 7 displays the number of clients that upon discharge met the criteria for both reliable change (improved) and clinically significant change (recovered) for the various disorder specific measures administered in each condition. However, not all clients presented with severe symptoms at pretreatment. The proportion of clients whose symptoms were identified in the moderate to severe range at pretreatment are displayed in Table 8.

**Table 4.** Rates of clinical improvement from pre- to posttreatment for the DASS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical status</th>
<th>Pretreatment to posttreatment</th>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP$^a$</td>
<td>VC$^b$</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>2/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>3/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>10/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deteriorated</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IP=In-person.  
$^b$VC=Videoconference.

**Table 5.** Rates of clinical improvement from pretreatment to follow-up for the DASS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical status</th>
<th>Pretreatment to follow-up</th>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP$^a$</td>
<td>VC$^b$</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>2/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>2/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deteriorated</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IP=In-person.  
$^b$VC=Videoconference.

**Table 6.** Rates of clinical improvement from pre to posttreatment and follow-up for the QLES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical status</th>
<th>Pre to post</th>
<th>Pre to follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP$^a$</td>
<td>VC$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>2/11</td>
<td>2/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>2/11</td>
<td>4/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>9/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deteriorated</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IP=In-person.  
$^b$VC=Videoconference.
Table 7. The number of clients in each condition identified as recovered on the disorder specific measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Primary diagnosis</th>
<th>Disorder specific measure</th>
<th>Change status</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Videoconference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>4/9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory-II</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypochondriasis</td>
<td>Health Anxiety Questionnaire</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>Penn State Worry Questionnaire</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory-II</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>Penn State Worry Questionnaire</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>Anxiety Sensitivity Index</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Although some clients were not identified as recovered or improved on their disorder specific measure, they were identified as recovered on the DASS.

Table 8. The severity of client symptoms at pretreatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>In-person (n=12) n (%)</th>
<th>Videoconference (n=14) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate-severe</td>
<td>Normal-mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>7 (58)</td>
<td>5 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>8 (76)</td>
<td>4 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
<td>2 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
<td>2 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

The findings of this controlled trial indicate that CBT was effective in significantly reducing symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress and increasing quality of life in both in-person and videoconferencing conditions. Furthermore, outcomes for the videoconferencing condition were comparable to the in-person group, with no significant differences being observed between the two conditions. This finding is consistent with prior CBT meta-analysis research [66,67] and prior telepsychology research [18,25-27,68-71]. Although the sample was not large enough to permit a test of noninferiority, the 95% confidence intervals around the mean scores across time and condition suggest that CBT treatment administered via videoconference is not inferior to treatment provided in-person. This finding is congruent with prior noninferiority analyses [28,70]. It is also important to note that the clinical outcomes at posttreatment and follow-up were better, albeit not significantly better, in the videoconferencing condition than in the in-person condition. Therefore, if this study had a greater power to detect an effect, it is unlikely this study would have shown videoconference-based CBT to be inferior to in-person.

Client and therapist ratings of the working alliance were high in both the in-person and videoconferencing conditions. The difference between conditions was not significant, and the effect size was trivial. These findings suggest that CBT treatment via videoconference does not compromise the working alliance, which is congruent with prior telepsychology research [26,72]. The results also indicated that client satisfaction was high and did not significantly differ between conditions, which again is congruent with prior research [19,20,73].

Strengths and Limitations

The inclusion of a mixed diagnostic client cohort with comorbid diagnosis (as measured in a structured clinical interview) is a
strength of this study. A client group with a range of disorders and comorbidities helps in generalizing the findings to real-world clinical practice populations and thus provides empirical support for the effectiveness of CBT-oriented telepsychology. Second, the same therapist treated all clients, and thus this variable was held constant. By including only 1 therapist in the study, the difference between conditions cannot be attributed to differences between therapists. A further strength of this study is that it was conducted on a high-speed local network. As a result of conducting the study on a system that is presently faster than the average Australian Internet speed, it may be a longer period of time before the conclusions of this study become outdated. Also, all of the participants in both conditions received treatment in the same building; consequently, this study was able to demonstrate that satisfaction remained high even when travel was not a contributing factor in the ratings of service satisfaction. No technological problems occurred during the study, and clients did not report any complaints about the technology.

Although this study has successfully extended previous telepsychology research [25-27,30,69,70], there are some limitations. First, because only 1 therapist was included in this study, it remains unclear as to whether or not the findings generalize to other therapists. Second, the diagnosis of clients in both conditions was conducted in-person by the same therapist who conducted the subsequent treatment. It is possible that rapport and a therapeutic alliance began in this initial in-person diagnosis session and influenced clinical outcomes. Third, the sample size was not large enough to conduct an analysis of noninferiority. The limited small sample size also weakens the generalizability of the findings. Fourth, there was a high risk of bias in the study given that the principal investigator was also responsible for treatment and assessing participants. However, clinical supervision was provided regarding both diagnosis and treatment throughout the study, and sessions were recorded and watched by the supervising clinician to temper this potential bias. Fifth, the diagnosis of participants was not evenly distributed across conditions, and as a result, the two groups might not have been equally matched. Sixth, as is the case in most research studies, the participants did not have to pay for the services, and this may have led them to provide socially desirable answers on the questionnaires. A replication study involving a fee for service would be able to clarify if this is an issue. Seventh, the average age of participants was 30; therefore, it is likely that most participants were already familiar with computer technology, which may have influenced their acceptance of the media.

Despite being a strength of the study, the inclusion of a mixed diagnostic cohort combined with a small sample size means there was a large degree of variability across the clients, which may have reduced the power of the study. Also, Bonferroni corrections were applied to reduce the risk of a Type-I error, further reducing the power of the study to detect significant interactions between time and condition. While providing promising results, a larger study is still needed to more thoroughly address the primary research question.

Not all outcomes in this study were favorable. Only 10% (1/10) of clients in the in-person condition and 33% (2/13) of participants in the videoconference condition were identified as meeting the criteria for a clinically significant reduction in anxiety. These results could be because 33% (4/12) and 36% (5/14) (in-person and videoconference respectively) of participants were identified on the DASS as having normal-to-mild levels of anxiety before beginning treatment. Alternatively, the therapist may not have been as competent at treating symptoms of anxiety compared to depression for which 43% (3/7) and 77% (7/9) of participants (in-person and videoconference respectively) were identified as meeting the criteria for clinically significant change. The presence of comorbid personality disorders may also have hampered treatment outcomes. In either case, a larger trial involving multiple therapists, systematic monitoring of treatment protocol adherence and a single diagnosis may help to overcome these issues in future research.

Some psychotherapy procedures via videoconference required creative modification. In-session exposure exercises via videoconference had to be limited to what could be conducted within the clinic room. For example a client working through exposure-response prevention activities pertaining to germs had to find items they found troubling that were in their treatment room as opposed to in-person sessions that could have the freedom to move outside of the treatment room. Another change was that cognitive restructuring worksheets had to be conducted on a digital Microsoft Word document on the clients’ computer but controlled from the therapist’s computer. These examples show that treatment via videoconference may alter how some interactions are conducted but does not prevent essential treatment components from being provided.

Conclusions
It is important to consider the findings of this study within the context of the social justice, financial, and practical issues facing remote psychological services in Australia. In Australia, there is a disparity in access to psychological services between people who live in cities and those who live in rural and remote areas [74]. Rural and remote populations have higher rates of accidents, suicide, and exposure to violence [75] as well as increased rates of risk factors known to play a role in the emergence of psychological dysfunction, including poor physical health, obesity, smoking, drug abuse, high blood pressure, and poor nutrition [76]. As for the indigenous populations in rural and remote communities, the state of affairs is considerably worse where the rates of mortality and morbidity are approximately 2-4 times higher than for nonindigenous Australians [77]. People in rural and remote Australia are in the highest need of specialized mental health services; however, due to the lack of specialized clinicians working in these areas, the accessibility of those services frequently range from little to none [76]. Hence, there is a substantial demand for videoconference-based psychological services. However, there is often insufficient technological infrastructure to provide reliable high-speed broadband Internet communications, particularly in remote areas where there can be less than one person per square kilometer [78]. It is hoped that with the rollout of the National Broadband Network in Australia more rural and remote areas will gain access to high-quality videoconferencing technology. Prior research [17,79] has indicated that providing
remote services via videoconference can be cost effective under some circumstances, but further research is needed to determine if it is also cost effective for small isolated indigenous communities. Further research is needed to identify the logistical challenges of providing videoconference-based CBT to rural and remote communities and to determine which method of service delivery is best suited to the needs of specific communities. Telepsychiatry services through Medicare in Australia have increased from 15 consultations in 2002/3 to 2555 in 2010/11 [80]. This indicates that when available, both service providers and clients are willing to use the technology. Hopefully, this trend will extend to telepsychology services in the future as access to the technology increases.

In conclusion, this study provides support for the effectiveness of CBT via telepsychology for an adult client cohort and preliminary support of noninferiority. The results of this controlled trial provide important evidence to justify the greater use of videoconferencing to bridge the gap in service provision to populations who would otherwise not receive effective psychological treatments. Future research should continue to involve mixed diagnostic client cohorts with comorbid diagnoses and be directed at conducting large multisite randomized controlled trials that employ noninferiority design and analysis procedures.
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Abstract

Background: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a serious health problem in the Russian Federation. However, the true scale of HIV in Russia has long been the subject of considerable debate. Using digital surveillance to monitor diseases has become increasingly popular in high income countries. But Internet users may not be representative of overall populations, and the characteristics of the Internet-using population cannot be directly ascertained from search pattern data. This exploratory infoveillance study examined if Internet search patterns can be used for disease surveillance in a large middle-income country with a dispersed population.

Objective: This study had two main objectives: (1) to validate Internet search patterns against national HIV prevalence data, and (2) to investigate the relationship between search patterns and the determinants of Internet access.

Methods: We first assessed whether online surveillance is a valid and reliable method for monitoring HIV in the Russian Federation. Yandex and Google both provided tools to study search patterns in the Russian Federation. We evaluated the relationship between both Yandex and Google aggregated search patterns and HIV prevalence in 2011 at national and regional tiers. Second, we analyzed the determinants of Internet access to determine the extent to which they explained regional variations in searches for the Russian terms for “HIV” and “AIDS”. We sought to extend understanding of the characteristics of Internet searching populations by data matching the determinants of Internet access (age, education, income, broadband access price, and urbanization ratios) and searches for the term “HIV” using principal component analysis (PCA).

Results: We found generally strong correlations between HIV prevalence and searches for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS”. National correlations for Yandex searches for “HIV” were very strongly correlated with HIV prevalence (Spearman rank-order coefficient \( r_s = 0.881 \), \( P \leq 0.001 \)) and strongly correlated for “AIDS” (\( r_s = 0.714 \), \( P \leq 0.001 \)). The strength of correlations varied across Russian regions. National correlations in Google for the term “HIV” (\( r_s = 0.672 \), \( P = 0.004 \)) and “AIDS” (\( r_s = 0.584 \), \( P \leq 0.001 \)) were weaker than for Yandex. Second, we examined the relationship between the determinants of Internet access and search patterns for the term “HIV” across Russia using PCA. At the national level, we found Principal Component 1 loadings, including age (-0.56), HIV search (-0.533), and education (-0.479) contributed 32% of the variance. Principal Component 2 contributed 22% of national variance (income, -0.652 and broadband price, -0.460).
Conclusions: This study contributes to the methodological literature on search patterns in public health. Based on our preliminary research, we suggest that PCA may be used to evaluate the relationship between the determinants of Internet access and searches for health problems beyond high-income countries. We believe it is in middle-income countries that search methods can make the greatest contribution to public health.

(KEYWORDS)
Russia; search engine; human immunodeficiency virus; surveillance

Introduction

Search Patterns in Health

Internet search patterns provide a low-cost, rapidly accessible data source for a range of health problems. Search patterns have been described as behavioral measures of an issue’s importance to individuals [1]. If individual Internet users are concerned or interested in an issue, they are more likely to search for information related to that issue. The relative importance of an issue among populations of Internet users can thus be inferred from the volume of searches for a term or terms representing that issue. Since 2006, researchers have used search patterns to study a wide range of health problems, notably influenza [2-5], as well as undocumented adverse drug interactions [6,7], suicide-related information [8], and HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) [9]. Despite the widespread use of search patterns, researchers commonly suggest Internet users may not be representative of the entire population. Specific concerns include differences in access based on age [10], income and education [11], and gender [12]. This means the social, economic, and demographic status of Internet users may not fully reflect those of the population as a whole.

Determinants of Internet Access

The propensity to access the Internet varies between socioeconomic and demographic cohorts. The strongest determinants of Internet access are income and education. This finding is consistent in studies from the United States [13] and the European Union [14] and across middle-income countries [15]. Additionally, gender [16], English-language ability [17], broadband access price [18], urban location [19], ethnicity [20], and age [21] have also been reported as determinants of Internet use in both high- and middle-income countries. In summary, Internet users in both high- and middle-income countries are more likely to have higher incomes and higher levels of education.

The Digital Divide—Access and Use

Access to the Internet is an economic development policy issue. Telecommunications networks, including the Internet, are regarded as a catalyst for economic growth [22]. Since the early 2000s, the term “digital divide” has been widely used to describe differences in Internet access and use across socioeconomic gradients within and between countries [23]. In 2011, Hilbert reviewed international policy responses to the digital divide [24]. In his review, Hilbert proposes four classes of variables with which to analyze the digital divide. These classes are the unit of analysis (eg, individual, country), determinants of access (eg, income, education), the kind of technology (eg, cell phones, fixed broadband), and how individuals connect (ie, access vs effective use). Others have similarly argued that access to infrastructure inadequately describes the digital divide [25]. Basing their arguments on Roger’s theory of diffusion of innovations, these authors suggest analysis of the digital divide should focus on effective use, incorporating technical competence, and individuals’ adaptation of technology to meet their personal needs rather than access alone.

Use of the Internet for Health Information Seeking Online

The Internet is widely used for health information seeking in high-income countries. A 2013 study found 59% of all US adults searched for health information online, with 77% of these starting at search engines such as Google [26]. Equally, there is a general scholarly consensus that a digital divide applies to online health seeking behavior [27]. In 2006, Rice described the limited research into health-related Internet use across economic and demographic gradients in the United States [28]. More recent studies European [29] and US [30] studies suggest that income and education are the most important determinants of seeking health information online.

Search Patterns and Effective Use

Although a digital divide may exist, determining the sociodemographic profile of Internet users from search results is not straightforward. Aggregated Google search queries are the most commonly used data source for search studies but carry no demographic or economic information. In the case of disease surveillance, this means that groups with a significant disease burden, such as older or economically disadvantaged people without Internet access may be excluded from search results [31]. By contrast, health information seeking research is generally based on qualitative research and statistical surveys. This research generally includes demographic characteristics and covers issues such as health literacy [32] and behaviors following access to health information [33]. In summary, researchers have widely investigated the effective use of online health information in high-income countries. It is this research that provides the empirical foundation for a rich analysis of the relationship between health information seeking across economic and demographic gradients and patterns of online search.

Chronic Illness and Internet Use

Individuals with chronic health problems and disabilities are more likely to search for health information online. Online information seeking among people with chronic and terminal diseases has been widely researched [34,35]. Cancer information seeking in particular has attracted considerable research interest
due to its diversity, duration, and treatment complexity [36]. The management of HIV as a chronic illness has similarly attracted scholarly interest. Studies suggest PLHIV (people living with HIV) use the Internet extensively for health information. A 2006 US study found that 66% of PLHIV participants searched for health information at least half the time they were online [37]. Furthermore, PLHIV Internet users were more likely to be better educated, have higher incomes, exhibit greater knowledge of HIV disease processes, and adhere to medication [38,39]. In summary, while income and education are the most important determinants of health-related Internet use, individuals with chronic diseases may have a stronger incentive to use the Internet effectively.

Online Health Information Seeking in Middle-Income Countries

While research is limited, online health information seeking also appears to be important in middle-income countries. In 2011, the international health insurer Bupa surveyed online health information seeking among Internet users in 12 high- and middle-income countries [40]. The researchers found higher rates of health information seeking in middle income countries (China 94%, Thailand 93%, and Saudi Arabia 91%) than in high-income countries (Australia 77%, United Kingdom 70%, and Spain 71%). Similarly, a 2010 Bupa study found 95% of Russian Internet users sought advice on health, medicines, or medical conditions online [41]. Bupa researchers attributed the high rates of online health information seeking in middle-income countries to the high cost of medical consultations and concerns over service quality. While not peer reviewed, these Bupa surveys point to a particularly important role for health-related searches outside of high-income countries. Conversely, these studies investigated only the propensity to access health information among Internet users, leaving aside international comparisons of how effectively online health information is used across social and economic gradients. The relationship between the need for health information and access to the Internet was not investigated.

Search Studies in Middle-Income Countries

As recently as 2009, researchers suggested that Google Trends was unsuitable for disease surveillance outside of developed countries due to insufficient Internet access [42]. However, the rapid increase of Internet use in middle-income countries suggests otherwise. Internet use is forecast to grow considerably more quickly by 2015 in middle-income than high-income countries (see Table 1; [43]). Since 2009, studies from Southeast Asia [44], Latin America [45], Russia [46], and China [47] suggest that search pattern studies are increasingly regarded as valid and reliable methods of disease surveillance in middle-income countries.

The potential of search patterns to improve public health surveillance in middle-income countries is well documented. First, online surveillance offers immediate insights into the present status of disease. That is, online surveillance may “predict the present” [48] without the reporting lags associated with complicated reporting procedures in public health bureaucracies [44]. Second, online surveillance may overcome the weaknesses of traditional surveillance systems, such as poor sensitivity to new diseases [49] and the lack of skills and equipment required for early disease detection [50]. Third, searches may overcome underreporting gaps from the private sector and from individuals who do not seek formal medical care [51]. Fourth, online surveillance may improve transparency. Central or regional governments may wish to minimize reports of disease outbreaks that could affect tourism or political reputation [52] or what sensitive issues surveys may not reveal [53,54]. In summary, online surveillance has the potential to improve disease surveillance in populations bearing the greatest burden of disease.

Consistent with the aims of infodemiology, our exploratory study examined “the science of distribution and determinants of information...(on) the Internet, or in a population, with the ultimate aim to inform public health and public policy” [55]. We examined the relationship between Internet search patterns, disease prevalence, and the determinants of Internet access using the case of HIV in a middle-income country. Through investigating these relationships, we aimed to develop methods to complement traditional HIV surveillance in Russia and contribute to the science of health-related searches.

**Table 1.** Changes in Internet use in selected middle- and high-income countries (values indicate penetration in %, ie, number of users divided by population).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2009 actual Internet use</th>
<th>2015 predicted Internet use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods

Summary
This exploratory study sought to determine if search methods can be used for disease surveillance in a large middle-income country with a dispersed population. We first assessed whether online surveillance is a valid and reliable method for monitoring HIV in the Russian Federation. Second, we analyzed the determinants of Internet access to determine the extent that they explain regional variations in searches for the Russian terms for “HIV” and “AIDS”.

Google and Yandex Searches in Russia

Most search pattern studies have used Google Trends (or the defunct Google Insights for Search) as the data source. Google Trends has been deployed in studies of influenza [3], dengue [11], and HIV [9]. However, the structure of the Russian-language Internet market is unique. Whereas Google provided 84% of global Internet search queries in May 2011 [56], Google’s market share in Russia was only 25% in 2010/2011 [57]. The largest search provider in Russia in 2011 was Yandex, with 60% market share. In 2011, Russia overtook Germany as the European country with the highest number of unique visitors online [58]. Russian Internet users grew from 43% of the population in 2010 to 55% in 2012 [59]. In Russia, Yandex is a strong commercial competitor of Google.

The publicly available Google Trends data for Russia has several limitations. First, Google does not provide complete results, returning only subregions with the highest search volume. Google data were available for only 16 of Russia’s 89 subregions for the term “HIV” and 29 for the term “AIDS” during 2011. Second, Google does not provide raw search data. This makes direct comparisons between subregions and matching with variables representing Internet access determinants complex. We used WordStat as the primary data source, as Yandex made publicly available a complete raw search dataset for all Russian regions and subregions for the full 12 months of 2011. We used Google Trends as a secondary source of aggregated search results for validation purposes.

Case Study: Why is Search-Based HIV Surveillance Important in Russia?

HIV is a serious health problem in the Russian Federation. Russia has the highest cumulative number of PLHIV of any European country, largely concentrated among people who inject drugs (PWID). On December 31, 2011, there were 650,100 PLHIV registered in Russia [60]. However, the true scale of HIV in Russia has long been the subject of considerable debate [61,62]. Feshbach and colleagues’ 2005 study compiled data from official and unofficial Russian sources, as well as international agencies, to assess the quality of Russian HIV statistics [63]. The authors suggested that official Russian HIV data are frequently inconsistent, diverge markedly from alternative sources such as UNAIDS (the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS), and present major methodological obstacles. The authors concluded that official Russian estimates of HIV prevalence were understated by a multiple of three to five times. Similar findings emerged from a 2007 UNODC (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime) report that evaluated national data collection mechanisms related to HIV among PWIDs in nine lower income countries including Russia [64].

HIV Surveillance and Hidden Populations

HIV surveillance is further complicated by Russian drug laws, police, medical, and public attitudes. Most international observers regard Russian drug laws as punitive, unsupported by scientific evidence, and ineffective [65]. A 2010 study into police behavior found widespread reports of extrajudicial policing practices, including extortion, torture, and rape of PWIDs [66]. Attitudes among medical staff too are generally negative towards PLHIV [67,68]. Public opinion is also generally negative towards individuals acquiring HIV sexually or through drug use [69]. As a consequence of professional and social attitudes, many PLHIV avoid contact with medical organizations and avoid testing for HIV. Literature suggests there are disincentives for Russian PLHIV accessing health information directly from health professionals.

International researchers generally regard Russian HIV-positive PWIDs as a population hidden from public health surveillance. Since the early 2000s, researchers have sought to improve population estimates and document the conditions experienced by Russian PWIDs living with HIV [70,71]. Traditional surveys and sampling methods among PWIDs are unreliable, as individuals may not report accurately on stigmatized and illegal behaviors. A 2011 study in Russia found that, among 193 HIV-positive participants, only 36% were aware of their HIV status [72]. Another study of HIV-positive Russian PWIDs found persistent high-risk behaviors associated with HIV transmission [73]. Among study participants, 25% had been refused access to medical care, 18% were refused employment or fired, and 6% were forced from family homes. Researchers found 39% of participants had probable clinical depression, and 37% had anxiety levels comparable to psychiatric inpatients.

In summary, there is considerable evidence that Russia has large numbers of PLHIV, many of whom are likely to be alienated from the formal health system and be absent from official statistics. The high rates of Internet searches for health information, combined with stigmatization of HIV, suggest that the Internet may be an important resource for PLHIV in Russia.

Russian injecting drug users have generally avoided contact with the formal health system. Between 2004 and 2011, much of the contact with injecting drug users and other groups at high risk of HIV was conducted by donor-funded Russian non-governmental organizations (NGOs). The behavioral surveillance data collected by these NGOs also contributed to Russian national HIV reporting to UNAIDS. However, as the result of government pressures, the number of donor-funded harm reduction NGO projects in Russia decreased from 70 in 2007 to 20 in 2011 [74]. The decrease in NGOs may also have eroded the capacity for data collection from populations at risk of HIV. In 2012, Russia did not report any HIV behavioral surveillance data associated with injecting drug use and sex work [75]. In summary, the progressive dismantling of harm reduction projects in Russia means only surveillance data from individuals formally diagnosed with HIV in government clinics
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are available. Injecting drug workers, sex workers, and others at risk of HIV have disappeared from Russian government reporting.

**RQ1 Method: Is Search Surveillance a Valid Method for Monitoring HIV in Russia?**

To answer this research question, we examined the relationship between HIV prevalence across the Russian Federation and Internet searches for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS”. First, we obtained HIV prevalence data for each region and subregion from the Russian Federal AIDS Centre [60]. We chose 2011 data as this was the latest complete dataset available. The Russian Federal AIDS Centre publishes the most timely and comprehensive HIV dataset available. However, these data are limited to formally diagnosed PLHIV and likely exclude many individuals at risk of HIV, or of uncertain serostatus, who deliberately avoid contact with government health services.

Second, we selected two terms to represent HIV searches. These two search terms were “HIV” (VICh in Russian) and “AIDS” (SPID). We referred to the Google Trends related-terms feature [76] to ensure each term referred to the subject of this study. In the case of “HIV”, all terms were related to HIV, whereas the term “AIDS” revealed several unrelated terms (Table 2). For example, the second most popular term associated with “AIDS” referred to the computer game “need for speed”. Based on these results, we anticipated that the search term “HIV” would have a stronger positive correlation with HIV prevalence than the term “AIDS”.

Third, we aggregated Yandex searches for each month of 2011 to produce a single annual search figure for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS” for each of Russia’s 89 subregions (see the map in Multimedia Appendix 1 [77]) covering the data range January 1 to December 31, 2011. In Russian federal statistical compilations, several smaller subregions are routinely aggregated, producing 83 rather than 89 statistical subregions. In our calculations, we used population prevalence of HIV and searches rather than raw figures. This allowed comparison across regions and subregions. We used this single 2011 annual HIV search in our further calculations.

Fourth, we conducted Spearman correlations of per-capita Yandex monthly searches for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS” against HIV prevalence data for all Russian subregions in 2011. We repeated this process with each of eight Russian regions. This provided us with national and regional correlations between search and prevalence data for 2011.

Fifth, we obtained all available Google data for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS” for 2011 and repeated this analysis for validation purposes. Google search data for the term “HIV” were available for 16 regions and for “AIDS” for 29 regions. We then conducted Spearman correlations between Google and Yandex data for validation purposes.

### Table 2. Google Trends—Related terms for HIV and AIDS in the Russian Federation in 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search related terms</th>
<th>Russian</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>“HIV”</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symptoms HIV</td>
<td>симптомы вич</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symptoms</td>
<td>симптомы</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>спид</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS HIV</td>
<td>спид вич</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection</td>
<td>вич инфекции</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV signs</td>
<td>вич признаки</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analysis for HIV</td>
<td>анализ вич</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection</td>
<td>вич инфекция</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV dating</td>
<td>вич знакомства</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV photo</td>
<td>вич фото</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“AIDS”</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>test AIDS</td>
<td>тест спид</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>need for speed</td>
<td>нид фор спид</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS HIV</td>
<td>спид вич</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>спид</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS info</td>
<td>спид инфо</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS centre</td>
<td>спид центр</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS symptoms</td>
<td>спид симптомы</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS photo</td>
<td>спид фото</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS test</td>
<td>спид тест</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speed hack</td>
<td>спид хак</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.jmir.org/2013/11/e256/
RQ2: What is the Relationship Between the Determinants of Internet Access and Searches for the Term “HIV” Across Russia?

The relationship between Internet search patterns for specific health problems and the prevalence of these problems in populations is now well established. However, Internet users may not be representative of overall populations. Further, the characteristics of the Internet using population cannot be directly ascertained from search pattern data. We sought to extend understanding of the characteristics of Internet searching populations through data matching the determinants of Internet access (ie, age, income, broadband access price, and urban to rural ratios) with search patterns through multivariate analysis.

Several studies have examined the socioeconomic factors associated with HIV prevalence and injecting drug use in Russia. Moran et al investigated the relative importance of several variables in influencing HIV prevalence in a cross-sectional study based solely on Russian federal government statistics [78]. The authors found urbanization, mobility, crime, and income growth associated with HIV prevalence. In 2011, researchers surveyed 711 PWIDs in two large provincial cities [79]. The researchers concluded PWIDs were typical Russians when compared with a random population. However, investigators drew their random sample from 2004 household survey data. While Russian per capita income grew from US $9800 in 2004 to US $17,000 in 2011 [80], the authors did not comment on this potentially important confounder. These two studies illustrate the logistic difficulties of obtaining timely, valid, and independent data in Russia.

Our Methodology

We examined the relationship between spatial patterns of online searches for the term “HIV” and the determinants of Internet access. We used data from RQ1 in our analysis. In RQ1, we demonstrated the relationship between HIV prevalence and searches for “HIV”. While this relationship was generally strong, differences in search patterns across regions may reflect differences in the determinants of Internet access as well as differences in HIV rates.

We selected principal component analysis (PCA) to explore the relationship between the determinants of Internet access and searches for the term “HIV”. PCA is a method of multivariate analysis for finding patterns in data rather than hypothesis testing. PCA aids in the interpretation of relationships in the original data by transforming the original variables into a new set of variables, the principal components [81,82]. PCA has been widely used in public health to study relationships of health problems to socioeconomic variables. For example, PCA has been used to investigate European tumor prevalence [83], nutritional epidemiology in Greece [84], and epidemiological analysis in low- and middle-income countries [85]. As a consequence, we considered PCA an appropriate method for this exploratory study.

First, we collated the data sources. We obtained search pattern data for the term “HIV” through PCA. We obtained Russian-language data for five determinants of Internet access (see Table 3) from the Russian federal statistics agency for 83 Russian statistical regions [86]. The determinants of Internet access comprised each a single figure for each subregion for 2011. In compiling our data, we sought to most closely align search pattern, HIV prevalence, and determinants of Internet access data.

Second, we conducted PCA on all Russian subregions to produce a national level analysis. We included the determinants of Internet access and per capita search for “HIV” for all subregions. We used a correlation matrix approach to standardize the variables, as we used different units with differing variances. Based on our review of Internet determinants, we anticipated that the variables we chose to analyze would correlate.

Third, we conducted separate PCAs to examine the relationship between HIV search patterns and the determinants of Internet access separately on each of the eight Russian regions. Previous research suggests that, while there is no minimum of variables and cases in PCA, a larger number is preferable [87]. In designing this study, we purposely selected a smaller number of variables. We did this to permit analysis of both national data, as well as of regions with smaller number of subregions. Through analyzing both national and regional PCA separately, we anticipated we would identify additional spatial relationships not obvious at the national level.

Table 3. Determinants of Internet access—List of variables in PCA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Determinant of Internet access (abbreviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable 1</td>
<td>Higher education students per 100,000 population (age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 2</td>
<td>Percentage aged 25-64 with higher education (education)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 3</td>
<td>Gross regional product per capita (income)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 4</td>
<td>Broadband price per month (Bband price)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 5</td>
<td>Urban / rural population (urbanization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 6</td>
<td>Searches for HIV per 100,000 population during 2011 (search)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Correlations

We first investigated search surveillance as a valid method for monitoring HIV in Russia. We found generally strong correlations between HIV prevalence and searches for the terms “HIV” and “AIDS”. Yandex searches for “HIV” were very strongly correlated with HIV prevalence (Spearman rank-order coefficient \( r_s = .881, P \leq .001 \)), whereas “AIDS” was strongly correlated nationally (\( r_s = .714, P \leq .001 \)) (see Table 4). The strength of correlations varied across Russian regions. Several regions were less strongly correlated in Yandex. For example, HIV prevalence and searches in the central and northwestern regions were moderately correlated as a result of outlier data points. Further, Google national searches for the term “HIV” were moderately correlated (\( r_s = .672, P = .004 \)) with HIV prevalence and weakly correlated with Yandex searches for “HIV” (\( r_s = .584, P \leq .001 \)) (see Table 5).

Second, we examined the relationship between the determinants of Internet access and search patterns for the term “HIV” across Russia. We found considerable variation in the relationship between these determinants and search patterns. We first analyzed national PCA results (Table 6). We determined the number of components to analyze using the Kaiser, Scree, and cumulative variance methods [82]. Kaiser and scree tests suggested three principal components (PCs), and the cumulative variance method suggested four PCs should be analyzed. In PC1 (the first and most important component), HIV search, age, and educational variables were moderately correlated. In PC2, per capita income was most important. This factor was weakly correlated with searches for HIV and explained 23% of the variance. The subsequent two components, which explain less variance, are more difficult to interpret.

Table 4. HIV and AIDS correlations from Yandex—National and all federal regions of Russian Federation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>HIV prevalence per 100,000 pop'n</th>
<th>Searches for “HIV” per 1000 pop’n</th>
<th>Spearman correlation for HIV prevalence &amp; “HIV” (2-tailed P value)</th>
<th>Searches for “AIDS” per 1000 pop’n</th>
<th>Spearman correlation for HIV prevalence &amp; term “AIDS” (2-tailed P value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>446.513</td>
<td>16.995</td>
<td>.881 (.001)</td>
<td>19.312</td>
<td>.714 (.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>279.2</td>
<td>21.832</td>
<td>.377 (.006)</td>
<td>21.215</td>
<td>-.123 (.386)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>586.6</td>
<td>23.619</td>
<td>.482 (.001)</td>
<td>26.383</td>
<td>.209 (.137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>8.397</td>
<td>.486 (.001)</td>
<td>12.665</td>
<td>.486 (.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Caucasus</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>2.758</td>
<td>-.179 (.206)</td>
<td>6.666</td>
<td>-.286 (.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volga</td>
<td>437.8</td>
<td>17.322</td>
<td>.793 (.001)</td>
<td>20.366</td>
<td>.380 (.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urals</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>24.037</td>
<td>.657 (.001)</td>
<td>19.379</td>
<td>.429 (.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian</td>
<td>528.1</td>
<td>13.962</td>
<td>.804 (.001)</td>
<td>15.561</td>
<td>.503 (.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far east</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td>7.473</td>
<td>.017 (.907)</td>
<td>11.197</td>
<td>.083 (.557)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outliers.

Table 5. Google Trends search results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIDS searches</th>
<th>HIV searches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of regions</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spearman correlation, HIV prevalence (2-tailed P value)</td>
<td>.584 (P=.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spearman correlation, Google with Yandex (2-tailed P value)</td>
<td>-.289 (P=.129)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. National PCA results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance of components</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>PC4</th>
<th>PC5</th>
<th>PC6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of variance</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative proportion</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loadings

- **Age**: -0.560
- **Education**: -0.479
- **Income**: 0.215
- **Bband price**: 0.329
- **Urbanization**: 0.131
- **Search**: -0.533

Biplots and Spatial Relationships

We used biplots to explain spatial relationships in our PCA results. Biplots provide a visual representation of PCA data from the first two PCs [88]. Biplots allow identification of clusters of subregions with similar characteristics. Further, the clustering of subregions along vector lines serves to highlight subregions more strongly associated with specific variables. Importantly, the clustering of subregions is subjective and requires additional analysis. On the national HIV search biplot (see Figure 1), PC1 was associated with Vector 3 (income), Vector 4 (broadband price), and Vector 5 (urbanization). PC2 was associated with Vector 1 (age), Vector 2 (education), and Vector 6 (HIV search). We obtained PCA results and biplots for all eight Russian regions. See Multimedia Appendix 2 for a list of subregions referenced in the national PCA. See Multimedia Appendices 3-5 for biplot results for each Russian federal region. Finally, we conducted a separate PCA for HIV prevalence data. We substituted the variable HIV search with HIV prevalence. The results of a PCA incorporating the variable HIV prevalence produced results with a similar form to those incorporating HIV searches at both the national and regional levels.

Table 7. Summary of national biplots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Geographic clusters</th>
<th>Outliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Discussion

Principal Findings

Overall, we found search patterns were a valid method of HIV surveillance in the Russian Federation. Furthermore, our research suggests that search patterns for HIV are generally not related to income or broadband price. However across Russian regions, we found considerable variation in the strength of correlations between search and disease prevalence, and the determinants of Internet access. Finally, our analysis suggested that the strong correlations between search and disease prevalence may indicate effective use of the Internet by individuals at risk of HIV and PLHIV.

RQ1: Is Search Surveillance a Valid Method for Monitoring HIV in Russia?

We found online search patterns for HIV were correlated with HIV prevalence in both Google and Yandex at the national level. It is noteworthy that the latest official Russian HIV data available at the time of writing in mid-2013 were for the year 2011. By contrast, Yandex search data were available with a delay of 4 weeks and Google data with a 48-hour delay. This timely availability illustrates the potential contribution of search pattern data to disease surveillance.

Second, we found considerable variation in the strength of correlations among regions in Yandex data. Overall, we found Yandex searches for the term “HIV” and HIV prevalence were most strongly correlated. This suggests PLHIV are more likely to search for “HIV” than “AIDS”. In the North Caucasus and far eastern regions, HIV prevalence was not positively correlated with search. We attribute this to the low HIV prevalence and low search volumes in these regions. By contrast, in the central and northwestern regions, search volumes and HIV prevalence were high, but correlations were moderate. We attributed the weaker correlations to outliers in Yandex data. Removing the central (Moscow subregion) and northwestern region (Leningrad subregion), outliers strengthened correlations from 0.377 to 0.551 and 0.482 to 0.939 respectively. This suggests correlation analysis should routinely account for outlying subregions.

Third, we found Google data are not adequate for subnational HIV surveillance in Russia. We attribute the low correlations to the multiple zero values present in our Google dataset. Of the 15 regions for which Google data were available, many months recorded a zero search value for the term “HIV”. These zero values were consistent with an earlier study [46] of the use of Google search for health policy analysis in Russia that found Google Trends requires an unknown threshold before results are displayed. While national level Google data were correlated with HIV prevalence, our analysis suggests it should not be used for regional analysis.

Finally, our results contribute to understanding of hidden populations of PLHIV in Russia. There is a general consensus that Russian HIV rates are underreported. Previous studies have reported considerable at-risk populations unaware of their HIV status in subregions with high HIV prevalence (eg, [74]). However, we found strong spatial correlations between official HIV rates and searches for HIV. This finding has several interpretations. First, the spatial variation in search results also appears in traditional surveillance. Researchers have found high populations of unknown HIV serostatus in subregions of high HIV prevalence. That is, additional searches for HIV related information by populations at risk of HIV and unknown serostatus may inflate already high search volumes in those subregions with high HIV prevalence. However, these additional searches would not change the overall spatial distribution of search patterns. A second interpretation relates to the search data used. Our analysis relied on annually aggregated search results. Our results are thus a static view of HIV prevalence over a 12-month period. This static view does not capture longitudinal anomalies in search patterns. While this 12-month snapshot was appropriate for the purposes of this study,
monitoring of weekly and monthly search patterns may produce different results and reveal spatial variations in searches.

**RQ2: What is the Relationship Between the Determinants of Internet Access and Search Patterns for the Term “HIV” Across Russia?**

We analyzed national and regional PCA results separately. First, we examined the two national level biplots. One biplot incorporated HIV prevalence as a variable and the other searches for “HIV”. All other variables remained consistent (see Figure 1). We found these two biplots to be near isomorphic.

The separate national biplots containing both HIV prevalence and HIV search produced three logically coherent geographical clusters. National cluster 1 was characterized by low-income, non-ethnic Russian subregions with low HIV prevalence. The exception to this is the Sakhalin subregion, with high per capita income. This clustering occurred along the broadband vector (V4), suggesting high broadband prices and limited access.

National cluster 2 was associated with the urbanization vector (V5). It includes urbanized non-metropolitan areas. National cluster 3 included the Russian cities with the highest prevalence of HIV, along the HIV search/prevalence (V6) and education vectors (V2). In addition, it included the Magadan subregion. The Magadan subregion is highly urbanized but has a low HIV prevalence and low population. We attribute the inclusion of Magadan in this cluster as an indicator of potential HIV risk. Conversely, the isolation of Magadan, in northeastern Russia, away from borders and drug routes, suggests a lower risk of HIV transmission through injecting drug use.

Both national PCA biplots featured several outlier subregions (see Figure 1). For example, the Yamalo Nenets subregion (61) was an outlier. This is an oil-producing subregion, with very high per capita incomes and below average HIV rates. It was strongly associated with the income vector (V3). Second, Moscow and St Petersburg were outliers. We attribute these cities’ outlier positions to a statistical anomaly. Each city had a rural to urban ratio of zero and very high Internet access rates and incomes. In summary, national level PCA analysis of both the HIV prevalence and HIV search biplots suggested a stronger relationship between broadband access prices in several subregions. See Multimedia Appendix 4 for a discussion of PCA in Russian regions.

In summary, PCA is not a technique that establishes causal relationships. However, based on our preliminary analysis, we suggest that income and broadband prices do not generally appear to be associated with HIV searches, either positively or negatively, in the subregions of highest HIV prevalence. Further research, in the form of confirmatory factor analysis and regression analysis is needed to establish this relationship statistically. Contingent upon the results of this additional analysis, HIV search pattern data may be incorporated into HIV modeling.

Our findings extend beyond an examination of the digital divide in Russia as defined by access to the Internet. There is also a behavioral dimension implicit in our two research questions. Search patterns measure aggregate behavior at the population level, with important issues more frequently searched. Searches for the term “HIV” measure the importance of this disease in a population. Consequently, the generally strong correlations between search patterns and disease prevalence lead us to infer that the Internet is being used effectively by PLHIV. That is, searchers for “HIV” demonstrate the technical competence to search for health information they consider important. However, this is a cautious conclusion, and one that merits further research.

**Further Research and Limitations**

Our research suggests that further exploratory analysis applying search pattern methods to HIV surveillance in Russia is warranted. First, PWID and sex worker populations may be at increased risk of HIV as the result of the Russian government’s censorship of prevention, treatment, and care information and decreased behavioral monitoring capacity among internationally funded NGOs. Further, in 2013, concerns emerged about the capacity of independent Russian social research organizations to continue unencumbered data collection. Search methods may present a partial solution to these emerging information constraints. Internet search patterns provide a valid near real time measure of health behaviors in the field at population level.

Second, additional research is required to establish how effectively Russians use the Internet for HIV and health information. Qualitative and survey research among populations at risk of HIV and PLHIV will assist the further development of search surveillance methods and the planning of online interventions. Research in Russia should also examine the quality of health information available to PLHIV, both through domestic and international Russian language websites.

Third, organizations working with at-risk populations and PLHIV may consider initiating studies that establish baseline measures of search patterns for HIV and related diseases. From these baselines, longitudinal studies will be able to rapidly identify unanticipated shifts in spatial and temporal patterns of HIV-related searches and HIV prevalence, well in advance of official incidence and prevalence data.

Fourth, the method described in this paper can be extended to other communicable and non-communicable diseases in Russian-speaking countries. Broader application of this method may require initial disease-by-disease and country-by-country validation. However, even without validation, this method provides a low-cost, rapid, timely initial assessment with which to shape further planning, analysis, and decision making.

Finally, our research had several limitations. First, we were constrained by the absence of time series data. To conduct data matching for the PCA, we used a single aggregate figure to represent total searches nationally and within each subregion. We believe this analysis would be strengthened by a month-to-month comparison of HIV prevalence data in each Russian region. Such data are not publicly available. Second, Google is the only data source in most middle income countries. This limits the application of this method. An important exception is China, where Baidu is increasingly being used alongside Google for disease surveillance.
Conclusions
The use of data for disease surveillance has been widely promoted in popular literature. Under the rubric of “big data”, journalists have popularized the novel application of Internet search patterns in medicine [92]. Scholars too, have speculated that data availability will lead to the evolution of new models of disease surveillance [93-95]. While the potential application of large scale data analysis in health care has generated considerable popular and scholarly interest, most research has focused on high-income countries with well-functioning public health information systems. We believe it is in middle-income countries that search methods can make the greatest contribution to public health. It is in these countries that traditional surveillance systems are least developed and health data least available. Clearly, a digital divide between rich and poor countries persists. However, Internet access in middle-income countries is growing rapidly, and online health information is in demonstrably high demand. Based on our preliminary research, we are cautiously optimistic in suggesting that access to the Internet should therefore not be considered a constraint to conducting search studies beyond high-income countries. It is in lower income countries that search pattern surveillance may move beyond a statistical novelty and be incorporated into local health data collection and decision making.
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Abstract

Background: Twitter is a widely used social medium. However, its application in promoting health behaviors is understudied.

Objective: In order to provide insights into designing health marketing interventions to promote physical activity on Twitter, this exploratory infodemiology study applied both social cognitive theory and the path model of online word of mouth to examine the distribution of different electronic word of mouth (eWOM) characteristics among personal tweets about physical activity in the United States.

Methods: This study used 113 keywords to retrieve 1 million public tweets about physical activity in the United States posted between January 1 and March 31, 2011. A total of 30,000 tweets were randomly selected and sorted based on numbers generated by a random number generator. Two coders scanned the first 16,100 tweets and yielded 4672 (29.02%) tweets that they both agreed to be about physical activity and were from personal accounts. Finally, 1500 tweets were randomly selected from the 4672 tweets (32.11%) for further coding. After intercoder reliability scores reached satisfactory levels in the pilot coding (100 tweets separate from the final 1500 tweets), 2 coders coded 750 tweets each. Descriptive analyses, Mann-Whitney U tests, and Fisher exact tests were performed.

Results: Tweets about physical activity were dominated by neutral sentiments (1270/1500, 84.67%). Providing opinions or information regarding physical activity (1464/1500, 97.60%) and chatting about physical activity (1354/1500, 90.27%) were found to be popular on Twitter. Approximately 60% (905/1500, 60.33%) of the tweets demonstrated users’ past or current participation in physical activity or intentions to participate in physical activity. However, social support about physical activity was provided in less than 10% (135/1500, 9.00%). Users with fewer people following their tweets (followers) (P=.02) and with fewer accounts that they followed (followings) (P=.04) were more likely to talk positively about physical activity on Twitter. People with more followers were more likely to post neutral tweets about physical activity (P=.04). People with more followings were more likely to forward tweets (P=.04). People with larger differences between number of followers and followings were more likely to mention companionship support for physical activity on Twitter (P=.04).

Conclusions: Future health marketing interventions promoting physical activity should segment Twitter users based on their number of followers, followings, and gaps between the number of followers and followings. The innovative application of both
marketing and public health theory to examine tweets about physical activity could be extended to other infodemiology or infoveillance studies on other health behaviors (eg, vaccinations).
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Introduction

Background
Twitter, a microblogging service and social networking site [1,2], provides a public platform to study the distribution and determinants of information with the ultimate aim to inform public health and public policy. This has been referred to as infodemiology or infoveillance with the primary aim of surveillance [3,4]. A few pioneering infoveillance scholars have successfully used Twitter to monitor people’s status updates to track illness over time, often referred to as syndromic surveillance [3-6], such as during the H1N1 outbreak [7,8]. Other infoveillance studies analyzed how people share health information on Twitter and have monitored their health-related behaviors [3,4], such as antibiotic use [9], drug abuse [10], dietary behavior [11], and smoking behavior [12]. However, to date little larger-scale research has addressed the distribution of information about health behaviors among personal Twitter users rather than organizational Twitter accounts in the United States.

The current exploratory study aims to fill this gap and to inform the development of future health marketing interventions aiming to promote physical activity in the United States on this far-reaching communication platform. The Pew Internet & American Life Project found that 13% of online adults [13] and 8% of teenagers (aged 12-17 years) use Twitter [14] in the United States. In 2009, 12% of the people who looked online for health information also used Twitter to share health updates about themselves or to see updates about others [15].

The current study focuses on the health behavior of physical activity, in part to address the epidemic of inactivity in the United States. According to the 2009 US Youth Risk Behavior Survey, only 18% of students in grades 9 to 12 participated in at least 60 minutes of physical activity per day, and only 33% attended physical education class daily [16]. An examination of physical activity prevalence in the United States, derived from the results of the 2008 National Health Interview Survey, found that fewer than half (43.5%) of adults were aerobically active, a little over one-fifth (21.9%) met the muscle-strengthening guideline, and only 18.2% met both the muscle-strengthening guideline and were aerobically active throughout the year [17].

The current study examines the dissemination and sharing of information about physical activity among personal Twitter users rather than organizational Twitter accounts, physical activity facility, or physical activity equipment company accounts. In marketing research, digital sharing among individuals is called electronic word of mouth (eWOM), which refers to the online information exchange between and among a large number of consumers about a product [18]. In this study, the product is physical activity and the consumers are Twitter users. We focused on eWOM rather than information sent from organizational accounts because eWOM on social networking sites features higher response rates and can be archived in a manner that extends influence to more receivers over longer periods of time compared to other marketing techniques [19]. Additionally, we were interested in the organic communication among users about physical activity, which occurs outside organizational influences and is typically the target of interventions.

To suggest guidelines for designing future health marketing interventions aiming to promote physical activity on Twitter, the current study examines both the format and the content of eWOM about physical activity on Twitter. We innovatively applied marketing and health behavior principles simultaneously. The marketing principles we examined included valence, eWOM components (ie, opinion leadership and opinion seeking), and eWOM consequences (ie, forwarding and chatting). In the context of health behavior theory, we examined physical activity modeling, social support, and negativity. Furthermore, this study examined how the characteristics of eWOM varied among tweets from users with different networking characteristics, including number of followers, number of followings (Twitter accounts that a user is following), and ratios of number of followers to followings.

Marketing Aspects

Valence
Marketers are especially interested in whether their products are talked about positively, negatively, or neutrally [20]. Positive word of mouth (WOM) includes “relating pleasant, vivid, and novel experiences, recommendations to others, and even conspicuous display” whereas negative WOM includes “behaviors such as product denigration, relating unpleasant experiences, rumor, and private complaining” [21]. Exposure to negative WOM is associated with low probability of purchasing a product, whereas positive WOM is associated with high probability of purchasing [22]. For example, valence of eWOM has been found to influence box office revenue [23] and book sales [24]. Infodemiology studies have also analyzed the sentiment in surveillance of health beliefs [25] and tobacco-related tweets [12].

Components of Electronic Word of Mouth
In addition to valence, the current study addresses the mechanism of interactions, referred to as components of WOM [26] or eWOM [27], between Twitter users regarding physical activity. According to the path model of antecedents and consequences of online WOM, eWOM is composed of 2 forms
of interactions. Online opinion leadership is the process by which people attempt to influence others’ purchasing behavior for a certain product. Online opinion seeking refers to the process by which people seek advice when purchasing a certain product [27].

A few infodemiology studies have explored how the information about different health conditions is presented on Twitter. For example, in the context of H1N1, approximately 10% of the tweets were in the form of questions [7]. In contrast, for concussions, approximately 1.4% of the tweets sought explicit advice [28]. However, little research has explored the mechanisms of interactions between Twitter users on Twitter about “purchasing” a specific health behavior (ie, physical activity participation).

Consequences of Electronic Word of Mouth

Based on the path model of antecedents and consequences of online word of mouth, eWOM has 2 consequences: forwarding and chatting [27]. With a limit of 140 characters (including all punctuation and spaces), Twitter is a rapid mode of communication that permits frequent updates [2]. Thus, Twitter is largely used for daily chatter, conversations, sharing information, and reporting news [2]. In addition, tweets can be archived and retrieved later by followers [29,30], extending their possible influence on others. On the other hand, the forwarding function of Twitter enables viral advertising, which is “a widely used form of unpaid communication through persuasive messages created by identifiable sponsors and distributed among peers on interactive digital platforms” [31]. Viral advertising can exponentially increase the number of people who receive a particular message and can work in conjunction with eWOM to drive communication about a topic or message.

Health Behavior Aspects

Overview

Physical activity as a health behavior is a unique “product.” Purchasing in this case refers to participation. Moreover, the purchasing can be influenced by different social factors. Thus, in addition to the traditional eWOM characteristics for commercial products, this study also examined the health behavior aspects of eWOM about physical activity participation.

Physical Activity Modeling

Based on social cognitive theory (SCT), health behaviors can be acquired through observational learning or modeling, which is to watch and mimic the actions and outcomes of others’ behavior [32]. Observational learning can occur through many channels: face-to-face observation [32], mass media [33], and online interactions [34]. The current study examined how Twitter users provide opportunities for others to engage in observational learning about physical activity, a behavior that we refer to as physical activity modeling. In addition to actual past and current participation in physical activity, this study investigates eWOM related to the intention to participate in physical activity. Research suggests that observational learning is acquired not only from viewing others’ actions, but also through perceiving the models’ intention and then imitating their goal [35,36].

Social Support and Social Negativity

Apart from observational learning, SCT posits that one’s behavior is influenced by their social environment, which includes family members, friends, and acquaintances [32]. Influence exerted in a social environment can include both support and negativity [37], which have been found to play an important role in predicting physical activity participation [38,39]. Examining whether individuals exert social support and/or negativity on Twitter could provide insight into whether and to what extent Twitter might be used as a channel for social influence in future physical activity interventions. Chogahara [37] further categorized social support into 3 dimensions: companionship support, informational support, and esteem support. Chogahara also classified negativity into 3 dimensions: inhibitive, justifying, and criticizing behavior [37]. Examining these dimensions could help public health interventions target certain dimensions of social influence.

Therefore, regarding the information exchange among individuals about physical activity on Twitter, what is the distribution of (1) valence (positive, neutral, and negative); (2) eWOM components (opinion leadership and online opinion seeking); (3) eWOM consequences (chatting and forwarding); (4) physical activity modeling (communicating intention, past behavior, and current behavior); (5) social support (companionship, informational and esteem support); and (6) social negativity (inhibitive, justifying, and criticizing behavior)?

Networking Characteristics

Overview

Internet social connection is an antecedent of eWOM [27]. Twitter has a unique social networking function. It enables users to choose whom to receive information from, called followings, and who can receive their information, called followers [1,2]. Both the number of followings and followings for a user are shown on the Twitter profile and can be obtained by Twitter’s application programming interface if users set their profile as public [1,2]. Thus, this study focused on 3 aspects of networking characteristics visible or easy to be estimated by other users: number of followers, number of followings, and the ratio of number of followers to followings.

Number of Followers and Followings

The number of contacts is an important aspect of traditional WOM [20]. The number of people following an individual is an indication of that person’s popularity on Twitter [40,41]. Popularity, in turn, is an indicator of potential influence [41]. On the other hand, the number of followings can be seen as an indicator of inquisitiveness or how much of an expert one is [42]. To guide future physical activity interventions on Twitter, the current study also explores how the number of followers and followings is associated with the way a user talks about physical activity on Twitter.

Therefore, for tweets about physical activity, how does the number of followers and followings relate to differences in (1) valence, (2) eWOM components, (3) eWOM consequences, (4)
physical activity modeling, (5) social support, and (6) social negativity?

**Followers Versus Followings**

The difference between the number of followers and followings can also provide useful networking information [1,43]. Twitter users perceive other users with a narrower gap between the number of followers and followings as more credible [42]. Thus, to provide insights into designing future physical activity marketing interventions on Twitter, this study examined how the people with wider and narrower gaps between the number of followers and followings talked about physical activity differently on Twitter.

Specifically, in tweets about physical activity, how does the gap between number of followers and followings relate to differences in (1) valence, (2) eWOM components, (3) eWOM consequences, (4) physical activity modeling, (5) social support, and (6) social negativity?

**Methods**

**Data Retrieval**

Using a Twitter-streaming application programming interface, 1 million tweets posted between January 1 and March 31, 2011, in the United States containing 1 of 113 key physical activity words (see Multimedia Appendix 1) in either hashtags or the text body were retrieved. The first tweet with a physical activity keyword was posted at 03:33:39 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) on Tuesday, January 4, 2011. The millionth tweet with a physical activity keyword was posted at 00:29:34 UTC on Thursday, March 31, 2011. The keywords included all activities from lists of published physical activity measures [eg, the Physical Activity Questionnaire for adults [44], the compendium of physical activities [45], and lists of fitness programs available at a Midwestern university [46]]. Synonyms were grouped after consulting a standard thesaurus and dictionaries of American slang. We also pilot-tested the keywords to ensure the list adequately addressed the physical activity content and word usage among Twitter users. To ascertain the inclusion of tweets about similar types of physical activity, different tenses, word forms (eg, walk, walking, walked), and popular Internet expressions (eg, bball and B-ball for basketball) were also used as keywords. The keywords used to search included, but were not limited to, biking, climbing, golf, hockey, jogging, pull-up, sit-up, swimming, tennis, treadmill, walking, yoga, and Zumba (see Multimedia Appendix 1).

**Scanning and Sampling**

Two coders (native English speakers) were trained to scan tweets to exclude those that were not about physical activity and not from personal accounts. The exclusion criteria covered (1) tweets posted by an organization (discerned by username) and (2) tweets that included 1 of the keywords but were not about physical activity (eg, some advertisement about physical activity equipment). For example, a tweet including the word “pump” in reference to filling one’s gas tank was excluded. Non-English tweets were also excluded.

First, 30,000 tweets were randomly selected from the pool of 1 million tweets containing physical activity keywords. Second, the 30,000 tweets were sorted based on numbers generated by a random number generator. Third, the 2 coders scanned the first 16,100 tweets and yielded 4672 (29.02%) tweets that they both agreed to be about physical activity and were from personal accounts. Finally, 1500 tweets were randomly selected from the 4672 tweets (32.11%) for further coding. All 1500 selected tweets were from unique users as determined by user and Twitter account names.

**Coding**

The unit of analysis was a single tweet. The main concepts coded included (1) valence of eWOM, (2) components of eWOM, (3) consequences of eWOM, (4) physical activity modeling, (5) social support, and (6) social negativity. Coders could select all values that applied for most of the concepts except for physical activity modeling. See Table 1 for the coding scheme.

Intercoder reliability was calculated using 100 tweets. Two graduate students—a master’s and a doctoral student in public health—were trained and then completed a preliminary round of coding 100 tweets separate from the 1500 tweets. After the first round of reliability calculation, disagreements between the coders were discussed and the coding scheme was revised based on these discussions. Because some important variables were skewed (eg, showing in very few instances), this study used Holst’s method to determine intercoder reliability [47]. The intercoder reliability scores ranged from 0.83 to 0.98 and were all acceptable (see Table 1). After the intercoder reliability was estimated, the 2 coders each coded 750 tweets.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive analyses were conducted for the timing of posting the tweets, the number of followers, and people the users were following. Descriptive analyses for all eWOM characteristics were performed for (1) valence, (2) eWOM components, (3) eWOM consequences, (4) physical activity modeling, (5) social support, and (6) social negativity. Because the distribution of the number of followers and followings was quite skewed, we used the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (which does not require the normal distribution assumption) [48] to investigate if the distribution of the number of followers and followings differed across different eWOM characteristics.

For the gap between the number of followings and followers, a narrow gap was defined as a ratio of 0.9-1.1 between the number of followers and followings, whereas a wide gap group was defined as a ratio less than 0.9 or higher than 1.1 [42]. Fisher exact test was used to test if eWOM characteristics differed between the 2 groups. Fisher exact test was chosen because the data were skewed, and in most tables, 1 or more cells had expected counts less than 5 [49]. Twenty cases with zero followings were deleted because their ratios between the number of followers and followings were not able to be calculated. Thus, the total number (N) in the analysis was 1480.

All analyses were conducted in SPSS 20 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).
Table 1. Coding scheme and intercoder reliability scores of tweets about physical activity (PA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
<th>Real tweet examples</th>
<th>Reliability scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valence (select all that apply)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Explicit sentiments associated with either a kind of PA itself or participating in PA or the environment where PA takes place</td>
<td>A best day of personal fitness EVER=running around kinnick. :) football season get here faster-rr</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complimenting and relating pleasant and vivid experiences; praise/favorableness</td>
<td>No one wants to go to the rec with me... #wah</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Complaining and the relating of unpleasant experiences</td>
<td>fooood, cleaning. gym...</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>No sentiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Components of eWOM about PA (select all that apply)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online opinion leadership</td>
<td>Giving out information or opinions about PA (including PA itself or participating in PA or the environment where PA takes place)</td>
<td>Morning jog. Tennis later. We love sports!</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online opinion seeking</td>
<td>Asking for information and opinions of PA (including PA itself or participating in PA or the environment where PA takes place)</td>
<td>Shall I go swimming or take a bangin nap when i get off work in 30mins?</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication consequences of eWOM (select all that apply)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online chatting</td>
<td>Provide plain text</td>
<td>Finally gym time. If anyone see's JLove on Michigan Ave. Tell her I said no to the pretty red sole shoes in the window!!</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online forwarding</td>
<td>Forward what other people said or the content of a Web page about PA. It could include sharing the Twitter messages from other Twitter users. When the Twitter message contains RT,” code all the contents before and/or following RT. Forwarding the Twitter messages from Web, when the Twitter message contains a website link (URL). If a tweet includes a link, do not need to analyze the content in the link. But you can follow the link to help understanding</td>
<td>RT @* Im bout to go swimming...</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PA modeling (single choice)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to participate in a PA</td>
<td>A statement showing the participant is going to or needs to participate in PA (including intent to and nonintent to)</td>
<td>Feels like going to the gym</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past experience of participating in a PA</td>
<td>A statement that they have done a PA but did not give any advice or support to others</td>
<td>Went to the gym. Tired. Hanging out at older sis house now. Nap is probably needed</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current status of participating in a PA</td>
<td>A statement indicating the participation in PA right at the moment when posting the messages</td>
<td>@*still running ;) 2 more km. .and you? Are you still hungry? :D</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social support and social negativity (select all that apply)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companionship support</td>
<td>Partnership assistance of a PA that suggests “we participate together”(components: coplanning, cooperation, coparticipation, reminding, rescheduling, offering, willingness)</td>
<td>Went on an enjoyable run with the lovely *. Now to do homework for the rest of the night...</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational support</td>
<td>Knowledge assistance of a PA that suggests “you should know” (components: enlightenment, rationalization, clarification, program referral, intensity suggestion, activity recommendation, supporter referral, problem solving, and goal direction)</td>
<td>@* I recommend it for a beginners workout. . DM and I can give you more information.</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esteem support</td>
<td>Esteem information provision of a PA that suggests “you are good” (components: mastery recognition, social comparison, affirmation, respect, reinforcement, interest, and reassurance)</td>
<td>@LAEasyMeals Congrats! Can't imagine running 26.2 in that heat but well done!</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inhibitive behavior: Disapproval and discouraging behavior that suggests "you should not participate in PA" (components: warning, delimitation, worrying, forbidding, threatening, disapproving, and rejection).

Justifying behavior: Excusing and overprotective behavior that suggests "you don’t need to participate in PA" (components: excuse-giving, compromising, exempting, pardoning, and ignoring).

Criticizing behavior: Demanding and blaming behavior that suggests "you are not good at doing PA" (components: exclusion, demanding, nagging, contempt, bothering, depressing, and ridicule).

Real tweet examples:
- Inhibitive behavior: "@* hott as hell in southeast gym"
P: 0.98
- Justifying behavior: "Girl! YOUR body is a solid A+++++++ you don’t need to work out!!" - @*
P: 0.95
- Criticizing behavior: "I’ve been told I play basketball like a girl haha..." P: 0.97

Results

Overview

All 1500 tweets were posted by 1500 distinct users in the first quarter (January 1 to March 31) of 2011 in the United States. Tuesday was the most popular day for posting (245/1500, 16.33% of posts) and followed closely by Monday (234/1500, 15.60%), Wednesday (228/1500, 15.20%), and Thursday (227/1500, 15.13%). Friday was least popular (182/1500, 12.13%), followed by Sunday (184/1500, 12.27%) and Saturday (200/1500, 13.33%). Figure 1 presents a snapshot of the hourly distribution of all 1500 tweets from 0 (00:00-00:59) to 23 (23:00-23:59) UTC.

Users had an average of 576 followers (SD 3183, range 0-82,874, median 122). Users followed an average of 368 people (SD 976, range 0-25,069, median 148). Users posted 6630 total tweets on average, ranging from 1 to 167,517 (SD 14,158). Users followed an average of 368 people (SD 976, range 0-25,069, median 148). Users posted 6630 total tweets on average, ranging from 1 to 167,517 (SD 14,158).

Figure 2 presents the relationship between number of followers and eWOM components, eWOM consequences, and physical activity modeling, including communicating intention to participate in physical activity, past experience participating in physical activity, and current participation (and intention to participate) in physical activity, separately for positive, negative, and neutral valence. There were no significant associations between number of followers and eWOM components, eWOM consequences, or physical activity modeling, including communicating intention to participate in physical activity, past experience participating in physical activity, and current participation (and intention to participate) in physical activity, separately for positive, negative, and neutral valence.

Regarding the distribution of different dimensions of social support, more than 90% of the tweets did not mention any social support (1364/1500, 90.93%). Among the tweets that offered social support (135/1500, 9.00%), informational support was the most frequent (63/135, 46.67%). Social negativity occurred in less than 2% of the tweets (18/1500, 1.20%).

Association Between Number of Followers and Electronic Word of Mouth

The number of followers differed between tweets with positive valence (n=186, mean 508, SD 2919) and others (n=1314, mean 586, SD 3219; P=.02). The number of followers also differed between tweets with neutral valence (n=1272, mean 595, SD 3270) and others (n=228, mean 473, SD 2645; P=.04) in response to valence. There were no significant associations between number of followers and eWOM components, eWOM consequences, physical activity modeling, social support, and social negativity.

Association Between Number of Followings and Electronic Word of Mouth Characteristics

We explored the association between the number of followings and the different aspects of eWOM (see Table 2). The number of followings differed between tweets with positive valence (n=186, mean 283, SD 506) and others (n=1314, mean 380, SD 1025; P=.04).

Table 2 presents the numbers of tweets in each category (eg, positive) of eWOM characteristics (eg, valence). Because 1 tweet can present in more than 1 category of each characteristic (except for physical activity modeling), the numbers in the categories of each eWOM characteristic (except for physical activity modeling) are not mutually exclusive.

Regarding the distribution of eWOM valence (ie, positive, neutral, or negative), approximately 85% of the tweets (1270/1500, 84.67%) had neutral valence only. Tweets with only negative valence comprised less than 3% (41/1500, 2.73%) of the total. In addition, there was 1 tweet (1/1500, 0.07%) that had both positive and neutral value, 1 (1/1500, 0.07%) that had both negative and neutral value, and 4 (4/1500, 0.27%) that had both positive and negative values.

Regarding the distribution of online opinion leadership and online opinion seeking, nearly all tweets (1464/1500, 97.60%) illustrated online opinion leadership only. Online opinion seeking alone was rare (26/1500, 1.73%). In addition, 10 tweets (10/1500, 0.67%) performed both online opinion leadership and opinion seeking.

For the 2 consequences of eWOM (online chatting and online forwarding), approximately 9 in 10 tweets (1354/1500, 90.27%) were in the form of online chatting only. Online forwarding alone occurred in about 1 in 13 tweets (108/1500, 7.20%). Another 36 tweets were in the both forms of online chatting and forwarding.

For the distribution of physical activity modeling, including communicating intention to participate in physical activity, past experience participating in physical activity, and current participation (and intention to participate) in physical activity, approximately 60% (905/1500, 60.33%) of tweets were related to 1 of these 3 areas. Of the tweets that mentioned intention or behavior, more than half (469/905, 51.82%) were about past behavior.
There were no significant associations between number of followings and eWOM components, physical activity modeling, social support, and social negativity. The number of followings differed between users who forwarded tweets about physical activity (n=139, mean 375, SD 814) and others (n=1361, mean 367, SD 992; P=.04). In summary, people who talked positively about physical activity were likely to follow fewer people, whereas people who forwarded information about physical activity were likely to follow more people.

Gaps Between Number of Followers and Followings and Electronic Word of Mouth Characteristics

There were no significant associations between the gap between numbers of followers and followings and valence, eWOM components, eWOM consequences, physical activity modeling, and social negativity. For social support, it was found that people with a wider gap between the number of followers and followings were more likely to provide companionship (P=.04). Table 3 presents the distribution of companionship support based on the gaps between number of followers and followings (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.10-1.0).

Figure 1. Hourly distribution of all 1500 tweets during the day from hour 0 (00:00-00:59) to hour 23 (23:00-23:59) Coordinated Universal Time (UTC).
Figure 2. Scatterplot of the number of followers and followings of users (n=1472).
Table 2. Descriptive electronic word of mouth (eWOM) characteristics of tweets about physical activity (PA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of eWOM</th>
<th>Number of followers Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Number of followings Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>508 (2919) ^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>302 (517)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>595 (3273) ^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components of eWOM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online opinion seeking</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>383 (657)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online opinion leadership</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>583 (3220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of eWOM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatting</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>582 (3316)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forwarding</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>535 (1478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA modeling</td>
<td>905</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>397 (1381)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past behavior</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>600 (2474)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current behavior</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>336 (636)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support and negativity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companionship support</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>440 (827)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational support</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1899 (8504)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esteem support</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>420 (971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>523 (2780)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social negativity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitive behavior</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1354 (1773)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criticizing behavior</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>128 (177)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>577 (3201)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^ The n in categories of each eWOM characteristics (except for PA modeling) were not mutually exclusive.
^b^ \( P < .05 \).

Table 3. Companionship support and gaps between number of followers and followings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaps between number of followers and followings</th>
<th>Companionship support, n (%)</th>
<th>Total, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow gap (0.9-1.1)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>240 (98.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide gap (&lt;0.9 or &gt;1.1)</td>
<td>48 (3.9)</td>
<td>1189 (96.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51 (3.4)</td>
<td>1429 (96.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

This exploratory study examined whether and how people talk about physical activity on Twitter. First, this study examined the valence of physical activity eWOM, an important marketing concern. Second, it explored the components of the path model of antecedents and consequences of online word of mouth [27]. Third, it addressed 3 important constructs of SCT [32]: observational learning (physical activity modeling in this study), social support, and social negativity. Finally, this study tested for differences in eWOM characteristics associated with the number of followers, followings, and the ratio of number of followers to followings.

The distribution of valence across physical activity tweets was different from other commercial products. In a study examining tweets for a variety of products (eg, automotive, computer hardware, consumer electronics, energy, fast food, Internet...
services, personal care, sporting goods, and transportation), approximately 60% of the tweets were positive, 12% were neutral, and 23% were negative [1]. One recent content analysis on tobacco-related tweets found more positive than negative or neutral tweets [12]. However, in the current study, most tweets (85%) were neutral. This finding reflects a possible difference in eWOM between tangible commercial products and health behavior. For instance, commercial products involve tangible costs and benefits, and the transaction can be completed relatively easily in a short time. Physical activity involves more intangible costs, including time and energy, and usually takes longer to “consume.” Moreover, the potential benefits of engaging in physical activity can take even longer to observe. This may preclude users from commenting positively or negatively about physical activity. An alternative explanation is that people might be less willing to comment or have more difficulty commenting on their own behaviors than on commercial products. When people comment on a product or service, they evaluate third-party providers, which is a relatively easy task. When discussing physical activity, however, they have to evaluate their own behaviors and their own selves, which may be more difficult cognitively.

The number of positive physical activity tweets was 4 times higher than negative physical activity tweets. This finding is consistent with past literature, which has shown that positive WOM was more common than negative WOM in 15 studies, with an average incidence ratio of 3:1 [50].

For eWOM components, the results of this study indicate that Twitter is currently used more often to provide opinions or information than to seek opinions or information about physical activity. This finding is consistent with a content analysis of tweets about concussions, in which researchers found that only approximately 1.4% of tweets sought explicit advice [28]. Our finding also suggests that posting public messages on Twitter is not yet a popular method for seeking physical activity information or opinions. The low percentage of tweets seeking opinion or information might indicate that people are using more traditional WOM communication or other kinds of eWOM channels to seek information. People could also be sending direct tweets, which are private between 2 users, to seek opinions and/or information about physical activity.

Regarding eWOM consequences, chatting was more common than forwarding among the physical activity tweets, a finding consistent with a previous study that found that one of Twitter’s main functions was daily chatter [2]. It is also consistent with the primary usage of social network sites for health information: health updates and queries [13].

Physical activity modeling was represented in more than half of the tweets (60%). This finding is not surprising because the Pew Internet & American Life Project found that among 27% of Internet users the most common use of online health communication was to track weight changes, manage diets, record exercise routines (which could qualify as physical activity modeling), or follow some other health indicators or symptoms [13]. This finding is also consistent with a previous study regarding concussion reporting on Facebook: most of the posts (65%) shared a personal experience [51].

In addition to examining how people might model physical activity in their tweets, this study is the first to examine both social support and negativity via eWOM on Twitter. Given that eWOM about daily routine is the most common use of Twitter [2], our finding that only 10% of tweets provided any kind of social support or negativity is not surprising. The results of this study suggest that Twitter is currently not a popular platform for social influence attempts regarding physical activity. However, people could be using direct tweets to ask for or to provide their followers with social support. These direct, private tweets were not available for this study. Future research might incorporate those tweets to examine how people perceive social support or social negativity and these messages are influential. On the other hand, another popular social networking site, Facebook, has been linked to social support among users [52]. This may indicate that certain characteristics of Twitter make it an unlikely place to seek and obtain social support, unlike other online platforms, such as Facebook or discussion groups. Such features could be Twitter’s immediacy and the forced brevity of the updates (only 140 characters).

Regarding the association between the number of followers and followings and the eWOM characteristics, the results suggested that people with fewer followers and followings were more likely to talk positively about physical activity on Twitter. People with more followers were more likely to post neutral tweets about physical activity. People with more followings were more likely to forward tweets. These findings suggest that people with different number of followers and followings may have different motivations for using Twitter regarding physical activity. People with fewer followers and followings might be more likely to connect with a close social network on Twitter and talk about physical activity positively for fun, whereas people with more followers and followings might be more likely to use Twitter primarily for information sharing about physical activity. However, future research is needed to further examine the reasons and confirm these suggestions.

Finally, a surprising finding is that people who had a wider gap between the number of followers and followings were more likely to mention companionship support on Twitter. This contradicted the intuition that a narrower gap between the number of followers and followings might indicate higher reciprocity between actual friends, which could result in more mentioning of social support on Twitter. This result can be explained by the finding from another study that Twitter is a sparse network for actual friends rather than a dense network between followers and followings [53]. Only approximately one-third of the users on Twitter are followed by their followings [1]. The difference between number of followers and followings might not reflect the number of actual friends. It could be possible that people who have a wider gap between number of followers and followings might have more actual friends on Twitter to whom they provide companionship support. There could be other alternative explanations. For example, because companionship support of physical activity requires the geographic accessibility and proximity of 2 people or more, the offline relationship between the users is indispensable. Thus, people with a narrower gap between number of followers and followings might be receiving and offering companionship support from their offline relationships.
support through other offline channels, such as face-to-face, telephone, text messaging, or even through direct tweets between one another that are private and, thus, could not be retrieved in our study.

Practical Implications
Considering the low prevalence of positive tweets in contrast to the high proportion of physical activity modeling, future interventions should encourage people not only to chat about their physical activity intention or participation, but also to express the benefits of physical activity and their positive experiences with it.

Examining tweets for the components of SCT suggests that Twitter is currently mostly used for general observational learning of physical activity instead of exerting social support or social negativity. More innovative methods, such as infovigil robot, can be used to direct Twitter users to social support interventions after they post any tweets about physical activity [3]. In addition, examining tweets based on the path model of antecedents and consequences of online WOM [27] can inform public health practitioners about specific communication strategies that can be used to promote physical activity on Twitter. Future interventions could encourage Twitter users to provide opinion or information about physical activity through chatting because this study found that most tweets were examples of opinion leadership rather than opinion seeking.

Findings about how eWOM characteristics differed among Twitter users with different networking characteristics can provide insights into segmentation of audiences in future physical activity marketing interventions on Twitter. The association between the number of followers and followings and the valence of eWOM about physical activity indicates that interventions encouraging positive discussion of physical activity could start by enrolling individuals with fewer followers and followings and observing and learning how they talk positively about physical activity.

Because people with more followings tended to forward opinions or information about physical activity on Twitter suggests that public health practitioners could target people with more followings in future physical activity marketing interventions. Public health practitioners could develop Twitter accounts to promote physical activity and encourage Twitter users to follow the accounts and retweet tweets about physical activity to their followers.

Limitations and Future Research
The first limitation of this study is associated with the sampling method. This study used a list of keywords to retrieve tweets about physical activity. Although a comprehensive list of keywords was generated based on the physical activity checklist of the Physical Activity Questionnaire (Adults) [44], the compendium of physical activities developed by Ainsworth et al [45], and the list of fitness programs and intramural sports at the Midwestern university where this research was conducted [46], the possibility that some of the keywords were not captured (e.g., the words with hierarchical relationships) cannot be overlooked. Future study should explore more vocabulary for keywords and use query expansion techniques to group similar keywords.

A second limitation relates to the lack of demographic information about the Twitter users. This limitation has also been observed in other studies [7]. Nevertheless, determining differences in talking about physical activity on Twitter based on different demographic characteristics, such as age and race, was not possible in this study. Future research might obtain permission from Twitter users to collect their demographic information. Moreover, future study could retrieve user-aggregated data and perform studies on the scale of individual users [6].

A third limitation is the lack of information about other social network characteristics of the Twitter users. Future studies would benefit from collecting information about reciprocal followings, which indicates the potential for interactive communication between users and their followers [54]. Moreover, this study used only the number of followers as an indicator of influence. Other indicators of influence were beyond the scope of the study; for example, neither message value (measured by the frequency of tweets passed between users) nor name value (measured by the frequency with which a name is mentioned in tweets from other users) was measureable in this study [41]. Future research can retrieve more information about message value and name value to help identify opinion leaders of physical activity and to investigate how they talk about physical activity on Twitter. Future study can also examine the social circles about physical activity on Twitter, adapting the method of a recent study on social circles about prescription drug abuse on Twitter [10].

Fourth, considering the effects of social support on individuals’ physical activity based on SCT [32], future formative research using qualitative methods, such as interviews or focus groups, is needed to explore the predictors and barriers of using Twitter as a social support platform. The results could guide public health practitioners to develop interventions that encourage people to provide more social support via eWOM about physical activity on Twitter.

Although the tweets selected represented a random sample, the number of tweets about physical activity retrieved and coded was limited. First, because of the huge number of tweets posted every day, this study could not retrieve tweets in the United States for an entire year to control for physical activity variation in different seasons. We only included the tweets in the first quarter of the year. Second, although human coding enables the accurate categorization for different characteristics of eWOM at the same time, because of the labor intensity in manual coding, 2 coders only coded 1500 tweets in this study. Future study could use crowdsourcing experiments to conduct large-scale studies to provide a broader picture of the eWOM about physical activity on Twitter in the United States. Guided by the coding scheme invented and tested in this study, future studies could also explore a machine learning application and compare human coding and computer coding. With a larger number of tweets, future study could also localize different physical activities and physical activity eWOM by geographic region [5,6].
Conclusions

This study is the first to examine the content of eWOM about physical activity on Twitter. Twitter demonstrated potential for chatting and physical activity modeling (ie, intention, past behavior, and current behavior), as well as talking neutrally about physical activity. To guide future physical activity marketing interventions on Twitter, this study also provides insights into segmenting audiences based on user profile information about number of followers and followings. Having more followings was associated with forwarding information. Having fewer followers and fewer followings was associated with talking positively about physical activity. Having more followers was associated with talking neutrally about physical activity. Having a wider gap between the number of followers and followings was associated with mentioning companionship social support about physical activity on Twitter. Future studies could apply the innovative perspectives from marketing and public health used in this exploratory study for larger-scale infodemiology studies that could also examine tweets about physical activity as well as other health behaviors.
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Abstract

Background: We describe our experiences with identifying and recruiting Ontario parents through the Internet, primarily, as well as other modes, for participation in focus groups about adding the influenza vaccine to school-based immunization programs.

Objective: Our objectives were to assess participation rates with and without incentives and software restrictions. We also plan to examine study response patterns of unique and multiple submissions and assess efficiency of each online advertising mode.

Methods: We used social media, deal forum websites, online classified ads, conventional mass media, and email lists to invite parents of school-aged children from Ontario, Canada to complete an online questionnaire to determine eligibility for focus groups. We compared responses and paradata when an incentive was provided and there were no software restrictions to the questionnaire (Period 1) to a period when only a single submission per Internet protocol (IP) address (ie, software restrictions invoked) was permitted and no incentive was provided (Period 2). We also compared the median time to complete a questionnaire, response patterns, and percentage of missing data between questionnaires classified as multiple submissions from the same Internet protocol (IP) address or email versus unique submissions. Efficiency was calculated as the total number of hours study personnel devoted to an advertising mode divided by the resultant number of unique eligible completed questionnaires.

Results: Of 1346 submitted questionnaires, 223 (16.6%) were incomplete and 34 (2.52%) did not meet the initial eligibility criteria. Of the remaining 1089 questionnaires, 246 (22.6%) were not from Ontario based on IP address and postal code, and 469 (43.1%) were submitted from the same IP address or email address (multiple submissions). In Period 2 vs Period 1, a larger proportion of questionnaires were submitted from Ontario (92.8%, 141/152 vs 75.1%, 702/937, P<.001), and a smaller proportion of same IP addresses (7.9%, 12/152 vs 47.1%, 441/937, P<.001) were received. Compared to those who made unique submissions, those who made multiple submissions spent less time per questionnaire (166 vs 215 seconds, P<.001), and had a higher percentage of missing data among their responses (15.0% vs 7.6%, P=.004). Advertisements posted on RedFlagDeals were the most efficient for recruitment (0.03 hours of staff time per questionnaire), whereas those placed on Twitter were the least efficient (3.64 hours of staff time per questionnaire).

Conclusions: Using multiple online advertising strategies was effective for recruiting a large sample of participants in a relatively short period time with minimal resources. However, risks such as multiple submissions and potentially fraudulent information
need to be considered. In our study, these problems were associated with providing an incentive for responding, and could have been partially avoided by activating restrictive software features for online questionnaires.
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Introduction
Study recruitment is a challenging aspect of health research. Strategic communication, planning, and marketing are essential for reaching potential participants. By identifying a target population’s demographic characteristics and interests, researchers can select methods that represent the best investment for study recruitment given the study objectives and budgetary, human resource, and time constraints [1,2]. Historically, researchers have relied on traditional methods, such as random-digit dialing, school or workplace recruitment, mass postal mailing, poster displays, and mass media campaigns, to recruit participants for research studies. However, these methods are often resource intensive and costly, sometimes with limited reach [3]. More recently, researchers have used the Internet to recruit younger participants for studies, by using paid advertising (eg, Google AdWords, Facebook Ads), links on websites, email lists, and online classified advertisement sites [4-6]. Compared with traditional strategies, Internet-based methods may achieve desired sample sizes with lower costs for distribution of materials [7]. They allow for rapid, convenient participation for study participants, and provide an easier means to share information across social networks, as well as an efficient process to respond to inquiries. Internet-based recruitment methods can be used to target difficult-to-reach groups who cannot be accessed by traditional means (eg, highly mobile individuals, those without a landline telephone) provided those groups have Internet access [8]. Further, respondent anonymity may decrease bias regarding sensitive health issues [5,9,10]. In contrast, anonymity can also reduce an individual’s fear of behavioral consequences, resulting in decreased honesty and increased inappropriate activity from the perspective of the researcher, such as creating multiple identities and/or falsifying information for personal benefit [11]. This type of activity, termed “gaming the system,” may be related to offering an incentive [12].

In 2012, we began recruitment for a qualitative study to examine and understand parental perceptions of the advantages and disadvantages of expanding influenza immunization programs to include delivery to children in elementary schools in Ontario, Canada, as well as the programmatic characteristics that would facilitate its adoption in this province. Given that eligible participants were parents of school-aged children, and likely busy individuals within the age range from early 20s to middle age, we used multiple advertising modes and conventional mass media to identify and recruit participants for focus groups.

In this paper, we evaluate the impact of the recruitment methods on study recruitment response, and compare the relative efficiencies of different advertising modes. The aims of this paper were to (1) compare the demographic attributes of eligible participants who completed the questionnaire during an incentive versus a nonincentive recruitment period; (2) compare questionnaire response patterns between multiple and unique submissions; (3) assess the uptake, defined as the number of times advertisements were viewed among eligible participants who made unique submissions; and (4) measure efficiency, defined as the average time invested by study personnel to recruit a unique eligible participant by advertising mode.

Methods
Participants
This is a descriptive study of data collected from an online questionnaire used to screen participants for a qualitative study on parental perceptions of school-based influenza immunization. Participants satisfied eligibility criteria if they were (1) English language proficient; (2) resided in Ontario, Canada; (3) parents or guardians of at least 1 child between the ages of 4 and 18 years in an Ontario school; and (4) involved in decisions about their child’s immunizations.

Procedures
We invited participants to complete a 5-minute online questionnaire that had been pretested for usability and technical functionality. From October 9 to 11, 2012 (period 1), we offered a CAD $5 Amazon electronic gift card as an incentive to those participants who met the self-reported eligibility criteria and completed the questionnaire. Because of the unexpectedly high volume of submissions received, we temporarily closed the questionnaire on October 11, 2012. The questionnaire was reopened from November 16, 2012 to February 17, 2013 (period 2) to recruit additional participants. In mid-January 2013, we focused on recruiting participants from rural areas. During period 2, no incentive was provided and software features were activated to permit submission of only 1 questionnaire per Internet protocol (IP) address.

Ethics approval was obtained from the University of Toronto Health Sciences Research Ethics Board and the Bruyère Continuing Care Research Ethics Board.

Online Questionnaire
When participants entered the study website, they were asked to review information about the study. Participants were directed to an initial 5-question eligibility screen that asked whether they read English, wrote in English, resided in Ontario, were parents or guardians of at least 1 child between the ages of 4 and 18 years in an Ontario school, and were involved in decisions about their child’s immunizations. Another question asked participants how they heard about the study. The software automatically directed eligible individuals to the rest of the questionnaire.
whereas those who were ineligible were directed to a page that prevented them from accessing the questionnaire. Participants could quit the questionnaire at any time. The full questionnaire included questions about number of children, the grade level of each child, whether participants or their children had previously received influenza immunization, and questions about participant’s age, sex, postal code, marital status, education, and ethnicity. Participants were asked if they were willing to engage in a focus group. If they agreed, they were prompted to indicate the type of focus group they preferred (teleconference or Internet forum) and to provide their contact information (name, email, and phone number). Only those who agreed to participate in the focus groups were required to provide their names. Email addresses were required when the incentive was offered or when participants agreed to engage in the Internet forum focus groups. Each page of the questionnaire had 1 to 3 questions, and there were a maximum of 17 pages for those who agreed to participate in the focus groups.

FluidSurveys Version 4.0 (Ottawa, ON, Canada) was used for data collection and data were subsequently exported into Microsoft Excel 2010 and Stata SE 10.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) for analysis.

Recruitment

Our primary recruitment strategy involved several free online advertising modes. These were (1) social media (Twitter and Facebook), (2) online classified advertisement websites (Craigslist and Kijiji), (3) advertisements on deal forum websites (RedFlagDeals, SmartCanucks), and (4) postings to public health email lists and websites. As a result of these efforts, we were contacted by conventional mass media outlets who further publicized the study. In the last month of period 2, we also issued media releases to rural newspapers. Table 1 contains a list of the advertising modes and number of advertisements. Multimedia Appendix 1 includes a sample of the text used for the online advertisements.

Table 1. Advertising activities to recruit participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advertising mode and source</th>
<th>Number of advertisements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social media</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online classified advertisement websites</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kijiji</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craigslist</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deal forum websites</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmartCanucks</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RedFlagDeals</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Press releases to conventional mass media</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural newspapers</td>
<td>3 news articles in rural papers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Advertising Modes

**Social Media**

We created and used a list of public health organizations, popular parent blogging groups or individuals (eg, @Canadian_moms, @ParentSource), parent magazines or websites (eg, Today’s Parent), local and national news agencies, physicians, and health reporters to follow and connect with on our social media channels.

We created a Facebook page (School Flu Shots-Ontario Study, created in September 2012) because Facebook is the most popular social media website in Canada [13]. We posted information about the study, such as the purpose, our research team, updates, and links to the study’s website and questionnaire [14]. Messages were posted between 1 pm and 4 pm on weekdays, the peak Facebook activity hours, on a weekly or biweekly basis during periods 1 and 2 [15].

Twitter is a social networking service that allows users to send and resend text messages within a 140-character limit. We created a Twitter account (@SchoolFluShots) and posted messages about the study and questionnaire links to generate interest. We tweeted twice per week during periods 1 and 2, and every weekday during the rural recruitment period (the last month of period 2). We also spent time monitoring conversations and identifying new groups and individuals with whom to connect.

**Online Classified Advertisement Websites**

Ads were posted on Craigslist and Kijiji, free classified advertisement websites that are used widely in Canada to advertise goods and services. We posted messages and links about the study in the “Jobs” and “Volunteers” categories for each of the 20 and 30 Ontario cities with listings pages on Craigslist and Kijiji, respectively. The ads were monitored daily over periods 1 and 2, and were reposted once they no longer appeared on the first page of results for the category, which was every 7 to 10 days for most cities.

**Deal Forum Websites**

SmartCanucks and RedFlagDeals are popular deal forum websites that advertise coupons, promotions, events, and freebies. Users can post messages on different threads that can be viewed by all website visitors. At the beginning of period 1,
we posted 2 messages on RedFlagDeals under the “Freebies” and “Parenting” categories. We posted 28 messages on SmartCanucks under the “Paid Surveys and Mystery Shopping,” “Canadian Parents,” and 21 individual Ontario city categories. The ads in Smart Canucks were later merged into 7 ads and remained active during periods 1 and 2. On a daily basis, we monitored both RedFlagDeals and SmartCanucks for new replies from community members.

**Public Health Email Lists and Websites**

We sent emails to 148 Ontario health care organizations requesting they assist with study recruitment by sending a generic study email to their email list or posting a message on their own websites. We did not follow up to identify the number of organizations that complied with this request.

**Press Releases to Conventional Mass Media**

In the last month of period 2, we issued media releases to 35 rural newspapers, which resulted in 3 news articles (2 online and 1 paper) about the study.

**Unsolicited Mass Media Contacts Resulting From Online Classified Advertisements**

During period 2, we were contacted by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC) requesting an interview. Our press releases had not targeted this news agency; the contacts were initiated as a result of our advertising on Kijiji. This resulted in 2 interviews that, in turn, led to 4 CBC news items (eg, online articles and videos, radio coverage, and television coverage) and 1 additional interview by a local Ottawa, Ontario, newspaper. The newspaper published an online video and a news article.

**Analysis**

To examine the effects of the incentive and software restrictions, we compared paradata (ie, data regarding the survey process), such as survey completion time, IP address, country of the IP address, and missing data, and questionnaire responses for the period when we provided an incentive with no software restrictions (period 1) to the period without an incentive and software restrictions activated to limit access to the questionnaire (ie, single questionnaire per IP address) (period 2). We validated the self-reported Ontario residential status from the eligibility screen by examining the country of origin of the IP address (Canada vs elsewhere), and the self-reported postal code. FluidSurveys automatically checks an online database of IP addresses from different countries to determine the location of the IP address (email communication, June 2013). If the country for the IP address and the postal code were missing, we did a manual reverse lookup of IP addresses to determine if they were from Ontario by using an online IP address reverse lookup website [16]. We estimated the proportion of submissions originating from validated Ontario residents (ie, both postal code and IP address from Ontario).

We compared the distribution of questionnaires by advertising mode, median time for questionnaire completion, and the proportion of missing responses for demographic questions between multiple versus unique submissions. We classified the questionnaires as multiple submissions if more than 1 submission was received from the same IP address or if the email address was classified as nonunique. We used automated software procedures to check for multiple submissions from the same IP addresses and for nonunique email addresses. Email addresses were classified as nonunique if the same email address was (1) included on more than 1 submission even if originating from a different IP address, or (2) the email address was a close variant of another submission (eg, jim.doe@gmail.com and jim.doe@yahoo.com) based on a manual scan of each email address by 1 reviewer.

Bivariate analysis of statistical significance of time was performed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Proportions were compared using chi-square tests or Fisher exact tests. P values are presented for descriptive purposes. Stepwise logistic regression was used to identify demographic variables that differentiated unique eligible participants that were offered (period 1) or not offered the incentive (period 2). The independent demographic variables included age group (categorical), sex, marital status (single or married), self-identified ethnicity (categorical), and educational attainment (categorical). We also examined an adjusted model that included a variable for rural residence within the province of Ontario. Rural was defined as having a postal code with zero in the second position of the 6-digit postal code [17].

To evaluate uptake, we tracked the number of times advertisements posted on Kijiji, RedFlagDeals, and SmartCanucks were viewed. As indicators of social media popularity, we tracked the number of likes and friends on Facebook. We also tracked the number of retweets, mentions, and followers on Twitter. We could not track the number of views for the media-related activities, email lists, or websites. Respondents self-reported the source from which they heard about the study based on a close-ended checklist with the following categories: Facebook, Twitter, Kijiji, Craigslist, RedFlagDeals, SmartCanucks, email lists, word of mouth, friends or family, websites, and other. Those who checked other or website were asked to provide more details. From this, we calculated the proportion of completed questionnaires from unique eligible participants by advertising mode.

To assess the efficiency of each advertising mode, we summed the number of personnel hours required to advertise and monitor responses for each source, and divided this by the number of unique eligible participants identified using that source.

**Results**

**Overview**

During the 5-month recruitment period, we received 1346 questionnaires. During period 1 (incentive offered, software restrictions inactive) 1124/1346 (83.51%) were received. When recruitment reopened (period 2), 222/1346 (16.49%) were received. This increased minimally when several study-related media stories were published online (Figure 1).

Of the 1346 questionnaires, we excluded 257 (19.09%) because they were incomplete (n=223) or because the potential participants did not meet the initial eligibility criteria (n=34), leaving 1089 for further analysis. We also excluded 246 that...
were not from Ontario based on the IP address and postal code, 65 based on similar or identical email addresses, and 298 based on nonunique IP addresses. This left 480 questionnaires from unique participants who were eligible for the qualitative study of school-based influenza immunization for which we had been recruiting participants (Figure 2). Of the 246 (22.6%) questionnaires that were not from Ontario, 26 (10.6%) were from other Canadian provinces, 182 (74.0%) were from the United States, and the remaining 38 (15.4%) were from outside North America (eg, India, Japan, United Kingdom, China).

Of the 1089 questionnaires retained for further analysis, 636 (58.40%) were from unique IP addresses and 453 (41.60%) originated from 118 nonunique IP addresses (ie, were classified as multiple submissions). Most of the nonunique IP addresses (105/118, 89.0%) occurred 2 to 5 times. Five nonunique IP addresses occurred 17 to 30 times. Of the 1089 questionnaires, 932 (85.58%) listed unique email addresses, 62 (5.69%) were missing email addresses, and 94 (8.63%) listed 39 nonunique email addresses. Most of the nonunique email addresses (32/39, 82%) occurred twice, whereas 7 occurred 3 times, and 1 email address occurred 5 times. Approximately one-third of the nonunique email addresses were variations of other email addresses and were only detected by manual review.

Figure 1. Study timeline showing questionnaire submissions in relation to the use of incentives and survey restrictions throughout the study (October 2012-February 2013).
### Effects of Incentives and Software Restrictions (Period 1 vs Period 2)

Compared to period 1, period 2 was associated with a larger proportion of questionnaires being submitted from Ontario (92.8%, 141/152 vs 75.1%, 702/937, \( P < .001 \)), and a smaller proportion of same IP address submissions (7.9%, 12/152 vs 47.1%, 441/937, \( P < .001 \)). Of the 12 questionnaires submitted during period 2 that originated from nonunique IP addresses, 10 (7%) were from IP addresses from which submissions had also been received during period 1. Similar proportions of submissions from unique email addresses were received during both period 1 and period 2 (86%, 806/937 vs 87%, 132/152, \( P = .74 \)). The median time for questionnaire completion was significantly longer for responses submitted during period 2 compared to period 1 (266 seconds vs 181 seconds, \( P < .001 \)).

### Multiple vs Unique Submissions

The median time to complete a questionnaire for multiple submissions was less than that for questionnaires that were unique submissions (166 seconds vs 215 seconds, \( P < .001 \)). We examined the time interval between submissions that were classified as multiple submissions (n=469) on the basis of IP address or email address: 272 (58.0%) were submitted within 5 minutes of the previous submission, 375 (80.0%) were submitted within an hour, and 450 (95.9%) were submitted within 24 hours.

Questionnaires from multiple submissions had a larger proportion of missing data than those from unique submissions (15% vs 8%, \( P = .004 \)) (Table 2).

Among unique eligible participants, higher proportions of persons aged 40 years or older (39.2%, 94/240 vs 27.0%, 491/1825), of female sex (83.2%, 104/125 vs 41.1%, 146/355), and of self-reported white ethnicity (70.4%, 88/125 vs 43.9%, 156/355) completed the questionnaire during period 2 compared to period 1 (Table 3).

In the adjusted model, sex and ethnicity were significant; females were more likely to participate during period 2 compared to period 1 (Table 4), whereas persons who self-identified as being of Chinese ethnicity had lower odds (OR 0.05, 95% CI 0.01-0.20) of participating during period 2 compared to those of white ethnicity. No other demographic variables were significant at the .05 level in the multivariate model. The adjusted model that also included rural versus urban residence within the province of Ontario showed similar results (Table 3). Participants living in urban locations had lower odds of participating during period 2, which was consistent with the study’s recruitment goal to target individuals from rural areas during the last month of the study.

### Uptake of Advertising Modes

During period 1, more than half of participants who completed questionnaires (192/355, 54.1%) were recruited through RedFlagDeals, whereas Craigslist or Kijiji, conventional mass media, and public health email lists or websites comprised the smallest contributions (<2% combined) (Table 5). However, during period 2, 28.0% (35/125) of participants who completed questionnaires were recruited through conventional mass media, 20.0% (25/125) through Craigslist or Kijiji, and 6.4% (8/125) through RedFlagDeals.

### Efficiency of Advertising Modes

Recruitment from RedFlagDeals was the most efficient, requiring 0.03 hours of staff time per questionnaire (Table 6). Two ads were posted on RedFlagDeals at the beginning of the study that resulted in 5077 views. In contrast, recruitment via Twitter was the least efficient, requiring 3.64 hours per questionnaire.
### Table 2. Proportion of missing data for each demographic variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Multiple submissions, n (%)</th>
<th>Unique submissions, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=469</td>
<td>n=620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal code</td>
<td>176 (37.5)</td>
<td>80 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>48 (10.2)</td>
<td>25 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>25 (5.3)</td>
<td>32 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single status</td>
<td>28 (6.0)</td>
<td>49 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>75 (16.0)</td>
<td>49 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>352 (15.0)</td>
<td>235 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Demographic characteristics of unique eligible participants\(^a\) (n=480).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Period 1, n (%)</th>
<th>Period 2, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=355</td>
<td>n=125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>146 (41.1)</td>
<td>104 (83.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>81 (22.8)</td>
<td>11 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>153 (43.1)</td>
<td>60 (48.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>96 (27.0)</td>
<td>49 (39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>23 (6.5)</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parent</td>
<td>50 (14.1)</td>
<td>19 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>262 (73.8)</td>
<td>106 (84.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>43 (12.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>156 (43.9)</td>
<td>88 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>84 (23.7)</td>
<td>3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>36 (10.1)</td>
<td>8 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian/Filipino</td>
<td>14 (3.9)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean/Japanese</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>7 (2.0)</td>
<td>7 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Arab, Black, Latin American, West Asian, Aboriginal)</td>
<td>16 (4.5)</td>
<td>12 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>36 (10.1)</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>29 (8.2)</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least some postsecondary education (eg, college, university)</td>
<td>307 (86.5)</td>
<td>117 (93.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer or other</td>
<td>19 (5.3)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural(^b)</td>
<td>8 (2.3)</td>
<td>22 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>301 (84.8)</td>
<td>101 (80.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>46 (13.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Unique eligible participants exclude those identified as multiple submissions or those who did not meet the geographical criterion (Ontario residence).

\(^b\) Rural location was based on the second digit of the 6-digit postal code being zero (eg, N0P 1L0) [17].
Table 4. Adjusted odds ratios\(^a\) comparing demographic characteristics of unique eligible participants\(^b\) from period 2 to period 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;29(^c)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>1.56 (0.67-3.56)</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>2.26 (0.96-5.29)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0.70 (0.34-1.41)</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01-0.20)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>0.65 (0.25-1.67)</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian/Filipino</td>
<td>0.37 (0.07-1.92)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean/Japanese</td>
<td>0.46 (0.04-5.13)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>2.28 (0.65-7.96)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Arab, Black, Latin American, West Asian, Aboriginal)</td>
<td>2.26 (0.96-5.29)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least some postsecondary education (eg, college, university)</td>
<td>2.22 (0.78-6.29)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Adjusted odds ratio simultaneously adjusted for all variables listed in the table.

\(^b\)Unique eligible participants excludes those identified as multiple submissions or those who did not meet the geographical criterion (Ontario residence) (n=390).

\(^c\)<20 years was combined with 20-29 years because of the small sample size.

Table 5. How unique eligible participants heard about the study (n=480).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advertising mode</th>
<th>Period 1, n (%)</th>
<th>Period 2, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RedFlagDeals</td>
<td>192 (54.1)</td>
<td>8 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend or family</td>
<td>50 (14.1)</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>47 (13.2)</td>
<td>21 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmartCanucks</td>
<td>17 (4.8)</td>
<td>10 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth</td>
<td>16 (4.5)</td>
<td>5 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>11 (3.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td>10 (2.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health email list or website</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>11 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craigslist/Kijiji</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>25 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press releases to conventional mass media</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>35 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Hours of staff time required for submissions from each advertising mode, the number of unique eligible participants\(^a\) recruited from each mode, and the efficiency (number of staff hours per questionnaire) and uptake of each mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advertising mode and source</th>
<th>Hours, n</th>
<th>Unique eligible participants, n</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Uptake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social media</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>13 retweets, 15 mentions, 112 followers, 469 following</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>16 likes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online classified advertisement websites</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kijiji/Craigslist(^b)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1193 views (Kijiji only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional mass media</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Unable to assess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email lists or websites</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Unable to assess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deal forum websites</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmartCanucks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3579 views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RedFlagDeals</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5077 views</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Total number of unique eligible participants reported is less than the number of unique eligible participants (367/480) because some participants provided no response or provided a response that could not be linked with a specific source (eg, friends or family, word of mouth).

\(^b\)Craigslist did not provide any information on the number of views.

**Discussion**

**Principal Results**

By using multiple online advertising strategies, we recruited a large sample of participants in a relatively short time period with minimal resources. Although the Internet was an effective recruitment medium, we also observed a significant amount of suspected gaming during the recruitment process because some participants submitted multiple questionnaires. In contrast to those who made unique submissions, people who made multiple submissions from the same IP address or email address spent less time per questionnaire and their responses had a greater percentage of missing data. Further analysis revealed that approximately one-quarter of those who completed the questionnaire were not from Ontario, despite passing the initial eligibility screen of self-reported Ontario residence. Females were more likely to participate in period 2 (no incentive) compared to period 1 (with incentive), whereas persons who self-identified as Chinese ethnicity were less likely to participate in period 2 compared to those of self-identified white ethnicity. Recruiting participants via RedFlagDeals was the most efficient in terms of research staff time and had the highest uptake, whereas Twitter was the least efficient. The anonymity feature of online questionnaires may allow individuals the freedom to engage in behavior undesirable to researchers, such as completing questionnaires multiple times or providing false information. These behaviors are probably based on a desire to receive the maximum amount of an incentive possible, rather than a primary desire to contribute to the actual research. Failure to identify this type of behavior can compromise data integrity. Many of our findings and recommendations (summarized in Textbox 1) are consistent with those included in the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) statement as ways to prevent and detect multiple entries from the same individual [18]. We have identified several additional methods to help ensure authentic data are obtained from unique persons beyond enabling cookies and conducting IP checks.

**Textbox 1.** Recommendations for researchers and designers for Internet-based questionnaires.

- Include a disclaimer stating that any activity such as completing the questionnaire multiple times will be considered to be suspicious, the entries classified as invalid, and that no incentive will then be provided.
- Activate restrictions such as cookies or IP address restrictions to prevent participants from submitting multiple questionnaires.
- Include logic checks and repeated questions with definite answers (eg, username, birth date) throughout the questionnaire.
- Choose an incentive that is accessible and appealing to the geographical target. If there are sufficient funds in the budget, use post office mail instead of email to deliver the incentive. This provides another opportunity to validate a geographical criterion and detect if multiple submissions are present.
- Examine the paradata (eg, questionnaire completion time, IP address) to identify inappropriate activity or gaming.
- Deploy questionnaire-specific links for each advertising mode (multiple site entry linkage) to help identify the questionnaire participant’s source, rather than relying on self-report.
Gaming

Preventing multiple submissions is a significant challenge with online recruitment. Users can easily create multiple email accounts or use different computers (thus different IP addresses) to complete questionnaires several times to maximize receipt of an incentive. In this study, approximately 43.07% (469/1089) of our participants made multiple submissions, which is significantly higher than reported in other studies. In an online questionnaire of men who have sex with men (MSM), Bowen et al [12] detected that 33% of their sample made multiple submissions, with the largest contributions coming from participants classified as “in frequent” (2-5 submissions; 36% of all multiple submissions) and “hackers” (>30 submissions; 34% of all multiple submissions). In another study of Latino MSM, 10% of the respondents made multiple submissions and 55% of these came from 1 person [10]. Differences in these rates across studies may be explained by how multiple submissions were defined and identified, where the study was advertised, the type of survey restrictions implemented to control or limit multiple submissions, the value of the incentive, and the type of participants targeted. For example, to gain more exposure, we posted on the deal forum websites under the “freebie” and “paid survey” categories because these were popular. In retrospect, we suspect that posting under these categories may particularly attract people who are highly motivated to obtain incentives and, thus, are more likely to make multiple submissions. We found that incentives seemed to have the least impact on the response rate of women and the greatest impact on the response rate by individuals who self-reported as being of Chinese ethnicity. This may be of value to researchers who are recruiting individuals of a specific gender, race, or ethnicity, and would be worthwhile to explore further.

Providing an incentive has been associated with increasing the frequency of multiple submissions. Bowen et al [12] found that men who were designated as eligible for compensation were 6 times more likely to have multiple submissions than the unpaid group [12]. Similarly, we found that the proportion of questionnaires submitted from nonunique IP addresses during period 1 (incentive offered) was 6 times larger than during period 2, the no-incentive period (47% vs 8%, respectively). Although we also activated software restrictions during period 2 to prevent multiple submissions from nonunique IP addresses, we suspect the incentive was the main driver of multiple submissions because the proportion of questionnaires received from non-Ontario residents was significantly higher when there was an incentive and the use of software restrictions should not have impacted that. Participants who made multiple submissions from the same IP address or email address spent less time per questionnaire, generally completed all the questionnaires consecutively within a short period of time, and had a higher percentage of missing data in their responses. This is not surprising because participants who have completed the questionnaire multiple times are likely to be familiar with the questions and therefore take less time to complete subsequent submissions. Also, by consecutively filling out the questionnaires and skipping questions, participants can maximize the total value of incentives received in a relatively short period of time. This type of behavior can have detrimental effects on the research budget; we estimate that a total of CAD $1485 (297 CAD $5 giftcards) was spent on individuals who were likely gaming the system.

Several strategies have been recommended to prevent or minimize the occurrence of multiple submissions: requiring participants to provide a unique identifier at the start of the questionnaire; using questions with definite answers (eg, birth date, name, phone numbers) repeated in the questionnaire, including questions specifically designed to catch dishonest participants; activating software restrictions to prevent participants from entering the questionnaire from the same IP address; and enabling cookies to prevent participants from entering the questionnaire from the same computer station [7,19-21]. Although none of these strategies are perfect, they provide extra barriers to detect and prevent multiple submissions. Prior studies using social media and other online resources as recruitment tools have advised implementing a protocol which includes checking IP and email addresses and following up with telephone confirmations as needed to guard against this behavior [22,23]. Conducting a reverse lookup of IP address location or postal code (as we did) can also identify participants who are outside the geographical target.

Incentive Type

We suspect that the amount and type of incentive in this study may have contributed to the high rate of fraudulent data (ie, submissions from outside Ontario although the respondent indicated Ontario residence) in our sample. The value of the incentive (CAD $5 gift card) may have been too high for simply completing a 5-minute questionnaire. We used electronic Amazon gift cards that can be used in a wide variety of jurisdictions because they were easy to distribute by email, which can be used to purchase a wide variety of items. It is possible that a small percentage of parents truly resident in Ontario might have been visiting outside of Canada when the questionnaire was completed, but we doubt that this was the case for most persons classified as not being Ontario residents. For studies that are attempting to recruit participants from a specific geographic area, we recommend the use of an incentive that is only locally accessible (eg, local grocery chain gift card). Alternately, incentives could be sent to recipients by first-class mail only because the post office will return them if the mailing address is not valid, providing another opportunity to validate geographic residence.

Advertising Mode Evaluation

In this study, we found that the deal forum websites (RedFlagDeals and SmartCanucks) had the largest uptake while requiring the least amount of time to maintain or update. Online classified advertisement websites (Craigslist and Kijiji) were also helpful with recruiting participants, especially during period 2. A reporter from the CBC national news corporation contacted us after seeing the advertisement in Kijiji, which resulted in our study being featured in local and national media stories. Without this exposure, we might have had more difficulty recruiting during period 2, given there was no incentive and most participants only heard about the study through conventional media during this period.
Using social media (Facebook and Twitter) was not as efficient for study recruitment as we had anticipated. Previous studies have examined using Facebook for recruitment, but these studies relied on paid advertisements [5,6]. In our case, we disseminated recruitment information by social networks, of which most groups were professional health care organizations. We likely would have had better success with these recruitment methods if we were more widely known before our online presence or had a larger network of followers on social media. Given the novelty of using social media for study recruitment and the limited resources available, we were hesitant to engage with our audience on topics unrelated to study recruitment, which may have affected the interest level. Further, most of our communication was one-way and focused entirely on study recruitment, which may not have been appealing to our audience. Instead, it would have been helpful to actively engage with our audience about related topics of interest (eg, immunization, cold and flu tips). When developing a social media strategy for study recruitment, researchers need to consider how to balance the needs and interests of their social media audience with the study objectives.

**Strengths and Limitations**

We explored a range of relatively novel advertising approaches for the purpose of recruiting parents for research. We also conducted automated and manual reviews to scan email addresses to identify multiple submissions. Although it was more resource intensive, manual methods allowed us to identify additional email addresses leading to identification of multiple submissions that would not have been detected by automated methods alone. To validate one of the eligibility criteria, we reviewed all the postal codes to ensure they were from Ontario and did a reverse lookup of IP addresses to verify an Ontario address when the postal code and country of IP address was absent. The limitation of relying on an IP address is that it can easily be manipulated or hidden. Ultimately, we successfully recruited all participants (n=55) needed for the focus groups and a rural sample of parents. We defined a rural participant as someone who had a postal code with a zero in the second position of the 6-digit postal code. This indicates residence in an area that is not accessible by letter carriers. This may differ from definitions used outside of Canada; therefore, recommendations from rural participants in this study may be not be generalizable elsewhere.

A key limitation of online recruitment methods includes the inability to reach socioeconomically or educationally disadvantaged groups who may lack the skills to use, or have adequate access to, the Internet [24]. Further, although we asked participants how they heard about the study, the validity of this response may also be an issue because this was based on self-report. Such self-reports can be validated if the study design uses unique study URLs for each advertising mode (multiple site entry technique) [21]. We did not track the number of advertisements that public health posted about the study; therefore, we cannot fully assess the contribution from this mode. Additionally, because this stage of our study involved only a single brief questionnaire, we were not able to evaluate the effect of the advertising modes on retention rates. Such data would be very valuable to researchers. Finally, we conducted a series of post hoc analyses to examine differences between the patterns of response for different scenarios (unique vs multiple submissions, period 1 vs period 2), increasing the risk of type 1 error.

**Conclusions**

Our study has identified that the Internet can be a useful way to recruit parents for research in a relatively short time with limited resources, but it also identified important elements that researchers need to consider in order to fully utilize the Internet for study recruitment. When studies are conducted face-to-face, participants may assume more accountability for their behavior than with research conducted online. The anonymity of online research could lead some individuals to engage in dishonest behavior from which they would otherwise refrain if they knew they could be easily identified. When incentives are linked to email addresses, it is easy for individuals to create new accounts to collect more than 1 incentive.

It is imperative for researchers to implement control measures in the study design and questionnaire programming stages to limit and detect gaming. As the Internet evolves and more individuals are accessible online, it will be critical for researchers and questionnaire designers to understand how best to address these challenges to ensure and preserve data integrity.
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Abstract

Background: Despite the increasing use of panel surveys, little is known about the differences in data quality across panels.

Objective: The aim of this study was to characterize panel survey companies and their respondents based on (1) the timeliness of response by panelists, (2) the reliability of the demographic information they self-report, and (3) the generalizability of the characteristics of panelists to the US general population. A secondary objective was to highlight several issues to consider when selecting a panel vendor.

Methods: We recruited a sample of US adults from 7 panel vendors using identical quotas and online surveys. All vendors met prespecified inclusion criteria. Panels were compared on the basis of how long the respondents took to complete the survey from time of initial invitation. To validate respondent identity, this study examined the proportion of consented respondents who failed to meet the technical criteria, failed to complete the screener questions, and provided discordant responses. Finally, characteristics of the respondents were compared to US census data and to the characteristics of other panels.

Results: Across the 7 panel vendors, 2% to 9% of panelists responded within 2 days of invitation; however, approximately 20% of the respondents failed the screener, largely because of the discordance between self-reported birth date and the birth date in panel entry data. Although geographic characteristics largely agreed with US Census estimates, each sample underrepresented adults who did not graduate from high school and/or had annual incomes less than US $15,000. Except for 1 vendor, panel vendor samples overlapped one another by approximately 20% (ie, 1 in 5 respondents participated through 2 or more panel vendors).

Conclusions: The results of this head-to-head comparison provide potential benchmarks in panel quality. The issues to consider when selecting panel vendors include responsiveness, failure to maintain sociodemographic diversity and validated data, and potential overlap between panels.
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Introduction

The dramatic growth of the use of panel vendors for online survey research has been described as “one of the most compelling stories of the last decade” [1]. A panel vendor is an organization that recruits and matches participants to a specified target audience of a survey to collect reliable quantitative information about the participants’ preferences and behaviors. They provide a wide range of services that allow researchers to expeditiously accrue survey respondents while protecting their anonymity, including maintaining the panel (recruitment and database), verifying identities (quality control), selecting and inviting panelists, compensating respondents for participation, and delivering panel entry and survey data for all invited panelists. In the field of survey research, the use of a panel vendor is attractive because of the widespread availability of Internet-linked devices (eg, tablets, smartphones, and laptops), enabling panel vendors to target difficult-to-reach populations. Online surveys through panel vendors allow researchers to collect data efficiently and inexpensively (eg, less than US $10/completed survey), but this approach has its shortcomings. A preliminary literature search revealed multiple studies comparing survey mode (eg, online, face-to-face, telephone, postal) [2-10]; however, systematic comparisons of panel vendors for online surveys were not found, which is particularly troubling because of their almost exclusive use of nonprobability recruitment methods.

Because most panel vendors rely on nonprobability-based recruitment, their samples have unknown representativeness of target populations. In an attempt to address this uncertainty, panel vendor users typically specify a target number of respondents along with selected demographic characteristics (quota sampling) and rely on poststratification adjustments (analytic weights) to compensate for nonresponse and noncoverage. That is, panel respondents are weighted so that the marginal distributions of gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, and other demographic characteristics match a target distribution (eg, US Census). Quota sampling is necessary because of concerns that standing panels differ from the general population in that they are more educated, have a higher income, and are more likely to be younger, white, and non-Hispanic [1].

Panel vendors often advertise that they have large and nationally representative panels for timely and accurate online surveys of the United States. In addition to favoring nationally representative samples, most survey researchers prefer that invited panelists respond quickly and accurately. This study conducted a head-to-head comparison of 7 US panel vendors by giving each the same task and comparing them along 3 basic quality criteria:

1. Data efficiency: Do their invited panelists respond quickly?
2. Data validity: Are their respondents who they say they are?
3. Panel representativeness: Do their respondents have similar characteristics to the US general population?

This paper excludes potentially relevant details beyond the survey screener (eg, dropout rates and survey completion), which may be examined in future research and will likely vary by survey instrument. The purpose of this study was to typify panel vendors and their respondents and to provide helpful information, while acting in accordance to contract restrictions. Therefore, panel vendor names were withheld to protect their anonymity and were assigned a rank-based identifier based on the proportion of invited respondents who consented (panel vendor [PV]; PV1 to PV7). All study procedures were approved by the University of South Florida Institutional Review Board (IRB # Pro00000076).

Methods

Panel Vendors

A standardized scope of work was described in the request for quotes (RFQ), including the following 7 requirements:

1. 1000 US adult respondents at less than US $10 per completed survey;
2. 18 demographic quotas filled using their own panel (no partners or brokers);
3. Third-party survey hosting with email invitation only (no river sampling, routing, or banners);
4. Panel entry data on each invited panelist (ie, date of birth, gender, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, health status, and geographic location);
5. Date and time of invitation and panel enrollment for all invited panelists;
6. Vendor affiliation with either the European Society for Opinion and Market Research (ESOMAR), the Council of American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO), and/or the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR); and
7. Vendor responses to the ESOMAR 26, an industry standard and a survey instrument designed to help research buyers of online samples [11].

All possible panel vendors were contacted and those who met the requirements were included. Some (if not most) panel vendors are panel or list “brokers” (ie, they outsource surveys to partners who then administer it to their own panels) and did not meet the requirements of this study. Requirements specified that all respondents be recruited from a single proprietary source of standing panelists (no partners) and be invited using a generic email invitation. Sampling from multiple panels or without invitation would have complicated the delivery of panel entry data on all invitees (requirement #4).

First, to identify all possible panel vendors, the third-party survey host (ie, website provider) was asked to recommend panel vendors based on their prior experience. Second, a review was performed of all panel vendor advertisements and membership to standard-setting organizations in online research, such as AAPOR, ESOMAR, CASRO, and Quirk’s Marketing Research Review [11-14]. Third, referrals were solicited from experts in the field based on their experiences with various panel vendors. Each time a potential panel vendor was identified, their website was evaluated to ascertain whether they met the study requirements.

As of March 2012, 134 panel vendors were identified and reviewed [15]. After removing panel brokers and those who could not meet the 7 requirements, RFQs were sent to 23 panel vendors.
vendors; however, only 12 panel vendors provided a quote that met the 7 requirements. Once the project’s scope of work was agreed upon by the panel vendor representative, a contract was sent to the panel vendor legal team for review and approval, with a turnaround time of as little as 1 week or as long as 5 months. Among the 12 panel vendors, 5 declined, largely because of disagreements between the panel vendor legal team and account representatives about the scope of work. The time from start to finish—RFQ to signing—ranged from 3 weeks to 6 months for the successful 7 panel vendor collaborations.

Survey Design

Overview

At launch, panel vendors sent generic email invitations directly to their respective panelists in a series of waves. For this study, response time is defined by the number of days from the time of invitation to the time of consent (ie, do their invited panelists respond quickly?). This measure is analogous to response time in postal surveys, in which response is intrinsically linked to consent because nonconsenting respondents rarely return postal surveys. In this study, some respondents closed their browser on the consent page or stated nonconsent, but returned later to consent and proceed with the online survey (ie, response time).

After consenting, respondents answered questions about their current US state of residence, ZIP code, age, birth date, gender, race, and ethnicity, and were assessed for 4 technical requirements that enabled participation in the survey [15].

Complete Pass-Through Information

Upon clicking the invitation link, a respondent identification number was sent (ie, passed through) to the survey website to identify the panelist for payment purposes.

Complete Panel Entry Information

Each panel vendor was required to deliver the time and date of invitation for each invited panelist, which was linked to survey responses by using the respondent identification number.

Complete or Valid Geolocation

The Internet Protocol (IP) address was invalid if it was for a proxy server or if its geolocation was unknown or outside the United States. Respondents were required to be within the 50 US states or the District of Columbia for this study.

JavaScript Enabled

JavaScript was required for the survey software to function as designed.

To assess discordance, survey responses were compared with each other (ie, age and birth date; state and ZIP code), with the panel entry data (eg, gender), and with IP address data (eg, state). Discordance in race and ethnicity was not assessed because of differences in questions used by panel vendors at panel entry. Proof of valid identity was defined as responses that meet the technical requirements of the survey and were concordant (ie, are their respondents who they say they are?).

The last page of the screener asked respondents about their annual income and educational attainment. Panel vendors were required to fill 18 demographic quotas. Taking into account the demographic quotas, the validated respondents were assessed as to their representativeness of the US population in terms of income, education, and current US state of residence based on US Census data [16,17].

Statistical Analysis

To assess response time, the proportion of invitees who responded on the same day, next day, and second day by panel vendor were estimated. Although some panel vendors provided invitation times, no panel vendor listed time zones that would allow hourly analysis. In violation of scope of work, PV7 did not provide invitation dates and was excluded from the response time analysis.

To validate respondent identity, the proportion of consented respondents who failed to meet the technical criteria, failed to complete the screener questions, and provided discordant responses were estimated. Discordance in self-reported responses was based on 4 indicators: (1) gender differed from panel entry data, (2) reported age differed from reported birth date, (3) birth month and year differed from panel entry data, and (4) ZIP code differed from current US state. The proportion of consented respondents with each discordant indicator is reported along with 2 further indicators: current US state disagreed with IP state, and birth date disagreed with panel entry data. These indicators were excluded from the definition of discordance because of panel-specific issues. Specifically, the bulk of IP state data were lost for PV2 because of an error in the Web-based survey software. In addition, PV4 reported that the day of birth in their panel entry data defaulted to the first of the month for a large portion of their panelists.

Before examining representativeness, respondents across panels were compared on self-reported birth date and ZIP code to assess the potential for overlap within and between panel vendors (ie, respondents completed the survey multiple times because they were panelists in more than 1 panel or were enrolled multiple times in the same panel). Under independence, the probability of finding a specific ZIP–birth combination from 1 panel in another panel is the product of 3 factors: (1) combined sample size of all other panels (N), (2) proportion of respondents in all panels with that birthdate (S_birth), and (3) the proportion of respondents in all panels with that ZIP code (SZIP). This probability increases with sample size, the proportion with that birthdate, and the proportion with that ZIP code (S=N \times S_{birth} \times S_{ZIP}). Potential overlap between a panel and all other panels due to chance was estimated by the mean of these probabilities and compared to the actual overlap between panel vendors. This is a conservative estimate because birth dates may naturally cluster within ZIP codes (eg, universities, retirement communities).

To assess representativeness among the respondents who passed the screener, sampling weights were applied to 18 demographic quotas by panel vendor. Each quota was defined by age (18-34 years; 35-54 years; >55 years), gender (male; female), and race/ethnicity (Hispanic; black, non-Hispanic; white or other, non-Hispanic). The proportion of the sample in 6 education categories, 8 annual household income categories, and 9 US Census Bureau divisions were estimated. For comparison, these
estimates were presented alongside national estimates from the 2010 American Community Survey (ACS) [18,19].

Results

Figure 1 shows response rates by day among 6 of the 7 panel vendors, demonstrating that PV1 and PV2 had approximately double the response rates of the other panel vendors. The majority of invited panelists who consented did so on the day of invitation with further accrual on the next day, particularly when the invitations were sent in the evenings. Few consented on the second day after invitation.

Figure 2 describes the proportion of consented respondents who failed the screener. PV1 had a higher proportion largely because it was unable to find panel entry data for more than 1000 of those recruited, which was a technical requirement for this study. Only a few panelists failed to complete the screener after consent, which may be attributable to drop out or Internet disruptions. Aside from PV1’s panel entry data issue, approximately 20% of the consented respondents failed the screener, with the majority of loss because of discordant responses.

Figure 3 indicates the proportion of discordant responses among the respondents who completed the screener. Self-reported gender discordance ranged from 1% (PV5) to 2% (PV2), suggesting mostly agreement with panel vendor data. Self-reported age and ZIP code largely agreed with self-reported birth date and current US state with discordance ranging from 4% (PV7) to 6% (PV2) and from 3% (PV6) to 5% (PV2), respectively. Self-reported current US state using the IP state for 7% (PV7) to 9% (PV1) of respondents was unable to be verified, which was largely attributable to missing data. IP state was not captured for PV2 because of an error in the survey software.

The largest source of variability in discordance between panel vendors was birth date. Discordance in birth month and year for PV4 was twice that of PV3 (4% vs 9% and 3% vs 8%, respectively). Discordance in date of birth was greatest for PV1, PV2, and PV4. Because of 30% discordance in date of birth, PV4 acknowledged their use of the first of the month as their default, which largely invalidated the birth dates in their panel entry data. PV2 reported that some of their panelists intentionally report inaccurate date of birth to protect their identities.

To understand better the relationship among panel vendor samples, overlap of panel members was measured by the proportion of respondents in a panel vendor sample who reported a birth date and ZIP code identical to 1 or more respondents in another panel vendor sample. Although some repetition may be due to chance alone, Table 1 depicts systematic relationships between and within panel vendor–specific samples. Due to within-sample repetition, PV1 and PV6 may have allowed a small number of respondents to participate more than once (2% and 0.1%, respectively).

Figure 1. Proportion of invited panels who consented by day and panel vendor.
Figure 2. Proportion of consented respondents who failed the screener by reason and panel vendor.

Figure 3. Proportion of discordant responses by self-reported attribute and panel vendor.
Table 1. Overlap within and between panel vendors (PV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV1</th>
<th>PV2</th>
<th>PV3</th>
<th>PV4</th>
<th>PV5</th>
<th>PV6</th>
<th>PV7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>4183</td>
<td>3874</td>
<td>3158</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>3644</td>
<td>2704</td>
<td>2154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV1</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV2</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV3</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV4</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV5</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV6</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV7</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Overlap is measured by the proportion of screened respondents in the column PV sample who reported a birth date (ie, day, month, year) and 5-digit ZIP code identical to 1 or more screened respondents in the row PV sample.

Aside from PV2, all pairs of panel vendors had more than 2% overlap, suggesting recruitment from a common source. PV2 had the least overlap with any other panel vendor (1%). The greatest overlap was PV6 respondents who reported identically to 1 or more PV1 respondents (12%). Aside from PV2, the other panel vendors appeared to draw 15% to 25% of their sample from a common pool, likely because of panelists enrolling with multiple panel vendors. Assuming birth date and ZIP codes are unrelated, the predicted overlap because of chance ranged from 0.027% to 0.039%, which is less than the overlap observed between panels.

Figures 4-6 illustrate the representativeness of the panel vendor samples after applying demographic weights to the respondents who passed the screener. PV2 was distinct from the other 6 panel vendors (PV1 and PV3-PV7), favoring higher income and educational attainment as well as respondents in Western states. This relative skewness in PV2 improved representativeness in graduate education, incomes over US $150,000, and in the Pacific region, although sacrificing representativeness at high school education or less, incomes less than US $25,000, and along the Atlantic coast states.

Compared to the 2010 ACS estimates, the geographic differences seemed minor (all within a band of 4%). All panel vendors underrepresented adults who did not graduate from high school or had annual incomes less than US $15,000, with PV2 having the largest deficiency (~14% and ~9%, respectively). However, 2010 ACS estimates included persons in institutionalized settings (eg, skilled nursing facilities, adult correctional facilities, and psychiatric hospitals) where Internet access may be restricted.

Figure 4. Weighted proportion of respondents who passed screener by educational attainment and panel vendor (PV).
Figure 5. Weighted proportion of respondents who passed screener by annual household income in 2011 and panel vendor (PV).

![Graph showing weighted proportion of respondents who passed screener by annual household income in 2011 and panel vendor (PV).]

Figure 6. Weighted proportion of respondents who passed screener by US Census division and panel vendor (PV).

![Graph showing weighted proportion of respondents who passed screener by US Census division and panel vendor (PV).]
**Discussion**

The increased use of panel vendors for online survey research makes it essential to understand the variability, differences, and shortcomings of panel vendors. The results of the study show variability in panel quality between the vendors and provide practical benchmarks for survey researchers. Points to consider when selecting panel vendors include responsiveness, failure to maintain sociodemographic diversity and validated data, and potential overlap between panels. Additionally, choosing to use an online survey panel offers advantages and disadvantages; therefore, the survey task itself should determine the mode used.

In a matter of weeks and with a modest budget (<US $10 per complete), a general sample of US adults from 7 panel vendors was recruited. In addition to the 7 technical requirements for panel vendors, the project results may serve as benchmarks for panel vendor users who expect a 2-day 10% response rate with less than 10% of the consenting respondents failing the screener.

Discordance in birth dates is more of an issue compared with ZIP codes and other demographic characteristics. Six of the 7 panel vendors (PV1 and PV3-PV7) had a 25% overlap in respondents, which may explain why respondents in these 6 panel vendor samples provided similar educational attainment, geographic, and income responses. This study found that all panel vendor samples underrepresent low socioeconomic respondents, particularly PV2, which may motivate the greater use of socioeconomic status quotas in future work.

It has been argued that the representativeness of US panels is approximately that of random digit dialing surveys. In the 1970s, 89% of the US population had landline phones in contrast to less than 80% now [1]. Approximately 72% of the US population uses the Internet on a regular basis [1]. Even with recent increases in access to the Internet, online surveys have shown biases toward younger age, college education, higher socioeconomic status, English speaking, white, non-Hispanic ethnicity, literate, nonvisually impaired, and persons with low time costs [4,5,8,9,20,21]. A number of other studies have noted the nonrepresentativeness of panel entry data, perhaps attributable to self-selection or demographic and other unmeasured characteristic differences between panels and the general population [20,22-25]. These observable differences may affect the generalizability and self-selection for participation in panels may compromise external validity. For example, in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) project [26], despite a sample-matching methodology to ensure a sufficient representation in important subgroups, the resulting sample remained different from the 2010 US Census. Compared to the census data, the PROMIS unweighted general population sample was 5 years older, had a higher percentage of males, and had a higher percentage of those having a college education [21,27].

Most panels are recruited using nonprobability-based methods (eg, self-selection). Panel vendor users may apply sample-matching or quota-sampling approaches so that observable characteristics of respondents are similar to a target population. Despite potential similarity on measured variables, it is uncertain how these respondents compare to the desired population on unmeasured variables. For example, interest in participating in different types of surveys may further compromise generalizability. Interested participants may be different from uninterested participants in unobservable ways, as previous research has shown that women are more interested in health topics compared to men [28]. Finally, recruitment rates for participation in panels are only 33% or less [29], which may result in greater nonrepresentativeness of the potential panel-sampling frame. This limitation may be acceptable for research that is not intended to produce precise estimates of a target population.

Aside from panel attributes, response and completion rates vary between online and other modes of administration [6,20]. Advantages of online panels are similar to mailed surveys in that they can be completed at the convenience of the respondent, and the absence of an interviewer may reduce the possibility of social desirability bias. Disadvantages include the inability of respondents to obtain clarification for confusing questions or the inability of interviewers to know when a respondent does not understand the survey questions/tasks. Like postal surveys, respondents may complete the survey with minimal attention to the questions because of distractions and multitasking or they may not complete it at all. Unlike postal surveys, respondents may complete the same online survey multiple times, particularly if the study uses multiple panel vendors or a panel vendor with insufficient control. In addition, some respondents deliberately speed through online surveys just to obtain the incentive. For these reasons, it is important to consider the nature of the survey task before deciding whether an online survey is the best approach to a study.

There are many merits to population-based samples and modes for a variety of research questions and settings. Where population-based sampling is not attainable, a variety of weighting schemes can be applied to results of a panel survey in such a way as to minimize the bias introduced by lack of representation on key demographic characteristics. It is also noteworthy that some studies do not require representativeness to retain their internal validity. Experimental designs that test theory-driven hypotheses within a defined sample can legitimately test those hypotheses, even when the sample is not representative of a larger population (eg, oversampling). Similarly, testing the psychometric performance of questionnaires, particularly the item-level statistics associated with banks of questions that are used to define and measure an underlying concept, does not require demographic representativeness of the sample. Within this context, it is far more important that the sample be sufficiently heterogeneous in regards to covering the full range of the subject that is being measured. In other words, sample representativeness on socioeconomic variables is not always essential for good survey science. It depends on the nature of the study.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to perform a head-to-head comparison of panel vendors on 3 key criteria relevant to researchers: data efficiency, data validity, and panel representativeness. This study demonstrates the variability of panel quality and that no tested panel vendor performed well in meeting their claims of nationally representative samples and...
high data quality. In addition to the 3 basic criteria examined in this study, other criteria, such as cost, service, mode (eg, telephone), other countries, and technical capabilities, may be examined in future work. Online survey researchers can objectively apply these benchmarks to assess their own panel experiences and to improve the field of online survey research.
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Abstract

Background: Internet-based testing programs are being increasingly used to reduce testing barriers for individuals at higher risk of infection, yet the population impact and potential for exacerbation of existing health inequities of these programs are not well understood.

Objective: We used a large online sample of men who have sex with men (MSM) in Canada to measure acceptability of Internet-based testing and perceived advantages and disadvantages of this testing approach.

Methods: We asked participants of the 2011/2012 Sex Now Survey (a serial online survey of gay and bisexual men in Canada) whether they intended to use Internet-based testing and their perceived benefits and disadvantages of use. We examined whether intention to use was associated with explanatory variables spanning (A) sociodemographics, (B) Internet and technology usage, (C) sexually transmitted infections (STI)/human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and risk, and (D) health care access and testing, using multivariable logistic regression (variable selection using Bayesian information criterion).

Results: Overall, intention to use was high (5678/7938, 71.53%) among participants with little variation by participant characteristics. In our final model, we retained the variables related to (B) Internet and technology usage: use of Internet to cruise for sex partners (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 1.46, 95% CI 1.25-1.70), use of Internet to search for sexual health information (AOR 1.36, 95% CI 1.23-1.51), and mobile phone usage (AOR 1.19, 95% CI 1.13-1.24). We also retained the variables for (D) health care access and testing: not “out” to primary care provider (AOR 1.24, 95% CI 1.10-1.41), delayed/avoided testing due to privacy concerns (AOR 1.77, 95% CI 1.49-2.11), and delayed/avoided testing due to access issues (AOR 1.65, 95% CI 1.40-1.95). Finally, we retained the variable being HIV positive (AOR 0.56, 95% CI 0.46-0.68) or HIV status unknown (AOR 0.89, 95% CI 0.77-1.01), age <30 years (AOR 1.41, 95% CI 1.22-1.62), and identifying as bisexual (AOR 1.18, 95% CI 1.04-1.34) or straight/other (AOR 0.67, 95% CI 0.50-0.90). The greatest perceived benefits of Internet-based testing were privacy (2249/8388, 26.81%), general convenience (1701/8388, 20.28%), and being able to test at any time (1048/8388, 12.49%). The greatest perceived drawbacks...
were the inability to see a doctor or nurse (1507/8388, 17.97%), wanting to talk to someone about results (1430/8388, 17.97%), not wanting online results (1084/8388, 12.92%), and low trust (973/8388, 11.60%).

Conclusions: The high and wide-ranging intention to use that we observed suggests Internet-based testing has the potential to reach into all subgroups of MSM and may be particularly appealing to those facing current barriers to accessing STI/HIV testing and who are more comfortable with technology. These findings will be used to inform the promotion and further evaluation of an Internet-based testing program currently under development in British Columbia, Canada.
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Introduction
Public health agencies are increasingly turning to the Internet for the delivery of sexual health services in order to reduce barriers to access and reach people at heightened risk of sexually transmitted infections (STI) [1-3]. Internet-based testing reflects a recent and fundamental shift in delivery of testing services, from provider-mediated to patient-centered testing [4-6], with the aim of reducing barriers to accessing traditional testing services (such as needing to travel to a clinic or waiting for an appointment, or privacy and confidentiality concerns). Internet-based testing programs typically involve requesting a home self-collection kit or downloading a test requisition and presenting it to a specimen collection site, then receiving results online or by phone. The scope of public programs varies widely, from state or country-wide programs for chlamydia screening [7-9], to more local programs that offer one or more STI/human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) tests and may be targeted to a specific population such as gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM) [10,11].

The evidence of the impact of Internet-based testing programs is beginning to accumulate, primarily for population-based chlamydia screening programs [8,12,13]. However, substantial knowledge gaps remain regarding the impact of these services at a population level, such as the reach and diffusion of programs within populations at higher risk for STI/HIV [14]. An important concern with the introduction of new health technologies is that uptake may be concentrated among individuals who already have good access to health services (often correlated with socioeconomic status) and not among individuals who need it most [15,16]. For example, if the uptake of Internet testing programs is highest among individuals who already have adequate access to testing, these programs may run the risk of reinforcing rather than reducing health inequities if not accessed by individuals currently facing barriers to testing. The alluring promise of, but widespread lack of delivery by, online technologies to expand health and health access to larger portions of the population, particularly the more marginalized, is a topic of concern [17-21]. This concept applies not just to, but within, marginalized populations such as MSM where both sexual risk, disease prevalence, and appropriate access to health care are unevenly distributed [22].

Internet-based interventions are widely recognized as a valuable tool for promoting sexual health among MSM [23]. MSM have a high burden of STIs and HIV in Canada, as well as in most industrialized countries. MSM comprise approximately 50% of all incident HIV and prevalent infections in Canada [24] with high rates of other STIs including ongoing syphilis outbreaks in several provinces and countries with comparable STI epidemiology [25]. MSM are also likely to turn to the Internet to look for sexual health information or support, and finding sex partners through sex-seeking websites is widespread, including by men at greater sexual risk of infection [23,26]. Studies of Internet-based testing programs have demonstrated that significant numbers of MSM use these services [16,18]. However, few studies assessing the reach or broader acceptability of Internet-based testing among MSM have been published [4]. Studies have examined the willingness of MSM to access anonymous home HIV testing as part of online research [27] and the acceptability of other online interventions such as partner notification [28-31]; overall, acceptability is high with small but significant differences across subgroups. Factors associated with acceptability or uptake of Internet-based sexual health services (in males, MSM or youth) include income [32], age [31,32], ethnicity [27,31], education [31], substance use [32,33], HIV status [27,29,33], prior STI [29,33], risk sex [27,31,33-35], perceived risk of HIV [34], and health seeking behavior [36]. Men living in rural areas may be more likely to find sex partners online and be willing to participate in online interventions [36-38]. There is little discussion of potential harms of online sexual health interventions in general or for MSM in particular. The main concerns relate to their inaccessibility by people with no or limited Internet access (the so-called “first-level” digital divide) or by people who have less facility with using the Internet (the “second-level” digital divide) [39]. Recently, Rosser et al emphasized the importance of considering how different age groups of MSM approach technology and use the Internet in the design of Internet-based sexual health services [40]; however, the impact of technology use on acceptability of online services is largely unknown.

The British Columbia (BC) Centre for Disease Control is developing a program, GetCheckedOnline, for Internet-based testing for STIs and HIV and is planning a targeted promotion of the service to MSM in the Vancouver area. The program has been developed through focus groups with MSM and youth who have indicated high acceptability of the service [41,42] and if successful, the intent is to expand the program on a broader geographic scale. The primary objective of this study was to assess the acceptability of Internet-based testing in a national sample of MSM (based on intention to use) and
associated characteristics. In so doing, we aimed to assess acceptability and potential reach of Internet-based testing among MSM with varying sociodemographic characteristics, with greater reported risk of infection for STI and HIV, and facing existing barriers to testing access. A secondary objective of the study was to describe the perceived advantages and disadvantages of Internet-based testing. By identifying factors associated with intention to use and perceptions of Internet-based testing prior to implementation of the program, we will then be better positioned to further refine the service, target its promotion to particular subgroups, and develop strategies to promote acceptance and adoption of the service [43].

Methods

Survey

Sex Now is a national online survey of gay and bisexual men, administered every 12-18 months in Canada [44]. Sex Now content is developed iteratively by a panel of gay men’s health researchers, with the aim of responding to evolving needs of the community. Face validity of the questionnaire is ensured through focus groups, interviews, and pilot testing by local gay men. Standard survey domains include relationships, sexual styles, sexual behaviors, sexual health, anti-gay or discriminatory experiences (especially in workplace), substance use, sexual health knowledge, Internet experience, health care access, community participation, mental health issues, and sociodemographics. Questions are available in both French and English.

Participants from the 2011-12 cycle were recruited through dating/sex-seeking websites (6356/8388, 75.8%), gay/bisexual community-based organizations (833/8388, 10.0%), and word-of-mouth (729/8388, 8.7%). The survey is described as a survey of “sex between men” and relies on self-selection. Responses were collected from August 26, 2011, to February 21, 2012. To limit multiple entries, submissions were restricted to one response per Internet Protocol address, and data were rigorously examined to screen out multiple or suspect submissions.

A subset of questions relevant to the BC Internet-based STI/HIV testing model were added to the 2011-12 questionnaire for the purposes of the present study. The following question domains were added: barriers to clinic-based testing; acceptability of Internet-based testing; perceived benefits, risks, and barriers of Internet-testing; and potential factors influencing uptake of Internet-based testing including privacy concerns, use of health services, and use of technology. We used the concept of intention or willingness to use the technology, which is an established metric for measuring acceptance of technology and considered predictive of actual use in theoretical models of technology acceptance [43,45]. As we were also interested in informing strategies for acceptance and adoption, we considered diffusions of innovations theory [46,47] and use of online/mobile technologies to potentially be important predictors of intention to use Internet-based testing among MSM, based on evidence emerging from recent analyses of online sex-seeking behavior [40]. Due to a lack of established metrics to measure these latter concepts, we constructed questions that were validated through the process described above. For all variable definitions, see Multimedia Appendix 1.

Measures

The primary outcome of interest was intention to use Internet-based STI/HIV testing, measured through a 5-point Likert scale response to the following question: “Suppose you could get tested by printing out an order form from a website that you could take to a lab, then get your results online. How likely is it that you would use this service? [Very likely, likely, unlikely, very unlikely, would never use this service; with ‘not applicable’ option]”. Participants were also asked to identify a single greatest perceived benefit and drawback of the aforementioned service from a pre-determined list. Response options were determined based on expert knowledge of local STI clinical services and literature describing other Internet-based STI testing models.

Analysis

The sample was restricted to participants residing in Canada (8388/8497, 98.72%), of which 94.64% (7938/8388) completed the question on intention to use Internet-based testing. All respondents were male. Thirty-eight explanatory variables were selected from the questionnaire a priori based on the literature review described above and were grouped into four broad groups of interest: (A) sociodemographics (14 variables), (B) Internet and technology usage (6 variables), (C) STI/HIV and risk (8 variables), and (D) health care access and testing (10 variables).

To achieve the primary objective of identifying characteristics correlated with intention to use Internet-based STI/HIV testing, we first explored the distribution of responses (5-point Likert scale) across all 38 explanatory variables. Logistic regression was then used to model associations between all explanatory variables and this outcome (dichotomized: very likely/likely versus unlikely/very unlikely/never; those who chose “not applicable” were excluded). A full multivariable model was fit with all 38 explanatory variables, and a final model was selected using Bayesian information criterion (BIC), which is comparable to Akaike information criterion (AIC) but imposes stricter penalties for inclusion of additional explanatory variables (ie, generates a more parsimonious model) [48]. Correlation between explanatory variables was examined, and the covariate considered most relevant to the research question was included in multivariable models for highly collinear sets.

Age groups (less than 30 years, 30 years of age and older) and sexual orientation (gay, bisexual) were identified a priori as subgroups of interest and hence were included in all multivariable models. We hypothesized that other explanatory variables would differ across these subgroups and explored statistical interactions between explanatory variables and age and sexual orientation. Each variable was entered into two bivariable models, including age and sexual orientation respectively. First-level multiplicative interaction terms were added, and interaction terms that were statistically significant at P<.10 were carried forward in analysis. Stepwise regression was used to select interaction terms for inclusion in the full
multivariable model, such that all remaining interaction terms were significant at $P<.15$ [49].

To achieve the secondary objective, perceived benefits and drawbacks of the service were summarized using descriptive statistics for the total sample, and among men who delayed or avoided STI or HIV testing in the past 12 months (STI testing only if HIV positive).

All analysis was completed using R version 2.15.2. BIC model selection was performed using the stepAIC function in MASS package version 7.3-22 [50].

Ethics Approval

The survey protocol was approved by the independent Research Ethics Board of the Community-Based Research Centre and also by the Behavioural Research Ethics Board at the University of British Columbia.

Results

Summary of Sample

Characteristics of the sample are shown in Multimedia Appendix 2. The average age was 43 years (range 13-84 years), and 64.50% (5410/8388) self-identified as gay and 32.42% (2719/8388) as bisexual. Further, 57.13% (4792/8388) had completed a college or university degree, and 71.46% (5994/8388) reported annual incomes $\geq$ Canadian $30K. The sample was predominantly urban (4897/8388, 58.38%), though a significant proportion resided in suburban (2214/8388, 26.39%) or rural/remote (1245/8388, 14.84%) settings. Respondents included residents of all ten Canadian provinces and all three territories, with the distribution generally representative of total regional populations with the exception of British Columbia (greater proportion) and Quebec (smaller proportion) [51]. Respondents represent 71.61% (1173/1638) of the forward sortation areas (first three characters of the postal code) of Canada [52]. Most men reported being “out” about their sexuality generally (5295/8388, 63.13%), but fewer were out at work (3881/8388, 46.27%), and fewer still spent most of their free time with other gay men (1867/8388, 22.26%).

Intention to Use Internet-Based STI/HIV Testing

Of the total sample, 71.53% (5678/7938) indicated that they were likely (2422/7938, 30.51%) or very likely (3256/7938, 41.02%) to use Internet-based STI/HIV testing. Across the full 5-point response scale, intention to use Internet-based testing was right-skewed towards very likely to use, with little variation across subgroups (data not shown). Dichotomized intention to use Internet-based testing was similarly high across nearly all covariates examined, generally ranging 67-77%, with few exceptions: Latino men (88/109, 80.7%), very early purchasers of new technology (270/338, 79.9%), those not at all satisfied with health care services (290/361, 80.3%), and those who delayed or avoided testing in the past 12 months for privacy concerns (944/1128, 83.69%), access issues (1021/1225, 83.35%), or distance from clinic (357/429, 83.2%) (see Multimedia Appendix 2). Intention to use Internet-based testing was lower than 67% in only two subgroups: HIV-positive men (263/476, 55.3%) and regular users of party drugs (105/159, 66.0%).

Crude and adjusted odds ratios (AOR) are shown in Multimedia Appendix 2. In the full model, the following explanatory variables retained statistical ($P<.05$) associations with greater intention to use Internet-based testing: group A (3/14 variables), age <30 years, eastern provinces, less “out” about sexuality at work; group B (4/6 variables), use of Internet to cruise for sex partners, use of Internet to search for sexual health information, greater mobile phone usage, and early uptake of new technology; group C (2/8 variables), unprotected anal intercourse with unknown/discordant HIV status partner, and HIV negative status; group D (4/10 variables), last medical appointment >6 months ago or never, poorer satisfaction with health care services available, delayed/avoided testing because of privacy concern, and delayed/avoided testing because of access issue. Four interaction terms with age and nine with sexual orientation were included in the full multivariable model, as shown in Multimedia Appendix 3.

The final model, as selected by BIC, is shown in Figure 1. Notably, of the nine variables positively associated with greater intention to use Internet-based testing in the final BIC model, three correspond to group B, Internet and technology usage: use Internet to cruise for sex partners (AOR 1.46, 95% CI 1.25-1.70), use Internet to search for sexual health information (AOR 1.36, 95% CI 1.23-1.51), and mobile phone usage (AOR 1.19, 95% CI 1.13-1.24). Three variables corresponded to group D, health care access: not “out” to primary health care provider (AOR 1.24, 95% CI 1.10-1.41), delayed/avoided testing due to privacy concerns (AOR 1.77, 95% CI 1.49-2.11), and delayed/avoided testing due to access issues (AOR 1.65, 95% CI 1.40-1.95). No interaction terms were selected into the final BIC model.

Perceived Benefits and Drawbacks of Service

The most frequent perceived benefits of the BC Internet-based STI/HIV testing program were greater privacy (2249/8388, 66.0%), convenience in general (1701/8388, 20.28%) and specifically, ability to get tested whenever (1048/8388, 12.49%). The greatest perceived drawbacks were the inability to see a doctor or nurse (1507/8388, 17.97%), wanting to talk to someone about results (1430/8388, 17.05%), not wanting results online (1084/8388, 12.92%), and low trust in the service generally (973/8388, 11.60%). The particular benefits and drawbacks perceived by survey respondents showed very little variation in sensitivity analyses (see Tables 1 and 2).
Figure 1. Correlates of intention to use Internet-based sexually transmitted infection testing selected by Bayesian Information Criterion in a survey sample of Canadian gay and bisexual men (N=7938).  

- Referent group Sexual Orientation - Gay; 
- Mobile phone usage measured on 3-point continuous scale; 
- Referent group HIV status - negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age - &lt; 30 years</td>
<td>1.41 [1.22-1.62]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Orientation - Bisexual</td>
<td>1.18 [1.04-1.34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Orientation - Straight / Other</td>
<td>0.67 [0.50-0.90]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use internet to cruise for sex partners</td>
<td>1.46 [1.25-1.70]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use internet to search for sexual health information</td>
<td>1.36 [1.23-1.51]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phone usage</td>
<td>1.19 [1.13-1.24]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status - Positive</td>
<td>0.56 [0.46-0.68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status - Unknown</td>
<td>0.89 [0.77-1.01]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has not told primary health care provider about male sex partners</td>
<td>1.24 [1.10-1.41]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed/avoided testing past 12 months because of privacy concern</td>
<td>1.77 [1.49-2.11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed/avoided testing past 12 months because of access issue</td>
<td>1.65 [1.40-1.95]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Greatest perceived benefit to Internet-based STI and HIV testing among the survey sample of Canadian gay and bisexual men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Total (N=8388)</th>
<th>Among those who intend to use service (n=5678)</th>
<th>Among those delaying/avoiding testing(^b) (n=4947)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater privacy</td>
<td>2249 (26.81)</td>
<td>1834 (32.30)</td>
<td>1551 (31.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient</td>
<td>1701 (20.28)</td>
<td>1340 (23.60)</td>
<td>920 (18.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get tested whenever</td>
<td>1048 (12.49)</td>
<td>755 (13.30)</td>
<td>596 (12.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No nurse/doctor</td>
<td>823 (9.81)</td>
<td>669 (11.78)</td>
<td>553 (11.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Save time</td>
<td>618 (7.37)</td>
<td>484 (8.52)</td>
<td>323 (6.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No waiting for app’t</td>
<td>448 (5.34)</td>
<td>301 (5.30)</td>
<td>249 (5.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No worry about running into someone you know</td>
<td>245 (2.92)</td>
<td>166 (2.92)</td>
<td>185 (3.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^c)</td>
<td>56 (0.67)</td>
<td>18 (0.32)</td>
<td>36 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular benefit</td>
<td>1200 (14.31)</td>
<td>111 (1.95)</td>
<td>534 (10.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Respondents were asked to choose one greatest benefit. 
\(^b\)Defined as those who reported no STI test in the last 12 months, OR any delay in testing in the last 12 months (for HIV-positive respondents); or no STI test AND no HIV test in the last 12 months, OR any delay in testing in the last 12 months (for HIV-negative/unknown status respondents). 
\(^c\)Not specified.
Table 2. Greatest perceived drawback to Internet-based STI and HIV testing among the survey sample of Canadian gay and bisexual men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drawbacka</th>
<th>Total (N=8388) n (%)</th>
<th>Among those who do not intend to use service (n=2260) n (%)</th>
<th>Among those delaying/avoiding testingb (n=4947) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wouldn’t see doctor/nurse</td>
<td>1507 (17.97)</td>
<td>451 (19.96)</td>
<td>735 (14.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want to talk to someone about results</td>
<td>1430 (17.05)</td>
<td>384 (16.99)</td>
<td>799 (16.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t want results online</td>
<td>1084 (12.92)</td>
<td>407 (18.01)</td>
<td>652 (13.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low trust in service</td>
<td>973 (11.60)</td>
<td>340 (15.04)</td>
<td>590 (11.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No printing</td>
<td>169 (2.01)</td>
<td>43 (1.90)</td>
<td>118 (2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherc</td>
<td>159 (1.90)</td>
<td>67 (2.96)</td>
<td>100 (2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular drawback</td>
<td>3066 (36.55)</td>
<td>568 (25.13)</td>
<td>1953 (39.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aRespondents were asked to choose one greatest drawback.
bDefined as those who reported no STI test in the last 12 months, OR any delay in testing in the last 12 months (for HIV-positive respondents); or no STI test AND no HIV test in the last 12 months, OR any delay in testing in the last 12 months (for HIV-negative/unknown status respondents).
cNot specified.

Discussion

Principal Findings

Overall, we found that intention to use Internet-based testing for HIV and STI is high (5678/7938, 71.53%) and wide-ranging within this large online sample of gay and bisexual men in Canada, with little variation by participant characteristics. Our study suggests that Internet-based testing has the potential to reach nearly all subgroups of gay and bisexual men, including men at risk of STIs and HIV (as intention was 74.46% [1770/2377] among men reporting unprotected anal intercourse with an unknown or serodiscordant partner, and 73.70% [283/384] among men reporting an STI or hepatitis C diagnosis, in the past year) and facing current barriers to accessing testing (72.90% [2930/4019] among men not tested for HIV in the past year, and 83.2% [357/429] to 83.69% [944/1128] among men reporting delaying or avoiding testing in the past year because of privacy concerns, access issues, or distance to testing services).

On multivariable analysis, men who reported current barriers to accessing appropriate health care and STI/HIV testing were more likely to intend to use Internet-based testing (3/10 variables retained in the final model). In our sample, a large proportion of participants (4217/8388, 50.27%) reported not having disclosed to their primary health care provider that they were sexually active with men. Not being “out” to a primary care provider has been associated with undiagnosed HIV infection and less frequent rates of HIV testing [53,54]; we found greater intention to use Internet-based testing in this group, which may help to bridge this gap. Intent to use Internet-based testing was also more likely among the small but important proportion of participants in our sample who reported delaying or avoiding testing in the past 12 months because of privacy concerns (1140/8388, 13.59%) or because of access issues such as not knowing where to get a test or needing to wait for an appointment (1243/8388, 14.82%).

Men who identified as HIV positive (667/8388, 7.95% of our sample) demonstrated less intention to use Internet-based testing, which we postulate is related to adequate STI testing access through routine care, which confirms previous qualitative findings from our group [41], or a greater appeal of Internet-based testing for HIV testing among HIV negative men. However, men whose HIV status was unknown (1966/8388, 23.44%) also demonstrated less intention to use Internet-based testing after adjustment for other characteristics, which is concerning as this is a population of MSM who are not currently engaged in HIV testing. Unlike other studies, we did not observe an association with behavioral measures such as sexual risk or substance use, after adjusting for covariates [27,29,31,33,34,36].

Encouragingly, we did not find that sociodemographic variables such as ethnicity, income, education, and residence were influential on intention to use Internet-based testing, which differs from previously published studies in this field [27,31,32,37,38,40]. Within this large online sample of gay and bisexual men, Internet-based testing programs such as GetCheckedOnline may not exacerbate existing health inequities along these sociodemographic lines.

One reason for these differences may be that the characteristics of MSM that we considered allowed for better explanation of the variability in intention to use Internet-based testing. In addition to variables related to facing current barriers to access appropriate health care, we found variables related to Internet and technology use were most influential in our final model (3/6 retained): use of the Internet to cruise for sex partners or to search for health information, and mobile phone usage. Internet sex-seeking has long been a primary motivation for developing Internet-based sexual health interventions [55]; that a majority of MSM look for sex partners online underscores the importance of the Internet as a health service delivery venue (7430/8388, 88.58% in our online sample and typically over 50% of MSM in venue-based samples [56]). Given that use of the Internet to search for health information and use of mobile phones for more than phone calls were also associated with intention to use, our findings suggest that ease and facility with online technologies may be an important influence on uptake of Internet-based testing (ie, the “second-level” digital divide) [39]. This appears independent of the influence of age, which...
may be related to greater acceptability of online services by persons born in the era of digital technology compared to older persons [40]. While we found a consistent and significant gradient between diffusion of innovations and intention to use in our full model, this was not retained in the final model.

As hypothesized, we found that age and sexual orientation were influential on intention to use Internet-based testing and retained in the final model (greater intention among men <30 years; compared to gay men, bisexual men were more likely and straight/other men were less likely to intend to use Internet-based testing). The association with intention to use Internet-based testing varied across subgroups of men by age and sexual orientation. Notwithstanding the primary conclusion from our results— that intention to use Internet testing is high across varying subgroups of men—the statistical interactions described here suggest that where more nuanced decisions regarding service promotion and delivery are required, program planners must attend to the potentially different (sometimes opposite) intentions and needs of subgroups of gay and bisexual men, particularly those related to sexual orientation and identity.

The perceived benefits and drawbacks identified by Canadian gay and bisexual men in this study did not significantly differ in sensitivity analyses, and overall, men perceived more benefits than drawbacks: the percentages identifying no particular benefit or drawback were 14.31% (1200/8388) and 36.55% (3066/8388) respectively. The most common perceived benefits were greater privacy, convenience in general, and specifically, being able to test at any time; the most common perceived drawbacks were not seeing a doctor or nurse, not being able to talk to someone about the results, not wanting results online, or low trust in the service. These were also the most common perceived benefits and drawbacks from earlier focus groups focused on the GetCheckedOnline program model [41].

Limitations

Our study had a number of limitations. These findings are not generalizable to all gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men in Canada, due to the online nature of this convenience sample, recruited primarily from sex-seeking websites.

Furthermore, as participants were recruited for an online survey (and likely have some degree of ease with Internet use or predisposition to online services), we may have overestimated intention to use Internet-based testing, including potentially among some subgroups of interest (eg, MSM with poor Internet access, men of minority ethnicities where English may be a second language). However, the relative differences between subgroups within our sample may be accurate for all men who have sex with men in Canada. As an open-access survey, it is possible that multiple submissions from the same individual may have occurred; however, we believe this to be unlikely due to the lack of incentive and time required to complete the survey. As a self-completed survey, it is possible that recall bias may have affected responses to questions spanning the prior 12 months. Finally, while measuring intention to use a service is considered predictive of actual use, it will be important to measure actual diffusion and uptake of GetCheckedOnline among MSM once implemented.

Conclusions

In summary, we observed high intention to use Internet-based testing among MSM in Canada given a brief description of the GetCheckedOnline program model. The high intention to use observed in our study appears most related to the perceived benefits of greater privacy, convenience, and being able to test at any time. Importantly, those who reported facing current barriers to appropriate health care and testing less frequently had higher intended use of Internet testing; intention to use was also more likely among individuals reporting greater use of technology. Our findings differ from that of other studies that have assessed the characteristics associated with acceptability of online sexual health services among youth or MSM (ie, little observed impact of sociodemographic or sexual risk characteristics). Our findings affirm that barriers to health care and technology use are important variables to consider in the design, implementation, and evaluation of online sexual health services. As we go forward, considering the requirements of less technology-savvy MSM and how the service could be more appealing to MSM of unknown HIV status will also be important.
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Abstract

Background: Accurately estimating the period of time that individuals are exposed to online intervention content is important for understanding program engagement. This can be calculated from time-stamped data reflecting navigation to and from individual webpages. Prolonged periods of inactivity are commonly handled with a time-out feature and assigned a prespecified exposure duration. Unfortunately, this practice can lead to biased results describing program exposure.

Objective: The aim of the study was to describe how multiple imputations can be used to better account for the time spent viewing webpages that result in a time-out.

Methods: To illustrate this method, we present data on time-outs collected from the Q2 randomized smoking cessation trial. For this analysis, we evaluate the effects on intervention exposure of receiving content written in a prescriptive versus motivational tone. Using multiple imputations, we created five complete datasets in which the time spent viewing webpages that resulted in a time-out were replaced with values estimated with imputation models. We calculated standard errors using Rubin’s formulas to account for the variability due to the imputations. We also illustrate how current methods of accounting for time-outs (excluding timed-out page views or assigning an arbitrary viewing time) can influence conclusions about participant engagement.

Results: A total of 63.00% (1175/1865) of participants accessed the online intervention in the Q2 trial. Of the 6592 unique page views, 683 (10.36%, 683/6592) resulted in a time-out. The median time spent viewing webpages that did not result in a time-out was 1.07 minutes. Assuming participants did not spend any time viewing a webpage that resulted in a time-out, no difference between the two message tones was observed (ratio of mean time online: 0.87, 95% CI 0.75-1.02). Assigning 30 minutes of viewing time to all page views that resulted in a time-out concludes that participants who received content in a motivational tone spent less time viewing content (ratio of mean time online: 0.86, 95% CI 0.77-0.98) than those participants who received content in a prescriptive tone. Using multiple imputations to account for time-outs concludes that there is no difference in participant engagement between the two message tones (ratio of mean time online: 0.87; 95% CI 0.75-1.01).

Conclusions: The analytic technique chosen can significantly affect conclusions about online intervention engagement. We propose a standardized methodology in which time spent viewing webpages that result in a time-out is treated as missing information and corrected with multiple imputations.

Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00992264; http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00992264 (Archived by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/6Kw5m8EkP).

Introduction

Tracking Exposure Time to Content

As Internet-based behavioral interventions become more prevalent, it is increasingly important that researchers understand how people interact with these programs, including the time participants spend viewing individual content pages and interacting with the program overall [1-3]. Exposure time is one of several important proxies of engagement and could be an important mediator of the programs’ intended effects on participants’ knowledge, attitudes, and behavior.

Exposure time can be tracked by monitoring when each webpage is opened or exited or when the browser itself is closed. More sophisticated software can further assess activity on a particular webpage by tracking keystrokes or mouse clicks, but no software is able to distinguish when a user is actively reading or viewing a page versus engaged in other activities in their surroundings. Moreover, there are limitations on tracking activities such as viewing content in separate browsers or windows or even working concurrently in other open programs or applications. In all cases, the result will appear to be long periods of inactivity on the program webpage.

A common strategy for dealing with these extended periods of inactivity has been to time out the program after a prespecified time (e.g., 30 minutes) [4-11]. This strategy makes sense as a means for closing out the program, but it would be misleading to rely on the time-stamped data from these timeout periods as an indicator of how long participants were actually exposed to the program content in the open webpage. Other researchers have allowed long page views with no time-out feature, but then truncate the assumed actual viewing time after the fact for analytic purposes [5-7,12,13]. As these two approaches are equivalent for the purpose of measuring time spent online, we treat them identically and refer to each as a “time-out.”

Unfortunately, neither of the approaches above is ideal when trying to estimate the time participants were actively viewing online content. Each will likely either over- or underestimate the true viewing time. The actual length of time an individual spent engaged with the webpage is unknown, resulting in missing information. Consequently, excluding all page views that result in a time-out is the same as a complete case analysis and assigning an arbitrary length of time is the same as a single, uninformed imputation method. It is well-known that complete case analyses can result in bias and a reduction in power, as can single imputation [14-16]. As an alternative analytic approach, we recommend using standard missing data methods, in particular multiple imputations (MI), to accommodate long periods of inactivity or time-outs when analyzing time spent online.

Multiple imputations is a flexible and straightforward approach to accommodating missing data, which uses available observed information to predict values for missing information. Standard software exists and simple formulas can be used to incorporate multiple imputations into an analysis. We outline how to implement multiple imputations methods, reviewing standard formulae, to accommodate page views that resulted in a time-out. As an example, we use data collected from a randomized trial of an online smoking cessation intervention called the “Questions about Quitting” (Q²) trial [10,17]. Using data from this trial, we demonstrate how the method chosen for dealing with time-out data can significantly affect conclusions drawn about program exposure.

The Questions About Quitting Trial

The Q² trial was a collaboration between the Group Health Research Institute in Seattle, Washington, and the University of Michigan Center for Health Communications Research in Ann Arbor, Michigan. Detailed information about the study design and methods have been published elsewhere [17]. In brief, adult smokers were recruited from a large regional health plan population and invited to participate in a randomized clinical smoking cessation trial; however, participants did not have to have an interest in quitting smoking to enroll. The primary aim of this full factorial randomized trial [18] was to assess the effects of contrasting levels of four specific design features or factors, on smokers’ abstinence and utilization of adjunct treatment (counseling and pharmacotherapy) available to them through their health insurance. The effects of the contrasting levels of each design factor on program engagement were also explored and have been published [10].

Participants in this trial were randomized to one of 16 different combinations of the levels of the four design factors, with half of the participants assigned to one of two contrasting levels of each factor. Randomization was stratified by a baseline measure of a participant’s readiness to quit smoking. The four factors and the two contrasting levels of each were message tone (prescriptive vs motivational); navigation autonomy (dictated vs not dictated); proactive email reminders (yes vs no); and availability of testimonials (yes vs no). Here, we focus on comparing the impact of the two contrasting levels of message tone on program engagement, as measured by total time spent viewing online intervention content assessed during the first two months after study enrollment. Half of the participants were randomized to receive intervention content written in a prescriptive message tone, and half were randomized to an intervention written in a motivational tone. Intervention content written in a prescriptive tone was didactic and directly advised smokers to quit smoking and specified how to achieve this goal. In contrast, motivational messaging was written in a tone consistent with the main principles of motivational interviewing (express empathy, develop discrepancy, roll with resistance, support autonomy, and self-efficacy) [19].

The Q² program collected automated tracking data each time participants visited the intervention website. This automated collection process recorded the date and time each participant visited an online intervention.
visited the website and individual date/time stamps every time a content page was accessed or left by logging out of the intervention website, closing the browser, or moving to a different intervention webpage or an external webpage in the same browser window. The Q^2 online intervention included an automatic time-out feature that logged participants out of the program after 30 minutes of inactivity.

**Methods**

**Multiply Imputing Page View Times**

Missing information is often classified according to the assumed missing data generating process, that is, the determinants that affect the probability that a particular data element is missing or observed. There are three general missing data generating processes: missing completely at random (MCAR), missing at random (MAR), or not missing at random (NMAR) [15,20]. MCAR assumes that the probability of a data element is missing is independent of both observed and unmeasured information. This is unlikely to occur in practice and is the only situation in which a complete case analysis is unbiased (a reduction in power always occurs). The less restrictive MAR generating process assumes that the probability of a data element being missing depends on observed information, while NMAR means that the probability of missingness is dependent on both observed and unmeasured information.

Multiple imputations is a flexible and straightforward approach for accommodating missing data. Imputation methods estimate predictive models using observed information and replace missing data elements with samples from the estimated predictive models. Multiple imputations methods are preferred over single imputation [15,16,21] and repeatedly utilize estimated predictive models to create several complete datasets. Each complete dataset is then analyzed as if all information was observed and information is combined across each of the completed datasets.

There are two common approaches to estimate predictive models when multivariate imputation models are needed (ie, when more than one variable contains missing data or one longitudinal variable has missing information over time). One approach assumes a joint predictive distribution over all recorded variables [22,23], and the other method estimates separate conditional predictive models for each variable with missing information separately [24-26]. The second method is called multiple imputations by chained equations (MICE) or fully conditional specification and is growing in popularity due to its computational efficiency and flexibility. The MICE procedure can easily accommodate binary, categorical, and continuous variables as well as more complex data challenges such as bounded variable values and imputing information for subsets of individuals. For these reasons, we use MICE to impute missing page view times (ie, times for page views that timed out).

MICE methods cycle through each variable with missing information estimating regression models for each variable. Missing values are then replaced with samples from these regression-based predictive distributions, which include the appropriate random error. There are several built-in and stand-alone software packages that implement the MICE procedure [27-30]. MICE algorithms begin by imputing all missing information with naively values (eg, median of observed values of variable); then, the first variable (variable 1) containing missing information is considered (usually the variable with the least amount of missing data). A regression-based predictive model is estimated using observed values of variable 1 and observed and naively imputed values of all other variables selected as predictors. Usually all other variables are used as predictors, unless the analyst chooses to restrict the set of predictors [31,32]. The naively imputed values from variable 1 are replaced with imputations drawn from this predictive model, and the procedure continues on to the second variable with missing information (variable 2). A predictive model is estimated using the observed values of variable 2, the observed and newly imputed values of variable 1, and the observed and naively imputed values of all other predictors. The naively imputed values of variable 2 are then replaced with imputations drawn from this newly estimated imputation model. The imputation process cycles through all the variables that contain missing information replacing the naively imputed missing values with draws from newly estimated imputation models.

When the MICE algorithm has cycled through all of the variables with missing information, this is called one “iteration”. The cycle is then repeated, replacing the imputed values from the first iteration with imputations from newly estimated predictive models in the second iteration. Several iterations of MICE are used to ensure that the imputations have “stabilized”, such that the order in which the variables were cycled through no longer affects the imputation values [24,31].

The iterative nature of the MICE algorithm provides both strengths and weaknesses. While the MICE procedure has proven useful in practice, it does not have the solid theoretical justification of alternative imputation methods. For example, convergence (ie, imputation values that “stabilize”) is not guaranteed [24,25]. It is also possible that conditional imputation models will be estimated such that there exists no joint multivariate distribution that is consistent with all conditional distributions. While these drawbacks may give rise to valid theoretical concerns, it appears that they are generally not a concern in practice [21,24,33,34], and MICE is increasingly being used to accommodate missing data in analyses [31,35-37].

Once M completed datasets have been created, each completed dataset is used to calculate the estimate of interest (see #1 in Multimedia Appendix 1), where the subscript m is used to denote that the estimate corresponds to the m-th completed dataset. The average of the M estimates (see #2 in Multimedia Appendix 1) is used as the estimate for the parameter of interest. Rubin developed a straightforward formula for estimating the standard errors of the multiple imputations estimators that accounts for the traditional sampling variability of the estimator and the added variability due to the imputation process [15,38,39]. Rubin’s formula can be used to calculate the standard error for most standard estimators. It is a function of the M complete data standard errors ($W_{m}$) and the variability between the complete data estimates across the M imputations ($B_{m}$). Let $W_{m}$ be the standard error of the complete data estimator in the m-th
imputed dataset, then Rubin’s formula for the standard error of the imputation estimator appears as in #3 in Multimedia Appendix 1 [15,38]. In practice, analysts usually use 5-10 imputations as this has been shown to be sufficient to correctly capture the variability in the imputation estimator [39].

We generated five complete datasets with all missing page view times replaced with samples from estimated conditional imputation models. Imputation models were assumed to be normal distributions after log transforming the page view times with means and appropriate standard deviations estimated from linear regression models. We structured the data in a wide format with each person representing one row in the dataset and multiple webpage views represented by multiple columns. A new imputation model was estimated for each repeated page view. We used observed page view times for estimating imputation models and only imputed times for those page views that were observed but that resulted in an automatic time-out. Linear regression models were used to specify the mean of each of the conditional predictive distributions with the following predictors: baseline participant information (participant demographics, smoking history, beliefs about smoking, and readiness to quit), randomized arm, and the number of minutes spent on the first core content page viewed by the participant. Additionally, we used, as predictors, information about the type of webpage viewed, such as the content addressed in the webpage (getting ready to quit, quitting, and staying quit) and the type of page viewed (eg, introduction page, testimonial).

**Effect of Content Tone on Engagement**

We calculated the total number of intervention visits, individual page views, and total number of page views that resulted in a time-out. We summarized the distribution of the time in minutes that participants spent viewing intervention content excluding all timed-out page views. After imputing missing page view times, total time spent online was calculated for each participant by adding up the number of minutes spent on an intervention webpage. In order to evaluate the impact of assigning an arbitrary value for time spent viewing pages that resulted in a time-out, we varied the number of minutes assigned to page views that timed out from near zero to 30 minutes.

We then compared the contrasting factor levels of message tone on the total time spent viewing intervention content using a zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) model [40,41]. We used a ZIP model because the distribution of total time spent online had a larger proportion of zeros than expected from a Poisson distribution; study subjects who were never exposed to the intervention content all spent exactly zero total minutes online, causing a notable point mass in the distribution at zero. We included in the logistic portion of the ZIP, which models the “excess” zeros in the population, an intercept term. In the Poisson part of the ZIP model, we included the randomized factor level and the baseline readiness to quit measure that used to stratify randomization. We report the estimates from the Poisson part of the ZIP model. Generally, estimates obtained from Poisson models are interpreted as incidence rate ratios, but when all subjects share a common period of exposure, as in the \( \chi^2 \) trial, estimates can be interpreted as the ratio of mean event counts comparing the two contrasting factor levels. Thus, we report the ratio of the mean number of minutes spent online for individuals who received the content in a motivational tone to those who received the prescriptive message tone. We used Stata Version 12 for all analyses, including imputing missing page view times [30,42].

**Results**

The \( \chi^2 \) trial enrolled 1865 current smokers; 1175 (63.00%, 1175/1865) participants accessed the online intervention at least once. The intervention content was viewed on a total of 1691 separate visits, resulting in 6592 unique page views. A total of 683 (10.36%, 683/6592) of these page views automatically timed out after 30 minutes of inactivity, and 550 (46.81%, 550/1175) participants had at least one page view that resulted in a time-out. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the time spent on page views that did not result in a time-out; the median observed time spent on an intervention page was 1.07 minutes (interquartile range 0.47-2.27). This suggests that assigning 30 minutes to all page views that resulted in a time-out would overestimate the time participants spent viewing online intervention content.

Figure 2 presents the estimated ratios of mean time spent online for those who received content in a prescriptive tone compared to those who received content in a motivational tone when the value assigned to the time spent viewing webpages that resulted in a time-out is varied from near zero to 30 minutes. While the ratio of means estimate was stable around 0.87, the width of the 95% confidence intervals (CI) around the estimate vary as the time assigned to time-outs changes. Assigning a value close to zero (0.00001 minutes) for time-outs resulted in an estimate of 0.87 with a 95% CI 0.75-1.02 that includes one (ie, fail to reject the null hypothesis that there are no differences in participant engagement between the two factor levels at a 0.05 significance level). Alternatively, assigning a value of 30 minutes to page views that automatically timed out resulted in an estimate of 0.86 with a 95% CI 0.77-0.97 that excludes one, leading to the conclusion that participants assigned to the prescriptive tone viewed content for significantly fewer minutes than those assigned to the motivational tone.

Averaged across the five completed datasets (ie, time-outs replaced with imputed page view times), the average total time spent viewing intervention content was 12.3 minutes. The total number of minutes spent viewing the intervention ranged from less than 1 minute to greater than 180 minutes, with a median of 7.0 minutes. Comparing the mean cumulative number of minutes spent viewing intervention content among those who viewed content in a prescriptive tone versus a motivational tone resulted in a ratio of means of 0.87 (95% CI 0.75-1.01; \( P=.06 \)). Thus, participants who had content presented in a prescriptive tone spent 13% less time viewing online intervention content, although this difference was not statistically significant at the .05 level.
Figure 1. Distribution of minutes spent viewing an intervention page, excluding page views that resulted in an automatic time-out.

Figure 2. Sensitivity of model results to assigning an arbitrary time spent online to page views that resulted in a time-out (estimate from the zero-inflated Poisson model for the ratio of the mean time spent online comparing individuals who received content in a prescriptive [RX] tone versus a motivational tone [MI]).

Discussion

Principal Findings

The number of available Internet-based behavioral and educational intervention programs has exploded over the past decade. As researchers seek to understand how to optimize the design of these programs to be most effective, it is imperative that researchers examine to what extent participants are exposed to and engage with the programs and to what extent this interaction influences intervention outcomes. Even with the advent of more sophisticated means for tracking program interactivity, there will continue to be periods of time which, either by design or happenstance, involve no direct engagement.
human-computer interactions resulting in extended periods of “inactivity”. As our case example illustrates, how these data are handled analytically can significantly alter the conclusions drawn about how much time participants actually spent viewing the content. In turn, this could affect analyses designed to explore whether or not program exposure mediated the observed treatment effects.

Conclusions
We propose a standard methodology whereby researchers utilize the MI processes outlined in this paper for managing extended periods of inactivity or time-out data. The decision to use this methodology should be made a priori, as one cannot know ahead of time how much of an impact assigning an arbitrary value to time-outs will have on study conclusions. Researchers are encouraged to employ multiple imputations when examining exposure to online intervention content in the future.
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Abstract

Background: Preference for information is a personality trait that affects people’s tendency to seek information in health-related situations. Prior studies have focused primarily on investigating its impact on patient-provider communication and on the implications for designing information interventions that prepare patients for medical procedures. Few studies have examined its impact on general consumers’ interactions with Web-based search engines for health information or the implications for designing more effective health information search systems.

Objective: This study intends to fill this gap by investigating the impact of preference for information on the search behavior of general consumers seeking health information, their perceptions of search tasks (representing information needs), and user experience with search systems.

Methods: Forty general consumers who had previously searched for health information online participated in the study in our usability lab. Preference for information was measured using Miller’s Monitor-Blunter Style Scale (MBSS) and the Krantz Health Opinion Survey-Information Scale (KHOS-I). Each participant completed four simulated health information search tasks: two look-up (fact-finding) and two exploratory. Their behaviors while interacting with the search systems were automatically logged and ratings of their perceptions of tasks and user experience with the systems were collected using Likert-scale questionnaires.

Results: The MBSS showed low reliability with the participants (Monitoring subscale: Cronbach alpha=.53; Blunting subscale: Cronbach alpha=.35). Thus, no further analyses were performed based on the scale. KHOS-I had sufficient reliability (Cronbach alpha=.77). Participants were classified into low- and high-preference groups based on their KHOS-I scores. The high-preference group submitted significantly shorter queries when completing the look-up tasks ($P=.02$). The high-preference group made a significantly higher percentage of parallel movements in query reformulation than did the low-preference group ($P=.04$), whereas the low-preference group made a significantly higher percentage of new concept movements than the high-preference group when completing the exploratory tasks ($P=.01$). The high-preference group found the exploratory tasks to be significantly more difficult ($P=.05$) and the systems to be less useful ($P=.04$) than did the low-preference group.

Conclusions: Preference for information has an impact on the search behavior of general consumers seeking health information. Those with a high preference were more likely to use more general queries when searching for specific factual information and to develop more complex mental representations of health concerns of an exploratory nature and try different combinations of concepts to explore these concerns. High-preference users were also more demanding on the system. Health information search systems should be tailored to fit individuals’ information preferences.
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Introduction

Searching for health information online is one of the most popular uses of the Web in the United States across all age groups [1]. When facing health threats, information seeking can enable patients to improve their ability to manage problems and make informed decisions [2] or to make psychosocial and emotional adjustments to illnesses [3]. In everyday life situations, information seeking is a means of gaining knowledge about health behaviors and disease prevention [1,4]. Whether and how users proceed with information seeking and their engagement in the activity, such as the selection of sources, the scope of sources investigated, the types and amount of information sought, and the depth of investigation are affected not only by their demographics (eg, age, gender, and socioeconomic status), knowledge levels (eg, computer and health literacy) and contextual factors (eg, the complexity of a health problem), but also by the individual’s personality characteristics, such as locus of control, self-efficacy, and preference for information [3,5-8].

As health care moves from a paternalistic model to a shared decision-making model [8], an unprecedented need is imposed on patients to acquire health-related information. As a result, preference for information, among various personality factors, has drawn much attention from researchers and medical practitioners alike [8,9]. Preference for information is an individual’s general tendency toward engaging in health information-seeking behavior. From a stress and coping perspective, it is an enduring personality trait that affects people’s use of information seeking as a means of coping with stressful health conditions [10]. Based on this trait, individuals can be classified into “monitors” and “blunters”. Monitors are people who are alert and sensitive to the environment and who actively scan it for information to help them cope with stress. Blunters are people who tend to avoid information or distract themselves from it. Miller’s Monitor-Blunter Style Scale (MBSS) helps identify monitors and blunters [11]. From the personal control perspective, preference for information (or informational involvement) and behavioral involvement are considered to be the two most common approaches for people to gain a sense of control and a belief that they can alter or affect outcomes. The Krantz Health Opinion Survey-Information Scale (KHOS-I) was developed to measure individuals’ informational involvement [12].

Empirical studies have provided evidence in support of the power of preference for information, measured by the MBSS, in predicting people’s information-seeking behavior in various contexts of patient-provider interactions, such as people undergoing cancer screening [13], women before gynecological surgery [14], patients in cancer treatments with a palliative intention [15], soldiers with combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder [16], and women with multiple sclerosis [17]. A common finding is that monitors, compared to blunters, have more doubts about medical procedures, desire more information, and ask more questions of providers. Consequently, monitors tend to have significantly more knowledge about medical procedures and their medical situation. The relationship between preference for information and information seeking seems to hold in stressful nonmedical situations as well. For example, Bar-Tal and Spitzer [18] found that, in stressful interpersonal conflict situations, undergraduate students with a monitoring style were more likely to seek information and support from others to solve problems.

Preference for information is also related to people’s interactions with written information. Examining the information needs of women with multiple sclerosis, Baker [17] found that more monitors than blunters rated as relevant a pamphlet providing disease-related information (fatigue or treatment for acute attacks), regardless of whether the information was general or specific. Koo et al [19] found that the monitoring versus the blunting style could predict patients’ interest in reading about and seeking written information concerning their prescription medicines, with monitors being more than twice as likely to be interested in reading such information.

Similarly, preference for information, measured by the KHOS-I, was found to predict people’s health-related information-seeking behavior. In an early study on college students who visited a college medical office with complaints such as headaches, colds, and flu, Krantz et al [12] found that participants who received higher KHOS-I scores asked more questions during the visit. Barsevick and Johnson [20] found that higher KHOS-I scores also predicted the number of questions that women undergoing a colposcopy asked their providers.

Preference for information is not only related to people’s information-seeking behavior, but also to their cognitive and emotional states. The monitoring style is often associated with higher concern and anxiety levels and higher demands for assurance. For example, Miller [13] found that, in cancer screening, patients with a monitoring coping style were more concerned and distressed about their cancer risk. Caldwell [21] found that patients who scored highly on the KHOS-I were more anxious in a preoperative setting than those who scored low, due to a tendency to focus on the negative aspects of the threatening situation. Mahler and Kulik [22] identified a similar correlation in male coronary-artery-bypass patients: those with higher KHOS-I scores experienced more social interaction and emotional problems and, as a result, desired more information to help reduce uncertainty and emotional arousal.

Not surprisingly, monitors and blunters are affected differently by information. Although the findings are not conclusive [23], it appears that individuals who prefer to have information and are given information tend to become less anxious, while those who have a low preference for information but are given information tend to become more anxious [13,24-29]. For example, Morgan et al [30] found that, before a colonoscopy, patients given information congruent with their coping style experienced significantly less self-reported anxiety after the information intervention and spent less time in recovery. In contrast, patients given information not congruent with their coping style maintained their pre-intervention anxiety level. Patients are also more likely to take action when information interventions are congruent with their dispositional preference for information. Williams-Piehota et al [31] found that when provided with detailed reassuring messages, monitors were more likely to obtain mammograms and, when provided with
more concise and simple messages, they were less likely to obtain mammograms. In contrast, blunters were more motivated by the less detailed messages.

As reviewed, most studies on preference for information have focused on its relationship with information-seeking behavior in the context of patient-physician interaction or information interventions offered by providers (eg, number of questions asked and the amount of information sought), as well as its relationship with patients’ cognition (eg, uncertainty) and emotions (eg, anxiety, level of stress, and satisfaction with treatments). Few studies have examined whether it has an impact on general consumers’ health information search behavior—the behavior while interacting with search systems - for example, whether people with a higher preference for information explore more search results. There is also a lack of research on how this personality trait impacts the other two important aspects of an information search experience: people’s perceptions of search tasks (as representations of information needs) and their experience with search systems [32]. These are important research topics, as more than 80% of US adult Web users search online for health information and the need for a personalized health information search experience keeps increasing [33]. This study intends to fill these gaps by addressing three research questions:

1. Does the participants’ preference for information affect their behavior when they interact with search engines in completing look-up versus exploratory tasks?
2. Does preference for information affect participants’ perceptions of task difficulty, the mental effort required to complete the tasks, and satisfaction with their performance?
3. Does preference for information affect participants’ experience with search systems?

Knowledge gained through this investigation will not only help improve the current understanding of consumers’ health information search behavior, but will also shed light on how search systems can be tailored to individual consumers’ information preferences and needs.

Methods

Participants

Participant observation in an experimental setting was used for data collection. This method is appropriate because, in order to examine the effects of preference for information on participants’ behavior while they interact with search engines, it is necessary to control the search tasks and systems used. A convenient sample of 40 participants who were general consumers of health information (ie, who had searched previously on the Web for health information) was recruited by a message sent to a mailing list of students, faculty, staff, and alumni at a large research university. The age of the 40 participants ranged from 18-55 (mean 25.0, SD 8.5), with 10% (4/40) being between the ages of 18 and 20, 78% (31/40) between 20 and 30, and 13% (5/40) between 30 and 55. Each participant was compensated with US$15.

Platforms

The participants used one of two interfaces (Figure 1): (1) a classic Web search engine interface or (2) a Scatter/Gather-enabled search interface. Both interfaces are based on the Bing API (application programming interface); when a user types keywords into either interface, they are sent to Bing and the results are retrieved from Bing (Microsoft’s Web search engine). The classic interface resembles general Web search engines. It features a simple search box for keywords and the search results are presented as a relevance-ranked list.

Figure 1. Screenshot of search results - the upper half of the image shows the scatter/gather interface and the lower half shows the classic interface.
The Scatter/Gather interface has the same search box, but the results are grouped into clusters based on their topic similarities and the clusters are ranked by size (ie, the number of results contained in each cluster). A set of keywords is also presented along with each cluster [34]. The Scatter/Gather-enabled interface was chosen because its clustering function, as well as the keywords, may help to reduce the difficulty that consumers have with medical terminology.

**Tasks**

Two types of tasks were defined: simple look-up and exploratory. Look-up tasks are tasks aimed at finding particular health-related facts, whereas exploratory tasks are oriented toward learning, investigating, and making sense of specific health issues. This classification was adopted because it can effectively characterize the goal and complexity of a health information request [35]. Four simulated search tasks were created—two look-up and two exploratory tasks—as shown in Table 1.

To ensure that the tasks reflected those that general health information consumers would search for in real life, the first three tasks were derived from questions posted in the health section of “Yahoo! Answers”, a social Q&A site where consumers post questions and/or answer questions posted by peers [36]. The last task was adapted from a task set designed for testing a Medline-based medical document collection [37]. In addition, in two pilot sessions, we asked participants to comment on the tasks. Both agreed that the tasks were something that they were likely to do in real life.

**Table 1.** Search tasks.

| Task | 
|---|---|
| **Look-up tasks** | 
| A friend of yours is an athlete. Now he wants to increase his muscle mass. He has been training without creatine, but would like to start a regimen. He is seeking your advice on this. You decide to find out what the side effects of taking creatine are. | 
| A heart attack is a medical emergency and prompt treatment increases the chance for survival. According to the American Heart Association, heart attacks cause 1 out of every 5 deaths. According to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) more than 1.2 million heart attacks occur each year in the United States and about 460,000 of these are fatal. Approximately 300,000 people die annually from heart attacks before they can receive medical treatment. To be prepared for possible emergencies, you decide to find out what to do when a person around you has a heart attack. | 
| **Exploratory tasks** | 
| Imagine that one of your close family members has lived with diabetes for years. Recently, he was also diagnosed with hypertension. You decided to do some research on the clinical associations between the two conditions so that you are able to effectively discuss with him about various implications of this diagnosis. | 
| Imagine that you recently began suffering from migraines. You heard about two possible treatments for migraine headaches, beta-blockers, and/or calcium channel blockers, and you decided to do some research about them. At the same time, you want to explore whether there are other options for treating migraines without taking medicines. | 

**Data Collection Procedure**

The data collection took place in a private lab. Upon arrival, a moderator gave the participants an overview of the study and asked each participant to read and sign an informed consent form. After this, the participants completed a questionnaire reporting demographics, as well as their experience with Web and health information searches. Then they were asked to complete the MBSS and the KHOS-I scales. The MBSS consists of four hypothetical stress situations, each of which is followed by eight declarative statements, with four reflecting monitoring behavior and four blunting behavior. Participants were instructed to check all the statements that applied to them [11]. The KHOS-I consists of seven items and measures people's desire to check all the statements that applied to them [11]. The KHOS-I consists of seven items and measures people's desire to be informed and their desire to gather information. Participants rated their answers in a forced-choice format as “agree” or “not agree.” Higher scores indicated a greater need for seeking information on issues regarding their health [12]. The two measures have been used in a number of studies and demonstrated acceptable reliability and validity [6,8].

After completing the two scales, participants were randomly assigned to one of the two interfaces. As a result, 20 participants used the classic search interface and 20 used the Scatter/Gather interface. Each participant, using one or the other interface, completed all four tasks. The order in which the tasks were presented was randomized to reduce learning effects. Before the search began, participants watched a video tutorial demonstrating the basic functions of the interface to which they were assigned. During the search, when they checked out a website from the results list, they were prompted to rank the relevance and usefulness of the site on a 7-point Likert scale (1=not relevant, 7=relevant; 1=not useful, 7=useful). The ratings, along with the search queries and websites visited, were logged automatically by the search systems.

After completing each task, participants completed a short questionnaire assessing the difficulty of the task, the mental effort it required, and their level of satisfaction with their performance on a 5-point Likert scale. Camtasia software recorded each search session in video format. After completing all four tasks, participants filled out a questionnaire assessing their overall experience with the system that they had used. The questionnaire consisted of statements about users’ perceptions of the ease of use and usefulness of the system, their understanding of how the system worked, their levels of enjoyment and engagement, and their intentions toward using the system in the future. The rating scale was a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree with the statement, 5=strongly agree). The items measuring the ease of use and usefulness were
adapted from prior studies [38,39]. The remaining aspects were each measured by a single item. At the end of the session, participants were asked to comment on search tasks and their behavior of performing the tasks. Each session lasted 1-1.5 hours.

Data Analysis

The independent variable was preference for information, measured by the MBSS and the KHOS-I. For the MBSS, separate monitor and blunter scores were calculated [11]. Each participant’s monitoring score was determined by adding the number of monitoring items checked (M) and the blunting score was determined by adding the number of blunting items checked (B). The Kuder-Richardson Formula 20 test [40] was used to examine the internal consistency of the two subscales. The results suggest that neither subscale was reliable with participants in this study (Monitoring subscale: Cronbach alpha=.53; Blunting subscale: Cronbach alpha=.35). As a result, no further analyses were performed based on the MBSS measurements, similar to what has been done in previous research [27,41].

The KHOS-I score was determined by adding the number of items participants checked indicating a desire for information. The Kuder-Richardson 20 coefficient for KHOS-I was 0.77, indicating an adequate internal consistency for this scale with participants in this study. Thus, further analyses were performed based on the KHOS-I scale. Descriptive statistics showed that the mean score of the KHOS-I for the sample in this study was 4.3 (SD 2.1). Participants were categorized into two groups using a median split [42]: those scoring above the median were in the high-preference group and those scoring equal to or below the median were in the low-preference group. The two groups differed significantly on the KHOS-I score ($t_{38}=7.7, P=.001$).

The dependent variables included the participants’ search behavior, their perceptions of the tasks and task performance, and their experience with search systems. Search behavior was operationalized by typical actions involved in a search process [32,43], including (1) session length, (2) query behavior (the number of queries submitted, query length, and query reformulation), and (3) accessing of results (the number of sites viewed, the number of sites rated as relevant, and the number of sites rated as useful; a site is considered relevant or useful when the rating is greater than 4 on a 1-7 Likert scale). These data were recorded in transaction logs. The analysis of query reformulation followed the topology developed by Rieh and Xie [44] and modified by Zhang et al [45]. The resulting topology included five types of query reformulations based on semantic changes: (1) specification (participants specify the meaning of the previous query), (2) generalization (participants generalize the meaning of the previous query), (3) parallel movement (participants replace one concept in the previous query with a new concept and the two queries have a partial overlap in meaning), (4) new concept movement (participants change to new concepts and the new query does not overlap with the previous query), and (5) rephrasing (participants rephrase the previous query by changing the form of the query without changing the meaning, such as correcting misspellings and rephrasing the previous query into a question). Two independent coders, the author and a trained graduate student, coded all the query reformulation instances; the inter-coder reliability was 98.5%. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion.

The participants’ perceptions of the search tasks and task performance were measured by their ratings on task difficulty, mental effort, and task performance after completion of each task. Their experience with the search system was measured by ratings on the user experience questionnaire administered at the end of each search session. These data were imported into SPSS for statistical analysis.

A series of $t$ tests suggests that the two interface groups did not differ in demographics (including age, gender, computer experience, and health information search experience), nor in any of the measurements on search behavior, perceptions of tasks, and experience with search systems [45]. In addition, two-way ANOVAs (analyses of variance) suggested that there were no significant interactions between interfaces and information preferences (as measured by KHOS-I). Because the focus of this paper is on the impact of preference for information, to simplify the presentation, the two interface groups were pooled together for further data analysis. Then, $t$ tests were used to investigate the impact of preference for information. The level of statistical significance was set at .05.

Results

Characteristics of the Participants

Table 2 summarizes the demographics of the two information preference groups—high-preference and low-preference—as well as their KHOS-I scores and their experience with health information searches. A chi-square test indicated that the two groups did not differ by gender ($\chi^2_1=1.44, P=.31$). As well, $t$ tests indicated that they also did not differ in age, Web experience, or experience with health information searches (years and frequency of searching for health information). In addition, all of the participants had or were in the process of getting a college degree and the two groups did not differ in their education levels.
Table 2. Demographics and health information search (HIS) experience by preference for information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference</th>
<th>High preference</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KHOS-I score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>-7.72 (38)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>24.9 (8.0)</td>
<td>25.4 (9.6)</td>
<td>-0.18 (38)</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web experience (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>14.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>-1.47 (38)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIS frequency (times/month), mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.57 (38)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information Search Behavior

Overview
Participants’ behavior while interacting with search engines was measured in light of three aspects: session length (task completion time), query formulation, and accessing of results.

Task Completion Time
Table 3 summarizes the two groups’ mean task completion times for each type of task. The $t$ tests indicated that preference for information did not have an impact on the task completion time for either the look-up or exploratory tasks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look-up</td>
<td>452.4 (186.0)</td>
<td>382.4 (125.9)</td>
<td>1.26 (38)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory</td>
<td>556.6 (211.9)</td>
<td>549.3 (151.7)</td>
<td>0.12 (38)</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Query Formulation
Table 4 shows the average number of queries submitted by the two groups in completing each type of task and the average query length. The $t$ tests indicated that the two groups differed only in the average length of queries submitted to solve look-up tasks, with the low-preference group submitting significantly longer queries than the high-preference group ($t_{38}=2.42, P=.02$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look-up</td>
<td>No. of queries 2.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.67 (38)</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Query length$^a$ 5.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.42 (38)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory</td>
<td>No. of queries 3.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>-0.80 (38)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Query length$^a$ 3.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>-0.85 (38)</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Number of search words/terms
Table 5. The patterns of query reformulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference, % Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference, % Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Look-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>18.4 (30.0)</td>
<td>26.7 (28.8)</td>
<td>−0.84 (38)</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalization</td>
<td>7.7 (21.5)</td>
<td>5.9 (15.4)</td>
<td>0.27 (38)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel movement</td>
<td>19.4 (29.0)</td>
<td>33.2 (29.4)</td>
<td>−1.43 (38)</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New concept movement</td>
<td>3.9 (9.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (11.3)</td>
<td>−0.17 (38)</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rephrasing</td>
<td>19.8 (36.8)</td>
<td>8.3 (21.4)</td>
<td>1.07 (38)</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>26.8 (23.4)</td>
<td>24.8 (20.2)</td>
<td>0.27 (38)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalization</td>
<td>13.2 (15.9)</td>
<td>19.3 (27.6)</td>
<td>−0.89 (38)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel movement</td>
<td>16.9 (18.9)</td>
<td>32.9 (27.6)</td>
<td>−2.18 (38)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New concept movement</td>
<td>35.1 (29.7)</td>
<td>11.6 (20.0)</td>
<td>2.65 (38)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rephrasing</td>
<td>8.0 (14.3)</td>
<td>11.3 (18.0)</td>
<td>−0.64 (38)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the patterns of query reformulation. Because participants differed in the number of queries they submitted, percentages were used to normalize the data for comparisons of their behavioral patterns. The *t* tests indicated that the two groups did not differ in their query reformulation behavior when completing the look-up tasks. In contrast, when completing the exploratory tasks, the high-preference group performed a significantly higher percentage of parallel movements (*t*38=2.18, *P*=.04) and a significantly lower percentage of new concept movements (*t*38=2.65, *P*=.01).

Table 6. Number of sites visited, the percentage of sites rated as relevant, and the percentage of sites rated as useful.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Look-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of results</td>
<td>4.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.69 (38)</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant (%)</td>
<td>80.3 (20.6)</td>
<td>83.4 (21.5)</td>
<td>−0.44 (34)</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful (%)</td>
<td>70.2 (27.8)</td>
<td>71.4 (21.2)</td>
<td>−0.13 (35)</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of results</td>
<td>4.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.33 (38)</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant (%)</td>
<td>84.2 (18.1)</td>
<td>72.3 (25.9)</td>
<td>1.68 (36)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful (%)</td>
<td>73.1 (24.0)</td>
<td>57.9 (27.4)</td>
<td>1.79 (36)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perceptions of Tasks and Task Performance

Table 7 shows the two groups’ perceptions of task difficulty, the mental effort required to complete the tasks, and their satisfaction with their performance. The *t* tests indicated that the two groups did not differ in their perceptions of simple look-up tasks, but one difference was found for exploratory tasks: the high-preference group perceived the exploratory tasks to be more difficult than the low-preference group (*t*38=2.01, *P*=.05).

Accessing of Results

Three aspects of the access of results were examined: the number of sites viewed by the participants, the percentage of the sites rated as relevant, and the percentage of the sites rated as useful. Table 6 shows the statistics. The *t* tests indicated that the two groups accessed an equal number of results in solving both types of tasks and they also reported equal percentages of sites as relevant and useful.
Table 7. Perceptions of task difficulty and task performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Look-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task difficulty^a</td>
<td>2.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.69 (38)</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental effort^b</td>
<td>2.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>−0.11 (38)</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction^c</td>
<td>4.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.52 (38)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task difficulty^a</td>
<td>2.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>−2.01 (38)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental effort^b</td>
<td>2.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.04 (38)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction^c</td>
<td>4.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.20 (38)</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Rated on a 5-point scale (1-very easy, 5-very difficult)
^b Rated on a 5-point scale (1-very small amount, 5-very large amount)
^c Rated on a 5-point scale (1-very disappointed, 5-very satisfied)

User Experience With the Systems

Table 8 shows the two group’s experience with the search systems used in the study. The t tests indicated that the two groups differed in their perceptions of the systems’ usefulness, with the low-preference group rating the search systems as more useful ($t_{38}=2.18$, $P=.04$).

Table 8. User experience^a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>High preference Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td>4.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.47 (38)</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness</td>
<td>4.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.18 (38)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand how it works</td>
<td>3.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.59 (38)</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyment</td>
<td>3.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.70 (38)</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>3.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.29 (38)</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future use</td>
<td>3.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>−0.50 (38)</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Rated on a 5-point scale (1-strongly disagree, 5-strongly agree)

Discussion

Summary

This study explores the impact of a personality factor, preference for information, on the health information search behavior of general consumers, on their perceptions of tasks and performance, and on their experience with search systems. In prior studies, preference for information was mainly investigated in the context of patient-provider interactions, in order to predict patients’ behavior of seeking information from providers and to inform interventions that can help patients cope with stress [30,46]. This study makes a contribution by going beyond this traditional context to the context of consumers’ interaction with Web-based search engines for health information. This extension is important since searching for health information has become one of the most popular online activities [47] and information found online has an increasingly significant impact on consumers’ health care decisions [1]. The discussion is organized around two themes: (1) the measurement of preference for information, and (2) the impact of preference for information on consumers’ health information search behavior and the implications for system design. The limitations of this study are also discussed.

Measurement of Preference for Information

Participants’ preference for information was measured by the MBSS and the KHOS-I. Both the monitoring and blunting subscales had poor internal consistency, indicating low reliability of the MBSS in measuring consumers’ tendency to seek or to avoid information in the context of searching for health information in the Web environment. Several factors may contribute to this result. First, the sample size was small. The study involved only 40 participants. Second, the MBSS has inherent limitations. As critiqued by other researchers, scenarios in the MBSS are hypothetical. Particularly, the scenario of “being held hostage by a group of armed terrorists” is too far removed from most people’s life experiences [48,49]. Moreover, the validity of the scale has been questioned [50]. For example, Barsevick and Johnson [20] found that the MBSS was not a sensitive indicator of preference for information for patients undergoing colposcopy. A third factor may be the nature
of the context of this study. In most prior studies, the MBSS was applied to patients in life-threatening medical situations (eg, cancer and heart disease) and/or undergoing stressful medical procedures (eg, colposcopy and biopsy) [13,20,27]. In this study, participants were general health information consumers and the scenarios were of an everyday nature and less life-threatening. The applicability of the MBSS in predicting people’s preference for information in such a context merits more investigation as more and more consumers go online for health information.

In contrast, the KHOS-I had a sufficient level of reliability (Cronbach alpha=.77). This might have been because the KHOS-I was initially designed to measure individuals’ tendency to seek information in routine and general health care contexts, which is well reflected in the statements in the scale, for example: “I usually ask the doctor or nurse lots of questions about the procedures during a medical exam.” Similar levels of reliability of this scale were found not only for groups with specific conditions, such as cancer, [9,51], myocardial infarction [52], and dental problems [46], but also for general health information consumers, such as undergraduate students [12]. In this study, the mean KHOS-I was 4.3 (scale 0-7), indicating that participants in this study had a comparatively high preference for information, which may be accounted for by the participants’ young age and their overall high level of education.

We also found that the MBSS and the KHOS-I were not correlated, which is consistent with two earlier studies [20,49]. One potential explanation for this is the low reliability of the MBSS for the participants in this study. The other reason could be that the two scales may well measure different constructs [20].

The Impact of Preference for Information on Consumers’ Search Behavior, Perceptions of Tasks, and Experience With Search Systems

Information searching involves three major elements: the user, the task, and the system [32]. To understand the impact of preference for information on health information searches, we measured participants’ search behavior (session length, query formulation, and accessing of results) and assessed their perceptions of tasks (for difficulty, mental effort, and performance) and their experience with the search systems used in the study (for ease of use, usefulness, understanding of the systems’ working mechanisms, enjoyment, engagement, and projected future use of the system).

Participants with different levels of preference for information differed significantly on several of these measurements. First, the length of queries differed. The average length of queries submitted by the high-preference group was significantly shorter than those submitted by the low-preference group when completing the look-up tasks. A possible explanation is that participants with a low preference for information were eager to get the right answer as quickly as possible, so that they attempted to make the search queries as specific as possible, whereas the participants with a high preference were willing to do some exploration and thus submitted more general queries. An examination of the actual queries revealed that many queries submitted by the low-preference group were complete questions (eg, “what to do if someone has a heart attack”), rather than keywords, which further supports this speculation.

A second difference was the pattern of query reformulation. When completing the exploratory tasks, the high-preference group made a significantly higher percentage of parallel movements than did the low-preference group, whereas the low-preference group made a significantly higher percentage of new concept movements than the high-preference group. In parallel movements, participants replace a concept in the previous query, so that the two queries have partial overlap in meaning, and in new concept movements, participants change to a new concept and the new query does not overlap with the previous query. This result suggests that, when exploring a health-related topic, people with a high preference for information may be more likely to take steps to gradually explore relationships between concepts, whereas those with a low preference may be more likely to investigate concepts one by one. This result further indicates that people with a high preference for information might develop a more complex mental representation of the medical problem at hand, whereas people with a low preference might simplify the problem and thus develop a comparatively less complex conceptual representation. Prior studies on patient-provider interactions have revealed that patients with a high preference for information often ask more questions of the provider [12,15,20]. However, few studies investigated the nature of the questions, how the questions were related to one another, and whether more concepts were involved in questions imposed by high-preference patients. In future studies, qualitative studies are needed to shed light on these research questions, which will also help interpret the results of this study.

Along the same lines, the finding that patients with a high preference for information tended to ask more questions of providers naturally leads to an expectation that when searching for health information, particularly with exploratory tasks, high-preference participants may submit more queries to the system and visit more search results than their low-preference counterparts. However, such results were not observed in this study. A possible explanation is the experimental nature of the study. Participants were performing assigned tasks, rather than their own tasks. A naturalistic approach to data collection, such as transaction log analysis, would help elucidate the relationships between preference for information and the number of search queries and search results visited. Another explanation is that high-preference participants, more so than low-preference patients, may possess a greater ability to process retrieved information. Therefore, they did not submit more queries and examine more results, but acquired more information. Future studies may test this speculation by comparing the learning outcomes of low- and high-preference groups after a search session.

A third difference between the groups was the participants’ perceptions of task difficulty. The high-preference group perceived the exploratory tasks to be significantly more difficult than did the low-preference group. This relationship did not hold with look-up tasks, which involved seeking factual information. This finding may also be attributed to the possibly...
more complex mental representations that the high-preference group developed for the exploratory tasks.

The fourth difference was the participants’ perceptions of the usefulness of the search systems. The high-preference group perceived the systems to be less useful than did the low-preference group, which seems consistent with their perceptions of the difficulty of exploratory tasks. It is possible that the high-preference group’s perceptions of the task difficulties made them less satisfied with the utility of the systems for addressing their needs. Comparable results have been found in the context of patient-provider interactions. For example, Timmermans et al [15] reported that, in cancer treatment with a palliative intention, high monitors reported having more doubts about the treatment decision and being less satisfied with the information received, while high blunters expressed fewer doubts and more satisfaction.

These results indicate that preference for information has an impact on consumers’ interactions with search systems for health information. As reviewed, prior studies have consistently suggested that information interventions in patient-provider encounters are most effective when they are congruent with receivers’ preference for information [53]. It is natural to postulate that health information search systems may be most useful and effective when they are tailored to individuals’ information preferences. Some system design implications can be drawn from the results of this study. For example, for those with a low preference for information, the system could provide a “natural” user interface that allows them to write queries in natural language (ie, long queries or queries in question format) rather than artificial keywords [54], to accommodate their need for imposing very specific queries. At the same time, the system should improve its ability in processing long queries [55]. When presenting results, the system could present the most specific query results at the top of the results list or recommend a list of more specific queries. For those with a high preference, the system could allow them to explore relationships between concepts and recommend new but related concepts (based on medical thesauri or on the mining of query logs) to accommodate their propensity to develop complex networks of concepts. When recommending queries, both more general and more specific queries can be provided to allow flexibility in exploration. Moreover, systems can offer functions to present search results in visual ways that can enable users to explore relationships among the concepts involved in the results (eg, a tree-map view or a network view of concepts). In this study, the Scatter/Gather interface clustered results based on topic similarity and provided a set of keywords to represent each cluster, but failed to illustrate relationships between concepts. This may be one of the reasons that Scatter/Gather interface did not differ from the basic search interface in supporting searches.

**Limitations and Future Studies**

There are limitations to this study. First, the sample consisted primarily of people with high education and high computer literacy; thus, the generalizability of the results is limited. Future studies should extend the sample to people with low computer and health literacy, as well as to patients with particular conditions, such as cancer and diabetes. Such studies can inform the tailoring of information systems to the needs of underserved groups. Second, a limited number of search behavior variables was measured, which directly limits our understanding of the scope of the impact of preference for information on consumers’ health information search behavior. In future studies, researchers should examine consumer behaviors in relation to, for example, the content examined (eg, evidence-based medical research vs user-generated content) and the types of sites visited (eg, commercial sites vs academic sites), to examine whether preference for information has an impact. Third, the tasks used in this study were classified as look-up and exploratory tasks. Future studies can look into other ways of classifying health-related search tasks, such as by the goals of searches (eg, seeking diagnosis, treatment, or medical facilities) [56]. In addition, only four search tasks, two in each category, were involved in this study. Future studies could attempt to include a larger number of search tasks to further reduce the possible impact of other task features, such as the topic, on people’s search behavior.

**Conclusions**

The personality trait, preference for information, showed an impact on general consumers’ search behavior for health information, their perceptions of task difficulties, and their experience with search systems. Compared to people with a low preference for information, those with a high preference exerted greater efforts in information searching. These efforts were manifested not so much at the behavioral level (eg, submitting more search queries or checking out more search results), but more at the conceptual level, with those with a high preference being more likely to use more general queries when searching for specific factual information and to develop more complex mental representations of health concerns of an exploratory nature and try different combinations of concepts to explore these concerns. Consequently, high-preference users were also more demanding on the system. These findings suggest that system developers should take into consideration users’ preference for information in designing health information search systems. To further advance our knowledge about consumers’ health information search behavior and to inform the design of more effective systems, the influence of other personality factors, such as locus of control, on information searches should also be examined.
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Abstract

Background: Statistical shape models are widely used in biomedical research. They are routinely implemented for automatic image segmentation or object identification in medical images. In these fields, however, the acquisition of the large training datasets, required to develop these models, is usually a time-consuming process. Even after this effort, the collections of datasets are often lost or mishandled resulting in replication of work.

Objective: To solve these problems, the Virtual Skeleton Database (VSD) is proposed as a centralized storage system where the data necessary to build statistical shape models can be stored and shared.

Methods: The VSD provides an online repository system tailored to the needs of the medical research community. The processing of the most common image file types, a statistical shape model framework, and an ontology-based search provide the generic tools to store, exchange, and retrieve digital medical datasets. The hosted data are accessible to the community, and collaborative research catalyzes their productivity.

Results: To illustrate the need for an online repository for medical research, three exemplary projects of the VSD are presented: (1) an international collaboration to achieve improvement in cochlear surgery and implant optimization, (2) a population-based analysis of femoral fracture risk between genders, and (3) an online application developed for the evaluation and comparison of the segmentation of brain tumors.

Conclusions: The VSD is a novel system for scientific collaboration for the medical image community with a data-centric concept and semantically driven search option for anatomical structures. The repository has been proven to be a useful tool for collaborative model building, as a resource for biomechanical population studies, or to enhance segmentation algorithms.

(Keywords: medical informatics; Internet; image processing; computer-assisted; demographic analysis; statistical models)

Introduction

Beyond the obvious variability in appearance, a large anatomical variation among the human population exists. For example, even for similar looking people, the human skeleton has a large variability in bone shape, internal structure, and mechanical strength. Following the systematic use of modern imaging techniques in the medical routine, the interest in studying human variability grew in the medical research community. Roughly two decades ago, Cootes et al [1] introduced the first statistical model to quantify anatomical shapes [2]. This technique became very popular in the medical image analysis community and has been used in a wide range of applications: novel implant design [3,4], surgical planning [5,6], and improving image segmentation...
[7,8] and image registration [9]. More recently, these models have been extended to combine the description of the anatomical shape with mechanical information to analyze the risk of fracture in a population [10,11] or to design orthopedic implants [12].

A recurrent problem that is faced when applying statistical shape model (SSM) to specific clinical indications is the large amount of data required to build a valid model. A repository for images and processed data is therefore vital for the fast development of new applications or image processing algorithms based on this technology. However, the existing solutions for storing medical images are not appropriate for building statistical models. In the clinical environment, picture archiving and communication system (PACS) was introduced in the 1980s to manage clinical images of patients [13,14]. The digital imaging and communications in medicine (DICOM) is the standard file format used in PACS, which ensures that the proper metadata concerning the patient and the image technique are available to the radiologist. This system is ideal for archiving clinical data but is ineffective if the user wants to retrieve a large amount of data corresponding to the same anatomical site. In addition, due to legal restrictions and data protection laws, it is not possible for researchers to freely access the large database of medical imagery stored in clinical institutions. Therefore, significant efforts are necessary to obtain data, to process them, and to build the models. However, even after this time-consuming process, collections of data are often lost or mishandled in research institutions, resulting in replication of work, even within the same institution. A centrally organized system can limit or even suppress these barriers, which hinders fast and innovative approaches in medical image research.

Inspired by PACS and institutional repository software [15-17], several projects have been developed for the biomedical research community. For example, the Extensible Neuroimaging Archive Toolkit (XNAT) [18] has developed an open source solution for the neuroimaging research community. Recently, the Midas media archiving platform [19] was developed to extend the functionality of DSpace [15] repository software. This platform aims to simplify the collaboration between researchers and to provide more flexibility on the supported file format and data structure. Several other projects are building infrastructures to host data collections in their respective research domain. For example, the Living Human Digital Library [20] aims to provide a collection of raw and processed data on the anatomo-functional characteristics of the human musculoskeletal system at dimensional scales spanning from the whole body down to the molecules. However, this system is limited to a small number of human bodies generated during the project and does not allow the user to upload their own datasets. The Internet Brain Segmentation Repository [21] provides manually guided expert segmentation along with magnetic resonance brain image data. All these projects provide extremely important data to the scientific community, but they either consider a limited number of subjects, are specific to a certain organ or pathology, or present a mix of patients and pathology. A general database where medical image datasets are stored and further processed toward statistical shape modeling is required to model the variability of the complete human anatomy.

The objective of the Virtual Skeleton Database (VSD) is to provide and maintain an open access repository for scientific researchers to archive, search, preserve, trace, and share data resources for statistical models. In this paper, the authors describe the main concepts implemented in the system and demonstrate its operation on exemplary use cases.

Methods

General Concepts

The VSD can be described as a catalog of data that is accessible and organized with a focus on, but not limited to, building statistical anatomical models. Therefore, the VSD was built around the concept of “data objects”, which constitute the basic element of the system. These data objects can be any type of image file, processed data, or models. This approach provides a large flexibility to the system in terms of data formats, data organization, and data collaboration. The design is intended to have the capacity to provide the same basic functionality as a PACS, but it is more flexible and can integrate additional research data, such as labeled images and statistical models.

Technology

The architecture of the VSD is based on the classical multitier architecture (see Figure 1). The topmost layer provides multiple interfaces: the Web portal for managing and sharing the data, Web-based Distributed Authoring and Versioning protocol (WebDAV) for direct interaction with different file systems, and a Representational State Transfer interface (REST), an application programming interface for interaction with other scientific applications while the administration functionality is provided through a Web application. The middle tier provides all the necessary application logic but also contains the functionality covering security aspects. Data storage and data access are provided on the next tier. Aside from the relational database system for storing the information, the system integrates other tools and frameworks for specific purposes; ClearCanvas is used to handle DICOM files, Insight Toolkit, Visual Toolkit (ITK/VTK) for image processing, Statismo for statistical shape models, and Fuseki for the integration of ontologies. The scalable data layer (database and data) is equipped with a mechanism to provide a full synchronization between two functional redundant systems.

The development of the VSD was based mainly on Microsoft technologies. The system relies on a Microsoft Structured Query Language (SQL) database and the Microsoft Internet Information Service (IIS) Web-server. For the application development, Microsoft Razor, which is built on the Microsoft .NET technology, was chosen to enable consistent development of a responsive Web service. The Razor application framework was complemented by the established frameworks Bootstrap [22] and jQuery [23] for a smooth and dynamic user experience on the website (see Multimedia Appendix 1).

Registration

Standard user and object rights management is required to control access, visibility, and sharing of the objects stored on the VSD. However, since legal and ethical aspects play an important role when medical images are involved, a two-step...
registration procedure and user management were implemented. The system’s registration procedure was designed to build the VSD as a research-only repository (see Figure 2). In the first step, academic institutions can register as research units. After approval of the research unit by the VSD administrator, each research unit can administer their members and is responsible for controlling access to the research data and ensuring the proper rights and licenses are attached to their uploaded datasets.

**Medical Images**

The system provides the user with multiple options for the image upload. A standard Web upload form and a Java applet are available. While the standard browser upload is most convenient for small images, the Java applet is able to submit files larger than 2GB to the server. Additionally, if close integration in the local system is required, the VSD can be accessed through the WebDAV, which allows for customized upload workflows. The VSD integrates the most common image formats used by the medical image analysis community, but theoretically any kind of medical image format could be integrated. The VSD can read classical DICOM files through the ClearCanvas framework, and image types recognized by the ITK library (MetaImage, Niftii, and Analyze) are accepted (see Figure 3). During the upload process, metadata are extracted from the images, preview images are generated, and a de-identification of the medical image is performed. The de-identification consists of file type-specific header manipulation and the replacement of the original file name by a generic VSD file name, preserving the information only for the original contributor. To keep the storage system efficient, the uploading functionality has mechanisms to avoid duplicated objects on the disk and supports multiple versions of the objects.

To assign proper and consistent metadata to the images, the VSD features a publishing concept where uploaded data have to be reviewed before they become available to the other users. During this process, the user is asked to review the uploaded dataset and to provide the missing information. First, the dataset has to be characterized by annotating the anatomical structure depicted in the image. For this metadata, the anatomical terms are taken from the comprehensive Foundational Model of Anatomy (FMA) ontology [24]. This ontology includes more than 75,000 anatomical terms and 450,000 direct relations between classes. Additional metadata like age, gender, and image modality can be filled to enrich the meta-information content of the image. If a related dataset already exists on the VSD, the user can reference this data by linking both datasets together. For example, different image modalities of the same patient and anatomical structure can be linked and therefore grant a direct access between both datasets. As a last step, a Creative Commons license can be attached to a dataset to specify the intended offline usage. To control the sharing of the data on the website, predefined permission sets are available to specify the access rights. After a final activation, the data are accessible to other users of the VSD.
Figure 1. Schematics of the multitier architecture of the VSD, which consists of different types of interfaces, an application logic and security layer, and data access and data storage.
Figure 2. Registration process - Top: registration of a new research unit and appointment of a research unit administrator. Bottom: individual users can register to a research unit and have to be accepted by the respective administrator.

Figure 3. Conceptual overview of the VSD - data from various sources are stored in the VSD and can be accessed, organized, and downloaded.

Data Organization

Users can search the available online data catalog to find the datasets relevant for their projects (see Figure 4 and Multimedia Appendices 2 and 3). The system allows the creation of virtual folders to organize the available datasets, which provides the user with the flexibility to freely create their personal workspace. Data objects are not physically moved or duplicated, but the system creates a reference to the data object, retaining the original file permission and ownership. For collaboration or other purposes, the user can share parts of their workspace by changing the permission of the folder accordingly. To simplify the collaboration within a research unit, the system provides a default shared group folder, which is accessible and manageable by all members of the research unit.

Several functions provide additional information to the users and help them identify the relevant datasets. Each dataset can be rated for quality and tagged with personal comments. To comment on the object publicly, a discussion thread can be initiated in the integrated VSD forum (see Multimedia Appendices 4 and 5). Additionally, the search function allows users to find specific metadata including ontology terms. The ontology search is using SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL), which queries the semantic information contained in the ontology. This feature enables a powerful search where anatomical substructures can be identified within stored data objects. For example, a user can search for images containing the body part “Leg”. With a conventional index-based search, only data annotated as “Leg” can be retrieved (zero search results in the VSD). With the semantics of the ontology, the VSD will find and return data that are or contain the Leg (69 datasets found in the VSD).
Retrieve Images

An individual dataset as well as a folder structure can be downloaded from the VSD for processing on a local machine. The data are wrapped for download into a compressed archive file to reduce file size and to preserve the folder structure from the VSD. For local access to folders on the VSD, the user can mount the WebDAV folder as a network drive on their computer.

Segmented Images

Images that contain segmentation labels can be uploaded the same way as medical images. The system identifies how many anatomical structures are labeled in the segmentation image, the de-identification is performed, and the thumbnail images for preview are extracted. During the review process, all the labels of anatomical structures have to be specified and the segmentation technique can be described. The uploaded segmentation data can then be linked to original medical images or to other segmentations of the same structure, which have been performed using a different technique.

Statistical Models

To standardize the building and storage of shape models, the open source library “Statismo” has been developed [25]. An important aspect of this library is that it proposes a simple file format to store shape model, based on the Hierarchical Data Format (HDF5). The VSD recognizes shape models stored in HDF5 and is able to extract important information about the model, such as the datasets used or the registration technique used. After the upload, the review process is similar to that of the images, asking the user to annotate the anatomical region and to specify the license and access rights.

Three exemplary projects using key functionalities of the VSD are presented. First, the creation of a statistical model of the cochlea for surgery and implant optimization is described. As a second example, a statistical appearance model of the femur is used to analyze fracture risk within a population. Finally, an online segmentation challenge hosted by the VSD is presented.

Results

International Collaboration on Statistical Shape Model of the Cochlea

The goal of this international collaboration project, the Hear-EU project [26], is to improve cochlear implants. This surgical procedure tries to overcome hearing loss by implanting a device that accesses the cochlea of the inner ear. Clinical expertise and detailed information about critical structures close to the surgical site are needed for such a surgery. Since this surgical intervention is very complex and a vast variety of patient anatomies exist, the project hypothesizes that a comprehensive knowledge of the involved anatomical structures would enable improvements for implant design and for surgical planning. In this context, the VSD acts as a data hub (see Figure 5; map adapted from [27]) to assist the building of a statistical shape model of both the middle and the inner ear. High resolution computed tomography (CT) images with a data size of over 5GB are uploaded to the VSD and will be processed by different institutions across Europe. The resulting segmentation images will be subsequently re-uploaded to the VSD. Having both the images and processed data available will enable the Technical
University of Denmark to create a statistical shape model of the regions of interest. Finally, the shape models will be available on the VSD and can be used by different teams to optimize surgical procedure as well as the functional outcome of the surgery on a patient-specific basis.

**Biomechanical Femur Population Study**

The authors searched the VSD for available lower extremities datasets to conduct a study on the fracture risk on this population. A total of 72 female and 72 male subjects were isolated and retrieved together with their available metadata. The population consisted mainly of Caucasian (114) supplemented with Asian (28) and African (2) subjects. The female subpopulation’s body mass index (mean 26.4, SD 5.5) was slightly higher compared to the male (mean 25.5, SD 4.9) subjects, while the male represented an older subpopulation than the female group.

For each gender, the statistical appearance model was calculated using the image-based approach described by Bonaretti et al [28] and polyaffine demons [29]. From both appearance models, 1000 instances were created and biomechanical finite element simulations were performed with a loading situation corresponding to normal walking [30,31]. The bone intensity values were converted into material properties [32,33] and mapped onto each node of the FE (finite element) mesh of the second order tetrahedral elements.

The relationships between the fracture risk in the femoral neck and morphometric measurement was performed (see Table 1). Six geometrical measures were identified [34,35] (see Figure 6) as possible risk predictors. As a mechanical parameter, the average Young’s modulus in the femoral neck was calculated. All predictor parameters were centered and normalized to perform the regression and evaluate their influence in femur fracture risk.

For both genders, body weight was identified as the most relevant predictor for fracture risk while the mechanical measures showed a lower influence of fracture risk in general. In contrast, among geometrical parameters, the neck-shaft angle could be identified as playing a predominant role for both populations, whereas the order of importance of the parameters shows clear difference between men and women. The complete study results are presented by Bonaretti [28].

**Segmentation Challenge for Brain Tumors**

The VSD was chosen by the Multimodal Brain Tumor Segmentation (BRATS) challenge organizer to host their data and challenge. The data were publicly available to any team around the world to develop and train their segmentation algorithms. In addition, the VSD was used to evaluate the submission of the competitor during the challenge, which took place during the annual conference of the Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention (MICCAI) Conference 2012. Hosting such a challenge required several functionalities, which illustrates most of the features of the system. The VSD hosted multicenter magnetic resonance (MR) imaging sets and their related ground truth, which were defined by inter-reader agreement from clinicians. The clinical segmentations were used to determine the accuracy of the segmentation of tumor subregions. A total of 80 brain MR and segmentations were available to the competitors for training purposes (see Figure 7). An additional 30 challenge datasets which consist only of MR data were available for download. Their respective ground truth segmentation was hosted on the VSD but the download was protected through appropriate file permission. The users uploaded their segmentation through the Web interface, reviewed the uploaded segmentation, and started an automatic evaluation process. The VSD automatically identified the ground truth corresponding to the uploaded segmentations. The evaluation of the different standard parameters used to evaluate the quality of the segmentation (such as Dice coefficients) runs in the background and takes less than 1 minute per segmentation. Individual and overall results of the evaluation were automatically published on the VSD webpage and were downloadable as a comma separated values (CSV) file for further statistical calculations (see Multimedia Appendices 6 and 7). The VSD has evaluated more than 3000 segmentations and had over 80 registered users for the BRATS 2012 group. The service was successfully used for the challenge in 2012 when around 700 evaluations were handled by the system within the last 2 hours of the competition. The results were presented in the proceedings of MICCAI 2012 [36], and the data are now open to anyone who wants to evaluate new segmentation tools against previous BRATS evaluation sets. So far, around 15,000 additional segmentations have been successfully benchmarked by the VSD.
Figure 5. Collaborative network, interactions, and workflows for the Hear-eu project on cochlear implants.
Figure 6. Geometrical measures: (1) femur length, (2) neck length, (3) neck width, (4) femoral head width, (5) neck-shaft angle, (6) anteversion angle.

Table 1. Measured bone geometry and bone mechanical properties for men and women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Male mean (SD)</th>
<th>Female mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femur length, cm</td>
<td>42.6 (2.9)</td>
<td>40.8 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck length, cm</td>
<td>10.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>9.9 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck width, cm</td>
<td>3.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head width, cm</td>
<td>5.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck-shaft angle, degree</td>
<td>128 (4)</td>
<td>130 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteversion angle, degree</td>
<td>15 (7)</td>
<td>19 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus, GPa</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>64 (14)</td>
<td>60 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Exemplary multimodal MR images of a high-grade Glioma patient 0001 with truth and label result from an automatic segmentation of a BRATS participant (red=cranio spinal fluid, green=gray matter, blue=white matter, yellow=necrotic, turquoise=active tumor, pink=edema).
Discussion

Principal Findings

The VSD features various innovative approaches to provide an efficient and easy-to-use open access repository to the medical image and modeling community focusing on the creation of statistical models. The standard image and segmentation file types (DICOM, MetaImage, Analyze, and Niftii) can be read and stored by the system. Additionally, the Statismo framework with a standard file type for statistical models has been included, which allows statistical models to be shared, hosted, and collaborated on via the VSD. The complete data used in the process of building a statistical shape model can be stored and tracked through the related data information in the VSD (see Multimedia Appendices 8 and 9). Built in as generic a way as possible, the VSD hosts a catalog of data objects, which can be individually organized by users according to their needs. The anatomical structures are annotated using a comprehensive ontology allowing the integrated search algorithm to identify anatomical structures within datasets, which is not possible with a standard index-based search.

Access to the VSD is open to research units and their members. Users are invited to contribute by uploading images and processed data, which will increase the data pool available on the VSD. By adding their data to the VSD, the users profit from the permanent accessibility provided through the Web service. This approach supports the current trend towards open access and re-usability of research results, which should be accompanied by the policy of scientific journals (see Multimedia Appendix 10). This facilitated access to data should enhance productivity and research quality through competition and stimulate improvements by the research community. The three example projects presented highlight the benefits of the VSD and its contribution to statistical model building, population-based research, and object identification in medical images.

International Collaboration on Statistical Shape Model of the Cochlea

With the VSD, it is possible to streamline the process of statistical shape model building for accurate population-based models in a multidisciplinary project setting. All parties have access to the same dataset and the metadata. The individual processing tasks can be distributed while the research data is stored on the VSD. An institution that has access to high resolution medical data can provide researchers with medical image analysis background with data for segmentation. Once these segmentations are available on the VSD, the statistical shape model can be engineered and provided through the VSD. The statistical model then can be implemented into surgical planning, segmentation algorithms, or implant design improvements. Since every processing step is stored on the system, this approach ensures a high level of reproducibility of the model building and quality control.

Biomechanical Femur Population Study

The VSD provides a service to overcome the limitation of the small number of available subjects in mechanical studies by promoting statistical shape model, hosting the respective images and processed data. This approach enables population-based studies. These population-based investigations allow not only study of the mechanical behavior of a large amount of bones, but also the comparison among different groups of populations. They allow study of the shape and volumes of anatomical structures and, consequently, clinically relevant parameters. As presented, for geometrical parameters of the femur, the neck-shaft angle plays a predominant role for fracture risk of both genders. Additionally, differences between men and women could be identified due to the use of a population-based model. Similar approaches could be used to perform virtual evaluation of orthopedic implants and optimize their design to market-specific needs.

Segmentation Challenge for Brain Tumors

Accurate segmentation is a critical step in visualization for treatment planning or later to monitor the therapeutic outcome. Manual segmentation from experienced experts is generally considered to contain the most reliable information. However, a lot of effort goes into the development of algorithms to automate this process. However, for almost every anatomical structure, those algorithms have to be adapted or trained. As a consequence, these techniques have to be constantly enhanced. To catalyze the innovation, researchers need image data and the opportunity to compare their results. The VSD provides the data repository and an evaluation platform, which are both needed to enhance those algorithms. The usefulness of such a platform in order to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the identification of glioblastoma is documented by the activity and registration numbers on the VSD. Due to the object-centric architecture of the system, the VSD is able to host any similar setup for other anatomical structures.

Limitations and Further Directions

Although already populated with an initial set of data, the collection still needs to grow significantly. Constant efforts are being made to increase the amount of data, which are also expected to increase with the dissemination activity and number of users. Additionally, the VSD is expanding the supported file type based on requests from users. Finally, an additional interface to exchange data with the system is planned based on the REST interface, which will allow for online preparation of statistical models.

To deal with the delicate aspect of data privacy, the VSD provides automatic tools such as de-identification, metadata stripping, and file name replacement. However, these tools cannot prevent the possible identification of a patient associated with objects visible in the medical images themselves. In some case, a special plate or implant, a rare malformation, or the image of the head is sufficient to recognize the subject. Therefore, the owner of the data is responsible for ensuring that they have the right to share the images and that a proper anonymization has been performed by removing identifiable regions of the image. However, the functionality on the VSD to give the data a two-level control—permission and license—allows the user to ensure that images are accessible only to individuals allowed to work with their data.
Conclusions
The VSD allows users to very flexibly manage and organize their data and online workspace. The VSD provides researchers with access to a growing community-filled data repository. The authors showed a novel system for scientific collaboration in the medical image community with a unique object-centric concept and semantically driven search option for anatomical structures for medical images, processed data, and statistical models. However, the clientele is not limited to these communities since the system can and will deliver functionality for other file types and their applications, for example, finite element models. We have presented three applications that benefit from such a Web application. The VSD is a valuable solution especially in the context of the growing needs of open access, re-usability, and reproducibility of scientific work.
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Abstract

Background: A number of studies have evaluated the educational contents of videos on YouTube. However, little analysis has been done on videos about physical examination.

Objective: This study aimed to analyze YouTube videos about physical examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems. It was hypothesized that the educational standards of videos on YouTube would vary significantly.

Methods: During the period from November 2, 2011 to December 2, 2011, YouTube was searched by three assessors for videos covering the clinical examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems. For each video, the following information was collected: title, authors, duration, number of viewers, and total number of days on YouTube. Using criteria comprising content, technical authority, and pedagogy parameters, videos were rated independently by three assessors and grouped into educationally useful and non-useful videos.

Results: A total of 1920 videos were screened. Only relevant videos covering the examination of adults in the English language were identified (n=56). Of these, 20 were found to be relevant to cardiovascular examinations and 36 to respiratory examinations. Further analysis revealed that 9 provided useful information on cardiovascular examinations and 7 on respiratory examinations: scoring mean 14.9 (SD 0.33) and mean 15.0 (SD 0.00), respectively. The other videos, 11 covering cardiovascular and 29 on respiratory examinations, were not useful educationally, scoring mean 11.1 (SD 1.08) and mean 11.2 (SD 1.29), respectively. The differences between these two categories were significant (P<.001 for both body systems). The concordance between the assessors on applying the criteria was 0.89, with a kappa score >.86.

Conclusions: A small number of videos about physical examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems were identified as educationally useful; these videos can be used by medical students for independent learning and by clinical teachers as learning resources. The scoring system utilized by this study is simple, easy to apply, and could be used by other researchers on similar topics.
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Introduction

Current medical curricula are paying increasing attention to learning how to conduct physical examinations and to early exposure of students to clinical skills. The aim is to highlight physical examination as a core competency for students and to ensure that the content and delivery of this component in the curriculum has been mastered before graduation [1,2]. Learning about physical examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems, as is the case with other elements of clinical skills, cannot be achieved from reading textbooks or attending lectures. Such skills are usually developed through observation of clinicians performing examinations and through practice on simulated and real patients [3-5].

For many years, students relied on their clinical teachers as one of the main sources for learning such skills [3,4]. However, with the introduction of problem-based learning (PBL) and self-directed learning into most medical curricula, more emphasis has been placed on changing the learning and teaching pedagogy [5-7]. In these courses, students use a range of learning resources, including review papers, journal articles, textbooks, museum specimens, simulated patients, computer-aided learning programs, and multimedia [8-10]. The Internet has become an easily available resource of up-to-date information worldwide [9,11-15]. Medical students usually rely on Google and YouTube as the first resources in their research [12-14].

YouTube is the largest Internet video-sharing site and is a useful tool in social communication, business, advertising, and news as well as a promising learning resource for students and the general public [16,17]. In 2005, YouTube was created as an arena for personal/social communication and for distribution of commercial content. Although similar video-sharing sites are available for public use, YouTube has become the most popular worldwide. Statistical data offered by YouTube provide evidence of its popularity [17]. For example, YouTube is the largest video site with over 4 billion videos watched around the globe every day and one hour of video is uploaded to YouTube every second [17].

Recently, the quality of YouTube videos has been evaluated in a number of areas related to medical and patient health information and medical skills [18], including first aid information on thermal burns [19], human papilloma virus vaccinations [20], investigation into the mechanisms of elbow dislocation [21], clinical procedures [22], rheumatoid arthritis [23], as a learning resource for nurses [24], surface anatomy [25], cardiopulmonary resuscitation [26], dental education [27], and infantile spasms [28]. However, not all researchers found YouTube videos to be educationally useful videos; on the contrary, a number of researchers warned that there are thousands of videos on YouTube that promote misleading information and could possibly endanger some viewers. For example, there are videos encouraging anorexia as a healthy life-style choice [29] and non-suicidal self-injury videos that may foster normalization of non-suicidal self-injury and potentially reinforce the behavior through regular viewing [30,31].

With these limitations in mind, there is no doubt that educationally designed videos have the advantage of explaining difficult concepts through using simulation, graphic diagrams, dynamic illustrations, analogies, and simulated patients. The learning/teaching benefits of videos will be enhanced if videos are well-designed, explore scientifically correct content, feature a clear presentation, and address students’ learning needs. Searching for educationally useful videos on YouTube may be time consuming and requires knowledge from researchers about what makes an educationally useful video [32-35].

No studies assessing the usefulness of YouTube videos on examination of the cardiovascular system (CVS) and the respiratory system (RS) could be found in PubMed. This study is aimed at assessing YouTube videos regarding physical examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems.

Methods

Searching YouTube

From November 2, 2011 to December 2, 2011, the YouTube site was searched using the following key words: “cardiovascular system examination”, “cardiovascular clinical examination”, “cardiovascular physical examination”, “respiratory system examination”, “respiratory clinical examination”, and “respiratory physical examination”. When searching YouTube, quotation marks were used with these terms to specify that these terms must be present. Only the first 10 pages of results for each search term were screened for related videos. The reason for not searching videos beyond page 10 of the search term results is that one is less likely to find videos covering the key words and users are less likely to go that far in their search [26]. We observed that videos not related to search words could be seen by the third and the fourth pages. By pages 8 to 10, videos not related to the search were found. Therefore, it was decided to go only as far as the first 10 pages for each search. Videos in the English language only were identified and the related URL was recorded.

The search was conducted by three authors (HAA, RAA, and SMA) independently using the search key words. The search results were evaluated and used to compile a common pool that was used in further analysis. The inclusion criteria were videos covering clinical examination of the cardiovascular or respiratory systems in adults. Videos were excluded if they were (1) not in the English language, (2) in the form of a lecture, (3) an advertisement or news, (4) discussing signs or symptoms of diseases affecting the cardiovascular or respiratory systems, (5) about simulated patients reflecting on their experiences or roles, (6) about patients with cardiovascular or respiratory disorders, (7) about drugs used in treating patients with cardiovascular or respiratory disorders, or (8) in the form of seminars or reviews of cardiovascular or respiratory systems. Duplicated videos were also excluded and repeats were treated as a single file for analysis. The repeat file with the greatest number of hits was used for analysis.

Collected Data

For each video, the following data were collected: title, duration of the video, number of days on YouTube, total number of...
views, and name of uploader/creator (organization, group of people, one person). The number of “likes” and “dislikes” (a crude scoring system that viewers can use to assign to each video they watched, though it is not necessarily used by all viewers) for each video was recorded. Because the number of days on YouTube varies widely among videos, we decided to calculate viewership/day as a more accurate parameter compared to total number of viewers. The viewership per day is the ratio of number of viewers to the number of days a video is on YouTube. The number of days is calculated from the day of uploading on YouTube up to December 1, 2011. This calculation of viewership/day was conducted for each video. The page number on which a video was placed was recorded. This is because the YouTube search algorithm is designed to show videos according to their relevance to key words used in the search and hence videos on the first three pages are more likely to be watched than videos on lower pages. Thus, the location of the videos may indirectly affect the number of “likes” and “dislikes” given by viewers.

Analysis of Videos

The criteria used in evaluating the videos have been described in detail in an earlier work [25,35] with some modification to suit the study. In summary, the design of the criteria is based on four main domains: video content, technical aspects, authority/creator, and pedagogy used. The items in the criteria are grouped under two categories: major and minor. The major criteria comprise: (1) the video uses simulated patients or patients to demonstrate the examination, (2) contents about clinical examination are scientifically correct, (3) images are clear, (4) the creator and/or organization providing the video are mentioned, and (5) sounds are clear and background is free from noise. The minor criteria comprise: (1) the video covers the topic identified in the title, (2) designed at the level of undergraduate medical science students, (3) time to download is reasonable (about 10-15 minutes at the maximum, not interrupted or challenging to download as reported by the three evaluators), (4) information about the creator is up-to-date, (5) the educational objectives are stated, and (6) the topic is clearly presented. The criteria were used to categorize videos into educationally useful and non-educationally useful videos. We mean by “educationally useful” that a video provides scientifically correct and up-to-date knowledge and clinical instructions/skills accepted by educators in other teaching hospitals about cardiovascular and respiratory examinations. As per the basis of the evaluation criteria, educationally useful videos should fulfill the four domains (scientific content, technical aspects, authority/creator, and pedagogy used). Two scores were allocated for each item in the major criteria and one score was allocated to each item under the minor criteria. If an item was fulfilled, an allocated score was given; if not fulfilled, a zero was given. No half scores were used. As per our previous research work, educationally useful videos should fulfill all major criteria items as the minimum requirements plus at least three items under the minor criteria [35].

Testing the Criteria

To standardize the evaluation of the content of each video and the process of clinical examination, the assessors used the textbook and video by Talley and O’Connor, “Clinical Examination”, as a reference to guide their assessment [36]. The content element in the criteria comprised the following: examiner introduces him/herself to the patient; patient is correctly positioned in bed; examination is conducted within time frame; examination covers the sequence of inspection, palpation, percussion, and auscultation; physical signs are correctly elicited; and examination is conducted in a professional manner. Prior to applying the criteria, we piloted its use. A total of 25 videos were randomly selected and used for this purpose. The criteria were applied independently by three assessors (SMA, HAA, and RAA). None of the assessors shared their findings or discussed the outcome of their evaluation. An Excel spreadsheet covering the three evaluations was examined by a fourth researcher (SAA). The agreement among the assessors was in the range of 96-98%. The findings were discussed among the researchers. The criteria were tested again independently by three assessors for another 25 videos. Videos were then rated independently by three assessors (SMA, HAA, and RAA). When videos were difficult to classify or when there was a disagreement among assessors, all researchers reviewed such videos in a meeting and reached a final agreement. This study was approved by the ethics committee at King Saud University College of Medicine.

Data Analysis

The data were entered into Microsoft Excel 2010 and were checked before conducting any analysis. Analysis was conducted with SPSS software (version 18.0 for MS Windows) and was reported via mean, SD, percentage, and minimum and maximum; t tests and ANOVA (analysis of variance) were performed to determine significant differences. To assess the degree to which different judges or raters agreed in their assessment decisions, Cohen’s kappa for inter-rater reliability was used [37]. Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) was calculated to determine if the viewership per day was correlated to the total scores given to each video. This relationship has also been examined to see if there is a correlation between the number of “likes” given by viewers to a video and the total scores given [38,39]. For all calculations, a P value <.05 was considered significant.

Results

Videos on CVS and RS Examinations

A total of 1920 YouTube videos were found on initial search and, on applying the inclusion criteria and visual examination of the videos, only 56 videos were relevant to clinical examination of the CVS (20 videos) and the RS (36 videos) (Table 1). Figure 1 summarizes how the YouTube searches and the number of videos in each category were refined on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Examples of screenshots of these videos are shown in Figures 2-4.
Table 1. YouTube videos covering examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems (N=56).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body system</th>
<th>Number of videos</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Total number of days on YouTube</th>
<th>Total viewership</th>
<th>Total scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>minutes</td>
<td>n (mean, minimum, maximum)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular system</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(seconds)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educationally useful</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>71 (18)</td>
<td>3571 (3968; 20; 1020)</td>
<td>129,350 (29)</td>
<td>14.9 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educationally not useful</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>73 (30)</td>
<td>5773 (5248; 154; 955)</td>
<td>315,420 (71)</td>
<td>11.1 (1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory system</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educationally useful</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>56 (48)</td>
<td>5515 (7879; 219; 1673)</td>
<td>413,483 (41)</td>
<td>15.0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educationally not useful</td>
<td>29 (81)</td>
<td>147 (31)</td>
<td>20592 (7101; 31; 1381)</td>
<td>600,183 (59)</td>
<td>11.2 (1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Searching YouTube for videos covering examination of the cardiovascular and respiratory systems.
Figure 2. Screenshot of video.

Figure 3. Screenshot of video.
Analysis of Videos

The total duration of these video clips was 349 minutes, 11 seconds. The use of the criteria for categorizing the videos into useful and non-useful videos revealed that there were 9 CVS and 7 RS videos that provided useful information on clinical examination. These videos scored mean 14.9 (SD 0.33) and mean 15.0 (SD 0.00), respectively. The total duration of useful videos was 128 minutes, 6 seconds (71 minutes, 18 seconds for the CVS videos and 56 minutes, 48 seconds for the RS videos). The total viewers of all videos were 1,458,436. For both body systems, useful videos were viewed by 542,833 viewers (37.22% of total viewers, 542,833/1,458,436). Table 2 reveals detailed information about the 56 videos included in the study and the multimedia links show examples of useful videos about examination of the cardiovascular system (Multimedia Appendix 1) and the respiratory system (Multimedia Appendix 2).

Useful videos were created by doctors or professional bodies; a link to an organization such as ImedrxTV, geekymedics123, MedicalStudentApps, mosaicism105, or the name of the creator and his or her credentials, such as Professor S. Femando from the Open University of Sri Lanka, were shown. Some videos were linked to universities and known teaching institutes such as Manchester Medical School, St. George’s University of London, Central Manchester University Hospitals, the National Health Service, and the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health.

Non-educationally useful videos scored mean 11.1 (SD 1.08) for CVS examination and mean 11.2 (SD 1.29) for the RS examination. Compared to educationally useful videos, the differences were significant ($P<.001$ for both CVS and RS videos) (Table 1). For both body systems, non-useful videos were viewed by 915,603 (62.78% of total viewers, 915,603/1,458,436). Non-educationally useful videos failed due to a number of reasons. The majority of the non-educationally useful videos failed to fulfill one of the major criterion items. Among those, more than 80% (33/40) were due to the image lacking clarity or no mention of the creator of the video. The concordance between the assessors on applying the criteria was 0.89, with a kappa score $.86$.

The correlations between the total video scores and the number of viewers/day were not significant for the CVS videos ($r=.06, P=.059$) and the RS videos ($r=.027, P=.827$). Similarly, no correlation was found between likes or dislikes and the total number of scores given to CVS videos ($r=.06, P=.059$) or RS videos ($r=.02, P=.832$). No significant correlation was found between the video location on the first pages and the scores for like or dislike.
Table 2. Details about the 56 videos identified in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Days on YouTube</th>
<th>Viewership/day</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Cardiovascular system</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v12Eauyx56w">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v12Eauyx56w</a></td>
<td>721</td>
<td>27.56</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Macleod’s Clinical Examination, Cardiovascular System</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8h8h7ee9D84">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8h8h7ee9D84</a></td>
<td>219</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination, clinical skills online</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E6EOCY-OszE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E6EOCY-OszE</a></td>
<td>894</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination - OSCE guide</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SIJUuVkJlyy0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SIJUuVkJlyy0</a></td>
<td>266</td>
<td>69.80</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XiM2fnVDg9A">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XiM2fnVDg9A</a></td>
<td>367</td>
<td>111.06</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Exam</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=69LuXu42Ahc">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=69LuXu42Ahc</a></td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iiPvQCyEfeY">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iiPvQCyEfeY</a></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43.75</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CVS Examination Part 1</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CwM8viJ4M6Q&amp;feature=mfu_in_order&amp;list=UL">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CwM8viJ4M6Q&amp;feature=mfu_in_order&amp;list=UL</a></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CVS Examination Part 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MQLJv1qP_s">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MQLJv1qP_s</a></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10b</td>
<td>Respiratory System</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vD7b-Ery014">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vD7b-Ery014</a></td>
<td>721</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Respiratory System Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YK82HiDrVWw">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YK82HiDrVWw</a></td>
<td>665</td>
<td>18.59</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Respiratory Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hWGe5h2UR8">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hWGe5h2UR8</a></td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>172.05</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Respiratory / physical assessment</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=leP_Lsu5lAe">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=leP_Lsu5lAe</a></td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>55.09</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Macleod’s Clinical Examination, Examination of the Respiratory System</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=akr40RXu_H8">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=akr40RXu_H8</a></td>
<td>219</td>
<td>36.27</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>04a. Physical Exam – Thorax - part 1/2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ajxRjJx-Cx">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ajxRjJx-Cx</a></td>
<td>527</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>04a. Physical Exam – Thorax - part 2/2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0KZPyM7K">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0KZPyM7K</a></td>
<td>527</td>
<td>31.73</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17a</td>
<td>Physical Assessment of Thorax Lungs and Cardiovascular</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KMRO-JEawce">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KMRO-JEawce</a></td>
<td>405</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CVS examination.wmv</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C7e-K6Ituw">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C7e-K6Ituw</a></td>
<td>294</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hXU24g95wJU">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hXU24g95wJU</a></td>
<td>444</td>
<td>183.23</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Physical.mpg</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=McoA-JxQWB5A">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=McoA-JxQWB5A</a></td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>The One Minute Cardiac Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EwL430wv-PYY">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EwL430wv-PYY</a></td>
<td>569</td>
<td>18.631</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BYZIN3ZIlg">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BYZIN3ZIlg</a></td>
<td>666</td>
<td>58.89</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-8Hi1HvZam4">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-8Hi1HvZam4</a></td>
<td>903</td>
<td>79.76</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Jugular Venous Pressure (JVP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4YBX-aWmQ2INs">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4YBX-aWmQ2INs</a></td>
<td>431</td>
<td>55.14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Inspection Of Chest</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SkWri7tCEx8">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SkWri7tCEx8</a></td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Examination: General Cardiovascular</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XR-Ju7Vma10">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XR-Ju7Vma10</a></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Cardiovascular examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U-fyQfJL9aQ">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U-fyQfJL9aQ</a></td>
<td>955</td>
<td>83.75</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28b</td>
<td>Examination of Respiratory System Part 1 General Exam</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JxPcX9X4XANo">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JxPcX9X4XANo</a></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>04b. Anterior and posterior Thorax and Axilla</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_xt3nXnEo-qY">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_xt3nXnEo-qY</a></td>
<td>528</td>
<td>214.60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Days on YouTube</td>
<td>Viewership/day</td>
<td>Total score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Respiratory Physical.mpg</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hkxH0fy-cRVE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hkxH0fy-cRVE</a></td>
<td>717</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Clinical Skills Session – Resp. Exam</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uaRg7zg1wCec">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uaRg7zg1wCec</a></td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>154.79</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>9-Thorax and Lungs-Examining the Anterior Thorax and Lungs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bYpjf0DYtxnE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bYpjf0DYtxnE</a></td>
<td>162</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Respiratory Examination</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-4BUharW-MA">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-4BUharW-MA</a></td>
<td>666</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>HOW Examination of Respiratory System Part 1 of 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OX1Y21K41ns">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OX1Y21K41ns</a></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>HOW Examination of Respiratory System Part 2 of 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ol44OFQMHz0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ol44OFQMHz0</a></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Medical Gallery - Loyola Full Thorax Exam Part 1</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=shTagttppnCik">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=shTagttppnCik</a></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Medical Gallery - Loyola Full Thorax Exam Part 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TvLX0EP7fc0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TvLX0EP7fc0</a></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Deb Video 6 - Respiratory Exam.mp4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=udf6rV3kVd-jl&amp;feature=mfu_in_order&amp;list=UL">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=udf6rV3kVd-jl&amp;feature=mfu_in_order&amp;list=UL</a></td>
<td>722</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Deb Video 7 - Respiratory Exam.mp4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WLe5kY15BBE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WLe5kY15BBE</a></td>
<td>722</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Respiratory Mohamed</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VBTfAzLw-JE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VBTfAzLw-JE</a></td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>[Respiratory Mohamed] Part 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QcTAuQzw8Cc">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QcTAuQzw8Cc</a></td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>[Respiratory Mohamed] Part 3</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6WlQqf-99D0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6WlQqf-99D0</a></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>[Respiratory Mohamed] Part 4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M2bmOrL_ouI">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M2bmOrL_ouI</a></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>[Respiratory Mohamed] Part 5</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PY8pA0kB2ko">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PY8pA0kB2ko</a></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 1</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3emM6BSww">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3emM6BSww</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vez8Vzm70kc">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vez8Vzm70kc</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 3</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ygP03M0ylwE">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ygP03M0ylwE</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AbuAMGHrBVM">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AbuAMGHrBVM</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 5</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=infqBzmid-DY">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=infqBzmid-DY</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 6</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Us5MJ3rCxcB8">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Us5MJ3rCxcB8</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 7</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S9iew7uZp-bA">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S9iew7uZp-bA</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 8</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aWuYMkXQGM">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aWuYMkXQGM</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>43.14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 9</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNcmPcLZHi4">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNcmPcLZHi4</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Examination of the thoracic and respiratory system 10</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ThAOQlUyiM">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ThAOQlUyiM</a></td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Respiratory Examination (part 1) for OSCEs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Odkd-JZ_ppO1">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Odkd-JZ_ppO1</a></td>
<td>353</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Duvivier et al (2012) in a study from Maastricht University rely on observing clinicians conducting examinations and then current medical curricula. To learn such skills, students usually much emphasis has been placed on physical examination in lists of videos that match with the search terms. The findings in this study indicated that there are 9 educationally useful videos on cardiovascular examination and 7 on respiratory examination. These videos provided approximately 71 minutes, 18 seconds of CVS examination and 56 minutes, 48 seconds of RS examination that can be used in clinical learning and teaching purposes. Useful videos were linked mainly to universities or educational institutes. This indicates the involvement of universities and teaching institutes in promoting the use of educational videos as a resource to learners. This is particularly important with the shift of most universities toward self-directed learning and student-centered programs.

YouTube’s search engine, despite all precautions taken to target the search results to videos about examination of these two body systems, delivered more than 1800 videos that were not related to the search terms. Furthermore, the lack of correlation between the total score given to a video and the location of the video when searched (the page number) highlights the fact that the YouTube search algorithm is not well calibrated and unrelated videos usually appear despite the search filter provided by YouTube. Also, the lack of correlation between the total score given to a video to the “like” and “dislike” numbers as well as to viewership/day suggests the possibility that many viewers were watching substandard videos in their learning. Assuming that the majority of these viewers were medical/health students and trainees, these results highlight the need for YouTube to improve its search algorithm system to generate more accurate lists of videos that match with the search terms.

Much emphasis has been placed on physical examination in current medical curricula. To learn such skills, students usually rely on observing clinicians conducting examinations and then practicing on simulated patients and real patients. Recently, Duvivier et al (2012) in a study from Maastricht University reported that on average students devote 20% of their self-study time to skills training on physical examination [3]. They found that students use textbooks, examination guidelines, scientific articles, the Internet, videos/DVD, and scoring forms from previous OSCEs as their learning resources. Although the Internet and videos were among these resources, the study did not explore the sources of these videos and whether YouTube videos were used. Also, the study did not specify how much time they spend on average on resources such as videos and the Internet [3].

Discussion

Principal Findings

The aim of this study was to conduct an analysis of YouTube videos about CVS and RS physical examinations and to categorize these videos for educational purposes. Several methods have been described in evaluating videos [40-43]. However, none of these systems were useful for classifying videos about clinical skills. The system used in this study is simple, easy to apply, and covers four key elements, namely: scientific content, technicality, authority, and pedagogy parameters. It has also been tested in two previous studies and has shown a high level of inter-rater correlation while covering the key elements required for an educationally useful video [25,35].

The findings in this study indicated that there are 9 educationally useful videos on CVS examination and 7 on RS examination. These videos provided approximately 71 minutes, 18 seconds of CVS examination and 56 minutes, 48 seconds of RS examination that can be used in clinical learning and teaching purposes. Useful videos were linked mainly to universities or educational institutes. This indicates the involvement of universities and teaching institutes in promoting the use of educational videos as a resource to learners. This is particularly important with the shift of most universities toward self-directed learning and student-centered programs.

YouTube’s search engine, despite all precautions taken to target the search results to videos about examination of these two body systems, delivered more than 1800 videos that were not related to the search terms. Furthermore, the lack of correlation between the total score given to a video and the location of the video when searched (the page number) highlights the fact that the YouTube search algorithm is not well calibrated and unrelated videos usually appear despite the search filter provided by YouTube. Also, the lack of correlation between the total score given to a video to the “like” and “dislike” numbers as well as to viewership/day suggests the possibility that many viewers were watching substandard videos in their learning. Assuming that the majority of these viewers were medical/health students and trainees, these results highlight the need for YouTube to improve its search algorithm system to generate more accurate lists of videos that match with the search terms.

Much emphasis has been placed on physical examination in current medical curricula. To learn such skills, students usually rely on observing clinicians conducting examinations and then practicing on simulated patients and real patients. Recently, Duvivier et al (2012) in a study from Maastricht University reported that on average students devote 20% of their self-study time to skills training on physical examination [3]. They found that students use textbooks, examination guidelines, scientific articles, the Internet, videos/DVD, and scoring forms from previous OSCEs as their learning resources. Although the Internet and videos were among these resources, the study did not explore the sources of these videos and whether YouTube videos were used. Also, the study did not specify how much time they spend on average on resources such as videos and the Internet [3].

There is no substitute for witnessing a physical examination or a clinical procedure being performed live. Neither static images nor a description in a book outlining these techniques can offer the same impact as personal experience. Videos, however, are a medium that can transfer the experience and help in mastering such skills through repeated watching of techniques used and information provided. Online videos have become a routine and important tool in a student’s preparation for clinical skills. Attending clinical skills sessions, reading textbooks along with watching online videos, and practicing skills learned on patients have become important learning strategies in most clinical schools [44,45]. Based on cognitive psychological research, the use of videos will help expose students to the techniques of clinical examination, approaches for examining patients, and how to manage the sequence of technical steps in such examinations [45-50].

Considering the increasing number of learners using the Internet as their primary source of information, medical educators and clinical teachers should recognize the importance of YouTube in education and invest in using Web 2.0 in learning and teaching activities such as clinical teaching [8,13-14]. Although there are other links on the Web that provide free videos, we decided to examine the videos on YouTube for a number of reasons. First, YouTube is popular and usually preferred by users compared to other websites. Second, it is relatively easy to share videos on YouTube. Third, there is continuous improvement in the design of the YouTube site and each video is accompanied by useful data that reflect the evolution of online social networking and can be of use to researchers and viewers. Finally, YouTube has succeeded in providing social networking and enabling discussion among viewers, which could be useful to viewers as they share useful videos with each other.

This study shows that clinical teachers who are competent in clinical teaching and clinical educators, such as those who created the videos we identified in this study, can offer great service to medical and health students worldwide by placing their work on sharing websites such as YouTube. This can be part of knowledge transfer and scholarly work as it is created.
by academics, shared, and peer-reviewed [50]. Recently, YouTube launched YouTube EDU, an area of YouTube where video creators must possess high-quality credentials and provide evidence of significant mass of resources before they qualify to have their content included in YouTube EDU. This may have a major impact on improving successful search strategies particularly for YouTube videos designed for educational purposes.

Limitations
This study represents a snapshot of available resources during November/December 2011, and, since then, there may have been more videos uploaded and made available. Given the continuous upload of videos on YouTube and the volume of new videos added to the system on a daily basis, further studies are needed to assess whether new videos of high quality and coverage have been added.

The small number of videos used in this study is a limitation to this study. The study was limited to videos in the English language and only those covering examination of adults, which may have contributed to the smaller number of videos found.

However, the majority of videos uploaded on YouTube are in the English language. Also, there is the possibility that some videos were not labeled as such and thus were unidentifiable under our search terms, despite all our precautions including conducting the search of YouTube over 30 days independently by three researchers and using six search keywords to compile a common pool. The search in this study was limited to YouTube and there is the possibility that videos on other websites, such as those of medical and other health professional societies and medical journals, were not included.

Conclusions
This is possibly the first study assessing the educational value of YouTube videos about physical examination of the CVS and RS systems. Despite the small number of videos identified and found educationally useful, these videos can be used by medical students for independent learning and by clinical teachers as learning resources. The scoring system utilized by this study is simple, easy to apply, and could be used by other researchers on similar topics. The authors encourage other researchers to assess the tool and contribute to its improvement.
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Abstract

Background: Despite the widespread use and advancements of mobile technology that facilitate rich communication modes, there is little evidence demonstrating the value of smartphones for effective interclinician communication and knowledge processes.

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the effects of different synchronous smartphone-based modes of communication, such as (1) speech only, (2) speech and images, and (3) speech, images, and image annotation (guided noticing) on the recall and transfer of visually and verbally represented medical knowledge.

Methods: The experiment was conducted from November 2011 to May 2012 at the University Hospital Basel (Switzerland) with 42 medical students in a master’s program. All participants analyzed a standardized case (a patient with a subcapital fracture of the fifth metacarpal bone) based on a radiological image, photographs of the hand, and textual descriptions, and were asked to consult a remote surgical specialist via a smartphone. Participants were randomly assigned to 3 experimental conditions/groups. In group 1, the specialist provided verbal explanations (speech only). In group 2, the specialist provided verbal explanations and displayed the radiological image and the photographs to the participants (speech and images). In group 3, the specialist provided verbal explanations, displayed the radiological image and the photographs, and annotated the radiological image by drawing structures/angle elements (speech, images, and image annotation). To assess knowledge recall, participants were asked to write brief summaries of the case (verbally represented knowledge) after the consultation and to re-analyze the diagnostic images (visually represented knowledge). To assess knowledge transfer, participants analyzed a similar case without specialist support.

Results: Data analysis by ANOVA found that participants in groups 2 and 3 (images used) evaluated the support provided by the specialist as significantly more positive than group 1, the speech-only group (group 1: mean 4.08, SD 0.90; group 2: mean 4.73, SD 0.59; group 3: mean 4.93, SD 0.25; $F_{2,39}=6.76, \ P=.003$; partial $\eta^2=0.26$, $1-\beta=.90$). However, significant positive effects on the recall and transfer of visually represented medical knowledge were only observed when the smartphone-based communication involved the combination of speech, images, and image annotation (group 3). There were no significant positive effects on the recall and transfer of visually represented knowledge between group 1 (speech only) and group 2 (speech and images). No significant differences were observed between the groups regarding verbally represented knowledge.

Conclusions: The results show (1) the value of annotation functions for digital and mobile technology for interclinician communication and medical informatics, and (2) the use of guided noticing (the integration of speech, images, and image annotation) leads to significantly improved knowledge gains for visually represented knowledge. This is particularly valuable in situations involving complex visual subject matters, typical in clinical practice.

Introduction

Interclinician Communication and Mobile Phones

Interclinician communication is a key component of health care systems. The significance becomes clear in light of its impact on patient care: poor communication between clinicians results in enormous costs and, more importantly, a high number of adverse clinical outcomes and deaths [1–4]. Typical forms of communication between medical professionals are shaped by the particularities of clinical work and can be characterized as instant and synchronous [2,3,5], interdisciplinary and interprofessional (between actors holding different domains and levels of knowledge [6,7]), and mobile (ie, between physically/locally mobile hospital workers [3,8] who increasingly communicate using mobile technologies). In particular, cellphones and smartphones are becoming increasingly popular in clinical settings, with adoption rates of up to 98% [9–12]. According to recent reviews, in light of these characteristics and recent developments, there is little known about how mobile phone-based communication can contribute to effective interclinician communication [13–15]. In many of the existing studies that have explored mobile clinical communication technologies, the methodological design was reported to be of lower quality and based on users’ perceptions [13]. Additionally, only a few randomized controlled experiments were identified [13,16].

Rich Communication Modes: Speech, Images, and Annotation

In recent publications, mobile phones have been considered as potentially efficient tools that enable instant location-independent communication [10,14,17–20]. In addition to supporting immediate communication in the form of speech, a number of studies have demonstrated how mobile phones and smartphones allow the ability to capture, exchange, and interpret images [14,21]. Comparisons to standard methods have demonstrated the suitability of mobile phones for use in assessment and diagnosis, such as when using computed tomography (CT), computed tomography angiography (CTA), and noncontrast computed tomography (NCCT) soft tissue or ophthalmic images [22–28]. A number of clinical information systems allow for the annotation of clinical images [29–31]; annotation refers to marking, drawing, highlighting, labeling, or otherwise describing (and enriching) aspects of visual material that should be the focus of attention. The creation of annotations is an essential part of clinical communication environments [32], and is considered a fundamental task for clinicians, medical educators, or basic scientists” [30].

Mobile Communication and Knowledge Exchange

The aim of synchronous interclinician communication involves the building of a shared understanding and a “just-in-time grounding” [2] between clinical actors with varying levels and domains of knowledge and expertise for the well-being of patients. During this process, knowledge is exchanged and new knowledge is created. Less knowledgeable actors learn from the communication and may transfer what they have learned to future patient cases. In other words, interclinician communication represents a valuable opportunity for medical actors to learn from one another for present and for future patient cases, similar to problem-based learning (eg, [33]). Regarding knowledge exchange and learning, some research emphasizes the value of using images in mobile phone-based communication. For example, it has been suggested that mobile image messaging can serve as an instructional tool that allows for instant feedback and further insights for less knowledgeable actors [34]. In a study involving doctor-to-doctor consultations that were based on images taken and sent by means of mobile phones (in the form of Multimedia Messaging Service, MMS), it was concluded that multimedia consultation had a positive effect on patient management and led to an improvement of the service. In addition, 86% of the residents reported “the multimedia information contributed to their ability to independently handle similar cases in [the] future” [35].

We conclude, despite the widespread use and advancements of mobile technology that enables rich communication modes, that there is a surprising paucity of evidence that demonstrates the actual value of mobile technology for effective interclinician communication, knowledge exchange, and learning.

Objectives and Hypothesis

To address this research gap, we delineated 2 sets of hypotheses based on cognitive and sociocognitive science approaches. The first set of hypotheses relates to theories of dual coding and multimedia learning [36–39] and the second set relates to the notion of guided noticing in communication [40,41].

Concerning cognitive science, it has been shown that images support human information processing and understanding. This is not only because images convey different information than words (concrete vs abstract), but because text and images are processed in 2 different channels or modes [36–39]. The dual channel processing assumption asserts that humans have separate channels for processing words and images and that they use them both to construct coherent mental knowledge representations [36,38,39]. Empirical evidence has demonstrated picture superiority effects (ie, that people can recall information better from both words and images than from words alone) [37,42–44]. Moreover, a vast body of research on learning with multimedia [37,43] suggests that when words (written words or speech) and images are presented together and in a well-designed and integrated manner (eg, redundant and spatially contingent), they support the construction of coherent mental representations and, thus, learning (eg, learning about the functioning of physical systems) [45]. This idea is especially true for less knowledgeable learners (eg, [46]) and in situations in which test items were presented in image-based form [47]. However, research on dual coding and multimedia learning was primarily conducted in experimental studies by using simple content. There is little evidence that demonstrates learning effects in applied and more complex situations, such as that of mobile health; mobile phone; telemedicine; educational technology; learning; problem solving; multimedia; audiovisual aids.
interclinician communication and knowledge exchange. According to multimedia theory, we formulated our first assumption for the experimental design of mobile phone-based medical communication.

Mobile phone-based medical communication, in which speech and images are combined to enhance the understanding of complex subject matter, leads to a better understanding by a less knowledgeable actor when compared to the speech-only condition. Therefore, knowledge recall and transfer of verbally and visually represented knowledge should increase when compared to the speech-only condition. Therefore, in our specific case of mobile communication and less knowledgeable actors, we hypothesize that mobile communication involving integrated speech and images leads to better recall and better transfer of visually represented and verbally represented medical knowledge than the speech-only condition.

For our second set of hypotheses, we derived our assumptions from the concept of guided noticing. Guided noticing describes how specific digital technology affordances can be used in distributed collaborative settings to direct joint attention to notice specific elements in visual media (eg, videos, images). Guided noticing can involve highlighting (eg, annotation), naming, and commenting; thus, it allows the assignment of typological representations (culturally meaningful categories) to topological representations (eg, images). This is especially important when experts interpret visualizations in detail and explain their interpretations to others. Here, guided noticing can be a way to establish common ground [48] in communication between professionals (eg, in educational settings), for example, by using annotations. The creation of annotations is considered as a grounding or communication act by users (rather than designers) who intend to close gaps in common ground required to complete a task [32]. Guided noticing can also serve to develop domain expertise and a professional vision [49] (eg, the training of diagnostic skills with x-rays [41]). Professional vision was empirically investigated and characterized by Goodwin [49] as “...socially organized ways of seeing and understanding events that are answerable to the distinctive interests of a particular social group.” Case studies of professional practices (eg, developing coding schemes or highlighting) with domain experts (eg, archeologists in field research, lawyers in courtroom) were conducted analyzing these practices in great detail. Yet, empirical research on guided noticing [41] is still sparse. In our own previous research on history learning with advanced video tools, we identified episodes of guided noticing and analyzed them in relation to the successful acquisition of visual knowledge [50]. Our present study extends the existing qualitative research by adding original quantitative data on the effects of highlighting (by annotation) for guided noticing in the specific area of medical knowledge.

Accordingly, we formulated the assumption that communication that is based on guided noticing, in which speech (typological representations) is linked with images (topological representations) in the form of annotation, leads to better knowledge recall and transfer by the less knowledgeable actor compared with speech-only communication or integrated speech and images without annotation. For our specific research of mobile phone-based communication in the context of less knowledgeable actors, we propose a second set of hypotheses that mobile communication that is based on guided noticing leads to better recall and better transfer of visually represented and verbally represented medical knowledge than speech-only communication or that of integrated speech and images without annotation.

**Methods**

**Experimental Design**

To test our hypotheses, an intervention study was designed. The experiment was conducted from November 2011 to May 2012 at the University Hospital Basel (Switzerland) with 42 medical students who were obtaining their master’s degrees. All medical students with a master’s curriculum were eligible to participate.

Before starting the experiment, informed written consent was obtained from the participants. In the first step, an experimenter asked the participants to assume the role of an emergency assistant. They briefly analyzed a patient case about a subcapital fracture of the fifth metacarpal bone [51,52] to initiate a consultation with a hand specialist. The patient case included a short text with the initial information about anamnesis and status, as well as a radiological image and photographs showing the limited functional capabilities (impaired fist closure and extension deficit). We chose this case because it is a frequently encountered, yet complex, case for novices. The associated clinical reasoning and treatment involves a great deal of medical and clinical knowledge, including the consideration of radiological, functional, and sociodemographic indicators.

In the second step, the participants were put in communication with a hand surgeon by means of an iPhone 4. To initiate the phone consultation, they were required to briefly characterize the patient case. The specialist provided pertinent standardized advice according to the 3 following experimental conditions/groups: group 1 received verbal explanations (speech only) from the specialist, group 2 received verbal explanations and the radiological image and photographs from the specialist, and group 3 received verbal explanations, the radiological image and the photographs, and annotations (by drawing structures/angle elements) on the radiological image from the specialist (speech, images, and image annotation as guided noticing). Although visual information was varied as described in groups 2 and 3, the verbal information (speech) remained constant in all 3 groups. The case information was prepared by a hand surgeon (UG) and, thereafter, the specialist’s role was assumed by MM.

The technical setting was based on 2 widely available tools: Skype for the communication and Google Drive for the sharing and annotation of the images. Google Drive allows real-time collaboration, inter alia, in the form of sharing and annotating images on desktop and mobile technologies. To avoid variances in the annotations throughout the experiments, the images were preannotated and then displayed in a predefined sequence by the specialist as integral part of the communication setting.

After the conversation with the expert, the participants were asked to complete 2 tasks. Task 1 (knowledge recall) required
the participants to write a brief description of the case in which they summarized and justified the relevant points of the conversation (including the diagnosis) in a given time period of 90 seconds (ie, recall of verbally represented knowledge). Second, they were required to draw relevant angles/structures in the radiological image and to estimate the angle size (ie, recall of visually represented knowledge). Task 2 was to measure knowledge transfer. The participants were then given a second case that they had to solve in a similar manner. They were asked to analyze the case information and to develop and justify a diagnosis without specialist support. They wrote a short description of the case including the diagnosis (to determine the transfer of verbally represented knowledge) and drew the relevant angles/structures, and estimated the angle size (to determine the transfer of visually represented knowledge).

In the last step, the participants completed an additional questionnaire about their previous knowledge and experience with fractures. In addition, they answered questions related to the task and experimental conditions. These questions were used as control variables to ensure that there were no differences between the 3 groups.

### Outcome Measures

After the intervention, the participants were requested to estimate the (self-perceived) usefulness of the support provided by the specialist. We also tested the actual recall and transfer of visually and verbally represented medical knowledge. Sample solutions were elaborated by the research team, including medical (hand surgery), and education and psychology experts. For categories and descriptions, see Table 1. The participants’ answers were jointly rated by 2 raters. A negotiated coding approach was applied for the coding procedure because the raters had limited expertise in medical and health sciences and, although they were experienced coders, they were not familiar with applying medical coding schemes. Negotiated approaches have been proposed previously (eg, for transcript analyses when familiarity with a new coding scheme is low) [53]. Negotiated coding was enhanced by resolving disagreements upon discussion with a hand surgeon.

To test the hypotheses with a 2-sided significance level of 5% and a power of 80%, a sample size of 45 participants was calculated, a priori, using G*Power-software [54]. The participants were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 groups using a 3-arm parallel design. A computer-generated list of random numbers was used to distribute the participants according to the principles of simple randomization with a 1:1 ratio.

### Statistical Methods

The data were analyzed using a 3×2 repeated measures ANOVA with a between-subjects factor (group 1, group 2, and group 3) and a within-subjects factor (task 1 and task 2). The effect size (partial $\eta^2$) and the observed power (1–$\beta$) for variables that addressed the recall and transfer of verbally and visually represented knowledge were reported. A 1-way ANOVA was calculated for the support offered by the specialist variable. Differences between conditions were assessed using a post hoc comparison. Statistical significance was determined by values $P<.05$ and all analyses were conducted using SPSS version 19 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

### Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was sought from the regional ethical review board. Because no patients were involved and the participants were considered health care professionals, the board ruled the experiment exempt from further ethical approval and trial registration requirements. Nevertheless, we consulted an expert outside the research team, a professor of ethics at a Swiss university who was part of a separate Swiss ethical board for ethical advice. The confidentiality of the participants was ensured and written informed consent was obtained from every participant before the experiment.

### Table 1. Measurements of verbally and visually represented knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recall and transfer of visually represented knowledge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements</td>
<td>Positioning of the following elements: (1) point of intersection, (2) basis line, (3) fracture line, and (4) position of angle</td>
<td>See Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of drawn angle size</td>
<td>Measurement of the angle size drawn by the participant</td>
<td>eg, 75 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of estimated angle size</td>
<td>Angle size estimated by the participant</td>
<td>eg, 75 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recall and transfer of verbally represented knowledge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of functional/radiological characteristics</td>
<td>Measurement of written information, including identification of fracture, type of fracture and position, type of functional limitations (extension deficit and rotation error), and degree of functional restrictions and angulation of the fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of metacarpal V, extension deficit of 10 degrees, and rotation error of 10 degrees, representing 2 functional deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of individual sociodemographic patient characteristics</td>
<td>Measurement of written information including age, profession, and dominant hand</td>
<td>Young, employed patient, dominant hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of overall written answers</td>
<td>Summarizes both measurements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Participant Flow
In all, 48 participants agreed to participate in the experiment. Pretests were conducted with 3 participants to validate the technical feasibility and time limits. The remaining 45 persons were randomized and assigned to the 3 groups. For a flow diagram of participants, see Figure 2.
Recruitment
Eligible participants were invited by means of email and direct invitation by the medical faculty of the University of Basel in November 2011, using a flyer with basic information. The participants did not receive monetary compensation, but a draw for an iPad was used as an incentive.

Baseline Demographic Characteristics and Prior Knowledge for Each Group
All 42 participants (23 male, 19 female) were between ages 19 and 38 years (mean 24.57, SD 2.95) and were medical students obtaining their master’s degrees (see Table 2). To determine the bias caused by potentially different levels of prior knowledge in the groups, we asked the participants about their interest in surgical topics ($F_{2,39}=0.10, P=.91$), their knowledge on the evaluation of fractures ($F_{2,39}=2.217, P=.13$), prior participation in the treatment of fractures of metacarpal bones ($F_{2,39}=0.96, P=.39$), and prior experience with diagnosis and treatment of fractures of metacarpal bones ($F_{2,39}=0.58, P=.57$). No significant differences between the groups were found (for average scores and standard deviation see Table 2).

Outcomes
Support Offered by the Specialist
ANOVA revealed significant differences between group 1 and groups 2 and 3. No significant differences between groups 2 and 3 were observed; a test of between-subjects contrasts yielded the following: $F_{2,39}=6.76, P=.003$; partial $\eta^2=0.26$ and $1-\beta=90$. These results suggest that the students in groups 2 and 3 placed significantly more value on the support offered by the specialist. The students’ evaluations of the support offered by the specialist are displayed in Table 3.
Table 2. Demographic characteristics and prior knowledge of participants (N=42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=12)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=15)</th>
<th>Group 3 (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>24.17 (2.12)</td>
<td>25.33 (4.39)</td>
<td>24.13 (1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of study, mean (SD)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior knowledge, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience with touchscreen</td>
<td>4.42 (1.16)</td>
<td>3.53 (1.77)</td>
<td>4.13 (1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest in surgical topics</td>
<td>3.17 (1.19)</td>
<td>3.07 (1.39)</td>
<td>3.27 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated knowledge</td>
<td>2.58 (0.67)</td>
<td>2.20 (1.08)</td>
<td>2.93 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior participation</td>
<td>1.25 (0.62)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.52)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior experience</td>
<td>1.25 (0.87)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.77)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Measurement of specialist support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1 (n=12)</td>
<td>G2 (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support offered by the specialist</td>
<td>4.08 (0.90)</td>
<td>4.73 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visually Represented Knowledge

We used the following 3 measures to assess the recall and transfer of visually represented medical knowledge: correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements, correctness of drawn angle size, and correctness of estimated angle size (see Table 4). For all these measures, we performed a 2×3 ANOVA with a within-subjects factor (task 1 vs task 2) and a between-subjects factor (group 1 vs group 2 vs group 3). As predicted, for the correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements in both recall and transfer tasks, group 3 (supported with guided noticing) scored significantly higher than the other 2 groups as revealed by the test of between-subjects contrasts (F2,37=11.32, P<.001; partial η2=0.38, 1–β=.99). The means, standard deviations, and post hoc contrasts are shown in Table 4.

To test the extent to which the experimental conditions affected the correctness of drawn angle size and the correctness of estimated angle size, we calculated z scores and then performed an ANOVA on the standardized data. As hypothesized, group 3 displayed better performance on both measurements: test of between-subjects contrasts relating to the correctness of drawn angle size (F2,37=8.81, P<.001; partial η2=0.32, 1–β=.96) and the test of between-subjects contrasts relating to the correctness of estimated angle size (F2,37=7.67, P<.001; partial η2=0.30, 1–β=.93). More precisely, for knowledge recall, post hoc tests showed that the ability to draw correct angle sizes and the ability to estimate the size of the drawn angle was significantly higher for group 3 when compared with the other 2 groups. However, a similar result was not supported in the transfer task, in which significant differences were observed only between groups 1 and 3.
Table 4. Recall and transfer of visually represented medical knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submeasures of visually represented medical knowledge</th>
<th>Group, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Adjusted difference, mean (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1 (n=11)</td>
<td>G2 (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Recall</td>
<td>1.23 (1.54)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Transfer</td>
<td>1.82 (1.52)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of drawn angle size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Recall</td>
<td>0.54 (.91)</td>
<td>0.36 (.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Transfer</td>
<td>0.48 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.15 (1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of estimated angle size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Recall</td>
<td>0.51 (0.92)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Transfer</td>
<td>0.45 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.15 (1.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The mean difference was determined to be significant (P<.05).

b Small numbers indicate better performance.

**Verbally Represented Knowledge**

We used the following 2 submeasures to assess the recall and transfer of verbally represented medical knowledge: the correctness/completeness of functional/radiological characteristics and the correctness/completeness of individual sociodemographic patient characteristics (see Table 2). Statistical analysis was performed on the general measure of correctness and completeness of overall written answers (Table 5). Scores that assessed the recall and transfer of verbally represented knowledge were analyzed by means of a 2x3 repeated ANOVA with a within-subjects factor (task 1 vs task 2) and a between-subjects factor (group 1 vs group 2 vs group 3). The results showed no significant differences between the 3 groups, with respect to the correctness and completeness of verbally represented knowledge for both the recall and transfer tasks. The calculated tests of between-subjects effects (factor 1) did not reach statistical significance with a level of P<.05 (F2,39=0.58, P=.58; partial η2=0.03, 1–β=.13).

Table 5. Correctness/completeness of overall written answers for recall and transfer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1 (n=12)</td>
<td>G2 (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Recall</td>
<td>5.92 (2.07)</td>
<td>6.33 (2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Transfer</td>
<td>3.00 (2.34)</td>
<td>3.00 (2.54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance Between Task 1 and 2**

With respect to verbally represented knowledge (correctness/completeness of overall written answers), we observed significant differences in participants’ performance between task 1 and 2 using a test of within-subjects effects (F1,39=43.72, P<.001; partial η2= 0.53, 1–β=1), whereby the performance decreased in task 2, independent of the experimental condition (task 1: mean 6.30, SD 0.39; task 2: mean 3.22, SD 0.38; adjusted difference: mean 3.08, 95% CI 2.14–4.03). We observed no significant differences with respect to visually represented knowledge relating to the correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements (F1,37=3.37, P=.06); the correctness of drawn angle (F2,37= 0.02, P=.89); and the correctness of estimated angle (F1,36=0.04, P=.84). We observed a tendency toward improved performance when assessing the variable correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements in task 2 (see Table 6).
Table 6. Visually represented knowledge: performance between tasks 1 (knowledge recall) and 2 (knowledge transfer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Task 1: Recall</th>
<th>Task 2: Transfer</th>
<th>Mean difference (G1–G2) (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements</td>
<td>2.17 (1.51)</td>
<td>2.51 (1.38)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-0.73, 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of drawn angle size^a</td>
<td>0.01 (1.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.20 (-0.27, 0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctness of estimated angle size^b</td>
<td>0.01 (1.01)</td>
<td>-0.01 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.30 (-0.26, 0.32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aSmall numbers indicate better performance.

Discussion

Principal Results

In light of the rapid adoption of mobile technology, such as smartphones, for clinical communication, this study systematically compares different synchronous mobile phone-based communication modes, including (1) speech only, (2) speech and images, and (3) speech, images, and image annotation (guided noticing). Using an experimental approach, we investigated to what extent these modes affect knowledge processes in clinical communication as indicated by (1) recall and (2) transfer of visually and verbally represented knowledge by a less knowledgeable medical actor. Our variations and hypotheses were informed by psychological theories from related research in the cognitive and sociocognitive sciences.

In the conditions where speech was integrated with images (groups 2 and 3), participants evaluated the support provided by the specialist as significantly more positive compared to the speech-only condition (group 1). However, in measuring actual knowledge gains, significant positive effects on the recall and transfer of visually represented medical knowledge were only measured in group 3, which integrated speech, images, and image annotation (guided noticing). With respect to verbally represented medical knowledge, no significant differences were measured. In other words, the presentation of visual information did not contribute to the recall and retention of verbally represented knowledge.

These findings contribute original data to at least 2 broad discourses: (1) the practice of clinical communication and medical informatics, and (2) psychological, cognitive, and sociocognitive learning and communication theories.

Implications for Medical/Clinical Communication and Medical Informatics

Unlike most of the other studies that have investigated the contributions of mobile technologies to clinical communication and knowledge exchange, we used a randomized controlled experimental design. The findings suggest that, despite increased self-perceived effectiveness of the use of visual communication modes (compared to a speech-only mode), the understanding and knowledge gains of less knowledgeable medical actors are only enhanced if annotations are used to integrate speech with images. The finding that the actual effectiveness of mobile multimodal communication is different than the self-perceived effectiveness is critical and may negatively affect patient treatment, such as during a specialist consultation; if a requesting doctor were to deem phone-based advice from the specialist (without annotation) as sufficient, this could result in poor decision making with regard to the requesting doctor’s further treatment of the patient. In addition, the present results challenge studies in which positive outcomes of knowledge gains of rich smartphone-based consultations were determined using only self-perceived evaluation (eg, [35]). The present study also highlights the value of annotation for clinical communication and medical informatics. Hospital management may consider this mode of communication when developing or buying new communication solutions, including mobile solutions. Senior clinicians may learn from our findings that less knowledgeable actors, students, and younger colleagues are much more likely to benefit from explanations that integrate speech and visual information by means of labeling and annotating, which is a practice that should not be limited to mobile communication. Recently, it was shown how doctors who create rich multimodal representations by using gestures to connect speech with different computational and bodily representations can improve the understanding of less knowledgeable colleagues [55]. These aspects of communicating, which are highly relevant for clinical communication and learning, are widely neglected in the current clinical practice and should be more thoroughly considered in the future.

Theoretical Implications and Discussion

To our knowledge, our experimental study is the first to examine the dual channel and multimedia theories in applied, complex, and authentic situations, such as clinical communication. Our first set of hypotheses (that mobile communication involving integrated speech and images leads to better recall and better transfer of visually represented and verbally represented medical knowledge than the speech-only condition) was based on the dual channel and multimedia theories: we assumed that synchronous mobile communication which integrated images and speech (group 2; ie, contingent multimedia information) would enhance a less knowledgeable actor’s understanding of the subject matter compared with the speech-only condition (group 1). However, we found that using images in group 2 did not lead to significantly improved learning compared to the speech-only condition (group 1). This result challenges other studies from the related field of cognitive research (eg, [56]).

A possible explanation lies in our specific experimental clinical setting. During the preparation phase, the images were shown to all participants (including those in the first condition) to initiate the specialist consultation. It is possible that the participants from the speech-only group could later recall these images during the communication phase, thus compensating to some extent for not having the real images available. In other
words, the participants in the speech-only condition might have invested more mental effort by imagining the images.

Our second set of hypotheses (that mobile communication based on guided noticing leads to better recall and better transfer of visually represented and verbally represented medical knowledge than speech-only communication or that of integrated speech and images without annotation) was derived from the concept of guided noticing [40]. We assumed that speech, images, and annotations (guided noticing) in group 3 would lead to knowledge gains with respect to the recall and transfer of both verbally and visually represented medical knowledge (compared with the other conditions). Our results confirm an increased ability to recall visually represented medical knowledge in the guided noticing condition for all 3 submeasures when compared with the other 2 conditions. With regard to the knowledge transfer, the correctness/completeness submeasure of drawn angle elements was significantly affected compared with the other conditions. However, the correctness of the drawn and estimated angle was significantly superior in group 3 (guided noticing) only compared to group 1 (the speech-only condition) and not when compared to group 2 (speech and images).

Taken together, these results might be readily explained by the fact that the correctness/completeness of drawn angle elements submeasure (see Figure 1) requires a complex and comprehensive understanding of the image and knowledge that cannot be compensated for over time or by increased mental effort with imagination. With this difference in mind, the results demonstrate the importance of guided noticing as a concept and we recommend this mode of communication for the clinical practice.

In summary, the simple integration of images and speech in mobile phone-based communication did not lead to significantly improved knowledge gains (compared with speech-only communication). Our results confirmed the value of guided noticing in communication. Moreover, they support the view that guided noticing is particularly important in situations involving complex visual subject matter, typical in clinical communication.

**Strengths, Limitations, Generalizability, and Future Research**

In addition to the strengths indicated (i.e., using an experimental randomized design in authentic and complex settings), our study is based on firm theoretical underpinnings. Our design draws on and is discussed in terms of a broad theoretical spectrum, combining both cognitive (dual channel/multimedia theory) and sociocognitive (guided noticing) perspectives. This is an approach we deem suitable to address the complexities of clinical communication. However, the findings need to be interpreted and generalized with respect to several limitations. First, we recruited medical students as participants. This is a limitation because students represent a specific group of clinical actors. Although they have accumulated some clinical experiences in the course of their education, they represent a group with limited medical expertise and experience. Second, we tested the hypotheses exclusively against a specific clinical case, a specialist consultation. From a practical viewpoint, our study was conducted in a laboratory setting in a hospital (i.e., in an environment that was relatively stable compared to the hectic, noisy, interrupted, and chaotic contexts of real clinical communication), where learning and teaching additionally depend on the nonlinear interplay of a variety of different factors [57,58]. In real clinical settings, it remains unclear, for example, to what extent clinicians are willing to use annotations and extended phone-based explanations. Similarly, to what extent an additional device (e.g., a mobile phone) may contribute to cognitive overload in an environment where multiple sources already claim the full attention of a clinician needs to be explored [59]. A further limitation of our experimental design is that prior knowledge was not evaluated in the form of a test, but only as a self-reported measurement. It also needs to be acknowledged that the negotiated coding may represent a limitation because the widely recognized Guidelines for Reporting Reliability and Agreement Studies (GRRAS) recommend using the mean of 2 or more raters to increase reliability [60]. Although we fully agree with GRRAS, in our case we deem the negotiated coding approach a legitimate technique, as recently proposed in the research literature [53]. Moreover, negotiated coding was strengthened by resolving disagreement and uncertainty with a hand surgeon.

In conclusion, the present study yields interesting insights into the knowledge-based effects of multimodal, phone-based communication, but it cannot provide definite accounts of all the observed phenomena. Future research addressing these questions may (1) use different user groups (e.g., residents), (2) use different case representations (e.g., MRI), (3) test the system not only in authentic but in real clinical settings (e.g., by evaluating prior and post hoc knowledge), and (4) capture knowledge-based effects over a longer period of time.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank all research participants, the departments involved, and Andreas Brenner for their support of this study. The authors also thank the project sponsors and partners, the CTI - the Swiss Confederation’s Innovation Promotion Agency, AMTS, Agfa Healthcare, University Hospital Basel, Hightech Research Center of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery University of Basel, and the University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland for their support of this work.

**Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.
Multimedia Appendix 1

CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist V1.6.2 [61].

[PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 1MB - jmir_v15i11e263_app1.pdf]

References


Abbreviations

CT: computed tomography
CTA: computed tomography angiography
GRRAS: Guidelines for Reporting Reliability and Agreement Studies
NCCT: noncontrast computed tomography

©Christoph Pimmer, Magdalena Mateescu, Carmen Zahn, Urs Genewein. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (http://www.jmir.org), 28.11.2013. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.
Net Improvement of Correct Answers to Therapy Questions After PubMed Searches: Pre/Post Comparison

Kathleen Ann McKibbon1, MLS, PhD; Cynthia Lokker1, MSc, PhD; Arun Keepanasseril1, MDS, MSc; Nancy L Wilczynski1, MSc, PhD; R Brian Haynes1, MSc, PhD, OC

McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Health Information Research Unit, Hamilton, ON, Canada
*these authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:
Kathleen Ann McKibbon, MLS, PhD
McMaster University
Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Health Information Research Unit
CRL Building
1280 Main Street West
Hamilton, ON, L8S 4K1
Canada
Phone: 1 9055259140 ext 22803
Fax: 1 9055268447
Email: mckib@mcmaster.ca

Abstract

Background: Clinicians search PubMed for answers to clinical questions although it is time consuming and not always successful.

Objective: To determine if PubMed used with its Clinical Queries feature to filter results based on study quality would improve search success (more correct answers to clinical questions related to therapy).

Methods: We invited 528 primary care physicians to participate, 143 (27.1%) consented, and 111 (21.0% of the total and 77.6% of those who consented) completed the study. Participants answered 14 yes/no therapy questions and were given 4 of these (2 originally answered correctly and 2 originally answered incorrectly) to search using either the PubMed main screen or PubMed Clinical Queries narrow therapy filter via a purpose-built system with identical search screens. Participants also picked 3 of the first 20 retrieved citations that best addressed each question. They were then asked to re-answer the original 14 questions.

Results: We found no statistically significant differences in the rates of correct or incorrect answers using the PubMed main screen or PubMed Clinical Queries. The rate of correct answers increased from 50.0% to 61.4% (95% CI 55.0%-67.8%) for the PubMed main screen searches and from 50.0% to 59.1% (95% CI 52.6%-65.6%) for Clinical Queries searches. These net absolute increases of 11.4% and 9.1%, respectively, included previously correct answers changing to incorrect at a rate of 9.5% (95% CI 5.6%-13.4%) for PubMed main screen searches and 9.1% (95% CI 5.3%-12.9%) for Clinical Queries searches, combined with increases in the rate of being correct of 20.5% (95% CI 15.2%-25.8%) for PubMed main screen searches and 17.7% (95% CI 12.7%-22.7%) for Clinical Queries searches.

Conclusions: PubMed can assist clinicians answering clinical questions with an approximately 10% absolute rate of improvement in correct answers. This small increase includes more correct answers partially offset by a decrease in previously correct answers.
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Introduction

Medline indexed 760,903 new articles in 2012, bringing their total to just under 20 million articles. The number of journals indexed by Medline has grown by 50% in the past 20 years [1].

During 2012, 2.2 billion Medline searches were done. Although quantification of this information overload in the health care literature is limited [2], it is widely perceived as an obstacle for physicians practicing evidence-based medicine and searching for answers to their clinical questions [3].
The 6S pyramid of evidence from health care research describes a range of tools and resources to assist physicians in accessing or retrieving relevant research evidence. The pyramid is structured so that original studies form the base and are topped by, in ascending order of clinical usefulness, synopses of studies, syntheses (systematic reviews), synopses of syntheses, summaries (evidence-driven online texts), and systems (eg, clinical decision support systems) [4]. In addition to published evidence, colleagues and textbooks are often first-line information resources used by physicians [5-7] because these give answers most efficiently [7]. Although higher levels of evidence (eg, meta-analyses or clinical summaries) are more clinically useful, this kind of information is not available for many clinical questions and physicians often need to search the primary literature [8]. Physicians report substantial use of PubMed or Medline through other vendors. Davies [9] reported that 81% of US physicians in 2007, 77% of UK physicians, and 76% of Canadian physicians used PubMed or Medline occasionally or often to support their practices.

Research has shown that published original studies and reviews can provide clinicians with answers to their clinical questions [10-13] and lead to changes in patient care [13-15]. Medline searches helped medical and nurse practitioner students answer simulated clinical questions [12]. A virtual library containing Medline, textbooks, and clinical guidelines helped physicians find relevant information on clinical questions [10]. A study of 33 emergency department residents, however, found that Google search results gave participants a false sense of security, resulting in a dramatic increase in confidence in their answers. Google searches translated into more correct responses to simulated questions, but also slightly more wrong answers after searching [16].

Other studies have reported negative effects of information searching on physician responses to clinical questions. McKibbon and Fridsma [17] found that 11% of answers to clinical questions went from correct before searching to incorrect after searching when clinicians used their preferred online resources. Hersh and colleagues [12,18] found rates of correct-to-incorrect answers of 4.5% and 10.5% using Medline in 2 studies.

Search filters have been developed to help clinicians search the primary literature. These filters are rigorously developed and validated to increase the yield of clinically relevant articles based on research methods or clinical content. The Health Information Research Unit at McMaster University has developed filters for detecting primary studies for therapy [19,20], diagnosis [21,22], economics [23], prognosis [24,25], etiology [26,27], systematic reviews [28], and studies in mental health [29]. A number of filters have been made available on PubMed in the Clinical Queries interface [30] and the Special Queries feature [31]. A recent study comparing search retrieval from the main PubMed screen and from Clinical Queries found that Clinical Queries returned fewer studies, more of which were methodologically sound [32].

The objective of this pragmatic study was to determine if differences exist in the rate of correct answers to clinical questions when primary care physicians use the PubMed main screen or the Clinical Queries feature of PubMed for searches. Specifically, do searches done by primary care physicians through the PubMed main screen or through Clinical Queries give different rates of correctness of answers to clinical questions related to therapy?

**Methods**

**Standardized Questions**

To assess if PubMed provided correct answers to clinical questions related to therapies, standard clinical questions with answers based on recent systematic reviews were developed. The reviews were selected from a database of clinical research from 125 journals preappraised for methodological rigor [33] and rated by a worldwide panel of practicing clinicians for relevance to clinical practice and newsworthiness. Reviews relevant to general practice from the first 6 months of 2011, with clinical relevance and newsworthiness ratings >5 of 7, were assessed to determine whether they reported a definitive answer to the clinical question at hand.

In all, 24 standard questions were devised and iteratively tested on 3 physicians. The physicians were 2 experienced general practitioners and 1 experienced general internist. A fourth general internist also reviewed the questions. The physicians provided input on clinical applicability, perceived difficulty of the question, and relevance to practice for each question. Revised questions were then piloted on 2 general practitioners who provided further feedback. Questions were dropped if they were perceived by the clinicians as being too difficult or easy to answer, not relevant to general practice, or if the answer was perceived to be controversial. The remaining 14 questions are presented in Table 1.
### Table 1. Standardized questions provided to general practitioners based on systematic reviews published in early 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Evidence-based answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In adults wishing to quit smoking, is varenicline (Champix) better than bupropion in terms of successful smoking cessation? [34]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Should antidepressants be prescribed for patients &gt;18 years who are diagnosed with minor/subthreshold depression according to standardized criteria? [35]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. In a middle-aged patient who is at high risk for cardiovascular events, does clopidogrel plus aspirin provide safer and more effective protection from cardiovascular events than aspirin alone? [36]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Over the long term, can daily low-dose aspirin reduce mortality caused by a range of cancers? [37]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Does estrogen therapy increase the risk of kidney stones in otherwise healthy postmenopausal women (&gt;60 years)? [38]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is it safe and effective to progressively increase statin therapy intensity to lower LDL&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; levels and reduce the risk of occlusive vascular events in patients with high LDL levels? [40]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Does dietary supplementation with folic acid to lower homocysteine levels prevent cardiovascular events in high-risk adults? [41]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. For a patient at high risk of cardiovascular events and who is concerned about erectile dysfunction, can you prescribe ACE&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;-inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, or calcium channel blockers without worrying about his sexual functioning? [42]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compared to other antihypertensive drugs, is hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 to 25 mg/day suitable as first-line drug therapy for the treatment of adult hypertension? [43]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. For an adult patient with type 2 diabetes who needs thiazolidinedione treatment, is pioglitazone a safer treatment than rosiglitazone? [44]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. In patients with chronic back pain caused by disk degeneration, does spinal fusion surgery result in better long-term benefits than nonsurgical approaches? [46]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Should I advise patients with asthma to double their regular dose of inhaled corticosteroids as a first step in dealing with an exacerbation? [47]</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein  
<sup>b</sup>ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme

### Recruitment

Practicing physicians self-identified as general practitioners, family practitioners, or primary care general internal medicine practitioners who were registered with the McMaster Online Rating of Evidence (MORE) [48] system were emailed invitations to participate in the online research study. Invitations were sent to 528 physicians in November 2011 with up to 2 reminders sent by the end of January 2012. Participants were provided with certification of 1 hour of continuing medical education credit for completing the study.

### Survey

Participants were sent an Internet link to the survey that required them to sign into our information production system of high-quality clinical articles using their system passwords, which started the task (Figure 1). After providing consent, physicians were asked to answer the 14 clinical questions with a yes or no answer (Table 1). They were then asked to search for information on 4 of the questions (Figure 2). The 4 questions included 2 that they had initially answered correctly and 2 that they had answered incorrectly; we did not indicate to the participant if his or her answers were correct. Three separate computer-generated randomizations were involved: (1) questions for searching were selected randomly, (2) the questions were sent to PubMed main screen or Clinical Queries randomly (1 correct and 1 incorrect in each), and (3) the order in which the clinicians searched was randomized. The 2 interfaces were conduits to the PubMed search system and all the search algorithms functioned in their usual manner; the entered terms were passed into PubMed with or without Clinical Queries filters.

Because our questions were treatment questions, we used the therapy category of the Research Methodology filter of Clinical Queries. We were interested in clinicians searching for answers to clinical questions; therefore, we used the narrow Clinical Queries. The narrow search filters are designed for clinical care because they retrieve a good proportion of potentially relevant citations while keeping the number of nonrelevant citations to a minimum (sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 97% [19]). The broad clinical filters are designed for researchers and meta-analysts who want to retrieve the highest proportion of relevant citations with less regard to retrieving nonrelevant citations.
For each question, participants were asked to enter search terms into a textbox. If participants were unhappy with the retrieval, they could alter their search terms and submit a new search.

After each of the 4 searches, the first 20 results were presented. The participant was blinded as to which PubMed interface the retrieval came from. They could view the abstract of the article in the same window by selecting the title of the article.

Participants were asked to select the top 3 articles most important to forming/supporting their answer. After the 4 searches were performed and articles were selected, the participants were given the 14 questions again and asked to answer them with yes or no. The study was approved by the McMaster University Hamilton Health Sciences/Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Board.

**Figure 1.** Entry screens asking for answers to 14 clinical questions. Each participant completed this task twice (before and after the search process).
**Statistical Analysis**

The primary outcome of the study was the difference in the proportion of correct answers before and after searching. Secondary outcomes were the proportion of questions searched that went from incorrect to correct and correct to incorrect, the proportion of questions without searches that went from correct to incorrect and incorrect to correct, and the time taken to complete the project tasks.

Based on previous studies, starting proportions of answers to clinical questions were 27% correct (n=557) [10] and 40% correct (n=46) [17]. These studies found a rate of answers going from correct to incorrect of 7% [18] and 11% [17], respectively. We anticipated an approximately 10% change in correct to incorrect answers; therefore, we set a 5% absolute difference between search modes as clinically interesting. This gave us a sample size of 522 searches for the correct group and 459 for the incorrect group to detect a 5% difference in search modes with 80% power.

The Mantel-Haenszel test for matched pairs, stratified by participant and by question, was used to determine the odds ratio of changing a response by using Clinical Queries searches versus PubMed main screen searches. A posteriori we recognized that question 6 was a prognosis question rather than a treatment question. Given that the Clinical Queries searches used a therapy filter, we performed our analysis including this question and the sensitivity analysis without this question. In the entire dataset, only 1 of 29 participants (3%) presented with question 6 changed their answer (correct to incorrect with Clinical Queries).

**Study Quality**

Articles selected by the participants as being relevant to answering their question were independently assessed in duplicate for methodological criteria outlined below. Disagreements were resolved through consensus.

A therapy study is methodologically sound if it meets these 3 criteria:
1. Random allocation of participants to comparison groups;
2. Outcome assessment of at least 80% of those entering the investigation accounted for in one major analysis at any given follow-up assessment; and
3. Analysis consistent with study design.

A systematic review of therapy studies is methodologically sound if it meets these 6 criteria:
1. Explicit statement of clinical topic;
2. Question refers to treatment;
3. Methods are described in report body (not just the abstract);
4. More than one major database searched or Cochrane CENTRAL searched;
5. Explicit inclusion/exclusion criteria; and
6. One or more articles meet criteria set out for therapy studies (listed previously).
Results

Summary
During recruitment, 528 physicians were invited to take part in the study; 143 (27.1%) provided consent, 110 of whom (21.0% of those invited and 77.6% of those who consented) completed the study tasks (24 abandoned the task after the first search and 9 did not perform any searches). Two participants (1.8%) answered all 14 questions correctly; consequently, they were directed to search for only 2 questions. At baseline, participants answered 62.3% (95% CI 59.8%-64.7%) of the questions correctly.

Time to complete the tasks was calculated based on the time the participant signed in to the website until the time they submitted the survey. If the participant logged off without clicking the submit button, the timer continued to count. As such, 16 observations were more than 100 minutes, ranging from 119 to 103,786 minutes (72 days). We selected a cutoff of 100 minutes as a likely point at which the tasks were not completed in 1 sitting. The remaining 95 participants completed the tasks within 6 to 76 minutes (mean 24.5 minutes, 95% CI 21.4-27.5).

Searches
During the study, 440 searches were executed, 222 (50.5%) answered correctly and 218 (49.5%) answered incorrectly initially. For questions selected for searching, baseline responses were 50.0% correct in both groups by design. After searching, responses were correct for 61.4% (95% CI 55.0%-67.8%) of questions for the PubMed main screen group, and 59.1% (95% CI 52.6%-65.6%) for the Clinical Queries group. We found no differences in the rate of answers going from incorrect to correct for the PubMed main screen searches (45/220, 20.5%) compared with the Clinical Queries searches (39/220, 17.7%) (Table 2).

Both sets of searches also had an approximate 9% rate of going from correct to incorrect: 21 of 220 (9.5%) for PubMed main screen and 20 of 220 (9.1%) for Clinical Queries (Table 2). Searches resulted in a net gain of 11.4% (95% CI 2.1%-20.4%) in correct answers for PubMed main screen searches and 9.1% (95% CI –0.2% to 18.2%) for Clinical Queries searches.

The odds of changing an answer with a Clinical Queries search versus a PubMed main screen search was not different for questions that were initially correct or initially wrong stratified by user or by question (P>.05) (Table 3). Sensitivity analysis removing question 6 (a prognosis question) did not alter the results.

Table 2. Proportion of the PubMed main screen search group and PubMed Clinical Queries search group that changed answers (correct to incorrect or incorrect to correct) or kept them the same (correct or incorrect).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search platform</th>
<th>Answers stayed the same</th>
<th>Answers changed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct Searches, n % (95% CI)</td>
<td>Incorrect Searches, n % (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed main screen (n=220)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>40.9 (34.4-47.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed Clinical Queries (n=220)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>41.4 (34.9-47.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios for changed answers based on searches through Clinical Queries vs the PubMed main screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting answer</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.94 (0.48-1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.14 (0.55-2.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.79 (0.46-1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.80 (0.47-1.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nonsearched Questions
For questions answered before and after searching but without intervening searches, an average of 65.4% (95% CI 62.8%-68.0%) were correct at baseline, 64.6% (95% CI 62.0%-67.2%) were correct at the end of the study across the 14 questions, 4.0% (95% CI 2.3%-5.6%) went from correct to incorrect, and 3.1% (95% CI 2.2%-4.1%) went from incorrect to correct. There was variability in baseline performance across questions (Table 4). Without searches, the odds of changing an answer from correct to incorrect was lower (OR 0.06, 95% CI 0.05-0.08) than changing from incorrect to correct (OR 0.11, 95% CI 0.08-0.13; P=.002).
**Table 4.** Responses for questions without searches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Correct to correct, % (n)</th>
<th>Incorrect to incorrect, % (n)</th>
<th>Correct to incorrect, % (n)</th>
<th>Incorrect to correct, % (n)</th>
<th>Responses, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64 (55)</td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>83 (70)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74 (59)</td>
<td>23 (18)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68 (50)</td>
<td>27 (20)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25 (16)</td>
<td>66 (43)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>78 (64)</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>77 (64)</td>
<td>17 (14)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>82 (75)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>45 (38)</td>
<td>45 (38)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td>79 (53)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>64 (53)</td>
<td>31 (26)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45 (35)</td>
<td>38 (29)</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>93 (78)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>45 (33)</td>
<td>49 (36)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study Quality**

Clinical Queries were developed to retrieve clinically useful studies based on study design. Therapy filters retrieve citations based on the article being a randomized controlled trial. Therefore, we were interested in determining if the participants identified studies with strong methods (ie, randomized controlled trials or reviews that analyzed randomized controlled trials) when presented with the first 20 retrievals. The participants were asked to identify the 3 most important articles that provided evidence to answer the clinical question they were addressing. Overall, the PubMed main page group tagged 334 articles as important and the Clinical Queries group tagged 321 articles. Table 5 shows the number of treatment articles and systematic review articles tagged as important to the questions asked. Articles selected from the PubMed main screen searches and the Clinical Queries searches did not differ in the number of review treatment articles selected as important or the number of original or review articles that had strong methods.

**Table 5.** Number of articles with strong methods (randomized controlled trials or systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials) identified as important by the clinician searchers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodologic rigor for articles on treatment identified as influencing decisions</th>
<th>PubMed main screen</th>
<th>Clinical Queries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of original articles meeting criteria (strong methods for therapy)</td>
<td>45/100 (45.0%)</td>
<td>58/118 (49.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of review articles meeting criteria (strong methods for therapy)</td>
<td>42/124 (33.8%)</td>
<td>42/124 (33.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Although we sought to show that searches with PubMed Clinical Queries were associated with more correct answers to clinical questions than were PubMed main screen searches, we did not find any differences. This may be because we did not meet our sample size of approximately 1000 searches as originally calculated. Another explanation for these results may be the training and experience of the study participants. All were practicing clinicians and were registered with the MORE system, wherein they evaluate and rate clinician-ready health research studies. Also, this study was done on the Internet. The participants likely had strong computer and Internet skills and were probably skilled users of PubMed and the clinical research literature. Therefore, the study participants may be the least likely group of clinicians who could benefit from using Clinical Queries. Naïve users or new clinicians, such as interns and residents, or those clinicians less skilled at the assessment and application of research findings may derive more benefit from the Clinical Queries searches. Time is also a major factor in seeking answers to clinical questions. If the time to seek answers had been more tightly constrained in the study (we did not have time limits on the tasks) we may have found a larger difference between the correctness of the answers found with standalone PubMed searches and searches using Clinical Queries.

However, this study does show that PubMed, either on its own or using Clinical Queries, helps clinicians answer clinical questions with increased accuracy. For questions that clinicians answered twice without searching, the rate of correct answers stayed the same (65.4% correct at first answer and 64.6% correct on second answer). With searching, clinicians improved their rate of correct replies. Their answers went from 50.0% correct
Our findings are consistent with other studies that found use of information resources is associated with an increase in accuracy of clinical answers \([10,12,17,18]\). This increase is often in the range of an absolute 10% improvement. However, the increase in the number of correct answers with searching is almost always a combination of approximately 20% of answers going from an initial incorrect answer to correct at the same time as a 10% rate going from an initial correct answer to an answer that is incorrect.

We also found some change in answers for questions that were not the basis of searches in this study. The steady state of the study participants being correct approximately 65% of the time was almost balanced with 4% of the questions going from correct to incorrect and 3% going from incorrect to correct. This phenomenon of changing answers should be taken into account for studies that are based on outcomes of correct answers to clinical questions.

We have shown that complex searching studies with multiple tasks can be done through the Internet and we were able to recruit clinicians for searching trials. Our participants spent an average of 25 minutes online. During this time, they answered 14 yes/no questions twice, completed 4 PubMed searches, and selected articles of importance to clinical questions. Our methods were strengthened in that we blinded participants to the purpose of the study, kept the clinicians blinded to their initial answers and whether they were using Clinical Queries or not, and performed blinded and duplicate readings in the assessment of the methodological strength of the original and review articles on treatment. We also randomized 3 procedures (choice of question to be searched, order of using PubMed main screen or Clinical Queries searches, and questions that were sent to the 2 searching methods).

The questions we used in the study were based on strong evidence from current systematic reviews, and they were pretested with various physician groups. However, despite these strengths, our questions were not questions that arose in the participants’ daily practices.

Future research needs to be done to improve the quality of search tools and their ability to maximize the correct answers to questions while minimizing the answers that go from an initial correct answer to an incorrect answer. Focusing on specific groups of clinicians (eg, those in early years of practice or those with less experience assessing and applying research findings) or in certain situations (eg, constrained time or posing difficult questions outside the domain of the clinician) may also address the potential for automated assistance of PubMed searching. Other research has shown that an interface in PubMed leads to better question answering if the search entry screen required clinicians to enter concepts related to patients or populations, intervention, comparison, and outcome (PICO) aspects of the questions. \([49]\) Comparisons across systems are also warranted, taking into account quality (eg, Google), access (eg, clinicians working inside and outside academic institutions), and cost (eg, UptoDate).

We have shown that complex studies of searching can be done through the Internet. We also have reinforced that clinician searching in PubMed produces an absolute improvement of approximately 10% in clinician ability to correctly answer clinical questions. This 10% improvement is consistent with other similar studies \([10,12,17,18]\) and includes an absolute improvement (incorrect answers to correct answers) of approximately 20% and a decrement of approximately 10% (correct answers to incorrect answers).
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Abstract

Background: The adoption of Internet-based patient–provider communication services (IPPC) in health care has been slow. Patients want electronic communication, and the quality of health care can be improved by offering such IPPCs. However, the rate of enrollment in such services remains low, and the reasons for this are unclear. Knowledge about the barriers to use is valuable during implementation of IPPCs in the health care services, and it can help timing, targeting, and tailoring IPPCs to different groups of patients.

Objective: The goal of our study was to investigate patients’ views of an IPPC that they could use from home to pose questions to nurses and physicians at their treatment facility, and their reported reasons for non-use of the service.

Methods: This qualitative study was based on individual interviews with 22 patients who signed up for, but did not use, the IPPC.

Results: Patients appreciated the availability and the possibility of using the IPPC as needed, even if they did not use it. Their reported reasons for not using the IPPC fell into three main categories: (1) they felt that they did not need the IPPC and had sufficient access to information elsewhere, (2) they preferred other types of communication such as telephone or face-to-face contact, or (3) they were hindered by IPPC attributes such as login problems.

Conclusions: Patients were satisfied with having the opportunity to send messages to health care providers through an IPPC, even if they did not use the service. IPPCs should be offered to the patients at an appropriate time in the illness trajectory, both when they need the service and when they are receptive to information about the service. A live demonstration of the IPPC at the point of enrollment might have increased its use.


KEYWORDS
communication; email; Internet; interviews as topic; patient dropouts; patient non-use; patient preference; professional-patient relations; qualitative research
**Introduction**

A growing number of Internet-based patient-provider communication services (IPPC) are being offered to patients. These services provide patients the opportunity to have secure email contact with their health care providers over the Internet and can be a valuable supplement to traditional health services. An increasing number of studies indicate that IPPCs can help patients manage their illness better and improve health outcomes [1,2], improve patient centeredness [3], address unmet communication needs in health care [4,5], increase patients' satisfaction [1,6-9], and improve quality of care [9-11]. Thus, better utilization of IPPCs is increasingly becoming part of health care policies [12].

Patients expect access to IPPCs in order to communicate with health care providers [9,13,14]. However, most studies have shown that only a small number of patients who are offered an IPPC actually make use of the service to communicate with their health care providers. A study from four ambulatory practices reported that 3.2% of the patients used an eVisit service [15]. A secure messaging system for diabetics was used by 19% of the patients [2]. An encrypted messaging system was used by 4.3% of parents with chronically ill children [16]. A secure messaging system in internal medicine was used by 31% of the patients [17], and two different secure messaging systems in primary care showed 6% use [18] and 52% use [19]. There is also evidence that patients are concerned about privacy and confidentiality [20,21], security [22], and trust [23], and many patients eventually stop using the service [24,25]. Use of email in the health care sector is not routine [26], even though the interest in using it is steadily increasing [27,28].

The IPPC in the current study is a further development of a secure multicomponent Web-based system for illness management support called WebChoice, which was designed to support cancer patients living at home between treatments and during rehabilitation. WebChoice allows cancer patients to monitor their symptoms and problems, provides individually tailored information and self-management support, and offers IPPC with cancer nurses as well as an e-forum for group practices reported that 3.2% of the patients used an eVisit service [15]. A secure messaging system for diabetics was used by 19% of the patients [2]. An encrypted messaging system was used by 4.3% of parents with chronically ill children [16]. A secure messaging system in internal medicine was used by 31% of the patients [17], and two different secure messaging systems in primary care showed 6% use [18] and 52% use [19]. There is also evidence that patients are concerned about privacy and confidentiality [20,21], security [22], and trust [23], and many patients eventually stop using the service [24,25]. Use of email in the health care sector is not routine [26], even though the interest in using it is steadily increasing [27,28].

The IPPC in the current study is a further development of a secure multicomponent Web-based system for illness management support called WebChoice, which was designed to support cancer patients living at home between treatments and during rehabilitation. WebChoice allows cancer patients to monitor their symptoms and problems, provides individually tailored information and self-management support, and offers IPPC with cancer nurses as well as an e-forum for group discussion with other patients [29]. WebChoice has been tested in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) involving patients with breast or prostate cancer [30], where the patients were randomized into the WebChoice intervention group or a control group that received standard care. Results showed significant group differences in global symptom distress. In addition, patients in the WebChoice group had significant within-group reductions in depression. Self-efficacy and Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) significantly deteriorated in the control group, but not in the WebChoice group [30]. Interviews with 10 of the WebChoice users showed that some patients experienced the tool as highly supportive, while others had more ambivalent or conflicting feelings about it [31]; 38% of those who had access to WebChoice used the IPPC component where a study nurse responded to patients’ anonymous questions and concerns [32,33]. The IPPC was one of the components of the WebChoice package that patients spent most time using and was also the one they valued most highly [33].

A new study was initiated to determine whether the results from the RCT could be repeated when only the IPPC module was offered to the patients, without the other features of WebChoice. To utilize the full potential of the IPPC as a supplement to traditional health services, we also integrated the IPPC as a part of regular patient care into five hospital specialties and examined the implementation process simultaneously. To adapt the IPPC to patients’ needs and care providers’ requirements, we used several participatory methods in the design phase of the project, including research-practice networks, focus groups, workshops, heuristic evaluations, and usability testing [34]. Despite our efforts to make the service adaptable to the patients’ needs and desires, the IPPC was used by only 22% of the patients to whom it was offered—a participation rate significantly lower than in the previous WebChoice RCT, where patients could communicate anonymously with a study nurse.

This result led the research team to investigate the reasons for non-use in greater detail. A better understanding of user acceptance of IPPCs is essential in order to achieve effective implementation of such services into regular health care. The non-users of the service can provide valuable insights and explanations and thus contribute to the understanding of barriers to use and in the implementation process. We found that very little research has investigated non-use of IPPCs. One of the few studies that have examined patients’ reported reasons for non-use of an IPPC is a study among pediatric patients and their families [16]. Little is known about adult patients and their reasons for non-use of IPPC services. One research group investigated patients’ barriers to enrollment in a patient portal where one of the components was a secure electronic message system. They found that a lack of awareness of the patient portal or a lack of motivation were the primary barriers to enrollment [22]. Another research group conducted a survey among patients with no experience of e-consultations and found that the most prominent reasons for non-use were that the patients were not aware of the existence of the service, that they preferred to see a doctor, or that their doctor did not offer e-consultations [35].

A better understanding of why patients choose not to use IPPCs is needed for these services to be targeted and adapted to the patients’ needs and preferences and subsequently implemented successfully into health care. As argued by Eysenbach, studies of non-use will contribute to the understanding of impact and uptake of eHealth interventions, and therefore should be of great interest to researchers [24]. The aim of the current study was to identify the patients’ views of an IPPC and their reported reasons for non-use of the service.

In planning and designing the current study, we examined different models and frameworks suitable for implementation, adoption, and acceptance of new technology in health care [36-40]. These models focus on predicting use of the technology and on how people and organizations adopt and start using the services, while non-users, who are the focus of the current study, are given less attention. In addition, the models focus mainly on health care providers and their organizations and are sparser in the constructs that reflect the patients’ point of view. As no existing theory or framework was suitable, we did an open approach to obtain as much richness as possible during the study.
Methods

Study Design and Participants
This study is part of a larger study to examine the implementation of the WebChoice IPPC module as part of routine care in five hospital specialties. Participants were recruited at discharge from a hospital stay or an outpatient visit. To be eligible for the main study, participants had to be at least 18 years of age, be able to speak and read Norwegian, have secure Internet access at home using a public key solution for secure electronic identification, and have one of five diagnoses or treatments: (1) liver transplantation, (2) testicular cancer, (3) autologous stem cell transplantation, (4) advanced cancer and participating in a clinical drug trial, or (5) type 1 diabetes. For the current study, the additional inclusion criterion was non-use of the IPPC after they had enrolled in the study and had access to the service for at least 6 months. To get an in-depth understanding of the reasons why the patients did not use the service, we conducted a qualitative study based on individual interviews with non-users of the service. The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the Data Security Inspectorate in Norway. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Internet-Based Patient–Provider Communication Service
The IPPC in this study is an Internet-based system where patients can send messages to and receive answers from hospital nurses, physicians, nutritionists, and social workers. The IPPC system has a high security level, requiring both patients and health care providers to log into the system by means of strong authentication keys. The IPPC is designed so that patients can get access to advice at the right level of expertise within the same system, without needing to know who the right person to ask is. The message from the patient is received in the mailbox of the coordinating nurse. In this study, the coordinating nurse had expertise on the respective diagnoses and treatments and had access to the patients’ medical record at the hospital. The nurse could address the question directly or forward the message to the mailbox of another provider who was in a better position to answer the question (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. IPPC message flow between patients and health care providers.

Recruitment to the Study
Patients who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the IPPC study by a nurse from the relevant hospital unit. The patients who were interested in participating in the study were referred to a member of the project team who explained the purpose of the study, asked for consent, and filled out the necessary registration forms and baseline questionnaires. The patients also received a brief introduction with information about how to log into and use the IPPC. They were informed that they could send messages with questions and concerns related to their illness and would receive advice and support from hospital health care providers in between and after their hospital admissions. The patients were informed that they could use the IPPC as much as they wanted over the study period, which lasted for 6 months for the patients with diabetes and 8 months for the other patients.

Recruitment to the Interviews
We applied convenience sampling and asked some of the non-users who completed their study period either between January and November 2011, or in December 2012, to participate in an individual interview. Interviewees were selected from two different periods of time, to include patients from all five groups, as the study was conducted at different times at the different study sites. Criteria for participation in the interviews were that the patients had completed their study period. In addition, for practical reasons, they had to live within 180 km (110 miles) of the study hospital or have an appointment there. Due to the number of patients living far away, we subsequently...
also approved interviews over the telephone to achieve a sufficient number of interviews. There were 9 patients who declined participation while 22 patients agreed: 3 women and 19 men. The interviews were conducted by the first author either in a meeting room at the hospital, at the first author’s workplace, at the responder’s workplace, or by telephone. The interviews were recorded with a digital voice recorder and transcribed verbatim (see Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Patient recruitment for the interviews. Diagnosis/treatment 1: Liver transplantation. Diagnosis/treatment 2: Testicular cancer. Diagnosis/treatment 3: Autologous stem cell transplantation. Diagnosis/treatment 4: Advanced cancer and participation in clinical drug trials. Diagnosis/treatment 5: Type 1 diabetes.

### Measures

A semistructured interview guide based on literature on implementation and non-use of eHealth interventions \[16,22,24,35\] was developed to gather the non-users’ reasons for not using the IPPC. The interview guide contained five themes: (1) the diagnosis and treatment of the patients; (2) how the patients were introduced to the IPPC, their expectations of the service, and what they expected they could use it for; (3) reasons for non-use; (4) factors that could have influenced their use of IPPC; and (5) use of telephone, computers, and the Internet in their everyday life. Information about age was collected from the demographic form that they had completed at the point of inclusion to the main study.

### Analysis

The transcripts were analyzed using techniques of qualitative content analysis, inspired by a deductive directed approach, which is applicable when the analysis is investigating assumptions retrieved from prior research \[42\]. In the first step of the analysis, we identified variables as initial coding categories on the basis of the interview guide. Second, the first author coded the transcripts into the predefined categories using the framework approach of the software program NVivo version 9. Data that could not be coded into the predefined categories were given new categories. Next, the data were discussed within the research team, and the data were coded into the final list of categories. Not all the predefined categories were used, and new categories emerged. Additionally, some categories were combined.

The patients’ reasons for not using the IPPC, which fell into three main themes, are presented in the next section. In addition, the patients’ information needs, use of telephone, and the Internet in their daily life, as well as their views about the IPPC, are briefly presented.

### Results

**User Information**

In total, 22 patients (19 men and 3 women) participated in the interviews. The patients were between 29 and 71 years old (mean 50, median 51.5). Nine had undergone a liver transplantation, 6 had been diagnosed with testicular cancer, 4 had type 1 diabetes, and 3 had either undergone an autologous stem cell transplantation or participated in a clinical drug trial.

Use of the computer was a daily activity for all the patients in the study, either related to their work or in their leisure time. They were neither novice nor expert users, but reported spending a moderate amount of time on the Internet. More than half of them had searched for health information on the Internet, most at the start of their illness, and the rest had not searched for health information on the Internet at all. Some of them said that they chose to use the telephone, text messages, or email on the basis of what they felt was most appropriate in the specific situation in their daily life. One of the patients said:

*If I’m in a hurry I send a text message. If I want something confirmed, I’ll send an email. And if I have plenty of time, I’ll use the phone.*
All of the patients with diabetes had received their diagnoses several years prior to the study. They reported being reconciled with the illness and said that it did not give them cause for concern in their daily life. The patients in the other four groups had in common that they had been quite ill during the disease trajectory, spent time in hospital, and had been through a convalescent period that had lasted for some time after discharge. They also had periods of sick leave before they could return to their ordinary daily lives. Only a few of them recovered quickly. Psychological reactions had also been a part of the illness. They worried about not getting well, about relapses of cancer, and about complications after treatment; sometimes they just had bad days or felt down.

Patients described anxiety before they knew what was wrong with them and felt reassured when they received information about the treatment and follow-up plan. Many of them had to wait for some time before receiving information, but when they got it, they felt that it was sufficient. They also reported being satisfied with the follow-up from health care providers during the hospitalization and after discharge. A few of them experienced that their primary care physician either was too busy or had too little knowledge about their particular situation to offer them sufficient follow-up.

Many of the patients viewed IPPC as a good tool for communication with health care providers at their hospital treatment unit. They felt it was a good service for them, and they were positive about the service. Some of them said that they liked having the opportunity to contact the hospital if they should feel a need for it and that they thought it could be helpful to many people. However, a few of the patients assumed right from the start, when introduced to the IPPC, that they would not use it.

 Reasons for Non-Use of the IPPC

Overview

The patients’ reported reasons for non-use of the IPPC were consolidated into three different explanations: (1) had sufficient access to information elsewhere, (2) preferred other types of communication, and (3) were prevented by conditions with the IPPC.

Had Sufficient Access to Information Elsewhere

Many of the patients explained their non-use of the IPPC with the fact that they did not have any questions, because they had long-term experience with their illness, they had already received in-depth information about their situation and how to take care of themselves, or they did not have any particular problems after discharge. One of them said:

I was given excellent information before I started the chemotherapy. I knew pretty much everything about how I would react and all that. So later on, when my reactions weren’t all that bad, I really haven’t had many problems.

The diabetes patients in the study had lived with their disease for many years. They reported that they had limited need of the additional support and follow-up offered by the IPPC since they were past the period in which they had many questions.

Those who had questions handled this in different ways. Patients said that they were used to finding answers to their questions on their own. Some talked to other patients in the same situation as themselves. The majority said they received information and could ask questions either in consultations with the primary care physician or at the hospital. Some said that their questions were not urgent, so they wrote lists of questions to bring to the next encounter. Some patients also reported having a close relationship with their physician, so that they could drop in if they had questions. Some of them also felt that the answers were given to them before they even thought of having any questions. One of the patients said:

Why I haven’t used it? It simply never occurred to me. I haven’t even called my doctor to ask about anything. I haven’t really wondered about anything in particular. So it has just never been an issue, it’s as simple as that. I feel like I’ve been given all the answers and all the information I need during my appointments. They took blood tests and X-rays and said everything looks fine.

Forgetting that the service was available after they returned home from the hospital was another explanation of non-use from many of the patients. A few of the patients reported having too much to think about in the first period after discharge, and some others did not have any questions during the first period at home. When the questions arose at a later point in time, they had forgotten about the service. Lack of time or of motivation was also reported as reasons for non-use. Some patients said that they did not prioritize logging into the IPPC when they had some time available.

Preferred Other Types of Communication

An often expressed reason for non-use of the IPPC was that the patients preferred to talk to the health care providers, either by telephone or in person, and their experience of getting answers quickly enhanced this practice. They also appreciated the opportunity to ask follow-up questions and wanted the health care provider to have that opportunity as well. Even if their question was not an urgent matter, they preferred getting an answer immediately. One patient explained:

When a question comes to my mind, I want an answer right away. It’s quite possible that I would have gotten an answer just as quickly that way [through the IPPC]. But there’s something special about talking to someone. I think that’s the most important thing.

One patient also said that the information seemed more trustworthy when it was explained verbally, instead of written. Some patients also stated that they had felt too ill to use the IPPC when they came home from the hospital:

When you’re a bit tired, how much can you do? Can you find enough energy to start your computer then? […] It’s been like a part-time job, going to the doctor twice a week. […] So I don’t have the energy to sit down with a research project, to put it plainly. I’m sure it would have been useful for me.
Prevented by Factors Associated With the IPPC

Not all the patients could remember the moment when they were introduced to the IPPC by the member of the project team. A few of the patients explained the lack of recall with the overwhelming amount of information they had received at the hospital, which had pushed the information about the IPPC into the background. Our study also revealed that not all patients had understood what the IPPC was, how the service was organized, and what they could use it for.

Some of the patients said it would have been more appropriate to introduce the IPPC at an earlier point of time in the disease trajectory. One patient said:

   I clearly believe that such a service would certainly be perceived as positive for family, friends and especially the patient, in cases where the discovery of the disease is much closer in time than what is the case with me.

A few of the patients who wanted to use the IPPC, experienced login problems that hindered use. One of the patients said:

   It was just fine right up until I tried to log in. That just didn’t work. [...] Everything crashed. Bam! and both my Internet connection and the program were gone. It was the same every single time. I typed in my password and the program disappeared.

Some of them also thought that the login procedure was too cumbersome and said that they had forgotten how to log into the IPPC. One of the patients tried unsuccessfully to get in touch with the study’s support service. This patient said that when one is ill, one lacks the energy to persevere, and it is therefore easy to give up.

Some patients also said that they did not rely on their questions reaching the correct provider, and therefore preferred to use the telephone. According to one patient:

   It’s better if I call and get a clarification than if I send an email and then walk around wondering ‘has he received my email?’

Some of the study participants also felt an obligation to answer the questionnaires for the main study before it was fair for them to log into the IPPC. Since they lacked the energy to embark on the questionnaires, they also skipped logging in.

None of the patients expressed concerns about the system’s security level or concerns that unauthorized persons could get access to the messages in the IPPC. None reported not having the user’s manual. No one expressed concerns about bothering health care providers or reported that they felt their questions were too insignificant.

The patients in this study did not have many suggestions for what could have been done to encourage IPPC use. Most of them said they did not know, but some said they would have used it if they had not received sufficient follow-up from their health care providers, or if they had had more questions. One said that a reminder could have helped, and one said that better support with the login problems might have helped.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we investigated patients’ views of an Internet-based patient provider communication service that they could use from home for communication with their hospital health care providers and their reported reasons for non-use of the service. Our results show that the patients’ reasons for not using the IPPC can be divided into three main categories: they felt that they had sufficient access to information elsewhere, they preferred other types of communication, or they were prevented by conditions with the IPPC. But even if they did not use the service, they appreciated having the IPPC available. In the discussion of these findings, attentions is directed towards themes perceived as important by the patients and essential in relation to the design and operation of services such as IPPC in the future.

Timing and Targeting

In the current study, the patients were told that they could use the IPPC as they wanted over the study period, with questions related to the disease that they were being treated for at the university hospital. Four of the five patient groups included in the study had recently been treated for serious cancer or liver diseases. They were under close follow-up with frequent encounters and thus had good opportunities to ask questions directly to the health care providers. At the point of inclusion they also were about to be transferred from the university hospital to the primary health care system and thus could have considered it more appropriate to direct their questions to the primary care providers and not to the university hospital where the IPPC was available. The fifth group in the study consisted of experienced diabetes patients who, at the time of inclusion, were past the period in which they had many questions. The current study also revealed that some of the patients felt too sick or exhausted to use the IPPC at the point in time when it was offered to them. This is consistent with other studies that found an association between poor health status and infrequent computer use [25,43]. Another of our earlier studies also found that different user characteristics are associated with different use patterns and that this is important to take into account in the targeting of Web-based support systems to patients with different characteristics [32].

The fact that some of the patients in the current study had not realized what the IPPC actually was, how they could use it, and for what purpose, may be due to information overload. As well as receiving information about the IPPC and the research study at the point of inclusion, the patients had to fill out many documents, and they had received substantial volumes of medical information from their health care providers. In addition, some of the patients said they did not feel in need of the service when they were introduced to it, but when problems or challenges arose later, they had forgotten about the service. Some patients also reported that they were too busy to start using the IPPC. Other studies have also found that this type of system can be forgotten [16,22] or that patients lack the time to use it [16].
Selection of the “wrong” users, that is, those who already are doing so well that they do not feel a need for the technology, is reported to prevent its use [44]. Such attrition can be seen as an indicator of a well-functioning health care service, where the patients get what they need through regular follow-up [44,45]. However, one might ask whether the patients have the sufficient insight into their own situation to evaluate how well they are actually doing [44]. In one of our other studies, we reported how a patient did not understand that his blood values indicated serious kidney failure, but when he used the IPPC this was discovered and action was taken to prevent permanent kidney damage [4]. This indicates that introduction of the IPPC must be targeted to the right patient groups [46] with an appropriate timing in the disease trajectory, both when the patients have questions, when they are capable of using the service, but also when they are receptive to information about the features available and the login procedures. This is consistent with the results in two of our other studies, where patients reported that they wanted the IPPC earlier in the disease trajectory [4,33].

Privacy

Both the current study and earlier studies have shown that patients want to know who is reading their messages and that they want reassurance that the messages reach the right person [20,47]. In the current study, the patients did not know if the coordinating nurse would forward the message to another health care provider or if the message could be read by the whole team connected to the service. Others have also emphasized that patients may not be comfortable sending sensitive information to an office clerk [48]. This may have affected the patients’ use of the system and is a possible disadvantage with a triage-based system like this, at least compared to an anonymous service such as WebChoice, where the patients could communicate anonymously with a study nurse [30]. One can presume that patients will direct different types of questions to providers with whom they have already established a trusting relationship, as pointed out by Andreassen et al [23], from the questions they direct to providers who are completely anonymous. The health care providers’ knowledge of the patients and access to their medical record is an advantage in some respect, but it can also preclude the anonymity that was offered in the previous WebChoice study. This suggests that the solution one chooses can affect both use and types of questions posted, and that it seems important to take into account the patients’ requirement for privacy, anonymity, confidentiality, security, and trust in the design of IPPC services.

Awareness

The results in this study are in line with other studies [22], showing that many of the patients did not use the IPPC because they did not feel a need for it at the time of enrollment. It has been suggested that reminders as “push” factors might encourage patients to use Internet-based interventions [44,45]. In the current study, we tested automated reminders on a small scale at one of the five study sites. The patients who had not made use of the IPPC after 1 or 3 months received a message encouraging them to use the IPPC. However, the non-use rate at this study site remained the same as at the other study sites. Previous studies have found that patients prefer communication channels related to the type of question they have. Email is often preferred for simple interactions like refilling a prescription and general medical information whereas face-to-face encounters are preferred for more complex interactions like treatment instructions or communication about serious health issues [20,43,49]. However, some studies have also found IPPCs to be suitable for addressing serious concerns, questions, and unmet information needs [4,50]. Therefore, availability of a range of different communication channels is important to meet the different users’ needs.

In the current study, patients initiated all communication. Communication initiated by providers might have increased use. Personalizing messages from providers would be in line with proactive follow-up, and targeting and tailoring of messages can be used as a specific strategy for influencing health behaviors [45,46]. Reminders about the availability of the service, combined with disease-specific FAQs (Frequently Asked Questions) may be one way of facilitating meaningful use. However, it is essential to find the right balance between the independent user and the proactive team. In the current study, the access to the IPPC was offered in addition to regular follow-up. If the health care system wants to replace some face-to-face or telephone encounters with Internet-based communication, there is a need for robust organization of the service to ensure quality and safety, and a reimbursement policy must also be in place. There are many ways to utilize IPPCs, and development of comprehensive multifunction patient portals has been proposed because they might increase use [16]. Suggested areas for use are long-term illness management [51], medication management [52], personalizing treatment, tracking patients’ progress over time, communicating information about recovery after treatment, enhancing patient education, and giving patients the opportunity to express concerns and receive responses from health care providers [53]. One might think that the self-management activation in the latter services would increase use and satisfaction, but one study revealed that intensity rather than selecting the content of the service did matter [54]. However, many of the patients in the current study stated that they had no questions, so there is a need to ensure that artificial needs are not created. In our previous WebChoice study [33], patients recruited themselves by contacting the research group after receiving information about the study through newspaper advertisements, magazines, and websites, among others. They might thus have been more eager to use the system than the patients in the current study, where all patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria were asked to participate. Some of the patients in the current study said that they knew from the start that they did not want to use the IPPC; they just agreed to participate in order to be helpful and to support the research.

In terms of planning for studies like this, earlier studies have suggested that attrition needs to be taken into account and that Internet-based trials should plan for the worst case scenario of losing half of the participants during the first month of the intervention [45]. Non-use should be taken into account in the same manner.
User-Friendliness

Some of the patients in the current study intended to use the IPPC, but when they tried to log in, they encountered obstacles and gave up. These patients reported having limited amounts of energy, so when they could not get into the system on the first try, many of them did not try again. Earlier studies have also shown how factors related to the systems structure and login procedures affect use [16,55]. In addition, it has been emphasized that a clear and shared understanding of the features available in the communication service is essential and that providing information at the time of enrollment can increase use of the system [22,56]. Other studies have found that lack of training is a barrier to use of email by patients with cancer [57] and that many people will not be able to make use of eHealth technologies without at the same time being offered support in how to use the services [58]. There is thus a need for IPPC systems to be reliable and for sufficient helpdesk services to be offered. Although computer skills are increasing in the population, electronic communication in health care is still new and unfamiliar to many patients. The patients who were offered the IPPC in the current study had experience in using computers, but they had no experience specifically with electronic communication with health care providers. They thus had limited background experience to guide the current use, and one may wonder whether they felt uncertain about deciding what types of questions to ask in the IPPC and what to bring to the face-to-face encounters. We suggest that demonstrating the IPPC live at a computer could be helpful and could increase understanding of the IPPC, empowering patients to make better-informed choices about use of the IPPC.

Reassurance

Contacts with the health care system can be fragile. When patients have established good contact and communication with health care providers during consultations, the threshold for trying a new form of communication can be high, as patients do not know how it will turn out. Some of the patients in the current study said that they would have used the IPPC if the ordinary follow-up program had not worked so well. Findings from earlier studies indicated that patients did not feel a need for new forms of electronic communication when their clinic was responsive to their phone calls [16] and that some people found email more impersonal [16,20]. The patients in this study had different preferences, and some of them said that they would never make use of electronic communication with health care providers, but rather continue using the telephone and face-to-face consultations as before. To make use of the system, patients have to be convinced that the current system is better or can provide an add-on to the regular follow-up services.

Patients in this study did not make use of the IPPC, but they liked having the service available. This corresponds with other studies, in which patients reported being interested in using email to communicate with their health care providers, but their actual IPPC use was low [16]. Some of the patients in the current study stated that they viewed the IPPC as a back-up solution. They said they would have used it if their initial follow-up program had failed, for example, if they had not received satisfactory answers from their health care providers or if new questions or problems had arisen during the disease trajectory. Having the IPPC as an option can provide a sense of reassurance and be of value to patients, even if they choose not to use it. Non-use does not necessarily mean a lack of perceived benefit. Use is not a goal in itself, and the current study has revealed that the reasons why patients do not use the system are not always associated with the system features, but as much with the surrounding factors.

Strengths and Limitations

There are a number of limitations to our study. Our data are qualitative, and we can obtain descriptions of the experiences of those included in the study but cannot statistically compare differences with other reported results. The study was conducted at a single university hospital, and the results may not be representative for other practice settings. Five different groups of patients were included to strengthen the transferability of the study, but four of the five groups consisted of severely ill patients who had recently undergone highly specialized life-saving treatment. These patients were thus quite different from, for example, chronically ill patients who represent many of the patients seeking health care. Three women and 19 men participated in the interviews. The results of the study might have been different if more women had participated. For example, women might bring a different perspective regarding fundamental aspects such as privacy, confidentiality, and security. The gender distribution in this sample reflected the gender distribution in the main study. Retrospectively, we see that women could have been oversampled in order to achieve a more even gender distribution for the interviews. A strength of the study is that the number of interviews was large enough to provide a variety of experiences and to allow sufficient depth in the analyses.

Conclusion

This study offers insights into the reasons why patients who had access to an IPPC did not make use of it. Such knowledge is crucial for implementation of IPPCs to the health care service and can help timing, targeting, and tailoring of the IPPCs to different patient groups. Our findings indicate that patients like having the opportunity to send messages to health care providers through an IPPC, even if they do not make use of the service, and that they think it can be useful to many patients. There is need for more knowledge about how to reach those in need of an IPPC and to determine appropriate timing in the disease trajectory for introducing the service, when patients are receptive to information about how to use the service and for what purposes. Finally, we believe that demonstrating the service on a computer at the time of introduction could have increased the understanding of the service.
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Abstract

Background: Citation counts for peer-reviewed articles and the impact factor of journals have long been indicators of article importance or quality. In the Web 2.0 era, growing numbers of scholars are using scholarly social network tools to communicate scientific ideas with colleagues, thereby making traditional indicators less sufficient, immediate, and comprehensive. In these new situations, the altmetric indicators offer alternative measures that reflect the multidimensional nature of scholarly impact in an immediate, open, and individualized way. In this direction of research, some studies have demonstrated the correlation between altmetrics and traditional metrics with different samples. However, up to now, there has been relatively little research done on the dimension and interaction structure of altmetrics.

Objective: Our goal was to reveal the number of dimensions that altmetric indicators should be divided into and the structure in which altmetric indicators interact with each other.

Methods: Because an article-level metrics dataset is collected from scholarly social media and open access platforms, it is one of the most robust samples available to study altmetric indicators. Therefore, we downloaded a large dataset containing activity data in 20 types of metrics present in 33,128 academic articles from the application programming interface website. First, we analyzed the correlation among altmetric indicators using Spearman rank correlation. Second, we visualized the multiple correlation coefficient matrixes with graduated colors. Third, inputting the correlation matrix, we drew an MDS diagram to demonstrate the dimension for altmetric indicators. For correlation structure, we used a social network map to represent the social relationships and the strength of relations.

Results: We found that the distribution of altmetric indicators is significantly non-normal and positively skewed. The distribution of downloads and page views follows the Pareto law. Moreover, we found that the Spearman coefficients from 91.58% of the pairs of variables indicate statistical significance at the .01 level. The non-metric MDS map divided the 20 altmetric indicators into three clusters: traditional metrics, active altmetrics, and inactive altmetrics. The social network diagram showed two subgroups that are tied to each other but not to other groups, thus indicating an intersection between altmetrics and traditional metric indicators.

Conclusions: Altmetrics complement, and most correlate significantly with, traditional measures. Therefore, in future evaluations of the social impact of articles, we should consider not only traditional metrics but also active altmetrics. There may also be a
transfer phenomenon for the social impact of academic articles. The impact transfer path has transfer, or intermediate, stations that transport and accelerate article social impact from active altmetrics to traditional metrics and vice versa. This discovery will be helpful to explain the impact transfer mechanism of articles in the Web 2.0 era. Hence, altmetrics are in fact superior to traditional filters for assessing scholarly impact in multiple dimensions and in terms of social structure.
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Introduction

The evaluation of an academic paper’s influence is important for scientists and academic management mechanisms [1]. Scientific papers are regarded by the scientific community as the formal carriers of recent findings and innovative ideas from scientific experiments [2]. Official periodicals are considered the major medium used in scientific communication [3]. Citation rates per paper and the impact factor per scientific journal have been used as evaluation indicators for measuring academic impact [4,5].

Impact factor is based on journals, not journal articles. It is unlikely that one type of metric (for example, citation counts) can adequately inform evaluations across multiple disciplines, departments, career stages, and job types. In addition, a newly published article requires time to accumulate citations—a citation delay may range from 3 months to 1-2 years, sometimes longer in formal publications. By contrast, only a few days are required to tabulate statistics from viewing, downloading, tags, digs, tweets, and blogs in scientific social networks.

A reasonable evaluation should include not only quantitative assessments but also the peer-review process. The traditional peer-review process has been criticized for its scalability, that is, the inability to cope with an increasingly large number of scientific paper submissions, given the limited number of available reviewers and publication time constraints.

With the development of the open access platform [6,7] and the practical application of academic social networks [8,9], scientific achievements have now been able to spread more rapidly [10-13]. Given these new developments, the open access platforms, social network tools, and other online usage and comment-based statistics have been paving the way to new forms of scientific evaluation, which could complement traditional metrics such as the citation rate and the impact factor.

Hence, researchers and publishers are exploring article-level metrics, which include not only citation rates but also potential extracted indicators such as page view, download, click, note, recommend, tag, post, trackback, and comments [14-17]. By using such multidimensional indicators, we aim to broaden researchers’ vision in the field of scientometrics and to provide richly measurable metadata for post peer review. For example, Priem and Costello [18] found Twitter citations are generated considerably more quickly than traditional citations, with 40% occurring within 1 week of the cited resource’s publication. In this paper, we call these new indicators “altmetric indicators”. Compared to traditional indicators, they are superior in terms of coverage, efficiency, and scalability.

In light of the advantage of altmetrics, many authors have called for its further evaluation. Neylon and Wu [14] noted the unsatisfactory results of traditional methods for measuring impact, and they assert that good filters of quality, importance, and relevance to apply to scientific literature are required. Taraborelli [19] suggested that collaboratively aggregated metadata may help to close the gap between traditional citation-based metrics and usage-based metrics for scientific evaluation. He also proposed that social software could be used to extract large-scale indicators of scientific quality. Priem and Hemminger [3] have likewise stated that citation-based methods poorly evaluate and filter articles and considered an examination of the usage of articles in Web 2.0 services novel and promising. They developed the most comprehensive list of Web 2.0 tools and assessed the potential value and the availability of data. Groth and Gurney [20] used keyword and citation similarity maps to analyze differences between blog posts in chemistry and in academic literature. Weller and Puschmann [21] categorized scientific tweets on Twitter and devised a method for identifying and measuring citations.

Do altmetrics correlate with traditional measures? Some researchers have studied this question and provided evidence that altmetric and traditional indicators correlate significantly. For example, Yan and Gerstein [16] examined the correlation between 18 different metrics, including article usage (HTML views, PDF downloads, XML downloads), citation statistics, blog coverage, social bookmarking, and online ratings in the PLOS Article-Level Metrics. They observed that the number of citations correlates most strongly with access statistics ($r=0.44$), with the highest correlation being with number of PDF downloads ($r=0.48$). Additionally, Priem et al [17] studied the correlations between 19 types of altmetric indicators and concluded that the scholarly bookmarking services Mendeley ($r=0.26$) and CiteULike ($r=0.16$) correlated with citations, while services such as Delicious did not. Li et al [22] investigated 1613 journal papers and studied the correlation between two online reference managers (Mendeley and CiteULike) and two types of citations (WoS and Google Scholar). Their results indicate that the Mendeley user counts significantly correlate with WoS citations, and Mendeley attracted more users than did CiteULike. Eysenbach [23] selected a cumulative number of tweetations (ie, a citation in a tweet) 7 days after article publication as tweetation counts and then calculated the correlation between citations and tweetations. The Pearson correlation coefficients for the citation versus tweetation counts were statistically significant at a 5% level and ranged from .57 to .89. Additionally, Google Scholar citations were more strongly correlated with tweetations than were Scopus citations.
In summary, all previous researchers have focused on demonstrating the performance of altmetric indicators and correlations between traditional and altmetric indicators. However, important questions such as the dimensionality and structure of altmetrics have not been explored. In other words, the overall configuration is unclear and requires further verification. For example, how many dimensions should altmetric indicators be divided into? How does the interactive structure look? Motivated by these questions, we attempt to look into the similarities and the differences between traditional and altmetric indicators. We will represent the interactive structure visually in a social network context.

For our study, it is vital to make sure altmetric indicators have the attributes of openness and maneuverability for samples before conducting an altmetrics study. The publisher platforms where articles are being written, read, and published, such as JMIR, PLOS, and social networks, such as Twitter, CiteULike, blogs, or Mendeley, where articles are being shared, recommended, discussed, and rated, make their data available through standardized application programming interfaces (APIs), which allow authors, editors, and academic administration to select the most meaningful data for a particular use at a particular time. These individuals could thus showcase a wider range of article impact in an immediate, open, and individualized way.

Article-Level Metrics represent a comprehensive set of impact indicators that capture usage, citations, social bookmarking and dissemination activity, media and blog coverage, discussion activity, and ratings. API for Article-Level Metrics is freely and publicly available. More than 150 developers have downloaded the API for data reuse to determine the total impact of articles. Hence, we consider these data to provide a good sample for our study.

On selection of the tests, we considered the options proposed by researchers, such as graduated colors for correlation coefficient matrixes [16,17] and methods that Priem used for data transformations of datasets [17]. However, we did not adopt factor analysis to disclose the clusters of altmetric indicators because the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) is low (KMO<0.45). Instead, we explored a nonmetric multidimensional scaling (MDS) method to reveal the dimensions of alternative metrics after nonparametric testing; presumably, there are social networks relationships between multidimensional altmetrics, so we used a social network analysis to map the altmetrics interactions.

**Methods**

We downloaded an “Article-Level Metrics” dataset (specifically, a sample of 33,128 academic articles) from the PLOS API website on December 14, 2011. The dataset includes data for a number of metrics, for example, counts of article usage, citation rates, and other types of metrics (eg, social bookmarks, comments, notes, blog posts, and ratings). We noticed that the values of the altmetric variables differ too markedly in dimensions, thus resulting in smaller absolute values weighing less when calculating the distances between values. Therefore, variables were handled as dimensionless with an algorithm “mean of 1” to keep the coefficients of the original variables constant [24].

First, we drew a histogram to discern approximately whether the data followed a normal distribution. In a normal distribution, the 2 “halves” of the histogram appear as mirror images of each other [25]. In a skewed distribution, one tail of the distribution may commonly be considerably longer or drawn out relative to the other tail. For example, in a “skewed right” distribution, the tail is on the right [26,27]. Many statistical tests are based on the assumption that the data are sampled from a normal distribution. However, when the variables are skewed (non-normal), a nonparametric test is appropriate [28]. In this paper, we also performed a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test (a type of nonparametric test).

Second, a correlation, indicated by a correlation coefficient, measures the strength and the direction of a linear relationship between two variables [29]. For an abnormal distribution, it is more advisable to use the Spearman rank correlation than the Pearson correlation. Examining a table of coefficient numbers is impractical because a matrix of 20x20 is large, so graphical visualization tools are suitable. Various methods have been proposed, from heat maps to correlation ellipses [30]. We visualized the correlation matrix using a color graph generated by the Corrplot package in the R programming language.

Third, MDS could generate a visual representation of the subjective dimensions that are not directly indicated in the data [31]. Many applications of this method are available in bioinformatics [32,33] and ecological science [34-36]. A nonmetric MDS analysis enabled us to find a nonparametric monotonic relationship between similarities in the item-item matrix, the Euclidean distances between items, and the location of each item in the low-dimensional space [37]. We explored a nonmetric MDS analysis method with the software package UCINET to determine the types of variables that have a higher degree of similarities.

Fourth, an MDS diagram can reveal the similarities among variables, though not the strength and the structure of the relationships among variables. Visualizing the correlation matrix in a network context is useful. Researchers observe social relationships based on the theory that a social network comprises nodes and ties. Nodes represent individual actors within the network, and ties represent relationships between variables and individuals [38]. We used NetDraw (a social network analysis software package) to visualize the interaction of the variables and its strength. We also aimed to ascertain the relative importance of variables in interconnecting the network. The social network diagram helped us distinguish the number of clusters and the corresponding degrees of clustering.

**Results**

**Right-Skewed Distribution and the Pareto Principle**

We used a one-sample K-S test to determine whether the altmetric variables are normally distributed. In general, if $P < 0.05$, then the data are considered to follow an abnormal distribution [39]. Our results showed that the $P<.001$ for all variables; therefore, we rejected the normality assumption. One way to...
determine whether a variable is “significantly skewed” is comparing the numerical value for “skewness” with twice the standard error of skewness, including the range from minus twice to plus twice the standard error of skewness [40]. Because the skewness value falls outside this range, we concluded that the distribution is significantly non-normal and, in this case, positively skewed. Table 1 shows the integration of the results, including the K-S test, the skewness, and the kurtosis of variables. Table 2 lists the legends for B1 to B20.

We also drew histograms and obtained a group of skewed histograms. Because variable $B_i$ is highly skewed throughout testing (the average of the skewness is 1.267, and the average of the kurtosis is 2.033), we log-transformed it into variable $D_i$ (after excluding zeros) to show its distribution more clearly. Figure 1 summarizes the frequency distribution of the cumulative variable $D_i$. The right tail is longer, and the distribution’s mass is concentrated on the left of the figure, thus confirming that the 20 histograms are right-skewed distributions, according to the direction of the tail. We inferred that skewness may be related to the meaning of the variables: the percentage of relative activities mentioned in the articles cannot be less than zero.

As shown in the histogram of downloads and page views (from D to G), the data have two relative peaks that follow a bimodal distribution, similar in appearance to the back of a two-humped camel. This distribution is reminiscent of the Pareto Principle (or the 80-20 rule), that is, approximately 80% of the effects arise from 20% of the causes [41,42]. With reference to the theory of knowledge scatter [43], this pattern suggested that 80% of download counts were generated by 20% of the articles.

**Table 1.** Integration of the results including K-S, skewness, and kurtosis of variables (N=33,128).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K-S</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Asymp sig$^a$ (2-tailed)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>59.205</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>7.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>73.141</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>15.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>64.031</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>10.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>79.442</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>27.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>83.492</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>7.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>70.240</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>15.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>81.168</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>21.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>94.749</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>18.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>92.407</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>60.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>94.019</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>17.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>88.133</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>29.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>72.099</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>20.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>93.919</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>16.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>91.698</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>25.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>93.719</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>19.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>91.612</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>17.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>93.434</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>40.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>87.564</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>17.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>92.924</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>32.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>94.296</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>27.080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a asymptotic significance
b standard error
Table 2. Legends for B1 to B20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altmetric indicators</th>
<th>Legends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Citations recorded by CrossRef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Citations recorded by PubMed Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Citations recorded by Scopus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>Total HTML page views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>Total PDF downloads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>Total XML downloads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>Combined usage (HTML + PDF + XML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>Blog postings indexed by Nature Blogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>Blog postings indexed by Bloglines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>Blog postings indexed by ResearchBlogging.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>Trackbacks made by external sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>Social bookmarking made by users of CiteULike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>Social bookmarking made by users of Connotea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>Ratings on PLOS website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>Average rating that the article has received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>Note threads started on the article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>Replies to Note thread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>Comment threads started on the article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>Replies to Comment threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>“Star Ratings” including a text comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spearman Correlation Coefficients and Their Visualization in R

We performed the normality test to conclude that neither altmetric variable is normally distributed. We used a Spearman rank order correlation to examine the correlation pattern among altmetric indicators with SPSS 18.0. Tables 3 and 4 present the results of the Spearman rank correlation coefficient for altmetric indicators.
The correlation coefficient can range from -1 to 1, with -1 or 1 indicating a perfect relationship [44]. The Spearman rho between B14 and B15 is 1. B14 represents ratings on the PLOS website, and B15 represents an article’s average rating. Therefore, it is unsurprising that the relationship is approximately perfect. The similarities in correlation strength was observed for another pair of variables (B4 and B7) with “rho=1”, likely because HTML page views (expressed by B4) accounted for the largest proportion of the combined (HTML + PDF + XML) usage of articles (expressed by B7).

The second strongest correlation, rho=.899, is between total HTML page views (expressed by B4) and total PDF downloads (expressed by B5), possibly because they are two aspects of article usage counts, and people choose view or download with approximately equivalent frequencies. The Spearman rho between B6 and B13 is –.25, so we can predict that as B6 (total XML downloads) increases, B13 (social bookmarking made by Connotea users) will decrease.

The Spearman coefficients from 91.58% of the variable pairs are significant at the .01 level, with one pair of variables (B9 and B12) correlating at the .05 significance level. Approximately no correlation exists between approximately 7.89% variable pairs. B9 (blog postings indexed by the Bloglines) also hardly correlate with eight variables (ie, B13 to B20), possibly implying that Blogline is unpopular and not widely used by researchers and citizen scientists.

The correlation matrix also yields the probability of being incorrect if we assume that the relationship observed in our sample accurately reflects the relationship among variables of altmetric indicators in the actual population from which the sample was drawn, labeled as Sig (2-tailed). We found that 91.58% of the probability value is <.001 (the value is rounded to three digits), well below the conventional threshold of $P<.05$, thus supporting our hypothesis. There is a relationship (ie, the coefficient is not 0) in the predicted direction (positive), and we can generalize the results to the population ($P<.05$).

To show the correlation among altmetrics clearly, we visualized the correlation coefficient matrices with graduated colors and a blue-white-red scale. An R programming package, corrplot, helped map the correlation coefficients to the specified color square. We chose two color series to identify positive and negative correlation coefficients. Blue corresponds to a correlation of approximately 1; red to approximately –1; and white to approximately 0. To economize space, we multiplied the correlation coefficients by 100 and added them to the squares in the color correlation matrix. See Figure 2.

We can readily identify clusters with strong similarities and locate possible redundant indicators. Matching this map with the physical meaning revealed the following: (1) the citation indicators (B1, B2, and B3) and download indicators (B4, B5, B6, and B7) are clustered into two categories, which we call the “citation metrics class” and “download metrics class”, respectively; (2) the citation and download indicators are combined into a clustering, which we call the “traditional metrics class”; (3) a group of indicators (B14 to B20) are conjoined into another clustering type, called the “rating, note, and comment metrics class”; and (4) finally, as a general rule, we suggested that all four blog-aggregating services would record different sets of data, so the datasets require comparison and “de-duplication” to obtain a complete picture of blog activity (as recorded by these services), as would all three citation services.
Table 3. Spearman rank correlation coefficient for B1-B11 (N=33,128).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
<th>B5</th>
<th>B6</th>
<th>B7</th>
<th>B8</th>
<th>B9</th>
<th>B10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>.738</td>
<td>.322</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>.338</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.669</td>
<td>.226</td>
<td>.268</td>
<td>.079</td>
<td>.237</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.738</td>
<td>.669</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.402</td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>.244</td>
<td>.415</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.322</td>
<td>.226</td>
<td>.402</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.899</td>
<td>.662</td>
<td>.996</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>-.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.268</td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>.899</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.616</td>
<td>.928</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>.079</td>
<td>.244</td>
<td>.662</td>
<td>.616</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.672</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>-.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.338</td>
<td>.237</td>
<td>.415</td>
<td>.996</td>
<td>.928</td>
<td>.672</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>-.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>-.005</td>
<td>-.001</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.088</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>.156</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>-.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>-.004</td>
<td>-.015</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.248</td>
<td>.222</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.299</td>
<td>.156</td>
<td>.293</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.120</td>
<td>.159</td>
<td>.102</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>-.025</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.087</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.087</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>-.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>-.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>.079</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>B8</td>
<td>B9</td>
<td>B10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corr. coefficient</td>
<td>.050&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.049&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.049&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.062&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.043&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.035&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.060&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.037&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.073&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig (2-tailed)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed).

<sup>b</sup>Correlation is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.120</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.248</td>
<td>.159</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.222</td>
<td>.102</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.087</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.299</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.156</td>
<td>-.025</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.293</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.079</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.001</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>.214</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.133</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.194</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.194</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.120</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.248</td>
<td>.159</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.222</td>
<td>.102</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.087</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.299</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.156</td>
<td>-.025</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.293</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.079</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.001</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>.214</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.133</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.194</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.194</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td>.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td>.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>.128</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.132</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Correlation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B11</th>
<th>B12</th>
<th>B13</th>
<th>B14</th>
<th>B15</th>
<th>B16</th>
<th>B17</th>
<th>B18</th>
<th>B19</th>
<th>B20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td></td>
<td>.096&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.064&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.039&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.093&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.065&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.094&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.127&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.105&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>.096&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>.064&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14</td>
<td>.039&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>.093&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>.065&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>.094&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>.127&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B19</td>
<td>.105&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B20</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed).

**Figure 2.** Visualization of the correlation matrix in R.

---

### Nonmetric MDS With UCINET and Network Visualization With NetDraw

Nonmetric MDS is often preferred because it tends to provide a better “goodness-of-fit” (stress) statistic, which is correspondingly better with lower stress ($0=\text{perfect fit}$) [45]. Generally, stress levels below 0.1 are considered excellent, while levels above 0.2 are considered unacceptable. Accordingly, a higher RSQ ($r^2$) value ($1=\text{perfect fit}$) is better, and RSQ values exceeding 0.6 are usually considered excellent [46]. We conducted nonmetric MDS with UCINET 6. The output map is shown in Figure 3.

The reliability value stress was 0.00424, considerably less than 0.1, and the validity value RSQ was 0.99998, greater than 0.60, which equals an excellent goodness of fit. The map plots each variable, thus permitting us to examine the similarity according to the variables’ proximity to each other. We labeled three...
dimensions, or categories, with each dimension implicating a potential factor.

The three clusters and their interpretations are as follows. (1) The first cluster contains B1 to B7 and B12. This cluster has 8 spots, and they are more interconnected. B4 and B7 occupy approximately the same coordinate. This cluster implicates a potential factor of 1, which we call a traditional metrics group because 7 out of 8 indicators in this cluster are citation and download indicators. (2) The second cluster contains B10, B11, and B14 to B20. This cluster has 9 spots, and they are more interconnected. B14 and B15 occupy approximately the same coordinate. This cluster implicates a potential factor of 2, and we call it the trackback, rating, note, and comment metrics group. (3) The third cluster contains B8, B9, and B13. This cluster has 3 spots, yet they are less interconnected, with more diverse networks. This cluster implicates a potential factor of 3, and we call it the blog and social bookmark metrics group.

We know that an MDS graph can represent the relations among nodes, while a network diagram can describe the social structure. Hence, we visualized the results of the nonmetric MDS from a network context with NetDraw (version 2.084, which is distributed with UCINET 6). The network diagram is shown in Figure 4.

A good drawing of a graph can immediately suggest some of the most important features of the overall network structure. The diagram indicates the following findings: (1) not all nodes are connected, as three nodes (B8, B9, and B13) that are disconnected from the others; (2) two subgroups or local “clusters” of actors are tied to each other, not to other groups, and (3) some actors have many ties, and some, few ties. Four nodes (B10, B16, B17, and B19) have two ties, while the other nodes have one tie or zero ties. These nodes are embedded in the neighborhood by the two clusters; that is, they are important for connecting the two clusters, which we call cluster 1 and cluster 2. Thus, examining the node and the “node network” (ie, “neighborhood”) indicates a sense of the structural constraints and opportunities that an actor faces and may help us to understand an actor’s role in a social structure. Finally, it indicates that (4) some difference in the strength of the relationship between a multivariable and its center remain. For example, B12 and B2 have a weak relationship with their center, while B6 and B20 have a relatively stronger relationship (that is, “1.0”) with their centers, and B17 has the strongest relationship (that is, “1.4”) with its center.

Figure 3. MDS diagram of altmetric indicators.

![MDS diagram of altmetric indicators](image_url)
Discussion

Principal Findings

Our study is the first to use the MDS and network map to analyze the dimensions and interactions among altmetrics variables. Although MDS diagrams have been used for co-citation [47] and co-word analysis [48], it is still innovative to draw nonmetric MDS diagrams for altmetrics variables. We found three dimensions or metrics groups, that is, traditional metrics (citation and download metrics), active altmetrics (trackback, rating, note, and comment metrics), and inactive altmetrics (blog and social bookmark metrics).

More importantly, we transformed the MDS diagram into a social network graph, whose advantage is that it displays the overall network structure. In research related to altmetrics, authors have developed co-word social network maps for articles published in blogs [20]. Our map represents the MDS diagram in a social network context. We found that the ResearchBlogging.org posts, note threads, and replies to comment threads are the three intermediary metrics between traditional metrics and active altmetrics; in other words, they possess attributes of traditional metrics and active altmetrics.

What do these findings imply? There may be a transfer phenomenon for social impact of academic articles. Then, Figure 4 could be considered an article impact transitive map. Along the impact transfer path, B10, B16, B17, and B19 are the transfer, or intermediate, stations that transport article social impact between active altmetrics and traditional metrics. Aman [49] quantified the extent to which preprints in arXiv accelerate scholarly communication using many subject samples. He found that, in all fields except biology, a significant citation advantage exists in terms of speed and citation rates for articles with a previous preprint version on arXiv. Shuai et al [50] studied whether Wikipedia shapes academic impact and showed that articles mentioned on Wikipedia have higher citations than do unmentioned articles. Our finding of altmetrics interactions posits that an intermediate station and a potential pathway may exist by which impact activator arXiv, Wikipedia, or other open access platforms and social network tools likely help articles attract more online usage, in turn accelerating online social activities such as comment, note, post, rate, or bookmark and thus expanding an article’s social influence, reflected in larger citation rates and higher dissemination speed. This results in the observation that altmetrics is the superior way to look at publications.

Another finding is that altmetrics correlate with traditional measures significantly; that the citation and download metrics cluster closely together by the Spearman correlation method is consistent with previous results [17] to some extent. This is exemplified by the correlation between citation counts and access statistics ($r=0.30$); the highest correlation being with number of PDF downloads ($r=0.44$); and the correlations between citations and scholarly bookmarking services CiteULike ($r=0.24$) and Connotea ($r=0.13$). Before studying the correlation of altmetric indicators, we looked more closely at the choice of method for skewed data. However, the Spearman and color square visualization methods we used differ from the methods used in previous research. For example, the Pearson, not the Spearman correlation method, was used by Eysenbach [23], while our study added a color square visualization method to better reveal correlations. Furthermore, Yan [16] found that article access metrics, citation metrics, and social bookmarking metrics broadly cluster, a formation signified by relatively high correlation coefficients among the metrics; we found additional clusters such as the “rating, note, and comment metrics class”. Moreover, we came up with a “traditional metrics class”, which integrates the “citation metrics class” and the “download metrics class”.

Our third contribution is the adoption of the theory of nonparametric testing throughout analysis. Based on the one-sample K-S test and the shapes of the histograms, we concluded that the distribution is significantly non-normal and positively skewed. Priem summarized a group of histograms similarly but did not perform a nonparametric test to prove the abnormal distribution or to compute the skewness [17]. We calculated the Spearman coefficients (obtained by nonparametric measures), not Pearson coefficients, to calculate the correlation strengths. Although the Spearman measure has been used by Yan and Gerstein [16], their sample size (13,000 articles) was smaller than ours (33,128 articles). More importantly, the Spearman coefficient is statistically fit for abnormal datasets. Additionally, the nonmetric MDS employed to detect the similarity of the variables is also a nonparametric test.
Our results also support that altmetric indicators may obey certain rules, for example, the Pareto law. Eysenbach [23] was the first to report the Pareto law for tweetation (one of the altmetric indicators). He mined all tweets containing links to articles in the Journal of Medical Internet Research between July 2008 and November 2011. He explored the dynamics, the content, and the timing of tweets based on a subset of 1573 tweets on approximately 55 articles and found an uneven distribution in which the top 20% of the tweet authors, as ranked by number of tweetations, accounted for 63.4% of all tweetations. This tweetation regularity follows a Pareto distribution (80/20 rule). Similarly, our frequency distribution histograms from D to G (four types of altmetric indicators) indicate that the top 20% of articles triggered 80% of download and page views and thus verified that the distribution follows the Pareto law. Therefore, we offer a complementary explanation of the Pareto regularities using altmetric indicators.

Based on our experimental results, we conclude that altmetrics complements traditional statistics and contains approximately three dimensions: traditional, active, and inactive metrics. In summary, our study demonstrates a novel interaction among the altmetrics variables and analyzes articles’ social impact transfer mechanism.

Our conclusion that the distribution is significantly non-normal and positively skewed rests primarily on the results obtained with the Article-Level Metrics dataset downloaded from PLOS API. Both Priem [17] and Yan [16] studied altmetrics based on a similar dataset. Our views regarding whether the distribution of variables is normal are consistent with theirs. However, we demonstrated the necessity of nonparameter testing in analyzing the altmetrics dataset.

Limitations
However, as alternative metrics indicators are preset in the dataset, the implication of our study’s findings is limited. This study was a preliminary attempt, and we are preparing to test and verify these findings for other types of datasets. The findings of correlations have been confirmed by another dataset concerning altmetric indicators in [22] and [23]. Further research is required on the dimension, structure, and potential impact transfer mechanism.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we studied the dimension and the structure of altmetrics with visual graphics. Our findings provide an important direction regarding the current practices of authors, editors, and academic administrations. Authors should pay more attention to the scholarly social impact that originates from active altmetrics and then participate more in related activities such as rating websites, noting, and commenting on articles. The publishers should attempt to launch an open peer review and consider scientific citizens’ perspectives before deciding whether to publish. They should also explore the value and the applications of post-publication interactivity in terms of ratings, notes, or comments. Academic administrations should track the dissemination of published articles (in terms of multiple types of citation, ratings, comments, and notes) and access up-to-date altmetrics data to determine article quality or the impact context for tenure and promotion decisions.
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Abstract

Background: The rapid growth in the use of mobile phone applications (apps) provides the opportunity to increase access to evidence-based mental health care.

Objective: Our goal was to systematically review the research evidence supporting the efficacy of mental health apps for mobile devices (such as smartphones and tablets) for all ages.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search (2008-2013) in MEDLINE, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PsycINFO, PsycTESTS, Compendex, and Inspec was conducted. We included trials that examined the effects of mental health apps (for depression, anxiety, substance use, sleep disturbances, suicidal behavior, self-harm, psychotic disorders, eating disorders, stress, and gambling) delivered on mobile devices with a pre- to posttest design or compared with a control group. The control group could consist of wait list, treatment-as-usual, or another recognized treatment.

Results: In total, 5464 abstracts were identified. Of those, 8 papers describing 5 apps targeting depression, anxiety, and substance abuse met the inclusion criteria. Four apps provided support from a mental health professional. Results showed significant reductions in depression, stress, and substance use. Within-group and between-group intention-to-treat effect sizes ranged from 0.29-2.28 and 0.01-0.48 at posttest and follow-up, respectively.

Conclusions: Mental health apps have the potential to be effective and may significantly improve treatment accessibility. However, the majority of apps that are currently available lack scientific evidence about their efficacy. The public needs to be educated on how to identify the few evidence-based mental health apps available in the public domain to date. Further rigorous research is required to develop and test evidence-based programs. Given the small number of studies and participants included in this review, the high risk of bias, and unknown efficacy of long-term follow-up, current findings should be interpreted with caution, pending replication. Two of the 5 evidence-based mental health apps are currently commercially available in app stores.
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Introduction

Global mobile phone penetration reached 91% at the end of 2012, with 4.3 billion unique mobile subscribers [1] identified. Mobile health (mHealth) — specifically, mental health supported by mobile devices—thus has the potential to be delivered to large numbers of people worldwide. The first mobile software applications or “apps” became available to download on a mobile device in 2008. Since then, penetration has increased rapidly and is anticipated to continue rising. As of September
2012, an estimated 1,520,000 apps had been developed for mobile devices [2], and around 13,600 health apps intended for use by consumers were available for download in Apple’s App Store [3]. About 6% of these apps targeted mental health outcomes, while 18% focused on related health issues, such as sleep, stress, relaxation, and smoking behaviors. A survey among the Australian general public indicated that 76% would be interested in using mobile phones for mental health monitoring and self-management [4]. This suggests that mHealth is acceptable and may be a useful vehicle for enhancing access to evidence-based monitoring and self-help for individuals with mild-to-moderate common mental health conditions [4]. Clinical practice guidelines recommend cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) and self-help resources (such as mHealth) as options for psychological treatment for individuals experiencing mild-to-moderate symptoms of anxiety or depression [5]. mHealth apps can be used as stand-alone self-help programs or as a conjunctive treatment modality in guided programs, for example, part of a website or through direct contact with a mental health professional. The app can include treatment components such as cognitive therapy (CT), behavioral activation (BA), psychoeducation, or monitoring of symptoms.

Advantages of mHealth include the improvement of treatment accessibility and participant retention, real-time symptom and activity monitoring and tracking of treatment progress through ecological momentary assessment (EMA), provision of personalized feedback and motivational support, portability and flexibility of use, and the potential to improve adherence to treatment [6-10]. However, there are also disadvantages with using mobile devices for mental health. Technical problems and factors related to telecommunication can arise (eg, battery failures, reliability and sustainability of connections [11]), and issues of data security, patient privacy, and the identification and timely management of crises and risk of harm must be carefully considered when integrating smartphone technology into behavioral health care [12].

Previous research suggests that mental health interventions delivered through mobile apps can be effective in treating a range of mental health disorders, such as depression, stress, anxiety, and smoking cessation [6,7]. However, the thriving development of mental health apps warrants a systematic review of the available evidence base in this growing area. Previous reviews examining evidence-based mental health apps did not incorporate quantitative analyses [12] or included mHealth interventions that were not directly downloadable as an app (such as programs using SMS [short message service] text messaging or Internet-enabled interventions on mobile phones [6,13]). Therefore, the aim of this paper is to systematically review the available evidence-based apps directly downloadable on mobile devices (such as smartphones and tablets) for mental health symptoms or disorders (depression, anxiety, substance use, sleep disorders, suicidal behavior, psychotic disorders, eating disorders, stress, gambling) in children, adolescents, adults, and older individuals.

Methods

Search Strategy and Selection of Studies

A comprehensive literature search in bibliographic databases (MEDLINE, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PsycINFO, PsycTESTS, and Compendex and Inspec) for relevant articles published from January 1, 2008 (launch date of the first app), to May 30, 2013, was conducted. Terms indicative of mobile apps and mental health disorders were used to search these databases, with the search being limited to “humans”. English, and peer-reviewed journals (see Multimedia Appendices 1-3 for the full search string). The identified titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility by 2 independent researchers. Full text copies of all potentially relevant papers, or papers where there was insufficient information in the abstract to determine eligibility, were obtained. Full text articles were further screened and discarded from further analyses if they met exclusion criteria. In addition, references of earlier reviews and reference lists of the included primary articles were examined. Furthermore, key technology journals (Cybertechnology, Behavior and Social Networking; Journal of Medical Internet Research; and Studies in Health Technology and Informatics) were hand-searched. We also reviewed Beacon, a website for evidence-based online programs for mental health, developed and delivered by the Centre for Mental Health Research at the Australian National University. Finally, a search was conducted of prominent individual authors’ and researchers’ names in the field of mHealth or Internet interventions (see Multimedia Appendix 4) in MEDLINE. Data extraction of relevant articles was completed by 2 independent researchers, with disagreements resolved through discussion or with a third researcher.

We applied strict inclusion criteria in order to investigate any evidence-based mental health apps that could be downloaded from app stores (eg, Google Play for Google Android [14] or the Apple iTunes store [15]). Studies examining the effects of mental health apps on mental health symptoms or disorders (depression, anxiety, substance use, sleep disorders, suicidal behavior, self-harm, psychotic disorders, eating disorders, stress, and gambling) that were directly downloadable on a mobile device (eg, smartphone or tablet) compared with a control group were included. The control group could consist of a wait list, treatment-as-usual, or another treatment. Studies without a control group (pre-post design) were also included. There was no restriction on participant age. Studies were excluded if they did not include an intervention or if mental health symptoms/disorders were not an outcome, and if the intervention was an Internet-based intervention, virtual reality exposure treatment, interactive voice response technology intervention, or a text messaging-only intervention without a mobile application component. Studies were also excluded if the intervention was downloaded on a computer and transferred (eg, through Bluetooth or infrared) to a mobile device, if the intervention targeted a medical disorder (eg, irritable bowel syndrome, diabetes), if the paper provided a description of the mobile application but no outcome data, and if the intervention was developed before 2008. Conference abstracts, protocol
papers, case studies, non-peer reviewed papers, and non-English papers were also excluded.

**Quality Assessment**

Study quality was assessed according to 6 basic criteria of the Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool [16]: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias. For the third criterion (blinding of outcome), we omitted blinding of participants since blinding participants for treatment allocation is rarely achievable in intervention trials for mental health disorders.

**Outcome Measures**

Primary outcome measures included reduction of depression symptoms, anxiety symptoms, substance use, sleep disturbance, suicidal behavior (suicide ideation, suicide plans, and attempts), self-harm, psychotic symptoms, symptoms of eating disorders, and gambling, as assessed with validated mental health scales.

**Statistical Analyses**

When data were available and extractable, intention-to-treat (ITT) within-group and between-group effect sizes (Cohen’s $d$) for the intervention group were calculated by taking the difference between the mean pre- and posttest scores (within-group effect size) or the difference of the posttest scores (between-group effect size) and dividing by the pooled standard deviation. Effect sizes of 0.8 can be assumed to be large, while effect sizes of 0.5 are moderate, and effect sizes of 0.2 are small [17]. Where authors provided only $t$ test statistics, we computed effect sizes using the formula: $d=|t|/\text{sort}(df)$ [18]. Hedges’ $g$ effect sizes were converted to Cohen’s $d$. Authors were contacted to provide additional data if needed. Two studies [19,20] did not provide sufficient data to calculate ITT within-group effect sizes.

**Results**

**Selection and Inclusion of Studies**

A total of 5464 abstracts in MEDLINE (n=1859), Embase (n=1030), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (n=277), PsycINFO (n=1095), PsycTESTS (n=1), and Compendex and Inspec (n=1203) were examined (N=4997 abstracts in total, after removal of duplicates). The majority of records that were excluded addressed nonpsychological technical issues, provided descriptions of mobile apps without outcome data, or were protocol papers or conference abstracts. Of these, 133 full text papers potentially eligible for inclusion were retrieved for further consideration, of which 126 were excluded. Seven trials met inclusion criteria. A further screening for potentially relevant references in recent systematic reviews or meta-analyses and the included studies, individual author names in MEDLINE, and hand-searching of technology journals (Cybertechnology, Behavior and Social Networking; Journal of Medical Internet Research; and Studies in Health Technology and Informatics [January 1, 2008, to May 30, 2013]) and the Beacon website resulted in 95 potentially relevant abstracts and retrieval of 64 additional full text papers for further assessment. Of these, only 1 study met inclusion criteria and was included in the final analysis. In total, 8 trials were identified. These described 5 apps (Mobilyze! [11], mobietype [21,22], DBT Coach [23], Mobile Stress Management [19,20,24], and Get Happy Program [25]) (see Figure 1 for a flowchart of the screening process). There was a high degree of consensus among raters who screened the titles and abstracts (an interrater reliability of 95.2%).

**Characteristics of Included Studies**

A total of 227 participants were recruited across all studies. One study [19] did not provide sufficient information about sample size per treatment arm. Of the 8 included studies, 4 trials describing 3 apps assessed depression (Mobilyze!, mobietype, Get Happy Program), and 3 studies describing 1 app (Mobile Stress Management) assessed stress as a primary outcome measure. Substance use was used as an outcome measure in 1 study (DBT Coach).

Table 1 provides an overview of the included studies (see Multimedia Appendix 5 for the complete version of the table). One study used BA and another used CBT as the therapeutic mode of the intervention. Two studies described a trial delivering emotional self-awareness (ESA), 1 study was based on dialectical behavioral therapy (DBT) and opposite action (ie, emotional regulation skills), and 3 studies described an app delivering stress inoculation training (SIT) as the content of the intervention. Four studies describing 3 trials used an attention-placebo as a control group, 1 study used an active comparison, and 1 study did not specify the nature of the control group. Two studies used a pre-post design without a control group, and all studies except one were feasibility and/or pilot studies. Two studies recruited adults from the community, 1 study recruited from an outpatient clinic, and 2 studies recruited from the workplace. Two studies describing 1 trial recruited adolescents from general practice, and 1 study targeted female university students. Four studies delivered the intervention through a stand-alone mobile app, while 3 studies describing 2 trials used a mobile app alongside a website and EMA to deliver the intervention. One study used a mobile application in conjunction with traditional face-to-face therapy. All included studies delivered the program on a mobile phone, with 1 study also including iPads. Delivery length varied between 6 days and 8 weeks. Five studies assessed posttest outcomes only, whereas 3 studies describing 2 trials undertook follow-up assessments as well (6 weeks and 3 months). Five studies describing 4 apps were guided by mental health professionals through phone or email contact, whereas 3 studies describing 1 app, participants independently navigated their way through the trial.
Figure 1. Flow diagram of participants.

Records identified through database searching (n=5,404)

Additional records identified through other sources (n=90)

Records after duplicates removed (n=5,092)

Records screened (n=5,092)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=107)

Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n=3)

Records excluded (n=4,895)

Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n=1,189):
- No app (n=96)
- No relevant mental health intervention outcome (n=80)
- Conference, protocol or case-study (n=80)
- Non-English (n=3)
Table 1. Psychosocial studies of applications on mobile devices (Intention-To-Treat). App: Application; BA: Behavioral Activation; BCQ: Behavior Confidence Questionnaire; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; BPD: Borderline Personality Disorder; BSI: Brief Symptom Inventory; COPE: COPE Inventory; DASS: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale; DBT: Dialectical Behavior Therapy; ESA: Emotional Self Awareness; F2F: Face-to-Face; GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 item scale; GP: General Practitioner; It: Italian; K10: Kessler Psychological Distress Scale-10 item scale; MDD: Major Depressive Disorder; MHP: Mental Health Professional (psychologist or psychotherapist, GP); MINI: Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview; NA: Not Applicable; OA: Opposite Action; PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire; QIDS-C: Quick Inventory of Depression Symptoms-Clinician Rated; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; SIT: Stress Inoculation Training; STAI: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year); name of app</th>
<th>Trial design</th>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Study sample</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Delivery type</th>
<th>Delivery length and support</th>
<th>Within group effect size (Cohen’s $d$)</th>
<th>Between group effect size (Cohen’s $d$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burns et al (2011); Mobilyze!</td>
<td>Pre-post pilot</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Adults from the community</td>
<td>n=8; BA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mobile app + website + EMA on mobile phone</td>
<td>8 weeks; MHP</td>
<td>PHQ-9: $d=1.95^{a,e,f}$ $d=2.28^{a,e,f}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauer et al (2012); Reid et al (2011); Mobile-type</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Adolescents from general practice</td>
<td>n=68; ESA + Individualized data summary reports + meeting with GP</td>
<td>n=49; Attention control + part of the individualized data summary reports + meeting with GP</td>
<td>Stand-alone mobile app + EMA on mobile phone</td>
<td>8 modules over 2-4 weeks; MHP</td>
<td>GAD-7: $d=1.37^{a,e,f}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizvi et al (2011); DBT Coach</td>
<td>Pre-post pilot</td>
<td>BPD and substance use</td>
<td>Adults from outpatient clinic</td>
<td>N=21; DBT + OA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Mobile app on mobile phone + F2F DBT</td>
<td>10-14 days; MHP</td>
<td>DASS Stress: $d=0.31^{a,f}$ $d=0.59^{b,e,f}$ $d=0.14^{g}$ $d=0.22^{b-g}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villani et al (2012); Mobile Stress Management</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Female oncology nurses</td>
<td>n=8; SIT</td>
<td>n=8; Attention control</td>
<td>Stand-alone mobile app on mobile phone</td>
<td>8 videos over 4 weeks; no support</td>
<td>DASS Depression: $d=0.34$ $d=0.60^{b,e}$ $d=0.11^{g}$ $d=0.09^{b-g}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villani et al (2011); Mobile Stress Management</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Female oncology nurses</td>
<td>n=15; SIT</td>
<td>n=15; Attention control</td>
<td>Stand-alone mobile app on mobile phone</td>
<td>8 videos over 4 weeks; no support</td>
<td>ESA: $d=0.31^{a}$ $d=0.66^{b,d}$ $d=0.09^{a,e,g}$ $d=0.58^{b,d,g}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>BDI: $d=0.55^{a,d,f}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STAI (anxiety trait): $d=0.41^{a,d,f}$ COPE (Active): $d=0.45^{a,d,f}$ COPE (Denial): $d=0.53^{a,d,f}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author (year); name of app</td>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>Primary outcome measure</td>
<td>Study sample</td>
<td>Intervention group</td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Delivery type</td>
<td>Delivery length and support</td>
<td>Within $^f$ and between $^g$ effect size (Cohen's $d$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassi et al (2011); <em>Mobile Stress Management</em></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Female university students</td>
<td>n=not reported; SIT</td>
<td>n=not reported; Control</td>
<td>Stand-alone mobile app on mobile phone</td>
<td>6 videos over 6 days; no support</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts et al (2013); <em>Get Happy Program</em></td>
<td>Pilot RCT</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Adults from the community</td>
<td>n=15; CBT via mobile app</td>
<td>n=20; CBT via computer</td>
<td>Stand-alone mobile app on mobile phone + iPad</td>
<td>6 modules over 8 weeks; MHP</td>
<td>PHQ-9: $d=1.56^{a,e,f}$ $d=-0.14^{a,g}$ $d=1.69^{b,e,f}$ $d=-0.28^{b,g}$ BDI-II: $d=1.90^{a,e,f}$ $d=-0.11^{a,g}$ $d=2.11^{b,e,f}$ $d=0.48^{b,g}$ K10: $d=1.93^{a,e,f}$ $d=0.01^{a,g}$ $d=1.23^{b,e,f}$ $d=0.03^{b,g}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ posttest  
$^b$ follow-up  
$^c$ within immediate coaching session  
$^d$ $P<.05$  
$^e$ $P<.001$  
$^f$ within-group effect size;  
$^g$ between-group effect size

**Quality Assessment**

The quality of the studies varied but was generally low (see Table 2). Three studies describing 2 apps reported adequate sequence generation [21,22,25], whereas 3 studies [19,20,24] did not outline their sequence generation method. Three studies [21,22,25] reported allocation to conditions by an independent (third) party, whereas 3 other studies [19,20,24] did not provide sufficient information on allocation. Two studies that included diagnostic interviews [21,22] reported using blinded outcome assessors, and 4 studies [19,20,24,25] did not report blindling of assessors or used self-report outcome measures. Two studies [11,23] were not eligible for ratings for sequence generation, allocation concealment, or blinding of outcome assessors due to the pre-post study design. In 6 studies [11,21-25], ITT analyses (completeness of follow-up data) were conducted; 1 of these failed to describe dropout rates [23], and only 1 study [11] described reasons for dropout during the intervention. Two studies [19,20] did not state the nature of the statistical analyses or dropout rate at all. Insufficient information and a high risk of bias of selective outcome reporting was present in 3 studies [19,20,23] and 2 studies [21,22] respectively. Three studies [11,23,25] had a high risk of other sources of bias (eg, absence of a control group, possible treatment infidelity) while for 5 studies [19-22,24] the risk of bias from other sources was unclear (due to significant difference at baseline for stress outcome, unequal number of participants in intervention and control group, and insufficient information). None of the included studies met all 6 quality criteria of the Cochrane tool (see Table 2).
Effects of the Mental Health Apps

Depression

Four studies describing 3 mobile apps [11,21,22,25] targeted depression. Burns et al [11] found a significant reduction in depression caseness (Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview [MINI]; \( Z=2.15, \) beta [week]=−.65, \( P=.03 \)), as well as depression and anxiety symptoms at posttest (Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9]: \( d=1.95, P<.001 \); Quick Inventory of Depression Symptoms-Clinician Rated: \( d=2.28, P<.001 \); Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 item scale: \( d=1.37, P<.001 \)) in a pilot test of the guided Mobilyze! app alongside a website and EMA for adults from the general population. The Mobilyze! app will be publicly available for download soon.

In a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of a guided mobiletype app with EMA conducted by Kauer et al [21] and Reid et al [22], no significant differences were found at posttest and follow-up on outcomes of depression, anxiety, and stress among adolescents from general practice compared to an attention control group (Depression and Anxiety Stress Scale [DASS] depression: \( d=0.07, P=.76 \); DASS anxiety: \( d=0.09, P=.69 \)). However, it should be noted that the control group received largely the same intervention as the experimental group, with the exception of two components; ESA training via EMA and minimal feedback reports. Mediator analyses yielded an indirect effect of group on depression via ESA (beta=−0.610, 95% CI −5.596 to −0.003). Significant small to moderate within-group differences over time were found for the intervention group (DASS stress: \( d=0.37 \) at posttest; \( d=0.59 \) at follow-up; DASS anxiety: \( d=0.31 \) at posttest; \( d=0.45 \) at follow-up; DASS depression: \( d=0.34 \) at posttest; \( d=0.64 \) at follow-up) and control group (DASS stress: \( d=0.14 \) at posttest; \( d=0.41 \) at follow-up; DASS anxiety: \( d=0.07 \) at posttest; \( d=0.08 \) at follow-up; DASS depression: \( d=0.42 \) at posttest; \( d=0.61 \) at follow-up). Between-group effect sizes were small and nonsignificant (DASS stress: \( d=0.14 \) at posttest; \( d=0.22 \) at follow-up; DASS Anxiety: \( d=0.25 \) at posttest; \( d=0.07 \) at follow-up; DASS Depression: \( d=0.11 \) at posttest; \( d=0.09 \) at follow-up). The mobiletype app is not publicly available for download to date.

Watts et al [25] found a significant reduction over time (\( P<.001 \)) and large effect sizes in a pilot RCT of a partially guided CBT-based program for depression delivered either via a computer or mobile app (Get Happy Program) (PHQ-9: \( d=1.56 \); Beck Depression Inventory [BDI-II]: \( d=1.90 \); Kessler10 [K10]: \( d=1.93 \)). No differences between the two groups were found for depression over time with \( P>.05 \) (PHQ-9: \( P=.34 \), \( d=−0.14 \); BDI-II: \( P=.52 \), \( d=−0.11 \); K10: \( P=.90 \), \( d=−0.01 \)). The Get Happy app is not publicly available for download to date.

Anxiety/Stress

Three RCTs describing 1 unguided mobile app (Mobile Stress Management) using SIT [19,20,24] found a significant decrease in state and trait anxiety (State and Trait Anxiety Inventory [STAI]) and a significant increase in active coping skills among oncology nurses [20,24] and female university students [19] compared to a control group. Grassi et al [19] used a simplified version of the Mobile Stress Management app, which was also effective for reducing stress. However, both Villani et al [20] and Grassi et al [19] did not provide statistical results for intervention versus control group comparisons. Villani et al [24] reported significant decreases in state anxiety over time (\( F_{1,28}=71.365, P<.001 \)) and a significant group \( \times \) time interaction effect for state anxiety (\( F_{1,28}=27.476, P<.001 \)). Within-group effect sizes (converted from \( t \) test statistics) were small for active coping strategies (COPE Inventory [COPE] Active: \( d=0.42 \), and large for state anxiety (STAI: \( d=0.84 \)) and denial coping strategies (COPE Denial: \( d=1.08 \)). The Mobile Stress Management app is publicly available for download (Italian version only).

Substance Use

A pilot feasibility study aiming to reduce substance use (alcohol, drugs, and tobacco) among adults suffering from borderline personality disorder using a mobile app (DBT Coach [23]) in conjunction with face-to-face DBT therapy, indicated a significant reduction (\( P<.05 \)) within each DBT Coach session in emotional intensity and urge to use substances (\( d=0.52 \) and \( d=0.29 \) respectively). Furthermore, a significant reduction (\( P<.05 \)) in symptoms of depression (BDI: \( P=.014 \), \( d=0.55 \), global symptom severity (Brief Symptom Inventory: \( P=.021 \), \( d=0.43 \), and confidence in participants’ ability to use opposite action (ie, emotion regulation) skills (Behavior Confidence Questionnaire: \( P=.008 \), \( d=0.59 \)) was noted from pre- to post assessment. Multimedia Appendix 5 outlines the ITT.

Table 2. Risk of bias assessed by Cochrane Risk of Bias Toola.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trials</th>
<th>Sequence generation</th>
<th>Allocation concealment</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Incomplete outcome data</th>
<th>Selective outcome reporting</th>
<th>Other sources of bias</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burns et al, 2011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassi et al, 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauer et al, 2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid et al, 2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizvi et al, 2011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villani et al, 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villani et al, 2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts et al, 2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: 0: low risk of bias; 1: insufficient information; 2: high risk of bias; NA: not applicable.
within-group effect sizes. The DBT Coach app is publicly available for download.

**Ecological Momentary Assessment**

Mixed findings were obtained from the 2 studies using EMA as part of the intervention. In the Burns et al [11] study, promising accuracy rates (60-91%) were achieved in predicting categorical contextual states (eg, location) based upon participant EMA entries. For participant states rated on continuous self-report scales (eg, mood), predictive capability was poor. Notwithstanding these technological outcomes, Reid et al [21] and Kauer et al [22] demonstrated that increased self-monitoring with EMA by participants did lead to increased ESA and thereby reduced depressive symptoms.

**Intervention Feasibility and Adherence**

Three studies providing usability and feasibility outcomes (eg, acceptability of the technology, perceived usefulness, perceived utility) reported moderate to high rates of mobile phone usage, feasibility, and participant satisfaction with the intervention [11,23,25]. The dropout rate was reported in 4 studies and varied between 12.5% and 34.3% [11,21,22,25]. Reported reasons for dropout, where described, were mostly due to technical problems [11].

**Discussion**

**Principal Results and Comparison With Prior Work**

In general, the studies included in this systematic review showed promising results for evidence-based mental health apps in reducing depressive symptoms and caseness, stress, anxiety, and substance use, similar to previous reviews of mHealth [6,7]. However, due to the high risk of bias in some studies, these findings need to be considered with caution pending replication. Due to the absence of a control group in 2 studies [11,23], it was difficult to determine whether the beneficial effects were attributable to the app itself, a function of natural remission or regression to the mean, or in case of the DBT Coach app [23], due to the face-to-face DBT therapy offered to all participants in conjunction with the app. Additionally, a clear conclusion about the efficacy of the DBT Coach for substance use treatment cannot be drawn yet, since—besides the absence of a control group—change in substance use (eg, amount of alcohol units per week) prior to or after treatment was not reported, nor was a distinction made between different types of substance use (alcohol, drugs, nicotine cessation). Furthermore, some studies failed to provide sufficient information regarding dropout rates or did not report the statistical analyses used [19,20].

The mobiletype app was the only intervention that failed to yield any significant direct effect on depression, although a significant indirect effect was found in a reduction of depressive symptoms through the direct effect of increased ESA [21]. Because the attention-placebo control group received almost the same intervention as the experimental group, except for the ESA component, the nonsignificant finding is likely to be the cause of this finding. This study suggests that repeated self-monitoring over time using EMA on a mobile device may increase ESA and thereby reduce depressive symptoms. Evidence supports a similar mechanism underlying improvements in depression with CBT, where one of the most important components of CBT for depression involves rating one’s mood and activities in a diary to raise awareness of how activities influence mood states [26]. The development of mobile devices has facilitated the collection of EMA data, thereby providing a portable and convenient delivery mode with which an individual can incorporate EMA and regular mood monitoring in their daily lives and improve ESA as part of treatment for depression. Although EMA shows promising results in predicting categorical contextual states, it needs to be further optimized to be able to accurately predict mood states [11]. Once refined in such a way to maximize accuracy and temporal resolution and minimize bias, EMA holds considerable potential to reveal dynamic interplay between mood, cognition, and behavior, increase participant self-awareness of such processes, and thereby enhance mental health treatment [27]. Together with the use of biomedical and/or activity sensors, timely personalized feedback can be generated to prompt users. mHealth interventions therefore have the potential to improve current depression treatment considerably [10]. In a similar way to guided Internet interventions [28], guided apps might derive larger effect sizes and adherence rates than stand-alone self-help apps, but more research is necessary to elucidate this.

**Usability, Helpfulness, and Satisfaction**

Usability, helpfulness, and satisfaction ratings, where assessed, were moderate to high [11,23,25], indicating that mHealth apps are perceived to be a useful vehicle for enhancing access to evidence-based monitoring and self-help. However, common technical problems (eg, battery failure, connectivity, freezing of app) need to be overcome. Adherence rates (if reported) were high, in line with previous research in mHealth [29], but higher when compared to adherence rates seen with Internet-based interventions [30]. It might be that the method of delivery (mobile phone) and its portability and flexible usage, and/or its delivery of personalized feedback may account for these higher retention rates for mobile apps. However, some of the included studies provided subjects with monetary rewards for participation, which is likely to artificially raise adherence rates as well.

**Sustainability of Results**

Most studies included only posttest assessment or a short-term follow-up (6 weeks). Although 1 study showed sustainable results at 3-month follow-up [25], sustainability of results over a medium- to long-term timeframe requires further investigation and replication. As such, on the basis of current evidence, sustainability of results cannot yet be determined.

Since mental health apps downloadable for use by the general population are increasing rapidly, despite evidence for their efficacy being largely unknown, the focus of this systematic review was on apps only. We applied very stringent inclusion criteria to ensure that we identified the evidence-based mental health apps that could be downloadable in the future by the general public from app stores, for example, Google Play for Google Android [14] or the Apple App Store [15]. Therefore, several highly sophisticated programs using mobile technology were excluded, such as the myCompass program [31] for depression, anxiety, and stress. The CBT-based myCompass
program is delivered via a website with an Internet-enabled mobile phone component and encourages real-time self-monitoring of moods, mood triggers, and lifestyle behaviors using SMS text messaging and email prompts. Other examples of similar programs include an SMS-based txt2quit intervention [32] and a video-based STUB IT intervention [33], both of which have been shown to be effective for smoking cessation, and an SMS-based intervention [34] to increase medication adherence in individuals with schizophrenia. We were also unable to include the innovative INTREPID research [35], which used virtual reality exposure therapy on mobile phones to reduce anxiety.

There are more than 3000 mental health apps for Android, Apple, and Microsoft freely available to download to date, compared to the 8 evidence-based apps we identified through our systematic review. Only 2 of the apps included in this review are currently available for public download, comprising less than 1% of the commercially available apps. A recently published review on existing (commercial) mHealth apps for the most prevalent health conditions in the Global Burden of Disease list provided by the World Health Organization [36] echoes this finding. The authors concluded that the development of mHealth apps was first and foremost driven by commercial and economic motivations rather than scientific motivations behind research. Although the numerous protocols [9,37] and case studies [38,39] we excluded indicate a nascent field of research, the rapid growth and development of thousands of non-evidence-based mental health technologies has generated the need for independent regulation. This is underlined by the alarming findings from previous research [40-42] indicating that only 13-26% of Web-based or app-based interventions for smoking cessation adhere to treatment guidelines. A recent study on commercial apps using EMA for alcohol use echoes these findings [43]. The US Food and Drug Administration has taken an important step towards the development of quality control guidelines for health apps [44], but there are still major issues and dangers concerning the lack of quality control of commercially available mental health apps. Further research and work must be undertaken to develop, test, and disseminate evidence-based mHealth interventions among the public to ensure optimal public health outcomes.

**Limitations**

This review has several limitations. First, despite an extensive search, the number of included studies was small, which restricted our interpretations as to whether mHealth apps have an effect on reducing mental health symptoms. Second, the number of participants in the included studies was small. As a result, the studies were probably underpowered to detect the more subtle effects of the interventions. Furthermore, small sample sizes hamper the precision and accuracy of the statistical results and therefore limit our interpretations [45]. Third, the quality of the included studies was low. Historically, low quality trials yield positive results [46]. Due to the small number of studies, we were unable to examine whether significant differences existed between higher- and lower-quality studies. Fourth, there were no studies that examined the long-term efficacy of mental health apps. Therefore, long-term effects remain as yet unknown. Finally, only studies from peer-reviewed, English language journals were included in this review. However, the effect of language bias has been shown to have a minimal impact on the conclusions of systematic reviews [47].

**Future Research**

There is a very clear need for more research in this area. Trials with an RCT design of high quality to minimize risk of bias are needed to determine the efficacy of mental health apps. Unfortunately, the competitive nature and time-consuming process of grant applications and RCT designs necessary for such high-quality research contrasts sharply with the speed of development in this highly innovative technology. Component testing with small sample sizes may offer one solution to help bridge the gap between academia and real-world applications [48]. Research is particularly weak in the domains of sleep disturbance, anxiety disorders, and smoking cessation and needs further investigation. The cost-effectiveness and cost-utility of mHealth, compared to standard care or Internet-based treatment, requires further examination.

**Conclusions**

In summary, although a firm conclusion cannot yet be drawn, the current systematic review suggests that mobile apps for mental health have the potential to be effective in reducing depression, anxiety, stress, and possibly substance use for individuals experiencing these symptoms. Given the widespread usage of mobile and smartphones and increasing uptake of tablet devices, mHealth has the potential to increase treatment accessibility globally. The difference in the volume of commercial apps compared to the small number of tested evidence-based apps is striking. It warrants the need for public education and further development and research into evidence-based mental health apps and consideration of industry regulation.
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