

Correction

# Correction and Republication: Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled [Correction of "Randomized"] Comparison (2)

Pam Leece, BSc

**Corresponding Author:**

Pam Leece, BSc  
McMaster University Health Sciences Centre  
Room 2C9, 1200 Main Street West  
Hamilton ON L8N 3Z5  
Canada  
Phone: +1 905 525 9140 ext 22876  
Fax: +1 905 524 3841  
Email: [leecep@mcmaster.ca](mailto:leecep@mcmaster.ca)

**Related Articles:**

Correction of: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e30/>

This is a corrected version. See correction statement: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/4/e39/>

*(J Med Internet Res 2004;6(4):e38)* doi:[10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38)

A number of errors occurred in the article by Pam Leece et al. (J Med Internet Res 2004;6(3):e30). The corrected version has been republished as J Med Internet Res 2004;6(4):e39 and is available at <http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e39/>. Please do not cite the old version.

The title of the article should have been "Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled Comparison" (rather than "Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Randomized Comparison"), because the subjects were alternately assigned to receive a postal or an Internet questionnaire, thus the allocation process was not truly random, but pseudo-random. The authors have also noted an error in their previous analysis and changed the number of primary responders in mail group to 128, rather than 129. This change also affects Table 1 and Table 2, so that the denominator in the mail group should be 128 instead of 129 and the total

respondents to be 227 instead of 228. Some of the numerators in this table were also revised after the authors noted an additional error in which respondents were attributed to each group. The original Figure 2 that was published omitted information at the bottom of the figure that we intended to be included, so this figure has also been updated. Due to a revised intention to treat analysis of the results to include all participants we contacted in both groups, Table 3 has been revised to include 221 participants in the denominator rather than 176 as originally published. Finally, the original publication included a figure (Figure 3) that represented the cumulative frequency of responses by group and by follow-up contact according to a per protocol analysis. This figure has been removed to avoid confusion and to keep the intention to treat analysis as the primary analysis of the results consistent throughout the paper.

*Edited by G. Eysenbach; ###Reviewer names will be inserted here### published 29. 10.04*

*Please cite as:*

*Leece P*

*Correction and Republication: Internet Versus Mailed Questionnaires: A Controlled [Correction of "Randomized"] Comparison (2)*  
*J Med Internet Res 2004;6(4):e38*

*URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2004/4/e38/>*

*doi: [10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.4.e38)*

*PMID: [15631962](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15631962/)*

© Pam Leece. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<http://www.jmir.org>), 29.10.2004. Except where otherwise noted, articles published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, including full bibliographic details and the URL (see "please cite as" above), and this statement is included.