

Original Paper

Changes in Depressive Symptoms, Social Support, and Loneliness Over 1 Year After a Minimum 3-Month Videoconference Program for Older Nursing Home Residents

Hsiu-Hsin Tsai¹, PhD; Yun-Fang Tsai^{1,2}, PhD

¹School of Nursing, Chang Gung University, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan

²Department of Nursing, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Keelung, Keelung, Taiwan

Corresponding Author:

Yun-Fang Tsai, PhD

School of Nursing

Chang Gung University

259, Wen-Hwa 1st Road, Kwei-Shan

Tao-Yuan, 333

Taiwan

Phone: 886 3 2118800 ext 3204

Fax: 886 3 2118868

Email: yftsai@mail.cgu.edu.tw

Abstract

Background: A 3-month videoconference interaction program with family members has been shown to decrease depression and loneliness in nursing home residents. However, little is known about the long-term effects on residents' depressive symptoms, social support, and loneliness.

Objective: The purpose of this longitudinal quasi-experimental study was to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of a videoconference intervention in improving nursing home residents' social support, loneliness, and depressive status over 1 year.

Methods: We purposively sampled 16 nursing homes in various areas of Taiwan. Elderly residents (N = 90) of these nursing homes meeting our inclusion criteria were divided into an experimental (n = 40) and a comparison (n = 50) group. The experimental group received at least 5 minutes/week for 3 months of videoconference interaction with their family members in addition to usual family visits, and the comparison group received regular family visits only. Data were collected in face-to face interviews on social support, loneliness, and depressive status using the Social Support Behaviors Scale, University of California Los Angeles Loneliness Scale, and Geriatric Depression Scale, respectively, at four times (baseline, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months after baseline). Data were analyzed using the generalized estimating equation approach.

Results: After the videoconferencing program, participants in the experimental group had significantly lower mean change in instrumental social support scores at 6 months ($-0.42, P = .03$) and 12 months ($-0.41, P = .03$), and higher mean change in emotional social support at 3 ($0.74, P < .001$) and 12 months ($0.61, P = .02$), and in appraisal support at 3 months ($0.74, P = .001$) after adjusting for confounding variables. Participants in the experimental group also had significantly lower mean loneliness and depressive status scores at 3 months ($-5.40, P < .001$; $-2.64, P < .001$, respectively), 6 months ($-6.47, P < .001$; $-4.33, P < .001$), and 12 months ($-6.27, P = .001$; $-4.40, P < .001$) compared with baseline than those in the comparison group.

Conclusion: Our videoconference program had a long-term effect in alleviating depressive symptoms and loneliness for elderly residents in nursing homes. This intervention also improved long-term emotional social support and short-term appraisal support, and decreased residents' instrumental social support. However, this intervention had no effect on informational social support.

(*J Med Internet Res* 2011;13(4):e93) doi:[10.2196/jmir.1678](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1678)

KEYWORDS

Videoconference; nursing home; elderly; social support; depression; loneliness

Introduction

Similar to other countries, Taiwan has more and more older people living in nursing homes. The number of nursing homes in Taiwan grew from 10 in 1995 to 372 in 2010 [1], indicating the great need for health care professionals trained in taking care of older institutionalized adults. Nursing home placement has been widely discussed in the literature as a stressful life event that challenges older people [2]. Older people who live in nursing homes have a higher prevalence of depression, which contributes to excessive morbidity [3], than do those who live in communities [4]. This prevalence of depression varied from 25% to 45% in Western countries [5,6] and was 52%–54% in Taiwan [7-9]. Many nursing home residents also experience loneliness [10], which has been associated with cognitive deterioration and hopelessness [11]. Thus, interventions have been used to enhance the quality of life in this group of people [12].

Among these interventions, social support is one of top importance because the social support systems older people use are closely related, both in quality and in quantity, to their health and quality of life [13,14]. Social support behavior falls into four categories: emotional, instrumental, informational, and appraisal support. Emotional support or affective assistance involves providing caring, empathy, love, and trust. Instrumental support refers to providing tangible goods and services or tangible aid, while informational support involves assistance with problem solving. Appraisal support or so-called affirmational support involves communicating information that is relevant to self-evaluation, rather than to problem solving [15,16].

Although most nursing home residents have become functionally dependent due to poor physical health, their psychosocial needs do not decrease [17]. In other words, social support is meaningful to them because it may provide emotional comfort. One important aspect of social support for older nursing home residents is continuous involvement of family members. However, one-third of nursing home residents were found to seldom have visitors [18]. If those older adults relocated to another nursing home, they had even fewer visitors [19]. However, support is not limited to in-person visits. Though family members may have limited time to visit residents in person, telephone calls can reduce residents' loneliness [20]. With rapid advances in telecommunication technology, real-time audiovisual linkups are now possible among multiple locations via affordable software and hardware [21]. Providing real-time audiovisual telecommunication systems to nursing home residents in Hong Kong [21] and Japan [22] has been shown to add a new dimension for the majority who lack the skills and capacities to adapt to the nursing home environment.

The benefits of videoconferencing in medicine have been recognized as a feasible way of delivering care to frail older people living with chronic diseases [20]. Videoconferencing has also been demonstrated as a feasible way to promote social interactions among nonspeaking people living in communities [20] and between the frail elderly and their caregivers [23]. Elderly nursing home residents in Taiwan were shown by Tsai

and colleagues [24] to have significantly fewer depressive symptoms and less loneliness after a 3-month program of 5 minutes/week of videoconference interactions with family members. These studies demonstrate that videoconferencing is a feasible way for individuals living either in the community or in institutions to communicate. However, those studies had small sample sizes or used a cross-sectional design. Therefore, larger longitudinal studies are needed to make causal claims about the relationship between the effectiveness of videoconferencing and participants' depressive status and loneliness and to improve the generalizability of results.

To date, no empirical studies have used a longitudinal design to examine the effectiveness of videoconferencing for nursing home residents in Taiwan. Understanding the effectiveness of videoconferencing in Taiwanese nursing homes would fill the knowledge gap on this topic. Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the long-term follow-up effectiveness of a videoconference intervention program on nursing home residents' social support, loneliness, and depressive status after a minimum 3-month videoconference program.

Methods

Design, Sample, and Setting

A quasi-experimental longitudinal design was used. Nursing homes from northern and central Taiwan were purposively selected based on three criteria: size (>65 beds), with Internet access, and accessible to the researchers. Among 23 medium-large nursing homes that met the recruitment criteria, 7 declined to participate in our study. The remaining 16 nursing homes (total beds = 2190) were therefore randomly assigned to the comparison or experimental group.

The sample size was estimated based on the rule that 15 participants were needed for each variable [25]. Since we tested three major variables (depression, loneliness, and social support), the ideal sample size for this study was 45. Residents in the 16 nursing homes were recruited if they met the following criteria: (1) older than 60 years, (2) Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥ 16 for participants with no formal education or MMSE score > 20 for those with at least a primary school education [26,27], and (3) wireless Internet access on their residence floor. Residents' family members had to have access to the Internet and be familiar with Internet communication programs such as Skype. These inclusion criteria were met by 423 residents, who were invited along with their family members to participate in the study. Family members of the majority of residents ($n = 333$, 78.7%) declined to participate, resulting in 50 participants in the comparison group and 40 in the experimental group. Residents in the experimental group used videoconferencing to communicate with their families plus their usual communication activities, whereas residents in the comparison group maintained their usual activities.

Videoconference Program

The videoconference program used laptops and Internet communication programs. Nursing home residents were asked to use the Internet at least once a week, with help from a trained research assistant, who spent at least 5 minutes per week with

each resident for the first 3 months during their scheduled videoconference visit. This weekly frequency was chosen to reflect the frequency of in-person visits to a nursing home resident for the majority of families [28,29], and 3 months was chosen to allow them time to adjust to the new videoconference program [30,31]. After 3 months, whenever residents wanted to have a video communication with their family, they were helped by the nursing home staff (nurses or nurses' aides) who were trained by the authors. The residents' main family contact person was a spouse, child, grandchild, or significant other. The communication programs used were Windows Live Messenger (MSN; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) or Skype (Skype Technologies SA, Luxembourg) via a 2 M/256 K wireless modem run on a large-screen (15.6 inch) laptop.

Procedure

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the authors' institution. After permission was granted from the nursing homes' administrators, details of our research procedure were posted at the entrance of each nursing home. This announcement indicated that residents or family members interested in participating in the study could directly contact the study personnel or nursing home staff. We also asked nursing home staff to talk with residents who met our study criteria and their family members about their willingness to participate in this study. Those who were interested in participation were contacted by the research assistant, who explained their rights, benefits, confidentiality, and responsibilities when participating in the study. After signing informed consent, residents and family members made appointments for videoconferences. Family members were phoned or emailed before the scheduled time to remind them of the appointment. The family of residents in the experimental group could continue their in-person or telephone visits as usual. Laptops were left in the nursing homes for 1 year. For the first 3 months, residents were helped by a trained research assistant to use the videoconference technology in a private room; for the next 9 months, residents were helped by trained nursing home staff. All residents in both the experimental and comparison groups completed questionnaires for demographic information (baseline only), depressive symptoms, loneliness, and social support at baseline and at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.

Study Variables

Demographic indicators included participants' age, gender, marital status, educational background, duration of residency in the nursing home, and frequency of family visits. Residents' physical status and cognitive status were measured at baseline using the Barthel Index [32], which assesses performance of activities of daily living (ADLs), and MMSE [26], respectively. The MMSE cut-off score for severe cognitive deficit is ≥ 16 for participants without formal education and ≥ 20 for those with at least a primary school education [27].

Depressive status was measured by the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [33]. The GDS has 30 items with a yes/no response set. Possible scores range from 0 to 30. The GDS cut-off score for depressive symptom is >10 for mild depression and >20 for severe depression. The reliability of the GDS in a previous study

of Taiwanese nursing home elderly was 0.91 [24] and in this study was 0.84.

Loneliness was measured by the revised University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale [34]. Responses to the 10 items on the UCLA Loneliness Scale are rated on a 4-point Likert scale from 1 (never) to 4 (always). Possible scores range from 20 to 80, with higher scores indicating higher perceived loneliness. The reliability of the UCLA Loneliness Scale was 0.87 in a study of institutionalized elderly in Taiwan [35] and was 0.92 in the current study.

Social support was measured by the Social Support Behaviors Scale with three subscales: social support network, quantity of social support, and satisfaction with social support [36]. Social support network was measured by the number of family members or friends who contacted residents and the number of contacts (either by phone or in person) during the previous week. The quantity of social support was measured by asking participants to rate each social support behavior (emotional, informational, instrumental, and appraisal support) offered by different providers (spouse, children, relatives, neighbors, and friends). Responses to this 14-item subscale are rated on a 5-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating more support from each social resource. The subscale reliabilities for social support network, quantity of social support, and satisfaction with social support were 0.71, 0.92, and 0.77, respectively in Taiwanese nursing home residents [24], and 0.72, 0.89, and 0.79, respectively, in this study.

Family involvement with residents was confirmed by asking nursing home staff to record the number of visits and phone calls made to the residents. The duration of each videoconference interaction during the year was recorded by either the research assistant or nursing staff. Videoconference use was coded as the frequency of all videoconference interactions per month.

Data Analysis

All data were coded before being entered into a computer. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 15.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Participants' demographic data were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Differences between groups were compared at four points (baseline, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months) using multiple linear regression with the generalized estimating equation (GEE) method [37]. That is, we used the GEE method's multiple linear regression model (with the time and group interaction) to compare the time effects between two groups with or without adjusting for the effects of confounding variables such as resident's age and length of nursing home residency. Statistical significance was defined as $P < .05$.

Results

Participants' Characteristics

The 40 participants in the experimental group were on average 73.82 (SD 11.19) years old at baseline. The experimental group's use of videoconferencing decreased over time at 3, 6, and 12 months: mean (SD) 2.09 (1.46), 1.69 (1.37), and 1.14 (1.22), respectively. However, this decrease was not statistically

significant. The majority of participants were female (22/40, 55%) and widowed (29/40, 73%), and 35% (14/40) had graduated from primary school. Their average MMSE and Barthel Index scores at baseline were 23.51 (SD 3.93) and 65.68 (SD 22.55), respectively, indicating good cognitive status and above average performance of ADLs. They had an average of

3.69 (SD 2.09) children. About half of these participants (22/40, 55%) were visited by a family member at least once a week, and only 18% (7/40) seldom (less than once a month) had a family member visit them. Their average length of residency was 28.38 (SD 30.79) months (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of experimental and comparison groups

Variable	Comparison group (n = 50)		Experimental group (n = 40)		<i>t</i> (<i>P</i> value)	
	n (%)	Mean (SD)	n (%)	Mean (SD)	χ^2 (df ^a ; <i>P</i> value)	df ^a = 88
Age (years)		79.26 (7.07)		73.82 (11.19)		10.78 (.01)
Gender					0.2 (1; .63)	
Male	20 (40)		18 (45)			
Female	30 (60)		22 (55)			
Marital status					6.6 (3; .16)	
Single	1 (2)		2 (5)			
Married	20 (40)		8 (20)			
Divorced	3 (6)		1 (2)			
Widowed	26 (52)		29 (73)			
Education					12.7 (4; .06)	
None/illiterate	29 (58)		9 (23)			
Primary	8 (16)		14 (35)			
Junior high school	2 (4)		2 (5)			
Senior high school	8 (16)		11 (28)			
≥ College	3 (6)		4 (10)			
Number of children		3.90 (2.05)		3.69 (2.09)		0.10 (.64)
Residency (months)		29.32 (28.58)		28.38 (30.79)		0.04 (.87)
Barthel Index		63.10 (23.62)		65.68 (22.55)		0.16 (.61)
MMSE		22.22 (3.93)		23.51 (3.93)		0.05 (.13)
In-person visits						
None/seldom	7 (14)		7 (18)			
Monthly	5 (10)		11 (28)			
Weekly (>2/month)	34 (68)		18 (45)			
Daily (>5/week)	4 (8)		4 (10)			
Telephone calls (number/week)						
0	36 (72)		24 (60)			
1	10 (20)		8 (20)			
2–6	3 (6)		6 (15)			
≥7	1 (2)		2 (5)			

^a Degrees of freedom.


The 50 participants in the comparison group were on average 79.26 (SD 7.07) years old at baseline. The majority were female (30/50, 60%), had no formal education (29/50, 58%), and were widowed (26/50, 52%). Their average MMSE and Barthel Index scores were 22.22 (SD 3.93) and 63.10 (SD 23.62), respectively, indicating good cognitive status and above average performance of ADLs. Their average number of children was 3.90 (SD 2.05).

Most participants (38/50, 76%) were visited by a family member at least once a week and 14% (7/50) seldom had a family member visit them. Their average length of residency was 29.32 (SD 28.58) months (Table 1). The experimental and comparison group did not differ significantly in any demographic characteristics except for age ($t = 10.78$, $P = .01$).

During the 12-month study, 13 participants in the experimental group withdrew from the study (including 5 who declined to continue participating, 2 who relocated back home, and 3 who died), with an attrition rate of 33%. In the comparison group, 22 participants dropped out (including 5 who died, 12 who

relocated back home, and 4 who developed cognitive deficits), with an attrition rate of 44% (Figure 1). Participants who dropped out and those who remained in the study did not differ significantly in any demographic characteristics.

Figure 1. Attrition of participants in the two groups over the 1-year study period.



Outcomes

Descriptive analysis of outcomes shows that both groups had the highest social support scores for the informational and instrumental social support subscales. The mean GDS scores for depressive status at baseline, and 3, 6, and 12 months were 12.75, 11.57, 12.85, and 13.00, respectively, for the experimental group, and 10.52, 10.56, 14.41, and 15.04, respectively, for the

comparison group. These GDS scores did not differ significantly by independent *t* test between the two groups at any time (Table 2). Mean UCLA loneliness scores at baseline, and 3, 6, and 12 months were 49.70, 44.54, 46.21, and 45.92, respectively, for the experimental group, and 45.76, 45.59, 47.81, and 48.32, respectively, for the comparison group. The mean loneliness scores did not differ significantly between the two groups at any time (Table 2).

Table 2. Social support (Social Support Behaviors Scale), depressive status (Geriatric Depression Scale), and loneliness (University of California Los Angeles Loneliness Scale) scores by group at baseline, and 3, 6, and 12 months

Variable	Comparison group (n = 50)		Experimental group (n = 40)		<i>t</i> (<i>P</i> value) <i>df</i> ^a = 88
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Social support: emotional support					
Baseline	9.76	1.69	9.49	1.57	0.78 (.59)
3 months	9.40	1.55	9.71	1.72	-0.80 (.66)
6 months	9.24	1.28	9.30	1.67	-0.14 (.24)
12 months	8.96	0.98	9.31	1.80	-2.30 (.04)
Social support: informational support					
Baseline	10.66	1.82	11.13	1.48	-1.31 (.21)
3 months	10.62	1.20	11.31	1.41	-2.33 (.02)
6 months	10.60	1.55	11.02	1.43	-1.08 (.61)
12 months	10.28	1.32	10.76	1.63	-1.16 (.25)
Social support: instrumental support					
Baseline	10.40	1.05	10.50	1.22	-0.38 (.33)
3 months	10.36	0.84	10.35	1.26	0.05 (.95)
6 months	10.53	1.05	10.31	1.14	0.77 (.51)
12 months	10.13	0.83	10.01	1.17	0.45 (.12)
Social support: appraisal support					
Baseline	9.25	1.60	9.03	1.25	0.73 (.14)
3 months	8.83	1.26	9.30	1.32	-1.59 (.12)
6 months	8.98	1.28	9.30	1.58	-0.87 (.39)
12 months	8.70	1.22	9.26	1.67	-1.42 (.16)
Total social support					
Baseline	141.54	18.64	141.85	17.26	-0.08 (.93)
3 months	139.00	14.95	143.11	18.36	-1.07 (.28)
6 months	139.55	16.08	140.43	18.02	-0.20 (.63)
12 months	134.08	12.74	138.08	19.36	-0.85 (.40)
Depressive status					
Baseline	10.52	4.06	12.75	5.50	1.94 (.06)
3 months	10.56	3.89	11.57	5.27	-0.96 (.34)
6 months	14.41	4.93	12.85	5.35	1.16 (.25)
12 months	15.04	4.61	13.00	4.50	1.60 (.11)
Loneliness					
Baseline	45.76	9.73	49.70	10.25	-1.85 (.09)
3 months	45.59	9.40	44.54	12.68	0.41 (.68)
6 months	47.81	9.97	46.21	11.87	0.57 (.56)
12 months	48.32	10.17	45.92	12.14	0.78 (.47)

^a Degrees of freedom.

Time effects between the two groups were compared using the GEE method's multiple linear regression. As shown in Table 3, the average UCLA Loneliness Scale score of the experimental group was higher at baseline than that of the comparison group (beta = 3.94, *P* = .09). On the other hand, the changes in UCLA

Loneliness Scale scores for the experimental group at 3, 6, and 12 months (compared with baseline) were significantly lower (-4.84, -6.46, and -6.42, respectively, all *P* < .001) than the corresponding changes in loneliness scores for the comparison group (-0.31, 2.81, and 2.77, respectively, with corresponding

P values = .55, .02, and .02) (Table 3). Moreover, after adjusting for the effects of residents' age and length of residency, all aforementioned results remained almost the same (right side of Table 3). Similarly, after controlling for residents' age and

length of residency, the changes in GDS scores were on average significantly lower in the experimental group than in the comparison group at 3, 6, or 12 months (beta = -2.64, -4.33, and -4.40, respectively, all *P* < .001).

Table 3. Effects of videoconference intervention on participants' depressive status and loneliness at 3, 6, and 12 months in consideration of group, time, and time × group effects

Variable	Unadjusted				Adjusted ^a				
	beta	SE	χ^2_1	<i>P</i> value	beta	SE	χ^2_1	<i>P</i> value	
Depressive status									
Group									
E vs C ^b	2.22	1.03	5.0	.06	2.26	1.00	5.1	50 .	
Time (vs B^c)									
3 months	0.97	0.33	8.9	<.001	0.99	0.32	9.3	<.001	
6 months	6.95	0.63	123.4	<.001	6.99	0.63	142.7	<.001	
12 months	7.64	0.70	118.9	<.001	7.71	0.70	119.6	<.001	
Time × group^d									
3 months	-1.36	0.56	6.0	.02	-2.64	0.57	21.3	100.<	
6 months	-4.50	0.97	21.6	<.001	-4.33	1.03	17.6	100.<	
12 months	-4.45	0.89	24.9	<.001	-4.40	0.92	23.1	100.<	
Loneliness									
Group									
E vs C ^b	3.94	2.10	3.5	.09	3.27	2.23	2.2	.14	
Time (vs B^c)									
3 months	-0.31	0.53	0.4	.55	-0.31	0.53	0.3	65 .	
6 months	2.81	1.23	5.2	.02	2.81	1.23	5.2	20 .	
12 months	2.77	1.22	5.2	.02	2.78	1.23	5.1	20 .	
Time × group^d									
3 months	-4.84	1.14	18.0	<.001	-5.40	1.22	19.6	<.001	
6 months	-6.46	1.64	15.4	<.001	-6.47	1.70	14.5	<.001	
12 months	-6.42	1.64	15.3	<.001	-6.27	1.94	10.5	.001	

^a Adjusted for residents' age and length of residency.

^b C: comparison group, E: experimental group.

^c B: baseline measurement.

^d Group 0: comparison group (reference group), group 1: experimental group.

This study had nine outcome variables of interest (depressive status, loneliness, total social support, emotional support, informational support, instrumental support, appraisal support, number of phone calls, and number of visits). Each outcome variable was analyzed exactly as in Table 3, but for each variable, we were mainly interested in comparing the time effects between the experimental and comparison groups. For simplicity, changes in only the time and group interaction terms are summarized in Table 4. The changes in appraisal and emotional social support scores at 3 months, after adjusting for

the effects of residents' age and length of residency, were on average significantly higher in the experimental group than the corresponding changes in the comparison group (both beta = 0.74, *P* = .001 and *P* < .001). The changes in instrumental social support scores at 6 and 12 months were on average significantly lower in the experimental group than in the comparison group (beta = -0.42 and -0.41, respectively, both *P* = .03) after adjusting for the effects of residents' age and length of residency (Table 4).

Table 4. Effects of videoconference intervention on participants' social support, depressive status, and loneliness at 3, 6, and 12 months in consideration of time \times group effects

Variable	Unadjusted				Adjusted ^a			
	beta	SE	χ^2_1	P value	beta	SE	χ^2_1	p value
Total social support (time \times group^b)								
3 months	3.71	2.10	3.1	.08	3.63	2.10	3.0	.09
6 months	-0.58	2.50	0.1	.82	-0.84	2.52	0.1	.74
12 months	-0.05	2.73	0.0	.99	-0.48	2.74	0.0	.86
Emotional support (time \times group^b)								
3 months	0.60	0.19	10.1	.001	0.74	0.19	15.3	<.001
6 months	0.32	0.26	1.5	.23	0.40	0.28	2.1	.15
12 months	0.47	0.25	3.5	.06	0.61	0.26	5.3	.02
Informational support (time \times group^b)								
3 months	-0.00	0.23	0.0	>.99	0.15	0.25	0.4	.53
6 months	-0.29	0.27	1.2	.28	-0.24	0.31	0.6	.44
12 months	-0.34	0.31	1.2	.28	-0.18	0.36	0.3	.62
Instrumental support (time \times group^b)								
3 months	-0.20	0.14	2.0	.16	-0.14	0.15	0.9	.34
6 months	-0.47	0.18	6.7	.01	-0.42	0.19	4.7	.03
12 months	-0.41	0.19	4.9	.03	-0.41	0.19	4.6	.03
Appraisal support (time \times group^b)								
3 months	0.66	0.22	9.3	.002	0.74	0.22	10.8	.001
6 months	0.37	0.24	2.3	.13	0.43	0.26	2.8	.10
12 months	0.57	0.31	3.5	.06	0.58	0.32	3.3	.07
Depressive status (time \times group^b)								
3 months	-1.36	0.56	6.0	.02	-2.64	0.57	21.3	<.001
6 months	-4.50	0.97	21.6	<.001	-4.33	1.03	17.6	<.001
12 months	-4.45	0.89	24.9	<.001	-4.40	0.92	23.1	<.001
Loneliness (time \times group^b)								
3 months	-4.84	1.14	18.0	<.001	-5.40	1.22	19.6	<.001
6 months	-6.46	1.64	15.4	<.001	-6.47	1.70	14.5	<.001
12 months	-6.42	1.64	15.3	<.001	-6.27	1.94	10.5	.001
Number of telephone calls (time \times group^b)								
3 months	0.28	0.11	6.3	.01	0.28	0.12	5.8	.02
6 months	0.22	0.14	2.5	.11	0.20	0.15	1.7	.19
12 months	-0.01	0.15	0.0	.97	0.01	0.16	0.0	.95
Number of visits (time \times group^b)								
3 months	-0.08	0.07	1.6	.21	-0.08	0.07	1.2	.27
6 months	0.05	0.08	0.4	.53	0.03	0.08	0.2	.70
12 months	0.05	0.08	0.4	.55	0.04	0.08	0.2	.67

^a Adjusted for residents' age and length of residency.

^b Group 0: comparison group (reference group), group 1: experimental group.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that our videoconference intervention alleviated elderly nursing home residents' perceived loneliness and improved their depressive status at 3, 6, and 12 months after the intervention. However, instrumental social support decreased at 6 and 12 months after the intervention.

Our 1-year attrition rate was high (35/90, 39%), as previously reported in similar longitudinal studies [38,39]. For example, an attrition rate of 39.5% was reported in a study on the effects of Internet use on health and depression [39]. Our attrition rate at 6 months (28/90, 31%) was also similar to the 6-month attrition rate (22.90%) reported for a study of elderly nursing home residents in Taiwan [38]. Among the reasons for case loss in our study, 16% (14/90) were discharged home, close to 15.26% as previously reported [38]. The majority of discharges were because the residents' families could not pay for the nursing home during the data collection period, which coincided with an economic depression in Taiwan. Other residents were discharged home because they were healthier than at admission.

Our research found that videoconferencing effectively improved elderly residents' depressive status at 3, 6, and 12 months. These results are consistent with a previous report [39] that using the Internet for communication with friends and family was associated with small but reliable decreases in depression. However, our study results are different from another report [40] of no significant difference in depression and loneliness among older adults after 5 months of training to access the Internet and email. In that study, however, participants were only trained to access the Internet, not to specifically contact family members or significant others [40]. The results of a previous study of institutionalized older Chinese adults [8] indicate that only family members can comfort these residents and reduce their depression and loneliness. This finding likely explains why depression and loneliness did not significantly change after intervention in White and colleagues' study [40]. In our program, not only were elderly residents shown how to use the Internet, but also appointments were arranged for them to communicate with their family members, who provide the majority of social support to Chinese elders and therefore reduce their depressive symptoms [8].

We found that videoconferencing effectively improved elderly residents' loneliness at 3, 6, and 12 months. These results are consistent with those of another study done in the United States [41] showing that loneliness was significantly reduced in 22 community-dwelling elderly people after 4 months of computer use. These results might be due to videoconference use providing a "social presence" to older adults [42]. For elderly residents in nursing homes, videoconferencing might add color to their lives. These results suggest that videoconference use is a good way to reduce loneliness of the elderly in both the community and institutions.

Our research found that videoconferencing, a computer-mediated communication, had no effects on instrumental social support at the 3-month data collection time, as previously reported [43,44]. However, we found that instrumental social support decreased significantly over time, but not the number of family members' in-person visits. In other words, family members

provided less instrumental social support in terms of specific items and assistance, even though they kept visiting the elderly residents in person. After a long stay in a nursing home, elderly residents tend to adapt to the environment and not need extra items for daily life, since such things are provided by the nursing home. Thus, family members might not see instrumental social support as the best way to show their filial piety, or the elders might not ask family members to bring them things. These possibilities need to be examined in future studies.

Our videoconference intervention also improved emotional social support at 3 and 12 months and appraisal support after 3 months. The effect of videoconferencing on appraisal and emotional support at 3 months is similar to our previous study [24]. The lack of intervention effects on appraisal social support at 6 and 12 months might be due to decreased novelty and quality of videoconferencing. Although videoconferencing is a convenient way to connect with people at a distance, videoconferencing alone cannot improve the quality and amount of communications between people. In particular, when communicators view the communication as an obligation, they might feel bored, shorten the communication, or show an unpleasant attitude or tone.

From this point of view, we suggest that nursing home administrators increase the quality of communication by developing an interaction program such as arranging for family members to have a meal with residents at the nursing home and have a meal together via videoconference. One explanation for the long-term (12 months) decrease in emotional social support might be that nursing home residents feel safe or comforted by using videoconferencing as an alternative "social presence" so that they can immediately see their family member, even at a distance. Videoconferencing may offer them a chance to be part of family life. They also might feel comforted by seeing their family member's actual state and would not worry that the family member was hiding a problem to allay anxiety if he or she could not visit [45].

The use of videoconference visits decreased over time. This decreased use of videoconferencing was likely due to a loss in the novelty of videoconferencing, lack of staff to help the residents operate the devices, and a need to remind family members to use videoconferencing (busy family members tended to forget to use videoconferencing). However, these possible reasons for decreased videoconference use need to be supported by further research. Furthermore, we found that videoconference use was high in some nursing homes, especially for those residents with relatives living overseas. However, our data were not significant due to the small sample size from each nursing home. We found no studies on the relationships between videoconference use and the characteristics of nursing home residents' families. We therefore suggest that future research explore the relationships between videoconference use and characteristics of nursing home residents' family members, factors influencing videoconference use, effects of videoconferencing on the health of elderly residents and their families, and the cost effectiveness of the videoconference program.

Although the experimental and control groups did not differ significantly in any dependent variable at any time point, the experimental group showed significant changes in depression, loneliness, and two social support measures over time compared with the control group after controlling for residents' age and length of residency. The independent *t* test used to compare the results for each outcome variable at each measurement time point (Table 2) did not benefit from the strength of repeated measurements within participants. One possible reason that the independent *t* test did not show significant differences in mean outcome measurements between groups is that it did not include other repeated measurements from the same participants. Another possible reason is that the sample was too small to detect significant differences. On the other hand, the results of GEE analysis showed significant incremental changes in the dependent variables over time due to the likely impact of within-participant's repeated measurements.

Our research also showed that, after adjustment for residents' age and length of residency, the time effects between the experimental and comparison groups remained the same for all outcome variables except emotional social support at 12 months. In other words, after adjustment for time and group effects, age and length of residency had almost no significant impact on all outcome variables, except emotional social support at 12 months.

Furthermore, the outcome variables of loneliness, lack of social support, and depression might have been associated with each other. However, when we analyzed each variable by GEE method with and without controlling for other variables, we found that the trends were not affected (data not shown). Further research is recommended to explore the associations among these variables and their possible impact on the time effects.

Conclusion

Acknowledgments

Our videoconferencing program was funded by the National Science Council, an agency of the Taiwan government (NSC97-2314-B-182-018), to Hsiu-Hsin Tsai, Principle Investigator. We thank Professor Hsiu-Hung Wang, Professor Hao-Hua Chu, and Associate Professor Hsu-Min Tseng for their contributions to the program development. We also thank Professor Yue-Cune Chang for statistical consultation.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared

References

1. Taiwan Association of Long-Term Care Professionals. 2010. Institution of long-term care in Taiwan URL: <http://www.ttcpa.org.tw/org/org.php> [accessed 2011-10-05] [WebCite Cache ID 62CyNNjV3]
2. Haight BK, Michel Y, Hendrix S. Life review: preventing despair in newly relocated nursing home residents short- and long-term effects. *Int J Aging Hum Dev* 1998;47(2):119-142. [Medline: 9836092]
3. Parmelee PA, Katz IR, Lawton MP. Incidence of depression in long-term care settings. *J Gerontol* 1992 Nov;47(6):M189-M196. [Medline: 1430853]
4. Gueldner SH, Loeb S, Morris D, Penrod J, Bramlett M, Johnston L, et al. A comparison of life satisfaction and mood in nursing home residents and community-dwelling elders. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 2001 Oct;15(5):232-240. [doi: 10.1053/apnu.2001.27020] [Medline: 11584352]
5. McCurren C, Dowe D, Rattle D, Looney S. Depression among nursing home elders: testing an intervention strategy. *Appl Nurs Res* 1999 Nov;12(4):185-195. [Medline: 10589107]
6. Brodaty H, Draper B, Saab D, Low LF, Richards V, Paton H, et al. Psychosis, depression and behavioural disturbances in Sydney nursing home residents: prevalence and predictors. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2001 May;16(5):504-512 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 11376467]
7. Lin LC, Wang TG, Chen MY, Wu SC, Portwood MJ. Depressive symptoms in long-term care residents in Taiwan. *J Adv Nurs* 2005 Jul;51(1):30-37 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03457.x] [Medline: 15941458]
8. Tsai YF, Chung JW, Wong TK, Huang CM. Comparison of the prevalence and risk factors for depressive symptoms among elderly nursing home residents in Taiwan and Hong Kong. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005 Apr;20(4):315-321. [doi: 10.1002/gps.1281] [Medline: 15799085]
9. Tsai YF, Wong TK, Tsai HH, Ku YC. Self-worth therapy for depressive symptoms in older nursing home residents. *J Adv Nurs* 2008 Dec;64(5):488-494. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04804.x] [Medline: 19146517]
10. Yeh SH, Lin LW, Lo SK. A longitudinal evaluation of nursing home care quality in Taiwan. *J Nurs Care Qual* 2003;18(3):209-216. [Medline: 12856905]
11. Hicks TJ. What is your life like now? Loneliness and elderly individuals residing in nursing homes. *J Gerontol Nurs* 2000 Aug;26(8):15-19. [Medline: 11276607]
12. York JL, Calsyn RJ. Family involvement in nursing homes. *Gerontologist* 1977 Dec;17(6):500-505. [Medline: 924171]
13. Shin JK, Kim KW, Park JH, Lee JJ, Huh Y, Lee SB, et al. Impacts of poor social support on general health status in community-dwelling Korean elderly: the results from the Korean longitudinal study on health and aging. *Psychiatry Investig* 2008 Sep;5(3):155-162. [doi: 10.4306/pi.2008.5.3.155] [Medline: 20046359]

14. Wang HH, Wu SZ, Liu YY. Association between social support and health outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* 2003 Jul;19(7):345-351. [doi: [10.1016/S1607-551X\(09\)70436-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1607-551X(09)70436-X)] [Medline: [12926520](#)]
15. Barrera MJ. Social support in the adjustment of pregnant adolescents: assessment issues. In: Gottlieb BH, editor. *Social Networks and Social Support*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage; 1981:69-96.
16. Langford CP, Bowsher J, Maloney JP, Lillis PP. Social support: a conceptual analysis. *J Adv Nurs* 1997 Jan;25(1):95-100. [Medline: [9004016](#)]
17. Williams K, Kemper S, Hummert ML. Improving nursing home communication: an intervention to reduce elderspeak. *Gerontologist* 2003 Apr;43(2):242-247. [Medline: [12677081](#)]
18. Gueldner SH, Clayton GM, Schroeder MA, Butler S, Ray J. Environmental interaction patterns among institutionalized and non-institutionalized older adults. *Phys Occup Ther Geriatr* 1993;11(1):37-53.
19. Barry JT, Miller DB. The nursing home visitor: who, when, where and for how long? *Long Term Care Health Serv Adm Q* 1980;4(4):261-274. [Medline: [10249087](#)]
20. Hine N, Arnott JL. A multimedia social interaction service for inclusive community living: initial user trials. *Univ Access Inf Soc* 2002;2:8-17. [doi: [10.1007/s10209-002-0032-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-002-0032-8)]
21. Hui E, Woo J, Hjelm M, Zhang YT, Tsui HT. Telemedicine: a pilot study in nursing home residents. *Gerontology* 2001;47(2):82-87. [Medline: [11287732](#)]
22. Nakamura K, Takano T, Akao C. The effectiveness of videophones in home healthcare for the elderly. *Med Care* 1999 Feb;37(2):117-125. [Medline: [10024116](#)]
23. Savolainen L, Hanson E, Magnusson L, Gustavsson T. An Internet-based videoconferencing system for supporting frail elderly people and their carers. *J Telemed Telecare* 2008;14(2):79-82. [doi: [10.1258/jtt.2007.070601](https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2007.070601)] [Medline: [18348753](#)]
24. Tsai HH, Tsai YF, Wang HH, Chang YC, Chu HH. Videoconference program enhances social support, loneliness, and depressive status of elderly nursing home residents. *Aging Ment Health* 2010 Nov;14(8):947-954. [doi: [10.1080/13607863.2010.501057](https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2010.501057)] [Medline: [21069600](#)]
25. Mertens DM. *Research Methods in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity with Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1998.
26. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975 Nov;12(3):189-198. [Medline: [1202204](#)]
27. Liu GK, Tai DD, Lin RT, Lai CL. Epidemiology of dementia in Taiwan [in Mandarin]. *Res Appl Psychol* 2000;7:157-169.
28. Bitzan JE, Kruzich JM. Interpersonal relationships of nursing home residents. *Gerontologist* 1990 Jun;30(3):385-390. [Medline: [2354798](#)]
29. Port CL, Gruber-Baldini AL, Burton L, Baumgarten M, Hebel JR, Zimmerman SI, et al. Resident contact with family and friends following nursing home admission. *Gerontologist* 2001 Oct;41(5):589-596. [Medline: [11574703](#)]
30. Brooke V. How elders adjust. *Geriatr Nurs* 1989;10(2):66-68. [Medline: [2703148](#)]
31. Mikhail ML. Psychological responses to relocation to a nursing home. *J Gerontol Nurs* 1992 Mar;18(3):35-39. [Medline: [1556396](#)]
32. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: the Barthel Index. *Md State Med J* 1965 Feb;14:61-65. [Medline: [14258950](#)]
33. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res* 1982;17(1):37-49. [Medline: [7183759](#)]
34. Russell D, Peplau LA, Cutrona CE. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1980 Sep;39(3):472-480. [Medline: [7431205](#)]
35. Tsai YF. Self-care management and risk factors for depressive symptoms among Taiwanese institutionalized older persons. *Nurs Res* 2007;56(2):124-131. [doi: [10.1097/01.NNR.0000263973.31748.0c](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NNR.0000263973.31748.0c)] [Medline: [17356443](#)]
36. Hsiung HF. *Health Status, Social Support and Related Factors Among Community-Dwelling Elderly Living Alone* [master's thesis, in Mandarin]. Taipei, Taiwan: National Taiwan University; 1999.
37. Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika* 1986;73(1):13-22. [doi: [10.1093/biomet/73.1.13](https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/73.1.13)]
38. Chang SH, Fang MC, Chang HY. Enhancing three bliss concepts among nursing home elders in Taiwan. *J Clin Nurs* 2010 Mar;19(5-6):682-690. [doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03076.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03076.x)] [Medline: [20500310](#)]
39. Bessière K, Pressman S, Kiesler S, Kraut R. Effects of internet use on health and depression: a longitudinal study. *J Med Internet Res* 2010;12(1):e6 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.1149](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1149)] [Medline: [20228047](#)]
40. White H, McConnell E, Clipp E, Branch LG, Sloane R, Pieper C, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the psychosocial impact of providing internet training and access to older adults. *Aging Ment Health* 2002 Aug;6(3):213-221. [doi: [10.1080/13607860220142422](https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860220142422)] [Medline: [12217089](#)]
41. Shapira N, Barak A, Gal I. Promoting older adults' well-being through Internet training and use. *Aging Ment Health* 2007 Sep;11(5):477-484. [doi: [10.1080/13607860601086546](https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860601086546)] [Medline: [17882585](#)]
42. Cukor P, Baer L, Willis BS, Leahy L, O'Laughlen J, Murphy M, et al. Use of videophones and low-cost standard telephone lines to provide a social presence in telepsychiatry. *Telemed J* 1998;4(4):313-321. [Medline: [10220471](#)]
43. Chang HJ, Ho MH, Huang CJ. Typology of online social support: a study of bulletin board system in Taiwan [in Mandarin]. *Mass Commun Res* 2008;94:61-105.

44. Walther JB, Park MR. Cues filtered out, cues filtered in: computer-mediated communication and relationships. In: Knapp ML, Daly JA, editors. Handbook of Interpersonal Communication, 3rd edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2002:529-563.
45. Tsai HH, Tsai YF. Older nursing home residents' experiences with videoconferencing to communicate with family members. J Clin Nurs 2010 Jun;19(11-12):1538-1543. [doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03198.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03198.x)] [Medline: [20384665](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20384665/)]

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 25.10.10; peer-reviewed by S Kossman; comments to author 09.05.11; revised version received 09.06.11; accepted 06.07.11; published 15.11.11

Please cite as:

Tsai HH, Tsai YF

Changes in Depressive Symptoms, Social Support, and Loneliness Over 1 Year After a Minimum 3-Month Videoconference Program for Older Nursing Home Residents

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e93

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e93/>

doi: [10.2196/jmir.1678](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.1678)

PMID: [22086660](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22086660/)

©Hsiu-Hsin Tsai, Yun-Fang Tsai. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<http://www.jmir.org>), 15.11.2011. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.