Electronic Health Records Should Support Clinical Research
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Abstract

One aspect of electronic care records which has received little attention is the potential benefit to clinical research. Electronic records could facilitate new interfaces between care and research environments, leading to great improvements in the scope and efficiency of research. Benefits range from systematically generating hypotheses for research to undertaking entire studies based only on electronic record data. Researchers and research managers must engage with electronic record initiatives to realize these benefits. Clinicians and patients must have confidence in the consent, confidentiality and security arrangements for the uses of secondary data. Provided that such initiatives establish adequate information governance arrangements, within a clear ethical framework, innovative clinical research should flourish. Major benefits to patient care could ensue given sufficient development of the care-research interface via electronic records.
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on electronic record data. Information for planning studies, such as prevalence and variance of conditions in local contexts could be collected with ease. The patient-owned section of the record could be used by individuals to indicate their general willingness or otherwise to participate in research, or by investigators to alert potential research participants to the existence of a trial. Electronic prompts could signal an attending clinician of a patient's eligibility for an ongoing trial. Simple links from the care electronic record to the trial website could be used to provide further information on the trial for both clinician and patient. Informed consent procedures could be handled systematically under full clinical information and research governances.

National registers of diseases and treatments could be established easily and economically, and with a coherent approach to security across agencies. Epidemiological research could be accelerated and expanded in scope via registers covering well-characterised populations. This would reduce the cost of setting up such studies and provide more timely data that could lead to findings that have greater external validity than the equivalent based on less contemporary data collected in the conventional way. In addition, electronic records which “follow” the patient are likely to provide an efficient method of capturing outcome data in clinical trials and longitudinal studies. This is not an exhaustive list, but it illustrates the enormous potential of electronic records to support clinical research. In the United Kingdom the NPfIT represents an opportunity to develop clinical research that should not be missed.

Researchers and research managers must engage with EHR initiatives to realize such benefits. Programs such as the NPfIT must ensure that clinicians and patients have confidence in the consent, confidentiality and security arrangements for the uses of secondary data. Trust is vital to the practitioner-patient relationship and should not be eroded. Debates around the "opt-in" or "opt-out" consent to the use of electronic record data must consider the issue of secondary data usage and clinical research as a population health need. Clinicians and patients must be reassured that no personally identifiable information will be used for research without the consent of the individual. Provided that such programs establish adequate information governance arrangements, within a clear locally-owned ethical framework, such concerns should be addressed and innovative clinical research should be able to flourish. Major benefits to patient care could ensue.
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