

Correction

Correction and Republication: Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled [Correction of "Randomized"] Comparison (2)

Pam Leece, BSc

Corresponding Author:

Pam Leece, BSc
McMaster University Health Sciences Centre
Room 2C9, 1200 Main Street West
Hamilton ON L8N 3Z5
Canada
Phone: +1 905 525 9140 ext 22876
Fax: +1 905 524 3841
Email: leecep@mcmaster.ca

Related Articles:

Correction of: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e30/>

This is a corrected version. See correction statement: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/4/e39/>

(*J Med Internet Res* 2004;6(4):e38) doi: [10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38)

A number of errors occurred in the article by Pam Leece et al. (*J Med Internet Res* 2004;6(3):e30). The corrected version has been republished as *J Med Internet Res* 2004;6(4):e39 and is available at <http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e39/>. Please do not cite the old version.

The title of the article should have been "Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled Comparison" (rather than "Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Randomized Comparison"), because the subjects were alternately assigned to receive a postal or an Internet questionnaire, thus the allocation process was not truly random, but pseudo-random. The authors have also noted an error in their previous analysis and changed the number of primary responders in mail group to 128, rather than 129. This change also affects Table 1 and Table 2, so that the denominator in the mail group should be 128 instead of 129 and the total

respondents to be 227 instead of 228. Some of the numerators in this table were also revised after the authors noted an additional error in which respondents were attributed to each group. The original Figure 2 that was published omitted information at the bottom of the figure that we intended to be included, so this figure has also been updated. Due to a revised intention to treat analysis of the results to include all participants we contacted in both groups, Table 3 has been revised to include 221 participants in the denominator rather than 176 as originally published. Finally, the original publication included a figure (Figure 3) that represented the cumulative frequency of responses by group and by follow-up contact according to a per protocol analysis. This figure has been removed to avoid confusion and to keep the intention to treat analysis as the primary analysis of the results consistent throughout the paper.

###Reviewer names will be inserted here### published 29.10.04.

Please cite as:

Leece P

Correction and Republication: Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled [Correction of "Randomized"] Comparison (2)

J Med Internet Res 2004;6(4):e38

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/4/e38/>

doi: [10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38)

PMID: [15631962](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15631962/)

© Pam Leece. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<http://www.jmir.org>), 29.10.2004. Except where otherwise noted, articles published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution,

and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, including full bibliographic details and the URL (see "please cite as" above), and this statement is included.